Noninvasive Assessment of Myocardial Inflammation by Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance in a Rat Model of Experimental Autoimmune Myocarditis
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Abstract:

**Background** - Limited availability of non-invasive and biologically precise diagnostic tools poses a challenge for the evaluation and management of patients with myocarditis.

**Methods and Results** - The feasibility of cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging with magneto-fluorescent nanoparticles (MNPs) for detection of myocarditis and its effectiveness in discriminating inflammation grades were assessed in experimental autoimmune myocarditis (EAM) (n = 65) and control (n = 10) rats. After undergoing CMR, rats were administered with MNPs, followed by a second CMR 24 hours later. Head-to-head comparison of MNP-CMR with T2-weighted, early and late gadolinium enhancement CMR was done in additional EAM (n=10) and control (n=5) rats. Contrast-to-noise ratios (CNRs) were measured and compared between groups. Flow cytometry and microscopy demonstrated infiltrating inflammatory cells engulfed MNPs, resulting in altered myocardial T2* effect. Changes in CNR between pre- and post-MNP CMR were significantly greater in EAM rats (1.08 ± 0.10 vs. 0.48 ± 0.20; P < 0.001). In addition, CNR measurement in MNP-CMR clearly detected the extent of inflammation (P < 0.001) except for mild inflammation. As compared with conventional CMR, MNP-CMR provided better image contrast (CNR change 8% vs. 46%, P < 0.001) and detectability of focal myocardial inflammation. Notably, MNP-CMR successfully tracked the evolution of myocardial inflammation in the same EAM rats.

**Conclusions** - MNP-CMR permitted effective visualization of myocardial inflammatory cellular infiltrates and distinction of the extent of inflammation compared with conventional CMR in a preclinical model of EAM. MNP-CMR performs best in EAM rats with at least moderate inflammatory response.
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Introduction

Myocarditis is defined as inflammation of myocardial tissue with characteristic inflammatory cell infiltration into myocardium. Clinically, myocarditis is a major cause of sudden death in young adults, and is an important underlying etiology of both dilated cardiomyopathy and arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy. Despite these serious consequences, there is no single confirmatory tool to diagnose myocarditis with absolute certainty, resulting in limited consensus on clinical practice guidelines for its evaluation and treatment.

The introduction of endomyocardial biopsy (EMB) combined with immunohistochemistry (IHC) and cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging has helped to overcome the major drawbacks of the traditional diagnostic methodologies. Whereas the invasive nature and poor standardization of EMB have limited its widespread use, noninvasive CMR has emerged as a leading imaging tool in the diagnosis of myocarditis. However, myocardial inflammation, a critical pathogenic factor in myocarditis, cannot be directly visualized by CMR: T2-weighted (T2W) imaging of tissue edema and myocardial early gadolinium enhancement (EGE) marks tissue hyperemia and capillary leak, while myocardial late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) represents the late sequelae of inflammatory myocardial damage. Currently, CMR with or without gadolinium enhancement does not precisely identify inflammation in myocarditis. Thus, accurate noninvasive detection of inflammatory cellular infiltrates, as seen in viral myocarditis, is needed to drastically change the evaluation and management of clinical myocarditis.

Long-circulating iron oxide nanoparticles with MRI have been successfully used to image cellular inflammation in animal models with cardiac allograft rejection and autoimmune insulitis, as well as in patients with carotid artery stenosis and type 1 diabetes. Avid uptake
of magneto-fluorescent nanoparticles (MNPs) by macrophages, facilitated by the vascular leakage that generally accompanies inflammation, can enable noninvasive visualization of tissue cellular infiltration.

Based on an in vitro feasibility study of MNP-MRI for the detection of inflammation, we investigated in vivo cellular CMR of macrophage-based inflammation in EAM rats, quantified cellular distribution of MNP-positive cells depending on inflammation grade, and compared the detectability of focal inflammation area in the myocardium of EAM rats between MNP-enhanced CMR and conventional CMR (T2W, EGE and LGE) as diagnosis of myocarditis. MNP-enhanced CMR was also employed to noninvasively track the evolution of inflammation in myocarditis.

Methods

Induction of the EAM rat model

EAM was induced in 7-week-old male wild-type Lewis rats (n = 75) as described previously.\textsuperscript{16,17}

Induction of the EAM rat model, FACS analysis of inflammatory cellular infiltrates within EAM hearts, in vivo CMR protocol, histopathological examination, image analysis, MR image-tracking of MNP-labeled macrophages in vivo, and summary of experiments are presented in detail in the Data Supplement. The protocol was approved by the local Institutional Review Committee on Animal Care (KBSI-AEC1009).

Animal experimental protocol

The feasibility of silica-coated MNPs incorporated with rhodamine-β-isothiocyanate (RITC) (Data Supplement in detail) in imaging myocardial inflammatory cellular infiltrates was first investigated using ex vivo histopathology methods in EAM rats on day 21 (21D) after
immunization (n = 7). At 24 hours before sacrifice, MNPs (10 mg Fe/kg) were administered via tail vein. An additional group of wild-type Lewis rats without immunization (n = 5) was also injected with MNPs and served as controls. Next, frozen-sectioned EAM rat hearts underwent hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and IHC stain for macrophages. Fluorescence microscopy (FM), confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) were employed to detect the MNPs in tissues. Second, the in vivo performance of MNP-enhanced CMR was investigated to image myocardial inflammation. T2*-weighted (T2*W) MNP-CMR was performed 24 h after tail vein injection of MNPs (10 mg Fe/kg) in 21D EAM (n = 16) and control (n = 5) rats. Third, we then directly compared MNP-CMR with LGE-CMR for its potential of clinical translatability as a tool to overcome the weakness of LGE-CMR. 21D EAM rats (n = 4) first underwent LGE-CMR after Gd-DTPA (0.2 ml/kg, Magnevist®, Bayer Healthcare, USA) administration. Two hours after LGE-CMR, MNPs were intravenously given to the same rats after verifying the washout of Gd-DTPA in the myocardium, followed by MNP-CMR 24 hours later. CMR images were correlated with H&E- and IHC-stained sections, and corroborated by FM of the matched cryostat sections. Fourth, in another group of 21D EAM rats (n = 12), flow cytometry analyses of inflammatory cellular infiltrates in the myocardium were performed to determine how different inflammatory cell populations contributed to the overall MNP accumulation within inflamed hearts. Fifth, the effectiveness of MNP-CMR in discriminating the different grades of myocardial inflammation was investigated in EAM rats of different post-immunization days from days 12 to 21 (n = 24). Sixth, the distinctiveness of MNP-CMR was further verified by comparing with T2W-, EGE- and LGE-CMR. Conventionally used CMR methods of T2W-, EGE-, and LGE-CMR were sequentially conducted along with MNP-CMR in the same rats of 15D-17D EAM (n = 10) and control (n = 5), followed by IHC-staining
for confirmation of inflammation. EAM rats underwent T2W- and T1W-CMR before Gd-DTPA administration, and then EGE- and LGE-CMR was performed followed by MNP-CMR. Seventh, serial MNP-CMR was conducted in order to assess dynamic evolution of myocardial inflammation, reflecting pathological progress from mild to severe inflammation in the same rats. MNPs were intravenously injected on days 15 and 20 after immunization, and MNP-CMR was obtained 24 hrs later on days 16 (16D) and 21 (21D) in the same rats (n = 3). Lastly, MNP-CMR was also conducted to serially image and track MNP-labeled macrophages in vivo. MNP-CMR images were obtained before MNP injection, and 24, 72, and 120 hrs after MNP injection in 18D EAM rats (n = 3).

