

Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus and Cardiovascular Disease A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association and American Diabetes Association

Sarah D. de Ferranti, MD, MPH, Chair; Ian H. de Boer, MD, MS; Vivian Fonseca, MD; Caroline S. Fox, MD, MPH*; Sherita Hill Golden, MD, MHS; Carl J. Lavie, MD; Sheela N. Magge, MD, MSCE; Nikolaus Marx, MD; Darren K. McGuire, MD; Trevor J. Orchard, MD, MMedSci; Bernard Zinman, MD; Robert H. Eckel, MD, FAHA, Co-Chair

Despite the known higher risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) in individuals with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM), the pathophysiology underlying the relationship between cardiovascular events, CVD risk factors, and T1DM is not well understood. Management approaches to CVD reduction have been extrapolated in large part from experience in type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), despite the longer duration of disease in T1DM than in T2DM and the important differences in the underlying pathophysiology. Furthermore, the phenotype of T1DM is changing. As a result of the findings of the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT), which compared intensive glycemic control with usual care, and its follow-up observational study, Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC), intensive management of diabetes mellitus (DM) has become the standard of care and has led to increasing longevity. However, our understanding of CVD in T1DM comes in large part from the previous era of less intensive glycemic control. More intensive glycemic control is associated with significant risk of weight gain, which may be magnified by the obesity epidemic. There is growing interest in better understanding the adverse effects of glycemia, the prevalence and type of lipid abnormalities in T1DM, the prognostic role of albuminuria and renal insufficiency, and the role of blood pressure (BP) in CVD.

Obesity-associated metabolic abnormalities such as the pro-inflammatory state likely modify CVD risk in T1DM; however, the effect may be different from what is seen in T2DM. These concepts, and how they may affect management, have not been fully explored.

The present review will focus on the importance of CVD in patients with T1DM. We will summarize recent observations of potential differences in the pathophysiology of T1DM compared with T2DM, particularly with regard to atherosclerosis. We will explore the implications of these concepts for treatment of CVD risk factors in patients with T1DM. The relationship between CVD and other forms of DM will not be addressed in the present statement. The statement will identify gaps in knowledge about T1DM and CVD and will conclude with a summary of areas in which research is needed.

T1DM: Definition and Diagnosis

T1DM is characterized by an absolute insulin deficiency caused by T-cell-mediated autoimmune destruction of pancreatic β -cells.¹ It is the predominant form of DM during childhood and adolescence but can present in adulthood, with the typical symptoms of polyuria, polydipsia, and weight loss. The key pathophysiology is decreased insulin secretory capacity, which results in hyperglycemia with a propensity to

*The input provided by Dr. Fox is from her own perspective, and the opinions expressed in this article do not reflect the view of the National Institutes of Health, US Department of Health and Human Services, or the US government.

The American Heart Association and the American Diabetes Association make every effort to avoid any actual or potential conflicts of interest that may arise as a result of an outside relationship or a personal, professional, or business interest of a member of the writing panel. Specifically, all members of the writing group are required to complete and submit a Disclosure Questionnaire showing all such relationships that might be perceived as real or potential conflicts of interest.

This document was approved by the American Heart Association Science Advisory and Coordinating Committee and by the American Diabetes Association Professional Practice Committee and Executive Committee of the Board of Directors.

The online-only Data Supplement is available with this article at <http://circ.ahajournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1161/CIR.0000000000000034/-/DC1>.

The American Heart Association requests that this document be cited as follows: de Ferranti SD, de Boer IH, Fonseca V, Fox CS, Golden SH, Lavie CJ, Magge SN, Marx N, McGuire DK, Orchard TJ, Zinman B, Eckel RH. Type 1 diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association and American Diabetes Association. *Circulation*. 2014;130:1110–1130.

This article has been copublished in *Diabetes Care*.

Copies: This document is available on the World Wide Web sites of the American Heart Association (my.americanheart.org) and the American Diabetes Association (<http://www.diabetes.org>). A copy of the document is available at <http://my.americanheart.org/statements> by selecting either the “By Topic” link or the “By Publication Date” link. To purchase additional reprints, call 843-216-2533 or e-mail kelle.ramsay@wolterskluwer.com.

Expert peer review of AHA Scientific Statements is conducted by the AHA Office of Science Operations. For more on AHA statements and guidelines development, visit <http://my.americanheart.org/statements> and select the “Policies and Development” link.

Permissions: Multiple copies, modification, alteration, enhancement, and/or distribution of this document are not permitted without the express permission of the American Heart Association. Instructions for obtaining permission are located at http://www.heart.org/HEARTORG/General/Copyright-Permission-Guidelines_UCM_300404_Article.jsp. A link to the “Copyright Permissions Request Form” appears on the right side of the page.

(*Circulation*. 2014;130:1110–1130.)

© 2014 American Heart Association, Inc., and American Diabetes Association.

Circulation is available at <http://circ.ahajournals.org>

DOI: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000034

develop ketoacidosis. The onset of T1DM frequently occurs in the setting of an intercurrent illness, which gives rise to the suspicion that its onset may be triggered by an infection. T1DM has strong human leukocyte antigen associations to the DQA, DQB, and DRB alleles.² One or more autoantibodies, including islet cell, insulin, glutamic acid decarboxylase 65 (GAD65), zinc transporter 8,³ and tyrosine phosphatase IA-2 β and IA-2 β antibodies, can be detected in 85% to 90% of individuals on presentation. The rate of β -cell destruction varies, generally occurring more rapidly at younger ages. However, T1DM can also present in adults, some of whom can have enough residual β -cell function to avoid dependence on insulin until many years later. When autoantibodies are present, this is referred to as latent autoimmune diabetes of adulthood. Infrequently, T1DM can present without evidence of autoimmunity but with intermittent episodes of ketoacidosis; between episodes, the need for insulin treatment can come and go. This type of DM, called idiopathic diabetes¹ or T1DM type B, occurs more often in those of African and Asian ancestry.⁴ Because of the increasing prevalence of obesity in the United States, there are also obese individuals with T1DM, particularly children. Evidence of insulin resistance (such as acanthosis nigricans); fasting insulin, glucose, and C-peptide levels; and the presence of islet cell, insulin, glutamic acid decarboxylase, and phosphatase autoantibodies can help differentiate between T1DM and T2DM, although both insulin resistance and insulin insufficiency can

be present in the same patient,⁵ and rarely, T2DM can present at an advanced stage with low C-peptide levels and minimal islet cell function.

Epidemiology of CVD in Patients With T1DM Incidence and Prevalence of CVD

CVD is a long-term complication of T1DM that is a major concern for patients and healthcare providers. For the purposes of the present review, CVD will be defined as coronary heart disease (CHD), cerebrovascular disease, or peripheral artery disease (PAD). Heart failure and cardiomyopathy have also been described in T1DM,^{6,7} although information about these conditions in T1DM is less robust than for CHD and cerebrovascular disease, and they are not the focus of this review. CVD complications of T1DM include all of the above and probably represent different pathophysiological pathways. Abundant data are available from population studies and randomized trials regarding the incremental CVD risk associated with DM; however, the vast majority of these data derive either from cohorts of T2DM patients exclusively or more commonly from analyses of all DM patients without distinction as to type. In this context, information about the incremental risk and clinical presentation of CVD in T1DM needs greater clarity. Table 1 presents hazard ratios (HRs) of different CVDs in T1DM from selected important studies.^{8–10} Studies were chosen for inclusion by the writing group members; a formal

Table 1. HRs for CVD, CHD, CVA, and PAD in Patients With T1DM Compared With Healthy Control Subjects

Study Name/PMID	Population	Study Design	Diabetes Duration, y	Study Follow-Up, y	HR
CVD					
UK GPRD: Soedamah-Muthu et al, 2006 ⁸ PMID: 16567818	7479 With T1DM vs 38 116 without DM; men and women, generally representative of the general UK population	Observational case-control cohort	15 \pm 12	4.7	Myocardial infarction, coronary revascularization, stroke, acute CHD death: Men, 3.6 (95% CI, 2.9–4.5) Women, 7.6 (95% CI, 5.5–10.7)
CHD					
UK GPRD: Soedamah-Muthu et al, 2006 ⁸ PMID: 16567818	7479 With T1DM vs 38 116 without DM; men and women, generally representative of the general UK population	Observational case-control cohort	15 \pm 12	4.7	Myocardial infarction, coronary revascularization, acute CHD death: Men, 3.0 (95% CI, 2.2–4.1) Women, 7.6 (95% CI, 4.9–12.0)
CVA					
Nurses' Health Study: Janghorbani et al, 2007 ⁹ PMID: 17389335	116 316 Women aged 30–55 y in 1976–2002, 105 247 (90.5%) women without DM, 303 (0.3%) with T1DM, and 10 766 (9.2%) with T2DM; primarily white women but includes Hispanics, blacks, and Asians	Observational cohort	31.4 \pm 14.3	24	Fatal or nonfatal stroke, excluding "silent" strokes: Women, 5.9 (95% CI, 4.2–8.3) compared with women without DM
PAD					
Jonasson et al, 2008 ¹⁰ PMID: 18443192	31 354 Patients with T1DM from the Swedish Inpatient Registry identified from 1975–2004 compared with the Swedish population; white northern Europeans	Administrative database, ICD-9 coding	ND	12.5	Incident nontraumatic lower-extremity amputations: 85.5 (95% CI, 72.9–100.3)

The hazard ratio (HR) is a measure of how often a particular event happens in one group compared to how often it happens in another group, over time. HRs are as reported in the publication (Soedamah-Muthu et al,⁸ Janghorbani et al⁹) or, when not available, are estimated from the data provided in the original publication (all others).

CHD indicates coronary heart disease; CI, confidence interval; CVA, cerebrovascular disease; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; EDC, Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications; GPRD, General Practice Research Database; ICD-9, *International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision*; ND, not determined; PAD, peripheral artery disease; PMID, PubMed-indexed for MEDLINE; T1DM, type 1 diabetes mellitus; and T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.

evidence-based approach was not performed. [Online-only Data Supplement Table I](#) presents detailed information from the current literature on the prevalence and incidence of CVD, CHD, and cerebrovascular disease in T1DM.

Overall, CVD events are more common and occur earlier in patients with T1DM than in nondiabetic populations; women with T1DM are more likely to have a CVD event than are healthy women. CVD prevalence rates in T1DM vary substantially based on duration of DM, age of cohort, and sex, as well as possibly by race/ethnicity.^{8,11,12} The Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications (EDC) study demonstrated that the incidence of major coronary artery disease (CAD) events in young adults (aged 28–38 years) with T1DM was 0.98% per year and surpassed 3% per year after age 55 years, which makes it the leading cause of death in that population.¹³ By contrast, incident first CVD in the nondiabetic population ranges from 0.1% in 35- to 44-year-olds to 7.4% in adults aged 85 to 94 years.¹⁴ An increased risk of CVD has been reported in other studies, with the age-adjusted relative risk (RR) for CVD in T1DM being ≈ 10 times that of the general population.^{15–17} One of the most robust analyses of CVD risk in this disease derives from the large UK General Practice Research Database (GPRD), comprising data from >7400 patients with T1DM with a mean \pm SD age of 33 \pm 14.5 years and a mean DM duration of 15 \pm 12 years.⁸ CVD events in the UK GPRD study occurred on average 10 to 15 years earlier than in matched nondiabetic control subjects.

Coronary Heart Disease

When types of CVD are reported separately, CHD predominates (Table 1; [online-only Data Supplement Table I](#)). In the UK GPRD, T1DM was associated with a markedly increased adjusted HR for major CHD events compared with the general population during 4.7 years of follow-up in both men (adjusted HR, 3.6; 95% confidence interval [CI], 2.8–4.6) and women (adjusted HR, 9.6; 95% CI, 6.4–14.5),⁸ similar to the RR of CHD associated with T2DM. The published cumulative incidence of CHD ranges between 2.1%¹⁸ and 19%,¹⁹ with most studies reporting cumulative incidences of $\approx 15\%$ over ≈ 15 years of follow-up.^{20–22} Cumulative CHD mortality rates over 14 to 18 years are reported as being between 6% and 8%,^{19,22} are higher in men than in women,²³ and are higher in those >40 years of age than in those <40 years of age²³ ([online-only Data Supplement Table I](#)). Of interest, myocarditis after myocardial infarction has been described recently in a mouse model, with some evidence that a similar complication occurs in T1DM patients.^{24–27}

Cerebrovascular Accident

Although stroke is less common than CHD in T1DM, it is another important CVD end point. Reported incidence rates vary but are relatively low. A study of blacks with T1DM found the cumulative incidence of cerebrovascular accidents was 3.3% over 6 years ($\approx 0.6\%$ per year)¹²; the Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy (WESDR) reported an incidence rate of 5.9% over 20 years ($\approx 0.3\%$)²¹; and the European Diabetes (EURODIAB) Study reported a 0.74% incidence of cerebrovascular disease per year.¹⁸ These

incidence rates are for the most part higher than those reported in the general population, which are reported at $\approx 0.2\%$ to 0.3% per year.¹⁴

Peripheral Artery Disease

PAD is another important vascular complication of T1DM ([online-only Data Supplement Table II](#)). There are several components of PAD, including occult disease, assessed by ankle-brachial index, extremity arterial calcification, and lower-extremity nontraumatic amputation. The data available on PAD focus on amputation. The rate of nontraumatic amputation in T1DM is high, occurring at 0.4% to 7.2% per year.²⁸ By 65 years of age, the cumulative probability of lower-extremity amputation in a Swedish administrative database was 11% for women with T1DM and 20.7% for men.¹⁰ In this Swedish population, the rate of lower-extremity amputation among those with T1DM was nearly 86-fold that of the general population. Calcification of the extremity arteries was reported in 4.6% of the EDC cohort, more commonly in men, and in individuals >30 years of age.²⁹ Predictors of all types of PAD include increasing age, male sex, history of foot lesions or ulcers, diastolic BP, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA_{1c}), DM duration, hypertension, albumin excretion rate, glomerular filtration rate (GFR), smoking status, and retinopathy.^{10,28,30,31} In a meta-analysis of 5 studies of T1DM patients, with each 1% increase in HbA_{1c} the risk of PAD increased by 18%.³² Interestingly, aggressive glycemic control to lower the HbA_{1c} did not appear to reduce rates of peripheral arterial occlusion in the DCCT/EDIC study but did reduce the incidence of peripheral arterial calcification.³¹

Subclinical CVD

Abnormal vascular findings associated with atherosclerosis are also seen in patients with T1DM. Coronary artery calcification (CAC) burden, an accepted noninvasive assessment of atherosclerosis and a predictor of CVD events in the general population, is greater in people with T1DM than in nondiabetic healthy control subjects, as found in the Coronary Artery Calcification in Type 1 Diabetes (CACTI) Study.³³ With regard to subclinical carotid disease, both carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT) and plaque are increased in children, adolescents, and adults with T1DM ([online-only Data Supplement Table III](#)) compared with age- and sex-matched healthy control subjects.^{34–39} Traditional and glycemia-related risk factors such as age, DM duration, body mass index (BMI), total cholesterol (TC) and LDL-C, BP, smoking, and albumin excretion rate are associated with cIMT and plaque in T1DM.^{35,36,38–41}

Endothelial function is altered even at a very early stage of T1DM,^{42,43} as discussed in the section on children. Interestingly, the extent of endothelial dysfunction correlated significantly with blood glucose levels and was inversely related to DM duration. Adults in the Pittsburgh EDC study who had markers of endothelial dysfunction were more likely to develop CHD.⁴⁴ Taken together, these data suggest that preclinical CVD can be seen more frequently and to a greater extent in patients with T1DM, even at an early age. Some data suggest that its presence may portend CVD events; however, how these subclinical markers function as end points is not clear.

Cardiac Autonomic Neuropathy

Neuropathy in T1DM can lead to abnormalities in the response of the coronary vasculature to sympathetic stimulation, which may manifest clinically as resting tachycardia or bradycardia, exercise intolerance, orthostatic hypotension, loss of the nocturnal decline in BP, or silent myocardial ischemia on cardiac testing. These abnormalities can lead to delayed presentation of CVD. An early indicator of cardiac autonomic neuropathy is reduced heart rate variability, which can be assessed qualitatively in the clinic as a relatively fixed heart rate of 80 to 90 bpm. Traditional CVD risk factors predict cardiac autonomic neuropathy, including BP, LDL-C, triglycerides, and central obesity.⁴⁵ Limited data suggest silent myocardial ischemia is more common in the presence of cardiac autonomic neuropathy.⁴⁶ Estimates of the prevalence of cardiac autonomic neuropathy in T1DM vary widely, in part because of differing definitions and methods of testing (heart rate variability, response to Valsalva maneuver, handgrip, multiple versus isolated abnormalities, etc).⁴⁶ Cardiac neuropathy may affect as many as $\approx 40\%$ of individuals with T1DM.⁴⁵

Time Course of CVD Events

In all patients, those with DM included, the clinical presentation of CHD is very late in the pathophysiological process of atherosclerosis. This is suggested by the vascular abnormalities in cIMT and brachial artery studies (described in the section "Subclinical CVD") and by the delay in the onset of CVD experienced by patients in the intensive therapy intervention in the DCCT when no CVD was present at the onset of the study.⁴⁷ That being said, CVD events occur much earlier in patients with T1DM than in the general population, often after 2 decades of T1DM, which in some patients may be by age 30 years. Thus, in the EDC study, CVD was the leading cause of death in T1DM patients after 20 years of disease duration, at rates of $>3\%$ per year.¹³ Rates of CVD this high fall into the National Cholesterol Education Program's high-risk category and merit intensive CVD prevention efforts.⁴⁸ Nephropathy may also influence the timing of CVD events. Historical data suggest that CHD and PAD followed the development of overt nephropathy, which increased the CVD risk several fold.⁴⁹ However, the decline in kidney disease in T1DM patients by $>60\%$ in the past several decades has not been accompanied by a corresponding fall in rates of CVD,⁵⁰ which suggests that other factors contribute to CVD events.

CVD in Special T1DM Populations

Sex

Rates of CVD are lower in premenopausal women than in men. In T1DM, these differences are erased. In the United Kingdom, CVD affects men and women with T1DM equally at <40 years of age,²³ although after age 40 years, men are affected more than women.⁵¹ Similar findings on CVD mortality rates were reported in a large Norwegian T1DM cohort study⁵² and in the Allegheny County (PA) T1DM Registry,¹³ which reported the relative impact of CVD compared with the general population was much higher for women than for men (standardized mortality ratio [SMR] 13.2 versus 5.0 for total mortality and 24.7 versus 8.8 for CVD mortality, women versus men). Rates of

CAC in T1DM reflect the same trends. Both the US CACTI³³ and Pittsburgh EDC⁴³ data and a separate British study^{53,54} found that women with T1DM had at least as much CAC as men with T1DM. The reasons for excess CAC and its prominence in women are not clear, but the reported data suggest sex differences in CAC in patients with T1DM are explained by fat distribution patterns associated with insulin resistance (waist-to-hip ratio, waist circumference).^{33,53,54} Another hypothesis is that lower levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) explain the equalization of CAC between the sexes. Overall, T1DM appears to eliminate most of the female sex protection seen in the nondiabetic population.

Race/Ethnicity

Little is known about the relationship between race or ethnicity and CVD in T1DM. The available data are primarily in blacks. The New Jersey 725 is an exclusively black cohort of patients with T1DM identified and recruited through the New Jersey State Hospital database.¹² Data from this cohort suggest CVD event rates are ≈ 8 times higher than what is reported in the white EDC study population. The Allegheny County childhood T1DM registry also included blacks and showed a 2-fold greater CVD mortality in black than in white county residents with T1DM.⁵⁵ However, when SMRs were calculated against the background general population, CVD was increased in both races by ≈ 3 -fold, which suggests a general race-based disadvantage rather than a DM-specific effect.⁵⁵ There is even less information about CVD risk factor burden in T1DM in other races/ethnicities. The DiaComp Study suggested similar rates of CVD risk factors across Asian, Hispanic, and non-Hispanic populations; however, the population was too young for CVD events.⁵⁶ It should be acknowledged that any differences related to race or ethnicity could be genetic (T1DM acting differently based on race/ethnicity) or biological but mediated via other risk factors, such as hypertension, or related to socioeconomic factors. The exact contributions of these elements are not well delineated, and it may well be impossible to eliminate these types of potential confounding.

