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Effects on Progression of Coronary Artery Disease
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Background. Significant regression of coronary and femoral atherosclerotic lesions has been documented by angiographic studies using aggressive lipid-lowering treatment. This study tested the applicability and effects of intensive physical exercise and low-fat diet on coronary morphology and myocardial perfusion in nonselected patients with stable angina pectoris.

Methods and Results. Patients were recruited after routine coronary angiography for stable angina pectoris; they were randomized to an intervention group (n=56) and a control group on “usual care” (n=57). Treatment comprised intensive physical exercise in group training sessions (minimum, 2 hr/wk), daily home exercise periods (20 min/d), and low-fat, low-cholesterol diet (American Heart Association recommendation, phase 3). No lipid-lowering agents were prescribed. After 12 months of participation, repeat coronary angiography was performed; relative and minimal diameter reductions of coronary lesions were measured by digital image processing. Change in myocardial perfusion was assessed by thallium scintigraphy. In patients participating in the intervention group, body weight decreased by 5% (p<0.001), total cholesterol by 10% (p<0.001), and triglycerides by 24% (p<0.001); high density lipoproteins increased by 3% (p=NS). Physical work capacity improved by 23% (p<0.0001), and myocardial oxygen consumption, as estimated from maximal rate-pressure product, by 10% (p<0.05). Stress-induced myocardial ischemia decreased concurrently, indicating improvement of myocardial perfusion. Based on minimal lesion diameter, progression of coronary lesions was noted in nine patients (23%), no change in 18 patients (45%), and regression in 13 patients (32%). In the control group, metabolic and hemodynamic variables remained essentially unchanged, whereas progression of coronary lesions was noted in 25 patients (48%), no change in 18 patients (35%), and regression in nine patients (17%). These changes were significantly different from the intervention group (p<0.05).

Conclusions. In patients participating in regular physical exercise and low-fat diet, coronary artery disease progresses at a slower pace compared with a control group on usual care. (Circulation 1992;86:1-11)
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Previously published studies have shown that normalization of elevated abnormal lipoprotein levels retards progression of coronary or femoral atherosclerotic lesions; even regression of plaques may be induced in some patients.1–6 These beneficial effects of lipid modification are associated with fewer clinical events and decreased mortality from coronary artery disease.6 By eliminating foods rich in saturated fats and cholesterol, correcting overweight, and exercising on a regular basis, satisfactory results can be achieved with respect to serum lipoprotein levels, provided patients can be motivated to dedicate their time and effort toward this goal.6,8 Therefore, the success of this form of therapy depends largely on the patient’s determination and self-discipline to achieve certain goals with respect to dietary changes and regular physical exercise. In hand-picked individuals endowed with exceptional motivation and determination, impressive changes are feasible; in nonselected, average patients with coronary artery disease, effects of lifestyle intervention are modest.10 Nevertheless, after testing the feasibility of low-fat diet and regular physical exercise as a therapeutic regimen in a pilot study,7 this study was initiated to assess its effect on myocardial perfusion and the progression of coronary atherosclerosis.

Methods

Patient Selection and Assignment

Patients participating in this study were recruited after routine coronary angiography for angina pectoris. Inclusion criteria were male gender, stable symptoms, willingness to participate in the study for at least 12 months, coronary artery stenoses well documented by angiography, and permanent residence within 25 km of the training facilities at Heidelberg. Exclusion criteria were unstable angina pectoris, left main coronary artery stenosis >25% luminal diameter reduction, severely
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depressed left ventricular function (ejection fraction <35%), significant valvular heart disease, insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, primary hypercholesterolemia (type II hyperlipoproteinemia, low density lipoprotein [LDL] >210 mg/dl), and occupational, orthopedic, and other conditions precluding regular participation in exercise sessions. All patients satisfying these conditions were offered the opportunity to participate; no one was rejected on the basis of "probable noncompliance."

After an introductory session during which patients were familiarized with the aims of the study, randomization process, and alternative therapeutic approaches, their written consent was obtained. Sealed envelopes were used to randomize patients between intervention and control groups.

The investigational protocol was approved by the ethical committee for human studies at the University of Heidelberg.

Cardiac Catheterization

Cardiac catheterization was performed by the femoral approach; left ventriculography in two orthogonal projections was followed by coronary angiography according to the Judkins technique. A minimum of six standard projections was obtained and supplemented by additional angulations to accomplish optimal visualization of all stenotic segments in several projections. During follow-up angiography at 12 months, identical projections were reproduced according to the protocol followed initially. Vasodilators (nitroglycerin, calcium channel blockers) were stopped 24 hours before catheterization, and none were used during the procedure.

Digital Image Processing

Evaluation of coronary angiograms was performed by two technicians blinded to the sequence of films and the patient's identity or group assignment. Films were viewed in pairs using two 35-mm cineangiographic projectors (Vanguard Instruments Inc., Melville, N.Y.). Each segment of the coronary tree was examined carefully for changes in luminal diameter. After identification of stenoses, corresponding projections were lined up near end diastole; both regions of interest containing the stenotic segment were magnified ×2.5 by optical zoom. Images were read by a television camera, and, after digitization, transferred to the image-processing system (KONTRON MIPRON), where they were stored in a 512×512 matrix. As all images were obtained in the 6-in. angiographic mode, pincushion distortion was minimal and correction was not essential. coronary vessel boundaries were identified by an automated edge-detection algorithm. The procedure for contour detection requires the operator to indicate several points located in the center of the magnified arterial segment. A definite centerline is calculated automatically, and digital data are sampled along straight lines perpendicular to the centerline. The vessel boundary is determined on the basis of the weighted sums of first and second derivative functions.

