BACKGROUND: Poor lifestyle behaviors are leading causes of preventable diseases globally. Added sugars contribute to a diet that is energy dense but nutrient poor and increase risk of developing obesity, cardiovascular disease, hypertension, obesity-related cancers, and dental caries.

METHODS AND RESULTS: For this American Heart Association scientific statement, the writing group reviewed and graded the current scientific evidence for studies examining the cardiovascular health effects of added sugars on children. The available literature was subdivided into 5 broad subareas: effects on blood pressure, lipids, insulin resistance and diabetes mellitus, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, and obesity.

CONCLUSIONS: Associations between added sugars and increased cardiovascular disease risk factors among US children are present at levels far below current consumption levels. Strong evidence supports the association of added sugars with increased cardiovascular disease risk in children through increased energy intake, increased adiposity, and dyslipidemia. The committee found that it is reasonable to recommend that children consume ≤25 g (100 cal or ≈6 teaspoons) of added sugars per day and to avoid added sugars for children <2 years of age. Although added sugars most likely can be safely consumed in low amounts as part of a healthy diet, few children achieve such levels, making this an important public health target.
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Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of death in North Americans and generates tremendous personal and economic burden globally. Efforts to reduce the prevalence of CVD and its associated conditions (obesity, hypertension, type II diabetes mellitus, and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease [NAFLD]) have focused attention on the role of diet and the growing evidence that atherosclerosis starts in childhood.1–3 Accumulating evidence implicates dietary sugars, particularly those added to processed foods or used in the preparation of foods and beverages. In part because of the lack of clarity and consensus on how much sugar is considered safe for children, sugars remain a commonly added ingredient in foods and drinks, and overall consumption by children and adults remains high. Although intake of added sugars has decreased slightly in recent years,4 they still contribute nearly 16% of the calories consumed by US children daily (Table 1).

The purposes of this statement are to review the available evidence on added sugars intake and CVD risk in children and adolescents, to identify research gaps, and to make recommendations on the consumption of added sugars intake to reduce CVD risk.

**APPROACH**

The writing group members conducted literature searches for each section using relevant search terms, including sugar, fructose, added sugars, dietary, sugar-sweetened beverages, sweeteners, children, noncaloric sweeteners, artificial sweeteners, nonnutritive sweeteners, diabetes mellitus, insulin resistance, triglycerides, lipids, cardiovascular disease, cardiovascular risk, uric acid, hypertension, blood pressure, allopurinol, liver, steatohepatitis, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, and inflammation. The PubMed searches were limited to original research, studies conducted in humans, and systematic reviews through November 2015. The reference lists of relevant articles were reviewed for additional articles. The articles were summarized, and the summaries were discussed during conference calls among the topic area experts who were part of the writing group. Group consensus was used to develop recommendations (Table 2).

To provide the most up-to-date estimates of added sugar consumption in the United States, dietary data from the NHANES (National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey) 2009 to 2012 were analyzed. NHANES data are collected and made publically available by the National Center for Health Statistics. The analytical methods used replicate5 those used by Welsh et al in their analysis of NHANES data from 1999 through 2008.4

**TERMINOLOGY AND DEFINITIONS**

There are several different forms of dietary sugars, and the terminology used to describe them can be a source of confusion for researchers, policy makers, and consumers. Commonly used terms are described below.

**Sugar**

Although commonly used more broadly, the US Federal Drug Administration defines the term sugar as a sweet, crystalline substance, \( C_{12}H_{22}O_{11} \), obtained chiefly from the juice of the sugarcane and the sugar beet.6

**Total Sugars**

The term total sugars is used conventionally to describe the monosaccharides glucose, galactose, and fructose, as well as the disaccharides sucrose, lactose, maltose, and trehalose. Total sugars include all sugars in a food or beverage from any source, including those naturally occurring (such as fructose in fruit and lactose in milk) and those added to foods.6

**Added Sugars**

Added sugars, as defined by the US Department of Agriculture, include all sugars used as ingredients in processed and prepared foods and sugars eaten separately or added to foods at the table.8 The term was first used in the 2000 US Dietary Guidelines for Americans to highlight foods and beverages that were higher in calories but lacked other important nutrients.9 Because fructose is the sweetest of the commonly consumed sugars, it (or sugars that contain it) is frequently added to foods and beverages to increase their palatability. As a result, sucrose and high-fructose corn syrup, both of which are made up of glucose and fructose in approximately equal amounts, are the most commonly added sugars in the US food supply.

**Naturally Occurring Sugars**

Naturally occurring sugars include those that are an innate component of foods (eg, fructose in fruits and vegetables and lactose in milk and other dairy products).6

**Extrinsic and Intrinsic Sugars**

The terms extrinsic and intrinsic sugars originated from the UK Department of Health. Intrinsic sugars are defined as sugars that are present within the cell walls of plants (eg, naturally occurring sugars) and are always accompanied by other nutrients. Extrinsic sugars are those not located within the cellular structure of a food and are found in fruit juice, honey, and syrups and added to processed foods. The term non milk extrinsic sugars is used to differentiate lactose-containing extrinsic sugars from all others because...
the metabolic response for the 2 types of sugars differs substantially.\textsuperscript{10}

**Free Sugars**

*Free sugars* is a term used by the World Health Organization that refers to all monosaccharides and disaccharides added to foods by the manufacturer, cook, and consumer (eg, added sugars) plus sugars naturally present in honey, syrups, and fruit juices\textsuperscript{11} (eg, nonmilk extrinsic sugars).

