Reduced Left Ventricular Myocardial Blood Flow Per Unit Mass in Aortic Stenosis
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SUMMARY Myocardial blood flow (MBF) per unit mass was measured in 10 patients (pts) with severe aortic stenosis (AS) and no significant aortic insufficiency, normal ejection fractions, and normal coronary arteriograms, using xenon-133 and a multiple crystal scintillation camera. MBF per unit mass was reduced in AS (53 ± 13 ml/100g · min) in comparison to a group of seven normal control patients (69 ± 12 ml/100g · min) (P < 0.05). When normalized for heart rate, MBF remained depressed in aortic stenosis (0.65 ± 0.11 ml/100g · beat). MBF/beat was strongly related to peak left ventricular wall stress (r = 0.97). Individual values of MBF/beat were normalized for peak stress using an analysis of covariance; the adjusted mean values were 0.62 ± 0.03 ml/100g · beat for the AS patients and 0.84 ± 0.03 ml/100g · beat for the control patients. There was no overlap between groups in adjusted MBF per beat. Values of MBF per beat and peak stress for a group of ten cardiomyopathy patients with depressed contractility were observed to fall close to the regression line for AS patients. The results suggest that variability in resting MBF in these AS patients is due primarily to differences in LV stress and that reduction in MBF per beat in this group may be due to reduced contractility.

THE OCCURRENCE OF ANGINA PECTORIS in 35 to 50% of patients with aortic stenosis has suggested there is an imbalance between coronary blood flow and the metabolic demand for oxygen in these hypertrophied left ventricles. In previous studies of patients with aortic stenosis, measurements of myocardial blood flow per unit mass of tissue using a variety of inert gases have generally found that myocardial blood flow was normal in patients without coronary artery disease. Ventricular function, however, was not reported in those studies.

In a previous study from this laboratory mean left ventricular (LV) myocardial blood flow per unit mass of tissue was measured with xenon-133 and a multiple-crystal scintillation camera in subjects with normal coronary arteriograms and normal cardiac function and in patients with normal coronary arteriograms and left ventricular hypertrophy due to congestive or hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Resting mean left ventricular blood flow was found to be significantly related to indices of three of the major determinants of myocardial oxygen consumption: heart rate, the mean velocity of circumferential fiber shortening, and peak LV wall stress.

There is general agreement in published reports that hypertrophy normalizes peak LV wall stress in patients with aortic stenosis who are not in heart failure. It is not known, however, whether myocardial contractility is normal or abnormal in patients with aortic stenosis because there is no reliable method for measuring contractility independent of load in the intact human left ventricle with aortic outflow obstruction. Experimental data from animals, however, have shown depressed contractility in hypertrophied myocardium due to sustained pressure overload.

The present study was designed to measure left ventricular myocardial blood flow per unit mass in a selected group of patients with aortic stenosis using xenon-133 and a scintillation camera. Patients were selected who had normal coronary arteriograms, severe isolated aortic obstructions, and normal ejection fractions in order to answer two questions. First, do differences in LV wall stress account for any observed variability in resting myocardial blood flow rates among the patients with aortic stenosis? Second, by comparing the relationship between LV stress and myocardial blood flow in the aortic stenosis patients with the same relationship in a group of subjects with normal cardiac function, will differences be found between the two groups which might be explained by differences in contractility?

Methods

Patient Selection

All patients who were scheduled for cardiac catheterization and coronary arteriography at Columbia Presbyterian Medical Center because of a murmur of aortic stenosis and symptoms of angina were considered potential candidates for this study. Informed consent was obtained from each patient for measurements of myocardial blood flow according to protocols approved by the Human Investigation Committee and Joint Radioisotope Committee of this institution. Patients were excluded if, during catheterization, they were found to have coronary artery disease, mitral valve disease, moderate to severe aortic insufficiency, or left ventricular failure as evidenced by an ejection fraction less than 50%. Several of the patients gave a past history of pulmonary congestive symptoms and had been digitalized. The purpose was to select as homogeneous as possible a group of patients with uncompensated isolated, severe valvular aortic stenosis with normal coronary arteries (see table 1).