Pregnancy

Fewer than 0.5% of pregnancies are complicated by T1DM⁵⁷; however, risks to the mother and the child are greater than in those without T1DM. A full assessment for maternal CVD and DM complications should be made before or during pregnancy, or both, specifically for retinopathy, which may worsen during pregnancy, and for nephropathy and hypertension. Women with T1DM are at greater risk for preeclampsia, particularly if they have preexisting CVD.^{57,58} Pregnancy outcomes in mothers with T1DM are overall worse than in the general population, and women with known CVD and T1DM are at extremely high risk for poor fetal outcomes. Evidence-based recommendations for the prevention of preeclampsia have been published recently by the World Health Organization and include women with T1DM.⁵⁹

Children

CVD events are not generally expected to occur during childhood, even in the setting of T1DM; however, the

atherosclerotic process begins during childhood. Children and adolescents with T1DM have subclinical CVD abnormalities even within the first decade of DM diagnosis according to a number of different methodologies, including flow-mediated arterial dilation,^{42,43,60,61} endothelial peripheral arterial tonometry,⁶² and arterial stiffness measured by pulse wave velocity.⁶³ Studies on cIMT have been inconsistent, with some publications showing differences in cIMT between healthy children and those with T1DM,^{35,43,64,65} whereas others showed no difference.^{42,66,67} The largest published study measured cIMT in >300 children with T1DM who were undergoing intensive insulin treatment and compared them with >100 healthy control subjects³⁴; cIMT was higher in boys but not in girls.

Longitudinal data about the effect of glycemic control during childhood on CVD events are quite limited. The best available information comes from the DCCT, which included 195 adolescents.⁶⁸ Intensive control during adolescence resulted in delayed onset and progression of retinopathy and nephropathy but not CVD, likely because of the long latency to events.⁶⁸ These benefits were thought by the authors to outweigh the almost 3-fold increased risk of hypoglycemia seen in this early trial. Subsequent experience and publications report lower rates of hypoglycemia when adolescents are treated intensively to achieve lower HbA_{1c},⁶⁹ which suggests concerns about high rates of hypoglycemia are likely unfounded.

CVD in T1DM Versus T2DM

CVD in T1DM differs from T2DM, not only in that it presents at a younger age but also in that women are affected at rates equal to those in men. Risk factors appear to affect the risk for CVD differently in T1DM versus T2DM (Table 2). As described below, coronary findings may differ between T1DM and T2DM and from those in the general population, with some studies suggesting atherosclerosis in T1DM is more diffuse and more concentric.

Pathology of the Arterial Wall in T1DM

There is developing interest in the way in which the pathology of atherosclerosis in patients with DM differs from those without DM and the way in which atherosclerotic lesions in T1DM differ from those in T2DM. In a study of atherectomy samples that did not distinguish DM type, patients with DM appeared to have lesions that were more laden with lipids, macrophages, and thrombus than nondiabetic patients.⁷⁰

The data on atherosclerosis in T1DM are limited. A small angiographic study compared 32 individuals with T1DM to 31 nondiabetic patients matched for age and symptoms.⁷¹ That study found atherosclerosis in the setting of T1DM was characterized by more severe (tighter) stenoses, more extensive involvement (multiple vessels), and more distal coronary findings than in patients without DM. A quantitative coronary angiographic study in T1DM suggested more severe, distal disease and an overall increased burden compared with nondiabetic patients (up to 4-fold higher).⁷²

When T1DM is compared with T2DM, the characteristics of the atherosclerosis may differ, although the data are very limited. In the study by Burke et al⁷³ discussed above, there was overall lower atherosclerotic burden in T1DM than in T2DM, although the number of T1DM patients was relatively

Table 2. Relative Association Between Specific Cardiovascular Risk Factors and CVD Events in T1DM Versus T2DM

	T1DM	T2DM
Hypertension	+++	++
Cigarette smoke	++	++
Inflammation	++	++
High LDL-C	+	+++
Low HDL-C	0, +	++
Triglycerides	No data	++
Microalbuminuria	+++	+++
Insulin resistance	+	+++
Poor glycemic control	+++	+++

Range, 0 to +++.

CVD indicates cardiovascular disease; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; T1DM, type 1 diabetes mellitus; and T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.

small (n=16). An earlier autopsy study suggested plaques in T1DM were soft and fibrous and had a more concentric (less eccentric) location of lesions.⁷⁴ A small computed tomography study comparing patients with T1DM to those with T2DM demonstrated similar CAC scores but more obstructive lesions, more noncalcified lesions, and more lesions overall in patients with T2DM than in those with T1DM.⁷⁵

Techniques for demonstrating subclinical atherosclerosis, such as intravascular ultrasound or virtual histology, have been used to assess atherosclerotic lesions in patients with T1DM and are conflicting. Intravascular ultrasound shows that the degree of subclinical CAD is more severe in T1DM than in nondiabetic control subjects, which supports the autopsy data described above.⁷⁶ However, another study using angiography and intravascular ultrasound suggested patients treated with insulin had less plaque burden than either patients with DM not treated with insulin or nondiabetic individuals, and the use of insulin was negatively associated with plaque area (less plaque area with insulin use).⁷⁷ In that study, DM type was defined by insulin use only, which makes it difficult to interpret these findings. In another small study, coronary artery plaque formation was significantly related to mean HbA_{1c} levels over time.⁷⁸ In general, autopsy and angiographic studies have significant referral biases, and additional studies using more modern techniques are needed to better understand the nature of atheroma in patients with T1DM.

Inflammation and T1DM

In the general population, inflammation is a central pathological process of atherosclerosis.⁷⁹ Limited pathology data suggest that inflammation is more prominent in patients with DM than in nondiabetic control subjects,⁷⁰ and those with T1DM in particular are affected. Studies showed C-reactive protein is elevated within the first year of diagnosis of T1DM,⁸⁰ and interleukin-6 and fibrinogen levels are high in individuals with an average disease duration of 2 years,⁸¹ independent of adiposity and glycemia.⁸² Other inflammatory markers such as soluble interleukin-2 receptor⁸³ and CD40 ligand^{84,85} are higher in patients with T1DM than in nondiabetic subjects.

Inflammation is evident in youth, even soon after the diagnosis of T1DM. Intensive treatment has been linked to decreases in soluble intercellular adhesion molecule type 1 and increases in soluble tumor necrosis factor- α receptor 1 in the DCCT.⁸⁶

Some data link inflammation in T1DM to CVD. Low adiponectin levels have been shown to predict both CAD events and CAC in patients with T1DM.^{87,88} In addition, levels of soluble interleukin-2 receptor correlated with CAC progression independent of traditional CHD risk factors in T1DM.⁸³ Inflammatory markers also independently predicted CHD prevalence and outcomes in cohort studies of T1DM patients. White blood cell levels have been strongly associated with future CAD in T1DM.⁹⁰ Other more novel inflammatory markers have also been connected with CVD, including lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2, C-reactive protein,⁹¹ serum endogenous secretory RAGE (receptor for advanced glycation end products),⁹² plasma fibrinogen,⁹³ modified apolipoprotein B-rich immune complexes,⁹⁴ and connective tissue growth factor.⁹⁵ Some factors have been reported primarily in the setting of diabetic nephropathy, such as plasma growth-differentiation factor 15,⁹⁶ asymmetric dimethylarginine,⁹⁷ and osteoprotegerin.⁹⁸

The mechanisms by which inflammation operates in T1DM are likely multiple but may include hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia, excess adiposity or altered body fat distribution, thrombosis, and adipokines. Several recent studies have demonstrated a relationship between acute hypoglycemia and indexes of systemic inflammation,⁹⁹ including increased CD40 expression and plasma soluble CD40 ligand concentration, greater platelet-monocyte aggregation,¹⁰⁰ and increased circulation of plasminogen activator inhibitor, vascular endothelial growth factor, vascular adhesion molecules, interleukin-6, and markers of platelet aggregation.⁹⁹ These studies suggest that acute hypoglycemia in T1DM produces complex vascular effects involved in the activation of proinflammatory, prothrombotic, and proatherogenic mechanisms. Excess adiposity, in general a proinflammatory state,^{101–103} is associated with both microvascular and macrovascular complications in T1DM.^{104,105} Levels of the adipokine leptin and its associated leptin receptor, which are involved in signaling satiety in the brain, are also increased in T1DM,¹⁰⁶ and leptin may be proinflammatory.¹⁰⁷ Additionally, the increased CD40 ligand expression and platelet-monocyte aggregation in T1DM may contribute to the accelerated rate of atherogenesis in these patients.¹⁰⁸ Fibrinogen, a prothrombotic acute phase reactant, is increased in T1DM and is associated with premature CVD,¹⁰⁹ and it may be important in vessel thrombosis at later stages of CVD.

Genetics and Atherosclerosis in T1DM

Genetic polymorphisms appear to influence the progression and prognosis of CVD in T1DM ([online-only Data Supplement Table IV](#)). The most well-developed illustration of this is the haptoglobin 2-2 genotype and its relationship to CAD in patients with T2DM and T1DM, as discussed below. Like fibrinogen, haptoglobin is an acute phase protein that inhibits hemoglobin-induced oxidative tissue damage by binding to free hemoglobin.¹¹⁰ Once bound, the haptoglobin-hemoglobin complex is cleared from the circulation either by the liver or through the scavenger receptor CD163, which is present on

monocytes and macrophages.¹¹¹ In humans, there are 2 classes of alleles at the haptoglobin locus, giving rise to 3 possible genotypes: haptoglobin 1-1, haptoglobin 2-1, and haptoglobin 2-2. The haptoglobin 1 protein allele has greater antioxidant function; it is more efficient in preventing heme release from haptoglobin-hemoglobin complexes and promoting uptake by the CD163 macrophage receptor.^{112–114} The haptoglobin 2 allele product has less antioxidant capacity because of its greater molecular mass,¹¹⁵ and in some studies, it is associated with impaired reverse cholesterol transport.^{114,116} The prevalences of haptoglobin genotypes in the EDC T1DM cohort were 11.5%, 41.3%, and 47.2%, respectively.¹¹⁷ In T1DM, there is an independent 2-fold increased incidence of CAD in haptoglobin 2-2 carriers compared with those with the haptoglobin 1-1 genotype¹¹⁷; the 2-1 genotype is associated with an intermediate effect of increased CVD risk. More recently, an independent association was reported in T1DM between the haptoglobin 2-2 genotype and early progression to end-stage renal disease (ESRD).¹¹⁸ In the CACTI study group, the presence of the haptoglobin 2-2 genotype also doubled the risk of CAC in patients free from CAC at baseline, after adjustment for traditional CVD risk factors.¹¹⁹ What is particularly exciting about these observations is the potential for preventing CVD with vitamin E in those with haptoglobin 2-2, as may occur in T2DM.^{120–123} The relevance of these observations to patients with T1DM remains unexamined, and the haptoglobin 2-2 genotype has not been identified by genome-wide association studies.

There are other genetic predispositions associated with CVD risk in T1DM. A number of polymorphisms have been evaluated against clinical and subclinical CVD end points in subjects with T1DM (see literature review in [online-only Data Supplement Table IV](#)). One haplotype has been identified that is associated with hematologic parameters and has also been associated with CAD and T1DM.¹²⁴

At present, genetic testing for polymorphisms in T1DM has no clear clinical utility in CVD prediction or management.

CVD Risk Factors and Modifiers in T1DM: Pathophysiology, Screening, and Treatment

Epidemiological studies have identified factors important to the incidence and prevalence of CVD in individuals with T1DM ([online-only Data Supplement Table I](#)). These processes and biological factors could be important targets for risk reduction and include hypertension, proteinuria, obesity, HbA_{1c}, lipid levels, and smoking (Table 3). Of course, age and DM duration also play an important role. In addition, CVD risk brought on by unhealthy behaviors and associated CVD risk factors requires careful consideration. Avoidance of smoking, maintenance of a normal weight, and consumption of a balanced diet replete in fruits and vegetables, low in saturated fat and sodium, and enriched in whole grains are generally recommended. In this section, we will address a variety of risk factors and their relationship to CVD risk management.

Glycemic Control

Dysglycemia is often conceived of as a vasculopathic process. Preclinical atherosclerosis and epidemiological studies

Table 3. Summary of CVD Risk Factor Screening and Treatment in T1DM

Risk Factor	Screening Test	Timing	Target	Actions to Be Considered	Professional Organization Recommendation
Hyperglycemia	HbA _{1c} ; glucose monitoring	Every 3 mo	Adults: ≤7.0%; youth: Age 13–19 y: <7.5% Age 6–12 y: <8.0% Age <6 y: <8.5%	Increased intensity of glucose monitoring and manipulation of insulin dosing	ADA
DKD	Urine albumin to creatinine ratio; estimated GFR	Yearly beginning 5 y after diagnosis		ACE inhibitor; keep BP <130/80 mm Hg (adults) or <90th percentile (children)	ADA, NKF
Dyslipidemia	Fasting lipid profile	Adults: every 2 y if low-risk values	LDL <100 mg/dL; non-HDL-C <130 mg/dL	Optimize glycemic control; low saturated fat diet; optimize other CVD risk factors	NHLBI (ATP III and Integrated Pediatric Guidelines*), ADA, AAP, AHA
	Fasting lipid profile	Children aged 10–21 y, once every 3–5 y	LDL <100 mg/dL; non-HDL-C <130 mg/dL	Consider statins if LDL ≥100 mg/dL, recommended if LDL ≥160 mg/dL; once treated, LDL goal is <100–130 mg/dL	NHLBI (ATP III, Integrated Guidelines*), AAP, AHA
	Fasting lipid profile	Adults without CVD	LDL <100 mg/dL	Statins, goal LDL <100 mg/dL	NHLBI (ATP III), ADA
	Fasting lipid profile	Adults with CVD	LDL <70 mg/dL	Statins, goal LDL <70 mg/dL	NHLBI (ATP III), ADA
Hypertension	BP	Every visit	Adults >140/80 mm Hg, goal <130/80 mm Hg; children: BP >95th percentile or >130/80 mm Hg	Lifestyle modifications for those with BP >120/80 mm Hg: Low salt, high fruits and vegetables; regular exercise. Medications for those with BP >140/80 mm Hg, or 130/80 mm Hg in some younger individuals: ACE or ARB inhibitor, add others as necessary to achieve normal BP	NHLBI (JNC 7), ADA
Prehypertension	BP	Every visit	Adults: 120–130/80–89 mm Hg; children: BP 90th–95th percentile	Borderline BP: Low salt, high fruits and vegetables; regular exercise	ADA
Thrombosis prevention	None	Age ≥21 y	Adults with CVD	Aspirin	NHLBI (ATP III)

AAP indicates American Academy of Pediatrics; ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; ADA, American Diabetes Association; AHA, American Heart Association; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; ATP III, Adult Treatment Panel III; BP, blood pressure; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DKD, diabetic kidney disease; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; HbA_{1c}, hemoglobin A_{1c}; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; JNC, Joint National Committee; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; NHLBI, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; NKF, National Kidney Foundation; and T1DM, type 1 diabetes mellitus.

*Integrated Guidelines for Cardiovascular Health and Risk Reduction in Children and Adolescents.¹²⁵

generally support this relationship. Clinical trial data from the DCCT supplied definitive findings strongly in favor of beneficial effects of better glycemic control on CVD outcomes.

Glycemia is associated with preclinical atherosclerosis in studies that include tests of endothelial function, arterial stiffness, cIMT, autonomic neuropathy, and left ventricular (LV) function in T1DM.^{16,39,126–132} The extent of atherosclerosis by intravascular ultrasound also correlated with HbA_{1c} over 18 years of follow-up in the Oslo Study; a 1% increase in mean HbA_{1c} was associated with a 6.4% increase in coronary vessel stenosis.⁷⁸ Intensive DM therapy has been shown to prevent the increase in resting heart rate characteristic of patients with T1DM,¹³³ and autonomic function was significantly better in patients with intensive DM management.¹³⁴ LV mass and function improve with better glycemic control.^{126,135,136}

Epidemiological evidence generally supports the relationship between hyperglycemia and clinical CHD events in T1DM. In a small study of 177 patients with T1DM, the incidence of CHD events over 7 years of follow-up appeared to be related to baseline HbA_{1c}.¹³⁷ Three major prospective observational studies reported mixed results on this question.

The EURODIAB Study did not show an association between HbA_{1c} and CHD after adjustment for other CVD risk factors; albuminuria was an important predictor.¹³⁸ Ten-year follow-up data from the Pittsburgh EDC study failed to demonstrate an association between glycemia and CHD,^{139,140} although a later analysis did demonstrate a relationship to CAD mortality.¹³ In WESDR, HbA_{1c} was not associated with myocardial infarction ($P=0.08$) but was associated with CVD mortality ($P<0.001$),²¹ a finding that was sustained after 20 years of follow-up.¹¹ A large Swedish database review recently reported a reasonably strong association between HbA_{1c} and CAD in T1DM (HR, 1.3 per 1% HbA_{1c} increase).¹⁴¹

The DCCT was a major prospective, randomized clinical trial that evaluated the effect of glycemic control on long-term DM complications.⁶⁸ In this pivotal T1DM study, outcomes were compared between patients who were treated with intensive therapy (≥3 insulin injections daily or continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion) and frequent blood glucose monitoring versus conventional T1DM therapy (1 or 2 insulin injections per day). After mean follow-up of 6.5 years of 1441 patients (aged 13–39 years) in the United States and

Canada, the HbA_{1c} in the intensive therapy group was 7.2% compared with 9.0% in those treated with conventional therapy. Intensive DM therapy was associated with a significant reduction in the incidence and progression of microvascular complications. Not surprisingly, given the age of the patients and the relatively short duration of DM, few macrovascular events were seen.⁶⁸ The patients in the DCCT were then followed up observationally, as reported in EDIC, which provided an opportunity to evaluate the impact of the initial intensive therapy on more advanced outcomes. During EDIC, the majority of DCCT study participants were treated with intensive therapy in their own clinical setting and followed up longitudinally for complications. Outcomes were analyzed on an intention-to-treat approach based on the participants' original DCCT assignment.¹⁴² The mean HbA_{1c} of the EDIC cohort was then ≈8%.^{47,143} Remarkably, after a follow-up of 17 years, the intensive therapy provided during the DCCT still translated into reduced CVD event rates, despite similar therapy and glycemic control after the DCCT ended. CVD events were lower in the original intervention group by 42% (95% CI, 9%–63%; *P*=0.02), and the combined end point of nonfatal myocardial infarction, stroke, or CVD death was 57% (95% CI, 12%–79%; *P*=0.02) less frequent than in the patients randomized to conventional treatment.^{47,143} This effect appeared to be explained mostly by the difference in HbA_{1c} during the DCCT, although after adjustment for microalbuminuria alone, the significance of the treatment group effect was reduced substantially from *P*<0.001 to *P*=0.04. The glycemic control effect was thus consistent with previous DCCT/EDIC reports on surrogate outcomes such as cIMT¹⁴⁴ and CAC.¹⁴⁵ When all intensive therapy trials of T1DM were combined in a meta-analysis (≈1800 patients), the combined RR for any macrovascular event in patients in the intensive control group was much lower than those treated with conventional therapy, at 0.38 (95% CI, 0.26–0.56)¹⁴⁶; however, the majority of these patients were from the DCCT, which likely influenced these results. Nevertheless, these findings support the recommendation that early optimal glycemic control in T1DM will have long-term benefits for CVD reduction.

There is evidence that improved glycemic control in adolescents is associated with decreased apolipoprotein B levels and less oxidative stress¹⁴⁷ and that poor glycemic control is associated with CVD risk factors. The SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth study showed that higher HbA_{1c} was independently associated with higher levels of total cholesterol, triglycerides, and LDL-C.¹⁴⁸

Obesity and Insulin Resistance

Obesity is a known independent risk factor for CVD in nondiabetic populations, but the impact of obesity in T1DM has not been fully established. Traditionally, T1DM was a condition of lean individuals, yet the prevalence of overweight and obesity in T1DM has increased significantly, as reported from the Pittsburgh EDC study^{149,150} and the DCCT/EDIC. The prevalence of obesity (BMI ≥30 kg/m²) increased from 1% of subjects at the DCCT baseline (secondary to eligibility criteria) to 31% at EDIC year 12.¹⁵¹ This is related to epidemiological shifts in the population overall, tighter glucose control leading to less glucosuria, more frequent/greater caloric intake to fend

off real and perceived hypoglycemia, and the specific effects of intensive DM therapy, which has been shown to increase the prevalence of obesity.¹⁵² Indeed, several clinical trials, including the DCCT, demonstrate that intensive insulin therapy can lead to excessive weight gain in a subset of patients with T1DM.¹⁵² Predicting which individuals with T1DM will go on to become obese would be useful to allow providers to direct intensive lifestyle management efforts appropriately.

