Lone Atrial Fibrillation Is Associated With Impaired Left Ventricular Energetics That Persists Despite Successful Catheter Ablation

BACKGROUND: Lone atrial fibrillation (AF) may reflect a subclinical cardiomyopathy that persists after sinus rhythm (SR) restoration, providing a substrate for AF recurrence. To test this hypothesis, we investigated the effect of restoring SR by catheter ablation on left ventricular (LV) function and energetics in patients with AF but no significant comorbidities.

METHODS: Fifty-three patients with symptomatic paroxysmal or persistent AF and without significant valvular disease, uncontrolled hypertension, coronary artery disease, uncontrolled thyroid disease, systemic inflammatory disease, diabetes mellitus, or obstructive sleep apnea (ie, lone AF) undergoing ablation and 25 matched control subjects in SR were investigated. Magnetic resonance imaging quantified LV ejection fraction (LVEF), peak systolic circumferential strain (PSCS), and left atrial volumes and function, whereas phosphorus-31 magnetic resonance spectroscopy evaluated ventricular energetics (ratio of phosphocreatine to ATP). AF burden was determined before and after ablation by 7-day Holter monitoring; intermittent ECG event monitoring was also undertaken after ablation to investigate for asymptomatic AF recurrence.

RESULTS: Before ablation, both LV function and energetics were significantly impaired in patients compared with control subjects (LVEF, 61% [interquartile range (IQR), 52%–65%] versus 71% [IQR, 69%–73%], P<0.001; PSCS, –15% [IQR, –11 to –18%] versus –18% [IQR, –17% to –19%], P=0.002; ratio of phosphocreatine to ATP, 1.81±0.35 versus 2.05±0.29, P=0.004). As expected, patients also had dilated and impaired left atria compared with control subjects (all P<0.001). Early after ablation (1–4 days), LVEF and PSCS improved in patients recovering SR from AF (LVEF, 7.0±10%, P=0.005; PSCS, –3.5±4.3%, P=0.001) but were unchanged in those in SR during both assessments (both P=NS). At 6 to 9 months after ablation, AF burden reduced significantly (from 54% [IQR, 1.5%–100%] to 0% [IQR 0%–0.1%], P<0.001). However, LVEF and PSCS did not improve further (both P=NS) and remained impaired compared with control subjects (P<0.001 and P=0.003, respectively). Similarly, there was no significant improvement in atrial function from before ablation (P=NS), and this remained lower than in control subjects (P<0.001). The ratio of phosphocreatine to ATP was unaffected by heart rhythm during assessment and AF burden before ablation (both P=NS). It was unchanged after ablation (P=0.57), remaining lower than in control subjects regardless of both recovery of SR and freedom from recurrent AF (P=0.006 and P=0.002, respectively).

CONCLUSIONS: Patients with lone AF have impaired myocardial energetics and subtle LV dysfunction, which do not normalize after ablation. These findings suggest that AF may be the consequence (rather than the cause) of an occult cardiomyopathy, which persists despite a significant reduction in AF burden after ablation.
Clinical Perspective

What Is New?

- Patients with apparently lone atrial fibrillation (AF) have significantly impaired ventricular myocardial energetics, a characteristic and early feature of cardiomyopathy, as well as reduced left ventricular ejection fraction and abnormal peak systolic circumferential strain.
- After catheter ablation, left ventricular function improves rapidly (driven by a switch to sinus rhythm at the time of imaging); however, left ventricular function remains abnormal at 6 to 9 months after ablation (despite a significant reduction in AF burden).
- Myocardial energetics are completely unchanged after ablation, even in patients with substantial and sustained reduction in AF burden.

What Are the Clinical Implications?

- Our results suggest that apparently lone AF may actually be the consequence (rather than the cause) of an occult cardiomyopathy that is unaffected by ablation.
- Improvement in left ventricular ejection fraction after ablation does not necessarily indicate beneficial cardiac remodeling induced by sinus rhythm; caution may be needed in interpreting improvement in ejection fraction as a biomarker of possible prognostic benefit from ablation.
- Future studies are needed to examine whether therapeutic strategies that target the adverse cardiometabolic phenotype could reduce AF recurrence and improve outcomes.

Atrial fibrillation (AF), the most common sustained clinical arrhythmia, is associated with an increased risk of severe stroke, myocardial infarction, and premature death. The worldwide incidence, prevalence, and age-adjusted mortality from AF are increasing, presenting a rapidly growing public health and economic burden.

Mechanistic studies in animal models of pacing-induced AF indicate that atrial remodeling, oxidative stress, and impaired coronary reserve induced by AF are important in arrhythmia maintenance. However, direct translation of these findings is challenging because human AF often reflects multiple interacting causative factors. Indeed, no unique mechanisms for AF have been identified in patients, even in the up to one third of cases in which AF occurs in the absence of identifiable underlying cardiovascular disease or other specific cause (conventionally referred to as lone AF, although the use of this term has recently been questioned).

Subtle left ventricular (LV) dysfunction has been observed in patients with AF, with several studies showing that adverse LV structural remodeling and dysfunction are at least partially reversible after restoration of sinus rhythm (SR) with catheter ablation. Even when the ventricular rate is well controlled, AF may lead to LV dysfunction by reducing myocardial perfusion reserve, impairing calcium handling, and increasing myocardial oxidative stress and fibrosis. However, large-scale clinical trials of pharmacological strategies designed to restore SR have failed to show prognostic benefit (eg, reduction in death, stroke, or heart failure hospitalizations) compared with ventricular rate control, whereas the effect of catheter ablation remains to be investigated. These results could reflect limited efficacy and potential toxicity of antiarrhythmic medications; nevertheless, it remains the case that at present there are no randomized, clinical data demonstrating that restoration of SR improves major outcomes in patients with AF, raising the possibility that, at least in patients with lone AF and controlled ventricular rate, the arrhythmia may not be the primary cause of LV dysfunction. Instead, a subclinical cardiomyopathy that persists after restoration of SR may provide a substrate for AF initiation and recurrence and an effect on patient prognosis. Here, we used cardiac magnetic resonance (MR) to serially assess atrial and LV volumes and function in patients with AF before and after catheter ablation. We included both early and late postablation MR assessments to quantify the proportion of any improvement in LV function resulting from short-term changes in hemodynamics versus longer-term beneficial cardiac remodeling. We also used phosphorus-31 MR spectroscopy (31P-MRS) to determine myocardial energetics before and after ablation because altered cardiac energy metabolism has been identified as an early marker of cardiomyopathy.

METHODS

This prospective study was undertaken in a single tertiary center. The study protocol was approved by a local Research Ethics Committee, and all subjects gave written informed consent.

Patient Population

Patients undergoing first-time catheter ablation of symptomatic paroxysmal or persistent AF were screened for eligibility. Individuals with significant valvular disease, uncontrolled arterial hypertension, known coronary artery disease, uncontrolled thyroid disease, systemic inflammatory disease, asthma, diabetes mellitus, or obstructive sleep apnea were not enrolled. Further exclusion criteria were contraindications to cardiac MR (including implanted metallic devices and claustrophobia) or gadolinium administration (estimated glomerular filtration rate <30 mL/min).

Clinical management of patients (including ablation strategy and choice of anticoagulant and antiarrhythmic drugs) was at the discretion of the responsible physician.
Control Group
Control subjects in SR were recruited via poster advertising. Exclusion criteria were identical to those for patients, except that volunteers with a history of palpitations or arrhythmia were not enrolled. Control subjects were selected to match patients for age and sex.

Study Protocol
Patients were studied at 3 time points: up to 4 weeks before ablation, early after ablation (up to 4 days from the procedure), and at later follow-up (between 6 and 9 months after ablation). Myocardial energetics was not assessed at the early postablation time point. Control subjects were studied at a single time point.

Definitions of paroxysmal and persistent AF were based on contemporary clinical guidelines. AF burden in patients with paroxysmal AF was assessed before ablation with a 7-day Holter monitor. After ablation, all patients underwent intermittent ECG event monitoring for 3 months after an initial 3-month blanking period. Seven-day Holter monitoring was also undertaken after the last follow-up visit. Because the purpose of these investigations was to investigate asymptomatic recurrence of AF and AF burden, they were not undertaken in patients with ECG-documented recurrence of persistent AF. Patients with a history of persistent AF who had undergone cardioversion to SR before ablation (n=3) were excluded from the calculation of change in AF burden postablation.

Patients were classified on the basis of both rhythm during each study assessment and the presence or absence of recurrent AF after the procedure. For the former, patients were categorized by rhythm at the visit ≤4 weeks before ablation and at both follow-up visits. For example, a patient in AF ≤4 weeks before ablation but relapsed to AF by the last follow-up visit was classified as AF-SR at the early time point and AF-AF at the last follow-up. Patients with at least 1 of the following were classified as having recurrent postprocedural AF: ECG-documented clinical recurrence after the blanking period, ≥30 seconds19 of AF or other atrial arrhythmia (eg, atrial flutter or focal atrial tachycardia) on postablation Holter monitoring, or ≥1 rhythm strip (30 seconds) showing AF or other atrial arrhythmia on intermittent ECG monitoring.

Patients who consented to the study but did not contribute any imaging data (because of claustrophobia [n=2], inability to tolerate MR [n=2], or cancellation of the planned ablation [n=1]) are not included in the tables of demographic information.

Cardiac MR Protocol and Analysis
MR imaging was performed at 1.5 T (Siemens Avanto, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) or 3 T (TIM Trio, Siemens Healthcare) with a 32-channel phased-array coil with the subject supine. Images were acquired during end expiration to minimize the effects of respiratory motion. Pulse sequence parameters and details of the analysis method are provided in the Methods in the online-only Data Supplement.

