Total Urinary Catechol Excretion in Cardiovascular and Other Clinical Conditions
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Although colorimetry (method of Shaw) permits neither a differentiation of epinephrine and norepinephrine nor their separation from other catechols, it reveals the total urinary catechol excretion, including those portions which were inactivated in the body. No significant abnormalities were found in arterial hypertension, in congestive heart failure, after myocardial infarction and during emotional stress. Thoracolumbar sympathectomy depressed total catechol excretion temporarily. In renal uremia, the regularly demonstrable elevation of the blood catechols was paralleled by a diminution of the conjugated catechols in the urine. It appears to be due to renal retention.

The increasing appreciation of the pathogenic importance of sympathoadrenal neurosecretion in cardiovascular pathology has prompted various attempts to gauge the state of function of the sympathetic nervous system and of the adrenal medulla by means of assay of epinephrine, norepinephrine and related compounds in the urine. Holtz and co-workers detected increased amounts of pharmacodynamically active catecholamines in the urine of 16 out of 23 patients with arterial hypertension. This was confirmed by Kroneberg and Schümann but Goldenberg and Rapport recorded abnormally high values in only 2 out of 14 patients with essential hypertension.

The colorimetric test of Shaw permits the isolation and recovery of all chromogenic catechol compounds. It reveals the presence in the urine of chromogenic material far in excess of what can be assumed to be the combined amounts of active epinephrine, norepinephrine and hydroxytyramine. In addition, acid hydrolysis intensifies the color reaction markedly. It has been concluded, therefore, that the urine contains, beside the active material, considerable quantities of both free and conjugated derivatives of deaminated epinephrine and norepinephrine with an intact catechol nucleus.

Using the colorimetric assay of total catechols in the nonhydrolyzed and hydrolyzed urines of normal and hypertensive individuals, Kroneberg and Schümann did not observe any difference between the two groups regarding the nonconjugated chromogenic catechols but the conjugated catechols, as recovered by hydrolysis, appeared to be markedly augmented in the hypertensive group (average +73 per cent). Nuzum and Bischoff did not confirm the latter finding. In their series, no significant difference was apparent in the urines of normal and hypertensive subjects. Only in three patients with myocardial infarction did they observe an increased ratio of the readings from hydrolyzed and nonhydrolyzed urine.

Shaw’s so-called “specificity test” (color ratio of alkali- and acid-treated specimens), upon which Nuzum and Bischoff based their calculation of the absolute amounts of excreted epinephrine, is not suitable for the differentiation of epinephrine and norepinephrine since it applies to both substances. Moreover, the difference in color intensity yielded by epinephrine and norepinephrine (4.4 parts norepinephrine equalling 1 part epinephrine), and the uncertainty regarding the degree of chromogenicity of the other participating (deaminated?) catechol compounds in the urine impair the conclusiveness of the “specificity” ratio.

In the following, we shall report the total color readings obtained in nonhydrolyzed and...
hydrolyzed urine specimens of normal individuals and of patients with cardiovascular diseases and other clinical conditions.

**METHODS**

In most instances, the urine specimens were collected over 12-hour periods (from 7 p.m. to 7 a.m.) in vessels which contained sodium bisulphite (about 10 per cent) for stabilization of the catecholamines. Following the procedure described by Kroneberg and Schümann, the urine volumes were brought up to 1000 cc. with distilled water. Ten cc. of the diluted urine were again diluted with 80 cc. of distilled water. Two cc. of this second dilution were placed in each of two test tubes for further analysis with the modified method of Shaw, as described in detail elsewhere, using epinephrine standards which were kept constant with a Coleman photoelectric colorimeter. To another 10 cc. of the first dilution (the pH of which had been determined), 0.2 cc. of concentrated sulfuric acid was added, followed by hydrolysis in a boiling water bath for 15 minutes. Subsequently, the original pH was restored through addition of an appropriate amount of 30 per cent sodium hydroxide. Now one part of the hydrolyzed urine was likewise diluted with eight parts of distilled water, and 1 cc. was placed in each of the test tubes to be processed colorimetrically in the same way as the nonhydrolyzed samples. Three parallel determinations (three test tubes) were made for the nonhydrolyzed and for the hydrolyzed urines, respectively. The amounts of nonconjugated and total (nonconjugated plus conjugated) catechols, excreted in 12 hours and expressed in milligram epinephrine color equivalents (number of milligrams of epinephrine giving identical color effect), were calculated by multiplying the number of color units, read from the standard curve, with 0.098 for nonhydrolyzed and 0.016 for hydrolyzed urine.