The sum effect of increased adiposity on CVD risk in T1DM is not clear. On the one hand, increases in the prevalence of overweight and obesity may not always imply worse CVD outcomes. In the Pittsburgh EDC study, the optimal BMI for patients with T1DM, that is, the BMI associated with the lowest mortality, was between 25 and 30 kg/m², which is higher than that for the general population.¹⁵⁰ The effect of obesity on mortality was largely accounted for by waist circumference, a measure of central obesity.¹⁵⁰ The distribution of weight gain was further examined in the EDC study by use of dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry to explore whether greater gluteal-femoral adiposity was associated with reduced CVD risk factors, as has been reported in the general population. In a cross-sectional analysis, greater leg adiposity (as a percentage of total fat mass) was associated with less CHD in women with T1DM but not in men. However, there was a strong inverse correlation between percentage leg adiposity and percentage trunk mass (0.96), which makes it difficult to determine whether this is a specific protective feature of leg fat or merely reflects the relative lack of central fat.¹⁵³

As is true in the general population, central obesity in T1DM can be accompanied by increased CVD risk factors, including greater visceral adiposity, higher BP, adverse lipoprotein changes, and insulin resistance.^{151,152} Several studies have described metabolic syndrome in T1DM. Although T1DM is characteristically a disease of absolute insulin deficiency,¹⁵⁴ insulin resistance appears to contribute to CHD risk in patients with T1DM. For example, having a family history of T2DM, which suggests a genetic predisposition for insulin resistance, has been associated with an increased CVD risk in patients with T1DM.¹⁵⁵ Glucose disposal rate correlated with the extent of CAC in a Brazilian study of patients with T1DM.¹⁵⁶ These observations have led to attempts to measure insulin resistance in T1DM. Measurement of insulin resistance is challenging in patients receiving insulin. Research studies have used regression equations derived from clamp studies; the derived estimated glucose disposal rate¹⁵⁷ predicts both CVD and diabetic nephropathy.^{158,159} Subsequent observations from the EURODIAB Study also suggested that insulin resistance–related risk factors predicted CHD events in patients with T1DM,¹³⁸ and insulin resistance explains some portion of lipid abnormalities in young patients with T1DM.¹⁶⁰ Insulin resistance also appears to be an independent predictor of diabetic microangiopathy¹⁵⁸ and may be associated with impaired exercise capacity, LV hypertrophy, and diastolic dysfunction.¹⁶¹ More recently, a subgroup of the CACTI study underwent euglycemic clamps, and results showed that insulin resistance in T1DM patients compared with nondiabetic subjects was not related to their current level of glycemic control and yet predicted the extent of CAC.¹⁵⁷

Information on the modification of obesity or insulin resistance in patients with T1DM is limited. No systematic evaluation has been conducted to assess whether improving insulin sensitization lowers rates of CVD. Ironically, the better glycemic control associated with insulin therapy may lead to weight gain, with a superimposed insulin resistance, which may be approached by giving higher doses of insulin. However, some evidence from the EDC study suggests that weight gain in the presence of improved glycemic control is associated with an improved CVD risk profile.¹⁶² Some data are available on the use of metformin in T1DM as an insulin-sparing agent; however, greater understanding of the role of insulin sensitizers should be pursued as a possibly therapeutic advancement.¹⁶³ How to measure insulin resistance, whether improving insulin sensitivity alters CVD outcomes, and the role and methods of lifestyle modification are areas that deserve further study.

It is prudent to recommend lifestyle changes to minimize excessive weight gain in T1DM, including caloric restriction when indicated and increased physical activity. These recommendations must be accompanied by appropriate patient education about frequent blood glucose monitoring accompanied by appropriate modifications in bolus or basal insulin administration with food intake and exercise to minimize the risk of hypoglycemia.

Dyslipidemia

In general, the lipid levels of adults with well-controlled T1DM are similar to those of individuals without DM, at least when participants in the DCCT were compared with the Lipid Research Clinic data.¹⁶⁴ Worse glycemic control, higher weight,¹⁶⁴ and more insulin resistance as measured by euglycemic clamp¹⁶⁵ are associated with a more atherogenic cholesterol distribution in men and women with T1DM.¹⁶⁶ Better glycemic control can improve or normalize lipid values.¹⁶⁷ The DCCT found sex-based variations in lipid values, with young women having higher LDL-C, higher levels of very low-density lipoproteins, and lower HDL-C and men having lower levels of very low-density lipoproteins and higher HDL-C than nondiabetic, similarly aged individuals. Studies in pediatric and young adult populations suggest higher lipid values than in youth without T1DM, with glycemic control being a significant contributor.¹⁴⁸

Most studies show that as is true for the general population, dyslipidemia is a risk factor for CVD in T1DM. Qualitative differences in lipid and lipoprotein fractions are being investigated to determine whether abnormal lipid function may contribute to this. The HDL-C fraction has been of particular interest because the metabolism of HDL-C in T1DM may be altered because of abnormal lipoprotein lipase and hepatic lipase activities related to exogenously administered insulin, and 1 study has shown that a particular subclass of HDL determined by nuclear magnetic resonance is associated with increased CHD risk in T1DM.^{168,169} Additionally, as noted earlier, the less efficient handling of heme by the haptoglobin 2-2 genotype in patients with T1DM leaves these complexes less capable of being removed by macrophages, which allows them to associate with HDL, which renders it less functional.¹¹⁶ Recent data from the EDC study suggest that the usual inverse association between HDL-C and CAD risk, although retained

in men, is altered in women with T1DM, who show little increased protection with concentrations above the range of 50 to 60 mg/dL.¹⁷⁰

Conventionally, pharmacotherapy is used more aggressively for patients with T1DM and lipid disorders than for nondiabetic patients; however, recommendations for treatment are mostly extrapolated from interventional trials in adults with T2DM, in which rates of CVD events are equivalent to those in secondary prevention populations. Whether this is appropriate for T1DM is not clear, although epidemiological evidence from the EDC study does suggest that an LDL-C >100 mg/dL is associated with increased CVD risk,¹⁷¹ and a meta-analysis of LDL lowering that included T1DM patients suggested that LDL lowering reduces CVD events (although event rates were too small to be definitive).¹⁷² Awareness of CVD risk and screening for hypercholesterolemia in T1DM have increased over time, yet recent data indicate that control is suboptimal, particularly in younger patients who have not yet developed long-term complications and might therefore benefit from prevention efforts.¹⁷³

Adults with T1DM who have abnormal lipids and additional risk factors for CVD (eg, hypertension, obesity, or smoking) who have not developed CVD should be treated with statins. Adults with CVD and T1DM should also be treated with statins, regardless of whether they have additional risk factors.

Kidney Disease

Diabetic kidney disease (DKD) is a complication of T1DM that is strongly linked to CVD. DKD can present as microalbuminuria or macroalbuminuria, impaired GFR, or both. These represent separate but complementary manifestations of DKD and are often, but not necessarily, sequential in their presentation. Microalbuminuria, defined as an albumin excretion rate of 30 to 299 mg/24 h, is usually the earliest manifestation of DKD.^{174,175} Macroalbuminuria, defined as an albumin excretion rate ≥ 300 mg/24 h, is strongly associated with progressive loss of GFR and is traditionally used to define diabetic nephropathy.^{143,176} Impaired GFR, usually defined in T1DM as an estimated GFR (eGFR) < 60 mL \cdot min $^{-1}$ \cdot 1.73 m $^{-2}$, can occur at any time in DM but is less frequent than in the past.^{177,178} In both the EDC and the FinnDiane studies, the risk of all-cause mortality increased with the severity of DKD, from microalbuminuria to macroalbuminuria to ESRD. The presence of microalbuminuria or worse also fully accounted for all the excess mortality in these cohorts,^{159,179} in which, as indicated previously, CAD was the leading cause of death after 20 years' DM duration.^{13,68}

Microalbuminuria is likely an indicator of diffuse vascular injury. The fact that it can spontaneously remit suggests that it does not necessarily represent parenchymal kidney disease.¹⁷⁸ Microalbuminuria is highly correlated with CVD.^{49,180-182} In the Steno Diabetes Center (Gentofte, Denmark) cohort, T1DM patients with isolated microalbuminuria had a 4.2-fold increased risk of CVD.^{49,180} In the EDC study, microalbuminuria was associated with mortality risk, with an SMR of 6.4. In the FinnDiane study, mortality risk was also increased with microalbuminuria (SMR, 2.8). Some of the increased CVD and mortality risk associated with microalbuminuria may be mediated through the presence of other cardiovascular risk

factors, such as hypertension, dyslipidemia, and insulin resistance. Improved glycemic control has reduced the incidence of microalbuminuria.¹⁷⁵ A recent review summarized these data. In patients with T1DM and microalbuminuria, there was an RR of all-cause mortality of 1.8 (95% CI, 1.5–2.1) that was unaffected by adjustment for confounders.¹⁸³ Similar RRs were found for mortality from CVD (1.9; 95% CI, 1.3–2.9), CHD (2.1; 95% CI, 1.2–3.5), and aggregate CVD mortality (2.0; 95% CI, 1.5–2.6). Adjusting for confounders left the data sets too small for adequate analysis. Similar results were observed for T2DM, with an RR of 1.9 (95% CI, 1.7–2.1) for all-cause mortality, 2.0 (95% CI, 1.7–2.3) for CVD mortality, and 2.3 (95% CI, 1.7–3.1) for CHD mortality; adjustment for confounders reduced these values only slightly.

Macroalbuminuria represents more substantial kidney damage and is also associated with CVD. Mechanisms may be more closely related to functional consequences of kidney disease, such as higher LDL-C and lower HDL-C. Prospective data from Finland indicate the RR for CVD is ≈ 10 times greater in patients with macroalbuminuria than in those without macroalbuminuria.¹⁸⁴ Historically, in the Steno cohort, patients with T1DM and macroalbuminuria had a 37-fold increased risk of CVD mortality compared with the general population^{49,180}; however, a more recent report from EURODIAB suggests a much lower RR (8.7; 95% CI, 4.03–19.0).¹⁸⁵ For T2DM, the HR of macroalbuminuria for CVD death was somewhat smaller and varied from 1.89 (95% CI, 0.87–4.27) for an eGFR of >50 mL/min to 5.26 (95% CI, 2.73–10.2) for an eGFR of 30 to 59 mL/min; this effect was attributable in part to other CVD risk factors including HDL and hypertension.¹⁸⁶ Taken together, these data suggest that the processes through which T1DM increases CVD risk are largely reflected by albuminuria (microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria). It is encouraging that the DCCT/EDIC and Pittsburgh EDC studies suggest that the cumulative incidence of macroalbuminuria at 30 years' DM duration has decreased from a range of 17% to 25% to as little as $\approx 10\%$ with the application of intensive DM therapy.¹⁸⁷ However, these 2 studies also reveal that macroalbuminuria does not precede CAD events nearly as often as previously, consistent with the greater decline noted in ESRD than in CAD over time.¹⁸⁷ Thus, the reduction in macroalbuminuria has not fully ameliorated the increased CVD risk, and a greater understanding of how to correct the processes that lead to microalbuminuria is clearly needed.

In general, impaired GFR is a risk factor for CVD, independent of albuminuria.^{188,189} In the CACTI study, lower GFR in T1DM was associated with increased risk of CAC progression.¹⁹⁰ ESRD, the extreme form of impaired GFR, is associated with the greatest risk of CVD of all varieties of DKD. In the EDC study, ESRD was associated with an SMR for total mortality of 29.8, whereas in the FinnDiane study, it was 18.3. It is now clear that GFR loss and the development of eGFR <60 mL \cdot min⁻¹ \cdot 1.73 m⁻² can occur without previous manifestation of microalbuminuria or macroalbuminuria.^{177,178} In T1DM, the precise incidence, pathological basis, and prognosis of this phenotype remain incompletely described. In T2DM, low eGFR without albuminuria is becoming increasingly common over time and is associated with substantially increased risks of CVD and death.^{188,191,192}

A number of potential explanations have been proposed for the increased CVD risk associated with DKD in patients with T1DM. First, many risk factors for developing DKD and CVD overlap, including hyperglycemia, hypertension, dyslipidemia, obesity, and insulin resistance.¹⁹³ Therefore, DKD may simply mark the severity and duration of these CVD risk factors. Second, DKD contributes to worsening of traditional CVD risk factors, for example, volume retention and renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system activation (which lead to increased BP), dyslipidemia (low HDL-C, high triglycerides, and a shift in LDL-C distribution to small, dense particles), and insulin resistance. DKD may also promote CVD through novel disease pathways, for example, an accumulation of asymmetric dimethylarginine, disruption of mineral metabolism, and anemia caused by erythropoietin deficiency, which contributes to LV hypertrophy.¹⁹⁴ In addition, genome-wide association studies have identified several single-nucleotide polymorphisms associated with ESRD in some but not all studies.^{195–198}

Prevention of DKD remains challenging. Although microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria are attractive therapeutic targets for CVD prevention, there are no specific interventions directed at the kidney that prevent DKD. Inhibition of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system is an attractive option but has not been demonstrated to prevent DKD before it is clinically apparent. However, some interventions targeting overall risk factors are likely to prevent DKD, including maintenance of euglycemia. In the DCCT, intensive DM therapy reduced the incidence of microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria by 39% and 54%, respectively, and reduced impaired GFR by 50%.^{68,199} Effects of intensive DM therapy on impaired GFR were fully explained by treatment group differences in HbA_{1c} or albuminuria, which suggests that hyperglycemia drives both albuminuria and GFR loss in T1DM.¹⁹⁹ The effects of intensive therapy on microalbuminuria, macroalbuminuria, and impaired GFR persisted beyond the duration of the DCCT (“metabolic memory”).^{143,199}

In contrast to prevention efforts, treatment of DKD with agents that inhibit the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system is effective. The Collaborative Study Group's captopril trial demonstrated that angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors reduce the progression of DKD and death in T1DM.²⁰⁰ Thus, once DKD develops, treatment is recommended to prevent progression and to reduce or minimize other CVD risk factors, which has a positive effect on CVD risk.

All patients with T1DM and hypertension or albuminuria should be treated with an ACE inhibitor. If an ACE inhibitor is not tolerated, an angiotensin II receptor blocker (ARB) is likely to have similar efficacy, although this has not been studied specifically in patients with T1DM. Optimal dosing for ACE inhibitors or ARBs in the setting of DKD is not well defined; titration may be guided by BP, albuminuria, serum potassium, and creatinine. Combination therapy of ACE and ARB blockade cannot be specifically recommended at this time.

Hypertension

Hypertension is more common in patients with T1DM and is a powerful risk factor for CVD, regardless of whether an individual has DKD. In the CACTI study, hypertension was much more common in patients with T1DM than in age- and

sex-matched control subjects (43% versus 15%, $P < 0.001$); in fact, only 42% of all T1DM patients met the Joint National Commission 7 goal (BP $< 130/80$ mm Hg).²⁰¹ Hypertension also affects youth with T1DM. The SEARCH trial of youth aged 3 to 17 years with T1DM ($n = 3691$) found the prevalence of elevated BP was 5.9%, and minority ethnic groups, obese adolescents, and youth with poor glycemic control were affected disproportionately.²⁰² Abnormalities in BP can stem from DKD or obesity. Hyperglycemia may also contribute to hypertension over the long term. In the DCCT/EDIC cohort, higher HbA_{1c} was strongly associated with increased risk of hypertension, and intensive DM therapy reduced the long-term risk of hypertension by 24%.²⁰³ Another small study of T1DM showed 29% of patients had hypertension; the hypertension correlated with disease duration and severity, particularly nephropathy,²⁰⁴ similar to findings from the EURODIAB Study.²⁰⁵ A recent analysis of the predictors of major T1DM outcomes in the Pittsburgh EDC study showed that although glycemic control diminished in importance over time, hypertension continued to be a strong CVD predictor.²⁰⁶ This may reflect the better glycemic control experienced by the later cohort, and perhaps the lack of amelioration of the profound adverse effects of hypertension on DM outcomes. This suggests that as glycemic control improves, standard risk factors gain importance.

There are few published trials about the ideal pharmacotherapeutic agent(s) for hypertension in T1DM. Observational data from the CACTI study showed 86% of patients were treated with ACE inhibitors and 14% were treated with ARBs.²⁰¹ One small clinical trial (54 patients) of the effect of antihypertensive therapy on GFR compared nifedipine with enalapril in T1DM and demonstrated no difference in GFR or BP-lowering effect between the 2 drugs.²⁰⁷

The American Diabetes Association (ADA) recommends a target BP of $< 140/80$ mm Hg for individuals with DM of both types. Given the increased risks of CVD and progressive kidney disease in T1DM, a lower BP goal of $< 130/80$ mm Hg may be appropriate in younger individuals. Lifestyle modification is recommended for all T1DM patients with BP $> 120/80$ mm Hg, with pharmacotherapy indicated at BPs above goal.²⁰⁸ Patients with T1DM and hypertension or albuminuria are usually treated with an ACE inhibitor.

In all children, experts recommend achieving or maintaining normal weight; an increase in consumption of fresh vegetables, fresh fruits, fiber, and nonfat dairy; and a reduction of sodium intake^{209–211} in borderline BP, defined as systolic or diastolic BP between the 90th and 95th percentile for age, sex, and height percentile, whereas a BP > 95 th percentile should lead to consideration of the addition of pharmacotherapy,²¹⁰ generally with an ACE inhibitor.²¹²

Tobacco and Smoking Cessation

Smoking is a major risk factor for CVD, particularly PAD²¹³; however, there is little information on the prevalence or effects of smoking in T1DM. The prevalence of smoking among patients with any type of DM was lower than in the general population in 1 study.²¹⁴ The added CVD risk of smoking may be particularly important in patients with DM, who are already vulnerable. In patients with T1DM, cigarette smoking

increased the risk of DM nephropathy, retinopathy, and neuropathy,^{214,215} possibly because of adverse effects on inflammation and endothelial function. Smoking increases CVD risk factors in T1DM via deterioration in glucose metabolism, lipids, and endothelial function.²¹⁶ Unfortunately, smoking cessation can result in weight gain, which may deter smokers with DM from quitting.²¹⁷ There is no evidence regarding the efficacy and safety of smoking cessation pharmacotherapy in patients with T1DM. This is an important area for future research.

Smoking cessation should be strongly recommended to all patients with T1DM as part of an overall strategy to lower CVD, in particular PAD.

CVD Risk Factors in Children With T1DM

CVD risk factors are more common in children with T1DM than in the general pediatric population.²¹⁸ Population-based studies estimate that 14% to 45% of children with T1DM have ≥ 2 CVD risk factors.^{219–221} As with nondiabetic children, the prevalence of CVD risk factors increases with age.²²¹ Interestingly, girls appear to have a higher risk factor burden than boys. A study of Norwegian children with T1DM showed girls were more likely to have elevated LDL-C and decreased HDL-C than boys.²²⁰ Similarly, a very large German study of $> 33\,000$ children and adolescents with T1DM found girls had a higher prevalence of high HbA_{1c} ($\geq 7.5\%$), BMI > 97 th percentile, TC > 200 mg/dL, LDL-C > 130 mg/dL, and BP ≥ 90 th percentile, whereas boys were more likely to have low HDL-C (< 35 mg/dL).²²² In a US cross-sectional study of 535 children with T1DM, Urbina et al⁶³ demonstrated higher LDL-C, BP, glucose, and BMI than in healthy control subjects. In a longitudinal study of 360 subjects with T1DM, repeated lipid measurements identified sustained lipid abnormalities, for example, TC ≥ 200 mg/dL (16.9%), HDL-C < 35 mg/dL (3.3%), and non-HDL-C ≥ 130 mg/dL (27.8%), ≥ 160 mg/dL (10.6%), and ≥ 190 mg/dL (3.3%).²²³ HbA_{1c} was significantly related to TC and non-HDL-C, and BMI z score was inversely related to HDL-C. It is not clear whether these abnormalities can be explained by excess adiposity.