### CHALLENGES WITH STUDYING SUGARS IN THE DIET

Nutrition studies are inherently challenging because humans have complex activities, diets, and metabolism. In
attempts to study a single nutrient such as sugar, it is impossible to isolate its effects completely, especially with the known limitations in self-reported diet data from children/parents and the short duration feasible with feeding studies. For example, adding sugars to a diet may result in the intake of excess calories. Some have proposed that studies should be adjusted for these effects. However, adjustment does not mirror free-living people who do not typically adjust their diet or activity level to keep total calories at a set level. In fact, the most telling studies of the health effect of sugars are probably those in the real world. In our approach, we attempted to synthesize the body of literature under each topic, including articles that reflect real-world effects of sugar and those that attempt to discern biological effects of isolated added sugars administration while focusing on the goal of making high-quality recommendations for practice and policy.

**CURRENT INTAKE GUIDELINES**

In 2005, sample diets for children from the Food Guide Pyramid, which translated the Dietary Guidelines for...
Americans recommendations into food group–based advice for a healthy diet, suggested a limit ranging from 6% to 10% of total calorie intake as discretionary calories depending on a child’s age, sex, and level of physical activity. Discretionary calories are those available for consumption as added sugars and solid fats once a child’s daily nutrient requirements are met. In the same year, this recommendation was supported by the American Heart Association (AHA) in collaboration with the American Academy of Pediatrics, which also specified that “sweetened beverages and naturally sweet beverages, such as fruit juice, should be limited to 4 to 6 ounces per day for children 1 to 6 years old and to 8 to 12 ounces per day for children 7 to 18 years old.”

According to the 2010 Dietary Guidelines for Americans, reducing the consumption of added sugars would lower the energy content of the diet without compromising its nutrient adequacy. The guidelines suggested that this strategy could play an important role in reducing the high prevalence of obesity in the United States. The guidelines advised that sweetened foods and beverages be replaced with those that have no added sugars or are low in added sugars. For example, the guidelines advise consumers to “drink water instead of sugary drinks.” Also in 2010, the AHA advised that, to achieve and maintain healthy weights and to decrease cardiovascular risk while meeting essential nutritional needs, adults reduce their intake of added sugars. The AHA recommended an upper limit of intake of added sugars at 100 cal/d or 6 teaspoons for most American women and 150 cal/d or 9 teaspoons for most American men. The AHA recommendation focused on all added sugars without singling out any particular types such as high-fructose corn syrup.

The 2015 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee released a scientific report in early 2015 recommending that added sugars be limited. The report pointed out that in a healthy meal pattern, after food group and nutrient recommendations are met, only a limited number of calories are available to be consumed as added sugars. Specifically, only 3% to 9% of calories would be available from added sugars for all patterns, and within the most appropriate patterns (1600–2400 cal), the range is 4% to 6% of calories from added sugars (or 4.5–9.4 teaspoons). The expert committee concluded that strong and consistent evidence shows that intake of added sugars is associated with excess body weight compatible with a recommendation to keep added sugars intake <10% of total energy intake.

Since 2003, the World Health Organization has recommended that the intake of free sugars be limited to <10% of total daily energy intake. Guidelines released by the World Health Organization in 2015 advised that people should reduce the amount of free sugars to <10% of their daily energy intake. The World Health Organization further advised that a reduction to <5% of total energy intake per day would have additional benefits in reducing the risk of noncommunicable diseases (specifically excess weight gain and dental caries) in adults and children. Five percent of total energy intake is equivalent to ≈25 g (=6 teaspoons or ≈100 kcal) of sugar per day for an adult with a healthy body mass index (BMI).

CURRENT INTAKE LEVELS AND LEADING SOURCES

We used publically available data from the most recent cycles of the NHANES (2009–2012) to estimate current levels of added sugars intake. These estimates may be conservatively low because it is well established that self-reported dietary assessments underreport. Our analysis demonstrates that US children 2 to 19 years of age consume an average of 80 g added sugar daily (Table 1). Absolute intake is higher among boys than girls (87 versus 73 g), but there were no differences when intake was assessed in relation to total energy intake (16.1% for both). Added sugars intake increases with age (Figure). Intake of free sugars, the combination of added sugars and sugars that occur naturally in honey, syrups, and juices, is 91 g and 18.5% total energy.

Foods and beverages each contribute half of the added sugars in children’s diets, 40 g each. The top contributors to added sugars intake include soda, fruit-flavored and sports drinks, and cakes and cookies. The contribution of added sugars to total energy intake is summarized by food or beverage source in Table 1. Table 3 illustrates sugars intake in teaspoons by sex and age group. Previous research has suggested that most added sugars are consumed at home rather than away from home.