Cardiac Catheterization Technique

Left ventricular catheterization and coronary arteriography were performed with the patients in the postabsorptive state premedicated with secobarbital, promethazine hydrochloride, and atropine sulfate. In five of the ten patients, the hemodynamic study, the left ventriculogram,
Table 1. Clinical, Hemodynamic, Angiographic Data—Aortic Stenosis

| Pt/Age | Angina | Syncope | CHF | NYHA Class | LVSP (mm Hg) | LVEDP (mm Hg) | AoP (mm Hg) | PSG (mm Hg) | LVETI (mL/m² x 10⁹) | Green dye CI (mL/s/m²) | Angio SI (mL/m²) | Regurgitation (%) | Valve area (cm²) | EDVI (mL/m²) | EF (%) |
|--------|--------|---------|-----|------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|------|
| SB/62  | +      | 0       | +   | II         | 225          | 30           | 105/40      | 120         | 5.00          | 3.1               | 70              | 28             | 0.80           | 120         | 59        |
| JB/69  | +      | 0       | +   | II         | 220          | 15           | 105/55      | 115         | 0.40          | 2.4               | 56              | 14             | 0.47           | 91          | 63        |
| CI/64  | +      | 0       | II  | III        | 175          | 15           | 110/60      | 65          | 0.40          | 4.5               | 55              | 4              | 0.60           | 88          | 62        |
| LR/56  | +      | 0       | III |            | 250          | 22           | 110/75      | 140         | 0.500         | 4.0               | 68              | 9              | 0.60           | 134         | 51        |
| HB/55  | +      | 0       | II  |            | 200          | 15           | 115/60      | 110         | 0.40          | 2.2               | 35              | 15             | 0.50           | 50          | 70        |
| AC/54  | +      | 0       | II  | III        | 225          | 15           | 110/60      | 115         | 0.500         | 3.1               | 38              | 9              | 0.50           | 75          | 51        |
| SG/53  | +      | 0       | II  | III        | 175          | 15           | 95/72       | 80          | 0.490         | 3.0               | 46              | 23             | 0.60           | 81          | 56        |
| LP/50  | +      | 0       | II  | III        | 250          | 13           | 110/60      | 140         | 0.507         | 3.1               | 67              | 18             | 0.60           | 101         | 66        |
| WT/54  | +      | 0       | II  | III        | 200          | 15           | 120/80      | 80          | 0.431         | 3.0               | 39              | 23             | 0.70           | 72          | 54        |
| JS/37  | +      | 0       | III |            | 190          | 38           | 120/80      | 70          | 0.474         | 2.9               | 47              | 12             | 0.60           | 88          | 55        |
| Mean   | 211    | ±27     | ±8  | 64 ± 13     | ±28          | ±0.03        | ±0.68       | ±13         | ±8            | ±0.10            | ±24             | ±6             |

Abbreviations: NYHA = New York Heart Association functional classification; LVSP = left ventricular peak systolic pressure; LVEDP = left ventricular end-diastolic pressure; AoP = aortic pressure; PSG = peak systolic gradient; LVETI = left ventricular ejection time index; CI = cardiac index; SI = stroke index; EDVI = end-diastolic volume index; EF = ejection fraction.
experimentally, \( \lambda \) is the blood:myocardium partition coefficient for xenon obtained by Conn\(^{28} \) in the normal dog heart (0.72) and \( \rho \) is the specific gravity of myocardium (1.05).

Using the markers, the crystals overlying the left ventricle were identified and averaged to determine mean left ventricular myocardial blood flow per unit mass (ml/100g \cdot min) along with the standard deviation. Total left ventricular flow (ml/min) was calculated by multiplying the mean left ventricular flow expressed in ml/100g \cdot min by the left ventricular mass calculated from the left ventriculogram.

Calculations

Aortic valve area was calculated using the Gorlin formula\(^{24} \) from pressure measurements and from the green dye cardiac output in patients without aortic insufficiency and from the angiographic output in patients with mild aortic insufficiency.

The diastolic pressure-time index (DPTI), the systolic pressure-time index (SPTI), and the DPTI/SPTI ratio were calculated by the method of Buckberg et al.\(^{29} \) from recordings of simultaneous left ventricular and brachial artery pressure tracings. Diastolic pressure-time index was calculated as the area between the brachial artery and left ventricular pressure curves from the dicrotic notch to aortic valve opening. Systolic pressure-time index was calculated as the area beneath the LV pressure curve from onset of ventricular systole to the dicrotic notch.

