Treatment of Unexplained Syncope: A Multicenter, Randomized Trial of Cardiac Pacing Guided by Adenosine 5′-triphosphate Testing
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Abstract:

**Background** - The origin of 40% of syncope cases remains unknown even after a complete diagnostic work-up. Previous studies have suggested that adenosine 5′-triphosphate (ATP) testing has value in selecting successful therapy. This patient-blinded, multicenter, randomized superiority trial tested whether, in patients with syncope of unknown origin (SUO), selecting cardiac pacing in those with a positive ATP test results in fewer recurrences than those who do not receive pacing.

**Methods and Results** - From 2000 to 2005, 80 consenting patients (mean age 75.9 ± 7.7 years, 81% women, and 56% without diagnosed structural heart disease) with SUO and atrioventricular or sinoatrial block lasting longer than 10 seconds (average 17.9 ± 6.8 seconds) under ATP administration (20 mg IV bolus) were recruited from 10 hospitals, implanted with programmable pacemakers, and randomized to either active pacing (dual-chamber pacing at 70 beats per minute [bpm]) or backup pacing (atrial pacing at 30 bpm). Patients were followed regularly for up to five years for any syncope recurrence, the primary outcome. Mean follow-up was 16 months. Syncope recurred in eight (21%) of 39 patients randomized to active pacing and in 27 (66%) of 41 randomized to backup pacing (control), yielding a hazard ratio of 0.25 (95% CI: 0.12, 0.56). After recurrence, the 27 recurrent control patients were reprogrammed to active pacing and only one reported subsequent syncope.

**Conclusions** - This study suggests that, in elderly patients with SUO and positive ATP tests, active dual-chamber pacing reduces syncope recurrence risk by 75% (95% CI 44% to 88%).

**Clinical Trial Registration Information** - [http://www.controlled-trials.com/ISRCTN00029383](http://www.controlled-trials.com/ISRCTN00029383);
Unique Identifier: ISRCTN00029383
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**Abbreviations:** AAI – Atrial pacing and sensing with inhibition; ATP – adenosine 5′-triphosphate; AV – atrio-ventricular; DDD – dual chamber pacing and sensing with both inhibition and triggering; SA – sino-atrial; SUO – syncope of unknown origin; HUT- head-up tilt test
**Introduction**

Syncope is defined as a transient, short lasting and self-limiting loss of consciousness, due to temporary cerebral hypoperfusion. Incidence of syncope increases significantly with age. Syncope of unknown origin (SUO) is defined as a syncope for which no clear explanation has been found after a conventional work-up; it heavily affects the cost of medical care. As longevity of the Western population increases, the incidence of syncope and of secondary injuries will also increase with time. Despite an extensive work-up, the origin of syncope remains unexplained in 20% to 40% of patients. SUO may be neurally mediated; its current treatment is based on avoidance of triggering situations, orthostatic training, and drug therapy, all with uncertain long-term results.

The ATP test was introduced in 1986 to identify those SUO patients with predominant neurally-mediated cardiac inhibition who may benefit for cardiac pacing therapy. The present clinical trial was carried out to test the hypothesis that, in patients with SUO, cardiac pacing instituted on the basis of a positive ATP test is an effective long-term therapy for significantly reducing syncope recurrences.

**Methods**

**Study overview and objective**

Patients with SUO recruited in ten European centers were screened conventionally and subjected to the ATP test as previously described. SUO patients with a negative test were ineligible for the study and were conventionally followed and treated. Those with a positive test
and who gave written consent were randomized to either an active pacing mode or a control mode (described below), and then implanted with a dual-chamber pacemaker. Patients were blinded to their pacing mode; investigators were not. After implantation, patients were systematically followed every six months and also were asked to report any syncope immediately upon recurrence. The study objective was to test whether the groups differed in the rate of syncope recurrence as measured by syncope-free survival. The study was approved by the ethics committee of each hospital. A copy of the protocol can be found at https://www.healthstudies.umn.edu/trc/en/en_protocol-2002-02-21.pdf.

Patients

Patients older than 18 years who presented with isolated or recurrent episodes of syncope that remained unexplained by the usual screening tests (history, clinical examination, orthostatic hypotension investigation, echocardiogram, ECG, and Holter) were recruited either after a short stay in the emergency room or a visit to the outpatient clinic. Electrophysiological studies, exercise tests, EEGs, and brain scans were also performed when the site investigator deemed them necessary to complete a patient’s evaluation. Patients who agreed to participate and signed the consent form were eligible for further evaluation. Patients were ineligible if they had at least one of the following: positive electrophysiologic study, carotid sinus hypersensitivity, sustained or episodic atrial or ventricular tachycardias, documented sinus or atrioventricular-node conduction disorders (including first-degree AV block), presence of an implantable pacemaker or defibrillator, enrollment in a heart transplant waiting list, pregnancy, asthma or severe chronic bronchitis, systemic infection, or diabetes.
Patient enrollment

Eligible patients were evaluated using the following tests in this order: a sequential right and left carotid sinus massage in the supine and, if negative, the upright positions; the ATP test; and, finally, an optional head-up tilt test (HUT) at the discretion of the site investigator. Carotid sinus massage and HUT were performed according to guidelines.1,5

The ATP test is administered to a supine patient connected to an ECG recorder. The test consists of an intravenous bolus of 20 mg ATP (Striadyne®, Genopharm Group) followed by a rapid flush of 20 ml of dextrose (5%).8 ATP injection characteristically causes the following five-phase sequence of ECG:

I. slowing of the sinus rhythm;

II. short episode of 1st or 2nd degree atrioventricular (AV) block (sometimes phase I is followed by phase III without occurrence of phase II);

III. “cardiac pause” defined as a 3rd degree AV block or more rarely isolated or associated sino-atrial (SA) block of variable duration, determining the outcome of the test;

IV. resumption of normal AV conduction preceded by a 2nd and a 1st degree AV block;

V. a reflex sinus tachycardia.

Resumption of normal sinus rhythm and AV conduction marks the end of the response.

Based on the distribution of test results in a sample of asymptomatic healthy individuals, the test is considered positive when Phase III cardiac pause exceeds 10 seconds, regardless of any specific time interval between consecutive ventricular contractions (R-R) (and ignoring junctional or ventricular escape beats) and regardless of secondary symptoms.8 The ECG tracings recorded during the ATP test were reviewed by two independent examiners and the patient was eligible if both reviewers declared the test positive.
Randomization and blinding

Patients with a positive ATP test were randomized with equal probability to one of the two pacing-mode groups, without disclosing which one to the patient. Randomization was performed using sealed envelopes distributed by the data coordinating center and was stratified by center (and no other factors) in blocks of ten to ensure balanced allocation. The research staff was not blinded to pacing mode. No attempt was made to evaluate the success of patient blinding.

