Low-Energy Cardioversion With Epicardial Wire Electrodes: New Treatment of Atrial Fibrillation After Open Heart Surgery
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Background—Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common arrhythmia after open heart surgery. Traditional treatment with a range of antiarrhythmic drugs and electrical cardioversion is associated with considerable side effects. The aim of this study was to examine the feasibility and efficacy of low-energy atrial defibrillation with temporary epicardial defibrillation wire electrodes.

Methods and Results—Epicardial defibrillation wire electrodes were placed at the left and right atria during open heart surgery in 100 consecutive patients (age 65±9 years; male to female ratio 67:23). Electrophysiological studies performed postoperatively revealed a test shock (0.3 J) impedance of 96±12 Ω (monophasic) and 97±13 Ω (biphasic). During their hospital stay, AF occurred in 23 patients (23%) at 2.1±1.3 days postoperatively. Internal atrial defibrillation was performed in 20 patients. Of these patients, 80% (16/20) were successfully cardioverted with a mean energy of 5.2±3 J. Early recurrence of AF (≤60 seconds after defibrillation) developed in 8 patients. Five patients had multiple episodes of AF. In total, 35 episodes of AF were treated, with an 88% success rate. Only 6 patients (30%) required sedation. No complications were observed with shock application or with lead extraction.

Conclusions—Atrial defibrillation with temporary epicardial wire electrodes can be performed safely and effectively in patients after cardiac operations. The shock energy required to restore sinus rhythm is low. Thus, patients can be cardioverted without anesthesia. (Circulation. 1998;98:883-886.)
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Atrial tachyarrhythmias constitute the most common arrhythmia after open heart surgery. The incidence varies between 26% and 41%.1-6 In recent years, the reported incidence has increased.2,3,5 Atrial fibrillation (AF) has been associated with a high incidence of postoperative strokes, ventricular arrhythmias, hemodynamic deterioration, and the need for a permanent pacemaker.3,5

Numerous studies of perioperative pharmacological prophylaxis of atrial tachyarrhythmias after open heart surgery have been published.7-9 However, prophylactic treatment either failed to significantly reduce the incidence of AF or had considerable side effects. Established treatment of AF consists of the administration of a variety of antiarrhythmics. Electrical transthoracic cardioversion under general anesthesia is performed when AF results in hemodynamic instability.

A new method of internal electrical cardioversion that uses epicardial wire electrodes with low shock energies was developed in a canine model.10,11 Clinical trials confirmed that low-energy atrial defibrillation via endocardial electrodes placed in the coronary sinus and the right atrial appendage is possible in humans.12,13
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This is the first clinical study on the feasibility of internal atrial defibrillation with low-energy shocks in patients after open heart surgery with temporary epicardial wire electrodes.

Methods

The study was approved by the institutional review committee. One hundred consecutive patients with a stable sinus rhythm (SR) scheduled for open heart surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass were enrolled. All patients gave their informed consent. Patients with permanent pacemakers, chronic AF, and conduction disorders were excluded. Patient characteristics are given in the Table.

The patients underwent surgery in a standardized manner with cardiopulmonary bypass and cold crystalloid cardioplegia. Eighty-nine patients underwent CABG alone or in combination with aortic valve replacement (n=4), left ventricular aneuryssectomy (n=1), or resection of a lung tumor (n=1). Eight patients underwent isolated valve replacement (aortic, n=7; mitral, n=1). One patient each underwent surgery to replace the ascending aorta, excise a left atrial myxoma, or resect a left ventricular aneurysm.

Defibrillation wire electrodes (TADPole; InControl Inc) were placed at the epicardium of the left and right atria. The stainless steel wires were polyurethane-coated, except the distal 10 cm (defibrillation electrode). In the proximal part, the electrode was bipolar and
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Patient Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>77/23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>64.6±9 (37–84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class, n</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of AF, %</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of CHF, %</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of MI, %</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF, %</td>
<td>61±18 (14–90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Blockers preoperatively, %</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digoxin preoperatively, %</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NYHA indicates New York Heart Association functional class; AF, preoperative intermittent atrial fibrillation; CHF, congestive heart failure; MI, myocardial infarction; and LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction.

Placement of the epicardial defibrillation electrodes added 3.9±1.7 minutes to the operating time. The mean pacing threshold was 2.1±2 V in the right atrium and 1.9±1.7 V in the left atrium. Right and left atrial P-wave amplitudes were 2.3±1.4 and 2.5±1.6 mV, respectively. The mean biatrial lead impedance was 95.5±12 Ω for monophasic test shocks and 97.2±13 Ω for biphasic test shocks.

Of the 100 patients studied, 23 (23%) developed AF during their postoperative course. The onset of AF occurred at a mean of 2.1±1.3 days postoperatively.

Two patients had self-limiting episodes of AF that converted to SR spontaneously before electrical cardioversion. Another case of AF was treated medically because the patient removed the defibrillation wires himself in a state of temporary neurological impairment.

The remaining 20 patients were treated by internal atrial defibrillation. In 16 patients (80%), AF was successfully converted to SR with a mean shock energy of 5.2±3 J.

