A number of registries and population-based cohort studies have shown a consistent and significant association between age and the prevalence of calcific aortic stenosis.1,3 It is therefore generally assumed that the progressive aging of the population in developed countries will result in an increasing number of patients presenting with clinically significant aortic stenosis. Data from Medicare fee-for-service beneficiaries4 support this concept, in which the number of patients over the age of 65 years who were hospitalized for aortic valve surgery increased from 24,568 in 1989 to 31,380 in 2011, a rate of increase more than that of the general US population over the age of 65 years during the same period.5 The rate of increase in surgical procedures was most striking in patients aged ≥85 years in whom the adjusted rate rose from 48 to 91 per 100,000 person-years during that decade.4 Data based on surgical procedures alone, of course, do not provide accurate insights into the true incidence of aortic stenosis, because surgical data pertain predominantly to symptomatic patients with severe aortic stenosis, excluding the larger number of patients with either asymptomatic disease or less severe stenosis, as well as those with extensive comorbidities who are considered too high risk for surgery. Increasing numbers of aortic valve replacements also reflect advances in surgical expertise and the greater comfort of referring physicians in referring elderly patients for surgery. In other words, the use of this type of data as a surrogate for true incidence is flawed because the referral patterns are not stable. This is a form of ascertainment bias.

The data reported by Martinsson et al16 from 1989 through 2009 represent inpatient data and, thus, presumably identify those patients with hospitalizations related to symptomatic aortic stenosis who ultimately underwent surgery, those with symptomatic aortic stenosis deemed too high risk for surgery, or those in whom aortic stenosis was a secondary diagnosis made during a hospitalization for heart failure, acute myocardial infarction, or other unrelated conditions. Because aortic valve replacement was performed in 37.0% to 42.5% of patients during this period, it would appear that >50% of patients fit into this latter group, in whom the ascertainment of disease and severity of aortic stenosis are uncertain. Although the majority of aortic stenosis diagnoses were based on echocardiographic measurements and are mainly composed of moderate-to-severe disease, the adjudication of this process appears to have been based on a relatively small number of patients.13

In this inpatient sample, the number of cases of aortic stenosis increased from 4694 in the 3-year period of 1989–1991 to 5963 in 2007–2009 (a 27% increase), during which the age at diagnosis increased by a mean of 4 years, but the crude incidence rate per 100,000 did not increase and the age-adjusted incidence rate decreased significantly, from 15.0 to 11.4 per 100,000 in men and 9.8 to 7.1 in women. Importantly, the crude mortality rates and age-adjusted mortality rates declined markedly. These reductions in mortality paralleled those related to heart failure and acute myocardial infarction.
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The number of aortic valve replacements increased from 1920 in 1989–1991 to 2424 in 2007–2009 (a 26% increase). Although the rate of aortic valve surgery remained relatively constant, there was an increase in the rate of surgery noted in those over the age of 75 years during the 2 decades (Table VI in the online-only Data Supplement). The reductions in 30-day mortality were striking and in keeping with those reported in the US Medicare database.

The combined inpatient and outpatient samples (from 2001 through 2009) provide a more complete picture of the true incidence of aortic stenosis, because it presumably includes those with asymptomatic or less severe disease who have no indications for hospitalization or surgery. These data indicate no difference in overall numbers with the diagnosis of aortic stenosis (slightly more than 11,000 in 2001–2003 and 2007–2009), but, similar to the trends of the inpatient sample, there were significant reductions in age-adjusted incidence and mortality rates.

The data in patients who underwent surgery in this study represent the hard data. Ascertainment of diagnosis and disease severity in both the inpatient and outpatient databases creates some softness to the data that should be interpreted as such. For example, assuming that echocardiography was used more fully in Sweden over the course of time in the diagnosis and management of aortic stenosis, as it has been in the United States, it is possible that the apparent decline in aortic stenosis incidence represents the identification of those patients with true aortic stenosis and the exclusion of those with sclerotic valves and heart murmurs but insignificant gradients, who may have been coded as having aortic stenosis in the earlier time periods. Thus, a more complete picture of the incidence (and outcomes) could be obtained by a sharper analysis of the echocardiographic data rather than by relying on more crude administrative data. These concerns do not detract from the important observations by Martinsson et al. regarding the very favorable trends in mortality for aortic stenosis, heart failure, and myocardial infarction related to lifestyle, preventive therapies, and interventions, in keeping with those witnessed in the United States over the past several decades.

These national data appear to fully capture all patients with aortic stenosis, which is a unique strength of this database. However, the accuracy of ascertainment of disease and of disease severity, in both the inpatient and outpatient cohorts, is an area of uncertainty and one that merits further investigation of the trends in aortic stenosis incidence and outcomes in this and other healthcare systems.
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