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Summary
Each year, the American Heart Association (AHA), in conjunction with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the National Institutes of Health, and other government agencies, brings together the most up-to-date statistics on heart disease, stroke, other vascular diseases, and their risk factors and presents them in its Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics—2014 Update. The Statistical Update is a critical resource for researchers, clinicians, healthcare policy makers, media professionals, the lay public, and many others who seek the best available national data on heart disease, stroke, and other cardiovascular disease–related morbidity and mortality and the risks, quality of care, use of medical procedures and operations, and costs associated with the management of these diseases in a single document. Indeed, since 1999, the Statistical Update has been cited >10,500 times in the literature, based on citations of all annual versions. In 2012 alone, the various Statistical Updates were cited >3,500 times (data from Google Scholar). In recent years, the Statistical Update has undergone some major changes with the addition of new chapters and major updates across multiple areas, as well as increasing the number of ways to access and use the information assembled.

For this year’s edition, the Statistics Committee, which produces the document for the AHA, updated all of the current chapters with the most recent nationally representative data and inclusion of relevant articles from the literature over the past year. This year’s edition includes a new chapter on peripheral artery disease, as well as new data on the monitoring and benefits of cardiovascular health in the population, with additional new focus on evidence-based approaches to changing behaviors, implementation strategies, and implications of the AHA’s 2020 Impact Goals. Below are a few highlights from this year’s Update.

The 2014 Update Expands Data Coverage of the Epidemic of Poor Cardiovascular Health Behaviors and Their Antecedents and Consequences

- Adjusted estimated population attributable fractions for cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality were as follows: 40.6% (95% confidence interval [CI], 24.5%–54.6%) for high blood pressure; 13.7% (95% CI, 4.8%–22.3%) for smoking; 13.2% (95% CI, 3.5%–29.2%) for poor diet; 11.9% (95% CI, 1.3%–22.3%) for insufficient physical activity; and 8.8% (95% CI, 2.1%–15.4%) for abnormal blood glucose levels.

- Although significant progress has been made over the past 4 decades, in 2012, among Americans ≥18 years of age, 20.5% of men and 15.9% of women continued to be cigarette smokers. In 2011, 18.1% of students in grades 9 through 12 reported current cigarette use.

- The percentage of the nonsmoking population with exposure to secondhand smoke (as measured by serum cotinine levels ≥0.05 ng/mL) declined from 52.5% in 1999 to 2000 to 40.1% in 2007 to 2008. More than half of children 3 to 11 years of age (53.6%) and almost half of those 12 to 19 years of age (46.5%) had detectable levels, compared with just over a third of adults 20 years of age and older (36.7%).

- The proportion of youth (≤18 years of age) who report engaging in no regular physical activity is high, and the proportion increases with age.

- In 2011, among adolescents in grades 9 through 12, 17.7% of girls and 10.0% of boys reported that they had not engaged in ≥60 minutes of moderate to vigorous physical activity (defined as any activity that increased heart rate or breathing rate) at least once in the previous 7 days, despite recommendations that children engage in such activity 7 days per week.

- In 2012, 29.9% of adults reported engaging in no aerobic leisure-time physical activity.

- In 2009 to 2010, <1% of Americans met at least 4 of 5 healthy dietary goals. Among adults aged ≥20 years, only 12.3% met recommended goals for fruits and vegetables; 18.3% met goals for fish; 0.6% met goals for sodium; 51.9% met goals for sugar-sweetened beverages; and 7.3% met goals for whole grains. These proportions were even lower in children, with only 29.4% of adolescents aged 12 to 19 years meeting goals for low sugar-sweetened beverage intake.

- The estimated prevalence of overweight and obesity in US adults (≥20 years of age) is 154.7 million, which represented 68.2% of this group in 2010. Nearly 35% of US adults are obese (body mass index ≥30 kg/m²). Men and women of all race/ethnic groups in the population are affected by the epidemic of overweight and obesity.

- Among children 2 to 19 years of age, 31.8% are overweight and obese (which represents 23.9 million children) and 16.9% are obese (12.7 million children). Mexican American boys and girls and African American girls are disproportionately affected. From 1971-1974 to 2007-2010, the prevalence of obesity in children 6 to 11 years of age has increased from 4.0% to 18.8%.

- Obesity (body mass index ≥30 kg/m²) is associated with marked excess mortality in the US population. Even more notable is the excess morbidity associated with overweight and obesity in terms of risk factor development and incidence of diabetes mellitus, CVD end points (including coronary heart disease, stroke, and heart failure), and numerous other health conditions, including asthma, cancer, end-stage renal disease, degenerative joint disease, and many others.

Prevalence and Control of Cardiovascular Health Factors and Risks Remain an Issue for Many Americans

- An estimated 31.9 million adults ≥20 years of age have total serum cholesterol levels ≥240 mg/dL, with a prevalence of 13.8%.
Based on 2007 to 2010 data, 33.0% of US adults ≥20 years of age have hypertension. This represents ≈78 million US adults with hypertension. The prevalence of hypertension is similar for men and women. African American adults have among the highest prevalence of hypertension (44%) in the world.

Among hypertensive Americans, ≈82% are aware of their condition and 75% are using antihypertensive medication, but only 53% of those with documented hypertension have their condition controlled to target levels.

In 2010, an estimated 19.7 million Americans had diagnosed diabetes mellitus, representing 8.3% of the adult population. An additional 8.2 million had undiagnosed diabetes mellitus, and 38.2% had prediabetes, with abnormal fasting glucose levels. African Americans, Mexican Americans, Hispanic/Latino individuals, and other ethnic minorities bear a strikingly disproportionate burden of diabetes mellitus in the United States.

The prevalence of diabetes mellitus is increasing dramatically over time, in parallel with the increases in prevalence of overweight and obesity.