ERAF occurred in 8 patients. Four patients converted to SR within 48 hours of receiving antiarrhythmic medication. The other 4 patients with ERAF were successfully cardioverted with a mean shock energy of 9.1±3 J after 48 hours of receiving antiarrhythmic medication. Five patients experienced multiple episodes of AF (2 experienced 2 episodes; 1 experienced 3 episodes; and 1 experienced 6 episodes). LRAF was terminated by single shocks with the same energy as the first successful shock in all patients. The interval between multiple episodes of LRAF ranged from 16 hours to 7 days. The latest onset of an LRAF episode occurred in a 76-year-old patient 17 days after aortic valve replacement. She was cardioverted with a 6-J shock and maintained SR until hospital discharge.
In total, 35 episodes of spontaneous AF were treated by internal atrial defibrillation, with a success rate of 88% (31/35).

Most cardioversions was performed in the cardiac surgical ward without anesthesia. Only 6 of the 20 electrically treated patients (30%) required sedation or analgesia. No general anesthesia was used.

The wires were removed at a mean interval of 5.7±1.9 days postoperatively by simple transcutaneous traction. No patient left the hospital with the wires in place. No complications related to the extraction of the wires (in particular, no bleeding) were observed.

Discussion

Although AF after open heart surgery generally carries a favorable prognosis, hemodynamic deterioration and systemic embolization may ensue. Hemodynamic instability is more common in patients with poor left ventricular function (ejection fraction <30%), in whom postoperative AF is more likely to occur.3,5

Cerebrovascular accidents after open heart surgery are more common in patients with AF.3,14,15 Creswell et al1 reported that postoperative AF increased the risk of postoperative stroke from 1.4% to 3.3% (P<0.0005). Others found a significantly higher combined incidence of stroke and transient ischemic attacks in patients with AF after CABG (60% versus 18%, respectively; P<0.0005).15 Moreover, the development of AF after open heart surgery prolongs the hospital stay and increases the costs of treatment.2,3,5,15,16

Knowledge of the pathophysiology of AF after open heart surgery is incomplete. Thus, antiarrhythmic medication aimed at slowing the ventricular rate with a variety of drugs, including digitalis, β-blockers, and calcium channel antagonists, is usually prescribed. Other antiarrhythmics such as quinidine, propafenone, procainamide, disopyramide, amiodarone, and sotalol are frequently used to restore SR. These drugs, however, carry the risk of potentially serious side effects. Quinidine, the antiarrhythmic most commonly used to treat AF, has been shown to lead to a 3-fold increase in unadjusted mortality rate of treated patients compared with control subjects.11

Usually, transthoracic cardioversion is only used when SR cannot be restored by other means or when control of the ventricular rate is insufficient.

The feasibility of internal electrical cardioversion of atrial tachycardias has been shown in animal18,19 and clinical studies.12,13,20,21 Using a canine sterile pericarditis model, Ortiz et al11 demonstrated that atrial defibrillation could be accomplished by low-energy shocks delivered through epicardial wire electrodes.

Temporary pacing leads are routinely used after open heart surgery. The electrical properties of the wire electrodes used in the present study included both bipolar atrial sensing and pacing, as well as biaxial defibrillation. Adequate sensing and secure pacing were achieved in both atria. The mean lead impedance during test shock application with this system was significantly higher than that reported by Schmitt et al21 using transvenous leads in 25 patients after failed transthoracic cardioversion. These findings correspond to the results of Ortiz et al,11 who compared epicardial wire electrodes with transvenous electrodes in dogs. The higher impedance of epicardial electrodes probably reflects the inclusion of more atrial musculature within the electrical field between the electrodes. In contrast to Cmolik et al10 and Ortiz et al,11 who used a straight lead configuration, we placed the electrodes uniformly in an O-shaped manner. The straight configuration bears the risk of lead dislodgement and consecutive loss of capture. Furthermore, we intended to use all 10 cm of the defibrillation electrode to obtain the maximal shock impedance. From an electrical point of view, the O-shaped configuration should be preferred because higher shock impedance means less shock energy. Although our method is more time-consuming, we felt comfortable with a stable position and optimal electrical values.

The primary goal of this study was to investigate the safety and efficacy of epicardial cardioversion in patients after cardiac surgery. We demonstrated a primary success rate of 80% and an overall success rate of 88% with energy as low as 5.2±3 J. Because this is the first study in humans that used epicardial defibrillation electrodes to treat postoperative AF, no data are available in the literature to compare the energy required for successful cardioversion. In transvenous cardioversion of chronic AF,12,20,21 similar energies were used to restore SR. In the present study, most patients (70%) did not request sedation or analgesia.

A high incidence of ERAF was an important observation in the present study. We cannot determine whether concomitant antiarrhythmic medication is helpful in maintaining SR after
electrical cardioversion on the basis of our data. Further studies are required to clarify this issue.

We conclude that atrial defibrillation with temporary epicardial wire electrodes can be performed effectively without anesthesia in patients after open heart surgery. Easy placement and uncomplicated removal of the wires, as well as a quick and safe atrial shock application, are advantages of the system we used. Because the shock intensity needed to defibrillate the atria is low, cardioversion is well tolerated by patients and can be performed in the ward. Thus, internal atrial defibrillation is a valuable tool in patients with AF after open heart surgery.
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