Minimal stenosis diameter (D_{min}) is measured and compared with the adjacent normal reference diameter (D_r). Percentage diameter reduction is calculated as

\[ \%D = \frac{D_r - D_{min}}{D_r} \]

No attempt was made to calculate the longitudinal dimension of lesions or atherosclerotic mass, because these variables have demonstrated considerable variability. Because of the limited resolution of digital image processing, lesions located in side branches <1 mm in diameter were not analyzed.

To assess the interindividual variability of relative diameter reduction determination, 25 stenoses were analyzed three times on different days by three technicians. The standard deviation between repeated measurements of percentage diameter reduction was 4.4%. Consequently, only changes between sequential measurements exceeding 10% (2 SD) were considered as relevant. Stenoses with <10% change in diameter reduction were classified as unchanged (grade ±0). A positive difference >10% between baseline and end was graded as progression (grade +1), and a negative difference >10% was graded as regression (grade -1). Any lesion necessitating intervention by percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty or coronary artery bypass surgery was given the grade +3.

Absolute minimal stenosis diameter was obtained by using the distal portion of the coronary catheter as a reference source. Variability of this method was assessed by measuring 30 coronary segments entirely free of atherosclerotic lesions at baseline and 12 months. Mean absolute diameters differed by 1% (3.03 versus 2.99 mm); variability of individual measurements from baseline to 12 months was ±0.09 mm. Therefore, changes exceeding ±0.18 mm (2 SD) were graded as progression or regression, respectively. Changes in minimal stenosis diameter correlated well with changes of relative diameter reduction (r=0.80, p<0.001). If classification of patients was based on changes of minimal stenosis diameter instead of relative diameter reduction, the incidence of regression, progression, or no change was comparable (Table 8).

Progression from subtotal occlusion to total occlusion (99% to >100%) and recanalization of previously occluded coronary arteries were not classified as progression or regression, respectively, as mechanisms not related to the atherosclerotic process may be operative in these cases.

Differences between both groups were evaluated on a per-patient basis (Table 8) and on a per-lesion basis (Table 9). In patients with multiple stenoses, the fate of each individual lesion probably cannot be considered statistically independent; therefore, each patient must be treated as a statistical unit. Moreover, in rare instances, progression and regression may occur side-by-side in one particular patient. Consequently, a single variable was calculated for each patient by adding the grades assigned to individual stenoses on the basis of percentage of diameter reduction or minimal diameter. Cases with a positive sum of grades (>0) were classified as progression, with a negative result (<0) as regression, whereas ±0 was defined as no change.

Exercise Testing and \(^{99m}\)Tl Scintigraphy

\( \text{Beta-Blockers} \) and \( \text{antianginal medications} \) were discontinued 48 hours before the test. After an overnight
fasting period, symptom-limited ECG stress testing was performed on a treadmill using a modified Balke-Ware protocol. ECG tracings and blood pressure readings were obtained every minute. Exercise was terminated when patients experienced progressive anginal chest pain, physical exhaustion, or when 3-mm horizontal ST segment depression was reached. Maximal rate-pressure product (RPP) was calculated from maximal, simultaneously recorded heart rate and systolic blood pressure at the end point of exercise. This variable has been shown to correlate reliably with myocardial oxygen consumption (MV02) over a wide range of exercise levels.

One minute before terminating the exercise test, 2 mCi 201TI was injected intravenously and exercise continued for another minute at the same or slightly reduced exercise level. Imaging was started immediately after termination of exercise with the use of a mobile gamma camera equipped with a seven-pinhole collimator. 201TI scintigrams were analyzed by a technician blinded to the patient’s identity. Left ventricular cross sections perpendicular to the long axis were reconstructed from the raw data. In these cross sections, the ischemic area was identified and expressed in degrees of left ventricular circumference. Stress-induced, reversible ischemia was calculated as the difference between early and redistribution images. This method was validated by comparing perfusion defects to histopathological findings in patients who died in the course of acute myocardial infarction.

Metabolic Variables

After an overnight fasting period, body weights were obtained and blood was drawn for measurements of serum lipids and lipoproteins (total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, high density lipoprotein cholesterol [HDL], as well as triglycerides). Specimens were obtained at baseline, 3 weeks, and at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months. For data processing, all values obtained after initiation of therapy were averaged and compared with baseline.

Assessment of Dietary Compliance

Initially and at all follow-up visits, a detailed 24-hour dietary protocol was obtained. A computer program was used to calculate consumption of total energy, fat, carbohydrates, and cholesterol. These results were correlated to objective measurements such as body weight, serum cholesterol, and triglyceride levels.

Blood Rheology

Blood was taken from the antecubital vein without tourniquet application using EDTA as anticoagulant. Plasma viscosity was determined by capillary viscometry using the Coulter-Harkness viscometer. Erythrocyte aggregation was analyzed photometrically at an adjusted hematocrit of 45±1%, using a previously described aggregometer (Myrenne GmbH). The rate constant of erythrocyte aggregate formation in stasis was determined by the increase in photovoltage during the first 8 seconds after mixing. The rate constant of erythrocyte aggregate formation was multiplied by plasma viscosity to correct for the damping of aggregate formation by plasma viscosity.

