Concealed Intraventricular Conduction in the His Bundle Electrogram

HOWARD C. COHEN, M.D., IVAN D’CRUZ, M.D., AND ALFRED PICK, M.D.

SUMMARY Multiple areas of concealed intraventricular conduction are deduced on the basis of aftereffects observed in His bundle recordings. Electrocardiograms and His bundle recordings are presented from two patients with unstable bilateral bundle branch block, the instability of which depended on the interval at which ventricular depolarization was initiated by sinus or paced impulses. This circumstance allows postulation of 1) concealed transseptal retrograde penetration of the left bundle branch system; 2) concealed transseptal retrograde penetration of the right bundle branch system; 3) alternate beat Wenckebach phenomenon with two areas of block in the bundle branch system with concealed penetration of the proximal area; 4) concealed re-entry in the right bundle branch system during an H-V Wenckebach cycle with resetting of the sequence of 2:1 H-V block and return of the re-entry wave to the A-V node causing subsequent A-H block; 5) proximal 2:1 block and distal Wenckebach block producing only two consecutively blocked beats; and 6) infrahisian Wenckebach block with changes both in A-V conduction and QRS contour.

INTRAVENTRICULAR CONDUCTION that is concealed in the surface electrocardiogram (ECG) may also not be apparent in the His bundle electrogram (HBE), but can be recognized in the HBE, as in the ECG, by its aftereffects. The HBE permits localization of multiple areas of concealment with complex aftereffects within the His-Purkinje system.
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CONCEALED INTRAVENTRICULAR CONDUCTION/Cohen, D'Cruz, Pick

A 12-lead ECG shows sinus rhythm at a rate of 75 beats/min, a normal P-R interval, left bundle branch block, and occasional blocked P waves in leads V1, V5, and V6. Below a rhythm strip in lead II shows 2:1 A-V block, at a sinus rate of 80.

interval of 0.16 sec on a 12-lead ECG. At a rate of 75 beats/min, occasional blocked P waves are evident in the leads V1, V5, and V6. At a faster sinus rate of 80 beats/min (bottom strip), a continuous 2:1 block develops, without change in the P-R interval (0.16 sec) or in the QRS-T configuration.

The record illustrated in figure 2 was obtained on the same day, when the sinus rate was 86 beats/min. Persistent 2:1 A-V block is present with the P-R interval now prolonged to 0.26 sec. QRS complexes show right bundle branch block (RBBB) and left anterior fascicular block (LAFB), which indicates that conduction is now via the main left bundle and its posterior fascicle. Figure 2 demonstrates, therefore, that the left bundle is capable of conducting, in contrast to figure 1, which suggests "complete" LBBB. Consequently, some postulation must be made for the persistent LBBB during the slower rate in figure 1. The most likely explanation is that the left bundle branch is maintained in a refractory state because of transseptal retrograde concealed conduction each time antegrade conduction occurs via the right bundle branch to the ventricles. Similarly, figure 1 shows that the right bundle branch can conduct despite the presence of persistent RBBB when the heart rate is faster, as shown in figure 2. Concealed conduction, either transseptal retrograde, or slow antegrade, must produce the persistent refractoriness of the right bundle branch seen in figure 2.