Cines were acquired with retrospective gating for patients in SR at the time of the scan and with arrhythmia sorting as a first-choice method for patients in AF at the time of the scan. For the minority of patients in AF in whom acceptable images could not be obtained, prospectively triggered cines were acquired instead. Real-time acquisition sequences were also undertaken for all patients in AF during scanning to allow visual assessment of ejection fraction (EF) and corroborate quantitative volumetric analysis. No cases were identified with discordance between visual assessment of EF on real-time acquisition and the results of blinded quantitative volumetric analysis.

Cine image analysis was conducted offline with cmr42 postprocessing software (version 5.1.1, Circle Cardiovascular Imaging Inc, Calgary, ON, Canada). All data sets were anonymized and placed in a random order for contouring. Contours were placed by an operator not involved with data acquisition who was blinded to clinical status, study time point, and rhythm at the time of scanning. Because MR cine loops reconstruct a single R-R interval, R-R variability is not apparent on viewing the cine, and it is possible to be blinded to the presence of AF (Movie 1 in the online-only Data Supplement). Left atrial maximal volume (LAmax) and minimal volume (Lamin) were determined with the biplane area-length method, as previously described,20 and used to calculate total left atrial emptying fraction: LAEF=(LAm−Lamin)/LAmax.

Strain imaging was performed with a prospecively triggered myocardial tagging sequence, as described previously.21 Postprocessing of tagging images was performed with CIM-Tag software (Auckland, New Zealand). Semiautomated analysis was performed by aligning a grid to the myocardial tagging planes in end diastole. End systole was determined visually, and tags were adjusted at each frame through the cardiac cycle to derive peak systolic circumferential strain (PSCS) for the midventricular slice, which is expressed as a percentage change from end diastole. Normal PSCS has been described22 as –19±2%; impaired myocardial contractility is shown by a more positive value.

Late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) imaging was acquired in 3 short-axis planes (basal, midventricular, and apical) at ≤8 to 10 minutes after intravenous administration of MR contrast agent (total, 0.13 mmol/kg body weight of gadolinium-DTPA; Dotarem, Guerbet, France). The inversion time was adjusted for optimal nulling of remote normal myocardium. Images were assessed by 2 experienced operators at the time of acquisition, and LGE was suspected on short-axis imaging. Quantitative analysis was undertaken with cmr42 postprocessing software (as above) on midventricular slices matching the sites of acquisition of 31P-MRS data, by setting a signal intensity threshold at 5 SD above the mean intensity of a reference region of interest placed in a remote area of myocardium with no visual evidence of enhancement.

31P-MRS Protocol and Analysis
31P-MRS was performed at 3 T (TIM Trio, Siemens Healthcare) to obtain the ratio of phosphocreatine to ATP concentration (PCr/ATP) from a voxel in the midventricular septum. Subjects fasted overnight and were placed prone with their heart over the center of the 31P heart/liver coil, as described previously.23,24 Acquisition time was 9 minutes in a non-ECG-gated acquisition. Postprocessing was performed as previously described.25
Echocardiography
Transthoracic echocardiography was undertaken in the left lateral position to determine the ratio of peak early diastolic mitral inflow velocity to spectral tissue Doppler-derived peak early diastolic velocity at the mitral annulus (E/E’) as a marker of LV diastolic function.26 These measures were averaged over at least 10 cardiac cycles for patients in AF during imaging. E/E’ values reported are the average of lateral and septal measurements.

Statistical Analysis
A priori sample size calculation was performed to detect a change in the primary outcome of LVEF after ablation. On the basis of the assumption that patients with AF have an LVEF of 55±10%, we calculated that paired analysis of 45 patients before and after ablation would give >90% power to detect a change in LVEF of at least 5 percentage points (2-sided α=0.05). This number of patients would also allow detection of a change in PCR/ATP ratio after ablation of ≥10% with >90% power (2-sided α=0.05). We recruited ≈60 patients to allow for incomplete follow-up, claustrophobia, and other obstacles to completing the protocol. On the basis of pilot data indicating that the PCR/ATP ratio was 1.84±0.41 in patients with AF (n=10) and 2.12±0.26 in normal subjects (n=8), we calculated that recruitment of ≥20 control subjects and ≥40 patients with AF (1:2 allocation) would give >90% power to detect a 13% reduction in PCR/ATP ratio in patients compared with control subjects.

Statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 20.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY), GraphPad Prism version 6 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA), and G*Power version 3.1.9.2.27 Normality of data was assessed with Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests. Normally distributed data were compared by use of t tests (paired when appropriate) and 1-way ANOVA. Nonnormally distributed unpaired data were compared by use of the Mann-Whitney U test or the Kruskal-Wallis test, and nonnormally distributed paired data were compared with the Wilcoxon signed-rank test or the related-samples Friedman 2-way ANOVA by ranks. The χ2 test was used to compare proportions. All tests were 2 tailed, and values of P<0.05 (after Bonferroni or equivalent adjustment for multiple comparisons when appropriate) were considered significant. Data are shown as mean±SD or median and interquartile range (IQR).

RESULTS
A total of 58 patients with AF consented to the study; 53 provided at least 1 set of imaging data, and 45 completed all 3 study visits (Figure 1). The early follow-up visit was conducted at a median of 20 hours after ablation (IQR, 19–23 hours) and the late follow-up visit at a median of 7 months (IQR, 7–9 months).

Baseline characteristics of patients and control subjects, medications, and procedural details of ablation categorized by AF type are summarized in Table 1 and online-only Data Supplement Table I. Median time from the first diagnosis was 3.7 years (IQR, 2.0–7.3 years).
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**Figure 1. Flowchart of patients with atrial fibrillation through the study.**

The number of patients consenting to the study, completing the preablation (PRE) visit, undergoing ablation, and completing the early (20H) and late (7M) visits is shown. The number of patients not completing each stage is also indicated, with reasons given. MRI indicates magnetic resonance imaging.

Patients with persistent AF had slightly higher body mass index and resting pulse than patients with paroxysmal AF, but exclusion of patients with uncontrolled ventricular rate meant that the resting pulse rate was well controlled in the persistent AF group (median, 72 bpm; IQR, 65–90 bpm). Median AF burden in patients with paroxysmal AF before ablation was 2.6% (≈4.5 h/wk), whereas median AF burden in all patients was 54% (≈91 h/wk).

**Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Study Groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Patients With AF (n=53)</th>
<th>Control Subjects in SR (n=25)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>63±8</td>
<td>61±6</td>
<td>0.294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, %</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>27 (24–31)</td>
<td>26 (24–29)</td>
<td>0.277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting pulse, bpm</td>
<td>64 (54–80)</td>
<td>60 (60–66)</td>
<td>0.620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP, mm Hg</td>
<td>130 (124–145)</td>
<td>126 (119–139)</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP, mm Hg</td>
<td>81±11</td>
<td>78±10</td>
<td>0.257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever smoked, %</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol, units/wk</td>
<td>9 (1–20)</td>
<td>6 (0–19)</td>
<td>0.690</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF indicates atrial fibrillation; BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; and SR, sinus rhythm.
Results in Control Subjects and Patients With AF Before Ablation

LV volume, function, and mass indexes and left atrial volumes and function in control subjects and preablation patients are summarized in Table 2. There were no significant differences in LV end-diastolic volumes between the groups, but patients with AF had significantly larger end-systolic volumes and hence lower LVEF than matched control subjects (both \(P<0.001\)). However, the impairment in LVEF was subtle, and the median LVEF in patients (61%) fell at the lower end of the normal range by MR imaging in our institution (57%–81%). PSCS was more clearly abnormal in patients with AF (median, –15% [IQR, –11% to –18%]; normal, –19±2%) and was significantly impaired compared with control subjects (Table 2). As expected, patients with AF had dilated and impaired left atria compared with control subjects (all \(P<0.001\); Table 2).

Consistent with our exclusion of patients with uncontrolled hypertension, LV mass index (by MR) and LV diastolic function (by echocardiography) were within the normal range in both patients and control subjects (Table 2). The ratio of LV mass to LV end-diastolic volume (which identifies the presence of concentric LV remodeling in the absence of an absolute increase in LV mass) was also similar between patients and control subjects and was consistent with data reported from healthy control subjects of a similar age in a previous MR study.

The quality of 31P-MRS data was equally good in patients and control subjects (median Cramér-Rao lower bounds coefficient of variation of PCr/ATP, 15% for patients and 14% for control subjects; \(P=0.52\); representative spectra are shown in Figure 2A). Myocardial energetics was significantly impaired in patients with AF compared with control subjects (PCr/ATP, 1.81±0.35 versus 2.05±0.29; \(P=0.004\); Figure 2B). Energetics was similarly impaired regardless of the preablation intrascan rhythm and regardless of Holter-determined AF burden for those in SR (PCr/ATP, 1.80±0.39 for preablation AF, 1.86±0.35 for preablation SR with higher-than-median AF burden, and 1.77±0.32 for preablation SR with lower-than-median AF burden; \(P=0.84\); Figure 3A). In contrast, presence of AF (rather than SR) during the preablation scan was associated with a significantly lower LVEF (median, 54% [IQR, 48%–60%] versus median 64% [IQR, 63%–69%]; \(P<0.001\), but there was no difference in LVEF between patients in SR with higher and those with lower AF burden (\(P=0.34\); Figure 3B).