Volumes were calculated from tracings of the left ventricular silhouettes at end-diastole and end-systole using the single plane technique and the prolene sphere model of Sandler-Dodge as modified by this laboratory.\(^{26} \) In 14 patients studied previously in this laboratory, paired analysis of stroke volumes determined from green dye cardiac output determinations (\( V_G \)) and stroke volumes determined angiographically (\( V_A \)) were performed and revealed no significant difference. Furthermore, regression analysis demonstrated no significant differences from the line of identity (\( V_A = 0.999 \cdot V_G + 2.5 \text{ml}, r = 0.975, SE = 5.87 \)).

In patients with aortic stenosis and mild aortic insufficiency, the regurgitant fraction was calculated by subtracting the green dye (forward) stroke volume from the angiographic (total) stroke volume and dividing the results by the angiographic stroke volume:

\[
\text{Regurgitant fraction} = \frac{V_A - V_G}{V_G}
\]

This formula assumes that the heart rate is the same at the time of cardiac output measurement and left ventriculogram. In the patients in this study, heart rates did not differ by more than 5 beats per minute between the two measurements.

Left ventricular wall thickness was measured from a 4 cm segment just below the equator in the RAO projection and LV mass was calculated by the method of Rackley et al.\(^{27} \) First the volume of the left ventricular chamber plus muscle wall was determined by the following formula:

\[
V_{c+w} = \frac{4}{3} \pi \left[ \frac{b}{2} + h \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{a}{2} + h \right]
\]

Where \( V_{c+w} \) = volume of left ventricular chamber plus wall; \( h \) = wall thickness; \( b \) = minor semiaxis; and \( a \) = major semiaxis. Left ventricular mass was then calculated as follows: LV mass = \((V_{c+w} - V') \times 1.050 \) where \( V' \) equals the chamber volume calculated by the single plane Sandler and Dodge formula and 1.050 is the specific gravity of heart muscle. Ejection fraction (EF) was calculated by the standard formula; mean velocity of circumferential fiber shortening (MVcf) was calculated from the formula:

\[
\text{MVcf} = \frac{\text{EDD} - \text{ESD}}{\text{EDD} \times \text{LVET}}
\]

where \( \text{EDD} \) = left ventricular minor diameter at end-diastole; \( \text{ESD} \) = left ventricular minor diameter at end-systole. The left ventricular minor diameters at end-diastole and end-systole were derived by the area-length method in order to eliminate the effects of irregularities in the LV wall.\(^{26} \)

Peak left ventricular equatorial wall stress was calculated using the thin wall formula of Sandler and Dodge\(^{8} \) where:

\[
\text{Stress} = \frac{Pb}{h} \left[ 1 - \frac{b^3}{a^2(2b + h)} \right]
\]

\( P \) = pressure in dynes/cm\(^2\); \( b \) = minor semiaxis; \( a \) = major semiaxis; and \( h \) = wall thickness in centimeters. Stress was also calculated using the thick wall ellipsoid formula of Falsetti\(^{11} \) which assumes a uniform distribution of stress across the LV wall where:

\[
\text{Stress} = \frac{Pb}{4h} \left[ \frac{(2a^2 - b^3)}{(a^2 + bh)} \right]
\]

The symbols are the same used in the Sandler and Dodge formula.

Several assumptions were made for these stress calculations. First, the dimensions of the LV used in these formulae were taken from the end-diastolic tracing of the LV silhouette. This assumes that the dimensions do not change very much from end-diastole to peak stress.\(^{7} \) The pressure used was the sum of the aortic pressure at the time of the xenon-133 blood flow measurement and the peak aortic systolic gradient. This assumes that the cardiac output and aortic peak systolic gradient did not change significantly between the times of the left ventriculogram and blood flow measurements. In each case, the peak LV systolic pressure used for the stress calculations was within 10 mm Hg of the peak LV systolic pressure measured prior to the left ventriculogram.

Statistical Analysis

An analysis of covariance was performed on myocardial blood flow rates using peak left ventricular wall stress as the covariate. This procedure normalizes the variable of interest (blood flow) in each patient for the level of the covariate (stress) in that patient and then compares the normalized values between groups (aortic stenosis patients and a group of normal patients previously studied in our laboratory).
Differences between groups were termed significant if the F value or t value exceeded the value specified for the 5% level.