Psychological Assessment

Psychological testing and interviews were done on entry into the study and after 12 months of therapy. Patients’ “health locus-of-control” (i.e., the tendency to attribute responsibility for one’s own health and illness to oneself [internal orientation], to other people [personal-external orientation], or to chance factors [chance-external orientation]), as well as their degree of depression were assessed by means of self-report questionnaires. Patients who met the inclusion criteria to the study but decided not to participate were also asked to answer the initial set of questionnaires.

Intervention Program

Patients assigned to the intervention group stayed on a metabolic ward during the initial 3 weeks of the program, during which they were instructed how to lower the fat content of their regular diet. The guidelines they received were based on the American Heart Association recommendation, phase 3, it called for a low-fat, low-cholesterol diet (protein, 15%; carbohydrates, 65%; fat, <20% of energy; cholesterol, <200 mg; polyunsaturated–saturated fatty acids ratio, >1). Patients were asked to exercise daily at home on a cycle ergometer for a minimum of 30 minutes close to their target heart rates, which were determined as 75% of the maximal heart rate during symptom-limited exercise. During this time, patients were on their regular antianginal medication, including β-blocking agents. In addition, they were expected to participate in at least two group training sessions of 60 minutes each week. Compliance for attending group exercise sessions was 68% (range, 39–92%). Assessment of compliance rates during home exercise was based on a log book kept by the patients; although evaluation of these log books yielded comparable results, it was less reliable for obvious reasons. Aspirin and antianginal medications, including nitrates, β-blockers, and calcium channel blockers were prescribed as indicated; lipid-lowering drugs were not part of the regimen.

Informative sessions were conducted at regular intervals five times a year for patients and their spouses to discuss dietary, psychosocial, and exercise-related problems. In addition, patients were offered opportunities to discuss personal questions and problems after each training session.

Control Group

Patients assigned to the control group spent 1 week on the metabolic ward, where they received identical instructions about the necessity of regular physical exercise and how to lower fat consumption. They were served a low-fat diet corresponding to the American Heart Association recommendations, phase 1, and they were encouraged to participate in local coronary exercise groups. Adherence to these guidelines, however, was left to their own initiative, and “usual care” was rendered by their private physicians. They were asked not to take lipid-lowering medications.

Statistics

For statistical evaluation, nonparametric tests (Mann-Whitney U test, Wilcoxon signed rank test, Fisher’s exact test) were used.
TABLE 1. Patient Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of randomized patients</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>52.8±5.8</td>
<td>54.2±7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gensini score</td>
<td>31 (60)</td>
<td>40 (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous AMI (No.) (%)</td>
<td>57±9</td>
<td>55±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.7±2.5</td>
<td>26.4±2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>6.05±1.00</td>
<td>6.09±1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.92±0.24</td>
<td>0.91±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>4.24±0.69</td>
<td>4.25±0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.97±0.81</td>
<td>2.16±1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting heart rate (/min)</td>
<td>74±11</td>
<td>76±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>128±12</td>
<td>128±21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal heart rate (/min)</td>
<td>142±17</td>
<td>151±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>188±24</td>
<td>190±27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical work capacity (W)</td>
<td>159±53</td>
<td>163±47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal oxygen uptake (/min)</td>
<td>1.8±0.4</td>
<td>1.9±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal rate–pressure product</td>
<td>26.9±5</td>
<td>28.6±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201Tl perfusion defect</td>
<td>44±44°</td>
<td>42±37°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMI, acute myocardial infarction; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; HDL, high density lipoproteins; LDL, low density lipoproteins.

No significant difference between groups was detected for any variable (Mann-Whitney rank sum test, χ² analysis).

TABLE 2. Clinical Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Details of event</th>
<th>Cardiac status at baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Unstable angina; PTCA RCA at 12 months</td>
<td>3-Vessel disease; LVEF, 45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Unstable angina; PTCA LAD at 12 months</td>
<td>1-Vessel disease; LVEF, 57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Unwitnessed cardiac arrest at home; resuscitation; extensive brain damage; died 5 months later</td>
<td>3-Vessel disease; LVEF, 63%; AMI 6 months ago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Cardiac arrest after exercise at home; unsuccessful resuscitation</td>
<td>3-Vessel disease; LVEF, 35%; AMI 2 years ago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Cardiac arrest during group training session; resuscitation</td>
<td>2-Vessel disease; LVEF, 59%; AMI 1 year ago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Unstable angina; PTCA RCA at 12 months</td>
<td>3-Vessel disease; LVEF, 63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Acute myocardial infarction; cardiac arrest, resuscitation; coronary bypass surgery</td>
<td>2-Vessel disease; LVEF, 33%; AMI 2 years ago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Acute myocardial infarction; PTCA LCx at 9 months</td>
<td>2-Vessel disease; LVEF, 49%; Lateral MI 1 year ago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Acute myocardial infarction; PTCA RCA at 9 months</td>
<td>1-Vessel disease; LVEF, 46%; AMI 1 year ago</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PTCA, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty; RCA, right coronary artery; LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery; LCx, left circumflex artery; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction (%).