Pacemaker implantation and programming

After randomization, the patient was implanted with a rate-responsive dual-chamber pacemaker of the investigator’s choosing. The pacemaker was programmed to either synchronized dual-chamber pacing (DDD) at 70 beats per minute (bpm) or single-chamber atrial pacing (AAI) at 30 bpm. Active DDD pacing at 70 bpm was chosen as the paced mode because it can maintain an effective hemodynamic status and, thereby, may prevent syncope due to cardiac pause. The AAI pacing at 30 bpm was chosen to provide only a minimal-rate atrial pacing in case of SA block without preventing syncopal symptoms (except in rare instances) and served as the “placebo” control mode.

Follow-up

Patients were followed up every six months and instructed, as were their relatives, to report each syncope recurrence similar to those occurring before enrollment in the study. After the first reported recurrence of syncope, pacemakers were re-programmed as follows: for patients initially programmed in AAI mode, the pacemaker was switched into DDD mode at 70 bpm; for patients in DDD mode, the DDD mode was maintained but, at the physician’s discretion, either rate-responsive pacing, or, alternatively, a rate-drop sensing algorithm that immediately increases the pacing rate for a few minutes when a 3rd degree AV block is detected, could be
added. The patient case report had a section for reporting adverse effects and the investigators were free to treat adverse effects according to their usual practice.

**Statistical analysis**

Sample size was determined by the method of Wu, Fisher and DeMets. Assuming a 40% recurrence rate in the placebo group based on an earlier study and a therapeutic effect of at least a 55% reduction in cumulative recurrence rate based on the randomized pilot study, 198 subjects yields 80% power with a two-sided type I error rate of 5%. However, smaller sample sizes still yield sufficient power against larger effects. For example, 76 participants provide 75% power against an effect of 70% with the same recurrence and type I error rates.

Patient characteristics and test outcomes were summarized by means and standard deviations (SD) or tabulated by frequency and were compared by t-tests or chi-square tests of homogeneity. The primary endpoint was the time to the first syncope recurrence and groups were compared by intention-to-treat analysis. Kaplan-Meier estimates of cumulative recurrence-free survival probabilities were used to compare groups for the primary analysis. To control for the potential differential effect of a study center, center-stratified analyses of the main outcome were performed using Cox regression. Cox regression was also used to examine the effects of age, sex, underlying structural heart disease, and concomitant risk factors of ischemic heart disease. All analyses were performed using S-Plus v. 7.0 (S-Plus 7 for Windows User's Guide April 2005 (program). In. Seattle, WA: Insightful Corporation; 2005).

**Independent data access and analysis**

The principal investigator (DF) had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. The analysis itself was conducted by an independent academic statistician (TRC), using his institution’s computer
equipment and receiving no funding from any outside interests for this work, or for his authorship work. DF conceived the project and collaborated with TRC to design the study, critically review the analyses, and draft the manuscript. LDR, J-JB, JL, GHM, AO, PJG, RF, and PP collaborated in the conduct of the study, acquisition of the data and critical review of the report. All authors read and approved the final version of the report before submission.

Results

The ATP test was positive in 88 SUO patients screened for potential inclusion during the planned accrual period between January 2000 and May 2005; 80 consented patients were randomized and implanted with a pacemaker (Figure 1). Their ages ranged from 48 to 91 with a mean (± SD) of 75.9 (±7.7) years; 65 (81%) were women; 35 (44%) had controlled structural heart disease, 18 (23%) of ischemic origin (Tables 1 and 2). At least one risk factor for ischemic heart disease was reported for 74% of patients, hypertension being the most frequent (51%). During the ATP test, the complete AV (78 patients) or SA block (2 patients) lasted 17.8 (± 6.8) seconds. The duration and characteristics of the different phases of the ATP test were comparable to those previously published, including a low incidence of vagal atrial fibrillation (five patients) and a large (average 35%) increase in heart rate due to reflex sinus tachycardia commensurate with the degree of phase III vagal effect. No side effects following ATP injection were observed, except the expected short-lasting vasodilative flush (< 3 min). All patients received a rate-responsive dual-chamber pacemaker: 39 patients were assigned to the active pacing group (DDD mode at 70 bpm) and 41 to the control group (AAI mode at 30 bpm). The time to first syncope recurrence ranged from seven days to 26 months.
Syncope recurred in 27 of the 41 patients (66%) programmed in the AAI pacing mode, but in only eight of the 39 patients (21%) programmed in the DDD pacing mode. The Kaplan-Meier estimates of 2-year recurrence-free survival were 31% (95% CI: 19%, 53%) for the AAI group and 77% (95% CI: 65%, 93%) for the DDD group (Figure 2). This is an estimated 60% reduction in the cumulative risk of recurrence in the two years following implantation for the DDD-paced group. The estimated proportional hazard ratio was 0.25 (95% CI: 0.12, 0.56) (Table 3).

Proportional hazards regression stratified by a study center did not change the results (data not shown). Adjusting for age, sex, underlying heart disease, and presence of risk factors did not change the risk of recurrence substantially (Table 3).

When the pacemakers of the 27 control patients who experienced recurrences were reprogrammed to the DDD mode at 70 bpm, further symptoms occurred in only one patient. In the eight DDD-mode patients with recurrence, the addition of supplementary algorithms was followed by decreased symptom severity (three patients) or their lack of recurrence (five patients). Moreover, no pacemaker-related complications occurred during the study follow-up period.

**Discussion**

This study shows that ATP test is an effective diagnostic tool able to identify SUO patients who may benefit from cardiac pacing. Effective pacing reduces the risk of recurrent syncope in this group of patients by about 40% during a 2-year follow-up. ATP has been used for more than 60 years to terminate re-entrant supraventricular tachycardias involving the AV node.\(^{12,13}\) The hypersensitivity to ATP of a specific cohort of SUO patients could suggest a vagal involvement.
in their syncope etiology, which constitutes the rationale for its use as a diagnostic tool in this setting.

Two prior studies evaluated the ATP test for assessing the cardio-inhibitory response in SUO.\textsuperscript{8,10} In the first, an observational study, the duration of this response did not exceed 10 seconds in 95\% of a population of 51 asymptomatic healthy individuals; thus, a positive test was defined as a cardiac pause due to AV or SA block exceeding 10 seconds.\textsuperscript{8} In the same study, this criterion was subsequently applied to 316 SUO patients; among those patients with a positive ATP test, 83\% elected implantation with a dual-chamber pacemaker and had fewer and milder symptom recurrences than those without a pacemaker (14\% vs. 48\%, \( p<0.0001 \)).\textsuperscript{8} Patients with a negative ATP test showed no benefit from pacemaker therapy. The second study, a single-center pilot trial, randomly assigned pacemaker implantation to half of the 20 patients with a positive ATP test; those with pacemakers showed no syncope recurrence.\textsuperscript{10} However, these studies had several limitations: in the former, patients were not randomized to pacemaker therapy, while, in the latter, the sample size was small (ten subjects per arm), it only involved one center, and the potential for a placebo effect was uncontrolled (patients in the control group were not implanted).

