Comparison of Transplacental Treatment of Fetal Supraventricular Tachyarrhythmias With Digoxin, Flecainide, and Sotalol
Results of a Nonrandomized Multicenter Study
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Background—Fetal tachyarrhythmia may result in low cardiac output and death. Consequently, antiarrhythmic treatment is offered in most affected pregnancies. We compared 3 drugs commonly used to control supraventricular tachycardia (SVT) and atrial flutter (AF).

Methods and Results—We reviewed 159 consecutive referrals with fetal SVT (n=114) and AF (n=45). Of these, 75 fetuses with SVT and 36 with AF were treated nonrandomly with transplacental flecainide (n=35), sotalol (n=52), or digoxin (n=24) as a first-line agent. Prenatal treatment failure was associated with an incessant versus intermittent arrhythmia pattern (n=85; hazard ratio [HR]=3.1; P<0.001) and, for SVT, with fetal hydrops (n=28; HR=1.8; P=0.04). Atrial flutter had a lower rate of conversion to sinus rhythm before delivery than SVT (HR=2.0; P=0.005). Cardioversion at 5 and 10 days occurred in 50% and 63% of treated SVT cases, respectively, but in only 25% and 41% of treated AF cases. Sotalol was associated with higher rates of prenatal AF termination than digoxin (HR=5.4; P=0.05) or flecainide (HR=7.4; P=0.03). If incessant AF/SVT persisted to day 5 (n=45), median ventricular rates declined more with flecainide (−22%) and digoxin (−13%) than with sotalol (−5%; P<0.001). Flecainide (HR=2.1; P=0.02) and digoxin (HR=2.9; P=0.01) were also associated with a higher rate of conversion of fetal SVT to a normal rhythm over time. No serious drug-related adverse events were observed, but arrhythmia-related mortality was 5%.

Conclusion—Flecainide and digoxin were superior to sotalol in converting SVT to a normal rhythm and in slowing both AF and SVT to better-tolerated ventricular rates and therefore might be considered first to treat significant fetal tachyarrhythmia. (Circulation. 2011;124:00-00.)
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Trial (AF) and paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia (SVT) are the most common causes of an abnormally fast fetal heart rate. The vast majority of fetal SVT is produced by atrioventricular reentrant tachycardia (AVRT), whereas atrial ectopic tachycardia (AET) and permanent junctional reciprocating tachycardia (PJRT) are infrequently observed. Although the tachycardia may be well tolerated, at the more severe end of the spectrum, it may cause low cardiac output and demise. Fetal hydrops is associated with a mortality rate as high as 35% compared with 0% to 4% in nonhydropic fetuses.1 The risk of fetal hydrops increases if the arrhythmia presents at a younger gestational age and is rapid, incessant, and enduring, although even intermittent rhythm disorders may have serious consequences.2,3 Drug treatment to prevent or treat heart failure is therefore offered to most mothers who present with frequent or persistent fetal AF/SVT.
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Numerous retrospective studies have demonstrated that transplacental therapy with digoxin, flecainide, sotalol, or amiodarone is useful in terminating fetal tachyarrhythmias.4–11 Nonehowever, in the absence of comparative drug studies, the optimal treatment remains contentious. Digoxin is the most often used first-line antiarrhythmic drug,5,6,8,9,13,14 albeit its efficacy in controlling fetal SVT within a reasonable time frame has been questioned.7 Others have suggested that flecainide and sotalol are more effective in terminating AF and SVT6,8,12,13; however, rare reports of unexplained fetal
deaths have raised concerns that flecainide and sotalol may provoke fatal proarrhythmia, although there has been no objective evidence to support such concerns.4,12

The aim of this retrospective multicenter study was to compare the safety and efficacy of transplacental digoxin, flecainide, and sotalol when used as first-line medications to treat fetal AF/SVT.