Children with T1DM are not immune to the pediatric obesity epidemic and its associated metabolic risk factors.²²⁴ Excess adiposity affected 38.5% of 283 children with T1DM, a rate higher than that of the US pediatric population, and youth with T1DM have been reported to have features of the metabolic syndrome, including abdominal obesity, dyslipidemia, and hypertension.^{150,225,226} Compared with the children with T1DM who were of normal weight, overweight or obese children with T1DM had a higher prevalence of metabolic syndrome, hypertension, and fatty liver.²²⁶ Some studies have attempted to tease out whether weight or glycemic control is a more important determinant of CVD risk factors. A small Dutch study compared overweight children with T1DM to overweight children without T1DM; those without DM had higher LDL-C and lower HDL-C.²²⁷

Although pediatric lipid guidelines include some guidance relevant to children with T1DM,^{125,228,229} there are few studies on modifying lipid levels in children with T1DM. A 6-month trial of dietary counseling in Italian children and adolescents produced a significant improvement in TC/HDL-C, LDL-C, and non-HDL-C.²¹⁸ Another lifestyle intervention trial of 196

adolescents with T1DM demonstrated improvement in lipid levels along with decreases in waist circumference, BMI, and insulin requirement with 6 months of exercise.²³⁰ In that trial, no correlation was seen between duration of DM and lipid levels; however, elevated triglycerides, TC, and LDL-C were seen in 50%, 45%, and 15% of patients, respectively. Few studies have specifically examined the effect of intensive pharmacological therapy on CVD risk factor reduction in children with T1DM, although 1 study suggested a trend toward improved endothelial function after 12 weeks of atorvastatin 20 mg/d.²³¹

The American Academy of Pediatrics, the American Heart Association, and the ADA recognize patients with DM, and particularly T1DM, as being in a higher-risk group who should receive more aggressive risk factor screening and treatment than nondiabetic children.^{125,228,229,232} The National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Expert Panel on Integrated Guidelines for Cardiovascular Health and Risk Reduction in Children and Adolescents has specific lifestyle and pharmacotherapy recommendations for children with lipid abnormalities and specifies management for children with T1DM.¹²⁵ The recommendations in these pediatric guidelines are based on adult studies or on studies of preclinical atherosclerosis, because there are no trials in children with or without T1DM that show a relationship between treatment cut points in childhood and future CVD events. The available data suggest many children and adolescents with T1DM do not receive the recommended treatment for their dyslipidemia and hypertension.^{220,222} The ongoing multicenter Adolescent Type 1 Diabetes Cardio-Renal Intervention Trial (AddIT) is a large intervention study examining the effect of ACE inhibitors and statins in adolescents with T1DM and high albumin excretion.²³³ This may provide more information about the use of statins and ACE inhibitors in high-risk pediatric patients with T1DM.

The National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Expert Panel on Integrated Guidelines for Cardiovascular Health and Risk Reduction in Children and Adolescents recommends lifestyle modification,¹²⁵ and if lifestyle therapy is insufficient, pharmacotherapy is recommended for children aged ≥ 10 years with an LDL-C level ≥ 130 mg/dL.^{228,229}

Assessment of CVD Burden

There are no CVD risk-prediction algorithms for patients with T1DM in widespread use. In the absence of data to the contrary, one approach to identifying CVD in patients with T1DM is to apply the same CHD risk-assessment and diagnostic strategies used in the general population. These recommendations are summarized in the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association "Guideline for Assessment of Cardiovascular Risk in Asymptomatic Adults."²³⁴ Use of the Framingham Heart Study and UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) algorithms in the EDC study population did not provide good predictive results, which suggests that neither general or T2DM risk algorithms are sufficient for risk prediction in T1DM.²³⁵ On the basis of these findings, a model has been developed with the use of EDC cohort data²³⁶ that incorporates measures outside the Framingham construct (white blood cell count, albuminuria, DM duration). Although this

algorithm was validated in the EURODIAB Study cohort,²³⁷ it has not been widely adopted, and diagnostic and therapeutic decisions are often based on global CVD risk-estimation methods (ie, Framingham risk score or T2DM-specific UKPDS risk engine [<http://www.dtu.ox.ac.uk/riskengine/index.php>]). Other options for CVD risk prediction in patients with T1DM include the ADA risk-assessment tool (http://main.diabetes.org/dorg/mha/main_en_US.html?loc=dorg-mha) and the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) risk predictor (<http://www.aricnews.net/riskcalc/html/RC1.html>), but again, accuracy for T1DM is not clear. Both the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association guideline and the ADA Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes discourage routine CHD screening beyond resting ECGs in patients with DM who do not have CVD symptoms or an abnormal ECG, favoring instead global risk factor assessment and treatment.^{234,238} However, neither of these guidelines differentiates between T1DM and T2DM, even though risk predictors may differ substantially between the 2 groups, and clinical judgment is required. In particular, individuals with DKD should be evaluated carefully for CVD.

Conventional CVD Testing

Patients with T1DM should not, a priori, have routine stress testing. As is true for the general population, the recommendation of ADA/American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association guidelines for any patient (including those with T1DM) who has symptoms suggestive of CHD, an abnormal resting ECG, or clustering of CVD risk factors that yields an intermediate or high global risk estimate (by Framingham or Reynolds risk score) is for that patient to have additional testing for CHD.^{234,238} For patients able to walk on a treadmill without significant baseline ST-segment abnormality, exercise treadmill testing remains the first-line diagnostic test based on its high cost-effectiveness and widespread availability. However, exercise treadmill testing may not be possible because of peripheral neuropathy, foot pathology, lower-extremity amputation, or ECG abnormalities of LV hypertrophy in patients with T1DM. Pharmacological stress imaging studies such as vasodilator myocardial perfusion imaging or pharmacological stress echocardiography may be required. The cost of these tests is 3- to 5-fold higher than a standard exercise treadmill test, and the diagnostic accuracy of this noninvasive testing modality may differ in T1DM compared with the general population.

Advanced and Novel CVD Testing

Advanced testing may be useful in patients with T1DM. CAC, assessed by computed tomographic imaging and used as a research tool in patients with T1DM,^{54,145} is seen at higher rates in patients with T1DM than in those without DM,⁵³ and progression as defined by increases in CAC score is reduced by intensive glycemic control.¹⁴⁵ In the Pittsburgh EDC study, 302 adults with T1DM underwent CAC screening at a mean age of 38 years. The prevalence of CAC was 11% in patients < 30 years of age and as high as 88% among those 50 to 55 years old.⁵⁴ CAC was independently associated with prevalent CHD across the entire cohort, with a stronger graded

association in men than in women. In CACTI, CAC was present in 39% of males with T1DM and 12% of female participants. Interestingly, both men and women who had CAC were older and were more commonly affected with excess weight, including higher BMI, more intra-abdominal and subcutaneous fat, a larger waist circumference, and a higher waist-to-hip ratio.²³⁹ Although CAC assessment has been proven to predict subsequent CVD risk in the general population²⁴⁰ and in cohorts of patients with T2DM,²⁴¹ no data are yet available that analyze the utility of CAC assessment for risk prediction in T1DM.

It is reasonable to apply the current guidelines for the use of CAC assessment in T1DM, as recommended for the general population.^{234,240}

Other CVD testing modalities are less useful in assessing CVD in the individual patient. As noted above, T1DM prevalence and duration are associated with increased cIMT^{37,39,144}; however, the association between increased cIMT and subsequent CHD risk in this patient population is unknown, and its routine clinical use has not been recommended. Other advanced modalities for CVD screening and risk assessment have been correlated with cardiovascular risk markers and disease, such as the assessment of endothelial dysfunction by flow-mediated dilation/brachial artery reactivity²⁴² and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging methods,¹⁸² but these have failed to gain favor for broad clinical use and remain largely research-based assessments.

Opportunities for Advances

We have reviewed available data on CVD in T1DM, noting areas where understanding is lacking. We acknowledge that many of these data may be historical and that better glycemic control is changing the landscape of atherosclerosis in T1DM. More aggressive management of CVD risk factors and of the disease itself is likely to have a positive effect on CVD event rates. Although the increased rate of CVD in T1DM is well documented, understanding the cellular and molecular pathophysiology is an area of active research that promises to inform the clinical care of both patients with T1DM and those with T2DM. Care should be taken to distinguish contributors to macrovascular disease from those that promote microvascular disease. More insight is needed into the development of the atherosclerotic lesion itself and its natural history. Knowledge of the clinical role of inflammatory markers in T1DM and CVD prediction and management is in its infancy, but early data suggest a relationship with preclinical atherosclerosis. Novel processes, including inflammation and genetically based pathways, are beginning to be evaluated, along with tests for preclinical disease, with the hope of accelerating this understanding. However, the influence of these processes and other novel biomarkers on the accuracy of risk prediction over and above traditional risk-estimating models is unclear, especially in the population of patients with T1DM. Much work remains to be done to improve our understanding of T1DM and to help ameliorate the CVD effects of this important disease.

The following specific questions and comments about CVD in T1DM deserve attention.

Pathophysiology

- What is the basis for the increased CVD risk in T1DM?
- Is autonomic neuropathy an important explanatory process?
- Is the natural history of the atherosclerotic lesion different in T1DM than in those without DM and in T1DM versus T2DM?
- What are the similarities and differences in atherosclerotic plaque in patients with T1DM compared with those without DM and in relation to insulin therapy?
- What are the relative contributions of DKD, obesity, insulin resistance, inflammation, hypertension, and dyslipidemia to CVD in T1DM?
- Does the hyperglycemia of T1DM promote calcification?
- What genetic factors are associated with CVD in T1DM? Large studies with well-powered validation cohorts are needed.
- What differs in the natural history of acute myocardial infarction in T1DM compared with T2DM and nondiabetic populations?
- Is myocarditis common immediately after an acute myocardial infarction in T1DM, and is there a DM-specific pathophysiology?
- How common is cardiomyopathy caused by coronary microangiopathy in T1DM, and what is its pathophysiology?
- What are the similarities and differences between heart failure in patients with and without T1DM?
- How does PAD differ between DM types and in people with DM compared with those without DM? What is the role of neuropathy?

Epidemiology and Risk Prediction

- Can CVD risk-estimation methods specific to T1DM be further developed?
- What is the role, if any, of cIMT and CAC in CVD risk prediction?
- Are there racial and ethnic differences in CVD risk factors and CVD events, and do these have implications for treatment?
- Is there a better way to assess insulin resistance in T1DM?
- Can novel biomarkers identify patients at the highest risk for clinical CVD outcomes?

Treatment

- What is the role of CVD risk factors in children with T1DM, and what are the indications for intervention?
- What are the efficacy and safety of lipid-lowering and antihypertensive therapy in adults and children?
- When should statins be initiated in T1DM?
- Can pharmacological approaches be safely used to promote smoking cessation in T1DM?
- Can ARBs safely and effectively prevent nephropathy-related CVD in T1DM?
- What are the best lifestyle modification interventions in T1DM that optimally adjust insulin administration to minimize the risk of hypoglycemia and reduce the risk for CVD?

Disclosures

Writing Group Disclosures

Writing Group Member	Employment	Research Grant	Other Research Support	Speakers' Bureau/Honoraria	Expert Witness	Ownership Interest	Consultant/Advisory Board	Other
Sarah D. de Ferranti	Boston Children's Heart Foundation	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Robert H. Eckel	University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Ian H. de Boer	University of Washington	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Vivian Fonseca	Tulane University Health Sciences Center	American Diabetes Association†; Amylin†; AstraZeneca†; Daiichi Sankyo†; Eli Lilly†; MannKind†; NIH†; Novartis†; Novo Nordisk†; Sanofi-Aventis†; Takeda†; DSMB member for NIH†, Takeda†, Xoma†	None	None	Consultant for defense in legal proceedings involving Johnson & Johnson†; consultant for defense in patent lawsuit involving Novo Nordisk†	None	AstraZeneca*; Daiichi Sankyo*; Daiichi Sumitomo*; Eli Lilly*; Merck†; Novartis*; Novo Nordisk†; Pan American Laboratories*; Sanofi-Aventis†; Santarus*	None
Caroline S. Fox	NIH	None	None	None	None	None	None	Associate Editor for <i>Circulation</i> *
Sherita Hill Golden	Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine	None	None	None	None	None	Merck & Co.*	None
Carl J. Lavie	Ochsner Health System	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Sheela N. Magge	University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Nikolaus Marx	University Hospital Aachen	Boehringer Ingelheim†; GlaxoSmithKline†; Medical Specialties Distributors†; Novo Nordisk†	None	Boehringer Ingelheim†; GlaxoSmithKline†; Medical Specialties Distributors†; Novo Nordisk†	None	None	GlaxoSmithKline*; Medical Specialties Distributors*; Novo Nordisk*	None
Darren K. McGuire	University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas	Brigham and Women's Hospital*; Cleveland Clinic Foundation*; Donald W. Reynolds Foundation†; Eli Lilly*; Duke Clinical Research Institute*; Oxford University*; Saint Luke's Health System*	None	None	None	None	AstraZeneca†; Daiichi Sankyo*; F. Hoffmann LaRoche*; Novo Nordisk†	None
Trevor J. Orchard	University of Pittsburgh	NIH/NIDDK†; DSMB member for CDC†, NHLBI†, NIDDK†	None	None	None	None	AstraZeneca*	None
Bernard Zinman	Mount Sinai Hospital/University of Toronto	None	None	None	None	None	None	None

This table represents the relationships of writing group members that may be perceived as actual or reasonably perceived conflicts of interest as reported on the Disclosure Questionnaire, which all members of the writing group are required to complete and submit. A relationship is considered to be "significant" if (a) the person receives \$10 000 or more during any 12-month period, or 5% or more of the person's gross income; or (b) the person owns 5% or more of the voting stock or share of the entity, or owns \$10 000 or more of the fair market value of the entity. A relationship is considered to be "modest" if it is less than "significant" under the preceding definition.

*Modest.

†Significant.

Reviewer Disclosures

Reviewer	Employment	Research Grant	Other Research Support	Speakers' Bureau/Honoraria	Expert Witness	Ownership Interest	Consultant/Advisory Board	Other
Alan B. Chait	University of Washington	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Ira J. Goldberg	Columbia University	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Scott D. Grundy	UT Southwestern Medical Center	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Rodica Pop-Busui	University of Michigan	NHLBI†; NIDDK†	Formerly Amylin Pharmaceuticals, now BMS*	None	None	None	T1D Exchange Scientific Review Committee*	None
Henry Rodriguez	University of South Florida College of Medicine	Bristol-Myers Squibb†; Daiichi Sankyo†; Novo Nordisk†	None	None	None	None	Roche Diagnostics*	Merck* (member of Data Safety Monitoring Board)
Debra L. Simmons	University of Utah and Salt Lake City VAMC	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Joseph Wolfson	Boston Children's Hospital	None	None	None	None	None	None	None

This table represents the relationships of reviewers that may be perceived as actual or reasonably perceived conflicts of interest as reported on the Disclosure Questionnaire, which all reviewers are required to complete and submit. A relationship is considered to be "significant" if (a) the person receives \$10 000 or more during any 12-month period, or 5% or more of the person's gross income; or (b) the person owns 5% or more of the voting stock or share of the entity, or owns \$10 000 or more of the fair market value of the entity. A relationship is considered to be "modest" if it is less than "significant" under the preceding definition.

*Modest.

†Significant.

References

- American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33(suppl 1):S62–S69.
- Saruhan-Direskeneli G, Uyar FA, Bas F, Günöz H, Bundak R, Saka N, Darendeliler F. HLA-DR and -DQ associations with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in a population of Turkey. *Hum Immunol*. 2000;61:296–302.
- Achenbach P, Lampasona V, Landherr U, Koczwara K, Krause S, Grallert H, Winkler C, Pflüger M, Illig T, Bonifacio E, Ziegler AG. Autoantibodies to zinc transporter 8 and SLC30A8 genotype stratify type 1 diabetes risk. *Diabetologia*. 2009;52:1881–1888.
- Sobngwi E, Mauvais-Jarvis F, Vexiau P, Mbanya JC, Gautier JF. Diabetes in Africans, part 2: ketosis-prone atypical diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Metab*. 2002;28:5–12.
- Pozzilli P, Buzzetti R. A new expression of diabetes: double diabetes. *Trends Endocrinol Metab*. 2007;18:52–57.
- Lind M, Bounias I, Olsson M, Gudbjörnsdóttir S, Svensson AM, Rosengren A. Glycaemic control and incidence of heart failure in 20,985 patients with type 1 diabetes: an observational study. *Lancet*. 2011;378:140–146.
- Miki T, Yuda S, Kouzu H, Miura T. Diabetic cardiomyopathy: pathophysiology and clinical features. *Heart Fail Rev*. 2013;18:149–166.
- Soedamah-Muthu SS, Fuller JH, Mulnier HE, Raleigh VS, Lawrenson RA, Colhoun HM. High risk of cardiovascular disease in patients with type 1 diabetes in the U.K.: a cohort study using the General Practice Research Database. *Diabetes Care*. 2006;29:798–804.
- Janghorbani M, Hu FB, Willett WC, Li TY, Manson JE, Logroscino G, Rexrode KM. Prospective study of type 1 and type 2 diabetes and risk of stroke subtypes: the Nurses' Health Study. *Diabetes Care*. 2007;30:1730–1735.
- Jonasson JM, Ye W, Sparen P, Apelqvist J, Nyren O, Brismar K. Risks of nontraumatic lower-extremity amputations in patients with type 1 diabetes: a population-based cohort study in Sweden. *Diabetes Care*. 2008;31:1536–1540.
- Shankar A, Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE. Association between glycosylated hemoglobin level and cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in type 1 diabetes. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2007;166:393–402.
- Roy M, Rendas-Baum R, Skurnick J. Mortality in African-Americans with type 1 diabetes: the New Jersey 725. *Diabet Med*. 2006;23:698–706.
- Secrest AM, Becker DJ, Kelsey SF, Laporte RE, Orchard TJ. Cause-specific mortality trends in a large population-based cohort with long-standing childhood-onset type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2010;59:3216–3222.
- Roger VL, Go AS, Lloyd-Jones DM, Benjamin EJ, Berry JD, Borden WB, Bravata DM, Dai S, Ford ES, Fox CS, Fullerton HJ, Gillespie C, Hailpern SM, Heit JA, Howard VJ, Kissela BM, Kittner SJ, Lackland DT, Lichtman JH, Lisabeth LD, Makuc DM, Marcus GM, Marelli A, Matchar DB, Moy CS, Mozaffarian D, Mussolino ME, Nichol G, Paynter NP, Soliman EZ, Sorlie PD, Sotoodehnia N, Turan TN, Virani SS, Wong ND, Woo D, Turner MB; on behalf of the American Heart Association Statistics Committee, Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2012 update: a report from the American Heart Association [published correction appears in *Circulation*. 2012;125:e1002]. *Circulation*. 2012;125:e2–e220.
- Krolewski AS, Kosinski EJ, Warram JH, Leland OS, Busick EJ, Asmal AC, Rand LI, Christlieb AR, Bradley RF, Kahn CR. Magnitude and determinants of coronary artery disease in juvenile-onset, insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Am J Cardiol*. 1987;59:750–755.
- Libby P, Nathan DM, Abraham K, Brunzell JD, Fradkin JE, Haffner SM, Hsueh W, Rewers M, Roberts BT, Savage PJ, Skarlatos S, Wasf M, Rabadan-Diehl C. Report of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute-National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases Working Group on Cardiovascular Complications of Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus. *Circulation*. 2005;111:3489–3493.
- Deckert T, Poulsen JE, Larsen M. Prognosis of diabetics with diabetes onset before the age of thirty-one, I: survival, causes of death, and complications. *Diabetologia*. 1978;14:363–370.
- Schram MT, Chaturvedi N, Fuller JH, Stehouwer CD; EURODIAB Prospective Complications Study Group. Pulse pressure is associated with age and cardiovascular disease in type 1 diabetes: the EURODIAB Prospective Complications Study. *J Hypertens*. 2003;21:2035–2044.
- Conway B, Costacou T, Orchard T. Is glycaemia or insulin dose the stronger risk factor for coronary artery disease in type 1 diabetes? *Diab Vasc Dis Res*. 2009;6:223–230.
- Caccamo G, Bonura F, Vitale G, Novo G, Evola S, Evola G, Grisanti MR, Novo S. Insulin resistance and acute coronary syndrome. *Atherosclerosis*. 2010;211:672–675.
- Klein BE, Klein R, McBride PE, Cruickshanks KJ, Palta M, Knudtson MD, Moss SE, Reinke JO. Cardiovascular disease, mortality, and retinal microvascular characteristics in type 1 diabetes: Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy. *Arch Intern Med*. 2004;164:1917–1924.
- Weis U, Turner B, Gibney J, Watts GF, Burke V, Shaw KM, Cummings MH. Long-term predictors of coronary artery disease and mortality in type 1 diabetes. *QJM*. 2001;94:623–630.
- Laing SP, Swerdlow AJ, Slater SD, Burden AC, Morris A, Waugh NR, Gatling W, Bingley PJ, Patterson CC. Mortality from heart disease in a cohort of 23,000 patients with insulin-treated diabetes. *Diabetologia*. 2003;46:760–765.
- Eckel RH, Eisenbarth GS. Autoimmune diabetes inflames the heart. *Sci Transl Med*. 2012;4:138fs118.