Results

Patient Population

A total of 113 patients met the inclusion criteria and were recruited for the study; 56 patients were randomized to the intervention program and 57 to the control group (Table 1). Their mean age was 53.5 years (range, 35–68 years); severity of coronary artery disease (Gensini score) and left ventricular performance was comparable in both groups; 66% of patients had sustained an acute myocardial infarction previously.

Dropouts and Clinical Events

Intervention group. Before initiation of therapy, five patients withdrew their consent for various nonmedical reasons, and one patient was scheduled for coronary artery bypass surgery by his private physician (Table 2). During the study period, there were four dropouts, and three patients were excluded from further participation because life-threatening arrhythmias (nonsustained ventricular tachycardia) were detected by Holter monitoring during the first training session. These patients underwent electrophysiological testing and were started on appropriate antiarrhythmic treatment; they have remained free of symptoms since then. There were three cardiac arrests: One patient greatly exceeded his training heart rate during group exercise by more than 30%, as documented by Holter monitoring, and experienced ventricular tachycardia; he was resuscitated by direct current countershock. The second patient died at home shortly after completing his daily cycle exercise. The third patient was found in the street with no vital signs; he was resuscitated but died later because of extensive brain damage. Balloon angioplasty was performed in two patients for unstable angina pectoris. Therefore, at 12 months, complete angiographic data were available in 40 patients.

Control group. One patient withdrew his consent before initiation of therapy. There were three dropouts, and one patient died of cancer. One patient experienced cardiac arrest in the course of an acute myocardial infarction but was successfully resuscitated; he later...
TABLE 3. Number and Percentage of Patients on Medication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline (No.) (%)</th>
<th>12 Months (No.) (%)</th>
<th>Change (No.) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intervention (n=40)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrates</td>
<td>29 (73)</td>
<td>28 (70)</td>
<td>-1 (-3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium blockers</td>
<td>22 (55)</td>
<td>18 (45)</td>
<td>-4 (-10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Receptor blockers</td>
<td>31 (78)</td>
<td>30 (75)</td>
<td>-1 (-3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>39 (98)</td>
<td>39 (98)</td>
<td>±0 (±0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control (n=52)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrates</td>
<td>36 (69)</td>
<td>37 (71)</td>
<td>+1 (+2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium blockers</td>
<td>31 (60)</td>
<td>28 (54)</td>
<td>-3 (-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Receptor blockers</td>
<td>38 (73)</td>
<td>40 (77)</td>
<td>+2 (+4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>50 (96)</td>
<td>50 (96)</td>
<td>±0 (±0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

underwent bypass surgery. Balloon angioplasty was performed in one patient for unstable angina pectoris and in two because of evolving myocardial infarction. At 12 months, angiographic data were available in 52 patients.

**Medical Treatment**

*Intervention group.* Initially, 78% of all patients were on β-blocking agents, 55% on calcium channel blockers, and 73% on nitrates (Table 3). At 12 months, the corresponding percentages were 75%, 45%, and 70%. No patient was on lipid-lowering drugs.

*Control group.* Initially, 73% of all patients were on β-blocking agents, 60% on calcium channel blockers, and 69% on nitrates. At 12 months, the corresponding percentages were 77%, 54%, and 71%. Lipid-lowering drugs were not prescribed to any patient.

**Smoking Status**

At baseline, six patients (11%) in the intervention group smoked (average, 8.1 cigarettes per day; range, 4–20); at 12 months, four patients (7%) continued to smoke 13.7 cigarettes per day (range, 5–20). In the control group, six patients (11%) smoked at baseline (average, 10.3 cigarettes per day; range, 1–25), at 12 months, seven patients (12%) smoked an average of 10.7 cigarettes per day (range, 5–25).

**Dietary Changes According to 24-Hour Protocol**

According to the 24-hour dietary protocols, patients in the intervention group made considerable changes in their dietary schedule: Total energy consumption decreased by 27% (p<0.001), total fat consumption by 53% (p<0.001), and cholesterol consumption by 62% (p<0.001) (Table 4). Carbohydrate consumption remained unchanged, raising the contribution to total energy uptake from 38±10% to 49±9% (p<0.0001).

Changes recorded in the control group were roughly half in magnitude compared with the intervention group: Total energy consumption decreased by 19% (p<0.001), total fat consumption by 25% (p<0.001), and total cholesterol uptake by 35% (p<0.001), whereas carbohydrate consumption remained essentially unchanged (~5%), raising the contribution to total energy uptake from 40±8% to 45±11% (p<0.01).

**Metabolic Variables**

Initially, body mass index (body weight, 26.4±2.3 kg/m² [80.2±8.5 kg]) and serum lipoproteins were only marginally elevated (total cholesterol, 6.07±1.01 mmol/l [234±40 mg/dll]) (Table 5, Figure 1). In the intervention group, body weight decreased by 5% (p<0.001), total cholesterol by 10% (p<0.001), LDL by 8% (p<0.002), and triglycerides by 24% (p<0.001); HDL increased by 3% (p=NS). In the control group, body weight and lipoprotein levels remained unchanged with the exception of triglycerides, which decreased by 17% (p<0.02). Change in body weight was significantly correlated to change in cholesterol level (r=0.38, p<0.001), as previously described.32

Compliance for attending group exercise sessions was significantly correlated with average total cholesterol levels during therapy (r=-0.51, p<0.001), average LDL levels (r=0.49, p<0.05), and average triglyceride levels (r=-0.51, p<0.001). No significant correlation was detected between physical fitness variables and lipoprotein levels.