**Results**

Table 1 summarizes the hemodynamic characteristics of the patients with aortic stenosis. All the results are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. All ten patients with aortic stenosis had severe valvular obstruction with an average peak systolic gradient of 104 ± 28 mm Hg (range 65–140). The aortic valve areas averaged 0.60 ± 0.10 cm² (range 0.47–0.80). The left ventricular end-diastolic volume index (LVEDVI) averaged 90 ± 24 ml/m² (range 50–134). Of the three patients with LVEDVI above normal, one had the lowest ejection fraction (LR) and the other two had aortic insufficiency (SB, 28%, and LP, 18%). Ejection fraction in the group of AS patients averaged 59 ± 6% (range 51 to 70%). The aortic regurgitant fraction was 16 ± 8% (range 4–28%). The left ventricular end-diastolic pressure (LVEDP) was elevated in all patients averaging 20 ± 8 mm Hg primarily due to a tall A wave on the LV pressure tracing. The left ventricular mass index was high, averaging 176 ± 43 g/m² (range 99–244).

Table 2 shows that the mean left ventricular myocardial blood flow per unit mass of tissue in the aortic stenosis patients was 53 ± 13 ml/100g·min. This value is significantly lower than the mean left ventricular myocardial blood flow of 69 ± 12 ml/100g·min observed in a group of seven patients with normal coronary arteriograms, intracardiac pressures, and ventriculograms studied in this laboratory (P < 0.05) (table 2). Figure 1 shows the individual LV blood flow values in the two groups. Several of the LV myocardial blood flow values in the AS group were within the normal range. Figure 1 also shows that total LV blood flow calculated from the xenon-133 measurements and ventricular mass was significantly higher in the AS patients (155 ± 37 ml/min) than in the normal controls, (84 ± 12 ml/min) (P < 0.01) due to the increased LV mass.

When the myocardial blood flow values were normalized for heart rate during the measurement, the average value in the AS group was 0.65 ± 0.11 ml/100g·beat, significantly lower than in the control group which averaged 0.81 ± 0.16 ml/100g·beat (P < 0.05). However, there was still a wide range of values in the AS group (0.49 to 0.84 ml/100g·beat) with several values in the normal range.

The ratio DPTI/SPTI was measured in the AS patients because it has been suggested that depression of this ratio correlates with reduced subendocardial flow reserve. The DPTI/SPTI ratio in the AS patients was 0.38 ± 0.12 (range 0.16–0.54), significantly lower than in the control patients (0.79 ± 0.08). The lowest value of 0.16 was in patient AC with a heart rate of 100. A rapid heart rate will decrease

**Table 2. Myocardial Perfusion and Determinants of Oxygen Consumption: Aortic Stenosis and Normals**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt</th>
<th>Peak LV wall stress (dyne/cm² X 10⁹)</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>MCF (cmm/sec)</th>
<th>MBF ± sd (ml/100g·min)</th>
<th>MBF/beat (ml/100g·beat)</th>
<th>LV mass index (g/m²)</th>
<th>Total LVBF (ml/min)</th>
<th>DPTI/SPTI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SB</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>45 ± 10</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JB</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>46 ± 12</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>50 ± 16</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>56 ± 7</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>41 ± 7</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>57 ± 11</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>45 ± 10</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>47 ± 7</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WB</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>86 ± 9</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JS</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>52 ± 9</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean ± sd</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>53 ± 11</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (N = 7) mean ± sd</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>69 ± 0.81</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>=0.17</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>=0.12</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>=14</td>
<td>=12</td>
<td>=0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>=0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HR = heart rate; MCFV = mean velocity of circumferential fiber shortening; MBF = myocardial blood flow; LVBF = left ventricular blood flow; DPTI = diastolic pressure time index; SPTI = systolic pressure time index.
DPTI due to decreased diastolic time per minute. The other very low value of 0.25 was in patient SB who had the most aortic insufficiency and lowest aortic diastolic pressure (40 mm Hg). When DPTI/SPTI in the AS group was plotted against myocardial blood flow per beat there was no significant relationship \((r = 0.24)\).