**FACS analyses of inflammatory cellular infiltrates and in vivo cardiac MRI**

After the process of mincing and digesting hearts, obtained cell suspensions were stained with PE-CD45RA, PE-CD3, PE-CD11b/c, PE-Granulocytes (BD Biosciences, NJ, USA) and underwent flow cytometry and cell sorting. MRI was performed with a gradient-echo T2*W, spin-echo T2W, and fat-saturated spin-echo T1W sequences by using a 4.7 T MRI system (BioSpec 47/40; Bruker, Ettlingen, Germany) with dual electrocardiographic (ECG) and respiratory gating (SA Instruments, Stony Brook, NY, USA).

**Statistical analysis**

The paired/unpaired Student’s t-test and simple linear regression (Origin ver. 6.0; MicroCal, LLC, Northampton, MA, USA), and the paired inter-observer comparisons with Cohen’s kappa statistics (SPSS ver. 15.0) were used to assess differences in the experimental data sets, and the measurement of the target-to-background ratios (TBRs) and ΔCNR within rats with different inflammation grades were assessed by one-way ANOVA testing. Differences were considered significant at \( P < 0.05 \). All data are presented as mean ± SD.
Results

Infiltrated inflammatory cells actively take up MNPs in inflamed hearts

The highly biocompatible and bifunctional (superparamagnetic and fluorescent) iron oxide MNPs were used in this study for the detection of both fluorescent and magnetic properties in vitro and in vivo. From iron measurement of the blood samples, the blood half-life of the MNPs was 2.8 ± 0.5 h (Supplemental Figure 1). We sought to determine the feasibility of the MNP-CMR to detect myocardial cellular inflammation. 21D EAM rats showed markedly enlarged hearts with dilated ventricles and thickened myocardium. While control rats did not contain any inflammatory foci (Figure 1A), the EAM rats showed extensive and varying sizes of inflammatory foci scattered throughout the myocardium (Figure 1D), matching with the macroscopic discolored areas. Interstitial inflammatory cell infiltration and edema in association with degenerated myocytes and myocardial necrosis were observed in the inflammatory lesions, as reported previously. Macrophages densely infiltrated the myocardium of EAM rats and their distribution in IHC precisely correlated to inflamed areas in H&E sections (Figures 1D and 1E): percentage inflammation area for EAM versus control rats, 48.7 ± 8.0% versus 0% (Figure 1J, P < 0.001), and percentage macrophage area for EAM versus control rats, 31.3 ± 0.2% versus 1.0 ± 0.3% (Figure 1K, P < 0.001). FM demonstrated that strong fluorescence signals arising from the accumulated MNPs in EAM hearts scattered throughout the inflamed myocardium and matched well with the distribution of inflamed foci and macrophages in histology, though little fluorescence was observed in the control myocardium (Figures 1C and 1F). TBR of fluorescence signals in the heart sections of EAM rats was 130% higher than in control rats (2.3 ± 0.2 vs. 1.0 ± 0.3; P < 0.001) (Figure 1L). In CLSM, we could confirm that the signal originated from interstitial MNP accumulation in mainly macrophages and not from the
vasculature. Merged images showed most of the red fluorescent signals indicative of MNPs co-localized with macrophage signals (Figure 1G). In addition, TEM demonstrated that ingested intracellular MNPs were placed within the lysosomes of macrophages (Figures 1H and 1I).

**Feasibility and performance of MNP-CMR in the assessment of myocardial inflammation**

Based on *ex vivo* histopathologic evidence of MNPs’ accumulation in inflammatory cellular infiltrates, CMR was used to investigate its feasibility in a preclinical model of myocarditis as the first step of clinical translation. Thickened ventricular walls and pericardial and/or pleural effusion in some instances were noted in 21D EAM rats. Pre-MNP CMR showed slightly higher T2*W signal intensity in the myocardium of EAM rats (Figures 2A and 2E), leading to a 9% CNR increase in the left ventricular (LV) myocardium of EAM rats compared with Control rats (1.08 ± 0.10 vs. 0.99 ± 0.05; *p* = 0.048), suggesting the contribution of tissue edema to increased signals. MNP injection immediately induced a relatively homogeneous and marked negative contrast throughout the ventricular walls in both groups due to the presence of MNPs in the vasculature (data not shown). At 30 min after the injection, both the control and 21D EAM rats showed a similar CNR decrease (ΔCNR) of about 75% in the myocardium (data not shown). While the negative contrast markedly recovered within 24 h in the control group (Figures 2F to 2H; ΔCNR = 4%; pre-CNR = 0.99 ± 0.05 vs. post-CNR = 0.95 ± 0.06; *P* = 0.347), much of the negative contrast persisted until 24 h in the 21D EAM rats (Figures 2B to 2D; ΔCNR = 56%; pre-CNR = 1.08 ± 0.10 vs. post-CNR = 0.48 ± 0.20; *P* < 0.001). These *in vivo* MNP-CMR results were strikingly in accordance with the *ex vivo* histological observation of robust MNP accumulation within the inflamed myocardium and probably within the infiltrated inflammatory cells.