Methods

Study Population

We reviewed 159 consecutive cases with a prenatal diagnosis of AF/SVT at our tertiary care centers between 1998 and 2008. We excluded cases in which drug therapy had been initiated at another institution. The study was approved by the research ethics boards.

Patients and Diagnosis

Charts and ultrasound examinations were evaluated for arrhythmia characteristics, clinical findings, management, and outcome. The tachycardia was considered incessant if present ≥50% of the echocardiographic monitoring time; otherwise, it was classified as intermittent. Doppler echocardiography and M-mode echocardiography were used to distinguish AVRT, AET/PJRT, and AF.19–21 If applicable, the mechanism was further established by postnatal ECG. If there was a tachycardia present 1 to 2 minutes during the echocardiogram without any objective evidence to support such concerns,4,12

The aim of this retrospective multicenter study was to compare the safety and efficacy of transplacental digoxin, flecainide, and sotalol when used as first-line medications to treat fetal AF/SVT.

Definitions and Outcome Measures

Analyses were based on the initial treatment intent to avoid the effects of crossover therapy. Patients started with a monotherapy of sotalol, flecainide, or digoxin were assigned to their respective drug cohort. If digoxin was started simultaneously with sotalol or flecainide, which was the case in 7% of SVT and 8% of AF patients, these patients were included in the sotalol or flecainide cohorts. Changes in first-line prenatal management such as the addition of other drugs and delivery were also analyzed. Primary outcome measures were the time interval from treatment initiation to permanent cardioversion and, once established, the freedom from arrhythmia recurrence from the time of conversion until birth on maintenance treatment. Only the final outcome was considered to classify cases; fetuses with a recurrence who eventually achieved permanent sinus rhythm were counted as a treatment success but only after the final cardioversion.

Intrauterine demise and delivery for tachyarrhythmia were considered treatment failures. Any recurrence of fetal SVT/AF after documentation of a completely normal cardiac rhythm by echocardiography was classified as maintenance treatment failure. Postnatal outcome was characterized as self-limiting (resolution of the tachycardia and no need for drug treatment within a year of birth), controlled (no tachycardia on treatment), or drug refractory (incomplete control despite drug treatment).

Pregnancy Management

The choice of therapy depended on the fetal condition, arrhythmia characteristics, gestational age, maternal health, and willingness to undergo treatment. We usually observed brief arrhythmia that was present <1 to 2 minutes during the echocardiogram without any therapy. Delivery with postnatal treatment was considered if the tachycardia was more persistent or early fetal hydrops was documented after 35 weeks’ gestation. Before 35 weeks, pharmacological treatment to obtain permanent fetal sinus rhythm was preferred because the hazard associated with premature delivery was believed to outweigh the risks of drug therapy. Antiarrhythmics were started in the hospital to allow serial monitoring of maternal well-being, serum electrolytes, cardiac rhythm, and ECG. Fetal well-being was monitored by frequent biophysical profile scoring, as well as Doppler and ultrasound examinations, until cardioversion or near-normal heart rate control was achieved.

Prenatal treatment was not randomized, but varied among study centers (Table 1). Primary choices were sotalol in institution 1, digoxin or flecainide in institution 2, and flecainide in institution 3, which were used in 87%, 87%, and 89% of treated cases, respectively. Sotalol was usually given twice daily at a median dose of 160

Table 1. Institutional Differences in Their Choice of First-Line Fetal Antiarrhythmic Therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First-choice drug, n (%)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Institution 1</th>
<th>Institution 2</th>
<th>Institution 3</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Digoxin</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5 (9)</td>
<td>17 (45)</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flecainide</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>16 (42)</td>
<td>16 (89)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sotalol</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>47 (87)</td>
<td>5 (13)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(range, 80–320 mg/d), and the dosage was increased within a few days if the SVT/AF remained unresponsive to a maximum dose of 480 mg/d. The starting dose of sotalol for fetal hydrops was 320 mg/d. The daily dosage of flecainide was 300 mg/d (range, 200–450 mg/d) in 3 doses, and if applicable, this was adjusted to obtain therapeutic drug levels between 0.2 and 1 µg/mL. The oral loading dose of digoxin was 1.5 to 2 mg over 2 days, followed by maintenance dosages between 0.375 and 1 mg/d, aiming to obtain maternal drug levels in the upper therapeutic range between 2 and 2.5 ng/mL.