Rates of Death Attributable to CVD Have Declined, but the Burden of Disease Remains High

The 2010 overall rate of death attributable to CVD was 235.5 per 100,000. The rates were 278.4 per 100,000 for white males, 369.2 per 100,000 for black males, 192.2 per 100,000 for white females, and 260.5 per 100,000 for black females.

From 2000 to 2010, death rates attributable to CVD declined 31.0%. In the same 10-year period, the actual number of CVD deaths per year declined by 16.7%. Yet in 2010, CVD (100–199; Q20–Q28) still accounted for 31.9% (787,650) of all 2,468,435 deaths, or ≈1 of every 3 deaths in the United States.

On the basis of 2010 death rate data, >2,150 Americans die of CVD each day, an average of 1 death every 40 seconds. About 150,000 Americans who died of CVD in 2010 were <65 years of age. In 2010, 34% of deaths attributable to CVD occurred before the age of 75 years, which is before the current average life expectancy of 78.7 years. The Coronary heart disease alone caused ≈1 of every 6 deaths in the United States in 2010. In 2010, 379,559 Americans died of CHD. Each year, an estimated ≈620,000 Americans have a new coronary attack (defined as first hospitalized myocardial infarction or coronary heart disease death) and ≈295,000 have a recurrent attack. It is estimated that an additional 150,000 silent first myocardial infarctions occur each year. Approximately every 34 seconds, 1 American has a coronary event, and approximately every 1 minute 23 seconds, an American will die of one.

From 2000 to 2010, the relative rate of stroke death fell by 35.8% and the actual number of stroke deaths declined by 22.8%. Yet each year, ≈795,000 people continue to experience a new or recurrent stroke (ischemic or hemorrhagic). Approximately 610,000 of these are first events and 185,000 are recurrent stroke events. In 2010, stroke caused ≈1 of every 19 deaths in the United States. On average, every 40 seconds, someone in the United States has a stroke, and someone dies of one approximately every 4 minutes.

The decline in stroke mortality over the past decades, a major improvement in population health observed for both sexes and all race and age groups, has resulted from reduced stroke incidence and lower case fatality rates. The significant improvements in stroke outcomes are concurrent with cardiovascular risk factor control interventions. The hypertension control efforts initiated in the 1970s appear to have had the most substantial influence on the accelerated decline in stroke mortality, with lower blood pressure distributions in the population. Control of diabetes mellitus and high cholesterol and smoking cessation programs, particularly in combination with hypertension treatment, also appear to have contributed to the decline in stroke mortality.

In 2010, 1 in 9 death certificates (279,098 deaths) in the United States mentioned heart failure. Heart failure was the underlying cause in 57,757 of these deaths in 2010. The number of any-mention deaths attributable to heart failure was approximately as high in 1995 (287,000) as it was in 2010 (279,000). Additionally, hospital discharges for heart failure remained stable from 2000 to 2010, with first-listed discharges of 1,008,000 and 1,023,000, respectively.

The 2014 Update Provides Critical Data About Cardiovascular Quality of Care, Procedure Utilization, and Costs

In light of the current national focus on healthcare utilization, costs, and quality, it is critical to monitor and understand the magnitude of healthcare delivery and costs, as well as the quality of healthcare delivery, related to CVD risk factors and conditions. The Statistical Update provides these critical data in several sections.

Quality-of-Care Metrics for CVDs

Quality data are available from the AHA’s Get With The Guidelines programs for coronary heart disease, heart failure, and resuscitation and from the American Stroke Association/AHA’s Get With The Guidelines program for acute stroke. Similar data from the Veterans Healthcare Administration, national Medicare and Medicaid data, and Acute Coronary Treatment and Intervention Outcomes Network (ACTION)–Get With The Guidelines Registry data are also reviewed. These data show impressive adherence to guideline recommendations for many, but not all, metrics of quality of care for these hospitalized patients. Data are also reviewed on screening for CVD risk factors and control.

Cardiovascular Procedure Use and Costs

The total number of inpatient cardiovascular operations and procedures increased 28%, from 5,939,000 in 2000 to 7,588,000 in 2010 (National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute computation based on National Center for Health Statistics annual data).

The total direct and indirect cost of CVD and stroke in the United States for 2010 is estimated to be $315.4 billion. This figure includes health expenditures (direct costs, which include the cost of physicians and other professionals, hospital services, prescribed medications, home health...
care, and other medical durables) and lost productivity that results from premature mortality (indirect costs).

- By comparison, in 2008, the estimated cost of all cancer and benign neoplasms was $201.5 billion ($77.4 billion in direct costs, and $124 billion in mortality indirect costs). CVD costs more than any other diagnostic group.

The AHA, through its Statistics Committee, continuously monitors and evaluates sources of data on heart disease and stroke in the United States to provide the most current information available in the Statistics Update.

This annual Statistical Update is the product of an entire year’s worth of effort by dedicated professionals, volunteer physicians and scientists, and outstanding AHA staff members, without whom publication of this valuable resource would be impossible. Their contributions are gratefully acknowledged.

Alan S. Go, MD
Melanie B. Turner, MPH
On behalf of the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee

Note: Population data used in the compilation of National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) prevalence estimates are for the latest year of the NHANES survey being used. Extrapolations for NHANES prevalence estimates are based on the census resident population for 2010 because this is the most recent year of NHANES data used in the Statistical Update.
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1. About These Statistics

The AHA works with the CDC’s NCHS, the NHLBI, the NINDS, and other government agencies to derive the annual statistics in this Heart Disease and Stroke Statistical Update. This chapter describes the most important sources and the types of data we use from them. For more details, see Chapter 26 of this document, the Glossary.