Thus, this patient-blinded, multicenter, randomized trial was initiated to definitively evaluate the potential of the ATP test in selecting permanent cardiac pacing for SUO patients.\textsuperscript{14} Patients randomly assigned to pacing had, on average, nearly a 75\% lower hazard of symptom recurrence (1 – 0.25, Table 3) and their chances of surviving at least two years without recurrence (Kaplan-Meier estimates, Figure 2) was about 2.5 times more likely than for control patients, a highly statistically significant result. These results clearly support the usefulness of the ATP test in indicating cardiac pacing as an effective therapy in SUO patients.
Since ATP is not a universally approved drug, some investigators have been using adenosine under the assumption that the cardiovascular effects of ATP and adenosine are identical. The effects of ATP differ substantially from those of adenosine. Extracellular ATP is rapidly degraded to adenosine; therefore, it can exert the same effects as adenosine. However, prior to its degradation, it triggers a central vagal effect (cardio-cardiac reflex) by activating P2X receptors located on the vagal nerve sensory terminals in inferio-posterior wall of the left ventricle.\textsuperscript{15-18} Adenosine does not activate P2 receptors and therefore, does not trigger this reflex. Thus, ATP can mimic all actions of adenosine but not vice versa.

Not only do some of the effects of ATP and adenosine differ, but they also have different molecular weights (507 vs. 267 g/mol). Thus the interpretation of the available research must take into account not only the different receptors which mediate their respective actions but also the relative dosage. Due to the differential molecular weights of the two compounds, the effective dose of ATP is approximately half of that of adenosine; the known efficacy of 18 mg adenosine and 20 mg ATP in terminating AV node reentry strongly suggests that different mechanisms of actions mediate the AVN conduction block induced by the two drugs. This is not a trivial issue; for example, in a recent study with a subcohort of 18 younger SUO patients (average 55 years old), 18 mg of adenosine or 20 mg of ATP were used as biologically equivalent agents for provocation of cardiac pause.\textsuperscript{19} In another study, pacemaker therapy was instituted in a small group of 10 younger vasovagal syncope patients (average 57 years old), on the basis of a positive adenosine test (20 mg i.v. bolus); no syncope recurrence was observed during a limited follow-up period.\textsuperscript{20} In view of the above, it is difficult to compare the results of these studies with the present one.
The 2009 European guidelines on SUO\(^1\) deem acceptable both the 10-second cardiac-pause criterion used in this study as well as a 6-second R-R interval criterion suggested elsewhere\(^{21,22}\) as determinants of the ATP test’s outcome in the evaluation of SUO patients, but suggest that the use of ATP or adenosine test should remain under investigation. The present findings suggest that these guidelines may be too restrictive and should be revisited. Indeed, this study provides strong evidence that the ATP test and the 10-second cardiac pause criterion can be used effectively to identify SUO patients who may benefit from pacemaker therapy. It should be emphasized that several previous studies have attempted to evaluate the ATP or the adenosine test using the 6-second RR interval criterion in SUO patients. Remarkably, none have determined the efficacy of pacing in patients with a positive test based on that criterion.\(^{21-24}\) Further studies are needed to shed light on any clinical significance of the 6-second RR interval criterion.

In our study, the ATP test identified a group of patients who benefited from cardiac pacing, but the therapy did not completely eliminate recurrent episodes, leaving about 23% of the population still at risk before pacemaker re-programming. A potential explanation for this is that an individual patient may have more than one mechanism for syncope, and that the ATP test reveals only one of those causes, i.e. the potential conduction block of excessive duration. Due to the polymorphic aspect of SUO attacks, ATP test does not exclude the co-existence of other potential mechanisms responsible for syncope; further diagnostic tests or devices capable of uncovering other mechanisms may be necessary, even in elderly patients who are positive on the ATP test. In addition, only a subset of patients yield a positive ATP test, and this study does not address how to diagnose and treat the remaining patients. For example, some of the elderly
patients with SUO for whom the ATP test was negative may also benefit from pacemaker utilization. This remains an open question.

On that matter, recent research has focused on using an implantable-loop recorder (ILR) to determine an arrhythmic cause for SUO. These studies show a lack of correlation between positive ATP test, tilt test, and ILR results. The discrepancy between the adenosine test results and those of the ILR could be explained, at least in part, by the following: (i) In these studies small number of younger patients were tested, (ii) adenosine was used, rather than ATP, and (iii) the 6-sec RR-interval criterion was used instead of the 10-sec cardiac-pause criterion.

Randomized, blinded trials evaluating the efficacy of the ILR in identifying patients who may benefit from pacing therapy are ongoing. If successful, these trials might illuminate the role of ILR in determining the appropriate therapy for SUO patients. They might, for example, suggest a strategy wherein ATP testing is done initially followed by longer-term ILR observation for those with negative ATP test results to identify other arrhythmic causes.

**Limitations of the study**

The study was of adequate size to show the rather large overall effect, but was underpowered to look for differences in effect by subgroups of age, sex, indications, co-morbidities, or other factors. Thus, the negative results from analyses comparing these subgroups are not definitive. The patients enrolled in the study tended to be older and thus more likely to have cardio-inhibitory causes for syncope, so it may be that the test is more appropriate in these older patients. Identifying other subgroups more likely to benefit from ATP testing must await larger or more targeted studies.
In addition to their negative chronotropic and dromotropic actions on sinus and A-V nodes, ATP and adenosine suppress sinus, junctional and, mainly, ventricular activity, which may affect the R-R interval in a variable way. The mechanism responsible for this differential effect is likely the differential pacemaker currents measured in these different cardiac areas (i.e., the role of the hyperpolarization-activated inward current (iH)) as well as the indirect anti-adrenergic action of adenosine.29

Although it is plausible that the above mentioned effects contributed to the cardiac pauses seen in this study, the study was not designed to test this hypothesis in vasovagal patients nor to differentiate effects of exogenous vs. endogenous adenosine in a given patient.

Finally, this study was not designed to examine question of the role of head-up tilt testing, either alone or in combination with ATP testing, in determining pacing therapy for SUO. The answer to this question awaits a study focused on that issue.