Statistics

Data are presented as mean±SD, median with minimal and maximal values, frequency, hazard ratio (HR), and 95% confidence interval (CI) as appropriate. Differences between cohorts were estimated with the χ² test and ANOVA or non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA as appropriate. Time to permanent cardioversion was assessed by Kaplan-Meier estimates with Cox proportional hazard model for group comparisons (PHREG on the SAS system). Multivariable survival regression models were created to test whether treatment effect was independent of important covariates known or hypothesized to be associated with the probability of conversion to sinus rhythm. Analyses were performed with SAS Statistical Software version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary NC) and Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).

Results

Fetal Tachycardia Presentation

Table 2 shows the characteristics of the AF and SVT cohorts. Of 159 referrals, 45 had AF and 114 had SVT; AVRT was the main cause of fetal hydrops (34 of 41, 83%). Compared with well-tolerated AVRT, fetal hydrops was associated with an incessant arrhythmia pattern (91% versus 47%; P<0.001)
and faster heart rates (253 ± 26 versus 239 ± 33 bpm; P = 0.01) independently of gestational age. On average, AF was diagnosed 4 weeks later than SVT (P < 0.001) with slower ventricular rates (P < 0.001), whereas fetal hydrops was less common (P = 0.03). Hydropic fetuses with AF were younger than those presenting without hydrops (30.2 ± 2.6 versus 34 ± 3.3 weeks; P = 0.008), whereas tachycardia rates (P = 0.77) and patterns (P = 0.57) did not differ. Finally, AET/PJRT cases had the slowest rates of tachycardia (200 ± 18 bpm; P < 0.001) and were the least likely to be hydropic (1 of 16, 6%).

Overall, 9 fetuses (6%) also had structural heart disease. These included 3 AF cases, 1 each with left isomerism, aortic stenosis, and coarctation of the aorta. Of 6 SVT cases, 2 had cardiac tumors, 2 had ventricular septal defects, 1 had Ebstein anomaly, and 1 had left heart hypoplasia.

### Prenatal Management Characteristics

Tables 3 and 4 compare treated and untreated cohorts with AF and SVT. Treated fetuses were younger and more likely to be hydropic, whereas cohorts treated with digoxin, flecainide, or sotalol were largely comparable in clinical presentation, treatment duration, and outcome. Overall, 80% of AF cases (36 of 45) and 66% of SVT fetuses (75 of 98) received sotalol (n = 52), flecainide (n = 35), or digoxin (n = 24).

Changes from first-line therapy over time were comparable among arrhythmias and drugs (Figure 1). Treatment modifications were rare during the initial 5 days of therapy, but by day 10, one third of mothers had received a second antiarrhythmic drug or had been delivered. Overall, 13 of 24 women (54%) treated with digoxin received another medication (5 sotalol, 7 flecainide) or had been delivered (n = 1) at a median of 6 treatment days (range, 3–33 days). Of 35