We next sought to perform a comparison of MNP-CMR with LGE-CMR to assess
myocardial inflammation in EAM rats. LGE-CMR and MNP-CMR were sequentially performed in the same EAM rats. On T1W-CMR 20 minutes after Gd-DTPA injection, weakly enhanced areas in the inferior and posterolateral LV wall (Figure 3B, white arrowheads) were detected with a non-significant CNR change of about 8% (Figure 3C; from baseline 1.18 ± 0.05 to post-injection 1.27 ± 0.07, \( P = 0.062 \)). However, on the subsequent T2W-CMR 24 h after MNP injection in the same rats, additional inflammatory areas (Figure 3E, yellow arrows) were detected with a significant CNR change of about 46% (Figure 3F; from baseline 1.08 ± 0.06 to post-injection 0.58 ± 0.15, \( P < 0.001 \)). As shown in a representative case, MNP-CMR detected new inflammatory areas in the myocardium of EAM rats that were not evident in LGE-CMR.

Histologically, negative contrast areas in MNP-CMR showed agreement with inflammatory foci in H&E (Figure 3G), macrophage areas in IHC (Figure 3H), and MNP-derived fluorescence signals in FM (Figure 3I).

**In vivo cellular distribution of MNPs in inflamed hearts**

We next determined how different inflammatory cell populations contributed to the overall accumulation of the MNPs in inflamed EAM hearts using flow cytometry. In 21D EAM rat hearts, there were at least 4 types of leukocytes, which were identified as macrophages (MΦ) (CD11b/c\textsuperscript{hi}CD45RA\textsuperscript{lo}CD3\textsuperscript{lo}Granulocytes\textsuperscript{hi}), B-cells (CD11b/c\textsuperscript{lo}CD45RA\textsuperscript{hi}CD3\textsuperscript{lo}Granulocytes\textsuperscript{lo}), T-cells (CD11b/c\textsuperscript{lo}CD45RA\textsuperscript{lo}CD3\textsuperscript{hi}Granulocytes\textsuperscript{lo}), and granulocytes (CD11b/c\textsuperscript{lo}CD45RA\textsuperscript{lo}CD3\textsuperscript{lo}Granulocytes\textsuperscript{bi}). MΦs were the most frequently detected inflammatory cells (72.3%), followed by granulocytes (Gran; 14.6%), T-cells (T; 12.0%), and B-cells (B; 1.1%) in the inflamed myocardium (Figures 4A and 4B). Of the total sorted inflammatory cells, the number of cells that were double-positive for cell markers and MNPs was \( 2.8 \times 10^6 \) cells (~34.1%). Co-registration of MNPs and each cell type revealed that MΦs
were the primary source of altered negative contrast effect in inflamed myocardium of measured MNP-CMR images (78.4%; Figures 4C and 4D). T-cells also took up MNPs and contributed to the myocardial T2* effect of MNPs to some extent. However, considering a cellular contribution of MNP source and accumulation of MNPs in MΦs 4 times more than in T-cells,22,23 MΦs accounted for at least 93% of myocardial MNP accumulation in inflamed hearts. Thus we found that negative contrast effect in MNP-CMR could identify the extent and activity of infiltrated inflammatory cells, mainly MΦs, during myocardial inflammatory process. Microscopic examination of FACS subsets after Giemsa staining confirmed their typical morphological features (Figure 4E).

Effectiveness of MNP-CMR in discriminating inflammation grades

We classified all rats into Control, G1, G2 and G3 groups using the reported inflammation grading system (see Data Supplement) by measuring grades of inflammation from H&E images. This grading system discriminated mild, moderate, or severe cellular inflammation in myocarditis, as evident in the representative H&E and correlative macrophage-IHC images (Figure 5). The extent and intensity of negative contrasted area in post-MNP-CMR matched well with inflammation grades in H&E images and with the extent of macrophage signals in IHC images (Figure 5A). CNRs in LV myocardium of pre- and post-MNP CMR images were measured and quantitatively compared with the grades of inflammation in each rat (Figure 5B). CNRs in G2 and G3 groups with moderate or severe inflammation were significantly lowered than G1 and Control groups after MNP administration (P < 0.001). ΔCNR linearly correlated with inflamed area (Figure 6A; R^2 = 0.833, P < 0.001) and immunoreactive macrophage area within the myocardium (Figure 6B; R^2 = 0.863, P < 0.001). There were no significant differences in ΔCNRs within G2 and G3 or within G1 and Control (56% for G2 and G3; 3-4%
for G1 and Control), indicating that CNR measurement in MNP-CMR failed to show mild (G1) inflammation.

**Head-to-head performance comparison between MNP-CMR and conventional CMR**

The performance of MNP-CMR in the detection of focal and less severe myocardial inflammation was assessed by a head-to-head comparison of MNP-CMR with T2W-, EGE- and LGE-CMR in additional EAM rats (n = 10). Conventional (T2W, EGE, and LGE) CMR was sequentially performed prior to MNP-CMR in the same EAM rats, followed by IHC staining. Representative serially obtained T2W-, EGE-, LGE-, and MNP-CMR images (Figure 7A) in three EAM rats are shown with corresponding IHC-stained images (Figure 7B). In the EAM#1 and EAM#2 (1st and 2nd rows in Figure 7A, group 1; 5 rats out of 10 EAM rats have similar myocardial inflammation pattern), large inflammation areas (green arrows) with small or focal inflammation regions (yellow arrows of EAM#1 and red arrow of EAM#2) were detected by MNP-CMR, while only focal and/or less severe inflammation areas were detected in EAM#3 (3rd row in Figure 7A, group 2; 5 rats out of 10 EAM rats have similar myocardial inflammation pattern) by MNP-CMR (column Post-MNP in Figure 7A). Relatively large areas of myocardial inflammation (green arrows) in EAM rats of group 1 were well detected by conventional T2W-, EGE-, LGE-CMR, while small-sized inflammation regions (orange arrows) consistently failed to be detected. The superiority of MNP-CMR to conventional CMR was clearly shown for focal inflammation (red arrows), in which only MNP-CMR could detect this minute degree of inflammation. CNR change was greater in MNP-CMR than any other conventional CMR sequence (Figure 7C, 7D, and 7E). Compared with control rats, only EAM rats imaged by MNP-CMR showed a significant CNR change of about 32 % (Figure 7E, P = 0.018). In EAM rats of group 2 with their myocardial negative contrast area (MNP area in Supplemental Figure
2) lower than 25%, the myocardial inflammation could not be detected by T2W-, EGE-, or LGE-CMR (Supplemental Figure 2A-2C). There was no significant difference in ejection fractions measured from cine MR images between control and EAM rats (Supplemental Figure 2D).