Conclusions

This single-blind, multicenter, randomized trial strongly suggest that ATP test, exhibiting at least a 10-second cardiac pause, is a useful diagnostic tool for identifying a subset of SUO patients who may benefit from dual-chamber pacemaker therapy. Thus, the ATP test may be an additional practical tool for decision-making by the clinician in the management of SUO patients.
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Table 1. Comparing the Paced and Non-paced Groups on Sex, Structural Heart Disease, and Risk Factors for Ischemic Heart Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Study group</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paced (DDD)</td>
<td>Non-paced (AAI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N= 39</td>
<td>N= 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural Heart Disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertensive</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valvular</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Comparing Paced and Non-paced Groups on Age, Heart Rate, Cardiotoracic Index, and Phase III Duration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Study group</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                      | Paced (DDD)
|                      | N=39                | N=41           | N=80           |
|                      | Mean ± SD            | Mean ± SD      | Mean ± SD      |
| Age^a                | 75.7 ± 8.4           | 76.2 ± 7.1     | 75.9 ± 7.7     |
| Resting heart rate^b | 71.1 ± 10.8          | 71.1 ± 9.4     | 71.1 ± 10.1    |
| CT Index^c           | 49.9 ± 7.6           | 50.9 ± 6.0     | 50.4 ± 6.8     |
| Phase III duration^d | 17.3 ± 6.1           | 18.3 ± 7.5     | 17.8 ± 6.8     |

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.

^aIn years.
^bIn beats per minute.
^cCT = cardiotoracic index, a measure of the size of the heart relative to the size of the thorax (in percent).
^dPhase III duration is the length of the period of complete block used to determine ATP test outcome (in seconds).

Table 3. Results of Cox regression of recurrence-free survival on randomization group, unadjusted and adjusted for sex, age and clinical factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>HR^a</th>
<th>95% Confidence Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Randomization group (unadjusted)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.12 0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randomization group (adjusted)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (men vs. women)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.18 1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at randomization^b</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.94 1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural heart disease</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.43 2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors^c</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.61 6.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT index^d</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.94 1.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aHR = hazard ratio, the proportionate difference in risk of recurrence between the paced and non-paced groups
^bPer year of age
^cPresence of at least one of the risk factors in Table 1
^dCT = cardiotoracic index, a measure of the size of the heart relative to the size of the thorax
Figure Legends:

**Figure 1.** Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) diagram showing disposition of all subjects for recruitment, randomization, and follow-up.

**Figure 2.** Kaplan-Meier estimates of recurrence-free survival by study treatment arm. Solid line (——) represents the paced group experience and the broken line (-··-) represents the non-paced group. The dotted lines (····) represent 95% confidence intervals for the estimates. The (+) represent censorings.
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Analyzed: n=41
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Allocated to DDD pacing mode, 70 bpm: n=39
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Died: n=1 (stroke)
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원인이 밝혀지지 않는 실신 환자, 심박동기 치료가
효과적인 환자를 ATP 테스트로 선별할 수 있다

최 기 준 교수 서울아산병원 심장내과

Summary

배경
실신 환자에서 진단적인 검사를 모두 하여도 40% 정도
의 환자에서 실신의 원인이 밝혀지지 않는다. 이전의 연
구에서 ATP 테스트가 성공적인 치료법을 선택하는 데
유용하다는 연구보고가 있었다. 본 환자-편관 다기관
무작위 연구는 원인이 밝혀지지 않은 환자 중 ATP 테스
트가 양성인 환자에서 심박동기 치료로 실신의 재발을
줄일 수 있는지 알아보고자 시행되었다.

방법 및 결과
2000년부터 2005년 사이에 10개의 병원에서 원인이 밝
혀지지 않은 실신 환자 중 ATP 주입(20mg 정맥주사)으
로 방실차단이나 동방차단(sinoatrial block)이 10초 이
상인 80명의 연속적인 환자(평균 연령 75.9±7.7세, 여
성 81%, 기질적 심장질환이 없는 환자 56%)를 대상으
로 연구하였다. 모든 환자에게 심박동기를 삽입한 후, 심
장조율 활성군(양심강 조율 70회)과 백업조율군(심방조
율 30회)으로 무작위 배정하였다. 환자들은 최장 5년까
지 정기적으로 일차 연구목표인 실신의 재발을 관찰하
였다. 평균 관찰기간은 16개월이었다. 실신은 심장조율
활성군에서는 39명 중 8명에서 발생하였고(21%), 대조
군인 백업조율군에서는 66%(27/41명)의 환자에서 발생
하여, 위험비율(hazard ratio)은 0.25였다(95% CI, 0.12-
0.56). 실신 재발 후 27명의 대조군 환자들은 심장조율
활성군으로 심박동기 프로그램을 바꾸었고, 단지 1명에
서만 실신이 재발하였다.

결론
원인이 밝혀지지 않은 고령의 실신 환자에서 ATP 테스
트가 양성인 경우, 양심강 심박동기를 이용한 심조율이
실신의 재발을 75% 감소시켰다.
실신 환자 중 일반적인 검사로도 그 원인이 확실히 밝히지 않는 경우에는 20-40% 정도로 보고되고 있고, 고령의 환자군에서는 특히 비율이 높다. 본 연구에서는 이런 환자들에게 심박동기 치료가 효과적인 환자군을 선별하기 위하여 ATP 테스트의 유용성을 연구하였다. 연구 결과, ATP 20mg을 이용한 테스트에서 10초 이상의 심정지를 보이는 환자군에서 심박동기는 실신 재발을 75% 정도 줄이는 아주 효과적인 치료방법으로 나타났다. 2000년대 중반 두 개의 무작위 연구에서 경사테이블 검사로 진단된 신경매개성 실신 환자에서의 심박동기 치료는 효과가 없는 것으로 나타났으나, 이 연구는 경사테이블 검사가 아닌 ATP 테스트로 환자를 선별하여 심박동기 치료를 하여 심정지를 보이는 환자군에서 심박동기 치료 효과를 얻을 수 있는 신경매개성 심장억제(neurally mediated cardiac inhibition) 환자군을 선별하는 방법으로 1986년에 처음 사용되었다. 정상인에서는 ATP 주입시 나타나는 심정지가 대부분 10초를 넘지 않기 때문에 10초를 기준으로 삼았고, 이전의 연구는 적은 환자 대상으로 하였으나, 이 연구는 이 방법을 이용한 최초의 무작위 배정연구로 의미가 있다. 그동안 일부 연구에서 6초 정도의 심정지를 기준으로 심박동기 치료를 하였으나, 이 연구에서는 뚜렷한 효과를 보이지 못했다. 이 연구에 포함된 환자들의 평균 연령은 76세 정도이므로 대부분이 고령의 환자였고, ATP로 인한 심정지는 평균 17.8초이기 때문에 상당히 심한 반응을 보이는 환자들로 대상으로 하였다는 점은 고려하여야 한다. 우리나라에서는 ATP가 사용되지 않고 adenosine만이 사용되는데, 일반적으로 ATP가 신체 내에서 adenosine으로 변환되기 때문에 효과가 비슷하다고 가정하지만, 일부 두 약제 간의 약리작용에 차이가 있다는 보고도 있다. 본 연구에서 사용된 ATP 20mg은 adenosine 18mg 정도와 비슷한 세기를 가졌다고 보여진다. 이 연구결과로 보면 원인이 밝혀지지 않은 실신 환자에서 ATP 테스트를 시행하여 10초 이상의 심정지를 보인다면, 심박동기 치료를 하는 것이 향후 실신의 재발을 줄일 수 있을 것으로 생각된다. 하지만 이 연구에서는 ATP 테스트에서 10초 이상의 심정지를 보이지 않는 환자군의 치료에 대해서는 두루한 치료방법을 제시하는 데에 실패하였다.
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Treatment of Unexplained Syncope
A Multicenter, Randomized Trial of Cardiac Pacing Guided by Adenosine 5'-Triphosphate Testing
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Background—The origin of 40% of syncope cases remains unknown even after a complete diagnostic workup. Previous studies have suggested that ATP testing has value in selecting successful therapy. This patient-blinded, multicenter, randomized superiority trial tested whether, in patients with syncope of unknown origin, selecting cardiac pacing in those with a positive ATP test leads to fewer recurrences than those who do not receive pacing.