### Table 2. Characteristics of 159 Cases With Fetal Atrial Flutter or Supraventricular Tachycardia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Institution 1</th>
<th>Institution 2</th>
<th>Institution 3</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total fetuses, n</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1 vs 2 vs 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetuses with AF, n (%)</td>
<td>45/159 (28)</td>
<td>20/63 (32)</td>
<td>14/64 (22)</td>
<td>11/32 (34)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis, wk</td>
<td>33.2 ± 3.5</td>
<td>33.6 ± 2.8</td>
<td>33.6 ± 4</td>
<td>31.8 ± 4.1</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, bpm</td>
<td>223 ± 37</td>
<td>216 ± 17</td>
<td>210 ± 41</td>
<td>250 ± 47</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incessant arrhythmia, n (%)</td>
<td>38 (84)</td>
<td>19 (95)</td>
<td>11 (79)</td>
<td>8 (73)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal hydrops, n (%)</td>
<td>6 (13)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
<td>4 (36)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prenatally treated cases, n (%)</td>
<td>36 (80)</td>
<td>18 (90)</td>
<td>10 (71)</td>
<td>8 (73)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetuses with SVT, n (%)</td>
<td>114/159 (72)</td>
<td>43/63 (68)</td>
<td>50/64 (78)</td>
<td>21/32 (66)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVT mechanism, n (%)</td>
<td>AVRT 98 (86)</td>
<td>36 (64)</td>
<td>45 (90)</td>
<td>17 (81)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AET 14 (12)</td>
<td>6 (14)</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PJRT 2 (2)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis, wk</td>
<td>29.1 ± 6</td>
<td>27.8 ± 5.6</td>
<td>30.7 ± 5.6</td>
<td>28.2 ± 7.1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, bpm</td>
<td>242 ± 35</td>
<td>247 ± 27</td>
<td>248 ± 40</td>
<td>220 ± 29</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incessant arrhythmia, n (%)</td>
<td>69 (61)</td>
<td>31 (72)</td>
<td>26 (52)</td>
<td>12 (57)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal hydrops, n (%)</td>
<td>35 (31)</td>
<td>17 (40)</td>
<td>15 (30)</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prenatally treated cases, n (%)</td>
<td>75 (66)</td>
<td>37 (86)</td>
<td>28 (56)</td>
<td>10 (48)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF indicates atrial flutter; SVT, supraventricular tachycardia; AVRT, atrioventricular reentrant tachycardia; AET, atrial ectopic tachycardia; and PJRT, permanent reciprocating junctional tachycardia.

### Table 3. Pregnancy Management and Outcome of 45 Fetuses With Atrial Flutter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Sotalol</th>
<th>Flecainide</th>
<th>Digoxin</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fetuses, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (20)</td>
<td>36 (80)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>17 (47)</td>
<td>9 (25)</td>
<td>10 (28)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis, wk</td>
<td>36.1 ± 3.1</td>
<td>32.4 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>32.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td>31.2 ± 4.4</td>
<td>32.8 ± 3.4</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, bpm</td>
<td>224 ± 61</td>
<td>225 ± 27</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>216 ± 17</td>
<td>247 ± 33</td>
<td>222 ± 24</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incessant arrhythmia, n (%)</td>
<td>6 (67)</td>
<td>32 (89)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>17 (100)</td>
<td>7 (78)</td>
<td>8 (80)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal hydrops, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (11)</td>
<td>5 (14)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2 (12)</td>
<td>2 (22)</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from diagnosis to delivery, d</td>
<td>1 (0–60)</td>
<td>28 (2–98)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>28 (7–71)</td>
<td>29 (5–77)</td>
<td>26 (2–98)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment duration, d</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>28 (2–98)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>28 (7–71)</td>
<td>28 (4–73)</td>
<td>23 (2–98)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal demise, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postnatal demise, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (22)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at delivery, wk</td>
<td>37.2 ± 2.3</td>
<td>37.3 ± 2.5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>37.4 ± 2.7</td>
<td>36.7 ± 3.1</td>
<td>37.6 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight, kg</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
atrial flutter (AF) and supraventricular tachycardia (SVT).

Figure 1.

Flexicainide-treated cases, 14 (40%) ended up with modified management (9 digoxin, 2 sotalol, 1 amiodarone, 2 deliveries) at 9 treatment days (range, 2–15 days). Of 52 sotalol-treated cases, 26 (50%) required modified management (17 digoxin, 2 flexicainide, 2 deliveries) at 7 treatment days (range, 2–15 days). Finally, 3 hydropic fetuses with therapy-resistant AVRT on oral flexicainide/digoxin converted to sinus rhythm after direct fetal administration of adenosine and amiodarone or digoxin as third-line agents.