25. Taylor JA, Havari E, McInerney MF, Bronson R, Wucherpfennig KW, Lipes MA. A spontaneous model for autoimmune myocarditis using the human MHC molecule HLA-DQ8. *J Immunol*. 2004;172:2651–2658.
26. Gottumukkala RV, Lv H, Cornivelli L, Wagers AJ, Kwong RY, Bronson R, Stewart GC, Schulze PC, Chutkow W, Wolpert HA, Lee RT, Lipes MA. Myocardial infarction triggers chronic cardiac autoimmunity in type 1 diabetes. *Sci Transl Med*. 2012;4:138ra180.
27. Lv H, Havari E, Pinto S, Gottumukkala RV, Cornivelli L, Raddassi K, Matsui T, Rosenzweig A, Bronson RT, Smith R, Fletcher AL, Turley SJ, Wucherpfennig K, Kyewski B, Lipes MA. Impaired thymic tolerance to alpha-myosin directs autoimmunity to the heart in mice and humans. *J Clin Invest*. 2011;121:1561–1573.
28. Moss SE, Klein R, Klein BE. The 14-year incidence of lower-extremity amputations in a diabetic population: the Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy. *Diabetes Care*. 1999;22:951–959.
29. Maser RE, Wolfson SK Jr, Ellis D, Stein EA, Drash AL, Becker DJ, Dorman JS, Orchard TJ. Cardiovascular disease and arterial calcification in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: interrelations and risk factor profiles: Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study-V. *Arterioscler Thromb*. 1991;11:958–965.
30. Olson JC, Erbey JR, Forrest KY, Williams K, Becker DJ, Orchard TJ. Glycemia (or, in women, estimated glucose disposal rate) predict lower extremity arterial disease events in type 1 diabetes. *Metabolism*. 2002;51:248–254.
31. Carter RE, Lackland DT, Cleary PA, Yim E, Lopes-Virella MF, Gilbert GE, Orchard TJ; Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (DCCT/EDIC) Study Research Group. Intensive treatment of diabetes is associated with a reduced rate of peripheral arterial calcification in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2007;30:2646–2648.
32. Adler AI, Erqou S, Lima TA, Robinson AH. Association between glycosylated haemoglobin and the risk of lower extremity amputation in patients with diabetes mellitus: review and meta-analysis. *Diabetologia*. 2010;53:840–849.
33. Dabelea D, Kinney G, Snell-Bergeon JK, Hokanson JE, Eckel RH, Ehrlich J, Garg S, Hamman RF, Rewers M. Effect of type 1 diabetes on the gender difference in coronary artery calcification: a role for insulin resistance? The Coronary Artery Calcification in Type 1 Diabetes (CACTI) Study. *Diabetes*. 2003;52:2833–2839.
34. Margeisdottir HD, Stensaeth KH, Larsen JR, Brunborg C, Dahl-Jørgensen K. Early signs of atherosclerosis in diabetic children on intensive insulin treatment: a population-based study. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33:2043–2048.
35. Järvisalo MJ, Putto-Laurila A, Jartti L, Lehtimäki T, Solakivi T, Rönnemaa T, Raitakari OT. Carotid artery intima-media thickness in children with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2002;51:493–498.
36. Yamasaki Y, Kawamori R, Matsushima H, Nishizawa H, Kodama M, Kajimoto Y, Morishima T, Kamada T. Atherosclerosis in carotid artery of young IDDM patients monitored by ultrasound high-resolution B-mode imaging. *Diabetes*. 1994;43:634–639.
37. Dalla Pozza R, Bechtold S, Bonfig W, Putzker S, Kozlik-Feldmann R, Netz H, Schwarz HP. Age of onset of type 1 diabetes in children and carotid intima medial thickness. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2007;92:2053–2057.
38. Nathan DM, Lachin J, Cleary P, Orchard T, Brillon DJ, Backlund JY, O'Leary DH, Genuth S; Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Research Group. Intensive diabetes therapy and carotid intima-media thickness in type 1 diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;348:2294–2303.
39. Larsen JR, Brekke M, Bergengen L, Sandvik L, Arnesen H, Hanssen KF, Dahl-Jørgensen K. Mean HbA1c over 18 years predicts carotid intima media thickness in women with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetologia*. 2005;48:776–779.
40. Distiller LA, Joffe BI, Melville V, Welman T, Distiller GB. Carotid artery intima-media complex thickening in patients with relatively long-surviving type 1 diabetes mellitus. *J Diabetes Complications*. 2006;20:280–284.
41. Ogawa Y, Uchigata Y, Iwamoto Y. Progression factors of carotid intima-media thickness and plaque in patients with long-term, early-onset type 1 diabetes mellitus in Japan: simultaneous comparison with diabetic retinopathy. *J Atheroscler Thromb*. 2009;16:821–828.
42. Singh TP, Groehn H, Kazmers A. Vascular function and carotid intimal-medial thickness in children with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2003;41:661–665.
43. Järvisalo MJ, Raitakari M, Toikka JO, Putto-Laurila A, Rontu R, Laine S, Lehtimäki T, Rönnemaa T, Viikari J, Raitakari OT. Endothelial dysfunction and increased arterial intima-media thickness in children with type 1 diabetes. *Circulation*. 2004;109:1750–1755.
44. Costacou T, Lopes-Virella MF, Zgibor JC, Virella G, Otvos J, Walsh M, Orchard TJ. Markers of endothelial dysfunction in the prediction of coronary artery disease in type 1 diabetes: the Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study. *J Diabetes Complications*. 2005;19:183–193.
45. Voulgari C, Psallas M, Kokkinos A, Argiana V, Katsilambros N, Tentolouris N. The association between cardiac autonomic neuropathy with metabolic and other factors in subjects with type 1 and type 2 diabetes. *J Diabetes Complications*. 2011;25:159–167.
46. Vinik AI, Ziegler D. Diabetic cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy. *Circulation*. 2007;115:387–397.
47. Nathan DM, Cleary PA, Backlund JY, Genuth SM, Lachin JM, Orchard TJ, Raskin P, Zinman B; Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Research Group. Intensive diabetes treatment and cardiovascular disease in patients with type 1 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;353:2643–2653.
48. Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults. Executive summary of the third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). *JAMA*. 2001;285:2486–2497.
49. Jensen T, Borch-Johnsen K, Kofoed-Enevoldsen A, Deckert T. Coronary heart disease in young type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetic patients with and without diabetic nephropathy: incidence and risk factors. *Diabetologia*. 1987;30:144–148.
50. Pambianco G, Costacou T, Ellis D, Becker DJ, Klein R, Orchard TJ. The 30-year natural history of type 1 diabetes complications: the Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study experience. *Diabetes*. 2006;55:1463–1469.
51. Laing SP, Swerdlow AJ, Slater SD, Botha JL, Burden AC, Waugh NR, Smith AW, Hill RD, Bingley PJ, Patterson CC, Qiao Z, Keen H. The British Diabetic Association Cohort Study, II: cause-specific mortality in patients with insulin-treated diabetes mellitus. *Diabet Med*. 1999;16:466–471.
52. Skriverhaug T, Bangstad HJ, Stene LC, Sandvik L, Hanssen KF, Joner G. Long-term mortality in a nationwide cohort of childhood-onset type 1 diabetic patients in Norway. *Diabetologia*. 2006;49:298–305.
53. Colhoun HM, Rubens MB, Underwood SR, Fuller JH. The effect of type 1 diabetes mellitus on the gender difference in coronary artery calcification. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2000;36:2160–2167.
54. Olson JC, Edmundowicz D, Becker DJ, Kuller LH, Orchard TJ. Coronary calcium in adults with type 1 diabetes: a stronger correlate of clinical coronary artery disease in men than in women. *Diabetes*. 2000;49:1571–1578.
55. Bosnyak Z, Nishimura R, Hagan Hughes M, Tajima N, Becker D, Tuomilehto J, Orchard TJ. Excess mortality in black compared with white patients with type 1 diabetes: an examination of underlying causes. *Diabet Med*. 2005;22:1636–1641.
56. Walsh MG, Zgibor J, Borch-Johnsen K, Orchard TJ; DiaComp Investigators. A multinational assessment of complications in type 1 diabetes: the DiaMond substudy of complications (DiaComp) level 1. *Diab Vasc Dis Res*. 2006;3:84–92.
57. Garner P. Type 1 diabetes mellitus and pregnancy. *Lancet*. 1995;346:157–161.
58. Anderson JM, Savvidou MD, Kaihura C, McEnery CM, Nicolaides KH. Maternal arterial stiffness in pregnancies affected by type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Diabet Med*. 2009;26:1135–1140.
59. World Health Organization. *WHO Recommendations for Prevention and Treatment of Pre-eclampsia and Eclampsia*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2011.
60. Donaghue KC, Robinson J, McCredie R, Fung A, Silink M, Celermajer DS. Large vessel dysfunction in diabetic adolescents and its relationship to small vessel complications. *J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab*. 1997;10:593–598.
61. Wiltshire EJ, Gent R, Hirte C, Pena A, Thomas DW, Couper JJ. Endothelial dysfunction relates to folate status in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2002;51:2282–2286.
62. Haller MJ, Stein J, Shuster J, Theriaque D, Silverstein J, Schatz DA, Earing MG, Lerman A, Mahmud FH. Peripheral artery tonometry demonstrates altered endothelial function in children with type 1 diabetes. *Pediatr Diabetes*. 2007;8:193–198.
63. Urbina EM, Wadwa RP, Davis C, Snively BM, Dolan LM, Daniels SR, Hamman RF, Dabelea D. Prevalence of increased arterial stiffness in children with type 1 diabetes mellitus differs by measurement site and sex: the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth Study. *J Pediatr*. 2010;156:731–737.e1.
64. Peppas-Patrikiou M, Scordili M, Antoniou A, Giannaki M, Dracopoulou M, Dacou-Voutetakis C. Carotid atherosclerosis in adolescents and young adults with IDDM: relation to urinary endothelin, albumin, free cortisol, and other factors. *Diabetes Care*. 1998;21:1004–1007.
65. Krantz JS, Mack WJ, Hodis HN, Liu CR, Liu CH, Kaufman FR. Early onset of subclinical atherosclerosis in young persons with type 1 diabetes. *J Pediatr*. 2004;145:452–457.

66. Parikh A, Sochett EB, McCrindle BW, Dipchand A, Daneman A, Daneman D. Carotid artery distensibility and cardiac function in adolescents with type 1 diabetes. *J Pediatr*. 2000;137:465–469.
67. Gunczler P, Lanes R, Lopez E, Esaa S, Villarroel O, Revel-Chion R. Cardiac mass and function, carotid artery intima-media thickness and lipoprotein(a) levels in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus of short duration. *J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab*. 2002;15:181–186.
68. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med*. 1993;329:977–986.
69. Svoren BM, Volkening LK, Butler DA, Moreland EC, Anderson BJ, Laffel LM. Temporal trends in the treatment of pediatric type 1 diabetes and impact on acute outcomes. *J Pediatr*. 2007;150:279–285.
70. Moreno PR, Murcia AM, Palacios IF, Leon MN, Bernardi VH, Fuster V, Fallon JT. Coronary composition and macrophage infiltration in atherectomy specimens from patients with diabetes mellitus. *Circulation*. 2000;102:2180–2184.
71. Valsania P, Zarich SW, Kowalchuk GJ, Kosinski E, Warram JH, Krolewski AS. Severity of coronary artery disease in young patients with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Am Heart J*. 1991;122:695–700.
72. Pajunen P, Taskinen MR, Nieminen MS, Syväne M. Angiographic severity and extent of coronary artery disease in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Am J Cardiol*. 2000;86:1080–1085.
73. Burke AP, Kolodgie FD, Zieske A, Fowler DR, Weber DK, Varghese PJ, Farb A, Virmani R. Morphologic findings of coronary atherosclerotic plaques in diabetics: a postmortem study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2004;24:1266–1271.
74. Mautner SL, Lin F, Roberts WC. Composition of atherosclerotic plaques in the epicardial coronary arteries in juvenile (type I) diabetes mellitus. *Am J Cardiol*. 1992;70:1264–1268.
75. Djaber R, Schuijff JD, Boersma E, Kroft LJ, Pereira AM, Romijn JA, Scholte AJ, Jukema JW, Bax JJ. Differences in atherosclerotic plaque burden and morphology between type 1 and 2 diabetes as assessed by multislice computed tomography. *Diabetes Care*. 2009;32:1507–1512.
76. Larsen JR, Tsunoda T, Tuzcu EM, Schoenhagen P, Brekke M, Arnesen H, Hanssen KF, Nissen SE, Dahl-Jorgensen K. Intracoronary ultrasound examinations reveal significantly more advanced coronary atherosclerosis in people with type 1 diabetes than in age- and sex-matched non-diabetic controls. *Diab Vasc Dis Res*. 2007;4:62–65.
77. Kornowski R, Mintz GS, Lansky AJ, Hong MK, Kent KM, Pichard AD, Satler LF, Popma JJ, Bucher TA, Leon MB. Paradoxical decreases in atherosclerotic plaque mass in insulin-treated diabetic patients. *Am J Cardiol*. 1998;81:1298–1304.
78. Larsen J, Brekke M, Sandvik L, Arnesen H, Hanssen KF, Dahl-Jorgensen K. Silent coronary atheromatosis in type 1 diabetic patients and its relation to long-term glycemic control. *Diabetes*. 2002;51:2637–2641.
79. Libby P, Ridker PM, Hansson GK; Leducq Transatlantic Network on Atherothrombosis. Inflammation in atherosclerosis: from pathophysiology to practice. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009;54:2129–2138.
80. Hayaishi-Okano R, Yamasaki Y, Katakami N, Ohtoshi K, Gorogawa S, Kuroda A, Matsuhisa M, Kosugi K, Nishikawa N, Kajimoto Y, Hori M. Elevated C-reactive protein associates with early-stage carotid atherosclerosis in young subjects with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2002;25:1432–1438.
81. Schölin A, Siegbahn A, Lind L, Berne C, Sundkvist G, Björk E, Karlsson FA; Diabetes Incidence Study in Sweden Group. CRP and IL-6 concentrations are associated with poor glycemic control despite preserved beta-cell function during the first year after diagnosis of type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*. 2004;20:205–210.
82. Snell-Bergeon JK, West NA, Mayer-Davis EJ, Liese AD, Marcovina SM, D'Agostino RB Jr, Hamman RF, Dabelea D. Inflammatory markers are increased in youth with type 1 diabetes: the SEARCH case-control study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2010;95:2868–2876.
83. Wadwa RP1, Kinney GL, Ogden L, Snell-Bergeon JK, Maahs DM, Cornell E, Tracy RP, Rewers M. Soluble interleukin-2 receptor as a marker for progression of coronary artery calcification in type 1 diabetes. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol*. 2006;38:996–1003.
84. Cipollone F, Chiarelli F, Davi G, Ferri C, Desideri G, Fazio M, Lezzi A, Santilli F, Pini B, Cuccurullo C, Tumini S, Del Ponte A, Santucci A, Cuccurullo F, Mezzetti A. Enhanced soluble CD40 ligand contributes to endothelial cell dysfunction in vitro and monocyte activation in patients with diabetes mellitus: effect of improved metabolic control. *Diabetologia*. 2005;48:1216–1224.
85. Katakami N, Kaneto H, Matsuhisa M, Miyasaka T, Sakamoto K, Kawamori D, Yoshiuchi K, Nakamura Y, Kato K, Yamamoto K, Kosugi K, Hori M, Yamasaki Y. Association of soluble CD40 ligand with carotid atherosclerosis in Japanese type 1 diabetic patients. *Diabetologia*. 2006;49:1670–1676.
86. Schaumberg DA, Glynn RJ, Jenkins AJ, Lyons TJ, Rifai N, Manson JE, Ridker PM, Nathan DM. Effect of intensive glycemic control on levels of markers of inflammation in type 1 diabetes mellitus in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. *Circulation*. 2005;111:2446–2453.
87. Costacou T, Zgibor JC, Evans RW, Otvos J, Lopes-Virella MF, Tracy RP, Orchard TJ. The prospective association between adiponectin and coronary artery disease among individuals with type 1 diabetes: the Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study. *Diabetologia*. 2005;48:41–48.
88. Maahs DM, Ogden LG, Kinney GL, Wadwa P, Snell-Bergeon JK, Dabelea D, Hokanson JE, Ehrlich J, Eckel RH, Rewers M. Low plasma adiponectin levels predict progression of coronary artery calcification. *Circulation*. 2005;111:747–753.
89. Deleted in proof.
90. Orchard TJ, Costacou T. When are type 1 diabetic patients at risk for cardiovascular disease? *Curr Diab Rep*. 2010;10:48–54.
91. Miller RG, Costacou T, Orchard TJ. Lipoprotein-associated phospholipase A2, C-reactive protein, and coronary artery disease in individuals with type 1 diabetes and macroalbuminuria. *Diab Vasc Dis Res*. 2010;7:47–55.
92. Katakami N, Matsuhisa M, Kaneto H, Yamasaki Y. Serum endogenous secretory RAGE levels are inversely associated with carotid IMT in type 2 diabetic patients. *Atherosclerosis*. 2007;190:22–23.
93. Lopes-Virella MF, Carter RE, Gilbert GE, Klein RL, Jaffa M, Jenkins AJ, Lyons TJ, Garvey WT, Virella G; Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Cohort Study Group. Risk factors related to inflammation and endothelial dysfunction in the DCCT/EDIC cohort and their relationship with nephropathy and macrovascular complications. *Diabetes Care*. 2008;31:2006–2012.
94. Lopes-Virella MF, McHenry MB, Lipsitz S, Yim E, Wilson PF, Lackland DT, Lyons T, Jenkins AJ, Virella G; DCCT/EDIC Research Group. Immune complexes containing modified lipoproteins are related to the progression of internal carotid intima-media thickness in patients with type 1 diabetes. *Atherosclerosis*. 2007;190:359–369.
95. Jaffa AA, Usinger WR, McHenry MB, Jaffa MA, Lipsitz SR, Lackland D, Lopes-Virella M, Luttrell LM, Wilson PW; Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Study Group. Connective tissue growth factor and susceptibility to renal and vascular disease risk in type 1 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2008;93:1893–1900.
96. Lajer M, Jorsal A, Tarnow L, Parving HH, Rossing P. Plasma growth differentiation factor-15 independently predicts all-cause and cardiovascular mortality as well as deterioration of kidney function in type 1 diabetic patients with nephropathy. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33:1567–1572.
97. Lajer M, Tarnow L, Jorsal A, Teerlink T, Parving HH, Rossing P. Plasma concentration of asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA) predicts cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in type 1 diabetic patients with diabetic nephropathy. *Diabetes Care*. 2008;31:747–752.
98. Jorsal A, Tarnow L, Flyvbjerg A, Parving HH, Rossing P, Rasmussen LM. Plasma osteoprotegerin levels predict cardiovascular and all-cause mortality and deterioration of kidney function in type 1 diabetic patients with nephropathy. *Diabetologia*. 2008;51:2100–2107.
99. Gogitidze Joy N, Hedington MS, Briscoe VJ, Tate DB, Ertl AC, Davis SN. Effects of acute hypoglycemia on inflammatory and pro-atherothrombotic biomarkers in individuals with type 1 diabetes and healthy individuals [published correction appears in *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33:2129]. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33:1529–1535.
100. Wright RJ, Newby DE, Stirling D, Ludlam CA, Macdonald IA, Frier BM. Effects of acute insulin-induced hypoglycemia on indices of inflammation: putative mechanism for aggravating vascular disease in diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33:1591–1597.
101. de Ferranti S, Mozaffarian D. The perfect storm: obesity, adipocyte dysfunction, and metabolic consequences. *Clin Chem*. 2008;54:945–955.
102. Lavie CJ, Milani RV, Ventura HO. Obesity and cardiovascular disease: risk factor, paradox, and impact of weight loss. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009;53:1925–1932.
103. Devaraj S, Cheung AT, Jialal I, Griffen SC, Nguyen D, Glaser N, Aoki T. Evidence of increased inflammation and microcirculatory abnormalities in patients with type 1 diabetes and their role in microvascular complications. *Diabetes*. 2007;56:2790–2796.
104. Lin J, Glynn RJ, Rifai N, Manson JE, Ridker PM, Nathan DM, Schaumberg DA. Inflammation and progressive nephropathy in type 1 diabetes in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2008;31:2338–2343.
105. Mange H, Schauenstein K, Stroedter L, Griesl A, Maerz W, Borkenstein M. Low grade inflammation in juvenile obesity and type 1 diabetes