A portion of the HBE recorded from this patient is shown in figure 3, during atrial pacing (S = pacing spikes). This record demonstrates a change from LBBB to RBBB with LAFB as the rate is increased. 2:1 H-V block manifests itself by conduction to the ventricles in beats numbered 1, 3, and 5, but block below the site of recording of the His bundle electrogram (H) in beats 2, 4, and 6. When the pacing rate is increased after beat 4 so that the H-H interval between conducted beats decreases from 1205 msec to 1190 msec, the H-V interval increases from 70 msec to 156 msec, and the ventricular contour changes from LBBB to RBBB with LAFB. The tracing suggests, therefore, that the right bundle branch, which becomes blocked as the cycle length shortens, has a longer refractory period than the left bundle branch system. However, the left bundle branch system has a longer
conduction time as manifested by the longer H-V interval of the last conducted beat. A similar phenomenon occurs in a different manner in figure 4. The pacing rate is increased further with S-S intervals starting at 545 msec and finally reaching 440 msec. As the pacing rate increases, the conduction ratio changes from 2:1 to 3:1, so that beats numbered 1, 3, and then 6 are conducted, whereas 2, 4, and 5 are blocked below H. The increased ratio of block is a result of the more rapid pacing rate, such that two successive beats fall into the absolute refractory period of one or more areas of the His-Purkinje system. However, the 3:1 ratio allows a long interval between successively conducted beats 3 and 6, which enables the right bundle branch to recover. It then conducts more rapidly (H-V = 60 msec in beat 6) than the left bundle branch system (H-V = 180 msec in beats 1 and 3), again suggesting a longer refractory period but a shorter conduction time of the right bundle branch. As a result of these alterations in conduction, the ventricular contour changes from RBBB with LAFB back to LBBB.

A third mode of change of conduction, again from RBBB with LAFB to LBBB during 2:1 block, was recorded in an HBE (fig. 5), but now at a fixed atrial pacing rate of 110 beats/min. With each of the six consecutively numbered paced atrial impulses, a His bundle deflection follows the stimulus at a constant S-H interval, although the second, fourth, and fifth are not followed by ventricular complexes. The first and third impulses are conducted with a pattern of RBBB with LAFB and lengthening H-V intervals of 180 and 220 msec, respectively. Thus, two sites of infrahisian block might be present, one producing a 2:1 block (beats 2 and 4) and the other a 3:2 Wenckebach block of alternate beats, 1, 3, and 5. If beat 5 is blocked in a more distal area of Wenckebach type H-V block, concealed penetration must have occurred in this beat in the other, more proximal area of 2:1 H-V block. The sixth beat should be blocked, were the 2:1 pattern to continue. However, if both areas of block are in the left bundle branch system, the sixth beat could be conducted because it uses an alternate pathway, the right bundle branch, which has recovered after a long pause. In the first beat, conduction occurs through the proximal and distal left bundle branch. After reaching the ventricles, concealed transeptal retrograde conduction back into the right bundle branch takes place. Antegrade right bundle branch conduction in beat 1 is blocked because of a prolonged refractory state produced by a preceding concealed retrograde penetration two cycles before, indicated by the dotted arrow at the left. Concealed retrograde conduction in beat 1 causes RBBB in beat 2, while the left bundle branch does not conduct because of a 2:1 antegrade block in a more proximal area. Beat 3 has antegrade block in the right bundle branch just as beat 1 did, because of a refractory period produced by concealed retrograde conduction two beats before (in beat 1). The proximal left bundle branch allows conduction, and the distal portion of the left bundle branch conducts with a delay as Wenckebach block progresses. Once again, concealed retrograde conduction into the right bundle branch occurs. The fourth beat is similar to the second. In the fifth beat both bundle branches are blocked, the right by the prolonged aftereffects of its earlier retrograde penetration in beat 3, the left because of completion of the Wenckebach cycle in a distal portion of the left bundle branch system, though concealed penetration of the proximal portion of the left bundle branch system still occurs. In the sixth beat, conduction through the right bundle branch becomes possible because concealed retrograde conduction into this bundle has not occurred for two successive cycles. The recovered right bundle branch conducts with a much shorter H-V in-
interval than the left bundle branch system, so that LBBB pattern is in evidence (now with retrograde concealed conduction into the left BB).

At least four alternative interpretations of the rhythm strip in figure 5 are conceivable, and three of these are diagrammed in figure 6. In panel A, only one area of block, located in the distal bundle of His (intrahisian), is responsible for both the 2:1 block and the Wenckebach block. Beats 2 and 4 fall into the markedly prolonged absolute refractory period (ARP) of this area, whereas beats 1 and 3 fall into the markedly prolonged relative refractory period and demonstrate progressive Wenckebach delay. A 3:2 Wenckebach cycle is completed with no conduction into the abnormal area of the His bundle in beat 5, so that beat 6 may conduct “out of turn” with reference to the 2:1 pattern. Intraventricular conduction proceeds through the right bundle branch which has recovered after the long pause.

