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Abstract:

Background—Limited data exist on the prevalence, associations and prognosis of individuals with asymptomatic left ventricular systolic dysfunction (ALVSD), especially in populations without prior clinical cardiovascular disease (CVD).

Methods and Results—Kaplan-Meier and Cox proportional hazard analyses were used to assess the association between ALVSD, defined as left ventricular ejection fraction less than 50%, and adjudicated incident congestive heart failure (CHF), all-cause mortality, and CVD events. Out of 5004 participants, 112 participants had CHF, 321 had a CVD event, and 278 died after 9 years of follow-up. The overall prevalence of ALVSD was 1.7%, with a higher prevalence in African Americans (2.6%). ALVSD had worse cardiovascular risk profile and was also associated with increased risk in unadjusted and adjusted models for incident CHF [HR (95%): 12.0(7.04–20.3), p<0.001 and 8.69(4.89–15.45), p<0.001 respectively], CVD [HR (95%):3.32(1.98–.58), p<0.001 and 2.21(1.30–3.73), p=0.003 respectively] and all-cause mortality [HR(95%):3.47(2.03–5.94), p<0.0001 and 2.00(1.13-3.54), p=0.017 respectively]. A 10% decrement in LVEF at baseline was associated with increase in risk in unadjusted and adjusted models for clinical CHF [HR (95%CI): 2.17(1.82-2.63), p<0.0001 and 2.13(1.73-2.51), p<0.001 respectively] and all-cause mortality [HR (95%CI): 1.22(1.05–1.41), p=0.009 and 1.17(1.00 – 1.36), p=0.047 respectively]. Among the subset of participants with ALVSD, LVMI was particularly informative about risk for incident CHF (c- index = 0.74).

Conclusions—ALVSD is uncommon in individuals without prior clinical CVD, but is associated with high risk for CHF, CVD, and all-cause mortality. LVMI had good discrimination for incident CHF in MESA participants with ALVSD.
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Introduction

Despite recent advances in heart failure management, individuals with congestive heart failure still endure high morbidity and mortality\(^1\). Except for congestive heart failure (CHF) precipitated by an extensive myocardial infarction, most patients with heart failure appear to progress from an asymptomatic phase (American Heart Association stage B) to symptomatic phases (American Heart Association stage C and D)\(^2\)-\(^4\). The poor prognosis in subjects with symptomatic heart failure is already well established\(^5\). Current evidence suggests that treatments targeting the asymptomatic phase may slow the progression to the symptomatic phase, and reduce subsequent morbidity and mortality\(^6\)-\(^7\). However, current data on the prevalence and prognosis of asymptomatic left ventricular systolic dysfunction (ALVSD) are limited. Most data were obtained from the non-interventional arm of clinical trials and generally include subjects with prior cardiovascular disease (CVD)\(^6\)-\(^15\). Similar to the clinical trial data\(^6\)-\(^8\), in the few published epidemiologic studies to date, most subjects had a history of myocardial infarction (MI) and even though prior CVD/MI is accounted for in their models, it limits the generalizability of their findings\(^14\). Moreover most ALVSD subjects with prior MI have had contact with healthcare professionals and are likely to have been prescribed the recommended therapy, questioning the impact of public health screening for them in our communities. Finally, almost all previously published data on this subject are based on echocardiographic measures of left ventricular structure and function rather than MRI-based measures, which have superior accuracy and reproducibility, especially for measures of LV mass\(^16\).

To address some of these limitations and better characterize the prevalence, associations and prognosis of individuals with asymptomatic left ventricular systolic dysfunction (ALVSD) without prior CVD, we assessed the nine-year incidence of CHF, CVD and total mortality in
participants with ALVSD assessed using cardiac MRI from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA).