Peak left ventricular wall stress in the AS patients calculated by the thin wall formula of Sandler and Dodge \(\left(493 \pm 76 \text{ dynes/cm}^2 \times 10^8\right)\) was consistently higher than the value obtained by the thick wall formula of Falsetti \(\left(439 \pm 71 \text{ dynes/cm}^2 \times 10^8\right)\) (table 2). Because results by the two formulae were highly correlated \((r = 0.99)\), stress values by the Sandler-Dodge formula were used for subsequent comparisons. Peak LV wall stress in the AS patients was not significantly different from the control group \(\left(493 \pm 76 \text{ vs } 457 \pm 107 \text{ dynes/cm}^2 \times 10^8\right)\). The range of stress values was wide in both groups of patients \(\text{(AS range: } 370-608 \text{ dynes/cm}^2 \times 10^8, \text{ normal control range: } 366-658 \text{ dynes/cm}^2 \times 10^8)\).

To evaluate peak LV wall stress as a determinant of myocardial blood flow in both these groups of patients with normal coronary arteries, stress was plotted against myocardial blood flow normalized for heart rate (fig. 2). In the AS group, there was an excellent correlation between peak LV wall stress and myocardial blood flow per unit mass per beat \((r = 0.97)\). The correlation between stress and myocardial blood flow in the normal patients was also excellent \((r = 0.97)\). In addition, the slopes of the two regression lines were not significantly different but the y-intercepts were different (fig. 2).

These relationships suggested that much of the variability of myocardial blood flow rates within each group was due to the variability of LV wall stress from patient to patient. Therefore, the myocardial blood flow rates per beat were subjected to an analysis of covariance with peak LV stress as the covariate. The results of this analysis are shown in figure 3. Adjusting mean LV myocardial blood flow per beat for wall stress decreased myocardial flow per beat in the AS patients from \(0.65 \pm 0.11 \text{ ml/100 g \cdot beat}\) to \(0.62 \pm 0.03\) and increased flow per beat in the normal controls from \(0.81 \pm 0.16 \text{ to } 0.84 \pm 0.03\). Resting LV myocardial blood flow per beat adjusted for stress was significantly lower in the patients with aortic stenosis than in the normal control patients \((P < 0.01)\) and there was no overlap of values between the two groups (fig. 3).

It is not possible to directly measure left ventricular contractility in patients with aortic stenosis. It has been shown that ejection phase indices are affected by acute changes in afterload. Furthermore, indices such as MVcf may be falsely lowered because the left ventricular ejection time which is prolonged in AS is included in the denominator of the MVcf index, thereby lowering it. Table 2 indicates that the mean velocity of circumferential fiber shortening (MVcf) in the patients with AS \(\left(0.84 \pm 0.16, \text{ range } 0.60-1.15\right)\) was significantly lower than the MVcf of the control group \(\left(1.19 \pm 0.11, \text{ P < 0.01}\right)\). There were no significant relation-

**Figure 2.** The mean LV perfusion rates per beat \((\text{ml/100 g \cdot beat})\) plotted against peak LV wall stress \((\text{dynes/cm}^2 \times 10^8)\) in the AS patients \((A)\) and normal controls \((B)\). The slopes for the two regression lines are not significantly different but the y-intercept for the AS line is lower.

**Figure 3.** Myocardial blood flow \((\text{MBF})\) per beat with the standard deviation in the normal and AS patients on the left and stress-adjusted mean LV MBF per beat with the standard deviation for the two groups on the right. Adjustments for stress completely separate the two groups.
flow per unit mass in aortic stenosis with inert gases. Rowe, using the \( N_2O \) method, studied seven patients with aortic peak systolic pressure gradients ranging from 33 to 140 mm Hg and found values for resting myocardial blood flow per unit mass that did not differ from a normal control group.\(^2\) Heiss\(^4\) and Trenouth,\(^4\) using \( N_2O \), Rudolf,\(^3\) using argon, and Fallen et al.,\(^3\) using \(^85\) krypton and \(^111\) iodoantipyrine, and Klocke\(^6\) using \( H_2 \) also reported values for mean LV myocardial blood flow rates in AS which were not significantly different from those found in control subjects.