MNP-CMR monitoring of the evolution of myocardial inflammation

Serial MNP-CMR was conducted in the same rats to monitor transition from mild to severe inflammation stages in order to assess dynamic evolution of myocardial inflammation. MNPs were intravenously injected twice on days 15 and 20 after immunization, followed by post-MNP CMR 24 hrs after MNP injection on days 16 and 21 in the same rats (n = 3). Serial pre- and post-MNP CMR in two representative slices of the same EAM rat are shown in Figure 8. On the 16D post-MNP CMR (Figures 8B and 8E), several negative contrast inflammation spots were detected (arrowheads) with a significant CNR change of about 41% (Figure 8G; pre-MNP 0.95 ± 0.02 to post-MNP 0.56 ± 0.24, P = 0.049). On the 21D post-MNP CMR following second MNP injection on 20D, inflammatory regions were additionally detected (arrows), and the CNR change tended to higher (0.31 ± 0.15; P = 0.002 vs. pre-MNP) compared to the first post-MNP CMR. There were differences in the contrast pattern and area between EAM rats as inflammation developed. MNP-CMR was further conducted in order to serially track macrophages labeled with MNPs once in vivo, in order to understand if the MNP-labeled-macrophages move or expand into nearby myocardium. After pre-MNP CMR in EAM rats (n = 3) on 18D, MNPs were intravenously injected, then MNP-CMR was serially performed at 24, 72, and 120 hrs after MNP injection in the same rats. On MNP-CMR images obtained serially three times at 24, 72, and 120 hrs after MNP injection, the negative contrast areas (red arrows of Supplemental Figure 3) in the first MNP-CMR remained almost in the same inflammation regions without further expansion into newly inflamed sites (blue arrows of Supplemental Figure 3) for 120 hrs after
MNP injection.

Discussion

To date, reliable tools to noninvasively diagnose cellular inflammation in myocarditis are lacking. Here we demonstrate that the application of MNPs with superparamagnetic and fluorescent properties combined with targeted CMR permit noninvasive and robust imaging of myocardial inflammatory cellular infiltrates, enabling spatially mapping, quantification, and assessment of inflammation in EAM. MNP-CMR more accurately detected scattered foci of inflammation within the myocardium of EAM rats and provided higher contrast images and better conspicuity of small and less severe myocardial inflammation than conventional T2W-, EGE- and LGE-CMR. Multiple inflammatory cellular subsets contributed to MNP accumulation within the myocardium, with macrophages as the dominant cell type. Notably, we found that changes in CNR caused by MNP accumulation were strongly linked to alterations in percentage inflammation area and percentage macrophage area, suggesting that MNP-CMR could be an effective monitoring tool to image the evolution of inflammation and response to anti-inflammatory therapy in myocarditis. In addition, this imaging strategy showed regional distribution of myocardial inflammatory cellular activity in EAM rats.

Accurate and efficient tools for the diagnosis of myocarditis via serial non-invasive imaging of myocardial inflammation along with image-guided biopsies for histopathological analysis remain unmet clinical needs. First, early and prompt diagnosis may change the therapeutic strategy adopted for myocarditis. With current diagnostic utilities, it is difficult to recognize the onset of myocarditis and diagnose the initial phase. Even for cases in which myocarditis was suspected, most cases were confirmed by only postmortem or following...
congestive heart failure. Second, a novel tool to monitor the response of patients with myocarditis following therapeutic intervention to reduce myocardial inflammation or prevent progression to dilated cardiomyopathy is an important clinical need. In particular, early recognition of individual responses after the administration of newly introduced drugs could provide reliable approaches for preventing unnecessary or harmful administration. In this study, we showed that MNP-CMR could be a highly efficient tool for visualizing myocardial inflammation in the early course of the disease with a potential for quantifying the response to specific therapies for myocarditis. Furthermore, this MRI method along with histopathological analysis of the MRI-guided biopsies will assist in the diagnosis of the focal manifestations of myocarditis. Cardiac MRI is now a routine diagnostic test for suspected myocarditis in patients. Since Gagliardi’s first report on the use of MRI for the diagnosis of human myocarditis, many researchers have reported interesting results on the diagnostic utility of MRI for human myocarditis. As active myocarditis is associated with myocyte injury including edema and cellular swelling, the assessment of relaxation times provides a sensitive measurement for the detection of myocarditis. Abdel-Aty et al. reported that the global noncontrast T2 SNR was significantly higher in patients with acute myocarditis than in control subjects; the calculated sensitivity, specificity, and diagnostic accuracy of T2 at a cutoff value of 1.9 were 84%, 74%, and 79%, respectively. On the basis of our findings from preclinical animal models, it can be proposed that a combination of precontrast T2* CNR and long-circulating MNP-induced contrast could result in enhanced diagnostic accuracy for patients with myocarditis. The established safety and clinical use of commercial T2* agents, together with the finding of a previous MNP-based study on humans with prostate cancer, further increase the possibility for applying this method in humans.
Vascular leakage and the uptake of long-circulating MNPs by MΦs may possibly be the key mechanism for the continued observation of negative contrast in the myocardium. As a contrast agent, we used MNPs having a relatively long blood half-life (~2.8 h) in rats, which facilitates uptake of MNPs by circulating monocytes or MΦs at the inflammatory site of the myocardium. The findings in Figure 1 suggest that MNPs eluted from the fenestrated myocardial capillaries were phagocytosed by infiltrated inflammatory cells including macrophages and still existed in the inflamed myocardium 24 h after injection, whereas the leaky MNPs disappeared almost completely from normal myocardium. CLSM revealed the accumulated MNPs in macrophages, which induced negative contrast. In addition, the intimate correlation between ΔCNR and percentage inflammation/MΦ area suggests that alterations in T2* signals increase in close relationship with the number of MNP-engulfed macrophages. Interestingly, no MNP deposit was visible in necrotic areas lacking macrophages (in 40D EAM hearts; data not shown). The correlation between CMR contrast and histological inflammatory change from mild to severe inflammation further validated the MNP accumulation in infiltrated MΦs. Thus this imaging strategy can be useful for the noninvasive assay of myocardial macrophage activity. Though macrophages are the dominating cell type, MNPs can also be phagocytosed by tissue lymphocytes and neutrophils, as in our study (about 7% contribution to MNP uptake within the myocardium), suggesting that MNPs may be useful for lymphocytic or bacterial myocarditis, but this remains to be tested. The efficacy of visualizing inflammation in EAM rats between MNP-CMR and LGE-CMR, a current standard diagnostic tool in clinics, was directly compared in the same animals. MNP-CMR provided better image resolution with more pronounced changes in CNR compared to LGE-CMR, and identified inflammatory lesions with higher specificity. In humans, contrast enhancement after gadolinium administration matched
well with predominantly macrophage-rich inflammation associated with myocyte damage.27 However, since the mechanism of gadolinium enhancement is via diffusion of the contrast agent into areas of damaged myocytes and inflammation, and myocarditic areas often have living myocytes between the islands of necrosis,27,28 contrast enhancement after gadolinium injection may be weak and not specific for macrophage infiltration in contrast with MNP-induced enhancement as in our study.

