Reduced Volume Fraction of Myofibrils in Myocardium of Patients with Decompensated Pressure Overload
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SUMMARY The relation between quantitative ultrastructural changes of the left ventricular (LV) myocardium and contractile function was studied in patients with chronic aortic stenosis (AS). The volume fractions of myofibrils, sarcoplasm and mitochondria in myocardial cells were determined by electron microscopic morphometry in small LV tissue samples of 19 patients with AS. Interstitial fibrosis was measured by light microscopic morphometry. Transmural biopsies of the LV free wall perfused by the anterior descending branch of the left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD) were obtained during aortic valve replacement. LV function was analyzed from preoperative right- and left-heart catheterization and angiography. Group 1 consisted of seven patients with ejection fractions (EFs) greater than 55% and mean left atrial pressure (LAP) less than 15 mm Hg. Group 2 consisted of 12 patients with EFs less than 55% and mean LAP greater than 15 mm Hg. Patients in group 1 had lower LV end-diastolic volume (91.9 vs 145.3 ml/m², p < 0.05) and lower LV muscle mass (148.3 vs 199.8 g/m², p < 0.05) than patients in group 2. The volume fraction of myofibrils was higher in group 1 than in group 2 (48.4 vs 42.1%, p < 0.05), while volume fractions of sarcoplasm (31.7 vs 36.0%) and mitochondria (20.9 vs 22.0%) were comparable (p > 0.05). Interstitial myocardial fibrosis did not differ between groups (16.3 vs 14.7%, p > 0.05). Biopsies from the area perfused by the LAD in 10 additional surgical patients who had coronary artery disease with moderate LAD stenosis and normal wall motion in the area of LV free wall perfused by the LAD were taken as controls for morphometric data. No significant difference of ultrastructural data was found between group 1 and controls. The volume fraction of myofibrils was lower in group 2 than in controls (42.1 vs 52.9%, p < 0.001), and the volume fraction of sarcoplasm was higher (36.0 vs 21.1%, p < 0.001). Mitochondria and interstitial fibrosis did not differ in group 2 and controls (p > 0.05).

Thus, intracellular reduction in the volume fraction of myofibrils was the major morphologic finding in LV biopsy samples of patients with decompensated pressure overload.

ULTRASTRUCTURAL degenerative changes of the myocardium in hypertrophied right and left ventricles have been proposed as morphologic correlates of impaired cardiac function. However, no attempt has been undertaken to quantify these changes by morphometry of myocardial biopsy samples. Therefore, we examined the ultrastructure of transmural myocardial biopsy tissue from patients with aortic stenosis (AS) and quantitated the intracellular volume fractions of myofibrils (contractile material), sarcoplasm and mitochondria by electron microscopic morphometry, and the interstitial myocardial fibrosis by light microscopic morphometry. We then correlated quantitative ultrastructural findings with preoperative hemodynamics in these patients.

Methods

Patients

We studied 19 surgical patients, 15 men and four women, mean age 47 ± 10 years (± sd) (range 34–66 years), who had chronic AS. All patients underwent right- and left-heart catheterization, including left ventricular (LV) angiography and selective coronary arteriography, within 2 months before operation because of angina or dyspnea on exertion (n = 15) or severe congestive symptoms (n = 4). No patient had an acute event between catheterization and operation. Ten additional patients, ages 44–61 years, who had coronary artery disease and underwent LV catheterization and angiography and selective coronary arteriography within 2 months before coronary bypass surgery were also studied. Coronary surgery was done because of chronic angina in all 10 patients. Seven patients received two grafts and three patients received three grafts. The degree of the stenosis of the left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD) was measured using the technique of Brown et al., in which the cross section of the stenosis and the pre- and poststenotic vessel segments from two perpendicular angiographic views chosen at end-diastole are measured. We have used this technique and found good reproducibility of the method. The LAD stenosis was mild to moderate (40–85% luminal reduction) and averaged 71.5 ± 13.9%. No patient had electrocardiographic signs of transmural or subendocardial anterior infarction. LAD wall motion was measured by hemiaxial shortening, as previously described, and was 43.7 ± 8.3%. Our normal value for LAD wall motion is 39.4 ± 10.3% (n = 22). Because LAD wall motion in coronary patients was not significantly different from that in normal subjects (p > 0.05), we
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considered regional function in the LAD perfusion area to be normal in the coronary group. Myocardial biopsies were obtained in the coronary group from the center of the LAD perfusion area. The 10 patients with coronary artery disease were selected from a larger series of patients studied for evaluation of myocardial ultrastructure in coronary artery disease.