The difference in results may relate in part to differences in the ventricular function of the patients with aortic stenosis that were selected for study and in part to differences in the methods used to estimate myocardial blood flow. In previous studies of myocardial blood flow in aortic stenosis, either the groups of patients were heterogeneous with regard to the degree of valvular obstruction or complete clinical and hemodynamic data were not reported. Few of the studies include careful analysis of the ventriculogram. In contrast, in this study, all patients selected comprised a fairly homogeneous group with severe, isolated aortic stenosis, without significant aortic insufficiency, and with preservation of ejection fraction. All had angina pectoris, large gradients across the aortic valve, and all but one had marked concentric left ventricular hypertrophy.

With regard to methodological differences, the \( N_2O \) method may overestimate myocardial blood flow as a result of incomplete saturation of the tissue after 10 minutes of \( N_2O \) breathing and because of difficulties in accurately measuring small gas concentrations in samples of aortic and coronary sinus blood.\(^3\) This problem does not exist in the \( H_2 \) technique developed by Klocke et al. in which a long time period is allowed for myocardial saturation and desaturation and the content of \( H_2 \) (and/or He) in arterial and coronary sinus blood is measured accurately in small amounts with a gas chromatogram.\(^9\) The antipyrine technique has limitations due to tracer recirculation. Four groups of investigators have reported good correlations between mean LV flow/mass measured by a coronary flow meter and mean LV flow/mass measured from a single myocardial washout of labeled inert gas.\(^21\)\(^-\)\(^24\) However, in these studies of normal dogs, neither spatial nor transmural heterogeneity of myocardial flow had been induced in the experimental animals.

The limitations and advantages of the technique of measuring regional myocardial blood flow with xenon-133 and a multiple-crystal scintillation camera have been discussed in detail elsewhere.\(^21\)\(^-\)\(^22\) It should be recalled, however, that the primary data in these studies are the rate constants of xenon-133 clearance from the myocardium calculated by monoexponential analysis of the initial portions of the multiple precordial washout curves. The expression of the primary data in terms of myocardial blood flow (ml/100 g min) must be interpreted with caution to the extent that it involves the assumptions inherent in monoe

### Discussion

The results of these studies indicate that mean left ventricular myocardial blood per unit mass in a group of patients with severe aortic stenosis with normal coronary arteriograms was significantly lower than in a group of control subjects with normal arteriograms and normal cardiac function. Total LV blood flow was increased in the AS group because of the greater mass of the hypertrophied ventricles. Although the DPTI/SPTI ratio was lower in the AS patients than in the normal controls, there was no relationship between this ratio and LV myocardial blood flow/mass per beat. In both the normal and aortic stenosis groups, peak LV wall stress was significantly related to myocardial blood flow per unit mass per beat, suggesting that peak LV wall stress alone can explain much of the variability of resting myocardial blood flow/mass in these patients with normal coronary arteries. The slopes of the myocardial blood flow per beat-stress relationships for the normal and AS patients were not significantly different, but the y-intercepts of these two lines were different, with a lower value for the AS group.

The present data differ from results of several groups of investigators who have measured resting myocardial blood
valve, showed absence of a normal flow vortex in the coronary sinuses. These investigators showed that pressure in the sinuses is determined by vortex strength which is reduced during systole in AS. They postulated that these abnormalities might produce a negative pressure gradient during systole between the coronary ostium and the ventricle especially at rapid heart rates and high output. Retrograde flow of contrast material from the coronary arteries has been shown in AS by one group of investigators. The measurements of myocardial blood flow per unit mass made in this study cannot differentiate systolic from diastolic flow and therefore cannot answer the question of whether reversal of systolic flow can explain the finding of reduced mean myocardial blood flow in patients with AS. However, at slow resting heart rates, the proportional amount of coronary blood flow occurring during systole should be very small.

Another possible explanation for the finding of reduced myocardial blood per unit mass in patients with aortic stenosis is preferential reduction in subendocardial blood flow. The compressive intramyocardial resistance during systole is greatest in the subendocardium. In AS the subendocardial systolic pressure is equal to intracavitary pressure, which is significantly greater than aortic pressure. In addition, diastolic coronary blood flow reserve in the subendocardium may be compromised by the increased systolic ejection time.