In panel B, a similar explanation prevails except that the single area of block is in the left bundle branch system either in the main left bundle branch or in the posterior fascicle, with the anterior fascicle being constantly blocked. Again, beats 2 and 4 fall into the markedly prolonged absolute refractory period, and beats 1 and 3 into the markedly prolonged relative refractory period. The 3:2 Wenckebach cycle is completed with no conduction into the abnormal area of the left bundle branch system in beat 5, and resumption of conduction, “out of turn,” occurs in beat 6 via the recovered right bundle.

A third possible interpretation is depicted in panel C. Here, two areas of block are postulated. The proximal area is within the His bundle and is of a Wenckebach type, with a conduction ratio of 5:4. The second area of block is in the left bundle branch system where the conduction ratio is 2:1. In beat 6, conduction resumes because the Wenckebach series has been completed in the proximal area in beat 5, and has, thereby, protected the more distal area of 2:1 block, allowing it to recover “out of turn.” Finally, (not diagrammed) a similar circumstance would prevail if both the proximal Wenckebach type block and the distal 2:1 block were within the left bundle branch.

Another variant of concealed intraventricular conduction in the same patient is diagrammed in figure 7. All conducted beats now show LBBB and we postulate a single area of block with longitudinal dissociation located in the right bundle to explain the findings. In beat 1, conduction occurs through the right bundle branch, reaches the ventricles with
an H-V of 55 msec, and causes transseptal retrograde depolarization of a portion of the left bundle branch. An
grade block occurs in the left bundle branch because of a
refractory period produced by transseptal retrograde
penetration of this bundle branch two beats before, as indi-
cated by the dotted arrow to the left.

In beat 2, block of the left bundle occurs as a result of the
refractory period produced by retrograde penetration
associated with beat 1. The right bundle branch is blocked
because the abnormal area of conduction is still in the ab-
solute refractory period. In the third beat, the left bundle
branch is blocked for the same reason as in the first beat.
Conduction now takes place through the right bundle branch
in its markedly prolonged relative refractory period with
progression of the Wenckebach cycle, producing a longer
H-V of 198 msec. Thus, a type I H-V block on alternate beats
is combined with 2:1 H-V block. The slow conduction re-
sented by the H-V of 198 msec, longitudinal dissociation,
and unidirectional block allow re-entry to occur in the right
bundle branch (R') which proceeds back through the bundle
of His and into the A-V node. This concealed retrograde re-
entry produces a refractory period in the A-V node so that in
beat 4 antegrade conduction does not reach the bundle of
His, and thus, no H is seen in the HBE. The re-entrant His
potential of beat 3 is lost in the ventricular deflection. In
beat 5, the left bundle branch is blocked for the same reason
as in beats 3 and 1. The right bundle branch is blocked
because of completion of the Wenckebach cycle or as a part
of the 2:1 block sequence that has been "moved up" by the re-
entry in beat 3. Resumption of right bundle branch con-
duction in beat 6 is possible because of recovery after two
cycles without penetration of this pathway.

Patient 2

A 62-year-old man was admitted with chest pain and the
routine ECG disclosed right bundle branch block with
posterior fascicular block. To delineate further his conduc-


d这个任务要求生成一个自然语言的文本表示，不需要增加任何信息，只需要从已有的文本内容中提取并组织成自然的表达方式。

首先，明确文档的主要内容，这是一篇关于心脏电生理学的研究论文。文档中讨论了希氏束（His bundle）和希氏束传递的生理学原理，特别是当希氏束传递被阻断时，心脏电活动的变化。文档还描述了不同类型的阻滞对心脏电生理学的影响，包括心率和传导特征的变化。