Because AF was diagnosed later, the duration of fetal therapy was shorter (P<0.001) and delivery by caesarean section more likely than with SVT (55% versus 32%; P=0.03). Figure 2 compares the cardioversion rates of SVT vs supraventricular tachycardia (SVT) to persistent sinus rhythm despite transplacental antiarrhythmic therapy.

**First- and Second-Line Therapy**

Estimates of treatment-resistant tachyarrhythmia with first-line digoxin, flecainide, and sotalol are shown in Figure 5. For patients with fetal SVT, in multivariable models adjusted for hydrops and arrhythmia pattern and including both first- and second-line therapy in the same model, the use of digoxin (HR=2.9; 95% CI=1.3–6.5; P=0.01) or flecainide (HR=2.1; 95% CI=1.3–3.8; P=0.02) as first-line therapy was associated with a higher rate of arrhythmia termination compared with sotalol (Figure 5A). None of these agents as second-line therapy were associated with a greater rate of arrhythmia termination. Five days after treatment was begun, 59% of flecainide-treated and 57% of digoxin-treated SVT cases but only 38% of sotalol-treated cases were in normal rhythm. The median time to conversion of SVT cases was 3 days with digoxin, 4 days with flecainide, and 12 days with sotalol.

For patients with AF, in multivariable models adjusted for incessant versus intermittent arrhythmia pattern and including first- and second-line therapy in the same model, first-line sotalol was associated with a higher rate of arrhythmia termination compared with digoxin (HR=5.4; 95% CI=1.0–30.0; P=0.05) or flecainide (HR=7.4; 95% CI=1.2–46.1; P=0.03; Figure 5B). The same observation was made with the second-line therapy, although it did not reach statistical

---

**Table 4. Pregnancy Management and Outcome of 114 Fetuses With Supraventricular Tachycardia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fetal Therapy</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>First-Line Treatment (n=75)</th>
<th>Sotalol</th>
<th>Flecainide</th>
<th>Digoxin</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fetuses, n (%)</td>
<td>39 (34)</td>
<td>75 (66)</td>
<td></td>
<td>35 (48)</td>
<td>26 (35)</td>
<td>14 (19)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis, wk</td>
<td>31.9±6.5</td>
<td>27.7±5.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>27.3±5.5</td>
<td>27±5.5</td>
<td>30.2±3.6</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, bpm</td>
<td>235±51</td>
<td>248±29</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>246±28</td>
<td>252±30</td>
<td>245±29</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incessant arrhythmia, n (%)</td>
<td>16 (41)</td>
<td>53 (71)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>27 (77)</td>
<td>18 (69)</td>
<td>8 (57)</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal hydrops, n (%)</td>
<td>7 (18)</td>
<td>28 (37)</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>15 (43)</td>
<td>10 (38)</td>
<td>3 (21)</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from diagnosis to delivery, d</td>
<td>28 (0–169)</td>
<td>65 (1–181)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>66 (1–140)</td>
<td>75 (4–181)</td>
<td>50 (12–143)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment duration, d</td>
<td>65 (1–158)</td>
<td>64 (1–140)</td>
<td>72 (2–158)</td>
<td>50 (12–143)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal demise, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>4 (5)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postnatal demise, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at delivery, wk</td>
<td>38.9±2.2</td>
<td>38±2.5</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>37.8±2.2</td>
<td>38.2±2.5</td>
<td>37.8±3.1</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight, kg</td>
<td>3.6±0.6</td>
<td>3.2±0.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3.1±0.6</td>
<td>3.3±0.6</td>
<td>3.0±0.6</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 1.** Freedom from changes in first-line treatment of fetal atrial flutter (AF) and supraventricular tachycardia (SVT).