When results obtained from the 24-hour dietary protocols were related to body weight and lipoprotein levels, no significant correlation was detected for any variable: Δ total energy uptake/Δbody weight (r=-0.02), Acholesterol consumption/Δserum cholesterol (r=0.11), Δfat consumption/Δserum triglycerides (r=0.06) (Δ=difference baseline versus 12 months).

**Hemodynamic Variables**

In the intervention group, resting heart rate decreased from 74±11 to 68±10 beats per minute (p<0.05), whereas systolic resting blood pressure remained unchanged (128±19 versus 129±18 mm Hg) (Table 6). Physical work capacity increased by 23% from 159±53 W to 195±51 W (p<0.0001), \( \text{Vo}_{2}\text{max} \)

---

**TABLE 4. Results of 24-Hour Dietary Protocols**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Change (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intervention</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy consumption (kcal/24 hr)</td>
<td>2,117±589</td>
<td>1,540±499*</td>
<td>-577±600 (-27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat consumption (g/24 hr)</td>
<td>95±43</td>
<td>45±13*†</td>
<td>-50±43* (-53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol consumption (mg/24 hr)</td>
<td>355±178</td>
<td>135±52*†</td>
<td>-220±183* (-62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate consumption (g/24 hr)</td>
<td>187±52</td>
<td>196±79</td>
<td>+9±75 (+5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy consumption (kcal/24 hr)</td>
<td>2,014±813</td>
<td>1,638±486*</td>
<td>-376±694 (-19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat consumption (g/24 hr)</td>
<td>83±44</td>
<td>62±28*</td>
<td>-21±40 (-25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol consumption (mg/24 hr)</td>
<td>357±212</td>
<td>232±139*</td>
<td>-125±215 (-35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate consumption (g/24 hr)</td>
<td>189±76</td>
<td>182±52</td>
<td>7±74 (-4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average, mean for total study period.

*Significant difference baseline vs. average (p<0.05, Wilcoxon signed rank test).
†Significant difference intervention vs. control (p<0.05, ANOVA).
TABLE 5. Metabolic Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>3 Weeks</th>
<th>3 Months</th>
<th>6 Months</th>
<th>9 Months</th>
<th>12 Months</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Change (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.7±2.5</td>
<td>25.8±2.3</td>
<td>25.4±2.6</td>
<td>25.5±2.7</td>
<td>25.3±2.7</td>
<td>25.1±2.9</td>
<td>25.4±2.8*</td>
<td>-1.3±1.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>6.05±1.00</td>
<td>4.62±0.85</td>
<td>5.46±0.98</td>
<td>5.73±1.05</td>
<td>5.69±0.98</td>
<td>5.74±1.10</td>
<td>5.44±0.87†</td>
<td>-0.61±0.83†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.92±0.24</td>
<td>0.85±0.21</td>
<td>0.93±0.27</td>
<td>0.96±0.29</td>
<td>0.97±0.26</td>
<td>1.01±0.31</td>
<td>0.94±0.23</td>
<td>+0.02±0.15 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>4.24±0.69</td>
<td>3.19±0.87</td>
<td>3.91±0.80</td>
<td>4.09±0.89</td>
<td>4.07±0.86</td>
<td>3.85±0.70*</td>
<td>-0.39±0.60 (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHOL/HDL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.97±0.81</td>
<td>1.21±0.46</td>
<td>1.48±0.68</td>
<td>1.61±0.89</td>
<td>1.58±0.83</td>
<td>1.64±0.86</td>
<td>1.50±0.61†</td>
<td>-0.47±0.53 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>3 Weeks</th>
<th>3 Months</th>
<th>6 Months</th>
<th>9 Months</th>
<th>12 Months</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Change (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.4±2.2</td>
<td>26.1±2.2</td>
<td>26.3±2.5</td>
<td>26.4±2.5</td>
<td>26.6±2.5</td>
<td>26.2±2.5</td>
<td>26.3±2.4</td>
<td>+0.03±0.8 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>6.09±1.03</td>
<td>5.88±0.96</td>
<td>6.11±0.96</td>
<td>6.22±0.91</td>
<td>6.02±0.94</td>
<td>6.08±1.04</td>
<td>6.10±0.77</td>
<td>+0.05±0.60 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.91±0.18</td>
<td>0.82±0.19</td>
<td>0.92±0.18</td>
<td>0.96±0.19</td>
<td>0.91±0.17</td>
<td>0.94±0.18</td>
<td>0.91±0.14</td>
<td>-0.00±0.13 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mmol/l)</td>
<td>4.25±0.85</td>
<td>4.31±0.94</td>
<td>4.31±0.85</td>
<td>4.44±1.00</td>
<td>4.31±0.83</td>
<td>4.37±0.87</td>
<td>4.34±0.80</td>
<td>+0.09±0.39 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHOL/HDL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>2.16±1.24</td>
<td>1.54±0.57</td>
<td>1.89±0.84</td>
<td>1.93±0.98</td>
<td>1.82±0.88</td>
<td>1.79±0.76</td>
<td>1.80±0.68*</td>
<td>-0.36±0.10 (17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average, mean for total study period (3 weeks, 3, 6, 9, 12 months); HDL, high density lipoproteins; LDL, low density lipoproteins; CHOL, total cholesterol.