然后，按照文档的顺序，逐段进行翻译。每一段都尽可能地保持原始的结构和表达方式，同时确保语言的自然流畅。

最后，生成的自然语言文本应该能够准确地传达原文的意思，同时保持了原文的结构和逻辑关系。
which ascends into the A-V node causing S-H block of the next beat. In this tracing constant left bundle branch block is present. Nevertheless, other tracings show conduction through this bundle. Therefore, it must be kept refractory in figure 10 by concealed slow antegrade or concealed transseptal retrograde conduction. Also, just as in patient 1, the Wenckebach structure, or the subhisian re-entry, or both, disturb the 2:1 H-V sequence of block, i.e., beats 2 and 4 are blocked, but beat 6 is conducted. This figure duplicates, almost exactly, the finding in patient 1 (fig. 7), and demonstrates long refractory periods, longitudinal dissociation, concealed re-entry in the right bundle branch causing subsequent block above the bundle of His, and concealed transseptal retrograde or concealed slow antegrade conduction in the left bundle branch.

Discussion

Concealed A-V conduction in the heart has been studied for at least 81 years, was named by Langendorf in 1948, and was systematized by Langendorf and Pick in 1965. The concept of concealed intraventricular conduction was probably considered by Wilson and Herrmann in 1921, who suggested that conduction that is manifest in electrophysiologic studies should not be termed "concealed," even though it may be concealed in the surface electrocardiogram. If truly concealed, it reveals itself only by its effects upon subsequent events.

We have encountered several of the general forms of concealed intraventricular conduction outlined by Langendorf and Pick, including concealed transseptal retrograde intraventricular conduction (figs. 1-10), and concealed antegrade conduction into the bundle branch system (figs. 5, 6, and 9). In addition, we have suggested several specific variations not categorized by them, including antegrade concealed conduction through one of two areas of block within the intraventricular conduction system (fig. 5) and infrahisian re-entry occurring after long H-V intervals causing subsequent block above H. This re-entry ended infrahisian Wenckebach sequences and possibly reset the sequences of 2:1 infrahisian block, (figs. 7, 10). We also propose the possibility that intra-hisian block may explain several of our findings.

Concealed antegrade conduction of sinus beats into a proximal portion of the intraventricular conduction system must be postulated if antegrade block of a distal portion manifests itself, as in figure 5, by the change from 2:1 to 3:1 intraventricular block, or when expected conduction does not occur as in beat 3 of figure 9. Other reported indications of concealed antegrade intraventricular conduction include left bundle branch block dependent upon a specific time relationship to apparently blocked sinus P waves, and discharge of subsidiary ventricular pacemakers by apparently blocked impulses from above. Also, prevention of expected aberrant ventricular conduction when a short cycle follows a
long one, and exceptions to the “rule of bigeminy” may occur because of concealed shortening of the apparently long cycle.15

On the other hand, concealed retrograde intraventricular conduction may occur in the form of concealed transseptal retrograde conduction (figs. 1–10), re-entry after long H-V intervals (figs. 7, 10), or retrograde conduction with block secondary to concealed junctional and ventricular impulses,26–28 including parasystolic ones.29

The location of the pacemaker and the presence or absence of re-entry determine the direction of the concealed conduction. Thus, apparently blocked sinus beats are likely to produce antegrade concealed conduction, and apparently blocked ventricular beats are likely to produce retrograde concealed conduction. For example, Cohen et al.30 showed concealed retrograde conduction into the His bundle from paced ventricular beats in complete A-V block. However, re-entry reverses the direction of concealed conduction. Gupta and Haft31 showed re-entry to the His bundle associated with delay of retrograde conduction from spontaneous ectopic ventricular beats. The site of reflection for the re-entry was supraventricular, so that concealed His bundle re-entry was antegrade. Concealed retrograde re-entry occurring via the Purkinje-bundle branch system has been demonstrated a) after early paced HB beats that conducted abnormally to the ventricles,4 b) after artificially premature atrial beats that produce functional RBBB,24 c) during tachycardias with functional right or left bundle branch block in patients with Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome;39 and d) in association with infranisian Wenckebach as reported here. His-Purkinje re-entry has been documented previously by atrial echoes30 rather than by the aftereffects of concealed conduction. The re-entry in our cases may terminate the infranisian Wenckebach series by a mechanism similar to that previously suggested for termination of Wenckebach series in the A-V node.31 Block of the final beat (fig. 7, beat 4 and fig. 10, beat 5) occurs because of collision of the antegrade and retrograde waves of depolarization in a common pathway.