This study has several limitations. First, the preclinical model that we employed in this study resembles more closely human giant cell myocarditis than viral myocarditis. Thus the clinical translatable of MNP-MRI needs further studies in a model of viral myocarditis. However, macrophages have been reported to be the major cellular infiltrates in human myocarditis28 and in Coxsackievirus B3-induced mice myocarditis29,30 as shown in this model of EAM. Second, the application of MNPs as a contrast agent has a limitation in terms of quantification. Signal loss in MRI depends not only on the amount of iron but also on how densely packed these MNPs are, including saturation behavior in negative contrast, as well as field strength and MRI pulse sequence (T2 vs. T2* etc). For this, we first verified the quantitative correlation between signal loss and MNP-labeled cell concentrations in vitro, and expanded the results to the in vivo setting in order to assess the pattern and density of macrophage infiltrates in CMR of EAM rats after MNP administration. Third, CNR quantification was very susceptible to the selected ROIs of CMR, and the area could extend outside the borders of LV myocardium, especially if there were dense monocyte/macrophages with enough MNPs near the border area. Thus we tried to encircle the ROI within LV by avoiding border regions of the myocardium. Alternative approaches to quantifying SPIO deposition based on positive contrast sequences may improve quantification approaches. Fourth, while MNP-CMR performed best in the setting
of EAM rats with at least moderate inflammatory response, it failed to detect mild (G1) inflammation (Figure 6) both visually and quantitatively, partly because we adopted the global measurement of CNR in the entire LV myocardium. However, MNP-CMR showed excellence in detecting focal inflammation spots (red arrows in Figure 7).

In conclusion, the application of long circulating MNPs with superparamagnetic properties and appropriate in vivo CMR permitted noninvasive and robust imaging of myocardial inflammatory cellular infiltrates, enabling to spatially map, quantify, and assess the inflammation severity, and also monitor the dynamic evolution of myocardial inflammation in a preclinical model of EAM. MNP-CMR registered more accurately scattered and focally involved foci of inflammation within the myocardium of EAM rats and provided more discernible images than conventional CMR. However, MNP-CMR performed best in EAM rats with at least moderate inflammatory response.
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Figure Legends:

Figure 1. Histopathological analysis of the heart sections 24 h after MNP injection. The hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)- (A, D) and immunohistochemical (IHC)-stained images (B, E) show that EAM rats have severe myocardial injury and inflammation (D) and densely infiltrated monocyte/macrophages (MΦs) (E), as compared with Control rats (A, B). Fluorescence microscopy (FM) shows marked MNP accumulation in the inflamed EAM hearts (F) in contrast with Control rats (C). Confocal laser scanning microscopy images (G) with red for MNPs, green
for MΦs, and blue for nuclei demonstrate that macrophages take up MNPs, and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) further shows the accumulation of MNPs in the lysosomes of MΦs (H, I). Percentage inflammation area (J), percentage MΦ area (K), and target-to-background ratio (L) from H&E-stained, IHC-stained, and FM images, respectively, are compared between EAM and Control rats. Scale bars in A-F, 5 mm.

**Figure 2.** *In vivo* MNP-CMR comparison between EAM and Control rats. *In vivo* MNP-CMR from EAM (A-C) and Control (E-G) rats before (pre-MNP) and 24 h after (post-MNP) intravenous injection of MNPs are shown. Thickened ventricular walls and pericardial/pleural effusion are seen in the EAM rats (A). Post-MNP MRI in the EAM rats clearly depicts scattered foci of myocardial inflammation (B, C), as compared with no discernible contrast in the Control rats (F, G). The alterations in measured contrast-to-noise ratios (CNRs) between pre- and post-MNP MR images are significantly greater in the EAM rats than in the Control rats (D, H; 56% vs. 4% in ΔCNRs, *P* < 0.001). The Cohen’s kappa value for inter-observer reliability was 0.83.

**Figure 3.** Comparison between MNP-CMR and LGE-CMR. *T*₁-W LGE-CMR after Gd-DTPA injection (B) and *T*₂*-W MNP-CMR after MNP injection (E) in the same EAM rats are compared. While weakly enhanced areas (B, white arrowheads) are visualized in the left ventricular wall in the LGE-CMR, the positively enhanced area becomes preeminently negative-contrast ed (E, white arrowheads) in MNP-CMR. MNP-CMR provides more specific identification of inflammatory lesions (E, yellow arrows) than LGE-CMR, and more pronounced changes in CNR (C, F). The negative contrast regions in the MNP-CMR are in good agreement with inflamed areas in H&E images (G), macrophage infiltrations in IHC images (H), and MNP-
fluorescence spots in FM (I). The Cohen’s kappa value for inter-observer reliability was 0.81.

Scale bars, 5 mm.

**Figure 4.** FACS analysis of immune cells from the EAM hearts. Flow cytometry analyses of cell numbers (A) and proportions (B) of four different immune cells (MΦ, monocyte/macrophages; T, T cells; B, B cells; Gran, granulocytes) in inflamed hearts demonstrate that macrophages are the predominant cell population, followed by granulocytes and T cells. When analyzing cellular distribution of MNPs within inflamed hearts, macrophages comprised 78.4% of MNP-labeled cells: cell numbers (C) and proportions (D). The immune cells collected were identified with Giemsa stain (E). Scale bar, 20 µm.