*Significant difference baseline vs. average (p<0.05, Wilcoxon signed ranks test).
†Significant difference intervention vs. control (p<0.05, ANOVA).

from 1.8±0.4 l/min to 2.0±0.4 l/min (p<0.05), maximal heart rate from 142±17 to 148±17 beats per minute, and maximal systolic blood pressure from 188±24 to 198±22 mm Hg (p<0.05); consequently, maximal rate–pressure product rose by +10% from 26.9±5×10³ to 29.5±5×10³ (p<0.05) (Figure 2). At 12 months, resting heart rate, physical work capacity, maximal oxygen uptake, maximal systolic blood pressure, and maximal rate–pressure product differed significantly from the corresponding variables in the control group. In the control group, all hemodynamic variables remained essentially unchanged. (Also see Table 7.)

**TI Scintigraphy**

**Intervention group.** Immediately after termination of exercise, the size of the **TI perfusion measured 59±54%; after redistribution, it had decreased to 14±29% (Table 6). Therefore, stress-induced reversible **TI ischemia was calculated to be 44±44% at baseline. At 12 months, the corresponding values were 50±47°, 10±20°, and 39±40° (significantly different from baseline, p<0.05).

**Control group.** At baseline, the size of the perfusion defect immediately after exercise was 61±60° and after redistribution was 19±23°. Reversible stress-induced **TI ischemia was calculated to be 42±37°. At 12 months, the corresponding values were 62±61°, 22±28°, and 41±44°.

To assess the effect that progression or regression of coronary lesions had on myocardial hemodynamics, both groups were combined. In patients with progression, maximal rate–pressure product decreased significantly (−1.96±6.6×10³) compared with patients with no change (+1.71±4.85×10³) or regression (+1.74±7.56×10³, p<0.05) in whom an increase was noted. Similarly, the extent of stress-induced myocardial ischemia tended to decrease in patients with progression (−9±35°) or no change (−8±24°), whereas an increase was noted in patients with progression (+7±41°); these differences, however, did not reach statistical significance (p<0.02).

The end points for terminating the stress test are listed in Table 5. At 12 months, the number of positive ECGs in the intervention group had decreased significantly compared with the control group (p<0.05), and fewer patients stopped as a result of progressive angina pectoris (p=0.06).

**Blood Rheology**

In the intervention group, erythrocyte aggregation rate decreased by 18%, from 0.34±0.11 Pa to 0.28±0.07 Pa (p<0.002) and in the control group from 0.35±0.19 Pa to 0.31±0.07 Pa (p<0.05); at 12 months, both groups were significantly different from each other (p<0.05).

**Coronary Morphology**

In 92 patients, follow-up angiograms were available at 12 months (intervention, n=40; control, n=52). An average of 3.05 (range, 1–7) stenoses were evaluated in each patient.

**Intervention group: Evaluation on per-patient basis.** According to minimal diameter reduction, the incidence of progression noted in 23% of patients, no change in
were seven segments; recanalized. Figure 4). 0.92±0.72 versus 65±±24% (61±0) 62±61° +42 14±29° 10±20° -28 44±44° 39±40° -10 bpm, Beats per minute; 201TI perfusion defect, exercise, redistribution, perfusion defect immediately after termination of exercise (degrees of left difference ventricular circumference); 201TI perfusion defect, redistribution, perfusion defect 4 hours after termination of exercise; 201TI perfusion defect, difference, stress-induced, reversible perfusion defect (Δexercise— redistribution).

*Significantly different baseline vs. 12 months (p<0.05).
†Significantly different intervention vs. control (p<0.05).
‡Significantly different intervention vs. control (p<0.0005).
§Significantly different baseline vs. 12 months (p<0.005).

45%, and regression in 32% (Table 8, Figure 3). There were six new lesions in previously normal coronary segments; four in previously open vessels progressed to total occlusion, and four totally occluded segments were recanalized. According to relative diameter reduction, progression was noted in 20% of patients, no change in 50%, and regression in 30%.

Evaluation on per-lesion basis. No significant change was noted either in relative diameter reduction (65±24% versus 64±23%) or minimal diameter reduction (0.92±0.72 mm versus 0.91±0.67 mm) (Table 9, Figure 4).

Control group: Evaluation on per-patient basis. According to minimal diameter reduction, the incidence of progression was noted in 48% of patients, no change in 35%, and regression in 17% (Table 8, Figure 3). There were seven new lesions, seven previously open vessels occluded, and five totally occluded vessels were recanalized. With respect to relative diameter reduction, progression was noted in 42% of patients, no change in 54%, and regression in 4%. For both methods (i.e., relative diameter reduction [p<0.001] and absolute minimal diameter [p<0.05]), a statistically significant difference was detected between both groups.

Evaluation on per-lesion basis. The per-lesion analysis showed significant progression of coronary lesions with respect to relative diameter reduction (63±29% versus 66±28%, p<0.005) and minimal diameter reduction (45±32% versus 54±45%, p<0.005).

TABLE 7. Results of ECG Stress Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reasons for terminating stress test</th>
<th>Baseline (No.) (%)*</th>
<th>12 Months (No.) (%)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>11 (31)</td>
<td>19 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive angina</td>
<td>22 (61)</td>
<td>17 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST segment depression</td>
<td>15 (44)</td>
<td>8 (24)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>25 (53)</td>
<td>23 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive angina</td>
<td>21 (45)</td>
<td>21 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST segment depression</td>
<td>13 (30)</td>
<td>15 (35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cumulative percentage amounts with >100%, as patients may have more than one reason for terminating exercise.
†Significant change compared with control (p<0.05).