Methods and Results—From 2000 to 2005, 80 consenting patients (mean age, 75.9±7.7 years; 81% women; 56% without diagnosed structural heart disease) with syncope of unknown origin and atrioventricular or sinoatrial block lasting >10 seconds (average, 17.9±6.8 seconds) under ATP administration (20-mg IV bolus) were recruited from 10 hospitals, implanted with programmable pacemakers, and randomized to either active pacing (dual-chamber pacing at 70 bpm) or backup pacing (atrial pacing at 30 bpm). Patients were followed up regularly for up to 5 years for any syncope recurrence, the primary outcome. Mean follow-up was 16 months. Syncope recurred in 8 of 39 patients (21%) randomized to active pacing and in 27 of 41 (66%) randomized to backup pacing (control), yielding a hazard ratio of 0.25 (95% confidence interval, 0.12–0.56). After recurrence, the 27 recurrent control patients were reprogrammed to active pacing, and only 1 reported subsequent syncope.

Conclusion—This study suggests that, in elderly patients with syncope of unknown origin and positive ATP tests, active dual-chamber pacing reduces syncope recurrence risk by 75% (95% confidence interval, 44–88).

Clinical Trial Registration—URL: http://www.controlled-trials.com/ISRCTN00029383. Unique identifier: ISRCTN00029383.
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Syndrome is defined as a transient, short-lasting, and self-limiting loss of consciousness caused by temporary cerebral hypoperfusion.1 The incidence of syncope increases significantly with age.1,2 Syncope of unknown origin (SUO) is defined as a syncope for which no clear explanation has been found after a conventional workup; it heavily affects the cost of medical care.3,4 As the longevity of the Western population increases,5 the incidence of syncope and of secondary injuries will also increase with time. Despite an extensive workup, the origin of syncope remains unexplained in 20% to 40% of patients.1,6,7 SUO may be neurally mediated; its current treatment is based on the avoidance of triggering situations, orthostatic training, and drug therapy, all with uncertain long-term results.
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The ATP test was introduced in 1986 to identify those SUO patients with predominantly neurally mediated cardiac inhibition who may benefit from cardiac pacing therapy.8 The present clinical trial was carried out to test the hypothesis that, in patients with SUO, cardiac pacing instituted on the basis of a positive ATP test is an effective long-term therapy for significantly reducing syncope recurrences.

Methods

Study Overview and Objective

Patients with SUO recruited in 10 European centers were screened conventionally1 and subjected to the ATP test, as previously de-
scribed.9 SUO patients with a negative test were ineligible for the study and were followed up and treated conventionally. Those with a positive test who gave written consent were randomized to either an active pacing mode or a control mode (described below) and then implanted with a dual-chamber pacemaker. Patients were blinded to their pacing mode: investigators were not. After implantation, patients were systematically followed up every 6 months and were asked to report any syncope immediately on recurrence. The study objective was to test whether the groups differed in the rate of syncope recurrence as measured by syncope-free survival. The study was approved by the ethics committee of each hospital. A copy of the protocol can be found at https://www.healthstudies.unm.edu/rc/en/en_protocol-2002-02-21.pdf.

Patients
Patients >18 years of age who presented with isolated or recurrent episodes of syncope that remained unexplained by the usual screening tests (history, clinical examination, orthostatic hypotension investigation, echocardiogram, ECG, and Holter) were recruited after either a short stay in the emergency room or a visit to the outpatient clinic. Electrophysiological studies, exercise tests, EEGs, and brain scans were also performed when the site investigator deemed them necessary to complete a patient’s evaluation. Patients who agreed to participate and signed the consent form were eligible for further evaluation. Patients were ineligible if they had at least one of the following: positive electrophysiological study, carotid sinus hypersensitivity, sustained or episodic atrial or ventricular tachycardias, documented sinus or atrioventricular (AV) node conduction disorders (including first-degree AV block), presence of an implantable pacemaker or defibrillator, enrollment in a heart transplant waiting list, pregnancy, asthma or severe chronic bronchitis, systemic infection, or diabetes mellitus.

Patient Enrollment
Eligible patients were evaluated with the following tests in this order: a sequential right and left carotid sinus massage in the supine and, if negative, upright positions; the ATP test; and finally an optional head-up tilt test at the discretion of the site investigator. Carotid sinus massage and head-up tilt test were performed according to guidelines.1,6

The ATP test is administered to a supine patient connected to an ECG recorder. The test consists of an intravenous bolus of 20 mg ATP (Striadyne, Genopharm Group) followed by a rapid flush of 20 mL dextrose (5%).9 ATP injection characteristically causes the following 5-phase sequence of ECG: (1) slowing of the sinus rhythm; (2) short episode of first- or second-degree AV block (sometimes phase I is followed by phase III without occurrence of phase II); (3) “cardiac pause,” defined as a third-degree AV block or, more rarely, isolated or associated sinoatrial block of variable duration, determining the outcome of the test; (4) resumption of normal AV conduction preceded by a second- and a first-degree AV block; and (5) a reflex sinus tachycardia. Resumption of normal sinus rhythm and AV conduction marks the end of the response.