**Figure 5.** MNP-CMR vs. inflammation grade. Representative MNP-CMR and corresponding H&E- and IHC-stained images in the Control and EAM rats with different inflammation grades (G1, Grade 1; G2, Grade 2; G3, Grade 3) (A). The extent and intensity of negative-contrast area in MNP-CMR images (column Post-MNP of A) match well with inflammation grade grouped from H&E-stained images, and with the extent of macrophage signals in IHC-stained images. CNRs in G2 and G3 groups are significantly lower than in G1 and Control: 0.47 ± 0.21 (G2) and 0.47 ± 0.13 (G3) vs. 0.93 ± 0.06 (G1) and 0.95 ± 0.06 (Control) (G2 and G3 vs. G1 and Control; $P < 0.001$). The Cohen’s kappa value for inter-observer reliability was 0.83. Scale bars, 5 mm.

**Figure 6.** Quantitative correlation of MNP-CMR and histopathological findings. The change in CNR between pre- and post-MNP CMR images ($\Delta$CNR) correlated with the percentage inflammation area in H&E images (A) and the percentage macrophage area (% MΦ area) in IHC
images (B) in the Control (closed triangles), G1 (open triangles), G2 (closed diamonds), and G3 (open diamonds) groups. ΔCNR linearly correlated with the inflamed area ($R^2 = 0.833$, $P < 0.001$) and IHC positive area of myocardium ($R^2 = 0.863$, $P < 0.001$). There was no significant difference in ΔCNRs within G2 and G3 or within G1 and Control (56% for G2 and G3; 3–4% for G1 and Control).

**Figure 7.** Head-to-head performance comparison between MNP-CMR and conventional (T2W-, EGE-, and LGE-) CMR. Three Representative T2W-, EGE-, LGE-, and MNP-CMR (Post-MNP) images (A) sequentially obtained in the same EAM rats ($n = 10$) are shown with corresponding IHC-stained images (IHC) (B). In the EAM#1 and EAM#2 (1st and 2nd rows in A, group 1; 5 rats out of 10 EAM rats), large inflammation areas (green arrows) with small or focal inflammation regions (yellow arrows of EAM#1 and red arrow of EAM#2) were detected by MNP-CMR, while only focal and/or less severe inflammation areas were detected in EAM#3 (3rd row in A, group 2; 5 rats out of 10 EAM rats) by MNP-CMR (column Post-MNP in A). In EAM rats of Case 1, large inflammation areas (green arrows) were detected by conventional and MNP-CMR (except for T2WI in EAM#2), while small-sized inflammation regions could be detected only by MNP-CMR. In EAM rats of group 2, only MNP-CMR could detect focal and/or less severe inflammation (red arrows; note that the diameter of macrophage cluster in IHC image (red arrow of EAM#2) was ~200 μm). The CNR changes between pre- and post-MR images were more significant in MNP-CMR (D, $P < 0.001$) compared with EGE- and LGE-CMR (C, $P = 0.002$ and $P = 0.014$ in EGE and LGE, respectively), and the CNR change between control and EAM rats was significant only in MNP-CMR (~32% from 0.98 ± 0.04 to 0.65 ± 0.26; $P = 0.018$). The Cohen’s kappa values for inter-observer reliability of CNRs in T2W-, EGE-, LGE-, and MNP-
CMR were 0.67, 0.70, 0.64, and 0.83, respectively. Scale bars, 5 mm. *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$.

**Figure 8.** Serial MNP-CMR of myocardial inflammation in EAM rats. After MNP injection on days 15 and 20 after immunization, post-MNP T2*W CMR underwent on days 16 (16D) and 21 (21D) in the same rats ($n = 3$). Pre- and post-MNP CMR in two representative slices (slice #4 and #7 out of total 10 slices) of the same EAM rat are shown. On 16D post-MNP CMR (B, E), negative contrast inflamed areas were well detected (arrowheads), and there was a significant CNR change of 41% (G; from pre-MNP of 0.95 ± 0.02 to post-MNP of 0.56 ± 0.24, $P = 0.049$). On 21D post-MNP CMR (C, F) following second MNP injection on 20D, additional dark inflammatory regions were detected (arrows, CNR = 0.31 ± 0.15, $P = 0.002$ vs. pre-MNP). Note that there are somewhat variations in the pattern and area with negative contrast on post-MNP CMR images, resulting from inflammation development, which gives big differences in CNRs between rats. *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$. 
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**Supplemental Methods and Results**

*Iron oxide nanoparticles:* PEGylated superparamagnetic iron oxide (SPIO) particles were synthesized in our laboratory as reported previously.\(^1,2\) Each particle consisted of a SPIO core of about 12-nm diameter, a rhodamine isothiocyanate (RITC)-incorporated silica coating of approximately 20-nm thickness, and polyethylene glycol (PEG) (molecular weight of 460-590; Gelest Inc., Tullytown, PA, USA) conjugated onto the silica coating. The final mean particle size was ~85 nm, measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS), and the T\(_2\) relaxivity (r\(_2\)) at 4.7 T was about 130 s\(^{-1}\)mM\(^{-1}\). These home-made highly biocompatible and bifunctional iron oxide magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) enable the detection of both fluorescent and magnetic properties *in vitro* and *in vivo*. To determine their blood half-life, the MNPs were intravenously injected (10 mg Fe/kg) into the rats (n = 4), and blood samples (~300 μl each) were collected from pre-cannulated jugular veins from 10 min to 6 h after MNP injection. The amount of iron in the serially obtained blood samples was measured by an inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrophotometry (ICP-AES) system (Optima 4300DV, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA), and then fitted to a mono-exponential decay curve. The measured blood half-life of the MNPs was about 2.8 ± 0.5 h (Supplement Figure S1). This value means that these MNPs remain in circulation for enough time to be phagocytosed by tissue monocytes/macrophages at the site of inflammation.
**Induction of the EAM rat model:** EAM was induced in 7-week-old male wild-type Lewis rats as described previously.\textsuperscript{3,5} Briefly, 1 mg (0.1 ml) of porcine heart myosin (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) with an equal volume of complete Freund’s adjuvant (Sigma) was subcutaneously injected into the rear footpads of the rats on days 0 and 6. On day 1, the rats were intraperitoneally injected with 500-ng *Bordetella pertussis* toxin (Fluka, Switzerland).