SUGARS AND CVD RISK: BIOLOGICAL MECHANISMS

An ongoing debate exists as to whether fructose and glucose are similar in effect given that they are calorically matched but have markedly different metabolic fates in the human body. After consumption, digestion, and absorption, both fructose and glucose are absorbed into the portal circulation and taken up into the liver. The liver has a major role in controlling the amount of glucose that reaches peripheral tissues after a meal. Increased glucose in the portal blood stimulates insulin secretion, leading to increased uptake of glucose into muscle and adipose, increased synthesis of glycogen, increased fatty acid synthesis in the adipose, increased amino acid uptake, and induction of lipoprotein lipase into muscle and adipose. Fructose does not stimulate secretion of insulin to the same extent and is absorbed primarily into the liver where it stimulates de novo lipogenesis. The pathway of de novo lipogenesis produces saturated fatty acids, and although it is not a major pathway in lean individuals (contributing just 10% of fatty ac-
ids in very-low-density lipoprotein triglyceride), in obese, insulin-resistant individuals, this pathway becomes important because carbohydrates may provide up to 50% of the saturated fatty acids in very-low-density lipoprotein triglyceride. In 2014, a review of these mechanisms included the postulation that because of this effect dietary sugars may be “as atherogenic as dietary saturated fatty acids.” Whether the fructose or glucose causes adverse effects may be academically debatable but is less important from a clinical standpoint because most food and beverage sources outside of research studies include both sugars.

Genetics and How They Affect CVD Risk

A further challenge of understanding the role of sugars in CVD risk for children is the variability of response among individuals. This example of personalized response to a nutrient has confounded previous studies, but fortunately, recent investigations are revealing the underlying mechanisms. Genetics appear to have a profound influence on carbohydrate response. Davis et al demonstrated this in a study of the PNPLA3 (patatin-like phospholipase domain containing 3) gene, in which a polymorphism of this gene modified the effect of dietary sugars on the presence of hepatic fat. Finally, but perhaps most obviously, the gut plays a critical role in nutrient absorption, response, and modification, and this is true for dietary sugars. At this point, little is known about the interaction between microbiome and added sugars in humans; this is an area that needs study, particularly given the data demonstrating relationships between the microbiome and CVD risk.

Metabolic and Satiety Responses to Liquids Versus Foods

The form in which added sugars are consumed also may influence the metabolic effects. Sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs) contribute a large number of calories to the American diet, and most are composed almost exclusively of just 2 ingredients, added sugars and water. This makes them a good vehicle for testing the effect of added sugars with minimal risk of confounding by other nutrients. As a result, nearly all clinical trials examining added sugar intake have been done with SSBs used as the exposure. Insight into the effect of sugar-sweetened food consumption might be gained through studies of foods high in total carbohydrates. Several short-term studies have shown that carbohydrates consumed as solids are more satiating than those consumed as liquids, and subsequent calorie balance appears to be compensated for by the additional calories, resulting in less body weight gain.

DiMeglio and Mattes compared the effects of SSBs versus isocaloric jelly beans in a crossover study among 15 adults and demonstrated an increase in body weight.

Table 3. Added Sugars: Means, Percentiles, and Standard Errors of Usual Intake, 2007 to 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>n*</th>
<th>Mean (SE)‡</th>
<th>5% (SE)</th>
<th>10% (SE)</th>
<th>25% (SE)</th>
<th>50% (SE)</th>
<th>75% (SE)</th>
<th>90% (SE)</th>
<th>95% (SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>9.4 (0.31)</td>
<td>3.1 (0.17)</td>
<td>4.1 (0.19)</td>
<td>5.9 (0.24)</td>
<td>8.6 (0.29)</td>
<td>12.0 (0.39)</td>
<td>15.7 (0.51)</td>
<td>18.2 (0.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–8</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>15.7 (0.56)</td>
<td>6.5 (0.31)</td>
<td>7.9 (0.34)</td>
<td>10.9 (0.41)</td>
<td>14.8 (0.53)</td>
<td>19.6 (0.70)</td>
<td>24.6 (0.91)</td>
<td>28.1 (1.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9–13</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>21.5 (0.46)</td>
<td>5.9 (0.30)</td>
<td>8.0 (0.31)</td>
<td>12.5 (0.36)</td>
<td>19.3 (0.43)</td>
<td>27.9 (0.62)</td>
<td>37.9 (0.91)</td>
<td>44.8 (1.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14–18</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>24.6 (0.74)</td>
<td>7.3 (0.39)</td>
<td>9.7 (0.43)</td>
<td>14.7 (0.53)</td>
<td>22.2 (0.69)</td>
<td>31.9 (0.95)</td>
<td>42.8 (1.36)</td>
<td>50.2 (1.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>715</td>
<td>8.4 (0.27)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.17)</td>
<td>3.5 (0.20)</td>
<td>5.2 (0.23)</td>
<td>7.7 (0.28)</td>
<td>10.8 (0.33)</td>
<td>14.3 (0.41)</td>
<td>16.7 (0.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–8</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>14.3 (0.37)</td>
<td>5.7 (0.27)</td>
<td>7.1 (0.30)</td>
<td>9.7 (0.33)</td>
<td>13.4 (0.37)</td>
<td>17.9 (0.44)</td>
<td>22.6 (0.57)</td>
<td>25.9 (0.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9–13</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>17.8 (0.44)</td>
<td>6.0 (0.29)</td>
<td>7.7 (0.31)</td>
<td>11.2 (0.35)</td>
<td>16.3 (0.42)</td>
<td>22.7 (0.55)</td>
<td>29.8 (0.77)</td>
<td>34.7 (0.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14–18</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>17.5 (0.54)</td>
<td>5.8 (0.34)</td>
<td>7.5 (0.37)</td>
<td>10.9 (0.43)</td>
<td>16.0 (0.52)</td>
<td>22.4 (0.65)</td>
<td>29.5 (0.90)</td>
<td>34.3 (1.10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of people in sample.
†One teaspoon of added sugars equals the same amount of total sugars as 1 teaspoon (4 g) of table sugar (sucrose).
‡Standard errors (df=32).
over 4 weeks during the beverage condition but not with the jelly beans. Houchins et al performed a randomized, crossover study to compare the short- and long-term (8 weeks) effects of fruits and vegetables in solid versus beverage form on appetite and energy intake. They found that hunger reduction was greater and subsequent food intake lower with solids compared with liquids in the short term, but there were no significant differences after 8 weeks. In a follow-up study, Houchins et al provided energy-matched liquid and solid forms of fruits and vegetables to lean or overweight/obese adults for 8 weeks each. Although incomplete dietary compensation and weight gain occurred in both lean and overweight/obese groups during the beverage condition, results with the food condition were mixed. In the lean group, calorie compensation was precise, and there was no weight gain; however, in the overweight/obese group, compensation was poor, and there was significant weight gain.