**Methods**

**Study population and data collection**

A detailed study design for MESA has been published elsewhere\(^1\). In brief, MESA is a prospective cohort study begun in July 2000 to investigate the prevalence, correlates, and progression of subclinical CVD in individuals without known CVD at baseline. The cohort includes 6814 women and men aged 45–84 years old recruited from 6 US communities (Baltimore, MD; Chicago, IL; Forsyth County, NC; Los Angeles County, CA; northern Manhattan, NY; and St. Paul, MN). MESA participants were 38% white \((n = 2624)\), 28% black \((n = 1895)\), 22% Hispanic \((n = 1492)\) and 12% Chinese \((n = 803)\). Individuals with a history of physician-diagnosed myocardial infarction, angina, heart failure, stroke or transient ischemic attack, or who had undergone an invasive procedure for CVD (coronary artery bypass graft, angioplasty, valve replacement, pacemaker placement or other vascular surgeries) were excluded. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of each study site and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Demographics, medical history, anthropometric and laboratory data for this study were obtained at the first MESA examination (July 2000 to August 2002). Current smoking was defined as having smoked a cigarette in the last 30 days. Diabetes mellitus was defined as fasting glucose \(\geq 126 \text{ mg} \ 100 \text{ ml}^{-1}\) or the use of hypoglycemic medications. Use of antihypertensive and other medications was based on the review of prescribed medication containers. Resting blood pressure was measured three times in seated position, and the average of the second and third
readings was recorded. Hypertension was defined as a systolic blood pressure ≥140 mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mm Hg or use of medication prescribed for hypertension. Body mass index was calculated as weight (kg)/height² (m²). Total and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol were measured from blood samples obtained after a 12-h fast. Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol was estimated by the Friedewald equation.¹⁸

**Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging**

Consenting participants underwent a cardiac MRI scan a median of 16 days after the baseline evaluation; 95% were completed by 11 weeks after the baseline examination. Participation in the MRI exam was voluntary. All imaging was done with a four-element phased-array surface coil positioned anteriorly and posteriorly, electrocardiographic gating, and brachial artery blood pressure monitoring.¹⁹ Imaging consisted of fast gradient echo cine images of the left ventricle with time resolution < 50 ms. Functional parameters and mass were determined by volumetric imaging. Imaging data were read using MASS software (version 4.2, Medis, Leiden, the Netherlands) at a single reading center by trained readers blinded to risk factor information.

Papillary muscles were included in the LV volumes and excluded from LV mass. LV end-diastolic volume and LV end-systolic volume were calculated using Simpson's rule (the summation of areas on each separate slice multiplied by the sum of slice thickness and image gap). LV mass was determined by the sum of the myocardial area (the difference between endocardial and epicardial contour) times slice thickness plus image gap in the end-diastolic phase multiplied by the specific gravity of myocardium (1.05 g/mL). LVEF was calculated as LV stroke volume/ LV end-diastolic volume X 100. The interobserver variability in estimating LV paramaters was: LV parameters was: LVM LV stroke volume/ LV end-diastolic volume X 100. The interobserver variability in estimating LV paramaters was: LVM (6.0gm, 95% CI, 4.6,
7.4); LVEF (5.1%, 95% CI 3.6, 6.7) and intraobserver variability in estimating LV parameters was: LVM (6.3gm, 95% CI, 5.17, 7.38); LVEF (3.9%, 95% CI, 3.06, 4.72).

Ascertainment of outcomes

Outcomes in MESA are adjudicated by a committee which includes a cardiologist, a cardiovascular physician-epidemiologist and a neurologist. Reviewers/ adjudicators classified incident CHF as definite, probable, or absent. Definite or probable CHF required heart failure symptoms, such as shortness of breath or edema; probable CHF required CHF diagnosed by a physician and patient receiving medical treatment for CHF. Definite CHF required one or more other criteria, such as pulmonary edema/congestion by chest X-ray; dilated ventricle or poor LV function by echocardiocardiography or ventriculography; or echocardiography evidence of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction. Participants who had only a physician diagnosis of CHF without any other evidence, were classified as “no CHF”. Individuals with adjudicated definite or probable CHF were used in our analysis.