LGE, indicating LV fibrosis or scar, was an infrequent finding that was detected in 8 patients (15%) and 2 control subjects (8%). In 5 patients, LGE had a localized subendocardial or transmural pattern consistent with a small infarct (which had not been identified on echocardiography); 4 of these patients had no obstructive coronary artery disease at angiography. The other 3 patients and both control subjects had a nonischemic pattern of diffuse or patchy fibrosis. No subject had LGE affecting the midventricular septum (ie, the site of sampling for 31P-MRS). When the subjects with LGE were removed from the analysis,

**Table 2. LV and Left Atrial Indexes in the Study Groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Patients With AF (n=53)</th>
<th>Control Subjects in SR (n=25)</th>
<th>(P) Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LV end-diastolic volume, mL</td>
<td>149±39</td>
<td>137±30</td>
<td>0.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-systolic volume, mL</td>
<td>58 (43 to 75)</td>
<td>41 (33 to 51)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV stroke volume, mL</td>
<td>81 (71 to 94)</td>
<td>93 (83 to 109)</td>
<td>0.035*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF, %</td>
<td>61 (52 to 65)</td>
<td>71 (69 to 73)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac output, L/min</td>
<td>5.6±1.5</td>
<td>6.0±1.4</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass index, g/m²</td>
<td>61±12</td>
<td>56±13</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass/end-diastolic volume, g/mL</td>
<td>0.85 (0.73 to 0.96)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.73 to 0.86)</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak systolic circumferential strain, %</td>
<td>–15 (–11 to –18)</td>
<td>–18 (–17 to –19)</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV E/E' ratio</td>
<td>7.0 (5.8 to 8.7)</td>
<td>7.6 (6.3 to 8.6)</td>
<td>0.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV LGE area, %</td>
<td>0.2 (0 to 0.5)</td>
<td>0.1 (0 to 0.3)</td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA max, mL</td>
<td>102±35</td>
<td>77±22</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA min, mL</td>
<td>71±36</td>
<td>35±11</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAEF, %</td>
<td>30 (16 to 49)</td>
<td>53 (49 to 61)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF indicates atrial fibrillation; E/E' ratio, ratio of peak early diastolic mitral inflow velocity to spectral tissue Doppler-derived peak early diastolic velocity at the mitral annulus; LAEF, left atrial total emptying fraction; LA max, left atrial maximal volume; LA min, left atrial minimal volume; LGE, late gadolinium enhancement; LV, left ventricular; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; and SR, sinus rhythm.

* Significant.
PCr/ATP and LVEF in patients with AF remained significantly impaired compared with control subjects in SR (PCr/ATP, 1.81±0.37 in the 45 remaining patients versus 2.01±0.26 in the 23 remaining control subjects, P=0.02; median LVEF, 61% [IQR, 52%–65%] in the 45 remaining patients versus median 71% [IQR, 69%–73%] in the 23 remaining control subjects, P<0.001). Quantitative analysis of LGE demonstrated no difference in the area of enhancement between patients and control subjects (median, 0.2% [IQR, 0%–0.5%] in patients versus 0.1% [IQR, 0%–0.3%] in control subjects; P=0.19). No new areas of LGE were noted at the postablation scans.

Outcomes After Ablation

Ablation was undertaken in 51 patients, with no significant early procedural complications. Radiofrequency ablation was used in 35 patients (69%), cryoballoon ablation in 14 patients (27%), and laser balloon ablation in 2 patients (4%). In the time span between the end of the 3-month blanking period and the 7-month visit, 9 patients (18%) underwent an attempt at electric cardioversion and 3 patients (6%) underwent a second ablation procedure as a result of recurrence of AF or focal left atrial tachycardia.

At 20 hours, the classification of patients by rhythm groups was as follows: SR-SR, 24; AF-SR, 21; and AF-AF, 3. At 7 months, the numbers were the following: SR-SR, 21; AF-SR, 19; AF-AF, 4; and SR-AF, 2. Of 46 patients scanned at 7 months, 25 (54%) had evidence of ≥1 episodes of recurrent AF after ablation. However, Holter-determined AF burden at 7 months was significantly lower than before ablation (median, 0% [IQR, 0%–0.1%] versus 54% [IQR, 1.5%–100%]; P<0.001).

Early Effect of Ablation on LV Function

Early after ablation, there was no significant overall change in LVEF (median, 61% [IQR 51%–65%] ≤4 weeks before ablation versus 61% [IQR, 57%–66%] at 20 hours; n=48; P=0.07). However, there was a significant increase in LVEF (7.0±10%) in the AF-SR subgroup, unlike the SR-SR and AF-AF subgroups, in which LVEF was unchanged (Figure 4A). A similar pattern was seen for PSCS, with a significant change of −3.5±4.3% (indicating improvement) in the AF-SR subgroup but no change in the other subgroups (Figure 4B).

The SR-SR subgroup showed a significant increase in heart rate from ≤4 weeks before ablation to 20 hours (Figure 4C), consistent with the expected inflammation and increase in sympathetic activity induced by the procedure; this effect was entirely counteracted in the AF-SR subgroup by the reduction in ventricular rate associated with recovery of SR.
Overall, cardiac output increased significantly after ablation (6.6±1.6 L/min at 20 hours compared with 5.6±1.5 L/min ≤4 weeks before ablation; P<0.001), driven by both the AF-SR and SR-SR subgroups (Figure 4D).

Late Effect of Ablation on LV Function, Myocardial Energetics, and Left Atrial Indexes

Late after ablation, there was a modest but statistically significant increase in LVEF from before ablation (median, 62% [IQR, 52%–65%] ≤4 weeks before ablation versus 65% [IQR, 59%–68%] at 7 months; n=46; P=0.004). However, there was no significant change in LVEF from 20 hours to 7 months (P=0.24), and LVEF at 7 months remained lower than in matched control subjects (P<0.001), including when the analysis was restricted to patients in SR at 7 months (median, 66% [IQR, 61%–69%] versus 71% [IQR, 69%–73%]; P=0.002). Similarly, PSCS in patients in SR at 7 months was impaired compared with matched control subjects (median, −16% versus −18%; P=0.035) with no significant overall improvement compared with ≤4 weeks before ablation (P=0.375).

The AF-SR subgroup again showed significant improvements in both LVEF and PSCS at 7 months, with no changes seen in the other subgroups (Figures 4E and 4F, respectively). In the 16 patients who were in AF ≤4 weeks before ablation, recovered SR at 20 hours, and remained in SR at 7 months, there was a significant improvement in LVEF across the 3 visits (median, 60% [IQR, 55%–62%] at 20 hours, and 64% [IQR, 60%–69%] at 7 months; overall trend P=0.002; P=0.001 for comparison between ≤4 weeks before ablation and 7 months; P=0.07 for comparison between 20 hours and 7 months).

At 7 months, the AF-SR subgroup showed a significant reduction in heart rate, whereas the SR-SR subgroup showed a significant increase in heart rate, likely due to withdrawal of rate-controlling medications (Figure 4G).

There was a trend toward an overall increase in cardiac output from before ablation (5.9±1.4 L/min at 7 months compared with 5.6±1.5 L/min ≤4 weeks before ablation; P=0.054); with no significant differences between subgroups (Figure 4H).

When patients were grouped by the presence or absence of AF after ablation (rather than by intrascan rhythm), the changes in both LVEF and PSCS from ≤4 weeks before ablation to 7 months were similar between groups (median, 2.7% [IQR, −2.3% to 9.7%] in those with recurrent AF [n=25] versus 3.3% [IQR, −1.4% to 8.3%] in those without recurrent AF [n=21]; P=0.83 for LVEF; mean −0.2±4% in those with recurrent AF [n=23] versus −2.0±5% in those without recurrent AF [n=17], P=0.21 for PSCS).

Myocardial energetics, as determined by PCr/ATP ratio, was unchanged from ≤4 weeks before ablation to 7 months (Figure 5A). There were no significant changes in PCr/ATP ratio from ≤4 weeks before ablation to 7 months in any of the intrascan rhythm subgroups (Figure 5B).
Figure 4. Change in left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction (EF), peak systolic circumferential strain (PSCS), heart rate (HR), and cardiac output early and late after ablation, categorized by the intrascan rhythm at each time point. One-sample t tests assessed whether changes within each subgroup are significantly different from zero. Changes between subgroups were compared by use of 1-way ANOVA; P values for subgroup comparisons are Bonferroni corrected for (Continued)
between patients with and without AF recurrence after ablation (Figure 5C). Overall, energetics remained impaired at 7 months compared with matched control subjects (1.78±0.33 versus 2.04±0.29; P=0.001).

Ablation led to a significant reduction in atrial volume (LA_{max}, 86±30 mL at 7 months from 102±37 mL at ≤4 weeks before ablation; n=46 in paired analysis; P<0.001), driven by both the AF-SR and SR-SR subgroups (P=0.007 and P=0.001, respectively; online-only Data Supplement Figure I). Indeed, at 7 months after ablation, atrial volume in patients with AF was not different from that in control subjects (LA_{max}, 86±30 mL in patients versus 77±22 mL in control subjects; P=0.202).

Although LAEF at 7 months improved as expected in the AF-SR subgroup (P<0.001; online-only Data Supplement Figure I), there was no significant overall improvement in atrial function postablation (median LAEF, 40% [IQR, 27%–47%] at 7 months from 35% [IQR, 17%–49%] ≤4 weeks before ablation; n=46 in paired analysis; P=0.373), and it remained impaired at 7 months compared with control subjects (P<0.001). Similarly, LAEF failed to improve even in patients with no recurrent AF (median LAEF, 40% [IQR, 32%–48%] at 7 months from 42% [IQR, 16%–49%] ≤4 weeks before ablation; n=21 in paired analysis; P=0.230), again remaining impaired at 7 months compared with control subjects (P<0.001).