Catheterization Study

All patients were studied during normal sinus rhythm without premedication. Right ventricular catheterization was done using a Brockenbrough catheter. Left-heart catheterization was performed using the transseptal approach; the aorta was catheterized using the transfemoral retrograde route. Pressures were recorded on an Oscilomink direct-writing system with Statham P23Db transducers before injection of contrast material. LV end-diastolic pressure was measured after the "a" wave. Single-plane, 35-mm cineangiograms of the left ventricle were filmed at 48 frames/sec in the 30° right anterior oblique projection after injection of 50 ml of Urografin 76. During LV injection through the Brockenbrough catheter in patients with AS, no mitral regurgitation was seen in normally conducted sinus beats. The aortic pressure pulse and the ECG were recorded simultaneously at a paper speed of 100 mm/sec. We performed aortic root angiography in all patients to estimate the degree of aortic regurgitation. Selective coronary arteriography was done using the Judkins technique. LV volumes and ejection fractions (EFs) were determined by the area-length method. Correction factors for magnification and pin-cushion distortion were obtained. The earliest well-opacified cardiac cycle was chosen for analysis, excluding extrasystolic and postextrasystolic beats. Calculated volumes were corrected to true volumes. The mean normalized systolic ejection rate was calculated as suggested by Peterson et al. End-diastolic thickness of the LV free wall was measured in the right anterior oblique projection and LV muscle mass was determined according to the method of Rackley et al. with the modification of Trenough et al. Peak systolic wall stress (at the equator) was calculated using the formula of Falsetti et al. and the method of Gaasch et al.

Surgery

All patients were operated with the use of total cardiopulmonary bypass and a disposable bubble-type oxygenator. A transmural needle biopsy specimen measuring 1.5 mm in diameter (Tru-Cut biopsy needle, Travenol Laboratories) was obtained from the center of the area perfused by the LAD. The biopsy was taken from the heart before cross clamping of the aorta. Immediately after biopsy, the tissue was fixed in cacodylate-buffered 6% glutaraldehyde. The osmolality of the cacodylate buffer was 190 mosmol/l without the glutaraldehyde and 290 mosmol/l with the added glutaraldehyde. After immersion fixation for 24 hours at 4°C, the samples were rinsed in 0.1 M caco-
dylate buffer with 7.5% sucrose for 12 hours at 4°C. After postfixation for 1 hour in 2% osmium tetroxide veronal acetate buffer at pH 7.4 with 4% sucrose at 4°C, the tissues were dehydrated in graded series of ethanol, treated with propylene oxide and embedded in epon-812.