Although the ratio DPTI/SPTI has been proposed as an index of the transmural distribution of blood flow, there is no evidence that a low DPTI/SPTI ratio due to long-standing, compensated aortic outflow obstruction in man is associated with a transmural blood flow gradient or ischemia at rest. In addition, there was no relationship between the DPTI/SPTI ratio and values for myocardial blood flow per beat in this group of patients with AS. Coronary sinus lactate measurements (albeit of limited sensitivity) have not shown decreased lactate extraction by the myocardium in AS patients at rest.1 Subendocardial blood flow reserve is probably limited, however, and subendocardial ischemia may explain the occurrence of angina in AS.77

The method of blood flow measurement using xenon-133 and a scintillation camera provides regional rate constants, each of which is an average rate constant for the myocardial tissue being viewed by an individual detector.26 The method cannot distinguish subendocardial from subepicardial blood flow.21, 26 If a large variation in endocardial to epicardial blood flow did exist in AS it is possible that an initial slope rate constant would overestimate mean transmural blood flow because a bolus injection preferentially distributes tracer to tissue with higher blood flow rate.26 This would bias the measurement by assigning too great a proportional weight to the tissue with a larger blood flow rate. In the present study, however, patients with aortic stenosis had measured myocardial blood flow rates lower than those observed in normal patients. If subendocardial hypoperfusion in the aortic stenosis patients biased the xenon-133 blood flow determination, the true mean transmural blood flow would actually be lower than the values which were measured.

A third possible explanation for the finding of reduced resting myocardial blood flow per unit mass in this group of patients with AS is that myocardial capillary density is diminished in obstructive hypertrophy. Several post mortem studies in man have shown a decrease in the concentration of capillaries in hypertrophied hearts when compared to normal hearts and concluded that as myocardial fibers hypertrophy, capillaries do not proliferate to maintain a normal capillary density.28, 30 These data have been further supported by recent experiments using in situ beating rat hearts, showing increased intercapillary distance and decreased capillary reserve in pathological hypertrophy.40

A fourth possible explanation for the finding of reduced resting myocardial blood flow per unit mass in this group of patients with AS is reduced contractility of hypertrophied myocardium. There is good evidence in experimental animals that myocardial blood flow is determined by myocardial oxygen consumption (MVO₂) and that the three major determinants of MVO₂ are heart rate, peak ventricular wall stress, and myocardial contractility.41 Previous studies from this laboratory7 have indicated that differences in resting LV myocardial blood flow in normals and patients with congestive and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy who had normal coronary arteriograms were significantly related to each of the three determinants of MVO₂: heart rate, peak wall stress, and ventricular performance.

There are several methodological aspects of LV wall stress calculations which should be briefly commented on. The method used for calculating peak LV wall stress in this study gives higher values than previous studies because enddiastolic mid-wall LV diameter is used instead of the diameter at peak pressure. All patients were analyzed in the same manner, however, so that conclusions based on comparing these data should be valid. Another potential source for error in stress calculations is accurate measurement of LV wall thickness. In the present study, only ventriculograms which clearly showed the outer border of the LV wall were selected for analysis.

The data presented in figure 2 indicate that mean LV flow/beat was linearly related to peak LV wall stress in two groups of patients (normals and AS) and that differences in wall stress among patients with AS account for a significant amount of the variability in resting myocardial blood flow rates. Since peak LV wall stress values were not different from normal in the AS patients, reduced LV wall stress cannot account for reduced LV flow/mass in this group. Several previous studies have also concluded that stress is normalized in patients with aortic stenosis.9–18

Left ventricular contractility in patients with aortic stenosis is difficult to evaluate. Reduction of ejection phase indices in AS may represent depressed contractility or may represent the effect of excessive load to induce pump failure when the contractile state of the myocardium is normal. One group of investigators found delayed dissipation of midwall stress in patients with aortic stenosis and proposed that reduced performance in AS is due to afterload excess.42 In another study, however, no significant negative correlation was found between mean systolic wall tension and EF or MVcf.43 They suggested that afterload excess alone could not account for depressed performance in AS and that depressed contractility of the hypertrophied muscle itself plays a significant role.

Other investigators using isovolumic indices found reduced LV contractility in patients with aortic stenosis and
found that the degree of depression of contractility was related to the severity of the pressure load and to the age of the patient.  

However, the methods used to measure contractility in these studies were based on models from muscle mechanics which require several assumptions, the validity of which have been seriously questioned.