**Transmission electron microscopy (TEM):** After *in vivo* MRI, the rat hearts were perfused with PBS containing 20 U/ml heparin, and extracted hearts were embedded in optimal cutting temperature (OCT) compound (Sakura Finetek, Torrance, CA, USA) and transversely cut into 20-μm-thick sections with cryomicrotome (CM1850, Leica Microsystems, Nussloch, Germany). Sectioned tissues were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde buffer in grid glass bottom dish coated with poly-L-lysine at 4°C for 2 h. The tissues were post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide in 0.1 M phosphate buffer on ice for 1 h, dehydrated in an ethanol series, and embedded in Epon 812. Ultra-thin sections with 70 nm were cut on an ultra-microtome (Ultracut-UCT, Leica, Germany) with a diamond knife (Diatome, Switzerland), and stained with uranyl acetate for 20 min followed by lead citrate for 10 min. TEM images were obtained by an electron microscope (Tecnai G2 Spirit TWIN, FEI, USA) at 120-kV accelerating voltage.

**In vivo cardiac MRI protocol:** MRI was performed by using a 4.7 T MRI system (BioSpec 47/40; Bruker, Ettlingen, Germany) with dual electrocardiographic (ECG) and respiratory gating (SA Instruments, Stony Brook, NY, USA). To acquire *in vivo* MR images, the animals were anesthetized with inhalational isoflurane (5% induction, 1.5% maintenance) in a O\textsubscript{2}:N\textsubscript{2}O (3:7) mixture, and body temperature was maintained at 37 ± 1°C using warm water ducts into the animal bed. A quadrature birdcage RF resonator (Bruker) with an inner diameter of 72 mm was used for signal transmission and reception. For MR image acquisition, ECG signals were obtained from needle electrodes secured to the fore and hind limbs, and the R-wave was thereby used to generate a trigger pulse for image acquisition. T2*W-CMR was obtained with a gradient-echo FLASH sequence in the axial direction. The imaging parameters were as follows: FOV = 60 × 50 mm\textsuperscript{2}, matrix size = 256 × 256, slice thickness = 1 mm, number of slice = 10, TR = 130 ms, TE = 6 ms, flip angle = 30°, number
of averages = 8, total scan time = 4 min 27 s. Also, T1W-CMR was obtained with a black-blood FLASH cine sequence in the center, upper and lower side of the heart in the axial direction. The imaging parameters were as follows: FOV = 60 × 60 mm², matrix size = 256 × 256, slice thickness = 1.5 mm, number of slices = 1, TR = 8 ms, TE = 2.8 ms, flip angle = 30°, number of averages = 6, total scan time = 3 min 16 s. In the serial MRI study of T2W and T1W with T2*W, spin-echo T2W and fat-saturated spin-echo T1W sequences were used with the following parameters: number of averages = 4, FOV = 80 × 60 mm², matrix = 192 × 192, slice thickness = 1.15 mm, number of slices = 10, TE/TR = 40/1,000 ms (T2W) or 5/150 ms (T1W).

**Image analysis:** Regions-of-interest (ROIs) for the measurements of contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) and contrast area were manually traced by two blinded observers within left ventricular (LV) wall. Intercostal muscle area was used as reference in the three slices. To compare the signal contrasts in the inflamed myocardium, we measured the CNR of the myocardium in the acquired MR images of the EAM and control rats. The following equation was used to compare the change in CNR (ΔCNR) due to the MNPs in the myocardium before and after the MNP injection: \[ \Delta \text{CNR} = \text{CNR}_{\text{pre}} - \text{CNR}_{\text{post}}. \]

**FACS analyses of inflammatory cellular infiltrates within EAM hearts:** 24 hours after intravenous administration of the MNPs (10 mg Fe/kg), EAM rats were anesthetized and the hearts were perfused with PBS containing 20 U/ml heparin. Pulled-out rat hearts were chopped and micro-dissected using blades. Harvested hearts were digested with 125 U/ml collagenase type XI, 60 U/ml hyaluronidase type I-s, 60 U/ml DNase1, and 450 U/ml collagenase type I (all enzymes were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS containing 20 mM Heps at 37°C for 1 h in a shaking incubator. A cell suspension was passed through a 70-μm strainer. Red blood cells (RBCs) were lysed after incubation with RBC lysis buffer (Qiagen, MD, USA) for 5 min and washed twice with PBS containing 20 mM Heps. The remaining cells were incubated with a cocktail of antibodies including PE-CD45RA, PE-CD3, PE-CD11b/c, PE-Granulocytes (BD Biosciences, NJ, USA) for 30 min at 4°C. After washing, cells were detected and sorted by flow cytometry & cell sorter (Moflo, Beckman Coulter, USA). The sorted cells were stained with Giemsa, and were viewed with a fluorescence attached microscope (Olympus AX70 TR-62A02, Tokyo, Japan), and images were digitally captured with a
Histopathological examination: After in vivo MRI, the rat hearts were embedded in optimal cutting temperature (OCT) compound (Sakura Finetek, Torrance, CA, USA) and transversely cut into 5-μm-thick sections. Quantitative distribution of MNP accumulation within the myocardium was compared between the EAM and Control rats by using a fluorescence stereoscopic microscope (Olympus SZ61TR, Tokyo, Japan) with the following parameters: excitation, 500 ± 550 nm; emission, 575 ± 650 nm; exposure time, 0.2 s. To identify cellular localization of MNPs in inflamed myocardium, a CLSM system (LSM 510 Meta; Carl Zeiss, Germany) was employed. After staining with the mouse anti-rat macrophage monoclonal antibody (clone ED-1; Chemicon International, Temecula, CA, USA) followed by Alexa Fluor488 goat anti-mouse IgG (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), we investigated MNP and macrophage colocalization by color-coding the final CLSM images with red for RITC (MNP), green for Alexa Fluor488 (macrophages), and blue for DAPI (nuclei). H&E staining was used for observing the general morphology and determining the inflammation degree such as G1, G2, and G3. The inflammation grades were determined according to the Okura’s microscopic scoring method as follows: Control (normal), G1 (a few small lesions in a section, the extent of which did not exceed 0.25 mm²), G2 (multiple small lesions or a few moderate lesions, the extent of which did not exceed 6.25 mm²), and G3 (multiple moderate lesions or large lesions). For the IHC, we used the avidin biotin peroxidase method with the same antibodies. Briefly, sections treated with 0.3% hydrogen peroxide were incubated for 60 min with primary antibody, followed by biotinylated secondary antibody peroxidase conjugate (Invitrogen, CA, USA). The reaction was visualized with a substrate chromogen mixture and counterstained with hematoxylin. Adjacent sections treated with nonimmune IgG acted as the controls for antibody specificity. Stained tissue sections were viewed with a fluorescence attached microscope (Olympus AX70 TR-62A02; Tokyo, Japan), and images were digitally captured with a DP-70 digital camera (Olympus; Tokyo, Japan).