Electron Microscopic Morphometry

Thin sections (0.5 μm) for electron microscopic examination were cut with a microtome (LKB Ultratome III), mounted on uncoated copper grids, stained with saturated aqueous uranyl acetate and lead citrate and evaluated with an electron microscope (Philips EM 300). Sections were put on a grid and localized at low-power magnification under the electron microscope. Then, at a magnification of ×10,000, electron micrographs were made using a random sampling procedure. In each square of the grid, two electron micrographs were made using the upper left and the lower right corners as orientation for the selection process. A counting grid with 144 intersections (points) was then put over the photographs. According to the principles of morphometry, counting the number of points overlaying a certain structure results in a quantitative determination of the volume of the structure under investigation in relation to the volume of the entire tissue. The volume fractions of three intracellular compartments — myofibrils, sarcoplasm and mitochondria — were determined. The nucleus was excluded from the counting process. The percentage of each compartment was obtained by dividing the number of points for each compartment by the total number of points counted. A transmural sample from each patient was studied, and 12–50 micrographs (average 29 micrographs) were prepared from each sample, for a total of 841 electron micrographs. For each micrograph, 144 points were counted (i.e., a total of 121,104 points). Variability of the method was tested by the following procedure. Electron micrographs from nine patients were prepared at magnifications of ×5000, ×10,000 and ×16,000. For each tissue and magnification, at least 24 micrographs were prepared and counted. The results were compared by linear regression analysis for the different magnifications, and the correlation coefficients were 0.94, 0.97, and 0.98.

Light Microscopic Morphometry

Semithin sections (1–2 μm thick) were prepared and stained with alkaline toluidine blue for light microscopic morphometry. Each block measured 1–4 mm² in area. The tissue samples were projected with a Pradovit projector (Fa. Leitz) directly onto a 144-point grid. All points counted in tissue not occupied by myocardium (after subtraction of points occupied by blood vessels and perivascular tissue) were expressed as a percentage of the entire tissue sample. The axis of the grid was then rotated about 45° and the determination was repeated. Only longitudinal sections at a magnification of ×250 were evaluated. One to six sections were counted per patient (average, 3.5; total, 102
sections). For each section, 288 points were counted (total 29,376 points). When results of 76 tissue sections were compared (including data of patients with coronary artery disease that are not included in the present study), a close correlation was found between the two determinations \((r = 0.97)\). When interobserver variability was tested using 51 tissue sections and two observers, the correlation coefficient was 0.93.

Statistical evaluation was done using the \(t\) test for unpaired observations. Analysis of variance\(^6\) was used when three groups were compared.

**Results**

All patients with AS had coronary arteriograms without significant obstructions. Patients with AS were divided into two groups according to EF and mean left atrial pressure. In our laboratory, the lower limit of normal for EF is 55% (2 standard deviations below the mean\(^{18}\)) and for mean left atrial pressure, 15 mm Hg (2 standard deviations above the mean). Patients in group 1 had an EF greater than 55% (mean 65.1 ± 8.7%, range 59-81%) and mean left atrial pressure less than 15 mm Hg (mean 10.7 ± 3.2 mm Hg, range 5-14 mm Hg). Patients in group 2 had an EF less than 55% (mean 43.8 ± 12.5%, range 21-54%) and mean left atrial pressure greater than 15 mm Hg (mean 23.3 ± 6.5 mm Hg, range 16-38 mm Hg).

**Hemodynamic Data**

The hemodynamic data for the patients with AS are given in table 1 and figure 1.

**Morphometric Data**

The morphometric data from all three groups are shown in table 2 and figure 2.

---

**Table 1. Hemodynamic Data for Patients with Aortic Stenosis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1 ((n = 7))</th>
<th>Group 2 ((n = 12))</th>
<th>(p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>70.9 ± 8.0</td>
<td>82.6 ± 12.4</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular systolic pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>195.4 ± 10.9</td>
<td>205.5 ± 44.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic aortic pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>121.9 ± 27.5</td>
<td>115.3 ± 20.0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic aortic pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>67.4 ± 16.6</td>
<td>61.8 ± 10.6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak-to-peak systolic transvalvular gradient (mm Hg)</td>
<td>73.6 ± 24.5</td>
<td>89.2 ± 44.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular end-diastolic pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>21.4 ± 7.2</td>
<td>28.4 ± 6.6</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right ventricular systolic pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>33.6 ± 8.0</td>
<td>49.2 ± 12.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right ventricular end-diastolic pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>7.7 ± 2.9</td>
<td>8.4 ± 3.6</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic regurgitation (angiographic degree)</td>
<td>1.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular end-diastolic volume (ml/m²)</td>
<td>91.9 ± 25.7</td>
<td>145.3 ± 46.4</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular muscle mass (g/m²)</td>
<td>148.3 ± 20.9</td>
<td>199.8 ± 43.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean circumferential fiber shortening rate (circ/sec)</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean normalized systolic ejection rate (vol/sec)</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak systolic wall stress (dyn × 10⁶/cm²)</td>
<td>399.7 ± 71.9</td>
<td>389.9 ± 56.7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 2. Comparisons of Morphometric Data in Patients with Coronary Artery Disease and Patients with Aortic Stenosis