On the basis of the distribution of test results in a sample of asymptomatic healthy individuals, the test is considered positive when phase III cardiac pause exceeds 10 seconds, regardless of any specific time interval between consecutive ventricular contractions (R-R) (and ignoring junctional or ventricular escape beats) and regardless of secondary symptoms.9 The ECG tracings recorded during the ATP test were reviewed by 2 independent examiners, and the patient was eligible if both reviewers declared the test positive.

Randomization and Blinding
Patients with a positive ATP test were randomized with equal probability to 1 of the 2 pacing mode groups; the patient was not told which one. Randomization was performed by the use of sealed envelopes distributed by the data coordinating center and was stratified by center (and no other factors) in blocks of 10 to ensure balanced allocation. The research staff was not blinded to pacing mode. No attempt was made to evaluate the success of patient blinding.

Pacemaker Implantation and Programming
After randomization, the patient was implanted with a rate-responsive dual-chamber pacemaker of the investigator’s choosing. The pacemaker was programmed either to dual-chamber pacing and sensing with both inhibition and triggering (DDD) at 70 bpm or to atrial pacing and sensing with inhibition (AAI) at 30 bpm. Active DDD pacing at 70 bpm was chosen as the paced mode because it can maintain an effective hemodynamic status, and thus may prevent syncope caused by cardiac pause. The AAI pacing at 30 bpm was chosen to provide only a minimal-rate atrial pacing in case of sinoatrial block without preventing syncopeal symptoms (except in rare instances), and served as the placebo control mode.

Follow-Up
Patients were followed up every 6 months and were instructed, as were their relatives, to report each syncope recurrence similar to those occurring before enrollment in the study. After the first reported recurrence of syncope, pacemakers were reprogrammed as follows: For patients initially programmed in AAI mode, the pacemaker was switched into DDD mode at 70 bpm; for patients in DDD mode, the DDD mode was maintained, but at the physician’s discretion, either rate-responsive-paced or a rate drop–sensing algorithm that immediately increases the pacing rate for a few minutes when a third-degree AV block is detected could be added. The patient case report had a section for reporting adverse effects, and the investigators were free to treat adverse effects according to their usual practice.

Statistical Analysis
Sample size was determined by the method of Wu et al.10 With the assumption of a 40% recurrence rate in the placebo group on the basis of an earlier study9 and a therapeutic effect of at least a 55% reduction in cumulative recurrence rate on the basis of the randomized pilot study,11 inclusion of 198 subjects yields 80% power, with a 2-sided type I error rate of 5%. However, smaller sample sizes still yield sufficient power against larger effects. For example, 76 participants provide 75% power against an effect of 70%, with the same recurrence and type I error rates.

Statistical Analysis
Patient characteristics and test outcomes were compared by means and SDs or tabulated by frequency, and were compared by t tests or χ² tests of homogeneity. The primary end point was the time to the first syncope recurrence, and groups were compared by intention-to-treat analysis. Kaplan-Meier estimates of cumulative recurrence-free survival probabilities were used to compare groups for the primary analysis. To control for the potential differential effect of a study center, center-stratified analyses of the main outcome were performed with Cox regression. Cox regression was also used to examine the effects of age, sex, underlying structural heart disease, and concomitant risk factors of ischemic heart disease. All analyses were performed with S-Plus version 7.0 (S-Plus 7 for Windows; Insightful Corp, Seattle, WA).

Independent Data Access and Analysis
The principal investigator (D.F.) had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. The analysis itself was conducted by an independent academic statistician (T.R.C.) using his institution’s computer equipment and receiving no funding from any outside interests for this work or for his authorship work. The principal investigator (D.F.) conceived the project and collaborated with the independent academic statistician (T.R.C.) to design the study, to critically review the analyses, and to draft the manuscript. The remaining authors collaborated on the conduct of the study, acquisition of the data, and critical review of the report. All authors read and approved the final version of the report before submission.

Results
The ATP test was positive in 88 SUO patients screened for potential inclusion during the planned accrual period between January 2000 and May 2005; 80 consented patients were
randomized and implanted with a pacemaker (Figure 1). Their ages ranged from 48 to 91 years (mean ± SD, 75.9 ± 7.7 years); 65 (81%) were women; and 35 (44%) had controlled structural heart disease, 18 (23%) of ischemic origin (Tables 1 and 2). At least 1 risk factor for ischemic heart disease was reported for 74% of patients, hypertension being the most frequent (51%). During the ATP test, the complete AV (78 patients) or sinoatrial (2 patients) block lasted 17.8 ± 6.8 seconds. The duration and characteristics of the different phases of the ATP test were comparable to those previously published, including a low incidence of vagal atrial fibrillation (5 patients) and a large (average, 35%) increase in heart rate resulting from reflex sinus tachycardia commensurate with the degree of phase III vagal effect. No side effects after ATP injection were observed, except the expected short-lasting vasodilative flush (<3 minutes).

All patients received a rate-responsive dual-chamber pacemaker; 39 patients were assigned to the active pacing group (DDD mode at 70 bpm) and 41 to the control group (AAI mode at 30 bpm). The time to first syncope recurrence ranged from 7 days to 26 months.