Effect of Medications
There were no associations between β-blocker or angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/angiotensin II receptor blocker use (online-only Data Supplement Table I) and myocardial energetics, LVEF, or PSCS either ≤4 weeks before ablation or at 7 months (all P=NS).

**DISCUSSION**

We undertook a prospective study of patients undergoing first-time ablation of AF, using MR methods to investigate the effect of reducing AF burden on LV function and energetics. For the first time, we demonstrate evidence of significantly impaired myocardial energetics in the ventricular myocardium of patients with lone AF compared with matched control subjects in SR. We also document a subtle reduction in LV systolic function in patients with AF compared with control subjects, with modest improvement (but not normalization) after ablation. Much of the improvement in LV function occurs early after the procedure, is limited to patients with AF who recover SR at the time of the assessment, and thus likely reflects changes in hemodynamics at the time of the scan rather than true beneficial cardiac remodeling resulting from the reduction in AF burden. Indeed, despite a significant reduction in AF burden at 7 to 9 months after ablation, myocardial energetics does not change and LV function does not improve further, remaining impaired compared with control subjects in SR. Taken together, these data imply that lone AF may be the consequence (rather than the cause) of an occult cardiomyopathic process.

**LV Function in AF**

AF has been implicated as a cause of LV dysfunction because previous studies have shown an improvement in LV function after catheter ablation including reversal of subtle systolic and diastolic dysfunction. Our patient population had normal LV diastolic function overall, although LVEF by cardiac MR was at the lower end of the normal range at our institution (Table 2), even after successful ablation. There was no evidence of LV dilatation, hypertrophy, or concentric remodeling in our patients with AF compared with matched control subjects in SR, and LGE (indicating LV fibrosis/scar) was an infrequent finding in both groups. These results are consistent with our intention to focus on patients with lone AF and our decision to exclude patients with significant cardiovascular comorbidity or uncontrolled ventricular rate. Nevertheless, there was clear evidence of reduced LV systolic function by both LVEF and PSCS in preablation patients compared with matched control subjects, in keeping with the results of a previous study with similar inclusion criteria.

The effect of AF ablation on LV function has been investigated previously, with a recent meta-analysis showing an overall improvement in LVEF of ≈6% (95% CI, 4%–9%), with the largest improvements seen in patients with persistent AF (compared with patients with paroxysmal AF) and those with low LVEF (compared with those with normal LVEF). By using cardiac MR imaging both early and late after ablation, our study adds significant further

**Figure 4 Continued**. multiple comparisons. A, At 20 hours (20H), LVEF improves only in the atrial fibrillation (AF)–sinus rhythm (SR) subgroup (P=0.005). B, Similarly, PSCS also improves only in the AF-SR subgroup (denoted by a more negative change; P=0.001). C, Only the SR-SR subgroup shows a significant increase in HR early after ablation (P<0.001), and both the AF-SR and SR-SR subgroups show a significant increase in cardiac output, without differences between subgroups (D). At 7 months (7M), the pattern of change in LV function from ≤4 weeks before ablation (PRE) in subgroups is similar to that at 20 hours, with the AF-SR subgroup alone showing significant improvement in LVEF (E; P<0.001) and PSCS (denoted by a more negative change; F; P=0.001). G, The changes in HR from ≤4 weeks before ablation in the AF-SR and SR-SR groups are significantly different (P<0.0001), and there is a significant difference between the AF-SR and SR-AF groups (P=0.03). H, Similar to 20 hours, there are no significant differences between subgroups in the change in cardiac output, with only the SR-SR subgroup demonstrating a small increase (P=0.03). Smaller P values stratified by size (***P<0.01, ****P<0.001, *****P<0.0001).
insight into the effect of AF on LV function. Our results indicate that approximately half the overall improvement in LVEF observed at 7 months occurs by 20 hours and that improvement is restricted to patients who were in AF at the scan done ≤4 weeks before ablation and in SR at the scans after ablation (rather than those in whom ablation caused a significant reduction in AF burden on ECG monitoring but who happened to be in SR at the time of both scans). Equally important is our finding that LV function remains impaired in patients with AF after ablation compared with matched control subjects, even when considering only those in SR at 7 months and who had experienced a significant reduction in AF burden after the procedure. The modest improvement in LVEF in patients recovering SR from AF may reflect the increase in LAEF, consistent with recovery of coordinated atrial mechanical activity. However, when considering the entire patient cohort, LAEF was unaffected by ablation and remained abnormal despite a significant reduction in AF burden. This finding is consistent with atrial structural and functional remodeling being driven by a process independent of the arrhythmia itself (eg, fibrotic atrial cardiomyopathy).35,36

Taken together, our data suggest that LV dysfunction in patients with paroxysmal or persistent AF reflects the combination of adverse hemodynamic effects induced acutely by the arrhythmia itself and an underlying cardiomyopathy. Catheter ablation and restoration of SR can improve LV hemodynamics, resulting in modest improvement in LVEF; however, the underlying cardiomyopathy persists, and LV function does not completely normalize (Figure 6).

**Myocardial Energetics in AF**

Altered cardiac energy metabolism is an early feature of cardiomyopathy37 and is prognostically important.38,39 Very few studies have investigated myocardial energetics in AF. Ex vivo investigations have shown a selective reduction in myofibrillar creatine kinase in atrial tissue from patients with AF compared with control subjects in the absence of changes in total creatine kinase or myosin ATPase activity.40 In goats with pacing-induced AF, impaired atrial energetics was detected ex vivo shortly after the arrhythmia induction.41 We are not aware of any previous in vivo study investigating energetics in the LV myocardium in human AF. We used 31P-MRS to noninvasively assess myocardial energetics in patients with AF.

**Figure 5 Continued.** 7 months (7M) after ablation (n=42, P=0.57, paired t test). There were also no significant differences in the change in PCr/ATP ratio after ablation in any subgroups on the basis of either intrascan rhythm combinations (P=0.37, 1-way ANOVA; B) or the presence or absence of recurrent atrial fibrillation (AF) after ablation (P=0.87, unpaired t test; C). SR indicates sinus rhythm.
both before and after ablation. We determined the PCr/ATP ratio, which is reduced when demand for ATP outweighs ATP synthesis (as in ischemia) or with reduction in the total creatine pool (as occurs in heart failure).17 Our finding of a significantly lower LV PCr/ATP ratio in patients with AF than in control subjects supports the notion of an underlying cardiomyopathy, particularly in the context of the demonstrated subtle LV dysfunction. It is important to note that the findings that energetics is not affected by heart rhythm at the time of assessment and does not improve after successful AF ablation suggest that the cardiomyopathic process may be “upstream” of AF (Figure 6). This is befitting the idea that underlying atrial disease may actually precede and promote lone AF, as suggested by a number of recent studies.42–44

The reduction in PCr/ATP ratio in patients with AF compared with matched control subjects is relatively subtle, which may reflect the relative insensitivity of this measure to the true degree of underlying energetic dysfunction compared with other 31P-MRS parameters such as the rate of ATP production (the creatine kinase flux) or the creatine kinase forward rate constant, k4.45 Future studies should determine the rate of creatine kinase flux in patients with AF because this parameter may reflect both the underlying cardiomyopathy and the response to treatment more accurately than the PCr/ATP ratio.38,46

**Clinical Implications and Future Directions**

Our results support the notion that lone AF is the consequence of an occult cardiomyopathy that persists despite restoration of SR. This raises the intriguing possibility that such a process (which may develop with aging and exposure to risk factors) may also contribute to recurrence of AF after ablation. However, future studies are needed to examine whether therapeutic strategies that target the adverse cardiometabolic phenotype reduce AF recurrence and improve outcomes. In line with this paradigm, our findings may add mechanistic insight to recent clinical trial data showing that weight loss and intensive risk factor management can dramatically reduce AF burden, symptoms, and adverse cardiac remodeling47 and improve AF-free survival after ablation.38

We also show that the improvement in LVEF after ablation may not reflect beneficial LV remodeling induced by SR. The results of studies appropriately powered to determine the effects of ablation on hard end points are awaited, and our findings suggest that, at least in lone AF, caution is needed when interpreting improvement in LVEF as a biomarker of possible prognostic benefit from ablation. Further studies are needed to determine whether 31P-MRS assessment of myocardial energetics (PCr/ATP or creatine kinase flux) could play an important role in this regard.

**Limitations**

AF presents technical challenges to quantitative cardiac MR imaging because of the irregularity of the RR interval. As described in Methods, we have used a number of techniques to counter these issues. In addition, images were analyzed in a blinded fashion and in randomized order to reduce the risk of systematic bias. Although we have not corroborated the quantitative assessments in this study against invasive measures, previous work has shown that MR assessment of volumes and EF is accurate in AF and correlates well with catheterization measurements.49

Our study design cannot exclude that 6 to 9 months is too soon for full recovery of ventricular function or energetics after successful ablation; nevertheless, this is a reasonable time point for medium-term follow-up, and failure of normalization by this time is clinically relevant. It is also possible (but in our opinion less likely) that a short duration of lone AF can cause irreversible damage to the ventricular myocardium, with the extent of damage

![Figure 6. Proposed schematic representation of the relationships between lone atrial fibrillation (AF), subtle left ventricular (LV) dysfunction, and upstream cardiomyopathy and the effect of ablation.](https://www.circulationaha.org/doi/fig/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.022931)
unrelated to cumulative AF burden. This hypothesis could be tested in future population-based, large-scale imaging studies that include rhythm-monitoring investigations.

Last, we studied a specific group of patients with lone AF. Further studies are needed to establish whether our findings are applicable to other AF patient populations.