The surveys used are:

- BRFSS—ongoing telephone health survey system
- GCNKSS—stroke incidence rates and outcomes within a biracial population
- MEPS—data on specific health services that Americans use, how frequently they use them, the cost of these services, and how the costs are paid
- NHANES—disease and risk factor prevalence and nutrition statistics

Abbreviations Used in Chapter 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AHA</td>
<td>American Heart Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHRQ</td>
<td>Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>angina pectoris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIC</td>
<td>Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRFSS</td>
<td>Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHS</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Health Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED</td>
<td>emergency department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHS</td>
<td>Framingham Heart Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCNKSS</td>
<td>Greater Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky Stroke Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-9-CM</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases, Clinical Modification, 9th Revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-10</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEPS</td>
<td>Medical Expenditure Panel Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAMCS</td>
<td>National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHS</td>
<td>National Center for Health Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHAMCS</td>
<td>National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHDS</td>
<td>National Hospital Discharge Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHHCS</td>
<td>National Home and Hospice Care Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHIS</td>
<td>National Health Interview Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHLBI</td>
<td>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NINDS</td>
<td>National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNHS</td>
<td>National Nursing Home Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAD</td>
<td>peripheral artery disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YRBSS</td>
<td>Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Glossary (Chapter 26) for explanation of terms.

Disease Prevalence

Prevalence is an estimate of how many people have a disease at a given point or period in time. The NCHS conducts health examination and health interview surveys that provide estimates of the prevalence of diseases and risk factors. In this Update, the health interview part of the NHANES is used for the prevalence of CVDs. NHANES is used more than the NHIS because in NHANES, AP is based on the Rose Questionnaire; estimates are made regularly for HF; hypertension is based on BP measurements and interviews; and an estimate can be made for total CVD, including MI, AP, HF, stroke, and hypertension.

A major emphasis of this Statistical Update is to present the latest estimates of the number of people in the United States who have specific conditions to provide a realistic estimate of burden. Most estimates based on NHANES prevalence rates are based on data collected from 2007 to 2010 (in most cases, these are the latest published figures). These are applied to census population estimates for 2010. Differences in population estimates cannot be used to evaluate possible trends in prevalence because these estimates are based on extrapolations of rates beyond the data collection period by use of more recent census population estimates. Trends can only be evaluated by comparing prevalence rates estimated from surveys conducted in different years.

Risk Factor Prevalence

The NHANES 2007 to 2010 data are used in this Update to present estimates of the percentage of people with high lipid values, DM, overweight, and obesity. The NHIS is used for the prevalence of cigarette smoking and physical inactivity.

Data for students in grades 9 through 12 are obtained from the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System (YRBSS).
those in past editions of the Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics Update (also known as the Heart and Stroke Statistical Update for editions before 2005). Doing so can lead to serious misinterpretation of time trends.

**Mortality**

Mortality data are generally presented according to the underlying cause of death. “Any-mention” mortality means that the condition was nominally selected as the underlying cause or was otherwise mentioned on the death certificate. For many deaths classified as attributable to CVD, selection of the single most likely underlying cause can be difficult when several major comorbidities are present, as is often the case in the elderly population. It is useful, therefore, to know the extent of mortality attributable to a given cause regardless of whether it is the underlying cause or a contributing cause (ie, its “any-mention” status). The number of deaths in 2010 with any mention of specific causes of death was tabulated by the NHLBI from the NCHS public-use electronic files on mortality.

The first set of statistics for each disease in this Update includes the number of deaths for which the disease is the underlying cause. Two exceptions are Chapter 9 (High Blood Pressure) and Chapter 19 (Cardiomyopathy and Heart Failure). High BP, or hypertension, increases the mortality risks of CVD and other diseases, and HF should be selected as an underlying cause only when the true underlying cause is not known. In this Update, hypertension and HF death rates are presented in 2 ways: (1) As nominally classified as the underlying cause and (2) as any-mention mortality.

National and state mortality data presented according to the underlying cause of death were computed from the mortality tables of the NCHS World Wide Web site, the Health Data Interactive data system of the NCHS, or the CDC compressed mortality file. Any-mention numbers of deaths were tabulated from the electronic mortality files of the NCHS World Wide Web site and from Health Data Interactive.

**Population Estimates**

In this publication, we have used national population estimates from the US Census Bureau for 2010 in the computation of morbidity data. NCHS population estimates for 2010 were used in the computation of death rate data. The Census Bureau World Wide Web site\(^1\) contains these data, as well as information on the file layout.

**Hospital Discharges and Ambulatory Care Visits**

Estimates of the numbers of hospital discharges and numbers of procedures performed are for inpatients discharged from short-stay hospitals. Discharges include those discharged alive, dead, or with unknown status. Unless otherwise specified, discharges are listed according to the first-listed (primary) diagnosis, and procedures are listed according to all listed procedures (primary plus secondary). These estimates are from the NHDS of the NCHS unless otherwise noted. Ambulatory care visit data include patient visits to physician offices and hospital outpatient departments and EDs. Ambulatory care visit data reflect the first-listed (primary) diagnosis. These estimates are from NAMCS and NHAMCS of the NCHS.

**International Classification of Diseases**

Morbidity (illness) and mortality (death) data in the United States have a standard classification system: the ICD. Approximately every 10 to 20 years, the ICD codes are revised to reflect changes over time in medical technology, diagnosis, or terminology. Where necessary for comparability of mortality trends across the 9th and 10th ICD revisions, comparability ratios computed by the NCHS are applied as noted. Effective with mortality data for 1999, we are using the 10th revision (ICD-10). It will be a few more years before the 10th revision is systematically used for hospital discharge data and ambulatory care visit data, which are based on ICD-9-CM.\(^3\)

**Age Adjustment**

Prevalence and mortality estimates for the United States or individual states comparing demographic groups or estimates over time either are age specific or are age adjusted to the 2000 standard population by the direct method.\(^4\) International mortality data are age adjusted to the European standard.\(^5\) Unless otherwise stated, all death rates in this publication are age adjusted and are deaths per 100,000 population.