The Wenckebach phenomenon below the His bundle39, 32 is ordinarily recognized by 1) changing QRS contour 34, 35 or 2) changes in A-V conduction if an exclusive pathway to the ventricle is involved,44 such as the His bundle or a single conducting fascicle. In our figure 5, both of these occur because the “exclusive” pathway to the ventricles changes after the long pause, thereby producing the opposite bundle branch block pattern. This special circumstance has additional implications. The alternate beat Wenckebach phenomenon in figure 5 displays a maximum of two consecutively blocked beats. We are able to postulate a proximal 2:1 block and a distal Wenckebach block, which is contrary to the suggestion of Halpern et al.32 who indicated that the occurrence of two consecutively blocked beats is more compatible with a proximal Wenckebach block and a distal 2:1 block. Moreover, our analysis adds another dimension to the study of Besoain-Santander et al. of two areas of block during atrial flutter28 in which they postulated that a proximal 2:1 block and a distal Wenckebach block usually produce three consecutively blocked beats. The use of an alternate pathway (which does not contain the area of 2:1 block) by the final conducted beat (indicated by the change in QRS contour) gives credence to our postulation. Thus, ordinarily, the blocked beat ending a Wenckebach series is the second consecutively blocked beat when 2:1 block is also present. If the area of the Wenckebach block is proximal, it protects the distal area of 2:1 block, so that the third of three consecutive impulses is conducted, limiting the consecutively blocked series to two. If the Wenckebach block is distal, the more proximal area of 2:1 block in combination with a 3:2 distal block results in three consecutively blocked beats (proximally due to 2:1 block, distally due to Wenckebach, and again proximally due to 2:1 block). However, if this third beat can be conducted via a pathway not containing the 2:1 block, such as the right bundle branch in our case, the number of consecutively blocked beats may still be limited to two.

The changing QRS pattern seen in figures 3, 5, and 9, which represents the switching of exclusive pathways to the ventricles, also proves that apparently blocked pathways are capable of conduction and indicates that concealed transseptal retrograde penetration of the bundle branch system33, 34–36 or slow antegrade conduction may keep apparently blocked bundle branches in a refractory state. The QRS pattern of complete bundle branch block frequently means only that the transseptal conduction time44 from the contralateral bundle branch is shorter than the conduction time of the apparently blocked bundle branch. The term complete bundle branch block, therefore, may frequently be a misnomer.

Thus, we have presented data that 1) support the concept of concealed transseptal retrograde penetration of the bundle branch system; 2) suggest concealed antegrade penetration of one of two areas of infranisian block; 3) allow postulation of proximal 2:1 block and distal 3:2 Wenckebach block with a maximum of two consecutively blocked beats; 4) suggest resetting of the sequence of 2:1 block by concealed intraventricular re-entry; 5) indicate that under special circumstances an infranisian Wenckebach sequence may display changes in A-V conduction as well as changes in QRS contour; and 6) show that infranisian delay of sinus or atrial paced beats may produce re-entry which causes A-H block. His bundle recordings contribute to the differentiation between concealed suprasisian and infranisian conduction, especially when the aftereffects of this conduction are multiple and complex.
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Correction

Nagaora and Katori: Circulation 52: 325, 1975. On page 329, line 14, the dosage 10^4 KIU/kg trasytol should read 10^4 KIU/kg. In figure 6, page 330, the signs of inequality at end circulation are reversed and should represent greater than 60 min.
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