Data are sparse on the impact of liquid versus solid sugars consumption in children. In a 6-year longitudinal study of 8- to 10-year-old children, Olsen et al demonstrated a stronger association between liquid sucrose consumption and proxies of adiposity (BMI and waist circumference) compared with solid sucrose consumption. Lee et al used data from a 10-year study with annual follow-up of adolescent girls to examine the association between added sugars intake and measures of adiposity. Before adjustment for total energy, each additional teaspoon of added sugars consumed in either beverages or foods over the previous year was positively associated with change in waist circumference (0.18 mm/teaspoon; \( P<0.001 \)) and change in BMI \( z \) score (0.002 units per teaspoon; \( P=0.003 \)). After adjustment for total energy, the association remained significant only for liquid added sugars and waist circumference (0.16 mm/teaspoon; \( P=0.02 \)). This supports the association between added sugars consumed in either foods or beverages and weight gain is mediated by total energy intake but also suggests that liquid sugars may uniquely affect body fat distribution. These data support the reduction of all added sugars, but particularly SSBs, as a way to improve long-term cardiovascular health.

### CVD RISK OUTCOMES ASSOCIATED WITH ADDED SUGARS INTAKE

#### Excess Weight Gain and Obesity

A preponderance of relevant literature supports a relationship between dietary sugars, specifically those found in SSBs, and increased adiposity in children. As described below, these studies range from large longitudinal studies to school-based, randomized, controlled trials with variable methods of dietary assess-
been reported in children and adolescents who have high intakes of total sugars,\textsuperscript{39} fructose,\textsuperscript{41} and juice.\textsuperscript{59}

In summary, children and adolescents who have high intakes of dietary sugars (specifically from SSBs and added sugars) tend to have higher daily energy intakes compared with similar populations with lower intakes of dietary sugars. Higher SSB and added sugars intake has been strongly linked to excess weight gain and an increased risk of obesity. Importantly, the associations of added sugars intake and adverse outcomes in the longitudinal and cross-sectional studies may also be driven by other factors such as the home environment, a broader unhealthy diet, and activity behaviors. Additionally, in randomized, controlled trials in which children and adolescents switched from SSBs to noncaloric beverages,\textsuperscript{67,70} reductions in weight were found, strengthening the likelihood that it is added sugars intake (at least in beverage form) that drives the causality of the findings.

**Elevated Blood Pressure and Uric Acid Levels**

An epidemiological link between sweeteners and hypertension has been suspected for many years, but distinguishing the effects from those of obesity has been challenging. Jalal and colleagues\textsuperscript{71} evaluated this question in the NHANES data from 2000 to 2003. A strength of this data set, a survey of a representative sample of US adults and children, is the inclusion of both direct blood pressure measurement and dietary intake of fructose as determined by dietary questionnaire. The major finding was that there was a strong relationship between fructose intake and elevated systolic blood pressure that was independent of obesity.\textsuperscript{71} Nguyen and colleagues\textsuperscript{72} also found an independent relationship between sugary soft drinks and hypertension in adolescents. A converse observation that improvement in blood pressure during lifestyle modification was greatest with a greater reduction in dietary sweeteners was made in a large study of adults randomized to brief counseling on the DASH (Dietary Approach to Stop Hypertension) Diet.\textsuperscript{73}

Direct clinical trials of fructose intake provide further support for a role of dietary sweeteners in the development of hypertension. Perez-Pozo et al\textsuperscript{74} administered 200 g fructose per day to healthy overweight males. Over the 2-week study period, subjects had an average increase of 7 mmHg in systolic blood pressure and 6 mmHg in diastolic blood pressure. Brymora and colleagues\textsuperscript{75} performed the converse experiment. Twenty-eight subjects were placed on a very low fructose diet in which they reduced their average intake from 59 to 12 g/d. After 6 weeks, the subjects had an average decrease of 6 mmHg in both systolic and diastolic blood pressures.