Measurement of ejection fraction (EF): For the measurement of ejection fraction of the heart, 2D cine images, with 7 slices covering almost left ventricle of the heart, obtained in diastolic and systolic phases were
used. A steady-state free-precession (SSFP) cine pulse sequence was used with the following parameters: TE/ TR = 2.2/8.0 ms, number of frames = 30, number of averages = 4, FOV = 60 × 50 mm², matrix = 256 × 256, slice thickness = 1.5 mm. Inner area of left ventricular (LV) wall was measured from all 7 slices, and multiplied by 1.5 mm in order to calculate the LV volumes in diastolic (V_{dia}) and systolic (V_{sys}) phases. Ejection fraction (EF) was measured as $\text{EF} (%) = 100 \times \frac{V_{dia} - V_{sys}}{V_{sys}}$. The measured EFs were between 68% and 83%, and there was no significant difference depending on inflammation degree in the EAM (n = 10) and control (n = 5) rats used (Supplement Figure S2D).

**MR image-tracking of MNP-labeled macrophages in vivo.** MNP-CMR was conducted in order to serially track macrophages labeled with MNPs in vivo. After pre-MNP CMR in EAM rats (n = 3) on 18D, MNPs (10 mg Fe/kg) were intravenously injected, then post-MNP CMR images were serially obtained at 24, 72, and 120 hrs after MNP injection in the same rats. Immediately after performing MNP-CMR, the hearts were extracted and used for H&E and IHC for macrophages (МΦ) in order to measure tissue inflammation. In the first post-MNP CMR images at 24 hrs after MNP injection, several negative contrast regions were well detected (red arrows of Supplement Figure S4), resulting from macrophages, in vivo labeled with MNPs, in inflammation sites on 18D-19D. The negative contrast areas remained almost with the same regions without further expansion to newly inflamed sites (blue arrows of Supplement Figure S4) for 120 hrs after MNP injection.
## Supplemental Tables

### Supplemental Table S1. Summary of experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Experiments</th>
<th>Rats used</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feasibility test of MNPs in imaging myocardial inflammatory cellular infiltrates</td>
<td>H&amp;E, IHC, FM, CLSM, and TEM</td>
<td>21D EAM (n = 7) Control (n = 5)</td>
<td>Fig. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>In vivo feasibility test of MNP-CMR</em></td>
<td>Pre-MNP &amp; post-MNP CMR</td>
<td>21D EAM (n = 16) Control (n = 5)</td>
<td>Fig. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct comparison of MNP-CMR with LGE-CMR</td>
<td>LGE and MNP-CMR, H&amp;E, IHC, and FM</td>
<td>21D EAM (n = 4*)</td>
<td>Fig. 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determination of inflammatory cell population</td>
<td>Flow cytometry</td>
<td>21D EAM (n = 12)</td>
<td>Fig. 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNP-CMR with different grades of myocardial inflammation</td>
<td>MNP-CMR H&amp;E and IHC with inflammation grades</td>
<td>12-21D EAM (n = 24)</td>
<td>Figs. 5 &amp; 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison between MNP-CMR and conventional CMR</td>
<td>T2W-, EGE-, LGE-, and MNP-CMR with EF, H&amp;E, IHC, and FM</td>
<td>15-17D EAM (n = 10) Control (n = 5)</td>
<td>Figs. 7 &amp; S2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of myocardial inflammatory evolution</td>
<td>MNP-CMR following MNP-injections at 15D and 20D, H&amp;E and IHC</td>
<td>15D EAM (n = 3)</td>
<td>Fig. 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI tracking of MNP-engulfed macrophages</td>
<td>MNP-CMR, H&amp;E and IHC</td>
<td>18D EAM (n = 3)</td>
<td>Fig. S3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MNP indicates magnetic nanoparticle; CMR, cardiac magnetic resonance; H&E, hematoxylin and eosin; IHC, immunohistochemistry; FM, fluorescence microscopy; CLSM, confocal laser scanning microscopy; TEM, transmission electron microscopy; LGE, late gadolinium enhancement; T2W, T2-weighted; EGE, early gadolinium enhancement; EAM, experimental autoimmune myocarditis.

*The rats (n = 4) were used additionally to get CNR values in Fig. 2.*
Supplemental Figures and Figure Legends

**Supplemental Figure S1.** Measurement of blood half-life of the magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) in rats. Time dependent iron content measured in blood samples from 4 mice after intravenous injection of the MNPs. The data were fitted with an exponential function (straight line), and then blood half-life was \( t_{1/2} = 2.8 \pm 0.5 \) h. This relatively long blood circulation provides a potential for the MNPs to be phagocytosed in infiltrated macrophages with improved possibility. Note that y-axis is in log-scale.
Supplemental Figure S2. Comparison of contrast area between MNP-CMR (MNP area) and conventional CMR (EGE, EGE area; LGE, LGE area; T2W, T2W area) together with ejection fraction (EF). In EAM rats (circle data points; group 2 of Figure 7) with MNP area lower than 25%, the myocardial inflammation could not be detected by T2W-, EGE-, and LGE-CMR (A-C). There was no significant difference of ejection fractions measured from cine MR images between control (80 ± 4%, closed triangles in D) and EAM (76 ± 4%) rats (P = 0.1). The Cohen’s kappa values for inter-observer reliability of T2W, EGE, LGE, and MNP area were 0.82, 0.82, 0.82, and 0.88, respectively.
Supplemental Figure S3. MR image-tracking of MNP-labeled macrophages *in vivo*. After pre-MNP CMR in EAM rats (*n* = 3) on 18D, MNPs (10 mg Fe/kg) were intravenously injected, and MNP-CMR images were serially obtained at 24 (19D), 72 (21D), and 120 hrs (23D) after MNP injection in the same rats, then the hearts were extracted and used for H&E and IHC for macrophages (MΦ) in order to confirm inflammation regions. In the first MNP-CMR images at 24 hrs after MNP injection on 19D, several negative contrast regions (red arrows) were well assigned as inflammation sites, resulting from macrophages *in vivo* labeled with MNPs on 18D-19D. Then, the negative contrast areas remained almost in the same inflammation regions without further expansion to newly inflamed sites (blue arrows). Note that inflammation was further expanded in other heart regions (blue arrows in H&E and IHC images) during CMR imaging. Scale bars, 5 mm.
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