Syncope recurred in 27 of the 41 patients (66%) programmed in the AAI pacing mode but in only 8 of the 39 patients (21%) programmed in the DDD pacing mode. The Kaplan-Meier estimates of 2-year recurrence-free survival were 31% (95% confidence interval, 19–53) for the AAI group and 77% (95% confidence interval, 65–93) for the DDD group (Figure 2). This
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**Table 1. Comparing of Sex, Structural Heart Disease, and Risk Factors for Ischemic Heart Disease in the Paced and Nonpaced Groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Study Group</th>
<th>Paced (DDD) (n=39)</th>
<th>Nonpaced (AAI) (n=41)</th>
<th>Overall (N=80)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency, n %</td>
<td>Frequency, n %</td>
<td>Frequency, n %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td></td>
<td>30 77</td>
<td>35 85</td>
<td>65 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td></td>
<td>9 23</td>
<td>6 15</td>
<td>15 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structural heart disease</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>22 56</td>
<td>23 56</td>
<td>45 56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic</td>
<td></td>
<td>9 23</td>
<td>9 22</td>
<td>18 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertensive</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 18</td>
<td>9 22</td>
<td>16 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valvular</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 18</td>
<td>2 5</td>
<td>9 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 3</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Risk factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 31</td>
<td>9 23</td>
<td>21 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td>21 54</td>
<td>20 51</td>
<td>41 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 15</td>
<td>13 33</td>
<td>19 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 5</td>
<td>3 8</td>
<td>5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 10</td>
<td>3 8</td>
<td>7 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Comparison of Age, Heart Rate, Cardiothoracic Index, and Phase III Duration in the Paced and Nonpaced Groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Study Group</th>
<th>Paced (DDD) (n=39)</th>
<th>Nonpaced (AAI) (n=41)</th>
<th>Combined (N=80)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td></td>
<td>75.7 ± 8.4</td>
<td>76.2 ± 7.1</td>
<td>75.9 ± 7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting heart rate, bpm</td>
<td></td>
<td>71.1 ± 10.8</td>
<td>71.1 ± 9.4</td>
<td>71.1 ± 10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiothoracic index, %</td>
<td></td>
<td>49.9 ± 7.6</td>
<td>50.9 ± 6.0</td>
<td>50.4 ± 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase III duration, s†</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.3 ± 6.1</td>
<td>18.3 ± 7.5</td>
<td>17.8 ± 6.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier estimates of recurrence-free survival by study treatment arm. Solid line represents the paced group experience; broken line (+ - + -), the nonpaced group; dotted line (- - -), 95% confidence intervals for the estimates; and +, censoring. AAI indicates atrial pacing and sensing with inhibition; DDD, dual-chamber pacing and sensing with both inhibition and triggering.**
This study shows that the ATP test is an effective diagnostic tool to identify SUO patients who may benefit from cardiac pacing. Effective pacing reduced the risk of recurrent syncope in this group of patients by ~75% during a 2-year follow-up. ATP has been used for >60 years to terminate reentrant supraventricular tachycardias involving the AV node. The hypersensitivity to ATP of a specific cohort of SUO patients could suggest a vagal involvement in their syncope origin, which constitutes the rationale for its use as a diagnostic tool in this setting.

Two prior studies evaluated the ATP test for assessing the cardio-inhibitory response in SUO. In the first, an observational study, the duration of this response did not exceed 10 seconds in 95% of a population of 51 asymptomatic healthy individuals; thus, a positive test was defined as a cardiac pause caused by AV or sinoatrial block exceeding 10 seconds. In the same study, this criterion was subsequently applied to 316 SUO patients; among those patients with a positive ATP test, 83% elected implantation with a dual-chamber pacemaker and had fewer and milder symptom recurrences than those without a pacemaker (14% versus 48%; P<0.0001). Patients with a negative ATP test showed no benefit from pacemaker therapy. The second study, a single-center pilot trial, randomly assigned pacemaker implantation to half of the 20 patients with a positive ATP test; those with pacemakers showed no syncpe recurrence. However, these studies had several limitations. In the former, patients were not randomized to pacemaker therapy; in the latter, the sample size was small (10 subjects per arm), the study involved only 1 center, and the potential for a placebo effect was uncontrolled (Patients in the control group were not implanted). Thus, this patient-blinded, multicenter, randomized trial was initiated to definitively evaluate the potential of the ATP test in selecting permanent cardiac pacing for SUO patients.

Patients randomly assigned to pacing had, on average, a nearly 75% lower hazard of symptom recurrence (1–0.25; Table 3), and their chances of surviving at least 2 years without recurrence (Kaplan-Meier estimates; Figure 2) were ~2.5 higher than for control patients, a highly statistically significant result. These results clearly support the usefulness of the ATP test in indicating cardiac pacing as an effective therapy in SUO patients.

Because ATP is not a universally approved drug, some investigators have been using adenosine under the assumption that the cardiovascular effects of ATP and adenosine are identical. The effects of ATP differ substantially from those of adenosine. Extracellular ATP is rapidly degraded to adenosine; therefore, it can exert the same effects as adenosine. However, before its degradation, it triggers a central vagal effect (cardio-cardiac reflex) by activating P2X receptors located on the vagal nerve sensory terminals in the inferoposterior wall of the left ventricle. Adenosine does not activate P2 receptors and therefore does not trigger this reflex. Thus, ATP can mimic all actions of adenosine but not vice versa.

Not only do some of the effects of ATP and adenosine differ, but they also have different molecular weights (507 versus 267 g/mol). Thus, the interpretation of the available research must take into account not only the different receptors that mediate their respective actions but also the relative dose. Because of the differential molecular weights of the 2 compounds, the effective dose of ATP is approximately half that of adenosine; the known efficacy of 18 mg adenosine and 20 mg ATP in terminating AV node reentry strongly suggests that different mechanisms of actions mediate the AV node conduction block induced by the 2 drugs. This is not a trivial issue; for example, in a recent study with a subcohort of 18 younger SUO patients (average age, 55 years), 18 mg adenosine or 20 mg ATP was used as a biologically equivalent agent to provoke cardiac pause. In another study, pacemaker therapy was instituted in a small group of 10 younger vasovagal syncope patients (average age, 57 years) on the basis of a positive adenosine test (20 mg intravenous bolus); no syncpe recurrence was observed during a limited follow-up period. In view of the above, it is difficult to compare the results of these studies with the present results.

The 2009 European guidelines on SUO deem acceptable both the 10-second cardiac-pause criterion used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Hazard Ratio*</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Randomization group (unadjusted)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randomization group (adjusted)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (men vs women)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at randomization†</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural heart disease</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors‡</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>6.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardi thoracic index§</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The proportionate difference in risk of recurrence between the paced and nonpaced groups.
†Per year of age.
‡Presence of at least 1 of the risk factors in Table 1.
§A measure of the size of the heart relative to the size of the thorax.
and the 6-second R-R interval criterion suggested elsewhere as determinants of the outcome of the ATP test in the evaluation of SUO patients, but the guidelines suggest that the use of the ATP or adenosine test should remain under investigation. The present findings suggest that these guidelines may be too restrictive and should be revisited. Indeed, this study provides strong evidence that the ATP test and the 10-second cardiac pause criterion can be used effectively to identify SUO patients who may benefit from pacemaker therapy. It should be emphasized that several previous studies have attempted to evaluate the ATP or the adenosine test using the 6-second R-R interval criterion in SUO patients. Remarkably, none have determined the efficacy of pacing in patients with a positive test based on that criterion.

Further studies are needed to shed light on any clinical significance of the 6-second R-R interval criterion.

In our study, the ATP test identified a group of patients who benefited from cardiac pacing, but the therapy did not completely eliminate recurrent episodes, leaving ~23% of the population still at risk before pacemaker reprogramming.