The physiological link between fructose and increased blood pressure is likely indirect, acting through uric acid as an intermediary.\textsuperscript{76–79} In adolescents, there is a close association between elevated serum uric acid and the onset of essential hypertension. The Moscow Children’s Hypertension Study found hyperuricemia (>8.0 mg/dL) in 9.5% of children with normal blood pressure, 49% of children with borderline hypertension, and 73% of children with moderate and severe hypertension.\textsuperscript{80} The Hungarian Children’s Health Study followed up all 17,634 children born in Budapest in 1964 for >13 years and also identified hyperuricemia as a risk factor for hypertension.\textsuperscript{81} In a small study, Gruskin\textsuperscript{82} compared adolescents (13–18 years of age) with essential hypertension with age-matched, healthy control subjects with normal blood pressures. The hypertensive children had both elevated serum uric acid (mean >6.5 mg/dL) and higher peripheral renin activity. In a racially diverse population referred for the evaluation of hypertension, Feig and Johnson\textsuperscript{83} observed that the mean serum uric acid level was 3.6 mg/dL in children with white-coat hypertension or normal blood pressure and significantly higher, 6.7 mg/dL, in children with primary hypertension. Results from 2 small, clinical trials suggest that uric acid contributes directly to the development of hypertension in adolescents.\textsuperscript{84,85} Serum uric acid reduction, whether by reduced production or increased clearance, significantly improved elevated blood pressure.

In summary, both epidemiological and clinical trial evidence suggests that excessive fructose intake results in increased blood pressure in children and young adults. There are data that this effect can be mitigated by urate-lowering therapy consistent with the hypothesis that the hypertensive effect of dietary sugars is mediated by the induction of hyperuricemia. Current evidence suggests that added sugars are a source of excess fructose and that reduction of fructose from added sugars is likely to decrease uric acid, possibly improving blood pressure in children. However, further research on this topic is needed to test whether a reduction in added sugars results in improved blood pressure in children.

**Dyslipidemia**

The majority of studies that evaluated lipid markers in children and associations with sugars, sucrose, fructose, or SSBs were cross-sectional. Of these studies, 2 had mixed findings of increased glucose or homeostasis model assessment–estimated insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and systolic blood pressure with increased SSBs but no association with high-density lipoprotein (HDL) and triglyceride levels.\textsuperscript{86,87} The remaining 9 cross-sectional studies demonstrated positive associations between increasing amounts of added sugars and higher triglyceride and/or lower HDL levels.\textsuperscript{40,88–95} As expected, low-density lipoprotein and/or total cholesterol was less related and/or inversely associated with added sugars consumption in some studies.\textsuperscript{86,94,95}

One of the older studies, published in 1980, was unique because it included children who consumed very low amounts of sugar, which is rare in today’s culture.\textsuperscript{94} Morrison et al\textsuperscript{84} examined cross-sectional data from...
1669 school children (75% white and 24% black), including total sucrose obtained from 24-hour recalls and measured blood lipids. Plasma triglycerides were positively correlated and total cholesterol was negatively correlated with dietary sucrose; this association remained after adjustment for age, race, and sex. When children were divided into low (1st–10th percentile) versus intermediate and high consumers of sucrose, after adjustment for demographics, triglycerides rose with higher sucrose, HDL fell, and total plasma cholesterol fell as sucrose intake increased. Notably, the average consumption of the low group was very low, ranging from 8 to 24 g/d (3.5%–6.8% of calories per day). The average consumption of sucrose of the intermediate group was between 42 and 80 g/d (9%–10% of calories per day), and the consumption of the high consumers was up to 17% of total calories per day. For triglycerides, there was a significant difference between the intermediate and high groups.

Four longitudinal studies included lipids as an outcome. All longitudinal studies confirmed an association between increased SSBs, sucrose, or added sugars and increased triglycerides plus lower HDL. The Lee et al study included 10-year follow-up of >2000 racially diverse children who were 9 and 10 years of age at baseline. In low consumers of added sugars (<10% of total calories) compared with higher consumers, there was a 0.26-mg/dL annual increase (improvement) in HDL levels over the 10 years. This added up to a 2.2 mg/dL higher HDL in the low consumers of added sugars.

There has been 1 intervention study in obese children comparing usual diet with a fructose-free study-provided diet. After 9 days of fructose-free diet, significant reductions were seen in triglycerides, low-density lipoprotein, blood pressure, and insulin sensitivity.

In summary, although there are limited intervention studies, the preponderance of evidence from the available cross-sectional and longitudinal studies weighs in favor of improved triglycerides and HDL in children with low consumption of added sugars. Although traditionally triglycerides and HDL have not been a primary focus for decreasing CVD risk, newer data demonstrate that a high ratio of triglycerides to HDL predicts smaller dense low-density lipoprotein, a strong cardiovascular risk factor. More studies are needed in this area, particularly focusing on the relationships of added sugars consumption in children and small dense low-density lipoprotein, HDL function, non–HDL cholesterol, and direct measurements of cardiovascular health such as carotid intima-media thickness and brachial vasodilation.

**Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease**

NAFLD has increased in the US population at an alarming rate, particularly among children. NAFLD is a disease of lipid metabolism in which excess triglycerides accumulates in hepatocytes in the setting of increased adiposity, hypertriglyceridemia, and increased free fatty acid flux to the liver caused by insulin resistance. The role of sugar intakes in NAFLD is only partially understood.

Nine articles were available that examined sugar intake and its correlation with hepatic steatosis in children. Of the 4 cross-sectional studies, 2 studies reported an association between increased sugars intake and higher liver fat or blood measurements of liver inflammation, 1 study had conflicting findings, and 1 study did not show a relationship between total sugars or fructose and hepatic steatosis. A large, longitudinal study that examined fructose consumption and NAFLD in 592 adolescents using ultrasound to identify hepatic steatosis found that energy-adjusted fructose intake at 14 years of age was independently associated with increased odds of NAFLD at 17 years of age. Reduction in added sugars has often been included as a part of a healthier lifestyle approach to treat NAFLD, and the combination of higher fiber, increased vegetables, greater physical activity, and added sugars reduction has been shown to be effective in reducing hepatic fat. However, the level of contribution of added sugars reduction to the positive findings in this type of intervention is unknown.

Few intervention studies that specifically targeted added sugars and liver outcomes in children are available. A 4-week randomized, controlled, clinical trial compared type of sugar (fructose versus glucose) in a eucaloric beverage study in children with NAFLD and found that hepatic fat did not change when glucose was substituted for fructose, although insulin resistance and systemic inflammation improved. A small 6-month pilot study comparing education on a low-fat diet with education on a low-fructose diet found significant improvement in oxidized low-density lipoprotein and a strong trend of improvement in alanine amino transferase after a low-fructose diet educational intervention.

In summary, although the cross-sectional data that exist to date conflict, the 1 large longitudinal study available suggests a relationship between fructose consumption in children and hepatic fat. More research is needed, in particular because NAFLD does not occur in isolation and is almost always accompanied by 1 or all of the following: visceral obesity, hypertriglyceridemia, low HDL, high non–HDL cholesterol, and insulin resistance. Measuring outcomes of this clinical fatty liver syndrome after added sugars reduction may be a better marker of improvement compared with studying hepatic fat or inflammation levels alone. Important research gaps in this area are the lack of longitudinal and randomized studies testing sugar reduction or substitution as a treatment for NAFLD in children, the lack of information on dose effect of added sugars on NAFLD or the associated CVD risk factors, and the role of early sugars exposure on NAFLD. These specific areas of knowledge are critical for guiding future practice and public health recommendations. For now, it appears that a diet low in added sugars for
overweight children with NAFLD is beneficial on the basis of the evidence to date and can be recommended especially given the low risk of harm and the lack of nutrient value of added sugars.

Insulin Resistance and Diabetes Mellitus
The effects of added sugars on insulin sensitivity have been measured as primary or secondary outcomes in a number of pediatric studies. A 2-year longitudinal study by Wang et al97 studied the associations between SSBs and their effect on glucose-insulin homeostasis among youth. The population included children between 8 and 10 years of age with at least 1 obese biological parent. Participants were classified as normal weight, overweight, or obese. Adipose measures, fasting glucose, fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, and the Matsuda insulin sensitivity index were measured. The data showed that a higher consumption (10 g/d) of added sugars from liquid sources was associated with 0.04-mmol/L higher fasting glucose, 2.3-mmol/L higher fasting insulin, a 0.1-unit higher HOMA-IR, and a 0.4-unit lower Matsuda insulin sensitivity index in all participants. These observed increases were statistically significant in children who were classified as overweight/obese but not among the normal-weight children. A cross-sectional study by Welsh et al95 of 2157 US adolescents in NHANES between 1999 and 2004 also showed a positive correlation between added sugars and HOMA-IR among overweight adolescents but not among those with normal weight.

Heden et al103 showed that moderate amounts of fructose- or glucose-sweetened beverages for 2 weeks did not differentially alter metabolic health in male and female adolescents when the 2 beverages were compared. The study was a counterbalanced, single-blind study with 40 male and female adolescents but was limited by its short duration. Contrary to this study, Jin et al107 demonstrated in a slightly longer 4-week double-blind, randomized, controlled intervention study among Hispanic adolescents who were overweight with NAFLD that fructose beverage consumption increased insulin, HOMA-IR, and adipose insulin resistance, whereas glucose beverage consumption was associated with lower insulin and HOMA-IR.

In summary, studies in this area are inconclusive. To date, added sugars appear to have a relationship with insulin resistance in children who are overweight, but this finding was not demonstrated in normal-weight children.

EVIDENCE OF DOSE RESPONSE TO ADDED SUGARS INTAKE
In our literature review, we found no studies directly testing what dose of added sugars in the diet of children would have no harmful effect on CVD risk. The following is a summary of the results of studies from each section above that indicate a level above which an increase in ≥1 cardiovascular risk factors was observed.