Conclusions

Patients with lone AF show impaired LV function and myocardial energetics compared with matched control subjects in SR, and these parameters do not normalize despite a significant reduction in AF burden after successful ablation. These findings imply that AF may be the consequence (rather than the cause) of an underlying cardiomyopathy. Comprehensive therapeutic strategies to target and reverse the adverse cardiometabolic phenotype may be needed to reduce AF recurrence and to improve outcomes.
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SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Methods

Cardiac magnetic resonance cine imaging

Cardiac volumes were acquired using steady state free precession (SSFP) imaging. Scan parameters were typically: voxel size 2.0x2.0x8.0mm, FOV=380x380mm, TR/TE 39.6/1.12ms, flip angle 55°, matrix 192x192, GRAPPA=3, 24 reference lines, segments=15, concatenations=1. Pilot images were initially acquired and used to plan and acquire horizontal long axis (HLA), vertical long axis (VLA), left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) long axis and short axis stack images.

LV short axis epicardial and endocardial borders were manually contoured at end diastole and end systole. LV end systolic (ESV) and end diastolic (EDV) volumes were used to calculate stroke volume (SV) as $SV = EDV - ESV$. Ejection fraction (EF) and cardiac output (CO) were calculated as $EF = SV/EDV$ and $CO=SV \times HR$, respectively. LV mass was calculated by subtracting the endocardial volume from the epicardial volume, based on prior knowledge of myocardial specific gravity (1.05 g/cm³).

Cardiac magnetic resonance tagging

Tagged cine MRIs were acquired with an ECG-triggered segmented k-space gradient echo sequence with spatial modulation of magnetization in orthogonal planes. The scan parameters were typically: voxel size 2.1 x 1.4 x 8.0 mm, FOV = 360 x 292 mm, matrix 141 x 256, TR/TE = 40.45/3.89 ms, flip angle 14°, segments = 9, phases = 16, concatenations = 1, grid tag distance = 7mm, bandwidth = 184 Hz/Px.
Late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) imaging

LGE imaging was acquired using a T1-weighted phase-sensitive inversion recovery sequence. Scan parameters were typically: voxel size 2.0 x 1.5 x 8.0 mm, matrix 144x256, field-of-view=380x285mm, TR/TE=800.20/3.36ms, flip angle 25°, GRAPPA=2, 24 reference lines, segments=25, phases=1, concatenations=1, measurements=1, bandwidth=130Hz/Px.

31P magnetic resonance spectroscopy

A 3-dimensional acquisition-weighted chemical shift imaging technique is used with 10 averages at the centre of k-space and ultrashort echo time (TE) to minimise T2 effects and first-order phase artefacts. Acquisition time is ~9 minutes, and an optimized radiofrequency pulse centred between the γ- and α-ATP resonance frequencies is used to ensure uniform excitation of all spectral peaks. Five Nuclear Overhauser Effect (NOE) pulses (2.5 ms, 222.2 V separated by 80.5 ms) are used to increase signal to noise. Acquisition matrix is 16 x 8 x 8 and field of view is 240 x 240 x 200 mm³. Three 25-mm-thick saturation bands are used to minimise signal contamination in the heart, 2 placed over chest wall muscle and 1 placed over liver. The chemical shift imaging grid is placed with a central voxel in the mid-ventricular septum and rotated to maximize coverage of the septal myocardium.

The spectrum from the mid-ventricular septal voxel was fitted using a custom implementation of AMARES (the advanced method for accurate, robust, and efficient spectral fitting) in our semi-automated spectroscopy post-processing pipeline in Matlab (Mathworks Inc, Natick, USA). Fitting used prior knowledge specifying 11 Lorentzian peaks (a,b,g-ATP multiplet components, PCR, PDE, and 2x2,3-DPG) and fixed amplitude ratios.
and scalar couplings for the multiplets. The fitted amplitudes were then corrected for blood contamination by subtracting 30% of the average of the two 2,3-DPG signals from each of the ATP amplitudes. The remaining PCr and ATP signals were corrected for the effects of partial saturation using the flip angle at the centre of the voxel, assuming no motion effects and with the $T_1$ values shown in Table 1 in Rodgers et al.$^2$. 
## Data

### Supplementary Table 1. Baseline Characteristics and Ablation Details for Patients, Categorised by AF Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Paroxysmal AF (n=27)</th>
<th>Persistent AF (n=26)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male (%)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td>25 (24 – 29)</td>
<td>29 (25 – 35)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting pulse (bpm)</td>
<td>56 (48 – 64)</td>
<td>72 (65 – 90)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHA(_2)DS(_2)-VASc</td>
<td>1 (0 – 2)</td>
<td>2 (1 – 2)</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In AF at PRE (%)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ablation type (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiofrequency</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryoballoon</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications at PRE (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI / ARB</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blocker</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flecaainide</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sotalol</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digoxin</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dronedarone</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amiodarone</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warfarin</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAC</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications at 7M (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI / ARB</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blocker</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flecaainide</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sotalol</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digoxin</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dronedarone</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amiodarone</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warfarin</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAC</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Footnote: Of 26 persistent AF patients, 3 (12%) underwent cardioversion to SR prior to the pre-­ablation CMR scan. Abbreviations: ACEI, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; AF, atrial fibrillation; ARB, angiotensin II receptor antagonist; BMI, body mass index; NOAC, novel oral anticoagulant.

Supplementary Figure 1. Change in Left Atrial Maximal Volume and Emptying Fraction
Early and Late after Ablation, Categorised by the Intra-scan Rhythm at each Timepoint.
One-sample t-tests assessed if changes within each sub-group are significantly different to zero. Changes between sub-groups were compared using one-way ANOVA; p values for sub-group comparisons are Bonferroni-corrected for multiple comparisons. LA\textsubscript{max} denotes maximal left atrial volume and LAEF denotes left atrial emptying fraction. (A) At 20H, maximal left atrial volume increases in the AF-SR sub-group (p=0.008) and decreases in the SR-SR sub-group (p=0.002). (B) Similarly, left atrial emptying fraction improves in the AF-SR sub-group (p=0.009) and worsens in the SR-SR sub-group at 20H (p<0.001). (C) At 7M, both the AF-SR and SR-SR sub-groups show decreases in maximal left atrial volume (p=0.007 and p<0.001, respectively). (D) Only the AF-SR sub-group show a significant improvement in left atrial emptying fraction at 7M (p<0.001).

**Supplementary Movie 1. Representative Short Axis Cine Stack from a Patient in Atrial Fibrillation during Acquisition.**

**Supplementary References**


정상 심장에서 발생한 심방세동은 성공적인 전극도자질제술 후에도 지속되는 좌심실 애너지지대와의 저항이 있다

황교수 교수 아주대학교병원 순환기내과

초록

배경

동반질환 없이 정상 심장의 심방세동(lone atrial fibrillation, LAF)은 정상 박동으로 호흡을 후에도 지속되는 심방세동의 잔존 현상으로, 둔해성 심방의 재발과 관련이 있다. 저자들은 이 가설을 증명하고자 LAF 환자를 대상으로 전극도자질제술(radiofrequency ablation)의 단독적 심장 박동의 효과를 좌심실 기능과 에너지지대의 영향을 관찰하였다.

방법

심장마약질환, 조절되지 않는 고혈압과 감상선질환, 관통도착질환, 전신 만성질환, 당뇨병, 패혈성 무관통증 등이 없는 둔해성 혹은 재활성 심방세동 환자에서 전극도자질제술을 시행한 환자의 정상 박동의 대조군을 대상으로 연구를 진행하였다. 자기공명영상(magnetic resonance imaging, MRI)으로 좌심실 구조물(left ventricular ejection fraction, LVEF), PSCS(peak systolic circumferential strain)를 측정하였고, 18F-MR(MRcursors-31 magnetic resonance spectroscopy)로 심방의 에너지지대를 측정하였다.

결과

전극도자질제술 전의 좌심실 기능과 에너지지대의 지표는 심방세동과 대조군에서 같았고 간극이 감소하였다(LVEF, 63±10% vs. 53±6%, P<0.001; PSCS, -15% [IQR, -11 to -18%] vs. -38% [IQR, -17 to -19%], P=0.002; phosphocreatine/ATP 비율, 1.81±0.35 vs. 2.05 ±0.29, P=0.004). 또한, 심방세동군에서 대조군에 비해 감소하였고, 동반질환 없이 정상 박동으로 호흡을 후에도 지속되는 심방세동은 성공적인 전극도자질제술 후에도 지속되는 좌심실 애너지지대와의 저항이 있다.
Lone Atrial Fibrillation Is Associated With Impaired Left Ventricular Energetics That Persist Despite Successful Catheter Ablation

BACKGROUND: Lone atrial fibrillation (AF) may reflect a subclinical cardiomyopathy that persists after sinus rhythm (SR) restoration, providing a substrate for AF recurrence. To test this hypothesis, we investigated the effect of restoring SR by catheter ablation on left ventricular (LV) function and energetics in patients with AF but no significant comorbidities.

METHODS: Fifty-three patients with symptomatic paroxysmal or persistent AF and without significant valvular disease, uncontrolled hypertension, coronary artery disease, uncontrolled thyroid disease, systemic inflammatory disease, diabetes mellitus, or obstructive sleep apnea (ie, lone AF) undergoing ablation and 25 matched control subjects were investigated. Magnetic resonance imaging quantified LV ejection fraction (LVEF), peak systolic circumferential strain (PSCS), and left atrial volumes, and function, whereas phosphorus-31 magnetic resonance spectroscopy evaluated LV ventricular energetics (ratio of phosphocreatine to ATP). AF burden was determined before and after ablation by 7-day Holter monitoring; intermittent ECG event monitoring was also undertaken after ablation to assess for asymptomatic AF recurrence.