- associated with early signs of atherosclerosis. *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes*. 2004;112:378–382.
106. Kratzsch J, Deimel A, Galler A, Kapellen T, Klinghammer A, Kiess W. Increased serum soluble leptin receptor levels in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Eur J Endocrinol*. 2004;151:475–481.
 107. Otero M, Lago R, Gómez R, Lago F, Gómez-Reino JJ, Gualillo O. Leptin: a metabolic hormone that functions like a proinflammatory adipokine. *Drug News Perspect*. 2006;19:21–26.
 108. Harding SA, Sommerfield AJ, Sarma J, Twomey PJ, Newby DE, Frier BM, Fox KA. Increased CD40 ligand and platelet-monocyte aggregates in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Atherosclerosis*. 2004;176:321–325.
 109. Gomes MB, Piccirillo LJ, Nogueira VG, Matos HJ. Acute-phase proteins among patients with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Metab*. 2003;29:405–411.
 110. Bowman BH, Kurosky A. Haptoglobin: the evolutionary product of duplication, unequal crossing over, and point mutation. *Adv Hum Genet*. 1982;12:189–261, 453–184.
 111. Langlois MR, Delanghe JR. Biological and clinical significance of haptoglobin polymorphism in humans. *Clin Chem*. 1996;42:1589–1600.
 112. Asleh R, Guetta J, Kalet-Litman S, Miller-Lotan R, Levy AP. Haptoglobin genotype- and diabetes-dependent differences in iron-mediated oxidative stress in vitro and in vivo. *Circ Res*. 2005;96:435–441.
 113. Levy AP, Levy JE, Kalet-Litman S, Miller-Lotan R, Levy NS, Asaf R, Guetta J, Yang C, Purushothaman KR, Fuster V, Moreno PR. Haptoglobin genotype is a determinant of iron, lipid peroxidation, and macrophage accumulation in the atherosclerotic plaque. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2007;27:134–140.
 114. Asleh R, Marsh S, Shilkrot M, Binah O, Guetta J, Lejbkovicz F, Enav B, Shehadeh N, Kanter Y, Lache O, Cohen O, Levy NS, Levy AP. Genetically determined heterogeneity in hemoglobin scavenging and susceptibility to diabetic cardiovascular disease. *Circ Res*. 2003;92:1193–1200.
 115. Kristiansen M, Graversen JH, Jacobsen C, Sonne O, Hoffman HJ, Law SK, Moestrup SK. Identification of the haemoglobin scavenger receptor. *Nature*. 2001;409:198–201.
 116. Asleh R, Miller-Lotan R, Aviram M, Hayek T, Yulish M, Levy JE, Miller B, Blum S, Milman U, Shapira C, Levy AP. Haptoglobin genotype is a regulator of reverse cholesterol transport in diabetes in vitro and in vivo. *Circ Res*. 2006;99:1419–1425.
 117. Costacou T, Ferrell RE, Orchard TJ. Haptoglobin genotype: a determinant of cardiovascular complication risk in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2008;57:1702–1706.
 118. Costacou T, Ferrell RE, Ellis D, Orchard TJ. Haptoglobin genotype and renal function decline in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2009;58:2904–2909.
 119. Simpson M, Snell-Bergeon JK, Kinney GL, Lache O, Miller-Lotan R, Anbinder Y, Rewers MJ, Levy AP. Haptoglobin genotype predicts development of coronary artery calcification in a prospective cohort of patients with type 1 diabetes. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*. 2011;10:99.
 120. Blum S, Vardi M, Levy NS, Miller-Lotan R, Levy AP. The effect of vitamin E supplementation on cardiovascular risk in diabetic individuals with different haptoglobin phenotypes. *Atherosclerosis*. 2010;211:25–27.
 121. Milman U, Blum S, Shapira C, Aronson D, Miller-Lotan R, Anbinder Y, Alshiek J, Bennett L, Kostenko M, Landau M, Keidar S, Levy Y, Khemlin A, Radan A, Levy AP. Vitamin E supplementation reduces cardiovascular events in a subgroup of middle-aged individuals with both type 2 diabetes mellitus and the haptoglobin 2-2 genotype: a prospective double-blinded clinical trial. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2008;28:341–347.
 122. Levy AP, Gerstein HC, Miller-Lotan R, Ratner R, McQueen M, Lonn E, Pogue J. The effect of vitamin E supplementation on cardiovascular risk in diabetic individuals with different haptoglobin phenotypes. *Diabetes Care*. 2004;27:2767.
 123. Blum S, Milman U, Shapira C, Miller-Lotan R, Bennett L, Kostenko M, Landau M, Keidar S, Levy Y, Khemlin A, Radan A, Levy AP. Dual therapy with statins and antioxidants is superior to statins alone in decreasing the risk of cardiovascular disease in a subgroup of middle-aged individuals with both diabetes mellitus and the haptoglobin 2-2 genotype. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2008;28:e18–e20.
 124. Soranzo N, Spector TD, Mangino M, Kuhnel B, Rendon A, Teumer A, Willenborg C, Wright B, Chen L, Li M, Salo P, Voight BF, Burns P, Laskowski RA, Xue Y, Menzel S, Altschuler D, Bradley JR, Bumpstead S, Burnett MS, Devaney J, Doring A, Elosua R, Epstein SE, Erber W, Falchi M, Garner SF, Ghori MJ, Goodall AH, Gwilliam R, Hakonarson HH, Hall AS, Hammond N, Hengstenberg C, Illig T, König IR, Knouff CW, McPherson R, Melander O, Mooser V, Nauck M, Nieminen MS, O'Donnell CJ, Peltonen L, Pottier S, Prokisch H, Rader DJ, Rice CM, Roberts R, Salomaa V, Sambrook J, Schreiber S, Schunkert H, Schwartz SM, Serbanovic-Canic J, Sinisalo J, Siscovick DS, Stark K, Surakka I, Stephens J, Thompson JR, Volker U, Volzke H, Watkins NA, Wells GA, Wichmann HE, Van Heel DA, Tyler-Smith C, Thein SL, Kathiresan S, Perola M, Reilly MP, Stewart AF, Erdmann J, Samani NJ, Meisinger C, Greinacher A, Deloukas P, Ouwehand WH, Gieger C. A genome-wide meta-analysis identifies 22 loci associated with eight hemetological parameters in the HaemGen consortium. *Nat Genet*. 2009;41:1182–1190.
 125. Expert Panel on Integrated Guidelines for Cardiovascular Health and Risk Reduction in Children and Adolescents; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Expert Panel on Integrated Guidelines for Cardiovascular Health and Risk Reduction in Children and Adolescents: summary report. *Pediatrics*. 2011;128(suppl 5):S213–S256.
 126. Boudina S, Abel ED. Diabetic cardiomyopathy revisited. *Circulation*. 2007;115:3213–3223.
 127. Shivalkar B, Dhondt D, Goovaerts I, Van Gaal L, Bartunek J, Van Crombrugge P, Vrints C. Flow mediated dilatation and cardiac function in type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Am J Cardiol*. 2006;97:77–82.
 128. Grandi AM, Piantanida E, Franzetti I, Bernasconi M, Maresca A, Marnini P, Guasti L, Venco A. Effect of glycemic control on left ventricular diastolic function in type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Am J Cardiol*. 2006;97:71–76.
 129. Chan NN, Vallance P, Colhoun HM. Endothelium-dependent and -independent vascular dysfunction in type 1 diabetes: role of conventional risk factors, sex, and glycemic control. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2003;23:1048–1054.
 130. Witte DR, Tesfaye S, Chaturvedi N, Eaton SE, Kempler P, Fuller JH; EURODIAB Prospective Complications Study Group. Risk factors for cardiac autonomic neuropathy in type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetologia*. 2005;48:164–171.
 131. Shishehbor MH, Hoogwerf BJ, Schoenhagen P, Marso SP, Sun JP, Li J, Klein AL, Thomas JD, Garcia MJ. Relation of hemoglobin A1c to left ventricular relaxation in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus and without overt heart disease. *Am J Cardiol*. 2003;91:1514–1517, A9.
 132. Larsen JR, Sjøholm H, Berg TJ, Sandvik L, Brekke M, Hanssen KF, Dahl-Jørgensen K. Eighteen years of fair glycemic control preserves cardiac autonomic function in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2004;27:963–966.
 133. Paterson AD, Rutledge BN, Cleary PA, Lachin JM, Crow RS; Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Research Group. The effect of intensive diabetes treatment on resting heart rate in type 1 diabetes: the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications study. *Diabetes Care*. 2007;30:2107–2112.
 134. Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. The effect of intensive diabetes therapy on measures of autonomic nervous system function in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT). *Diabetologia*. 1998;41:416–423.
 135. Aepfelbacher FC, Yeon SB, Weinrauch LA, D'Elia J, Burger AJ. Improved glycemic control induces regression of left ventricular mass in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Int J Cardiol*. 2004;94:47–51.
 136. Weinrauch LA, Burger A, Gleason RE, Lee AT, D'Elia JA. Left ventricular mass reduction in type 1 diabetic patients with nephropathy. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)*. 2005;7:159–164.
 137. Lehto S, Rönnemaa T, Pyörälä K, Laakso M. Poor glycemic control predicts coronary heart disease events in patients with type 1 diabetes without nephropathy. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 1999;19:1014–1019.
 138. Soedamah-Muthu SS, Chaturvedi N, Toeller M, Ferriss B, Reboli P, Michel G, Manes C, Fuller JH; EURODIAB Prospective Complications Study Group. Risk factors for coronary heart disease in type 1 diabetic patients in Europe: the EURODIAB Prospective Complications Study. *Diabetes Care*. 2004;27:530–537.
 139. Orchard TJ, Olson JC, Erbey JR, Williams K, Forrest KY, Smithline Kinder L, Ellis D, Becker DJ. Insulin resistance-related factors, but not glycemia, predict coronary artery disease in type 1 diabetes: 10-year follow-up data from the Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study. *Diabetes Care*. 2003;26:1374–1379.
 140. Forrest KY, Becker DJ, Kuller LH, Wolfson SK, Orchard TJ. Are predictors of coronary heart disease and lower-extremity arterial disease in type 1 diabetes the same? A prospective study. *Atherosclerosis*. 2000;148:159–169.
 141. Eeg-Olofsson K, Cederholm J, Nilsson PM, Zethelius B, Svensson AM, Gudbjörnsdóttir S, Eliasson B. Glycemic control and cardiovascular disease in 7,454 patients with type 1 diabetes: an observational study from the Swedish National Diabetes Register (NDR). *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33:1640–1646.
 142. Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC) Research Group. Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC): Design, implementation, and preliminary results

- of a long-term follow-up of the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial cohort. *Diabetes Care*. 1999;22:99–111.
143. Writing Team for the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Research Group. Sustained effect of intensive treatment of type 1 diabetes mellitus on development and progression of diabetic nephropathy: the Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC) study. *JAMA*. 2003;290:2159–2167.
 144. Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC) Research Group. Effect of intensive diabetes treatment on carotid artery wall thickness in the Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications. *Diabetes*. 1999;48:383–390.
 145. Cleary PA, Orchard TJ, Genuth S, Wong ND, Detrano R, Backlund JY, Zinman B, Jacobson A, Sun W, Lachin JM, Nathan DM; DCCT/EDIC Research Group. The effect of intensive glycemic treatment on coronary artery calcification in type 1 diabetic participants of the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (DCCT/EDIC) Study. *Diabetes*. 2006;55:3556–3565.
 146. Stettler C, Allemann S, Jüni P, Cull CA, Holman RR, Egger M, Krähenbühl S, Diem P. Glycemic control and macrovascular disease in types 1 and 2 diabetes mellitus: meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Am Heart J*. 2006;152:27–38.
 147. Shamir R, Kassis H, Kaplan M, Naveh T, Shehadeh N. Glycemic control in adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus improves lipid serum levels and oxidative stress. *Pediatr Diabetes*. 2008;9:104–109.
 148. Pettitt DB, Imperatore G, Palla SL, Daniels SR, Dolan LM, Kershner AK, Marcovina S, Pettitt DJ, Pihoker C. Serum lipids and glucose control: the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth Study. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2007;161:159–165.
 149. Conway B, Miller RG, Costacou T, Fried L, Kelsey S, Evans RW, Orchard TJ. Temporal patterns in overweight and obesity in type 1 diabetes. *Diabet Med*. 2010;27:398–404.
 150. Conway B, Miller RG, Costacou T, Fried L, Kelsey S, Evans RW, Orchard TJ. Adiposity and mortality in type 1 diabetes. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2009;33:796–805.
 151. Purnell JQ, Dev RK, Steffes MW, Cleary PA, Palmer JP, Hirsch IB, Hokanson JE, Brunzell JD. Relationship of family history of type 2 diabetes, hypoglycemia, and autoantibodies to weight gain and lipids with intensive and conventional therapy in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. *Diabetes*. 2003;52:2623–2629.
 152. Purnell JQ, Hokanson JE, Marcovina SM, Steffes MW, Cleary PA, Brunzell JD. Effect of excessive weight gain with intensive therapy of type 1 diabetes on lipid levels and blood pressure: results from the DCCT: Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. *JAMA*. 1998;280:140–146.
 153. Shay CM, Secrest AM, Goodpaster BH, Kelsey SF, Strotmeyer ES, Orchard TJ. Regional adiposity and risk for coronary artery disease in type 1 diabetes: does having greater amounts of gluteal-femoral adiposity lower the risk? *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2010;89:288–295.
 154. Kilpatrick ES, Rigby AS, Atkin SL. Insulin resistance, the metabolic syndrome, and complication risk in type 1 diabetes: “double diabetes” in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2007;30:707–712.
 155. Erbey JR, Kuller LH, Becker DJ, Orchard TJ. The association between a family history of type 2 diabetes and coronary artery disease in a type 1 diabetes population. *Diabetes Care*. 1998;21:610–614.
 156. Rodrigues TC, Biavatti K, Almeida FK, Gross JL. Coronary artery calcification is associated with insulin resistance index in patients with type 1 diabetes. *Braz J Med Biol Res*. 2010;43:1084–1087.
 157. Schauer IE, Snell-Bergeon JK, Bergman BC, Maahs DM, Kretowski A, Eckel RH, Rewers M. Insulin resistance, defective insulin-mediated fatty acid suppression, and coronary artery calcification in subjects with and without type 1 diabetes: the CACTI study. *Diabetes*. 2011;60:306–314.
 158. Orchard TJ, Chang YF, Ferrell RE, Petro N, Ellis DE. Nephropathy in type 1 diabetes: a manifestation of insulin resistance and multiple genetic susceptibilities? Further evidence from the Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complication Study. *Kidney Int*. 2002;62:963–970.
 159. Orchard TJ, Secrest AM, Miller RG, Costacou T. In the absence of renal disease, 20 year mortality risk in type 1 diabetes is comparable to that of the general population: a report from the Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study. *Diabetologia*. 2010;53:2312–2319.
 160. Maahs DM, Jalal D, McFann K, Rewers M, Snell-Bergeon JK. Systematic shifts in cystatin C between 2006 and 2010. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2011;6:1952–1955.
 161. Nadeau KJ, Regensteiner JG, Bauer TA, Brown MS, Dorosz JL, Hull A, Zeitler P, Draznin B, Reusch JE. Insulin resistance in adolescents with type 1 diabetes and its relationship to cardiovascular function. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2010;95:513–521.
 162. Williams KV, Erbey JR, Becker D, Orchard TJ. Improved glycemic control reduces the impact of weight gain on cardiovascular risk factors in type 1 diabetes: the Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study. *Diabetes Care*. 1999;22:1084–1091.
 163. Slama G. The insulin sparing effect of metformin in insulin-treated diabetic patients. *Diabetes Metab*. 1991;17(pt 2):241–243.
 164. The DCCT Research Group. Lipid and lipoprotein levels in patients with IDDM diabetes control and complication: trial experience. *Diabetes Care*. 1992;15:886–894.
 165. Maahs DM, Nadeau K, Snell-Bergeon JK, Schauer I, Bergman B, West NA, Rewers M, Daniels SR, Ogen LG, Hamman RF, Dabelea D. Association of insulin sensitivity to lipids across the lifespan in people with type 1 diabetes. *Diabet Med*. 2011;28:148–155.
 166. Maahs DM, Hokanson JE, Wang H, Kinney GL, Snell-Bergeon JK, East A, Bergman BC, Schauer IE, Rewers M, Eckel RH. Lipoprotein subfraction cholesterol distribution is proatherogenic in women with type 1 diabetes and insulin resistance. *Diabetes*. 2010;59:1771–1779.
 167. Pérez A, Wäagner AM, Carreras G, Giménez G, Sánchez-Quesada JL, Rigla M, Gómez-Gerique JA, Pou JM, de Leiva A. Prevalence and phenotypic distribution of dyslipidemia in type 1 diabetes mellitus: effect of glycemic control. *Arch Intern Med*. 2000;160:2756–2762.
 168. Valabhji J, Donovan J, McColl AJ, Schachter M, Richmond W, Elkeles RS. Rates of cholesterol esterification and esterified cholesterol net mass transfer between high-density lipoproteins and apolipoprotein B-containing lipoproteins in type 1 diabetes. *Diabet Med*. 2002;19:424–428.
 169. Soedamah-Muthu SS, Chang YF, Otvos J, Evans RW, Orchard TJ. Lipoprotein subclass measurements by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy improve the prediction of coronary artery disease in type 1 diabetes: a prospective report from the Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study. *Diabetologia*. 2003;46:674–682.
 170. Costacou T, Evans RW, Orchard TJ. High-density lipoprotein cholesterol in diabetes: Is higher always better? *J Clin Lipidol*. 2011;5:387–394.
 171. Orchard TJ, Forrest KY, Kuller LH, Becker DJ; Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study. Lipid and blood pressure treatment goals for type 1 diabetes: 10-year incidence data from the Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study. *Diabetes Care*. 2001;24:1053–1059.
 172. Cholesterol Treatment Trialists’ (CTT) Collaboration; Baigent C, Blackwell L, Emberson J, Holland LE, Reith C, Bhalra N, Peto R, Barnes EH, Keech A, Simes J, Collins R. Efficacy and safety of more intensive lowering of LDL cholesterol: a meta-analysis of data from 170,000 participants in 26 randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2010;376:1670–1681.
 173. Zgibor JC, Wilson RR, Orchard TJ. Has control of hypercholesterolemia and hypertension in type 1 diabetes improved over time? *Diabetes Care*. 2005;28:521–526.
 174. Hovind P, Tarnow L, Rossing P, Jensen BR, Graae M, Torp I, Binder C, Parving HH. Predictors for the development of microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria in patients with type 1 diabetes: inception cohort study. *BMJ*. 2004;328:1105.
 175. de Boer IH, Rue TC, Cleary PA, Lachin JM, Molitch ME, Steffes MW, Sun W, Zinman B, Brunzell JD, White NH, Danis RP, Davis MD, Hainsworth D, Hubbard LD, Nathan DM; Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Study Research Group. Long-term renal outcomes of patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus and microalbuminuria: an analysis of the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications cohort. *Arch Intern Med*. 2011;171:412–420.
 176. Bojestig M, Arnqvist HJ, Hermansson G, Karlberg BE, Ludvigsson J. Declining incidence of nephropathy in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus [published correction appears in *N Engl J Med*. 1994;330:584]. *N Engl J Med*. 1994;330:15–18.
 177. Molitch ME, Steffes M, Sun W, Rutledge B, Cleary P, de Boer IH, Zinman B, Lachin J; Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Study Group. Development and progression of renal insufficiency with and without albuminuria in adults with type 1 diabetes in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial and the Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications study. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33:1536–1543.
 178. Perkins BA, Ficociello LH, Ostrander BE, Silva KH, Weinberg J, Warram JH, Krolewski AS. Microalbuminuria and the risk for early progressive renal function decline in type 1 diabetes. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2007;18:1353–1361.
 179. Groop PH, Thomas MC, Moran JL, Wadén J, Thorn LM, Mäkinen VP, Rosengård-Bärlund M, Saraheimo M, Hietala K, Heikkilä O, Forsblom C; FinnDiane Study Group. The presence and severity of chronic