Cross-Sectional Studies
- Children consuming 3.5% to 6.8% of calories as sucrose (the lowest consumption group) had lower triglycerides and higher HDL than higher consumers.94
- Children consuming no SSBs compared with those consuming an average of 11.8 oz/d had lower C-reactive protein, smaller waist circumference, and higher HDL cholesterol.40
- Each additional SSB equivalent (=1 cup or 8 oz) consumed by children daily was associated with a 5% increase in HOMA-IR, a 0.16-mm increase in systolic blood pressure, a 0.47-cm increase in waist circumference, a 0.90-percentile increase in BMI for age, and a 0.48-mg/dL decrease in HDL concentrations. The low consumers in this analysis consumed a mean of 0.1 oz of SSBs per day.91
- Adolescents who consumed >10% of their total energy as added sugars had lower HDL levels, higher triglycerides, and higher low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels than those who consumed less. Overweight or obese adolescents had higher insulin resistance (as assessed with HOMA-IR).95

Prospective Cohort
- Adolescents consuming <10% of their total calories as added sugars had higher HDL cholesterol levels than those consuming more.98
- Children who consumed ≥10% of calories from sucrose had a poorer diet quality and significantly decreased height compared with lower consumers.48
- Infants who drank ≥3 servings of SSBs per week had twice the odds of obesity at 6 years of age.30
- Annual changes in BMI z score and waist circumference among girls increased significantly with each additional teaspoon of added sugar.98

Experimental Studies
- In a randomized, controlled trial in school children, 1 SSB daily contributed an additional 104 cal from added sugars (=5% of a 2000-cal diet) and increased body weight compared with a noncaloric beverage daily.67

Thus, there is consistent evidence that cardiovascular risk increases as added sugars consumption increases. Very low consumption (0.1 oz of SSBs per day) is associated with lower CVD risk indicators. The “sweet spot” at which level of consumption added sugars could be
enjoyed but without an adverse cardiovascular health effect is currently unknown.

**DIET QUALITY AND ADDED SUGARS INTAKE**

**Decreased Diet Quality**

Few studies have reported variations in nutrient adequacy (ie, decreased diet quality) based on intakes of added sugars; however, a study that examined this variation suggested displacement of micronutrients with increasing amounts of sugars intake. Results from 10 years of follow-up in the National Growth and Health Study reported low intakes of vitamins A, D, and E, calcium, and potassium among adolescent girls across all 3 age ranges (9–13, 14–18, and 19–20 years). These adolescents consumed >40% of total energy (>750 kcal/d) from solid fats and added sugars compared with the recommended limits ranging from 120 kcal/d for sedentary girls to 160 kcal/d for moderately active girls.

Diet quality may also be affected by total sugars intake and sources of sugars intakes. Frary and colleagues reported added sugars data in children and adolescents from the 1994 to 1996 and 1998 Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals database (US Department of Agriculture). Whereas intake of presweetened dairy foods (ie, flavored milk or yogurts), beverages, and fortified cereals favorably affected levels of micronutrients, SSBs, sugars and sweets, and sweetened grains adversely affected diet quality. An Australian cross-sectional study of children 2 to 16 years of age based on two 24-hour recalls examined high glycemic carbohydrates, not sugars, and found that children who had a higher glycemic index of carbohydrates were more likely to fail to meet certain recommended nutrients, including calcium, and iodine. A longitudinal study of Finnish children that collected dietary information annually from infancy to 9 years of age found that the highest consumers of sucrose tended to receive less vitamin E, niacin, calcium, iron, zinc, and dietary fiber compared with average and low consumers of sucrose. The lowest consumers of sucrose also consumed more grains, vegetables, and dairy products, thus supporting the idea that children who consume added sugars in high levels are consuming fewer of the micronutrients that are important for health.

A cross-sectional analysis of data from 2005 to 2008 NHANES reported that dietary sodium intake among US children and adolescents 2 to 18 years of age was positively associated with SSB consumption. The average dietary sodium intake was 3056 mg/d, well in excess of the recommended 2300 mg/d. The authors predicted that with reductions in sodium intake, SSB intake and thus calories from sugars intake would decrease. Among those who consumed SSBs, each additional 390 mg of sodium per day was associated with an increase of 32 g of SSBs per day.

Another potential consequence of higher sugars intake, especially in liquid form (eg, SSBs), is increased total energy intake that is not compensated for by reduced energy intake during meals. Sugary beverages were found to conflict in flavor with vegetables, thereby suggesting that “combo meals” that include SSBs are typically not served with vegetables, whereas water or milk is better accepted. Reduction of SSBs could decrease energy intake in children, as demonstrated in a study by Briefel et al. Briefel and colleagues used diet modeling and reported that switching from SSBs and flavored milks to unflavored low-fat milk at meals and water between meals saved on average 205 kcal/d, an ≈10% reduction in total energy intake.