FIGURE 2. Graph of change of maximal rate-pressure product according to change of coronary morphology. To analyze the effect of progression or regression of coronary lesions on maximal myocardial oxygen consumption, both groups were combined. In patients with regression or no change, maximal rate-pressure product increased at 12 months, whereas in patients with progression, a significant deterioration was observed.
(1.00±0.87 mm versus 0.87±0.79 mm, p<0.05). Changes were significantly different versus intervention (p<0.05) (Table 9). Although patients with regression tended to have lower cholesterol levels after initiation of therapy (5.62±0.96 mmol/dl [217±37 mg/dl]) compared with patients with no change (5.72±0.80 mmol/l [221±31 mg/dl]) and patients with progression (5.98±0.93 mmol/l [231±36 mg/dl]), these differences did not reach statistical significance (p=0.36). Similarly, the intensity of physical activity, as assessed by participation in group training sessions, was not significantly correlated to changes in coronary morphology.

**Psychological Changes**

On the basis of psychological assessment, patients recruited for the study did not differ significantly from patients not willing to participate in either study group. There was a slight but insignificant trend for the partic-
During the initial period of strict supervision on the metabolic ward, these changes were reflected by a corresponding drop in serum lipoprotein levels (Figure 1, Table 4). In the following months, there was a considerable erosion of dietary discipline; average reductions of lipoproteins were far from those achieved during strict supervision on the metabolic ward (Table 5). Moreover, they did not nearly approach the expectations based on the evaluation by dietary protocols. Reductions of serum lipoprotein levels, which can be achieved by drug therapy or in an experimental setting, are considerably greater. In patients treated with lovastatin and colestipol (FATS study), total cholesterol decreased by 46%, and HDL increased by 15%. In the Lifestyle Heart Trial, selected and well-motivated patients were asked to consume a strictly vegetarian diet limiting cholesterol intake to 5 mg per day. In these patients, total cholesterol decreased by 24%, LDL by 37%, and HDL by 3%, whereas triglycerides increased by 22%. In everyday clinical practice, only a very small minority of patients are willing and capable of following such stringent and austere schedules; the vast majority shirks away from unrealistic expectations. Patients participating in the present study were not endowed with exceptional motivation and compliance; they were representative of the average individual with coronary artery disease coming to medical attention.

**Hemodynamic Variables and Myocardial Perfusion**

Group training sessions proved to be an important incentive to maintain a high level of physical activity. The compliance rate of 68%, which does not take into account all physical exercise that patients performed on their own or during vacation times, suggests that most patients find it more attractive to participate in sports programs than to follow dietary guidelines.

The increase in maximal rate-pressure product in the face of decreased stress-induced myocardial ischemia suggests that the effect of regular physical exercise extends to myocardial mechanics and coronary circulation, a finding supported by several other studies (Table 6).34-40 The strictly aerobic pattern of cardiac metabolism, and the near maximal oxygen extraction, even during basal conditions, precludes the use of adaptive changes encountered in skeletal muscle. An increase in peak maximal oxygen uptake is therefore effected by changes in coronary blood flow.41 Several mechanisms have been implicated by which myocardial perfusion during maximal stress may be augmented: regression of coronary lesions, an increase in collateral circulatory capacity,42,43 and improvement of blood rheology.44,45

Improvement of maximal rate-pressure product and stress-induced myocardial ischemia in patients with regression of coronary lesions emphasizes the importance of this mechanism (Figure 2); however, no net regression of coronary lesions was observed in the intervention group; moreover, a decrease in myocardial ischemia was not limited to patients with regression but also occurred in individual patients with no change or significant progression. Therefore, alternative mechanisms will probably contribute to the improvement of myocardial perfusion. Recruitment of preformed collateral channels during maximal exercise may represent a second mechanism; angiographic studies performed in humans at rest, however, have failed to substantiate this hypothesis.46,47

Possibly, these channels are utilized only during physical stress; therefore, angiographic images obtained during exercise might yield different results. Normalization of blood rheology in patients with occlusive peripheral artery disease has been shown to increase calf blood flow consistently.48 In this study, erythrocyte aggregation rate decreased by 18% (p<0.002), resulting in improved blood fluidity; the contribution of this mechanism to the reduction of stress-induced myocardial ischemia, however, remains to be determined.

**Change in Coronary Morphology**

Angiograms obtained after 12 months of intensive exercise and low-fat diet did not show predominant regression of coronary lesions. If statistical evaluation was based on individual lesions, no change was noted with respect to relative diameter reduction or minimal diameter (Tables 8 and 9, Figures 3 and 4). Therefore, this form of treatment resulted in no detectable progression of disease on the average within the observation period. In patients on usual care, however, there was considerable progression of disease; significant minimal diameter reduction was observed in 48% of patients, whereas an increase in luminal width was noted in only 17%. Nearly identical rates of progression were observed by Kramer49 (47%) and Bruschke50 (40%) in patients who were recahterized within 1 year and who did not receive any specific treatment during that time.