- kidney disease predicts all-cause mortality in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2009;58:1651–1658.
180. Borch-Johnsen K, Kreiner S. Proteinuria: value as predictor of cardiovascular mortality in insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)*. 1987;294:1651–1654.
 181. Torffvit O, Lövestam-Adrian M, Agardh E, Agardh CD. Nephropathy, but not retinopathy, is associated with the development of heart disease in type 1 diabetes: a 12-year observation study of 462 patients. *Diabet Med*. 2005;22:723–729.
 182. Kim WY, Astrup AS, Stuber M, Tarnow L, Falk E, Botnar RM, Simonsen C, Pietraszek L, Hansen PR, Manning WJ, Andersen NT, Parving HH. Subclinical coronary and aortic atherosclerosis detected by magnetic resonance imaging in type 1 diabetes with and without diabetic nephropathy. *Circulation*. 2007;115:228–235.
 183. Newman DJ, Mattock MB, Dawnay AB, Kerry S, McGuire A, Yaqoob M, Hitman GA, Hawke C. Systematic review on urine albumin testing for early detection of diabetic complications. *Health Technol Assess*. 2005;9:iii–vi, xiii–163.
 184. Tuomilehto J, Borch-Johnsen K, Molarius A, Forsén T, Rastenyte D, Sarti C, Reunanen A. Incidence of cardiovascular disease in type 1 (insulin-dependent) diabetic subjects with and without diabetic nephropathy in Finland. *Diabetologia*. 1998;41:784–790.
 185. Soedamah-Muthu SS, Chaturvedi N, Witte DR, Stevens LK, Porta M, Fuller JH; EURODIAB Prospective Complications Study Group. Relationship between risk factors and mortality in type 1 diabetic patients in Europe: the EURODIAB Prospective Complications Study (PCS). *Diabetes Care*. 2008;31:1360–1366.
 186. Drury PL, Ting R, Zannino D, Ehnholm C, Flack J, Whiting M, Fasset R, Ansquer JC, Dixon P, Davis TM, Pardy C, Colman P, Keech A. Estimated glomerular filtration rate and albuminuria are independent predictors of cardiovascular events and death in type 2 diabetes mellitus: the Fenofibrate Intervention and Event Lowering in Diabetes (FIELD) study. *Diabetologia*. 2011;54:32–43.
 187. Nathan DM, Zinman B, Cleary PA, Backlund JY, Genuth S, Miller R, Orchard TJ; Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (DCCT/EDIC) Research Group. Modern-day clinical course of type 1 diabetes mellitus after 30 years' duration: the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications and Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications experience (1983–2005). *Arch Intern Med*. 2009;169:1307–1316.
 188. de Boer IH, Katz R, Cao JJ, Fried LF, Kestenbaum B, Mukamal K, Rifkin DE, Sarnak MJ, Shlipak MG, Siscovick DS. Cystatin C, albuminuria, and mortality among older adults with diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2009;32:1833–1838.
 189. Matsushita K, van der Velde M, Astor BC, Woodward M, Levey AS, de Jong PE, Coresh J, Gansevoort RT; Chronic Kidney Disease Prognosis Consortium. Association of estimated glomerular filtration rate and albuminuria with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in general population cohorts: a collaborative meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2010;375:2073–2081.
 190. Maahs DM, Ogden LG, Kretowski A, Snell-Bergeon JK, Kinney GL, Berl T, Rewers M. Serum cystatin C predicts progression of subclinical coronary atherosclerosis in individuals with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2007;56:2774–2779.
 191. de Boer IH, Rue TC, Hall YN, Heagerty PJ, Weiss NS, Himmelfarb J. Temporal trends in the prevalence of diabetic kidney disease in the United States. *JAMA*. 2011;305:2532–2539.
 192. Ninomiya T, Perkovic V, de Galan BE, Zoungas S, Pillai A, Jardine M, Patel A, Cass A, Neal B, Poulter N, Mogensen CE, Cooper M, Marre M, Williams B, Hamet P, Mancia G, Woodward M, MacMahon S, Chalmers J; ADVANCE Collaborative Group. Albuminuria and kidney function independently predict cardiovascular and renal outcomes in diabetes. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2009;20:1813–1821.
 193. Orchard TJ, Costacou T, Kretowski A, Nesto RW. Type 1 diabetes and coronary artery disease. *Diabetes Care*. 2006;29:2528–2538.
 194. Sibal L, Agarwal SC, Schwedhelm E, Lüneburg N, Böger RH, Home PD. A study of endothelial function and circulating asymmetric dimethylarginine levels in people with type 1 diabetes without macrovascular disease or microalbuminuria. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*. 2009;8:27.
 195. Grassi MA, Tikhomirov A, Ramalingam S, Below JE, Cox NJ, Nicolae DL. Genome-wide meta-analysis for severe diabetic retinopathy. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2011;20:2472–2481.
 196. Williams WW, Salem RM, McKnight AJ, Sandholm N, Forsblom C, Taylor A, Guiducci C, McAteer JB, McKay GJ, Isakova T, Brennan EP, Sadlier DM, Palmer C, Söderlund J, Fagerholm E, Harjutsalo V, Lithovius R, Gordin D, Hietala K, Kytö J, Parkkonen M, Rosengård-Bärlund M, Thorn L, Syreeni A, Tolonen N, Saraheimo M, Wadén J, Pitkaniemi J, Sarti C, Tuomilehto J, Tryggvason K, Österholm AM, He B, Bain S, Martin F, Godson C, Hirschhorn JN, Maxwell AP, Groop PH, Florez JC; GENIE Consortium. Association testing of previously reported variants in a large case-control meta-analysis of diabetic nephropathy. *Diabetes*. 2012;61:2187–2194.
 197. Sandholm N, Salem RM, McKnight AJ, Brennan EP, Forsblom C, Isakova T, McKay GJ, Williams WW, Sadlier DM, Mäkinen VP, Swan EJ, Palmer C, Boright AP, Ahlqvist E, Deshmukh HA, Keller BJ, Huang H, Ahola AJ, Fagerholm E, Gordin D, Harjutsalo V, He B, Heikkilä O, Hietala K, Kytö J, Lahermo P, Lehto M, Lithovius R, Österholm AM, Parkkonen M, Pitkaniemi J, Rosengård-Bärlund M, Saraheimo M, Sarti C, Söderlund J, Soro-Paavonen A, Syreeni A, Thorn LM, Tikkanen H, Tolonen N, Tryggvason K, Tuomilehto J, Wadén J, Gill GV, Prior S, Guiducci C, Mirel DB, Taylor A, Hosseini SM; DCCT/EDIC Research Group; Parving HH, Rossing P, Tarnow L, Ladenvall C, Alhenc-Gelas F, Lefebvre P, Rigalleau V, Roussel R, Tregouet R, Maestroni A, Maestroni S, Falhammar H, Gu T, Möllsten A, Cimponeriu D, Ioana M, Mota M, Mota E, Serafinceanu C, Stavarachi M, Hanson RL, Nelson RG, Kretzler M, Colhoun HM, Panduru NM, Gu HF, Brismar K, Zerbini G, Hadjadj S, Marre M, Groop L, Lajer M, Bull SB, Waggott D, Paterson AD, Savage DA, Bain SC, Martin F, Hirschhorn JN, Godson C, Florez JC, Groop PH, Maxwell AP. New susceptibility loci associated with kidney disease in type 1 diabetes. *PLoS Genet*. 2012;8:e1002921.
 198. Rotival M, Zeller T, Wild PS, Maouche S, Szymczak S, Schillert A, Castagné R, Deiseroth A, Proust C, Brocheton J, Godefroy T, Perret C, Germain M, Eleftheriadis M, Sinning CR, Schnabel RB, Lubos E, Lackner KJ, Rossmann H, Münzel T, Rendon A; Cardiogenics Consortium; Erdmann J, Deloukas P, Hengstenberg C, Diemert P, Montalescot G, Ouwehand WH, Samani NJ, Schunkert H, Tregouet DA, Ziegler A, Goodall AH, Cambien F, Tiret L, Blankenberg S. Integrating genome-wide genetic variations and monocyte expression data reveals trans-regulated gene modules in humans. *PLoS Genet*. 2011;7:e1002367.
 199. de Boer IH, Sun W, Cleary PA, Lachin JM, Molitch ME, Steffes MW, Zinman B; DCCT/EDIC Research Group. Intensive diabetes therapy and glomerular filtration rate in type 1 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365:2366–2376.
 200. Wilmer WA, Hebert LA, Lewis EJ, Rohde RD, Whittier F, Cattran D, Levey AS, Lewis JB, Spitalowitz S, Blumenthal S, Bain RP. Remission of nephrotic syndrome in type 1 diabetes: long-term follow-up of patients in the Captopril Study. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 1999;34:308–314.
 201. Maahs DM, Kinney GL, Wadwa P, Snell-Bergeon JK, Dabelea D, Hokanson J, Ehrlich J, Garg S, Eckel RH, Rewers MJ. Hypertension prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control in an adult type 1 diabetes population and a comparable general population. *Diabetes Care*. 2005;28:301–306.
 202. Rodriguez BL, Dabelea D, Liese AD, Fujimoto W, Waitzfelder B, Liu L, Bell R, Talton J, Snively BM, Kershner A, Urbina E, Daniels S, Imperatore G; SEARCH Study Group. Prevalence and correlates of elevated blood pressure in youth with diabetes mellitus: the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth Study. *J Pediatr*. 2010;157:245–251.
 203. de Boer IH, Kestenbaum B, Rue TC, Steffes MW, Cleary PA, Molitch ME, Lachin JM, Weiss NS, Brunzell JD; Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT)/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC) Study Research Group. Insulin therapy, hyperglycemia, and hypertension in type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Arch Intern Med*. 2008;168:1867–1873.
 204. Chillarón JJ, Sales MP, Flores-Le-Roux JA, Murillo J, Benaiges D, Castells I, Goday A, Cano JF, Pedro-Botet J. Insulin resistance and hypertension in patients with type 1 diabetes. *J Diabetes Complications*. 2011;25:232–236.
 205. Collado-Mesa F, Colhoun HM, Stevens LK, Boavida J, Ferriss JB, Karamanos B, Kempler P, Michel G, Roglic G, Fuller JH. Prevalence and management of hypertension in type 1 diabetes mellitus in Europe: the EURODIAB IDDM complications study. *Diabet Med*. 1999;16:41–48.
 206. Orchard TJ, Stevens LK, Forrest KY, Fuller JH. Cardiovascular disease in insulin dependent diabetes mellitus: similar rates but different risk factors in the US compared with Europe. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1998;27:976–983.
 207. The European Study for the Prevention of Renal Disease in Type 1 Diabetes ESPRIT Study Group. Effect of 3 years of antihypertensive therapy on renal structure in type 1 diabetic patients with albuminuria: the European Study for the Prevention of Renal Disease in Type 1 Diabetes (ESPRIT). *Diabetes*. 2001;50:843–850.
 208. American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes—2013. *Diabetes Care*. 2013;36(suppl 1):S11–S66.

209. Sinaiko AR, Gomez-Marín O, Prineas RJ. Effect of low sodium diet or potassium supplementation on adolescent blood pressure. *Hypertension*. 1993;21:989–994.
210. National High Blood Pressure Education Program Working Group on High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents. The fourth report on the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics*. 2004;114(suppl 4th report):555–576.
211. Silverstein J, Klingensmith G, Copeland K, Plotnick L, Kaufman F, Laffel L, Deeb L, Grey M, Anderson B, Holzmeister LA, Clark N; American Diabetes Association. Care of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes: a statement of the American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care*. 2005;28:186–212.
212. Chiarelli F, Trotta D, Verrotti A, Mohn A. Treatment of hypertension and microalbuminuria in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Pediatr Diabetes*. 2002;3:113–124.
213. Rooke TW, Hirsch AT, Misra S, Sidawy AN, Beckman JA, Finkelstein LK, Goltzarian J, Gornik HL, Halperin JL, Jaff MR, Moneta GL, Olin JW, Stanley JC, White CJ, White JV, Zierler RE; American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Interventional Radiology, Society for Vascular Medicine, Society for Vascular Surgery. 2011 ACCF/AHA focused update of the guideline for the management of patients with peripheral artery disease (updating the 2005 guideline): a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association task force on practice guidelines. *Circulation*. 2011;124:2020–2045.
214. Christiansen E, Madsbad S. Smoking and diabetes mellitus [in Danish]. *Ugeskr Laeger*. 1989;151:3050–3053.
215. Eliasson B. Cigarette smoking and diabetes. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis*. 2003;45:405–413.
216. Schwab KO, Doerfer J, Hallermann K, Krebs A, Schorb E, Krebs K, Winkler K. Marked smoking-associated increase of cardiovascular risk in childhood type 1 diabetes. *Int J Adolesc Med Health*. 2008;20:285–292.
217. Tonstad S. Cigarette smoking, smoking cessation, and diabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2009;85:4–13.
218. Cadario F, Prodam F, Pasqualicchio S, Bellone S, Bonsignori I, Demarchi I, Monzani A, Bona G. Lipid profile and nutritional intake in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes improve after a structured dietician training to a Mediterranean-style diet. *J Endocrinol Invest*. 2012;35:160–168.
219. Rodriguez BL, Fujimoto WY, Mayer-Davis EJ, Imperatore G, Williams DE, Bell RA, Wadwa RP, Palla SL, Liu LL, Kershner A, Daniels SR, Linder B. Prevalence of cardiovascular disease risk factors in U.S. children and adolescents with diabetes: the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth Study. *Diabetes Care*. 2006;29:1891–1896.
220. Margeisdottir HD, Larsen JR, Brunborg C, Overby NC, Dahl-Jørgensen K; Norwegian Study Group for Childhood Diabetes. High prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes: a population-based study. *Diabetologia*. 2008;51:554–561.
221. Schwab KO, Doerfer J, Hecker W, Grulich-Henn J, Wiemann D, Kordonouri O, Beyer P, Holl RW; DPV Initiative of the German Working Group for Pediatric Diabetology. Spectrum and prevalence of atherogenic risk factors in 27,358 children, adolescents, and young adults with type 1 diabetes: cross-sectional data from the German diabetes documentation and quality management system (DPV). *Diabetes Care*. 2006;29:218–225.
222. Schwab KO, Doerfer J, Marg W, Schober E, Holl RW; DPV Science Initiative and the Competence Network Diabetes Mellitus. Characterization of 33488 children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes based on the gender-specific increase of cardiovascular risk factors. *Pediatr Diabetes*. 2010;11:357–363.
223. Maahs DM, Wadwa RP, McFann K, Nadeau K, Williams MR, Eckel RH, Klingensmith GJ. Longitudinal lipid screening and use of lipid-lowering medications in pediatric type 1 diabetes. *J Pediatr*. 2007;150:146–150.e2.
224. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM. Prevalence of obesity and trends in body mass index among US children and adolescents, 1999–2010. *JAMA*. 2012;307:483–490.
225. Luczyński W, Szybowska A, Bossowski A, Ramotowska A, Rećko P, Rembińska M, Tercjak M, Blecharczyk B, Lachowska U, Suchoń P, Wiśniewska K, Bernatowicz P, Głowińska-Olszewska B. Overweight, obesity and metabolic syndrome in children with type 1 diabetes mellitus [in Polish]. *Pediatr Endocrinol Diabetes Metab*. 2010;16:83–88.
226. van Vliet M, Van der Heyden JC, Diamant M, Von Rosenstiel IA, Schindhelm RK, Aanstoot HJ, Veeze HJ. Overweight is highly prevalent in children with type 1 diabetes and associates with cardiometabolic risk. *J Pediatr*. 2010;156:923–929.
227. van Vliet M, van der Heyden JC, Diamant M, von Rosenstiel IA, Schindhelm RK, Heymans MW, Brandjes DP, Beijnen JH, Aanstoot HJ, Veeze HJ. Overweight children with type 1 diabetes have a more favourable lipid profile than overweight non-diabetic children. *Eur J Pediatr*. 2012;171:493–498.
228. Daniels SR, Greer FR; Committee on Nutrition. Lipid screening and cardiovascular health in childhood. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122:198–208.
229. Kavey RE, Allada V, Daniels SR, Hayman LL, McCrindle BW, Newburger JW, Parekh RS, Steinberger J. Cardiovascular risk reduction in high-risk pediatric patients: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Expert Panel on Population and Prevention Science; the Councils on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, Epidemiology and Prevention, Nutrition, Physical Activity and Metabolism, High Blood Pressure Research, Cardiovascular Nursing, and the Kidney in Heart Disease; and the Interdisciplinary Working Group on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research. *Circulation*. 2006;114:2710–2738.
230. Salem MA, Aboelrasr MA, Elbarbary NS, Elhilaly RA, Refaat YM. Is exercise a therapeutic tool for improvement of cardiovascular risk factors in adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus? A randomised controlled trial. *Diabetol Metab Syndr*. 2010;2:47.
231. Haller MJ, Stein JM, Shuster JJ, Theriaque D, Samyn MM, Pepine C, Silverstein JH. Pediatric Atorvastatin in Diabetes Trial (PADIT): a pilot study to determine the effect of atorvastatin on arterial stiffness and endothelial function in children with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab*. 2009;22:65–68.
232. McCrindle BW, Urbina EM, Dennison BA, Jacobson MS, Steinberger J, Rocchini AP, Hayman LL, Daniels SR. Drug therapy of high-risk lipid abnormalities in children and adolescents: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Atherosclerosis, Hypertension, and Obesity in Youth Committee, Council of Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, with the Council on Cardiovascular Nursing. *Circulation*. 2007;115:1948–1967.
233. Adolescent type 1 Diabetes Cardio-renal Intervention Trial Research Group. Adolescent type 1 Diabetes Cardio-renal Intervention Trial (ADDIT). *BMC Pediatr*. 2009;9:79.
234. Greenland P, Alpert JS, Beller GA, Benjamin EJ, Budoff MJ, Fayad ZA, Foster E, Hlatky MA, Hodgson JM, Kushner FG, Lauer MS, Shaw LJ, Smith SC Jr, Taylor AJ, Weintraub WS, Wenger NK, Jacobs AK. 2010 ACCF/AHA guideline for assessment of cardiovascular risk in asymptomatic adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2010;122:e584–e636.
235. Zgibor JC, Piatt GA, Ruppert K, Orchard TJ, Roberts MS. Deficiencies of cardiovascular risk prediction models for type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2006;29:1860–1865.
236. Zgibor JC, Ruppert K, Orchard TJ, Soedamah-Muthu SS, Fuller J, Chaturvedi N, Roberts MS. Development of a coronary heart disease risk prediction model for type 1 diabetes: the Pittsburgh CHD in Type 1 Diabetes Risk Model. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2010;88:314–321.
237. Zgibor JC, Orchard TJ, Saul M, Piatt G, Ruppert K, Stewart A, Siminerio LM. Developing and validating a diabetes database in a large health system. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2007;75:313–319.
238. American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes—2011. *Diabetes Care*. 2011;34(suppl 1):S11–S61.
239. Snell-Bergeon JK, Hokanson JE, Kinney GL, Dabelea D, Ehrlich J, Eckel RH, Ogden L, Rewers M. Measurement of abdominal fat by CT compared to waist circumference and BMI in explaining the presence of coronary calcium. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2004;28:1594–1599.
240. Greenland P, Bonow RO, Brundage BH, Budoff MJ, Eisenberg MJ, Grundy SM, Lauer MS, Post WS, Raggi P, Redberg RF, Rodgers GP, Shaw LJ, Taylor AJ, Weintraub WS, Harrington RA, Abrams J, Anderson JL, Bates ER, Grines CL, Hlatky MA, Lichtenberg RC, Lindner JR, Pohost GM, Schofield RS, Shubrooks SJ Jr, Stein JH, Tracy CM, Vogel RA, Wesley DJ. ACCF/AHA 2007 clinical expert consensus document on coronary artery calcium scoring by computed tomography in global cardiovascular risk assessment and in evaluation of patients with chest pain: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Clinical Expert Consensus Task Force (ACCF/AHA Writing Committee to Update the 2000 Expert Consensus Document on Electron Beam Computed Tomography). *Circulation*. 2007;115:402–426.
241. Raggi P, Shaw LJ, Berman DS, Callister TQ. Prognostic value of coronary artery calcium screening in subjects with and without diabetes. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004;43:1663–1669.
242. Rask-Madsen C, King GL. Mechanisms of disease: endothelial dysfunction in insulin resistance and diabetes. *Nat Clin Pract Endocrinol Metab*. 2007;3:46–56.