**Figure 2.** Freedom from prenatal conversion of atrial flutter (AF) vs supraventricular tachycardia (SVT) to persistent sinus rhythm despite transplacental antiarrhythmic therapy.
significance because of too few cases ($P=0.11$ for sotalol versus digoxin; $P=0.13$ for sotalol versus flecainide). At day 5 of treatment, only 13% of fetuses on flecainide, 21% on digoxin, and 29% on sotalol had a normal heart rhythm. The median time to conversion of AF cases was 12 days with sotalol, whereas this was not achieved with digoxin or flecainide before delivery. Nonetheless, when incessant SVT ($n=23$) or AF ($n=22$) persisted to day 5 of treatment, ventricular rates were lowered more significantly with flecainide (median, −22%) and digoxin (−13%) than with sotalol (−5%; $P<0.001$; Figure 6).

**Maintenance Therapy and Outcome**

Maintenance treatment failure was uncommon (Figure 7). Fetal hydrops resolved in the majority (76%) of treated cases before delivery. Most babies, treated and untreated, were born near term with normal birth weights. Only 9 of the 159 fetuses with arrhythmia (6%) were delivered at ≤35 weeks’ gestation. Neonatal arrhythmia was more common in fetuses who had been untreated than in those who were treated (44% versus 24%; $P=0.02$) and was documented in 46% of AF cases, 21% of AVRT cases, and 31% of AET/PJRT cases. Atrial flutter did not recur after postnatal cardioversion either with (11%) or without (89%) prophylactic antiarrhythmic therapy. Finally, of 106 survivors with SVT, 11 (10%) with only brief periods of SVT were lost to follow-up, whereas 62 had self-limiting, 29 had drug-controlled, and 4 had drug-resistant SVT (1 AET, 1 PJRT, 2 AVRT) during their first year of life.

**Morbidity and Mortality**

Arrhythmia-related mortality of nonhydropic and hydropic cases was 0% (0 of 118; 95% CI=0–3) and 17% (7 of 41; 95% CI=9–31), respectively ($P<0.001$). The first hydropic fetus succumbed within a day after transplacental digoxin/sotalol and direct fetal adenosine were given for incessant AVRT at 30 weeks. Three other fetuses, 2 with AVRT and 1 with AF, failed to respond to sotalol ($n=1$), flecainide ($n=2$), and second-line medication and died between 6 and 42 days after initiation of therapy. The fifth case with AVRT had sudden onset of severe bradycardia before any prenatal treatment could be started and died during delivery by emergency caesarian section at 25 weeks. The sixth case with AVRT refractory to both digoxin and flecainide for 3 weeks died of multiorgan failure after delivery at 32 weeks. The
Figure 7. Freedom from prenatal recurrence of atrial flutter (AF) vs supraventricular tachycardia (SVT) on antiarrhythmic maintenance therapy.

Discussion

This study is the first to establish response-rate curves over time for treatment with digoxin, flecainide, and sotalol. Our multicenter experience shows that the fetal response to transplacental therapy was associated with the fetal state, the type of tachycardia, and the choice of antiarrhythmic. Supraventricular tachycardia was significantly better controlled by transplacental medication than AF, whereas fetal hydrops and incessant SVT/AF were independently associated with slower cardioversion rates. Sotalol was associated with the highest rate of prenatal AF termination. On the contrary, flecainide and digoxin were associated with superior conversion rates of SVT to a normal rhythm and greater slowing to better-tolerated ventricular rates of persistent AF and SVT than sotalol.