**Data Years for National Estimates**

In this Update, we estimate the annual number of new (incidence) and recurrent cases of a disease in the United States by extrapolating to the US population in 2010 from rates reported in a community- or hospital-based study or multiple studies. Age-adjusted incidence rates by sex and race are also given in this report as observed in the study or studies. For US mortality, most numbers and rates are for 2010. For disease and risk factor prevalence, most rates in this report are calculated from the 2007 to 2010 NHANES. Because NHANES is conducted only in the noninstitutionalized population, we extrapolated the rates to the total US population in 2010, recognizing that this probably underestimates the total prevalence, given the relatively high prevalence in the institutionalized population. The numbers and rates of hospital inpatient discharges for the United States are for 2010. Numbers of visits to physician offices, hospital EDs, and hospital outpatient departments are for 2010. Except as noted, economic cost estimates are for 2010.

**Cardiovascular Disease**

For data on hospitalizations, physician office visits, and mortality, CVD is defined according to ICD codes given in Chapter 26 of the present document. This definition includes all diseases of the circulatory system, as well as congenital CVD. Unless so specified, an estimate for total CVD does not include congenital CVD. Prevalence of CVD includes people with hypertension, HD, stroke, PAD, and diseases of the veins.

**Race**

Data published by governmental agencies for some racial groups are considered unreliable because of the small sample size in the studies. Because we try to provide data for as many
racial groups as possible, we show these data for informational and comparative purposes.

Contacts
If you have questions about statistics or any points made in this Update, please contact the AHA National Center, Office of Science & Medicine at statistics@heart.org. Direct all media inquiries to News Media Relations at inquiries@heart.org or 214-706-1173.

We do our utmost to ensure that this Update is error free. If we discover errors after publication, we will provide corrections at our World Wide Web site, http://www.heart.org/statistics, and in the journal Circulation.

References
2. Cardiovascular Health

See Tables 2-1 through 2-8 and Charts 2-1 through 2-13.

After achieving its major Impact Goals for 2010, the AHA created a new set of central organizational Impact Goals for the current decade:

By 2020, to improve the cardiovascular health of all Americans by 20%, while reducing deaths from CVDs and stroke by 20%.

These goals introduce a new concept, cardiovascular health, which is characterized by 7 health metrics. Ideal cardiovascular health is defined by the absence of clinically manifest CVD together with the simultaneous presence of optimal levels of all 7 metrics, including 4 health behaviors (not smoking and having sufficient PA, a healthy diet pattern, and appropriate energy balance as represented by normal body weight) and 3 health factors (optimal total cholesterol, BP, and fasting blood glucose, in the absence of drug treatment; Table 2-1). Because a spectrum of cardiovascular health can also be envisioned and the ideal cardiovascular health profile is known to be rare in the US population, a broader spectrum of cardiovascular health can also be represented as being "ideal," "intermediate," or "poor" for each of the health behaviors and health factors. Table 2-1 provides the specific definitions for ideal, intermediate, and poor cardiovascular health for each of the 7 metrics, both for adults (≥20 years of age) and children (age ranges for each metric depending on data availability).

This concept of cardiovascular health represents a new focus for the AHA, with 3 central and novel emphases:

- An expanded focus on CVD prevention and promotion of positive "cardiovascular health," in addition to the treatment of established CVD.
- Efforts to promote both healthy behaviors (healthy diet pattern, appropriate energy intake, PA, and nonsmoking) and healthy biomarker levels (optimal blood lipids, BP, glucose levels) throughout the lifespan.
- Population-level health promotion strategies to shift the majority of the public towards greater cardiovascular health, in addition to targeting those individuals at greatest CVD risk, since healthy lifestyles in all domains are uncommon throughout the US population.

Beginning in 2011, and recognizing the time lag in the nationally representative US data sets, this chapter in the annual Statistical Update evaluates and publishes metrics and information to provide insights into both progress toward meeting the 2020 AHA goals and areas that require greater attention to meet these goals.

Cardiovascular Health: Current Prevalence

- The most up-to-date data on national prevalence of ideal, intermediate, and poor levels of each of the 7 cardiovascular health metrics are shown for adolescents and teens 12 to 19 years of age (Chart 2-1) and for adults ≥20 years of age (Chart 2-2).
- For most metrics, the prevalence of ideal levels of health behaviors and health factors is higher in US children than in US adults. Major exceptions are diet and PA, for which prevalence of ideal levels in children is similar to (for PA) or worse (for diet) than in adults.
- Among children (Chart 2-1), the prevalence (unadjusted) of ideal levels of cardiovascular health behaviors and factors currently varies from <1% for the healthy diet pattern (ie, <1 in 100 US children meet at least 4 of the 5 dietary components) to >80% for the smoking, BP, and fasting glucose metrics.
- Among US adults (Chart 2-2), the age-standardized prevalence of ideal levels of cardiovascular health behaviors and factors currently varies from 0.5% for having at least 4 of 5 components of the healthy diet pattern to up to 76% for...
never having smoked or being a former smoker who has quit for ≥12 months.

- Age-standardized and age-specific prevalence estimates for ideal cardiovascular health and for ideal levels of each of its components are shown for 2007 to 2008 (baseline) and 2009 to 2010 in Table 2-2.

- In 2009 to 2010, the prevalence of ideal levels across 7 health factors and health behaviors decreased dramatically from younger to older age groups. The same trend was seen in 2007 to 2008.

- The prevalence of both children and adults meeting the dietary goals appeared to improve between 2007 to 2008 and 2009 to 2010, although this improvement should be viewed with caution given the challenges of accurately determining time trends across only 2 cycles of NHANES data collection. The improvement was attributable to the greater numbers of children and adults who met the whole grains goal, greater numbers of middle-aged and older adults who met the fruits and vegetables goal, and greater numbers of adults who met the fish goal.

- Chart 2-3 displays the prevalence estimates for the population of US children (12–19 years of age) meeting different numbers of criteria for ideal cardiovascular health (out of 7 possible) in 2009 to 2010.

- Few US children (<7%) meet only 0, 1, or 2 criteria for ideal cardiovascular health.