RESULTS: Before ablation, both LV function and energetics were significantly impaired in patients compared with control subjects (both P < 0.001). Five days post-ablation, LVEF, PSCS, and the ratio of phosphocreatine to ATP were not significantly different from those in SR before ablation (both P > 0.1). Longer follow-up (6 to 9 months) demonstrated that LVEF and PSCS did not improve further (both P > 0.001). However, left ventricular function remains abnormal at 6 to 9 months after ablation despite a significant reduction in AF burden (P < 0.001).

CONCLUSIONS: Catheter ablation does not necessarily indicate beneficial cardiac remodeling induced by sinus rhythm; caution may be necessary in interpreting improvement in ejection fraction as a biomarker of prognostic benefit from ablation. Finding that adverse LV structural remodeling and dysfunctions are at least partially reversible after restoration of sinus rhythm (SR) with catheter ablation.14 Even when the ventricular rate is well controlled, AF may lead to LV dysfunction by reducing myocardial perfusion reserve, impairing tissue oxygen delivery, and increasing myocardial oxidative stress and fibrosis.15 However, large-scale clinical trials of pharmacological strategies designed to restore SR have failed to show prognostic benefit (eg, reduction in death, stroke, or heart failure hospitalizations) compared with ventricular rate control,16,17 whereas the effect of catheter ablation remains to be investigated. These results could reflect limited efficacy and potential toxicity of antihypertrophic medications; nevertheless, it remains the case that at present there are no randomized, clinical data demonstrating that restoration of SR improves mortality and morbidity.18,19 Raising the possibility that, at least in patients with lone AF and controlled ventricular rate, the arrhythmia may not be the primary cause of LV dysfunction. Instead, a subclinical cardiomyopathy that persists after restoration of SR may provide a substrate for AF initiation and recurrence and an effect on patient prognosis. Here, we used cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to serially assess atrial and LV volumes and function in patients with AF before and after catheter ablation. We included both early and late postablation MRI assessments to quantify the proportion of any improvement in LV function resulting from short-term changes in hemodynamics versus longer-term beneficial cardiac remodeling. We also used phosphorus-31 MR spectroscopy (133P-MRS) to determine myocardial energetics before and after ablation because altered cardiac energy metabolism has been identified as an early marker of cardiomyopathy.16

METHODS: This prospective study was undertaken in a single tertiary center. The study protocol was approved by a local Research Ethics Committee, and all subjects gave written informed consent.

Patient Population

Patients undergoing first-time catheter ablation of symptomatic paroxysmal or persistent AF were screened for eligibility. Individuals with significant valvular disease, uncontrolled arterial hypertension, known coronary artery disease, uncontrolled thyroid disease, systemic inflammatory disease, asthma, diabetes mellitus, or obstructive sleep apnea were not enrolled. Further exclusion criteria were contraindications to cardiac MR including implanted metallic devices and claustrophobic or gadolinium administration estimated glomerular filtration rate <30 mL/min.

Clinical management of patients including ablation strategy and choice of antiarrhythmic and anticoagulant drugs was at the discretion of the responsible physician.
Control Group
Control subjects in SR were recruited via poster advertising. Exclusion criteria were identical to those for patients, except that volunteers with a history of palpitations or arrhythmias were not enrolled. Control subjects were selected to match patients for age and sex.

Study Protocol
Patients were studied at 3 time points: up to 4 weeks before ablation, early after ablation (up to 4 days from the procedure), and at later follow-up (between 6 and 9 months after ablation). Myocardial energetics was not assessed at the early postablation time point. Control subjects were studied at a single time point.

Definitions of paroxysmal and persistent AF were based on contemporary clinical guidelines.14 AF burden in patients with paroxysmal AF was assessed before ablation with a 7-day Holter monitor. After ablation, all patients underwent intermittent ECG event monitoring for >3 months after an initial 3-month blanking period. Seven-day Holter monitoring was also undertaken after the last follow-up visit. Because the purpose of these investigations was to investigate asymptomatic recurrence of AF and AF burden, they were not undertaken in patients with ECG-documented recurrence of persistent AF. Patients with a history of persistent AF who had undergone cardioversion to SR before ablation (n=3) were excluded from the calculation of change in AF burden postablation.

Patients were classified on the basis of both rhythm during each study assessment and the presence or absence of recur- rent AF (after the former, the patients were categorized by rhythm at the visit ≥4 weeks before ablation and at both follow-up visits. For example, a patient in AF ≥4 weeks before ablation who had recovered SR early after ablation but relapsed to AF by the last follow-up visit was classified as AF-SR at the early time point and AF-AF at the last follow-up visit). Patients were categorized by rhythm at ≥4 weeks before ablation for the purposes of these investigations was to investigate asymptomatic recurrence of AF and AF burden, they were not undertaken in patients with ECG-documented recurrence of persistent AF. Patients with a history of persistent AF who had undergone cardioversion to SR before ablation (n=3) were excluded from the calculation of change in AF burden postablation.

Patients were classified on the basis of both rhythm during each study assessment and the presence or absence of recur- rent AF (after the former, the patients were categorized by rhythm at the visit ≥4 weeks before ablation and at both follow-up visits. For example, a patient in AF ≥4 weeks before ablation who had recovered SR early after ablation but relapsed to AF by the last follow-up visit was classified as AF-SR at the early time point and AF-AF at the last follow-up visit). Patients were categorized by rhythm at ≥4 weeks before ablation for the purposes of these investigations was to investigate asymptomatic recurrence of AF and AF burden, they were not undertaken in patients with ECG-documented recurrence of persistent AF. Patients with a history of persistent AF who had undergone cardioversion to SR before ablation (n=3) were excluded from the calculation of change in AF burden postablation.

- **Cardiac MRI Protocol and Analysis**
  - MR imaging was performed at 1.5 T (Siemens Avanto, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) or 3 T (TIM Trio, Siemens Healthcare) with a 32-channel phased-array coil
  - MR imaging was performed at 1.5 T (Siemens Avanto, Siemens Healthcare) with a 32-channel phased-array coil
  - Myocardial tagging sequence, as described previously.21
  - Strain imaging was performed with a prospectively triggered myocardial tagging sequence, as described previously.21
  - Late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) imaging was acquired in 3–5 ventricular midshort-axis and basal short-axis acquisitions at least 10 minutes after intravenous administration of MR contrast agent (total, 0.13 mmol/kg body weight of gadobutrol-DTPA, Dotarem, Guerbet, France). The inversion time was adjusted for optimal nulling of remote normal myocardium. Images were assessed by 2 experienced operators at the time of acquisition, and LGE suspected on short-axis imaging was confirmed with additional long-axis imaging. Quantitative analysis was performed with cpmr2 postprocessing software (as above) on midventricular short-axis LGE images. Acquisition time was +9 minutes in a non-ECG-gated protocol.

**P-16** MRS Protocol and Analysis
- **P-16** MRS was performed at 3 T (TIM Trio, Siemens Healthcare) to obtain the ratio of phosphocreatine to ATP concentration (PCr/ATP) from a voxel in the midventricular septum. Subjects fasted overnight and were placed prone with the heart over the center of the **P-16** heart/liver coil, as described previously.14 Acquisition time was +9 minutes in a non-ECG-gated acquisition. Postprocessing was performed as previously described.20

**P-17** MRS Protocol and Analysis
- **P-17** MRS was performed at 3 T (TIM Trio, Siemens Healthcare) to obtain the ratio of phosphocreatine to ATP concentration (PCr/ATP) from a voxel in the midventricular septum. Subjects fasted overnight and were placed prone with the heart over the center of the **P-16** heart/liver coil, as described previously.14 Acquisition time was +9 minutes in a non-ECG-gated acquisition. Postprocessing was performed as previously described.20

**Results**
A total of 58 patients with AF consented to the study, 55 provided at least 1 set of imaging data, and 45 completed all 3 study visits (Figure 1). The early follow-up visit was conducted at a median of 20 hours after ablation (IQR, 19–23 hours) and the late follow-up visit involved a median of 7 months (IQR, 7–9 months).

Baseline characteristics of patients and control subjects, medications, and procedural details of ablation can be summarized in Table 1 and the online-only Data Supplement Table 1. Median time from the first diagnosis was 3.7 years (IQR, 2.0–7.3 years).

**Echocardiography**
Transesophageal echocardiography was undertaken in the left atrium to determine the ratio of peak early diastolic mitral inflow velocity to spectral tissue Doppler-derived peak early diastolic velocity at the mitral annulus (E/E') as a marker of LV diastolic function.25 These measures were averaged over at least 10 cardiac cycles for patients in AF during imaging. E/E' ratios are reported as the average of left atrial and septal measurements.

**Statistical Analysis**
A priori sample size calculation was performed to detect a change in the primary outcome of LVEF after ablation. On the basis of the assumption that patients with AF have an LVEF of 55±10%, we calculated that paired analysis of 45 patients before and after ablation would give >90% power to detect a change in LVEF of at least 5 percentage points (2-sided 10% type I error rate) for a Δ LVEF of 5% (2-sided α=0.05). We recruited 60 patients to allow for incomplete follow-up, claustrophobia, and other obstacles to completing the protocol. On the basis of pilot data indicating that the PCr/ATP ratio was 1.84±0.41 in patients with AF (n=10) and 2.12±0.26 in normal subjects (n=8), we calculated that recruitment of ≥20 control subjects and ≥40 patients with AF (1:2 allocation) would give >90% power to detect a reduction in PCr/ATP ratio in patients compared with control subjects.

Statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics Version 20 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY) GraphPad Prism version 6 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA), and G Power version 3.1.9.2. Normality of data was assessed as -tests as appropriate (nonparametric data were compared by use of 1-way ANOVA, and Wilcoxon signed-rank test or the related-samples Friedman 2-way ANOVA). The χ² test was used to compare proportions. All tests were 2 sided, and values of P<0.05 after Bonferroni or equivalent adjustment for multiple comparisons when appropriate were considered significant. Data are shown as mean±SD and median and interquartile range (IQR).

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Study Populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>AF (n=53)</th>
<th>Control (n=25)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>63±8</td>
<td>61±6</td>
<td>0.294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, %</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>27 (24–31)</td>
<td>26 (24–28)</td>
<td>0.277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting pulse, bpm</td>
<td>64 (54–88)</td>
<td>60 (50–66)</td>
<td>0.620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP, mmHg</td>
<td>130 (124–145)</td>
<td>126 (119–139)</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP, mmHg</td>
<td>81±17</td>
<td>80±10</td>
<td>0.257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever smoked, %</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol,units/Wk</td>
<td>9 (1–20)</td>
<td>6 (0–19)</td>
<td>0.690</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF indicates atrial fibrillation; BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; and SR, sinus rhythm.
Results in Control Subjects and Patients With AF Before Ablation
LV volume, function, and mass indexes and left atrial volumes and function in control subjects and preablation patients are summarized in Table 2. There were no significant differences in LV end-diastolic volumes between the groups, but patients with AF had significantly larger end-systolic volumes and hence lower LVEF than matched control subjects (both P<0.001). However, the impact of LV EF was subtle, and the median LVEF in patients (61%) fell at the lower end of the normal range by MR imaging in our institution (57%–81%).

PSCS was more clearly abnormal in patients with AF (median, –15% [IQR, –11% to –18%]; normal, –19±2%) and was significantly impaired compared with control subjects (Table 2). As expected, patients with AF had dilated and impaired left atria compared with control subjects (all P<0.001; Table 2).

Table 2. LV and Left Atrial Indexes in the Study Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Patients With AF (n=53)</th>
<th>Control Subjects in SR (n=25)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LV end-diastolic volume, mL</td>
<td>149±39</td>
<td>137±30</td>
<td>0.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-systolic volume, mL</td>
<td>58 (43 to 75)</td>
<td>41 (33 to 51)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV stroke volume, mL</td>
<td>81 (71 to 96)</td>
<td>90 (83 to 106)</td>
<td>0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF, %</td>
<td>61 (52 to 65)</td>
<td>71 (69 to 73)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac output, L/min</td>
<td>5.6±1.5</td>
<td>6.0±1.4</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass index, g/m²</td>
<td>67±12</td>
<td>56±13</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass (measured volume, g/mL)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.73 to 0.96)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.73 to 0.86)</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak systolic circumferential strain, %</td>
<td>–73±11 (±10)</td>
<td>–88±12 (±10)</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF ratio</td>
<td>7.0 (5.6 to 8.7)</td>
<td>7.6 (6.3 to 8.6)</td>
<td>0.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV LGE area, %</td>
<td>0.2 (0.1 to 0.3)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1 to 0.3)</td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAmin, mL</td>
<td>102±35</td>
<td>77±22</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAmax, mL</td>
<td>71±36</td>
<td>35±11</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF</td>
<td>30 (16 to 49)</td>
<td>53 (49 to 61)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF indicates atrial fibrillation; E/E’ ratio, ratio of peak early diastolic mitral inflow velocity to spectral tissue Doppler-derived peak early diastolic velocity at the mitral annulus; LAmin, left atrial emptying fraction; LAmax, left atrial maximal volume; LAε, left atrial echocardiography; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; and SR, sinus rhythm.

* Significant.

Cardiac output, L/min = 5.6±1.5 in patients with AF and 6.0±1.4 in control subjects in SR (P=0.326). LV mass index, g/m² = 67±12 in patients with AF and 56±13 in control subjects in SR (P=0.112). LV mass (measured volume, g/mL) = 0.85 (0.73 to 0.96) in patients with AF and 0.71 (0.73 to 0.86) in control subjects in SR (P=0.101). LV mass (measured volume, g/mL) = 0.85 (0.73 to 0.96) in patients with AF and 0.71 (0.73 to 0.86) in control subjects in SR (P=0.101).

LV end-diastolic volume, mL = 149±39 in patients with AF and 137±30 in control subjects in SR (P=0.189). LV end-systolic volume, mL = 58 (43 to 75) in patients with AF and 41 (33 to 51) in control subjects in SR (P<0.001). LV stroke volume, mL = 81 (71 to 96) in patients with AF and 90 (83 to 106) in control subjects in SR (P=0.05*).

Outcomes After Ablation
Ablation was undertaken in 51 patients, with no significant early procedural complications. Radiofrequency ablation was used in 25 patients (49%), cryoballoon ablation in 14 patients (27%), and laser balloon ablation in 2 patients (4%). In the time span between the end of the 3-month blanking period and the 7-month visit, 9 patients (18%) underwent an attempt at electric cardioversion and 3 patients (6%) underwent a second ablation procedure as a result of recurrence of AF or focal left atrial tachycardia. At 20 hours, the classification of patients by rhythm groups was as follows: SR-SR, 24; AF-SR, 21; and AF-AF, 3. At 7 months, the numbers were the following: SR-SR, 21; AF-SR, 19; AF-AF, 4; and SR-AF, 2. Of 46 patients scanned at 7 months, 25 (54%) had evidence of ≥1 episodes of recurrent AF after ablation. However, Holter-determined AF burden at 7 months was significantly lower than before ablation, median 0% [IQR, 0%–0.1%] versus 54% [IQR, 1.5%–100%; P<0.001].

Early Effect of Ablation on LV Function
Early after ablation, there was no significant overall change in LVEF (median, 61% [IQR 51%–65%] vs 4 weeks before ablation versus 61% [IQR, 57%–66%] at 20 hours; n=48; P=0.07). However, there was a significant increase in LVEF (7.0±1.0% to 7.4±10.0%) in the AF-SR subgroup, unlike the SR-SR and AF-AF subgroups, in which LVEF was unchanged (Figure 4A). A similar pattern was seen for PSCS, with a significant change of –3.5±4.3% (indicating improvement) in the AF-SR subgroup but no change in the other subgroups (Figure 4B).

The SR-SR subgroup showed a significant increase in heart rate from 74±4 beats before ablation to 20 hours (Figure 4C), consistent with the expected inflammation22 and increase in sympathetic activity33 induced by the procedure; this effect was entirely counteracted in the AF-SR subgroup by the reduction in ventricular rate associated with recovery of SR.
Late Effect of Ablation on LV Function, Myocardial Energetics, and Left Atrial Indexes

Late after ablation, there was a modest but statistically significant increase in LVEF from before ablation (median, 62% [IQR, 50%–65%] 4 weeks before ablation versus 65% [IQR, 59%–68%] at 7 months; n=46; P=0.004). However, there was no significant change in LVEF from 20 hours to 7 months (P=0.24), and LVEF at 7 months remained lower than in matched control subjects (P<0.001), including when the analysis was restricted to patients in SR at 7 months (median, 66% [IQR, 61%–69%] versus 71% [IQR, 65%–73%; P=0.002). Similarly, PSCS in patients in SR at 7 months was impaired compared with matched control subjects (median, –16% versus –18%; P=0.035) with no significant overall improvement compared with 4 weeks before ablation (P=0.375).

The AF-SR subgroup again showed significant improvements in both LVEF and PSCS at 7 months, with no changes seen in the other subgroups (Figures 4E and 4F, respectively). In the 16 patients who were in AF 4 weeks before ablation, recovered SR at 20 hours, and remained in SR at 7 months, there was a significant improvement in LVEF across the 3 visits (median, 55% [IQR, 47%–61%] 4 weeks before ablation, 60% [IQR, 55%–62%] at 20 hours, and 64% [IQR, 60%–69%] at 7 months; overall trend P=0.002; P=0.001 for comparison between 4 weeks before ablation and 7 months; P=0.07 for comparison between 20 hours and 7 months).

At 7 months, the AF-SR subgroup showed a significant reduction in heart rate, whereas the SR-SR subgroup showed a significant increase in heart rate, likely due to withdrawal of rate-controlling medications (Figure 4G). There was a trend toward an overall increase in cardiac output from before ablation (5.9±1.4 L/min at 7 months compared with 5.6±1.5 at 4 weeks before ablation; P=0.054); with no significant differences between subgroups (Figure 4H).

When patients were grouped by the presence or absence of AF after ablation (rather than by intrascan rhythm), the changes in both LVEF and PSCS from 4 weeks before ablation to 7 months were similar between groups (median, 2.7% [IQR, –2.3% to 9.7%] in those with recurrent AF [n=25] versus 3.3% [IQR, –1.4% to 8.3%] in those without recurrent AF [n=21]; P=0.83 for LVEF; mean –0.2±4% in those with recurrent AF [n=23] versus –2.0±5% in those without recurrent AF [n=17]; P=0.21 for PSCS).

Myocardial energetics, as determined by PCr/ATP ratio, was unchanged from 4 weeks before ablation to 7 months (Figure 5A). There were no significant changes in PCr/ATP ratio from 4 weeks before ablation to 7 months in any of the intrascan rhythm subgroups (Figure 5B) or overall, cardiac output increased significantly after ablation (6.6±1.6 L/min at 20 hours compared with 5.6±1.5 L/min at 4 weeks before ablation; P<0.001), driven by both the AF-SR and SR-SR subgroups (Figure 4D).
between patients with and without AF recurrence after ablation (Figure S5). Overall, energetics remained impa-
tered in 7 months compared with matched control sub-
jects (1.78±0.33 versus 2.04±1.29; P=0.001).