| Morphometric data          | Coronary artery disease (controls) (n = 10) | Aortic stenosis (n = 12) | P       
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------
|                           |                                             | Group 1 (n = 7)          | Group 2 |
| Myofibrils (%)            | 52.9 ± 4.8                                  | 48.4 ± 4.7               | 42.1 ± 4.9 | NS       
|                           |                                             | < 0.001                  | < 0.05  |
| Sarcoplasm (%)            | 21.1 ± 5.2                                  | 31.7 ± 3.1               | 36.0 ± 5.5 | < 0.005  |
|                           |                                             | NS                       | NS      |
| Mitochondria (%)          | 24.9 ± 4.0                                  | 20.9 ± 2.9               | 22.0 ± 3.6 | NS       |
|                           |                                             | NS                       | NS      |
| Interstitial fibrosis (%) | 19.4 ± 5.2                                  | 16.3 ± 5.5               | 14.7 ± 3.8 | NS       |
|                           |                                             | NS                       | NS      |

Abbreviation: C = controls.

Discussion

In this study, we quantitated ultrastructural characteristics of LV myocardium in a series of symptomatic patients who underwent open heart surgery because of chronic LV pressure overload. Morphology was analyzed from a small tissue sample, and the findings were related to myocardial function of the whole heart. Use of this technique may introduce a sampling error; however, the biopsy specimen was taken in all patients from the perfusion area of the LAD. We standardized our morphometric techniques and found good intra- and interobserver agreement when the data of electron and light microscopy were analyzed. Thus, the morphometric observations of transmural myocardial biopsy samples give, at present, the best information about the quantitative distribution of cellular and extracellular components of the myocardium in patients with heart disease.

Myocardial function was analyzed from angiographic and pressure data obtained during preoperative heart catheterization. EF and mean left atrial pressure served as indexes of impaired contractile function.

The main finding of this study is that quantitative ultrastructure of the myocardium correlated with contractile function in patients with AS. Patients in whom EF, left atrial pressure and right ventricular systolic pressure were abnormal had more advanced LV hypertrophy but fewer myofibrils in myocardial cells than patients with normal hemodynamics. This finding suggests that a reduction in the volume fraction of myofibrils may contribute to impaired contractile function per unit of hypertrophied myocardium in the former group. Reductions of myofibrils in several patients with severe muscular obstruction to right ventricular outflow tract and in chronic aortic valve disease have been reported. In some patients with atrial pressure overload due to endocardial cushion defect, loss of myofibrils was seen in right atrial myocardial cells. However, changes in intracellular volume fractions were not quantitated in these studies. In contrast, Fleischer et al. investigated transmural LV biopsy material by electron microscopic morphometry and found 52% myofibrils in myocardial cells of patients with mitral stenosis. They interpreted this value as normal for adult human patients. Our data in patients with coronary artery disease and normal wall motion resemble the data of Fleischer et al. This supports the assumption that the volume fraction of myofibrils was normal in this group. A significant reduction in the volume fraction of myofibrils was found in group 2 compared with controls, but not in group 1. Group 2 had more advanced hypertrophy and poorer LV function than group 1, which still had normal LV function. Thus, group 1 represents compensated pressure overload and group 2 represents decompensated pressure overload.