An incident cardiovascular event is a composite of adjudicated myocardial infarction, stroke, resuscitated cardiac arrest, angina if followed by percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary bypass grafting, and cardiovascular disease death. In order to make our results comparable to prior published data⁹,¹⁴, asymptomatic left ventricular systolic dysfunction (ALVSD) was defined as participants with LVEF <50%. Left ventricular mass index (LVMI) was calculated using the formula LVMI = LV mass/ body surface area (g/m²).

Statistical analysis

Demographic characteristics of participants with ALVSD are reported as mean ±SD for continuous variables and as frequency or percentages for categorical variables compared with those without ALVSD during the MESA baseline exam. Kaplan-Meier analysis and log rank test
were used to explore the association between ALVSD and incident CHF, CVD and all-cause mortality. Cox proportional hazard analysis was also used to assess the association between ALVSD and outcomes (incident CHF, CVD, and all-cause mortality) in unadjusted and adjusted models adjusting for covariates including age, gender, race/ethnicity, diabetes mellitus, body mass index (BMI), systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, HDL, triglycerides, cigarette smoking status, blood pressure medication use (ACE inhibitors or beta blockers) and statin use. Interim myocardial infarction was adjusted for in the adjusted Cox models for incident CHF and all-cause mortality. These covariates were chosen based on their associations in the present study and also in prior publications. The extended Cox model, which used the time-dependent variable approach, was used to test for the proportionality assumption. The discriminative ability of LV mass index for incident CHF in the subset with ALVSD was evaluated in a Cox model using the approach proposed by Pencina et al\textsuperscript{20} and a time dependent receiver operating characteristic curve was constructed (at 4 years of follow up) using the approach by Heagerty et al\textsuperscript{21} (TDROC macro in sas authored by Mithat Gonen)

Subsequently, the association between LVEF as a continuous variable and outcomes (incident CHF, CVD, and all-cause mortality) was explored using Cox proportional hazard analysis in unadjusted and adjusted analyses adjusting for the covariates listed above. We estimated the discriminative ability of a Cox model with LVEF and LVEF +LVMI for incident CHF using the approach by Pencina et al\textsuperscript{20}. Time dependent Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were constructed (at 4 years of follow up) with LVEF /LVEF+ LVMI in the model and the area under the ROC curves compared. A 2-tailed value of $P<0.05$ was considered significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).
Results

From 6,814 overall participants, 5,004 participants with no clinical cardiovascular disease, including valvular heart disease and CHF, underwent cardiac MRI for assessment of left ventricular ejection fraction. 1.7% of the cohort had asymptomatic left ventricular systolic dysfunction (ALVSD). This was highest in African Americans (AA) (2.6%) followed by Caucasians (1.7%), Hispanic Americans (1.6%), and Chinese Americans (0.15%). After an average of 7.5 years of follow-up (maximum of 9 years), 112 participants had adjudicated incident CHF, 321 had an incident cardiovascular event, and 278 died from various causes.

MESA participants with ALVSD were more likely to be male, African American, have high LV mass index and in general, poor cardiovascular risk profiles compared with participants without ALVSD (Table 1). Use of statins, ACE inhibitors, beta blockers, diuretics, and blood pressure medications did not differ between participants with and without ALVSD. Participants with ALVSD were not significantly obese (using BMI) compared with those without ALVSD (Table 1). In addition, participants with ALVSD who developed congestive heart failure during the follow up period were not significantly obese compared with those who did not develop congestive heart failure.

Caucasians with ALVSD were more likely to be males but were not likely to either have diabetes mellitus, cigarette smoker or have hypertension compared with those without ALVSD. African Americans with ALVSD were more likely to be males, smoke cigarette, have hypertension but were not likely to have diabetes mellitus compared with those without ALVSD. Hispanics with ALVSD were more likely to be males, smoke cigarette and have hypertension but were not likely to have diabetes mellitus compared with those without ALVSD. Characteristic of Chinese with ALVSD is not provided due to very small sample size.
Asymptomatic left ventricular systolic dysfunction and outcomes

In Kaplan-Meier analysis, participants with ALVSD had higher incident CHF, CVD and all-cause mortality compared with participants without ALVSD (Figure 1A, 1B and 1C). Similarly, ALVSD was associated with higher incident CHF, CVD, and all-cause mortality in our unadjusted and adjusted models (Table 2). Similar estimates were obtained when a measure of socio economic status (level of education or income level) was forced into the model (data not shown).