A potential explanation for this finding is that an individual patient may have >1 mechanism for syncope and that the ATP test reveals only one of those causes, ie, the potential conduction block of excessive duration. Because of the polymorphic aspect of SUO attacks, the ATP test does not exclude the coexistence of other potential mechanisms responsible for syncope; further diagnostic tests or devices capable of uncovering other mechanisms may be necessary, even in elderly patients who have a positive ATP test. In addition, only a subset of patients yield a positive ATP test, and this study does not address how to diagnose and treat the remaining patients. For example, some of the elderly patients with SUO whose ATP test was negative may also benefit from the use of pacemaker. This remains an unanswered question.

On that matter, recent research has focused on using an implantable loop recorder (ILR) to determine an arrhythmic cause for SUO. These studies show a lack of correlation between positive ATP test, tilt test, and ILR results. The discrepancy between the adenosine test results and those of the ILR can be explained, at least in part, by the following: (1) a small number of younger patients were tested in these studies; (2) adenosine rather than ATP was used; and (3) the 6-second R-R interval criterion instead of the 10-second cardiac-pause criterion was used. Randomized, blinded trials evaluating the efficacy of the ILR in identifying patients who may benefit from pacing therapy are ongoing. If successful, these trials might illuminate the role of ILR in determining the appropriate therapy for SUO patients. They might, for example, suggest a strategy wherein ATP testing is done initially followed by longer-term ILR observation for those with negative ATP test results to identify other arrhythmic causes.

Study Limitations

The study was of adequate size to show the rather large overall effect but was underpowered to look for differences in effect by subgroups of age, sex, indications, comorbidities, or other factors. Thus, the negative results from analyses comparing these subgroups are not definitive. The patients enrolled in the study tended to be older and thus more likely to have cardio-inhibitory causes for syncope, so it may be that the test is more appropriate in these older patients. Identifying other subgroups more likely to benefit from ATP testing must await larger or more targeted studies.

In addition to their negative chronotropic and dromotropic actions on sinus and AV nodes, ATP and adenosine suppress sinus, junctional, and, mainly, ventricular activity, which may affect the R-R interval in a variable way. The mechanism responsible for this differential effect is likely the differential pacemaker currents measured in these different cardiac areas (ie, the role of the hyperpolarization-activated inward current) and the indirect antiadrenergic action of adenosine.

Although it is plausible that the above-mentioned effects contributed to the cardiac pauses seen in this study, the study was not designed to test this hypothesis in vasovagal patients or to differentiate the effects of exogenous and endogenous adenosine in a given patient.

Finally, this study was not designed to examine the question of the role of head-up tilt testing, either alone or in combination with ATP testing, in determining pacing therapy for SUO. The answer to this question awaits a study focused on that issue.

Conclusions

This single-blind, multicenter, randomized trial strongly suggests that the ATP test, exhibiting at least a 10-second cardiac pause, is a useful diagnostic tool for identifying a subset of SUO patients who may benefit from dual-chamber pacemaker therapy. Thus, the ATP test may be an additional practical tool for decision making by the clinician in the management of SUO patients.
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Syncope commonly occurs in the general population, especially in the elderly; its origin remains unexplained in up to 40% of patients. Permanent cardiac pacing represents an effective therapy in patients with syncope of unknown origin that results from neurally mediated cardio-inhibition. The ATP test was introduced in 1986 as a convenient and safe tool to identify patients with syncope of unknown origin with neurally mediated cardiac inhibition. This patient-blinded, multicenter, randomized trial demonstrated that, in patients with syncope of unknown origin and a positive ATP test with no other precluding possible indications, cardiac pacing is an effective therapy, leading to a significant reduction of syncope recurrences. Although only some patients with syncope of unknown origin have a positive ATP test, this quick and safe procedure should be considered part of the armamentarium of syncope diagnosis.
Traitement des syncopes inexpliquées
Essai multicentrique randomisé de stimulation cardiaque fondée sur la positivité du test à l'adénosine 5'-triphosphate

Daniel Flannang, MD ; Timothy R. Church, PhD ; Luc De Roy, MD ; Jean-Jacques Blune, MD ; Jean Leroy, MD ; Georges H. Mairesse, MD ; Aiki Otani, MD ; Pierre J. Goux, MD ; Robert Frank, MD ; Philippe Purrodo, MD ; pour les investigateurs de l'ATP Multicenter Study

Contexte—L'origine de 40 % des cas de syncope demeure inconnue, même après enquête diagnostique exhaustive. De précédents travaux tendant à indiquer que la réalisation d'un test à l'ATP facilitait le choix d'un traitement efficace. Le présent essai de supériorité multicentrique, randomisé et en simple insu a donc été mené chez des patients victimes de syncopes d'origine inconnue pour déterminer si, parmi eux, ceux qui auraient été équipés d'un stimulateur cardiaque parce qu'avant présenté un test à l'ATP positif seraient moins enclins aux récidives que les patients n'ayant pas bénéficié d'une telle stimulation cardiaque.

Méthodes et résultats—Entre 2000 et 2003, il a été procédé dans 10 hôpitaux à l'inclusion d'un total de 80 patients volontaires (âge moyen : 75,9 ± 7,7 ans ; 81 % de femmes ; 56 % de sujets indemnes de cardiopathie structurale documentée) ayant présenté une syncope d'origine indéterminée et un bloc auriculo-ventriculaire ou sino-auriculaire d'une durée supérieure à 10 secondes (jusqu'aux patients : 17,0 ± 4,8 secondes) sous administration d'ATP (bolus IV de 20 mg) ; ces patients ont été sélectionnés d'un stimulateur cardiaque programmable et randomisés entre un groupe dans lequel l'appareil était réglé en mode actif (stimulation double chambre à 70 battements/min) et un autre dans lequel il était programmé pour intervenir à la demande (stimulation auriculaire à 30 battements/min). Les patients ont été régulièrement suivis sur une période de 5 ans afin de documenter les récidives de syncopes, lesquelles constituaient l'événement clé principal. Le suivi moyen a été de 14 mois. Des récidives syncopées ont été enregistrées chez 8 (21 %) des 39 patients du groupe de stimulation en mode actif et chez 27 (66 %) des 41 sujets du groupe de stimulation en mode de secours (branchements), ce qui établit le risque relatif de 0,325 (interval de confiance à 95 % : 0,12-0,56). Les stimulateurs des 27 patients du groupe témoin qui avaient présenté des récidives après être secondairement reproduits en mode actif, aucun de ces patients n'a, par la suite, fait l'objet d'une récidive syncopée.

Conclusion—Les résultats de cette étude sont appréciables car, chez les patients âgés victimes de syncopes inexpliquées et ayant présenté un test à l'ATP positif, la stimulation double chambre en mode actif diminue le risque de récidive syncopée de 75 % (interval de confiance à 95 % : 44-88).
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