KEY WORDS: AHA Scientific Statements ■ cardiovascular disease ■ type 1 diabetes mellitus

Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus and Cardiovascular Disease: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association and American Diabetes Association

Sarah D. de Ferranti, Ian H. de Boer, Vivian Fonseca, Caroline S. Fox, Sherita Hill Golden, Carl J. Lavie, Sheela N. Magge, Nikolaus Marx, Darren K. McGuire, Trevor J. Orchard, Bernard Zinman and Robert H. Eckel

Circulation. 2014;130:1110-1130; originally published online August 11, 2014;
doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000034

Circulation is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231
Copyright © 2014 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.
Print ISSN: 0009-7322. Online ISSN: 1524-4539

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the
World Wide Web at:

<http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/130/13/1110>

Data Supplement (unedited) at:

<http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/suppl/2014/08/11/CIR.0000000000000034.DC1>

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in *Circulation* can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the [Permissions and Rights Question and Answer](#) document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
<http://www.lww.com/reprints>

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to *Circulation* is online at:
<http://circ.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/>

Table 1s - Prevalence and Incidence of CVD (Coronary Heart Disease and Stroke) in T1DM. Incidence is presented as percentage over the course of follow-up or in person-years (py).

Study (Author, Year, PMID)	Study design	Population	Diabetes duration	Definition of CVD	Baseline Prevalence	Follow-up	Incidence
Roy, 2012 PMID: 22652842	Retrospective medical record review	725 African Americans with T1DM in Newark, NJ Follow-up on 444 (62.1%) Mean HbA1c 13.5%	10.8 years	Discharge diagnosis ICD-9 codes	Any CVD: 12.4% CHD: 9% Stroke: 4.5%	6-year	Any CVD: 15.5% CHD: 12.6% Stroke: 3.3%
Conway, 2009 PMID: 20368215	Retrospective medical record and death certificate review	Pittsburgh EDC Study 658 diagnosed with T1DM in 1950-1980 with follow-up exams in 1986 and 1988	20 years	-Fatal CHD: autopsy, coroner, or medical record -Non-fatal CHD: medical records, Q-waves on EKG or revascularization procedures (CABG, angioplasty, coronary endarterectomy, or coronary artery stenosis $\geq 50\%$)	None	Mean follow-up 15 years	Non-fatal MI: overall 19% men: 22% Women: 16% Fatal CHD: 8.39% overall
Waden, 2009 PMID: 19651819	Retrospective medical record review	Finnish Diabetic Nephropathy (Finn Diane) Study 1,845 with T1DM HbA1c 8.5%	22 years \pm 11.9 years	CVD includes MI, coronary artery procedure (CABG, angioplasty), stroke (ischemic, hemorrhagic), limb amputation secondary to ischemia, or peripheral artery procedure	(ND)	5.7 years	Total CVD: 8.6%

De Ferranti et al. Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus and Cardiovascular Disease
 Supplemental Tables (online only)

Shankar, 2007 PMID: 17526864	Retrospective death certificate review	Total cohort 996 with T1DM Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy (WESDR) ~90% White HbA1c range 5.6% to 19.5%	>12 years	ICD-9 codes 410-459 (CHD, stroke) listed as underlying or contributory cause	Angina: 3.1% MI: 3% Stroke: 1.1%	16 years	Based on 879 without baseline CVD: CVD mortality 15%
Stettler, 2006 PMID: 16918825	Retrospective death certificate review	WHO multinational study of vascular disease in DM, Switzerland data 165 (of original 225)	23.5 years	Death due to cardiac disease and ischemic heart disease (IHD) ICD-9 codes on death certificate: 410-414 for IHD	(ND)	14 years	CHD mortality: 17%

De Ferranti et al. Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus and Cardiovascular Disease
Supplemental Tables (online only)

Soedamah-Muthu, 2006 PMID: 16567818	Retrospective electronic medical record review	UK General Practice Research Database (GPRD) 7,479 with DM, 38,116 without DM	15 years	MI, coronary revascularizations, stroke	3% at baseline (vs. 1% in non-diabetics)	4.7 years	Absolute risk/1000 person years Acute CHD: Men: 3.5 (2.7-4.4) Women: 2.9 (2.2-3.9) Coronary revascularization: Men: 2.0 (1.5-2.8) Women: 1.5 (1.0 – 2.3) Stroke (fatal and non-fatal): Men: 2.7 (2.1-3.6) Women: 2.0 (1.4-2.8)
Nathan, 2005 PMID: 16371630	RCT of intensive vs. conventional diabetes management	DCCT/EDIC Follow-up Study 1,441 with T1DM 1,422 completed DCCT and joined EDIC -HbA1c 7.8-7.9%	23-24 years	Non-fatal MI, stroke, CVD death, confirmed angina, coronary artery revascularization, sub-clinical/silent MI via annual ECG	none	17 years	144 events in 83 participants Intensive group: 0.38/100 person-years Conventional group: 0.80/100 person-years
Janghorbani, M 2007	Prospective cohort	Nurses' Health Study	31.4 years	CVA	(ND)	24 years	33/303 women with T1DM had a CVA (475/100,000 person-years)

De Ferranti et al. Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus and Cardiovascular Disease
Supplemental Tables (online only)

Skrivarhaug, 2006 PMID: 16365724	Retrospective registry review	Norwegian Childhood Diabetes Registry 1,096 with T1DM (872 female, 1,034 male)	Up to 30 years	Death certificates and ICD-10 codes (underlying cause only) CVD death: I20-I25 and I44-I49—acute MI, fatal arrhythmia CVA death: I60-I69—ischemic cerebral and intracranial hemorrhagic events	(ND)	Over 24.2 years with 46,147 person-years follow-up	CHD: 26.3/100,000 py in men 10.3/100,00 py in women CVA: Men: 17.6/100,000 py Women: 0/100,000 py
Klein BEK, 2004 PMID: 15451768	Prospective cohort and death certificate review	WESRD 996 with T1DM	(ND)	Any CVD: (ICD-9 402, 404, 410-429; ICD-10 I20-I51)	angina 3.1% myocardial infarction 3.0% stroke 1.0%	20 years	Age-adjusted cumulative incidence Angina: 18.1% MI: 14.8% Stroke: 5.9%
Schram, 2003 PMID: 14597846	Prospective cohort with medical record review	EURODIAB Prospective Complications Study Europeans with T1DM 2,565 with full CVD data -HbA1c 6.7%	13.3 years	MI, angina, CABG, stroke, and/or ischemic changes on centrally coded ECG, and/or fatal CHD, stroke, or other CVD	CVD at baseline: 9.6%	7.4 years	All CVD 6.35% ECG abnormality: 3.74% Angina: 1.09% MI: 2.1% Stroke: 0.74% CABG: 0.43% CVD death: 0.62%

De Ferranti et al. Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus and Cardiovascular Disease
Supplemental Tables (online only)

<p>Laing, 2003 PMID: 12774166</p>	<p>Death certificate and medical record review</p>	<p>23,751 insulin-treated diabetic individuals <30 years identified 1972-1973 in United Kingdom</p>	<p>(ND)</p>	<p>Death certificate codes for CVD: ICD-9 391-398, 402, 404-429 CHD: ICD-9 410-414, 429.2</p>	<p>excluded</p>	<p>17 years, contributing 404,073 person-years</p>	<p>CHD mortality: Men: 107/100,000 person-years Women: 73/100,000 person-years All heart disease: Men 26.4/100,000 person-years 1-39 years of age 1194.9/100,000 person-years 40-84 years of age Women 27.4/100,000 person-years 1-39 years of age 804.5/100,000 person-years 40-84 years of age</p>
<p>Liang, 2003 PMID: 12574553</p>	<p>Death certificate and medical record review</p>	<p>23,751 insulin-treated DM individuals <30 years identified 1972-1973 in United Kingdom</p>	<p>(ND)</p>	<p>Overall CVA mortality ICD-9 codes 430-438 Hemorrhagic (430.0-432.9) Non-hemorrhagic (430.0-437.1)</p>	<p>excluded</p>	<p>17 years</p>	<p>CVA Men: 18.7/100,000 person-years Women: 21.1/100,000 person-years</p>

De Ferranti et al. Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus and Cardiovascular Disease
 Supplemental Tables (online only)

Weis, 2001 PMID: 11704692	Death certificate and medical record review	147 individuals with T1DM Portsmouth Outpatient Clinics in United Kingdom	5-20 years	CHD established by Rose questionnaire or via ECG using Minnesota-coded 12-lead ECG. Causes of death ascertained from death certificate, hospital notes, or postmortem exams	(excluded)	>14 years	CVD death: 6.8% Fatal and non-fatal CHD: 17%
------------------------------	---	---	------------	---	------------	-----------	--

CHD – coronary heart disease, CVA - cerebrovascular disease, CVD - cardiovascular disease, Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT), EDIC - Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications ICD - International Classification of Diseases, PAD – peripheral artery disease, GPRD - General Practice Research Database, T1DM – type 1 diabetes mellitus.

Table 2s - T1DM and peripheral arterial disease (PAD). All references notated by their PMID number.

Study (Author, Year, PMID)	Study design	Population	Diabetes duration	Definition of PAD	Baseline prevalence	Follow-up	Incidence
McAlpine 2005 PMID 15717888	population-based registry	942 T1DM patients from Tayside, Scotland obtained from the Diabetes Audit and Research in Tayside, Scotland (DARTS) registry who were free of LEA	(ND)	LEA	(excluded)	(ND)	per 1000 patients with T1DM PAD: 5.5 (2.4-12.8) LEA: 3.2 (1.2-9.4)
Moss 1999 PMID 10372248, PMID 1546925	Population-based study	-906 T1DM patients from the WESDR (defined as age of onset of <30 years). -mean A1c 10.8%	13.5 years	LEA	2.4%	14 years	LEA 7.2%
Jonasson et al, 2008 (PMID 18443192)	National health registry data	-31,354 patients with T1DM from the Swedish Inpatient Registry identified from 1975-2004 compared to the Swedish population -incident non-traumatic LEA	(ND)	LEA	(ND)	12.5 years	by age 65 years, cumulative probability of LEA was 11% (women) and 20.7% (men)
Olson 2002 PMID 11833057	Prospective cohort	586 T1DM patients from the Pittsburgh Epidemiology Complications Study mean HbA1c 10.3-10.9	19 years	claudication, ischemia, ulceration, gangrene, amputation, infection, necrobiosis diabeticorum	(excluded)	10 years	incidence 1.3/100 person-years
Roy et al, 2008 (PMID 18346155)	Prospective cohort	457 African Americans with T1DM -mean HbA1c 13.5%	10.4 years	history of amputation or leg angioplasty	(excluded)	6 years	5.7% of patients developed LEAD

De Ferranti et al. Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus and Cardiovascular Disease
Supplemental Tables (online only)

ABI – ankle-brachial index, DCCT - , DM - diabetes mellitus, EDIC - LEAD - lower extremity arterial disease, T1DM – type 1 diabetes mellitus, WESDR - Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy.

Table 3s – T1DM, cIMT and CAC. All references notated by their PMID number.

Study	Population	Epidemiology Data
cIMT		
Margeirsdottir 2010 PMID 20530748	-314 T1DM children and adolescents from Norway compared to 118 age-matched healthy controls -mean age 13.7 years -mean DM duration 5.5 years -mean A1c 8.4%	-mean cIMT higher in boys with T1DM (0.46 mm) vs controls (0.44 mm, p=0.04); no difference observed in girls -no carotid plaques were observed
Yamasaki 1996 PMID 8168638	-105 patients with T1DM (4-25 years of age), 529 patients with type 2 DM (31-86 years of age), 104 non-DM controls (7-76 years of age) -DM duration 0.5-49 years	-cIMT values in T1DM patients (10-19 years of age, 0.525 mm) or 20-25 years of age (0.696 mm) were greater than age-matched non-DM patients (0.444, p=0.01 to p<0.001)
Jarvisalo 2002 PMID 11812760	-50 T1DM patients, 35 age-sex-body size matched controls -mean age 11 years -DM duration 4.4 years -mean HbA1c 8.9%	-mean cIMT higher in T1DM patients (0.47 mm) vs controls (0.42 mm, p<0.001)
Dalla Pozza 2007 PMID 17374703	-150 T1DM patients -mean HbA1c 7.8% -mean age 13.9 years	-mean cIMT higher in T1DM (0.46 mm) vs controls (0.42 mm, p=0.002) in multivariable models adjusted for age, sex, DM duration, BMI, systolic blood pressure
DCCT/EDIC, 2003 (PMID 12788993)	-1229 T1DM patients (611 conventional Rx; 618 intensive Rx) from the DCCT trial followed longer term as part of the EDIC study and 222 healthy controls (mean age 39 years) -carotid ultrasound in 1994-1996 and 1998-2000 -mean age 34-36 years -mean DM duration 13.3-14.2 years -mean HbA1c during DCCT: 7.2-9.1% -mean HbA1c year 1 EDIC: 7.8-8.3%	-by year 6 of EDIC, T1DM cIMT greater than non-DM controls (p<0.003) -less progression of IMT in the intensive arm of DCCT (0.032 mm) vs the conventional arm (0.023 mm, p=0.01)

De Ferranti et al. Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus and Cardiovascular Disease
Supplemental Tables (online only)

Distiller et al, 2006 (PMID 16949514)	-148 patients with T1DM of relatively-long duration (>18 years) -mean age 48 years -mean DM duration 26 years -median HbA1c 7.8% -examined carotid plaque (defined as irregular thickening of at least 1.5 mm) in addition to cIMT	-multivariable predictors of IMT are age, DM duration, BMI, hypertension, HDL -multivariable predictors of carotid plaque are age, HTN, smoking, retinopathy
Larsen et al, 2004 (PMID 15759107)	-39 T1DM patients followed prospectively for 18 years compared to a healthy reference population -mean age 42-44 years -mean DM duration 30-31 years -mean HbA1c 8.1-8.3% -examined cIMT and iVUS	-IMT consistently higher in T1DM compared to a healthy population across age groups (35-45 and 45-55 years) - IMT associated with percent coronary vessel area stenosis by IVUS (r=0.43, p=0.034)
Ogawa et al, 2009 (PMID 19763015)	-73 T1DM patients with long DM duration (at least 20 years) -mean age 37.2-38.4 years -mean duration 26.4-27.8 years -mean A1c 7.7-8.1% -examined cIMT and plaque, defined as $IMT \geq 1.1$ mm	-multivariable correlates of cIMT include age and dyslipidemia -age, age at DM diagnosis, and HbA1c during adolescence associated with plaque presence
CAC		
Lopes-Virella et al. 2011 DCCT (PMID:21156319)	476 T1DM patients from DCCT	Oxidized LDL from baseline samples correlates with subsequent development of CAC 11-20 years later
Snell-Bergeon JK et al. 2010 (PMID: 21059097) Schauer et al. 2011 (PMID: 20978091)	CACTI study of T1DM patients.	Measures of mean glucose and glucose variability were associated with CAC in men. Higher non-esterified fatty acid levels were associated with CAC
Rodrigues TC et al. 2010 (PMID: 21088805)	100 patients with T1DM but without CAD or end-stage renal disease	Insulin resistance, measured using the estimated glucose disposal rate (eGDR), was worse in those with worse CAC
Rodrigues TC et al. 2011 (PMID: 20855932)	261 patients with T1DM, 100 of them had CAC measured; cross sectional study	Hypertension is associated with CAC more strongly than metabolic syndrome

De Ferranti et al. Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus and Cardiovascular Disease
Supplemental Tables (online only)

Salem M et al. 2011 (PMID: 20706852)	60 adolescents (ages 12-18 years) with T1DM for 10 to 15 years duration	Twelve patients with diabetes (20%) had positive CAC. CAC was associated with smoking, age, duration of diabetes, severe retinopathy, nephropathy and higher A1C
Maurovich-Horvat P et al. 2010 (PMID: 20581785)	21 patients with T1DM, cross-sectional study	CAC was associated with measures of glucose disposal, age, disease duration, waist circumference, LDL but not A1C.
Conway B et al. 2010 (PMID: 20388043)	105 patients from the Pittsburgh EDC study.	CAC was associated with skin fluorescence, an indicator of accumulation of advanced glycation end products (AGEs), particularly in those with severe CAC.
Colhoun HM et al.2008 (PMID: 18230111)	199 patients with T1DM	Serum IgG to bacteria involved in periodontal disease <i>P. gingivalis</i> and <i>A. actinomycetemcomitans</i> are associated with coronary artery atherosclerosis
Conway B et al. 2007 (PMID: 18158704)	315 individuals with T1DM. Mean age and diabetes duration were 42 and 34 years.	Adiposity was measured by CT (visceral and subcutaneous). Adiposity was associated with the presence but not the degree of CAC.

CAC – coronary artery calcification, DM - diabetes mellitus, T1DM – type 1 diabetes mellitus, WESDR - Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy.

Table 4s - Published Genetic Associations of Clinical and Sub-clinical cardiovascular disease in T1DM

Gene	Population	Results
F12 46C>T genotype (PMID 12052484)	-190 T1DM participants and 192 controls -mean age 38 years -outcome is CAC	-no association by 46C>T genotype at CAC in participants with T1DM(p=0.7)
APOA4 Gln360His polymorphism (PMID 16770585)	-634 participants with T1DM and 739 non-DM controls from the Coronary Artery Calcification in T1DM (CACTI study) -mean age 36.8-39.2 years -mean DM duration 23 years -mean A1c 7.7-7.9% -outcome is CAC and CAC progression over 2.4 years by EBCT	-His360 SNP associated with higher CAC progression in T1DM(33.7 vs 21.2%, p=0.01) but not in non-DM participants (p=0.42) -per copy of the risk allele, the OR of CAC progression was 2.0 (95% CI 1.1-3.6, p=0.025) after adjusting for age, sex, DM duration, follow-up duration
HNF1A (PMID 20546258)	-39 British families with HNF1A mutations: 153 mutation carriers and 241 controls -outcome is CVD mortality	-mutation carriers have a hazard ratio of CVD death of 2.6 (95% CI 1.5-4.4, p=0.001)
NOS3 rs1799983 (PMID 19246226)	-319 normo-albuminuric patients with T1DM and 458 T1DM patients with macroalbuminuria -outcome is CVD by medical record review	-the GG genotype of rs1799983 is associated with reduced CVD risk in normo-albuminuric patients (p=0.003) but not in patients with macroalbuminuria -in multivariable analyses, the hazard ratio was 0.32 (95% CI 0.12-0.82, p=0.018) for the GG genotype
MTHFR C677T (PMID 12049616)	-354 T1DM participants and 392 type 2 DM participants -CAD defined as acute MI, CABG, or angioplasty	-no association between the C667T SNP and vascular end-points
LIPC-480C>T (PMID 11916946)	-97 T1DM participants -mean age 38 years -mean DM duration 22 years -mean HbA1c 6.7% -outcome is CAC by	-LIPC-480C>T allele frequency 0.24 -T allele more frequent in participants with CAC (31 vs 14%, p=0.006) -T allele associated with CAC (OR 2.9, 95% CI 1.2-6.9, p<0.05) after multivariable adjustment

De Ferranti et al. Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus and Cardiovascular Disease
Supplemental Tables (online only)

<p>SOD2 V16A (PMID 19834686)</p>	<p>-314 normo-albuminuric T1DM patients and 441 patients with DM nephropathy -mean age 42.2-43.7 years -mean DM duration 23-27 years -mean HbA1c 8.3-9.3% -outcome is composite CVD including stroke, MI, CABG, angioplasty</p>	<p>-participants with the VV+AV genotypes had a hazard ratio for CVD of 1.6 (95%CI 1.03-2.5, p=0.037) after adjustment for age of DM onset, HbA1c, sex, smoking, DM duration</p>
<p>NPY Leu7Pro (PMID 14747236)</p>	<p>-996 T1DM participants from the Finnish Diabetic Nephropathy Study -Composite CHD outcome (MI, CABG, angioplasty)</p>	<p>-patients with Pro7 substitution had a higher prevalence of CHD (14 vs 8%, p=0.04) And was associated with CHD in stepwise regression (p=0.006)</p>
<p>AGT M235T ATR1 A1166C ACE I/D (PMID 17327458)</p>	<p>-585 T1DM patients And 592 controls from the CACTI study -outcome is CAC progression by EBCT after 2.5 years</p>	<p>-CAC prevalence higher in carriers of AGT235TT genotype vs MT and MM genotypes (36 vs 21.2vs 33.3%, p=0.038) among participants without albuminuria -CAC progression higher in carriers of the TT genotype (p=0.001) -no association observed with AGT or ACE SNPs -In combination, CAC Progression more rapid in those with the TT-ID-AA/AC genotype among ppts not on ACE/ARB medication (OR 11.6, 95% CI 4.5-29.6, p<0.001)</p>