18.6 percent of participants with ALVSD developed incident CHF during the follow-up period. Left ventricular mass index had a good discriminative ability for incident CHF in participants with baseline ALVSD [c-index (95%CI); 0.74(0.56 - 0.85)]. Area under time dependent ROC curve at 4 years of follow up is as shown in Figure 2.

Left ventricular ejection fraction and outcomes

In the current cohort, a 10% decrement in left ventricular ejection fraction at baseline was associated with significant increase in incident CHF, CVD and all-cause mortality in unadjusted analysis. In the adjusted analysis, a 10 percent decrement in LVEF was associated with an increase in incident CHF and all-cause mortality but not CVD events (Table 3). Left ventricular ejection fraction was predictive of incident CHF. The c index (95% CI) of LVEF alone was 0.60(0.41-0.77). Adding LVMI to LVEF improved the discriminative ability for incident CHF [c-index (95%): 0.67(0.51-0.84)]. Comparison of the area under the time dependent ROC curve at 4 years of follow up for LVEF and LVEF + LVMI is as shown in Figure 3.

Discussion

The current study used the MESA cohort, composed of adults free of clinical cardiovascular
disease (including myocardial infarction), to show that ALVSD is uncommon (prevalence of 1.7%) but nonetheless associated with significant morbidity (CHF and cardiovascular events) and mortality. LVMH improved the accuracy of LVEF for identifying individuals at risk for future incident CHF in the whole cohort and also had a good discrimination among individuals with ALVSD for incident CHF during the follow-up period.

The prevalence of ALVSD has been estimated to occur in 0.9 – 12.9% of the population\textsuperscript{14}. The wide range is due to differences in study design, setting, characteristics of the study sample, and LVEF threshold used to define ALVSD. The LVEF threshold used in previous studies ranged from 30-54 %\textsuperscript{14}. McDonagh et al defined ALVSD as LVEF < 30% in a cross-sectional survey of a selected urban cohort; in that study, the prevalence of ALVSD was 1.5%\textsuperscript{13}. Older males were more likely to have ALVSD, and 83% had evidence of ischemic heart disease as the underlying etiology. In another large practice-based multi-ethnic cohort, using an LVEF threshold of 40%, prevalence of ALVSD was 0.89%\textsuperscript{12}. In a subset of the Strong Heart Study (some of whom had prevalent CHD and clinical CHF), Devereux et al reported that the prevalence of left ventricular dysfunction in Indian Americans, defined as LVEF <54%, was 14% (10). Gottdiener et al, in an elderly cohort (mostly Caucasian) reported a prevalence of ALVSD of about 0.8%\textsuperscript{22}. In the Framingham Heart Study, Wang et al showed that the prevalence of ALVSD (defined as LVEF <50%) in participants with and without prior MI was 3%\textsuperscript{14}. These studies are limited by differences in the LVEF threshold, small sample sizes, lack of racial diversity, and the use of echocardiography in estimating LVEF. In addition, almost all included participants with and without prior MI. The present study used a large multi-ethnic cohort and a more accurate measure of LVEF, cardiac MRI. We found that within population based adults free of clinical cardiovascular disease, the prevalence of ALVSD is 1.7% and is
mostly in men and African Americans.

There is no consensus on how to best identify individuals with ALVSD in the community, and the best most cost-effective way to do so\(^1\)\(^4\). The use of natruiretic peptides to screen for ALVSD in communities has yielded mixed results\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^\to\)\(^5\). Despite the relatively rare prevalence of ALVSD, its presence identified a group of participants at high risk for incident CHF, CVD, and all-cause mortality. Our data also suggest that individuals with ALVSD may not be more likely to have incident myocardial infarction, compared to those without ALVSD. Thus, these individuals may evade detection until late in their disease course, increasing the cost of therapy and worsening their prognosis.