In model tests, the chromogenicity of neither epinephrine nor norepinephrine was significantly altered by the process of hydrolysis. The supernatant fluid over the alkaline aluminum hydroxide adsorbates of nonhydrolyzed urine samples contained chromogenic material which was not present in the supernatant fluid of equally prepared adsorbates from hydrolyzed urine. Thus, it appears that hydrolysis had made previously conjugated and therefore unadsorbable catechols suitable for adsorption and colorimetry.

Neither the addition of the preservative nor allowing the specimens of urine to stand at room temperature for as long as 24 hours caused any significant alteration of the colorimetric results.

**RESULTS**

(a) **Under Normal Conditions.** One hundred and fifty-nine tests, carried out on the night urines of 69 hospitalized normotensive patients in whom no major abnormality of sympathoadrenal function was suspected, yielded values which corresponded rather closely to 29 analo-

---

**Table 1.—Catechol Excretion in 12 Hour Night Urine Specimens**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal students</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2.1 (0.9-3.4)</td>
<td>5.0 (1.6-9.7)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.0-4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normotensive hospitalized patients</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>2.2 (0.2-11.2)</td>
<td>4.4 (0.4-19.2)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.0-7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, group I (bl. pr. 170/100 and above)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.8 (0.6-15.3)</td>
<td>5.2 (1.5-17.0)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.0-4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, group II (bl. pr. 150/90 to 170/100)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2.8 (0.8-6.4)</td>
<td>4.5 (0.9-11.2)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.0-2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent myocardial infarction</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.9 (0.9-9.6)</td>
<td>6.7 (1.5-15.6)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.0-5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive cardiac failure</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.3 (0.4-7.4)</td>
<td>3.6 (0.6-9.7)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.3-2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy (6th to 9th month)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.0 (0.6-6.1)</td>
<td>3.7 (1.0-6.8)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.1-6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panhypopituitarism</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.7 (0.4-1.2)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.4-1.8)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.0-3.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.—Day and Night Catechol Excretion by Hospitalized Patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 a.m. to 7 p.m.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.5 (0.5-4.6)</td>
<td>5.3 (1.2-12.0)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.1-5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 p.m. to 7 a.m.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.7 (0.7-6.3)</td>
<td>5.2 (0.7-13.4)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.1-5.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 3.—Catechol Excretion by Normal Students at Ease and under Emotional Tension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12-hour night specimens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midsemester</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2.1 (0.9–3.4)</td>
<td>5.0 (1.6–9.7)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.0–4.0)</td>
<td>117/70</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night before exam</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2.7 (1.0–5.1)</td>
<td>5.7 (1.3–9.6)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.2–3.7)</td>
<td>129/75</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-hour forenoon specimens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midsemester</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.0 (0.6–1.7)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.7–4.1)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.1–3.0)</td>
<td>115/69</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During exam</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.0 (0.6–2.5)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.9–3.9)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.3–4.0)</td>
<td>128/75</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

gous tests done on the night urines of 29 healthy students (table 1).

(b) Day and Night Values. No significant difference was found between the day and night catechol excretion in 34 tests on 10 hospitalized individuals (table 2).

(c) Effect of Emotional Tension. In 29 medical students, the urinary catechol excretion during the night preceding an examination did not differ significantly from that observed in midsemester (table 3). Neither did tests on 14 students show any difference between the excretion during four-hour periods which were filled with written examinations, and that during corresponding four-hour periods on ordinary class days (table 3); blood pressure and heart rate, on the other hand, were significantly elevated immediately before the examinations.

(d) Findings in Arterial Hypertension (table 1). Fifty-two tests were carried out on the night urines of 16 hospitalized patients with moderate to severe, but uncomplicated hypertension (blood pressure from 170/100 up) and 27 tests on the night urines of 15 patients with mild hypertension (150/90 to 170/100). There were no significant differences in catechol excretion between these two hypertensive groups, nor between the hypertensive and the normotensive groups. In cases in which tests were carried out repeatedly, the day-to-day variations proved considerable, both among normotensive and hypertensive individuals. (See fig. 1.)