Electron microscopic morphometry has been used in the experimental animal in several studies. Sheridan et al. determined the volume fraction of myofibrils in right ventricular papillary muscles of neonatal and adult cats and found an increase from 35% to 48% with age. Page et al. reported that the normal volume fraction of myofibrils in the rat heart was 46–50%; this volume fraction increased to 53% within 1 month after supravalvular aortic constriction. In contrast, Hatt and co-workers found a significant reduction in the volume fraction of myofibrils in the rat heart 4 days after constriction of the abdominal aorta (from 59% to 50%) associated with an increased volume fraction of sarcoplasm (from 10% to 17%). Ten days after removal of aortic constriction, the volume fraction of myo-

![Figure 2. Comparison of morphometric data between controls (C), i.e., patients with moderate stenosis of the left anterior descending coronary artery and normal regional wall motion, and patients with aortic stenosis (AS) in group 1 (I) and group 2 (II). The volume fraction of contractile material (myofibrils) was significantly lower in group 2 than in controls, while that of sarcoplasm was higher. Fibrosis was not different between groups.](http://circ.ahajournals.org/lookup/j坍on/1058826001)
fibrils increased from 50% to 58% and that of sarco-
plasm decreased from 17% to 12%. These in-
vestigators suggested that the reduction in the volume
fraction of myofibrils during sudden acute pressure
overload might have been the result of two opposed
phenomena: an active hypertrophy (production of
myofibrils) and cell damage (myofibrillar lysis); they
also found that degenerative intracellular changes
were more pronounced in the subendocardium than in
the subepicardium of the left ventricle. In addition, the
cell width was greater in the subendocardial than in
the subepicardial layer (14 μ vs 11 μ). These observa-
tions revealed that hypertrophy was more advanced in
the subepicardial layer of the left ventricle than in
the subepicardial layer of the experimental animal
studied. However, the data of Bishop and Melsen22
revealed that results of experimental studies should be
interpreted with caution and cannot be extrapolated to
hypertrophy of patients with chronic pressure over-
load. We have no data to compare subendocardial and
subepicardial myocardium to clarify regional differ-
ences of hypertrophy in patients with chronic pres-
sure overload.

LV muscle mass was higher in group 2 than in
group 1. Increased muscle mass was a result of com-
pensatory concentric hypertrophy in pressure-
overloaded hearts. It is evident from investigations of
hypertrophied cardiac muscle that cells do increase in
size and that there is an increase of intracellular orga-
nelles.23 However, it remains unclear by what mech-
anism myocytes produced increased amounts of myo-
fibrils, although sarcomere units may be added to the
end of the cell.24 In the present study, we found a volume
fraction of 52.9% of myofibrils in the left ventricle of
control cases. If we consider 75 g/m² LV muscle mass
as normal,16 and ignore interstitial fibrosis, this would
result in 40 g/m² myofibrils (0.529 × 75 g/m²) in the
normal left ventricle. The respective values would be
72 g/m² for group 1 and 84 g/m² for group 2 (as es-
timated from table 1). These two values are higher
than normal (because of hypertrophy), but would be
even higher if the volume fraction of myofibrils
remained unchanged during development of hyper-
trophy, i.e., 78 and 106 g/m². Thus, a reduction in the
volume fraction of myofibrils caused remarkable
reduction in the amount of myofibrils in the hyper-
trophied hearts of patients in group 2. No evidence
from the present study indicates whether reduction in
the volume fraction of myofibrils was caused by
decreased synthesis or by lysis of myofibrils. The latter
mechanism has been suggested by several studies.1-3

No significant difference in myocardial fibrosis was
found between groups 1 and 2. This observation
suggests that the degree of myocardial fibrosis in pres-
sure overload is not directly related to hypertrophy or
to LV function. In patients who had coronary artery
disease and normal regional wall motion, fibrosis was
slightly but not significantly increased as compared
with patients who had AS. The fibrotic content of LV
myocardium has been determined by morphometry in
studies of postmortem hearts.25,26 These studies showed
that the volume fraction of fibrosis of the LV
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