African Americans with left ventricular dysfunction appear to be at higher risk for progression of heart failure and death from any cause than similarly treated whites\(^2\)\(^6\). In the present cohort, African American males had the highest mean blood pressure and LVMI, and were more likely to have ALVSD and develop clinical CHF compared with other MESA participants. This suggests that community-wide screening for ALVSD and preemptive interventions in hypertensive African American men could be explored as an appropriate and cost-effective public health strategy to reduce heart failure burden in our communities. Current debate should focus on how best to screen for ALVSD in individuals without clinical CVD, since our data suggest these individuals are at higher risk for CHF, CVD, and all-cause mortality. More research is needed to determine if preemptive interventions might reduce the risk for CHF, CVD, and death in this high risk subgroup.

Unlike echocardiography, cardiac MRI more accurately measures left ventricular volumes, from which LVEF is derived\(^1\)\(^6\). In addition, other variables, such as LVMI, can be measured during the cardiac MRI scan. The present study shows that adding LVMI as part of a
cardiac risk assessment would significantly improve the prognostic accuracy of LVEF in predicting incident CHF. Furthermore, in individuals with ALVSD, LVMI would discriminate accurately among those most likely to progress to clinical CHF. Studies in other cohorts are needed to replicate and extend our findings.

The strengths of this study include the large sample size, long duration of follow-up, adjudicated outcomes, use of cardiac MRI, the multi-ethnic nature of the cohort and the fact that unlike other studies, all participants were free of clinical cardiovascular disease at baseline. However, given the relatively small prevalence of ALVSD, we did not explore stratified analysis due to limited statistical power. In addition, MESA is an observational study; although we adjusted for most covariates in our adjusted models, our results may still have been influenced by residual confounding. The cardiac MRI results including left ventricular ejection fraction was made available to participants and their clinicians (if participants consented). MESA does not include other ethnic groups such as American Indians and other Asian groups except Chinese. In addition the proportion of each ethnic group in MESA does not accurately reflect that of the US population. This limits the generalizability of our findings. Presently other cardiac MRI data including left atrial size and structure etc, likely to influence the development of congestive heart failure, cardiovascular events and mortality are not available in MESA. Inclusion of such data and a more comprehensive analysis of the MESA cardiac MRI data may further inform risk prediction of these outcomes in this population. Lastly, because the present study involved individuals without clinical cardiovascular disease at baseline, our results may not be applicable to other populations.
Conclusions