- Nearly half of US children (45%) meet 3 or 4 criteria for ideal cardiovascular health, and about half meet 5 or 6 criteria (mostly 5 criteria).

- Virtually no children meet all 7 criteria for ideal cardiovascular health.

- Overall distributions are similar in boys and girls.

- Charts 2-4 and 2-5 display the age-standardized prevalence estimates of US adults meeting different numbers of criteria for ideal cardiovascular health (out of 7 possible) in 2009 to 2010, overall and stratified by age, sex, and race.

- Approximately 2% of US adults have 0 of the 7 criteria at ideal levels, and another 12% meet only 1 of 7 criteria. This is much worse than among children.

- Most US adults (≥65%) have 2, 3, or 4 criteria at ideal cardiovascular health, with ≥1 in 5 adults within each of these categories.

- Approximately 13% of US adults meet 5 criteria, 4% meet 6 criteria, and 0.1% meet 7 criteria at ideal levels.

- Presence of ideal cardiovascular health is both age and sex related (Chart 2-4). Younger adults are more likely to meet greater numbers of ideal metrics than are older adults. More than 60% of Americans ≥60 years of age have ≥2 metrics at ideal levels. At any age, women tend to have more metrics at ideal levels than do men.

- Race is also related to presence of ideal cardiovascular health (Chart 2-5). Blacks and Mexican Americans tend to have fewer metrics at ideal levels than whites or other races. Approximately 6 in 10 white adults and 7 in 10 black or Mexican American adults have no more than 3 of 7 metrics at ideal levels.

- Chart 2-6 displays the age-standardized percentages of US adults and percentages of children who have ≥5 of the metrics (out of 7 possible) at ideal levels.

- Approximately 50% of US children 12 to 19 years of age have ≥5 metrics at ideal levels, with lower prevalence in girls (46%) than in boys (51%).

- In comparison, only 17% of US adults have ≥5 metrics with ideal levels, with lower prevalence in men (11%) than in women (24%).

- Among adults, whites are more likely to have ≥5 metrics at ideal levels (19%) than are Mexican Americans (12%) or blacks (10%).

- Chart 2-7 displays the age-standardized percentages of US adults meeting different numbers of criteria for both poor and ideal cardiovascular health. Meeting the AHA 2020 Strategic Impact Goals is predicated on reducing the relative percentage of those with poor levels while increasing the relative percentage of those with ideal levels for each of the 7 metrics.

- Approximately 92% of US adults have ≥1 metric at poor levels.

- Approximately 35% of US adults have ≥3 metrics at poor levels.

- Few US adults (<3%) have ≥5 metrics at poor levels.

- More US adults have 4 to 6 ideal metrics than 4 to 6 poor metrics.

- Using data from the BRFSS, Fang and colleagues estimated the prevalence of ideal cardiovascular health by state, which ranged from 1.2% (Oklahoma) to 6.9% (District of Columbia). Southern states tended to have higher rates of poor cardiovascular health, lower rates of ideal cardiovascular health, and lower mean cardiovascular health scores than New England and Western states (Chart 2-8).

- The prevalence of poor health behaviors and health factors and their awareness, treatment, and control are displayed in Table 2-3 separately for those with and without self-reported CVD.

- Americans with CVD are much more likely to be current or former smokers than Americans without CVD.

- Approximately 20% of US adults are current smokers or have quit recently (<12 months ago).

- As measured by self-reported data, Americans with CVD are very likely to have intermediate or poor levels of PA (74.1%), whereas Americans without CVD still commonly have such levels (58.4%). Furthermore, 64.5% of those with CVD and 47.3% of those without CVD report engaging in no moderate or vigorous activity at all.

- Seventy percent of US adults with CVD and 79% of those without CVD meet 0 or only 1 of the 5 healthy diet metrics.

- Two thirds of US adults are overweight, with little difference by prevalent CVD. Half of all US adults with CVD and one third without CVD are obese.

- Hypertension is present in 28.5% of US adults without CVD and 51.0% of US adults with CVD. Of these, nearly all with CVD are aware of their hypertension (98.6%) and are receiving treatment (97.4%), but a much smaller
proportion of those without CVD are aware (70.6%) or receiving treatment (61.4%).
—Both presence of hypercholesterolemia (total cholesterol ≥240 mg/dL or receiving medication) and DM (fasting glucose ≥126 mg/dL or receiving medications) and awareness and treatment of these conditions are similarly higher among those with CVD than among those without CVD.

Cardiovascular Health: Trends Over Time

- The trends over the past decade in each of the 7 cardiovascular health metrics (for diet, trends from 2005–2006 to 2009–2010) are shown in Chart 2-9 (for children 12–19 years of age) and Chart 2-10 (for adults ≥20 years of age).
- Fewer children over time are meeting the BMI metric, whereas more are meeting the smoking and total cholesterol metrics. Other metrics do not show consistent trends over time in children.
- More adults over time are meeting the smoking metric, whereas fewer are meeting the BMI and glucose metrics. Trends for other metrics are not evident over time in adults.

- On the basis of NHANES data from 1988 to 2008, if current trends continue, estimated cardiovascular health is projected to improve by 6% between 2010 and 2020, short of the AHA’s goal of 20% improvement (Chart 2-11). On the basis of current trends among individual metrics, anticipated declines in prevalence of smoking, high cholesterol, and high BP (in men) would be offset by substantial increases in the prevalence of obesity and DM and small changes in ideal dietary patterns or PA.

- On the basis of these projections in cardiovascular health factors and behaviors, CHD deaths are projected to decrease by 30% between 2010 and 2020 because of projected improvements in total cholesterol, SBP, smoking and PA (≈167,000 fewer deaths), offset by increases in DM and BMI (≈24,000 more deaths).