(e) Effect of Thoracolumbar Sympathectomy. Twelve-hour night specimens were taken from two hypertensive women before operation, after left thoracolumbar sympathectomy, and later after additional right thoracolumbar sympathectomy (fig. 1 and table 4). In case F. R., only hydrolyzed urine samples were tested. Significant diminutions of the average catechol excretion were observed following left sympathectomy in both cases, but in the period following the final contralateral operation no further diminution of the readings was noted. In case F. R., the values even returned to and above the preoperative level. The blood

![Figure 1](http://circ.ahajournals.org/)

**Fig. 1.** Case E. B. Essential hypertension. Bilateral sympathectomy. Follow-up of PSP tests; blood pressure levels; blood catechol concentrations; urinary catechol excretion (night urine specimens). The lower contour represents the nonhydrolyzed, the upper contour the hydrolyzed urine readings; thus the width of the black band indicates the amount of conjugated catechols.
TABLE 4.—Urine-, Blood- and Sympathetic Tissue Catechols in Two Sympathectomized Hypertensive Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Clinical Phases</th>
<th>No. Specimens</th>
<th>Night Urine Catechols</th>
<th>Blood Catechols</th>
<th>Sympathetic Tissue Catechols</th>
<th>Blood Pressure (mm. Hg)</th>
<th>C.u./Gm.1</th>
<th>Systolic</th>
<th>Diastolic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E. B., 9 yrs.</td>
<td>Before operation</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.0 (1.6-9.2)</td>
<td>226 (198-255)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>212-240</td>
<td>120-150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After l. sympath.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.9 (0.7-4.3)</td>
<td>92 (85-100)</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>130-240</td>
<td>75-134</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After r. sympath.</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.3 (0.9-3.0)</td>
<td>264 (152-370)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>115-235</td>
<td>75-132</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. R., 9 yrs.</td>
<td>Before operation</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>216 (142-270)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>200-240</td>
<td>100-150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After l. sympath.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>273 (246-300)</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>160-220</td>
<td>104-130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After r. sympath.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>235 (205-285)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>80-108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 mos. later</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>220-240</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>138-145</td>
<td>86-90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Color units (each = 0.001 microgram epinephrine) per cc.
† Color units (each = 0.001 microgram epinephrine) per gram.

TABLE 5.—Total Urinary Catechol Excretion during Infusion of Epinephrine and Norepinephrine. Two Studies on Same Volunteer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of Urine Sample Collection</th>
<th>Material Infused (micrograms)</th>
<th>Vol. of Urine Specimens (cc.)</th>
<th>Hydrolyzed Urine Catechols (Microgram Epinephrine Color Equivalents)</th>
<th>Total Amounts Catecholamines Infused</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>min.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Epinephrine 186</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Epinephrine 186</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Epinephrine 279</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Epinephrine 279</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Norepinephrine 372</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Norepinephrine 372</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Norepinephrine 558</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Norepinephrine 558</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

catechol concentrations varied rather widely both before and after the operations without showing any postoperative downward trend, except temporarily in case E. B. (fig. 1).

In both patients F. R. and E. B. the removed sympathetic tissue was colorimetrically examined (table 4). The two first removed left sympathetics contained 2,740 and 3,111 color units (each unit equalling 0.001 microgram of epinephrine) per gram, respectively,
while the later removed right sympathetic tissue contained only 242 and 301 color units per gram, respectively. Thus, the last removed sympathetic tissues contained in both cases approximately 90 per cent less catechols than their earlier extirpated counterparts.

(f) Effect of Infusions of Epinephrine and Norepinephrine. On two different days, a normal volunteer received intravenous infusions of epinephrine (0.93 mg.) and norepinephrine (1.86 mg.) over periods of one and two hours, respectively. The urine was collected by catheter (table 5). During the epinephrine infusion, the catechol excretion was slightly increased; during the infusion of norepinephrine, on the other hand, it appeared to be diminished.

(g) Findings in Uremia. In 10 patients with uremia and high blood catechol concentrations (table 6), a total of 28 night urine specimens was examined. The average amount of catechols recovered from the nonhydrolyzed urines was only slightly below the normal average but that from the hydrolyzed urines was about 25 per cent below normal.

(h) Findings after Recent Myocardial Infarction. In five cases of myocardial infarction, 16 twelve-hour night urine specimens were collected on the days on which the infarctions occurred and/or during the following few days (table 1). The readings were high in one case but near normal in the others.

(i) Findings in Congestive Heart Failure. In 12 patients with congestive heart failure (32 tests) the nonhydrolyzed urines gave a normal average reading; the average reading of the hydrolyzed specimens was slightly below normal (table 1).

(j) Influence of Pregnancy. In nine women in the sixth to ninth month of pregnancy, the values were not significantly different from those found in nonpregnant women (table 1).