In a population-based multi-ethnic adult population free of clinical cardiovascular disease, the prevalence of ALVSD was relatively low but carries significant risk for incident CHF, CVD, and all-cause mortality. LVMI may be a good screening tool for identifying individuals with ALVSD who would develop CHF. LVMI may also improve the discriminative ability of LVEF for identifying adults free of clinical CVD who are at risk for CHF.
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Table 1. Demographic characteristics of study participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No ALVSD (N=4918) (Mean ±SD)</th>
<th>ALVSD (N= 86) (Mean ±SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61.5 ±10.1</td>
<td>62.4 ±10.7</td>
<td>0.432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (%)</td>
<td>2607(53.1)</td>
<td>15(17.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ Ethnicity (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>1923(39.1)</td>
<td>34(39.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>652(13.3)</td>
<td>1(1.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>1252(25.4)</td>
<td>33(38.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanics</td>
<td>1091(22.2)</td>
<td>18(20.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI( Kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.7± 4.9</td>
<td>28.2 ±4.9</td>
<td>0.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP(mmHg)</td>
<td>125.4 ±21.3</td>
<td>129.7 ±22.3</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP(mmHg)</td>
<td>71.7 ±10.3</td>
<td>76.9 ±11.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes Mellitus (%)</td>
<td>503(10.2)</td>
<td>15(17.4)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol(mg/dl)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>194.4 ±35.3</td>
<td>191.2± 39.6</td>
<td>0.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>117.2± 31.3</td>
<td>115.8 ±32.5</td>
<td>0.685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>51.2 ±15.0</td>
<td>46.8 ±13.1</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides</td>
<td>131.1± 85.1</td>
<td>140.6 ±88.9</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette Smoking (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>2546(51.9)</td>
<td>23(27.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former</td>
<td>1749(35.6)</td>
<td>37(44.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>612(12.5)</td>
<td>23(27.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L V mass index (gm/m²)</td>
<td>77.5 ± 15.6</td>
<td>103.1 ±29.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framingham Risk Score</td>
<td>8.4 ± 8.0</td>
<td>13.3 ± 8.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Diuretic (%)</td>
<td>602 (12.3)</td>
<td>8(9.3)</td>
<td>0.408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta Blocker Use (%)</td>
<td>421(8.6)</td>
<td>5(5.8)</td>
<td>0.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATIN use (%)</td>
<td>716(14.6)</td>
<td>11(12.1)</td>
<td>0.640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE Inhibitor Use (%)</td>
<td>531(10.8)</td>
<td>14(16.3)</td>
<td>0.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP medication Use (%)</td>
<td>1541(31.3)</td>
<td>24(27.9)</td>
<td>0.496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF (%)</td>
<td>69.4 ±6.7</td>
<td>44.4 ± 6.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Association of Asymptomatic left ventricular systolic dysfunction and incident cardiovascular events, congestive heart failure and all-cause mortality. MESA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Unadjusted</th>
<th>Adjusted*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># of Events</td>
<td>Hazard Ratio(95%CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive Heart Failure</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>11.97(7.04–20.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular Event</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>3.32(1.98–5.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Cause mortality</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>3.47(2.03–5.94)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted model adjusted for age, gender, race/ethnicity, diabetes mellitus, BMI, systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, HDL, triglycerides, cigarette smoking status, blood pressure medication use (beta blocker use, ACE inhibitor use) and statin use.
** For congestive heart failure and all cause mortality, interim myocardial infarction was adjusted for in the adjusted model.

Table 3. Association of a 10 percent decrement in left ventricular ejection fraction at baseline and incident cardiovascular events, congestive heart failure and all-cause mortality. MESA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Unadjusted</th>
<th>Adjusted*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># of Events</td>
<td>Hazard Ratio(95%CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive Heart Failure</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>2.17(1.82–2.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular Event</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>1.22(1.05–1.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Cause mortality</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>1.22(1.05–1.41)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted model adjusted for age, gender, race/ethnicity, diabetes mellitus, BMI, systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, HDL, triglycerides, cigarette smoking status, blood pressure medication use (beta blocker use, ACE inhibitor use) and statin use.
** For congestive heart failure and all cause mortality, interim myocardial infarction was adjusted for in the adjusted model.
Figure Legends:

Figure 1. (a) Kaplan Meier curves showing the event free survival of participants with asymptomatic left ventricular systolic dysfunction (ALVSD) and those without ALVSD for incident congestive heart failure after a maximum of 9 years in MESA (b) Kaplan Meier curves showing the event free survival of participants with asymptomatic left ventricular systolic dysfunction (ALVSD) and those without ALVSD for incident cardiovascular events after a maximum of 9 years in MESA (c) Kaplan Meier curves showing the event free survival of participants with asymptomatic left ventricular systolic dysfunction (ALVSD) and those without ALVSD for all cause mortality after a maximum of 9 years in MESA.

Figure 2. Receiver operator curve showing the discrimination afforded by left ventricular mass index (LVMI) for incident congestive heart failure in the subset with asymptomatic left ventricular systolic dysfunction at 4 years of follow-up in MESA.

Figure 3. Receiver operator curves showing the improvement in discrimination afforded by the addition of left ventricular mass index (LVMI) to Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) for incident congestive heart failure at 4 years follow up in MESA.
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Prognosis of Individuals with Asymptomatic Left Ventricular Systolic Dysfunction in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA)
Joseph Yeboah, Carlos J. Rodriguez, Brandon Stacey, Joao A. Lima, Songtao Liu, J. Jeffrey Carr, W.
Gregory Hundley and David M. Herrington
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