Ablation led to a significant reduction in atrial vol-
ume (LAmax, 86±30 mL at 7 months from 102±37 mL at
≤4 weeks before ablation; n=46 in paired analysis; P<0.001), driven by both the AF-SR and SR-SR sub-
groups (P=0.001, respectively; online-only Data Supple-
ment Figure 8). Indeed, at 7 months after abla-
tion, atrial volume in patients with AF was not different from that in control subjects (LAmax, 86±30 mL in pa-

tients versus 77±22 mL in control subjects; P=0.202).

Although LAEF at 7 months improved as expected in the
AF-SR subgroup (P<0.001; online-only Data Supple-
ment Figure 9), there was no significant overall improve-
ment in atrial function postablation (median LAEF, 40% [IQR, 27%–47%] at 7 months from 35% [IQR, 17%–49%]
≤4 weeks before ablation; n=46 in paired analysis; P=0.373), and it remained impaired at 7 months com-
pared with control subjects (P<0.001). Similarly, LAEF
failed to improve even in patients with no recurrent AF
(median LAEF, 40% [IQR, 32%–48%] at 7 months from
42% [IQR, 16%–49%] ≤4 weeks before ablation; n=21
in paired analysis; P=0.230), again remaining impaired at 7 months compared with control subjects (P<0.001).

Effect of Medications

There were no associations between β-blocker or angio-
tensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/angiotensin II recep-
tor blocker use (online-only Data Supplement Table I) and
myocardial energetics, LVEF, or PSCS either ≤4 weeks before ablation or at 7 months (all P>NS).

DISCUSSION

We undertook a prospective study of patients undergo-
ing first-time ablation of AF, using MR methods to investi-
gate the effect of reducing AF burden on LV function and energetics. For the first time, we demonstrate evidence of significantly impaired myocardial energetics in the ven-
tricular myocardium of patients with lone AF compared with patients with SR. We also document a subtle reduction in LV systolic function in patients with AF compared with control subjects, with modest im-
provement (but not normalization) after ablation. Much of the improvement in LV function occurs early after the procedure, is limited to patients with AF who recover SR at the time of the assessment, and thus likely reflects changes in hemodynamics at the time of the scan rather than true beneficial cardiac remodeling resulting from the reduction in AF burden. Indeed, despite a significant reduction in AF burden at both scans (P<0.001), only the SR-SR subgroup demonstrated a reduction in LV function that does not improve further, remaining impaired compared with control subjects in SR. Taken together, these data imply that lone AF may be the consequence (rather than the cause) of an occult cardiomyopathic process.

LV Function in AF

AF has been implicated as a cause of LV dysfunction because previous studies have shown an improvement in LV function after catheter ablation including reversal of subclinical systolic11 and diastolic dysfunction11. Our pa-
tent population had normal LV diastolic function overall, although LVEF by cardiac MR was at the lower end of the
normal range at our institution (Table 2), even after suc-

cessful ablation. There was no evidence of LV dilation, hypertrophy, or concentric remodeling in our patients with AF compared with matched control subjects in SR, and LGE (indicating LV fibrosis/scar) was an infrequent finding in both groups. These results are consistent with our intention to focus on patients with lone AF and our decision to exclude patients with significant cardiovascu-
lar comorbidity or uncontrolled ventricular rate. Never-
theless, there was clear evidence of reduced LV systolic function by both LVEF and PSCS in preablation patients compared with matched control subjects, in keeping with the results of a previous study with similar inclusion criteria.34

The effect of AF ablation on LV function has been in-
vestigated previously, with a recent meta-analysis show-
ing a small overall improvement in LVEF of ~6% (95% CI, 4%–
5%) with the largest improvements seen in patients with
persistent AF (compared with patients with paroxysmal AF) and those with low LVEF (compared with those with normal LVEF).11-13 By using cardiac MR imaging both early and late after ablation, our study adds significant further

Myocardial Energetics in AF

Altered cardiac energy metabolism is an early feature of cardiomyopathy and is prognostically important.30-32 Very few studies have investigated myocardial energet-
ics in AF. Ex vivo investigations have shown a selective reduction in myocardial creatine kinase in atrial tissue from patients with AF compared with control subjects in the absence of changes in total creatine kinase or myo-
in ATCase activity.40 In goats with pacing-induced AF, impaired atrial energetics was detected immediately after the arrhythmia induction.41 We are not aware of any previous in vivo study investigating energetics in the LV myocardium in human AF. We used 31P-MRS to noninva-
sively assess myocardial energetics in patients with AF

Figure 4 Continued. multiple comparisons. A, At 20 hours (OH), LVEF improves only in the atrial fibrillation (AF)-sinus rhythm (SR) subgroup (P<0.005). B, Similarly, PSCS also improves only in the AF-SR subgroup (P<0.001). C, ORS, compared to the early after the ablation (P<0.001), and both the AF-SR and SR-SR subgroups show a significant increase in cardiac output, without differences between subgroups. D, At 7 months (TM), the pattern of change in LV function from ≤4 weeks before ablation (PRE) in subgroups is similar to that at 20 hours, with the AF-SR subgroup showing a significant improvement in LVEF (P<0.001) and PSCS (denoted by a more negative value change; F; P=0.001). E, The changes in HR from ≤4 weeks before ablation in the AF-SR and SR-SR groups are significantly different (P=0.0001), and there is a significant difference between the AF-SR and SR-AF groups (P=0.03). H, Similar to 20 hours, there are no significant differences between subgroups in the change in cardiac output, whereas the SR-SR subgroup demonstrated a small increase (P=0.03). Smaller P values stratified by size (**: P<0.01; ***P<0.001). **: P<0.001.

Figure 5. Myocardial energetics before and late after ablation. A, In all patients, there was no change in the ratio of phospho-
creatine to ATP (PCr/ATP) from ≤4 weeks before to (Continued) insight into the effect of AF on LV function. Our results indicate that approximately half the overall improvement in LVEF observed at 7 months occurs by 20 hours and that improvement is restricted to patients who were in AF at the scan done ≤4 weeks before ablation and in SR at the scans after ablation (rather than those in whom ablation caused a significant reduction in AF burden or who were present at any time between scans). Equally important is our finding that LV function remains impaired in patients with AF after ablation compared with matched control subjects, even when considering only those in SR at 7 months and who had experienced a significant reduction in AF burden af-
ter the procedure. The modest improvement in LV EF in patients recovering SR from AF may reflect the increase in LAEF, consistent with recovery of coordinated atrial mechanical activity. However, when considering the en-
tire patient cohort, LAEF was unaffected by ablation and remained decreased compared with control subjects because previous studies have shown an improvement in LV function after catheter ablation including reversal of subclinical systolic11 and diastolic dysfunction11. We are not aware of any previous in vivo study investigating energetics in the LV myocardium in human AF. We used 31P-MRS to noninva-
sively assess myocardial energetics in patients with AF

Figure 5 Continued, 7 months (7M) after ablation (n=42, P=0.57, paired t-test). There were also no significant differ-
ences in the change in PCr/ATP ratio between subgroups on the basis of either intrascalar rhythmic combina-
tions (P=0.37, 1-way ANOVA; B) or the presence or absence of recurrent atrial fibrillation (AF) ablation (P=0.87, unpaired t-test; C). SR indicates sinus rhythm.
both before and after ablation. We determined the PCO2/ ATP ratio, which is reduced when demand for ATP out-weighs ATP synthesis (as in ischemia) or with reduction in the total creatine pool (as occurs in heart failure).11 Our finding of a significantly lower LV PCr/ATP ratio in patients with AF than in control subjects supports the no-	ion of an underlying cardiomyopathy, particularly in the context of the demonstrated subtle LV dysfunction. It is important to note that the findings that energetics is not affected by heart rhythm at the time of assessment and does not improve after successful AF ablation suggest that the cardiomyopathic process may be “upstream” of AF (Figure 6). This is befitting the idea that underlying atrial disease may actually precede and promote lone AF, as suggested by some retrospective studies.12–14 The reduction in PCO2/ATP ratio in patients with AF compared with matched control subjects is relatively subtle, which may reflect the relative insensitivity of this measure to the true degree of underlying energetic dys-
function compared with other 1H-MRS parameters such as the rate of ATP production (the creatine kinase flux) or the creatine kinase forward rate constant, k+. Future studies should determine the rate of creatine kinase flux in patients with AF because this parameter may reflect both the underlying cardiomyopathy and the response to treatment more accurately than the PCO2/ATP ratio.15,16

Clinical Implications and Future Directions

Our results support the notion that lone AF is the con-
sequence of an occult cardiomyopathy that persists despite restoration of SR. This raises the intriguing pos-
sibility that such a process (which may develop with age-
ning and exposure to risk factors) may also contribute to recurrence of AF after ablation. However, future studies are needed to examine whether therapeutic strategies that target the adverse cardiometabolic phenotype reflect the actual cardiomyocardium, with the extent of damage unrelated to cumulative AF burden. This hypothesis could be tested in future population-based, large-scale imaging studies that include rhythm-monitoring investigations. Last, we studied a specific group of patients with lone AF. Further studies are needed to establish whether our findings are applicable to other AF patient populations.

Conclusions

Patients with lone AF show improved LV function and myocardial energetics compared with matched control subjects in SR, and these parameters do not normalize despite a significant reduction in AF burden after suc-
sessful ablation. These findings imply that AF may be the consequence (rather than the cause) of an underlying cardiomyopathy. Comprehensive therapeutic strategies should be targeted to reverse the adverse cardiometabolic phe-
notyp may be needed to reduce AF recurrence and to improve outcomes.
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