Fetal State

In the absence of fetal hydrops, arrhythmia-related mortality in this study was 0%, suggesting that transplacental antiarrhythmic therapy is safe and effective regardless of the drug chosen. In hydropic fetuses, however, the rate of arrhythmia-mediated death was 17%, which is comparable to most other reported rates.6,8,12 Fetal hydrops was strongly associated with incessant AVRT; overall, one third of all AVRT fetuses were hydropic compared with 6% with AET/PJRT and 13% with AF. Therefore, rapid cardioversion to sinus rhythm appears to be most pressing for incessant AVRT. Unfortunately, fetal hydrops itself is associated with treatment failure,6−8,18 as we confirmed. For digoxin, this has been explained by incomplete passage of the drug across the placenta, so adequate fetal levels are not obtained despite often near-toxic maternal dosages.22 Flecainide and sotalol, on the other hand, continue to cross the placenta readily even if there is fetal hydrops and thus should be preferred for patients in heart failure.4,14,16,23 In this study, hydropic fetuses with SVT responded in general more slowly than nonhydropic fetuses, regardless of the choice of medication. Because fetal drug levels were not obtained, it is unclear whether this was due to impaired drug transfer/distribution, changes in electrophysiological properties, eg, resulting from tissue edema, or both. In our series, arrhythmia-related demise occurred predominantly after a lengthy period of unsuccessful treatment in a severely hydropic fetus. These “late” deaths may be preventable with more effective drug choices.

Arrhythmia Type

Previous studies, summarized by Krapp et al,18 reported comparable prenatal success rates in terminating AF and SVT with digoxin, but response times were usually not evaluated. We found that, although prenatal rhythm control was eventually obtained in a significant proportion of chronically treated fetuses, the response of AF to transplacental therapy differed substantially from other forms of SVT. This should not be surprising because SVT and AF have diverse causes. Cardioversion of AF requires an antiarrhythmic drug to terminate the atrial reentrant circuit. This was achieved in only half of our treated AF cases within the first 2 weeks and in two thirds before delivery. Despite the rather low success rate, arrhythmia-related mortality was relatively low, probably because AF develops predominantly late in gestation and, even in persistent AF, near-normal ventricular rates may be obtained by the pharmacological delay of myocardial/AV nodal conduction. Because AVRT and PJRT involve atrial and ventricular myocardial tissue and conduction through the AV node and accessory pathway, termination of these arrhythmias is possible by the electrophysiological alteration of one or several tissues. Suppression of enhanced atrial focal automaticity is needed to terminate AET. Treatment of AET/PJRT may occasionally be challenging,20 although we did not find them to be associated with an increased risk of
treatment failure with the limitation that both disorders are rare and our numbers were likely too small to detect differences from AVRT (data not shown). Compared with AF, fetal SVT responded faster and more consistently to pharmacological treatment, whereas both AF and SVT had an 8% to 15% recurrence rate on maintenance treatment. We would therefore recommend at least weekly assessment of the fetal heart rate until delivery once the arrhythmia appears to be controlled.

**First-Line Medication**

Extensive data from smaller studies exist on the success of fetal therapy with specific antiarrhythmic drugs. A summary of these data was recently published.24 When these drugs were used as first choice, termination of AF/SVT before birth occurred in 51% of fetuses (115 of 226) with digoxin,6–9,13,14,19,20,25 in 64% (45 of 70) with flecainide,4–8,16 and in 66% (23 of 35) with sotalol,10,12,15,19 This implies that sotalol and flecainide may be the most effective drugs regardless of the underlying arrhythmia mechanism. Nevertheless, clinically more relevant than rates of arrhythmia termination at birth is the response time to a particular intervention, and this information is available only for digoxin in 1 study.26 Our numbers are too small to detect meaningful differences in survival among drug cohorts. Nonetheless, because the risk of demise increases once the fetus is in heart failure, rapid restoration of a normal fetal heart rate is imperative. Two drug effects may be useful in improving fetal hemodynamics: reduction of heart rate to one that is better tolerated and, ideally, cardioversion to a normal rhythm. Our study shows that both transplacental flecainide and digoxin were associated with higher rates of conversion of SVT to a normal rhythm and with greater slowing of heart rate.14 We found that flecainide and digoxin were similarly effective in reducing AF and SVT rates. Sotalol, on the other hand, was associated with a higher rate in terminating fetal AF, but it had only modest effects on tachycardia rates. This implies that in the future we might combine sotalol with either digoxin or flecainide as first-line therapy if AF control is a matter of urgency because these combinations give the best chance of terminating fetal AF or of reducing ventricular rates in persistent AF. The safety and efficacy of combined drug treatment need to be evaluated in a larger prospective study.