Cardiovascular Diseases

- In 2010, the age-standardized death rate attributable to all CVD was 236.6 per 100,000 (includes congenital CVD [ICD-10 I00–I99, Q20–Q28]; Chart 2-12), down 8.8% from 259.4 per 100,000 in 2007 (baseline data for the 2020 Impact Goals on CVD and stroke mortality).
- Death rates in 2010 attributable to stroke, CHD, and other CVDs were 39.1, 113.6, and 82.7 per 100,000, respectively.
- Data from NHANES 2009 to 2010 reveal that overall, 7.2% of Americans self-reported having some type of CVD (Table 2-3), including 3.2% with CHD, 2.7% with stroke, and 2.0% with CHF (some individuals reported >1 condition).

Relevance of Ideal Cardiovascular Health

Since the AHA announced its 2020 Impact Goals, multiple investigations have confirmed the importance of these metrics of cardiovascular health. Overall, these data demonstrate the relevance of the concept of cardiovascular health to the risk of future risk factors, disease, and mortality, including a strong inverse, stepwise association with all-cause, CVD, and ischemic HD mortality.

- Bambs et al., Folsom et al.,7 and Dong et al.8 have all described the low prevalence (<1%) of ideal cardiovascular health, defined as being in the ideal category of all 7 AHA metrics in the Heart Strategies Concentrating on Risk Evaluation, ARIC, and NOMAS cohorts, respectively.
- In ARIC and NOMAS, a stepwise inverse association was present between the number of ideal health metrics and incident CVD events (including CHD death, nonfatal MI, stroke, and HF) during 20 and 11 years of follow-up, respectively. For ARIC participants with 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 metrics at ideal levels, the age-, sex-, and race- adjusted rates of incident CVD incidence were 3.21, 2.19, 1.60, 1.20, 0.86, 0.64, 0.39, and 0 per 100 person-years, respectively.7 Findings were similar in the Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study, in which individuals with 6 to 7 ideal metrics had a 63% lower risk of CVD death (HR [95% CI], 0.37 [0.15, 0.95]) compared with individuals with 0 to 2 ideal metrics.9
- A similar stepwise association was present between the number of ideal cardiovascular health metrics and risk of all-cause mortality, CVD mortality, and ischemic HD mortality after 14.5 years of follow-up based on NHANES 1988 to 2006 data.10 The HRs for individuals with 6 or 7 ideal health metrics compared with individuals with 0 ideal health metrics were 0.49 (95% CI, 0.33–0.74) for all-cause mortality, 0.24 (95% CI, 0.13–0.47) for CVD mortality, and 0.30 (95% CI, 0.13–0.68) for ischemic HD mortality.10 Ford et al11 demonstrated similar relationships.
- The adjusted population attributable fractions for CVD mortality were as follows:10
  —40.6% (95% CI, 24.5%–54.6%) for HBP
  —13.7% (95% CI, 4.8%–22.3%) for smoking
  —13.2% (95% CI, 3.5%–29.2%) for poor diet
  —11.9% (95% CI, 1.3%–22.3%) for insufficient PA
  —8.8% (95% CI, 2.1%–15.4%) for abnormal glucose levels
- The adjusted population attributable fractions for ischemic HD mortality were as follows:10
  —34.7% (95% CI, 6.6%–57.7%) for HBP
  —16.7% (95% CI, 6.4%–26.6%) for smoking
  —20.6% (95% CI, 1.2%–38.6%) for poor diet
  —7.8% (95% CI, 0%–22.2%) for insufficient PA
  —7.5% (95% CI, 3.0%–14.7%) for abnormal glucose levels
- Data from the Cardiovascular Lifetime Risk Pooling Project indicate that adults with all-optimal risk factor levels (similar to having ideal cardiovascular health factor levels of cholesterol, blood sugar, and BP, as well as nonsmoking status) have substantially longer overall and CVD-free survival than those who have poor levels of ≥1 of these cardiovascular health factor metrics. For example, at an index age of 45 years, men with optimal risk factor profiles lived on average 14 years longer free of all CVD events, and 12 years longer overall, than individuals with ≥2 risk factors.12
Importantly, in many of these analyses, ideal health behaviors and ideal health factors were each independently associated with lower CVD risk in a stepwise fashion (Chart 2-13). Thus, across any levels of health behaviors, health factors were still associated with incident CVD, and across any levels of health factors, health behaviors were still associated with incident CVD.

Interestingly, based on NHANES 1999 to 2002, only modest intercorrelations are present between different cardiovascular health metrics. For example, these ranged from a correlation of −0.12 between PA and HbA1c, to a correlation of 0.29 between BMI and HbA1c. Thus, although the 7 AHA cardiovascular health metrics appear modestly interrelated, substantial independent variation in each exists, and each is independently related to cardiovascular outcomes.11

The AHA metrics may also be related to risk of noncardiovascular conditions. Rasmussen-Torvik et al13 demonstrated a graded, inverse association between ideal cardiovascular health and cancer incidence, with 51% lower risk among individuals with 6 or 7 ideal cardiovascular health metrics than among those with 0 ideal metrics. These results were only partially attenuated (25% lower risk) when smoking was removed from the sum of metrics. In contrast, Artero et al14 did not find a significant association between ideal cardiovascular health and death attributable to cancer in the Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study. The AHA cardiovascular health metrics have also been cross-sectionally associated with lower prevalence of depressive symptoms in the REGARDS cohort.14

Recent analyses from the US Burden of Disease Collaborators demonstrated that each of the 7 health factors and behaviors causes substantial mortality and morbidity in the United States. The top risk factor related to overall disease burden was suboptimal diet, followed by tobacco smoking, high BMI, HBP, high fasting plasma glucose, and physical inactivity.14

Achieving the 2020 Impact Goals

Taken together, these data continue to demonstrate both the tremendous relevance of the AHA 2020 Impact Goals for cardiovascular health and the substantial progress that will be needed to achieve these goals over the next decade.