Experimentally-induced sustained pressure overload which results in ventricular hypertrophy has been shown to produce depressed contractility in isolated RV papillary muscles of cats with pulmonic stenosis  and in the left ventricles of rats with coarctation of the aorta. Several biochemical defects have also been found in experimental hypertrophy: decrease in myofibrillar ATPase, catecholamine depletion, and increased myocardial concentration of connective tissue. However, extrapolating results from these experimental preparations in which the increased afterload was acutely induced to patients with LV hypertrophy due to AS is hazardous because in the patients the onset of the pressure overload is gradual and its duration is long.

Because no direct measurement of LV contractility could be used to relate to LV myocardial blood flow/beat in the AS patients, an indirect approach was taken. The relationship between wall stress and flow/beat in the group of severe AS patients was compared to a group of patients with markedly depressed LV function due to congestive cardiomyopathy (fig. 4). The points for the patients with cardiomyopathy and depressed LV function fell close to the regression line for the AS patients and were significantly lower than in the normal subjects. This evidence is compatible with the hypothesis that the reduction in myocardial blood flow in severe AS (which was present even after normalization for heart rate and peak wall stress) may have been related to depressed ventricular contractility.
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**Exploration of the Cause of the Low Intensity Aortic Component of the Second Sound in Nonhypotensive Patients with Poor Ventricular Performance**

**PAUL D. STEIN, M.D., HANI N. SABBAB, B.S.,
FAREED KHAJA, M.D., AND DANIEL T. ANBE, M.D.**

**SUMMARY** This investigation was undertaken to explore the cause of the diminished second sound (S₂) that may occur in normotensive patients with poorly performing ventricles. Intraventricular sound and pressure were measured in 16 patients with angina; eight had normal ventricular performance (ejection fraction ≥ 60%) and eight had poor performance (ejection fraction < 50%). The amplitude of S₂ was lower in patients with poor ventricular performance as was negative dp/dt. Aortic pressure was comparable in both groups. The amplitude of S₂ was linearly related to the rate of change of the pressure gradient that developed across the aortic valve during diastole (r = 0.82). The latter also correlated with negative dp/dt (r = 0.82). These observations indicate that in patients with poor ventricular performance, isovolumic relaxation may be compromised. This would cause a reduction of the rate of development of the diastolic pressure gradient, which would result in a diminished S₂.

**THE AORTIC COMPONENT of the second sound may be diminished in patients with myocardial infarction or congestive heart failure, even in the absence of a reduced blood pressure.**4-9 Traditional teaching that considers the amplitude of the second sound to be primarily determined by diastolic pressure does not explain this observation.4-9 In order to explain these clinical observations, one must assume that factors other than diastolic pressure contribute to the intensity of the second sound. Other pressure related factors that have been suggested or shown to relate to the amplitude of the aortic component of the second sound include the diastolic pressure gradient that develops across the closed valve,5 the maximal rate of change of the diastolic pressure gradient7,8 and the pressure gradient at the incisura.7 The rate of change of the diastolic pressure gradient correlates best with the amplitude of the second sound.7,8 The velocity of retrograde aortic flow5 and deceleration of flow9 have also been suggested as factors which could affect the amplitude of the second sound. However, if one conceives of the second sound as being caused by vibration of the closed cusps11,12 then it can be demonstrated by mathematical analysis of factors that would effect vibration, that the driving force productive of vibration is the diastolic pressure difference that develops across the valve.13 The amplitude of sound that would result from such vibrations relates to the rate of change of that pressure difference.13 Neither retrograde flow nor the deceleration of flow were shown to be the forces productive of valvular vibration. Thus, it seems from previous studies that the rate of change of the pressure gradient that develops across the valve in diastole is a primary determinant of the amplitude of the second sound.14-15 The purpose of this study is to explore the extent to which the rate of change of the diastolic pressure gradient, and factors which affect it, may participate in causing a diminished aortic component of the second sound which is sometimes observed in normotensive patients following a myocardial infarction or in heart failure.

**Methods**

Intra-aortic sound was measured during diagnostic cardiac catheterization in 16 patients with anginal-like pain. Three had no apparent cardiac disease, 12 had coronary heart disease, and one had cardiomyopathy. Eight patients had normal ventricular performance as judged by an ejection fraction of 60% or more; and eight patients had poor ventricular performances, indicated by an ejection fraction of less than 50%. One patient was excluded because he had an ejection fraction of 54% which may not be abnormal according to the criteria of some investigators,14 yet is below the range of normal found by others.15 Patients were also ex-
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