**Increased Diet Quality**

Empty calories in children’s diets should be limited to the amount that fits their energy and nutrient needs. The American Academy of Pediatrics Council on School Health and Committee on Nutrition advises using the minimal amount of added sugars necessary to promote the palatability, enjoyment, and consumption of nutrient-rich food items. Thus, it is important to be judicious when including added sugars in children’s diets. SSBs, sweets, and sweetened grains are more likely to have a negative impact on diet quality, whereas sweetened dairy products and presweetened cereals may have a positive impact. Examples of foods that may have a positive impact include sweetened dairy products such as low-fat or fat-free flavored milk, sweetened yogurt, and high-fiber breakfast cereals. Fat-free flavored milk intake is associated with higher intakes of shortfall nutrients such as calcium and potassium and is not associated with adverse effects on BMI measures. Furthermore, lower-calorie low-fat or fat-free milk with reduced added sugars appears to be acceptable to school-aged children. Consuming ready-to-eat cereal at breakfast was associated with improved weight and nutrient adequacy in black children. However, compared with low-sugar cereals, high-sugar cereals increase children’s total sugar consumption and reduce the overall nutritional quality of their breakfast. From these studies, it is apparent that when children consume added sugars, it is better if the sugars are in foods and beverages that enhance, not diminish, the nutrient quality of the diet.

**EARLY INTRODUCTION OF ADDED SUGARS**

Children have a strong preference for a sweet taste, and early introduction of added sugars in the diet of infants and toddlers may promote sweet taste preference or may reflect other factors in the feeding environment. Early introduction of SSBs before 12 months of age is associated with an increased likelihood of consuming SSBs ≥1 time/day at age 6 years. Recent research demonstrating the use of sucrose and glucose, which are sweeter than lac-
tose (the sugar found in breast milk), in infant formulas highlights the need for research in this area. We found no studies evaluating added sugars and infant formulas. Given the importance of this early period on growth and future obesity and metabolic risk, this is a critical research need.

**ALTERNATIVES TO ADDED SUGARS**

As part of this scientific statement, a review of the literature on nonnutritive sweeteners (NNSs; noncaloric artificial sweeteners) in children was performed because NNSs are often considered a tool to replace added sugars to help lower energy intake. The key words non-nutritive sweeteners, artificial sweeteners, noncaloric sweeteners, and children were searched, with few relevant articles identified. This highlights a major gap in information that has also been noted in the adult literature. NNS-flavored beverages have been used as comparison groups in several pediatric trials of SSBs. However, the studies were not designed to examine the effects of NNSs and did not include a water comparison group.

Currently, consumption of NNSs is low in children, although it has increased over time. The AHA's position on NNSs for adults is that, when used judiciously, NNSs substituted for added sugars in foods and beverages could help people reduce their calorie intake to reach and maintain a healthy body weight, as long as the substitution does not lead to consuming additional calories as compensation. The American Academy of Pediatrics concluded that data on NNSs are scarce in terms of the long-term benefits for weight management in children and adolescents or the consequences of long-term consumption. Because of the lack of research in children, a recommendation either for or against the routine use of NNSs in the diets of children cannot be made at this time.

**RESEARCH GAPS**

As discussed above, important gaps exist in the knowledge of sugars in children. Longitudinal studies, intervention studies, and randomized, controlled trials are urgently needed to provide high-quality data for policy decisions. Specific remaining questions that are research priorities include the following:

- Is there a threshold of added sugars below which there are no negative effects on cardiovascular health?
- Is there a direct linear relationship between increasing cardiovascular risk outcomes and added sugars intake? For example, is 1% better than 5%, which is better than 10%? Does this change by age?
- Are the risks associated with added sugars consumption lower if the sugars are consumed in foods instead of in beverages?
- Does routine use of NNSs have adverse metabolic effects in children?

- Can the food industry move to gradually lower the amount of sugars added to foods, and if so, what is the expected outcome?
- Does sugar from 100% juice have biological and cardiovascular health effects in children similar to those of added sugars from SSBs?

**COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS**

On the basis of the existing literature and in combination with expert opinion, the following recommendations are made:

1. In randomized, controlled trials in which children and adolescents switch from SSBs to noncaloric beverages, reductions in weight were found, strengthening the likelihood that it is added sugars intake (at least in beverage form) that drives the causality of the findings. Therefore, it is recommended that children and adolescents limit their intake of SSBs to 1 or fewer 8-oz beverages per week (Class I; Level of Evidence A).

2. In the absence of dose-assessment studies, we can only extrapolate from observational studies. On the basis of the studies showing an association between decreased CVD risk factors and a low consumption of added sugars and the high potential benefit-to-risk ratio, it is reasonable to recommend that children and adolescents consume ≤25 g (100 cal or ≈6 teaspoons) of added sugars per day (Class IIa; Level of Evidence C).

3. Because there is minimal room for nutrient-free calories in the habitual diets of very young children, added sugars should be avoided in the diet of children <2 years of age (Class III; Level of Evidence C).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Our comprehensive review of the available evidence found that associations with increased CVD risk factors are present at levels far below US children's current added sugars consumption levels. Current evidence supports the associations of added sugars with increased energy intake, increased adiposity, increased central adiposity, and increased dyslipidemia, all of which are demonstrated CVD risk factors. Importantly, the introduction of added sugars during infancy appears to be particularly harmful and should be avoided. Although added sugars can mostly likely be safely consumed in low amounts as part of a healthy diet, little research has been done to establish a threshold between adverse effects and health, making this an important future research topic.
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