Combined colestipol-niacin therapy in patients after aortocoronary bypass surgery resulted in significant reduction in the average number of lesions that progressed per subject and in the percentage of subjects with new lesions.1 Average global score, however, remained unchanged in the treatment group, whereas progression was noted in the placebo group. Only compliant and responsive subjects were selected for this study in a prerandomization trial. In another study2 of similar design, lovastatin, niacin, and colestipol were used as lipid-lowering therapy in men with high levels of apolipoprotein B. Contrary to our study and the results of the CLAS study, there was predominant and significant regression of proximal coronary lesions in patients on lovastatin and colestipol (average minimal diameter, +0.012 mm) and in patients on niacin/colestipol (average minimal diameter, +0.035 mm). Predominant progression was noted in the control group (average minimal diameter, −0.05 mm).

In the Lifestyle Heart Trial,9 82% of all patients participating in the intervention group showed predominant regression of coronary atherosclerosis, and changes in lesions were strongly related to the overall adherence to lifestyle changes. Those patients who made the greatest changes showed the greatest improvement. Quite unexpectedly, 42% of the patients in the control group also showed coronary changes in the direction of regression.

In the present study, even excellent adherence to the guidelines did not invariably guarantee immunity from an adverse course; progression was observed in individual patients with dietary and exercise compliance far above the group average (LDL<2.6 mmol/l [100 mg/dl], HDL>1.5 mmol/l [60 mg/dl], heavy physical activity >10 hr/wk). On the other hand, patients with only moderate ambition and less-than-ideal results may
experience significant benefit with arrest or even regression of coronary lesions.

Clinical Events

There were several clinical events in both study groups (Table 2). Two of the cardiac arrests were clearly related to physical exercise, but only one occurred during a group training session. Details and circumstances of the unwitnessed cardiac arrest in the third case (patient 40) could not be ascertained and, therefore, are not attributable to exercise. Three patients developed life-threatening arrhythmias during the initial exercise session; they were excluded from further participation and subsequently underwent electrophysiological testing and antiarrhythmic treatment.

Physical exercise has been shown to be relatively safe during supervised training sessions.51 However, well-motivated patients, in particular, are at great risk to exceed their recommended target heart rates. Otherwise correctable arrhythmias frequently bear grave consequences whenever they occur during unsupervised exercise.52,53 Patients at highest risk of cardiac arrest during cardiac rehabilitation are those having marked ischemia on an exercise ECG, an above-average exercise capacity, and a record of poor compliance with exercise intensity guidelines.54,55 In all three patients, Holter monitor tapes obtained during previous group training sessions were available and showed heart rates above the recommended individual limit. One of these patients (patient 104) happened to undergo Holter monitoring at the time of cardiac arrest; heart rates exceeding 130% of the recommended target rate were documented immediately before the episode. An identical case was observed by Ornish in a patient who also exceeded his training recommendation during unsupervised exercise. No other factor, such as severity of coronary artery disease, left ventricular pump function, or degree of stress-induced myocardial ischemia could be identified as predictive in these patients.

Implications for Clinical Practice

The message contained in the results of this study is threefold: First, the course of coronary artery disease is amenable to dietary reduction of serum lipoproteins and regular physical exercise. Although there was no net regression of atherosclerotic lesions, coronary artery disease progressed at a significantly slower pace as compared with the control group. No clear-cut, linear relation was detected between patient compliance and directional changes of coronary lesions. Improvement of physical work capacity, myocardial oxygen consumption, and reduction of stress-induced myocardial ischemia was observed in nearly all patients participating in the intervention group. As improvement of myocardial perfusion was not limited to patients with regression of coronary lesions, other mechanisms, such as collateral circulation and blood rheology, may be operative in these patients. With respect to psychological changes, two aspects are noteworthy: Patients achieve a greater degree of mental independence from outside control of their physical well-being, and they tend to be less depressive than their counterparts in the control group. Second, intensive physical exercise is associated with an increased risk of cardiac arrest, particular in well-motivated, young patients, who frequently exceed their training recommendations not only during supervised group training sessions but also while exercising on their own. The risk of life-threatening arrhythmias inherently associated with this form of therapy needs to be carefully weighed against the benefit of less progression of coronary disease, improved physical work capacity, and reduced myocardial ischemia. Use of continuous heart rate monitoring by a portable device may contribute to increased security for these patients.51 Frequent counseling about the rules and risks of physical exercise remains an important adjunct to this form of rehabilitation. Third, observations in the control group suggest that present-day clinical practice (i.e., usual care) is largely unsuccessful in modifying certain risk factors or in affecting the unrelenting course of coronary artery disease. It is such current knowledge as this that we believe the medical profession should be challenged to better communicate to their patients.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank Susanne Hartmann, Barbara Kälberer, Sabine Methfessel, and Rosemarie Notheisen for their tireless efforts in conducting this study.

References


29. vZerrsden D: *Depressivitats-Skala*. Weinheim, Beltz, 1975


Regular physical exercise and low-fat diet. Effects on progression of coronary artery disease.
G Schuler, R Hambrecht, G Schlierf, J Niebauer, K Hauer, J Neumann, E Hoberg, A Drinkmann, F Bacher and M Grunze

Circulation. 1992;86:1-11
doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.86.1.1
Circulation is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231
Copyright © 1992 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.
Print ISSN: 0009-7322. Online ISSN: 1524-4539

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:
http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/86/1/1

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in Circulation can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the Permissions and Rights Question and Answer document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
http://www.lww.com/reprints

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to Circulation is online at:
http://circ.ahajournals.org//subscriptions/