A range of complementary strategies and approaches can lead to improvements in cardiovascular health. These include each of the following:

—Individual-focused approaches, which target lifestyle and treatments at the individual level (Table 2-4)
—Healthcare systems approaches, which encourage, facilitate, and reward efforts by providers to improve health behaviors and health factors (Table 2-5)
—Population approaches, which target lifestyle and treatments in schools or workplaces, local communities, and states, as well as throughout the nation (Table 2-6)

Such approaches can focus on both (1) improving cardiovascular health among those who currently have less than optimal levels and (2) preserving cardiovascular health among those who currently have ideal levels (in particular, children, adolescents, and young adults) as they age.

The metrics with the greatest potential for improvement are health behaviors, including diet quality, PA, and body weight. However, each of the cardiovascular health metrics can be improved and deserves major focus.

Continued emphasis is also needed on the treatment of acute CVD events and secondary prevention through treatment and control of health behaviors and risk factors.

For each cardiovascular health metric, modest shifts in the population distribution toward improved health would produce relatively large increases in the proportion of Americans in both ideal and intermediate categories. For example, on the basis of NHANES 2009 to 2010, the current prevalence of ideal levels of BP among US adults is 44.3%. To achieve the 2020 goals, a 20% relative improvement would require an increase in this proportion to 53.1% by 2020 (44.3% × 1.20). On the basis of NHANES data, a reduction in population mean BP of just 2 mm Hg would result in 56.1% of US adults having ideal levels of BP, which represents a 26.8% relative improvement in this metric (Table 2-7). Larger population reductions in BP would lead to even larger numbers of people with ideal levels. Such small reductions in population BP could result from small health behavior changes at a population level, such as increased PA, increased fruit and vegetable consumption, decreased sodium intake, decreased adiposity, or some combination of these and other lifestyle changes, with resulting substantial projected decreases in CVD rates in US adults.15

The AHA has a broad range of policy initiatives to improve cardiovascular health and meet the 2020 Strategic Impact Goals (Table 2-8). Future Statistical Updates will update these initiatives and track progress toward the 2020 Impact Goals.
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Table 2-1. Definitions of Poor, Intermediate, and Ideal Cardiovascular Health for Each Metric in the AHA 2020 Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Cardiovascular Health for Each Metric</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Intermediate</th>
<th>Ideal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults ≥20 y of age</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Former ≥12 mo</td>
<td>Never or quit &gt;12 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children 12–19 y of age</td>
<td>Tried during the prior 30 d</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>Never tried; never smoked whole cigarette</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults ≥20 y of age</td>
<td>≥30 kg/m²</td>
<td>25–29.9 kg/m²</td>
<td>&lt;25 kg/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children 2–19 y of age</td>
<td>&gt;95th percentile</td>
<td>85th–95th percentile</td>
<td>&lt;85th percentile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults ≥20 y of age</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1–149 min/wk moderate or 1–74 min/wk vigorous or 1–149 min/wk moderate + 2×vigorous</td>
<td>≥150 min/wk moderate or ≥75 min/wk vigorous or ≥150 min/wk moderate + 2×vigorous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children 12–19 y of age</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>&gt;0 and &lt;60 min of moderate or vigorous every day</td>
<td>≥60 min of moderate or vigorous every day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy diet pattern, No. of components†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults ≥20 y of age</td>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>4–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children 5–19 y of age</td>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>4–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults ≥20 y of age</td>
<td>≥240</td>
<td>200–239 or treated to goal</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children 6–19 y of age</td>
<td>≥200</td>
<td>170–199</td>
<td>&lt;170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults ≥20 y of age</td>
<td>SBP ≥140 mm Hg or DBP ≥90 mm Hg</td>
<td>SBP 120–139 mm Hg or DBP 80–89 mm Hg or treated to goal</td>
<td>&lt;120 mm Hg/&lt;80 mm Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children 8–19 y of age</td>
<td>&gt;95th percentile</td>
<td>90th–95th percentile or SBP ≥120 mm Hg or DBP ≥80 mm Hg</td>
<td>&lt;90th percentile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose, mg/dL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults ≥20 y of age</td>
<td>≥126</td>
<td>100–125 or treated to goal</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children 12–19 y of age</td>
<td>≥126</td>
<td>100–125</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHA indicates American Heart Association; BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; ellipses ( . . . ), data not available; PA, physical activity; and SBP, systolic blood pressure.

*Represents appropriate energy balance, that is, appropriate dietary quantity and PA to maintain normal body weight.

† In the context of a healthy dietary pattern that is consistent with a Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension [DASH]-type eating pattern, to consume ≥4.5 cups/d of fruits and vegetables, ≥2 servings/wk of fish, and ≥3 servings/d of whole grains and no more than 36 oz/wk of sugar-sweetened beverages and 1500 mg/d of sodium.
Table 2-2. Prevalence of Ideal Cardiovascular Health and its Components in the US Population, Overall and in Selected Age Strata From NHANES 2007 to 2008 and 2009 to 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ideal cardiovascular health profile (composite—all 7)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥6 Ideal cardiovascular health composite score</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5 Ideal cardiovascular health composite score</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal health factors index (composite—all 4)</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol &lt;200 mg/dL (untreated)</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP &lt;120 mmHg and DBP &lt;80 mmHg (untreated)</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not current smoker (never or quit ≥12 mo)</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>88.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting blood glucose &lt;100 mg/dL</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>35.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal health behaviors index (composite—all 4)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA at goal</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not current smoker (never or quit ≥12 mo)</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>88.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI &lt;25 kg/m²</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–5 Diet goals met†</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruits and vegetables ≥4.5 cups/d</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish ≥2 3.5-oz servings/wk</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium &lt;1500 mg/d</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar-sweetened beverages &lt;36 oz/wk</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>73.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole grains (≥1.1 g of fiber per 10 g of carbohydrates) ≥3 1-oz equivalents/d</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary dietary metrics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuts, legumes, seeds ≥4 servings/wk</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processed meats &lt;2 servings/wk</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>62.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated fat &lt;7% of total energy intake (kcal)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI indicates body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; PA, physical activity; and SBP, systolic blood pressure.