**Study Limitations**

Several limitations are acknowledged. This is a retrospective review, and pregnancy management was not randomized but depended on institutional preferences. This explains the higher proportion of fetuses treated in institution 1. Caesarean section rates vary between centers and populations; hence, this was not evaluated separately as an outcome variable. We defined treatment as being successful if SVT/AF was terminated because it was not possible to objectively estimate a reduction in arrhythmia duration over time from stored recordings. Finally, unlike flecainide and digoxin, sotalol levels were not monitored because sotalol does not have the same narrow margin between therapeutic and toxic serum levels. This means that, in the absence of fetal hydrops, sotalol was usually started at the lowest dosage considered to be therapeutic (160 mg/d) and then increased until the desired effect was obtained. This may, in part, explain delayed treatment effects compared with flecainide and digoxin.

**Conclusions**

We found significant differences in the fetal response to pharmacological treatment between SVT and AF. Our results may be useful in improving our understanding of the potentials and limitations of antiarrhythmic drug therapy and, in persistent AF or SVT, helping to define a treatment period after which an alternative management should be considered.
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**CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE**

Fetal atrial flutter and supraventricular tachycardia may result in low cardiac output and death. Consequently, maternal antiarrhythmic treatment is offered in most affected pregnancies. This retrospective multicenter study is the first to compare the efficacy and safety of transplacental digoxin, flecainide, and sotalol, the most commonly used drugs to treat fetal tachyarrhythmia. In the absence of fetal hydrops, arrhythmia-related mortality was 0%, suggesting that transplacental antiarrhythmic therapy is safe and effective regardless of the drug chosen. In fetal hydrops, however, when rapid heart rate control becomes a matter of urgency to improve the chances of survival, the rate of arrhythmia-mediated death was 17%. We found that the fetal response to drug therapy was significantly associated with the type of tachycardia, fetal state, and choice of antiarrhythmic. Atrial flutter, fetal hydrops, and an incessant arrhythmia pattern were independently associated with slower cardioversion rates. Flecainide and digoxin were associated with increased likelihood of conversion of fetal supraventricular tachycardia to a normal rhythm and significantly greater slowing of ventricular rates of persistent atrial flutter/supraventricular tachycardia than sotalol. The highest rate of prenatal atrial flutter termination was observed with sotalol, albeit this was achieved in only about half of the sotalol-treated patients. Flecainide or digoxin might therefore be considered first to treat significant fetal tachyarrhythmia, perhaps in combination with sotalol to treat poorly tolerated atrial flutter. Our results may also be useful in improving our understanding of the potentials and limitations of antiarrhythmic drug therapy and, in persistent arrhythmia, helping to define a treatment period after which an alternative management should be considered.
Comparison of Transplacental Treatment of Fetal Supraventricular Tachyarrhythmias With Digoxin, Flecainide, and Sotalol: Results of a Nonrandomized Multicenter Study
Edgar T. Jaeggi, Julene S. Carvalho, Ernestine De Groot, Olus Api, Sally-Ann B. Clur, Lukas Rammeloo, Brian W. McCrindle, Greg Ryan, Cedric Manlhiot and Nico A. Blom

Circulation. published online September 19, 2011;
Circulation is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231
Copyright © 2011 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.
Print ISSN: 0009-7322. Online ISSN: 1524-4539

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:
http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/early/2011/09/19/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.026120

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in Circulation can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the Permissions and Rights Question and Answer document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
http://www.lww.com/reprints

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to Circulation is online at:
http://circ.ahajournals.org//subscriptions/