(k) Influence of Hypopituitarism. In nine tests on three patients, the catechol excretion was consistently subnormal (table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

In accordance with the findings of Nuzum and Bischoff, the statement of Kroneberg and Schümann that the ratio of hydrolyzed to nonhydrolyzed catechols is increased in the urine of hypertensive individuals could not be confirmed. We did not find any significant difference between the urines of normotensive persons (hospitalized and nonhospitalized) on the one hand, and those of mildly or severely hypertensive patients on the other, except

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>N.P.N. mg%</th>
<th>No. Urine Specimens</th>
<th>12-Hour Night Urine Catechols</th>
<th>Blood Catechols c.u./cc.*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nonhydrolyzed Urine (Avg. and Range)</td>
<td>Hydrolyzed Urine (Avg. and Range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Mg. Epinephrine Color Equivalents)</td>
<td>(Mg. Epinephrine Color Equivalents)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.0 (1.1-4.3)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.1-5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.8 (0.9-2.6)</td>
<td>4.3 (2.0-6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.0 (1.0-4.0)</td>
<td>4.9 (1.8-7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0 (2.0-2.0)</td>
<td>2.0 (2.0-2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7 (0.5-0.9)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.8-0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8 (0.8-0.8)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.8-0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.7 (0.0-1.8)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.0-2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.8 (1.1-3.4)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.6-7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.8 (2.5-5.1)</td>
<td>6.1 (4.5-7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.1 (0.8-3.4)</td>
<td>3.1 (0.9-5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total...</td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.9 (0.0-5.1)</td>
<td>3.2 (0.0-7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal...</td>
<td></td>
<td>159</td>
<td>2.2 (0.2-11.2)</td>
<td>4.4 (0.4-19.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Color units (each = 0.001 microgram epinephrine) per cc.  
† Nonprotein nitrogen.
perhaps a slight augmentation of the non-
hydrolyzed catechols in the latter groups.

We do not believe that our essentially nega-
tive findings disprove the possibility of an in-
creased sympathogenic secretion of norepi-
nephrine into the cardiovascular muscular
tissue in arterial hypertension and in states of
emotion, excitement. A substantial portion of
the locally discharged catecholamines is prob-
ably destroyed right on the spot and the
amounts of active sympathomimetic cate-
cholamines which can be assumed to be re-
sponsible for the clinical cardiovascular tone at
a given time form only a small fraction of the
total catechols excreted in the urine. Their
fluctuations may be easily overshadowed by
the bulk of pharmacodynamically inactive
chromogenic compounds. Norepinephrine, be-
cause of its relatively weak color intensity,
is particularly unlikely to markedly influence
the total color readings.

Only about 10 per cent of infused epineph-
rine were colorimetrically recovered in the
urine during the infusion while infused norepi-
nephrine could not be demonstrated at all in
the specimens collected during and within four
hours after the infusion. These results are not
surprising since it was found by Bacq11 that
infused epinephrine is rapidly stored by the
tissues and then again slowly but only partially
released so that free active epinephrine ap-
pears in the urine only in small quantities
within an hour after infusion. Conjugated in-
active epinephrine is excreted even more slowly.
Not more than 5 per cent were recovered over
a period of eight hours. The excess output of
active norepinephrine during intravenous in-
fusion of this catecholamine, as observed by
von Euler and Luft,13 was only 1.5 to 2.5 per
cent of the infused quantity. Thus, the time
element, as well as enzymatic destruction of
part of the sympathomimetic catechols in the
body, constitute additional factors of uncer-
tainty in attempts to evaluate adrenosympa-
thetic neurosecretory activity by the deter-
mination of total urinary catechol excretion.

The markedly reduced catechol concentra-
tion in the sympathetic nerve tissue, excised
two weeks after contralateral sympathectomy,
suggests the possibility that after removal of
major sections of sympathetic nervous tissue,
the remaining portions of the sympathetic
nervous system intensify their catechol-
discharging activity at the expense of their
reserves, thus maintaining a more or less un-
diminished total catechol output, even though
from differently distributed areas, possibly
including the brain.13 The relatively unchar-
acteristic behavior of the blood and urine
catecholamines would seem to be consistent with
this hypothesis.

Our observations on patients with recent
myocardial infarction and with congestive heart
failure do not suggest any specific abnormalities
of catechol excretion. The same can be said
of pregnancy. The markedly subnormal cate-
chol excretion in hypopituitarism, a condition
which is usually accompanied by postural hy-
potension, may constitute an analogy to the
low excretion of norepinephrine and epineph-
rine which was observed by Luft and von
Euler14 in patients with orthostatic hypotension.

In 10 renal uremic patients, the colorimetric
urine readings suggested an impairment of ex-
cration, especially of the conjugated (hydro-
lyzed) catechols. This is of interest insofar as
it seems to explain the regularly observed ele-
vation of the blood catechol concentration in
uremia15 and the resulting "false positive"
benzodioxane and Regitine tests in such pa-
tients.16, 17, 18

**Summary**

In 530 urine specimens, obtained from 169
subjects (usually for the period of 7 p.m. to
7 a.m.), the total catechol excretion was de-
termined colorimetrically by the method of
Shaw. Since part of the catecholamines, se-
creted by the adrenal medulla and by the
sympathetic nerves, is probably destroyed in
the body, and since the urine readings include
large portions of pharmacodynamically rela-
tively inactive catechol compounds, the colori-
metric results do not present a clear quantita-
tive picture of the neurosecretory discharges of
pharmacodynamically active norepinephrine
and epinephrine at a given time. Part of the
latter substances is excreted in a sulfoncon-
jugated, inactive, but colorimetrically re-
coverable, form. A differentiation of epinephrine and norepinephrine by means of the Shaw method is not possible, contrary to statements which have appeared in the literature.

No regularly or grossly abnormal total catechol excretions were observed in patients with arterial hypertension, congestive heart failure or myocardial infarction, or in persons in a state of emotional tension. There was no significant difference between day and night excretion. In three patients with hypopituitarism, the catechol excretion was markedly sub-normal.

Infusion of epinephrine increased the total catechol readings only slightly; infused norepinephrine could not be detected in the urine by colorimetry.

Unilateral thoracolumbar sympathectomy was followed by a transient diminution of the urinary catechols, but this was not further accentuated by subsequent contralateral sympathectomy. The catechols of the sympathetic tissue, obtained at the second operation, and compared with the first excised tissue, appeared to be reduced by 90 per cent in two patients examined. This suggests that after partial sympathectomy there is a compensatory overdischarge from the remaining sympathetic elements.

In uremic patients, the excretion of the conjugated catechols was significantly diminished. This is consistent with the regular and characteristic finding of elevated, presumably retained, blood catechols in renal uremia.

SUMARIO ESPAÑOL

En 530 especímenes de orina obtenidos en 169 sujetos (usualmente en el período de 7 p.m. a 7 a.m.), la excreción total de catecol fue determinada calorimétricamente por el método de Shaw. Como parte de las catecolaminas, secretadas por la médula adrenal y por nervios simpáticos, es probablemente destruida en el cuerpo y como las lecturas en las orinas incluyen grandes porciones de relativamente inertes farmaco-dinámicamente compuestos de catecol, los resultados calorimétricos no representan un cuadro cuantitativo claro de las descargas neurosecretoras de norepinefrina o epinefrina farmaco-dinámicamente activa en un tiempo dado. Parte de las substancias últimas son excretadas en formas sulfoconjugadas, inactivas, pero calorimétricamente recobrables. Una diferenciación entre epinefrina y norepinefrina por medio del método de Shaw no es posible, contrario a manifestaciones que han aparecido en la literatura.

Las excreciones de catecol total observadas en pacientes con hipertensión arterial, decompensación cardiaca o infartos del miocardio, o en personas en estados de tensión emocional, no fueron regularmente o crasamente anormales. No hubo diferencia significativa entre la excreción diurna o nocturna. En tres pacientes con hipopituitarismo, la excreción de catecol fue marcadamente subnormal.

La infusión de epinefrina aumentó las lecturas de catecol total por muy poco solamente, infusión de norepinefrina no se pudo descubrir en la orina por colorimetría. Simpatectomía unilateral toracolumbar fué seguida por una diminución transitoria de catecolesurinarios pero esto no fué aumentado más con una simpatectomía contralateral. Los catecoles del tejido simpático, obtenidos en la segunda operación y comparados con los tejidos de la primera, aparecieron reducidos 90 por ciento en dos pacientes examinados. Esto sugiere que luego de una simpatectomía parcial hay una sobre-descarga compensatoria del tejido simpático restante. En pacientes urémicos, la excreción de los catecoles conjugados fué significativamente disminuida. Esto es consistente con el hallazgo regular y característico de catecoles elevados presumiblemente retenidos en la uremia renal.

REFERENCES


Total Urinary Catechol Excretion in Cardiovascular and Other Clinical Conditions
WILHELM RAAB and WILDA GIGEE

Circulation. 1954;9:592-599
doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.9.4.592

Circulation is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231
Copyright © 1954 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.
Print ISSN: 0009-7322. Online ISSN: 1524-4539

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:
http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/9/4/592

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in Circulation can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the Permissions and Rights Question and Answer document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
http://www.lww.com/reprints

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to Circulation is online at:
http://circ.ahajournals.org//subscriptions/