*Standardized to the age distribution of the 2000 US Standard population, except for dietary metrics.
†Scaled for 2000 kcal/d and in the context of appropriate energy balance and a DASH (Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension)–type eating pattern.
Table 2-3. Selected Secondary Metrics for Monitoring CVD, NHANES 2009 to 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>In the Presence of CVD</th>
<th>In the Absence of CVD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.*</td>
<td>% (SE)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>16 209 474</td>
<td>7.2 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>6 916 012</td>
<td>3.2 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>5 717 759</td>
<td>2.7 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>4 320 227</td>
<td>2.0 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute MI</td>
<td>6 929 905</td>
<td>3.2 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health behaviors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker or smokers who quit &lt;12 mo ago</td>
<td>3 127 273</td>
<td>37.2 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA: intermediate or poor‡</td>
<td>11 813 011</td>
<td>74.1 (5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA: none</td>
<td>10 598 908</td>
<td>64.5 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet, No. of metrics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total diet score 0–3 of 5</td>
<td>12 665 860</td>
<td>100.0 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total diet score 0–1 of 5</td>
<td>9 540 532</td>
<td>70.1 (4.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight/obesity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight or obese (BMI ≥25.0 kg/m²)</td>
<td>12 621 701</td>
<td>69.4 (4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese (BMI ≥30.0 kg/m²)</td>
<td>7 763 611</td>
<td>49.0 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of BP ≥140/90 mm Hg or taking medications</td>
<td>10 591 170</td>
<td>51.0 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness among those with hypertension</td>
<td>10 071 343</td>
<td>98.6 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment those with hypertension</td>
<td>9 819 244</td>
<td>97.4 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP control to &lt;140/&lt;90 mm Hg among treated</td>
<td>6 886 176</td>
<td>64.2 (9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP control to &lt;140/&lt;90 mm Hg among hypertensive</td>
<td>6 886 176</td>
<td>62.3 (9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of total cholesterol ≥240 mg/dL or taking medications</td>
<td>8 201 829</td>
<td>37.1 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness among those with hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>7 742 127</td>
<td>84.6 (8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment among those with hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>7 219 078</td>
<td>79.3 (8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol control to &lt;200 mg/dL among treated</td>
<td>6 659 732</td>
<td>95.0 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol control to &lt;200 mg/dL among hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>6 659 732</td>
<td>75.0 (8.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of fasting glucose ≥125 mg/dL or taking medications</td>
<td>4 769 759</td>
<td>15.2 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness among diabetics</td>
<td>4 006 153</td>
<td>90.4 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment among diabetics</td>
<td>3 935 446</td>
<td>87.1 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood glucose control among treated</td>
<td>1 527 151</td>
<td>32.6 (9.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood glucose control among diabetics</td>
<td>1 527 151</td>
<td>27.2 (8.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI indicates body mass index; BP, blood pressure; CHD, coronary heart disease; CHF, congestive heart failure; CVD, cardiovascular disease; MI, myocardial infarction; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; PA, physical activity; and SE, standard error.

*Weighted sample size.
†Standardized to the age distribution of the 2000 US Standard population.
‡Moderate <150 min/wk AND Vigorous <75 min/wk AND Combined <150 min/wk.
Table 2-4. Evidence-Based Individual Approaches for Improving Health Behaviors and Health Factors in the Clinic Setting

- Set specific goals (Class IA). Set specific, proximal goals with the patient, including a personalized plan to achieve the goals (eg, over the next 3 mo, increase fish by 1 serving/wk, reduce smoking by half a pack per day, or walk 30 min 3 times per week).
- Establish self-monitoring (Class IA). Develop a strategy for self-monitoring, such as a dietary or physical activity diary or Web-based or mobile applications.
- Schedule follow-up (Class IA). Schedule regular follow-up (in-person, telephone, written, and/or electronic), with clear frequency and duration of contacts, to assess success, reinforce progress, and set new goals as necessary.
- Provide feedback (Class IA). Provide feedback on progress toward goals, including using in-person, telephone, and/or electronic feedback.
- Increase self-efficacy (Class IA). Increase the patient’s perception that they can successfully change their behavior.
- Use motivational interviewing† (Class IA). Use motivational interviewing when patients are resistant or ambivalent about behavior change.
- Provide long-term support (Class IB). Arrange long-term support from family, friends, or peers for behavior change, such as in other workplace, school, or community-based programs.
- Use a multicomponent approach (Class IA). Combine 2 or more of the above strategies into the behavior change efforts.

*Examples of approaches include mastery experiences (set a reasonable, proximal goal that the person can successfully achieve); vicarious experiences (have the person see someone with similar capabilities performing the behavior, such as walking on a treadmill or preparing a healthy meal); physiological feedback (explain to the patient when a change in their symptoms is related to worse or improved behaviors); and verbal persuasion (persuade the person that you believe in their capability to perform the behavior).

†Motivational interviewing represents use of individual counseling to explore and resolve ambivalence toward changing behavior. Major principles include fostering the person’s own awareness and resolution of their ambivalence, as well as their own self-motivation to change, in a partnership with the counselor or provider.

Modified from Artinian et al16 with permission. Copyright © 2010, American Heart Association, Inc.

Table 2-5. Evidence-Based Healthcare Systems Approaches to Support and Facilitate Improvements in Health Behaviors and Health Factors

- Electronic systems for scheduling and tracking initial visits and regular follow-up contacts for behavior change and treatments.
- Electronic medical records systems to help assess, track, and report on specific health behaviors (diet, PA, tobacco, body weight) and health factors (BP, cholesterol, glucose), as well as to provide feedback and the latest guidelines to providers.
- Practical paper or electronic toolkits for assessment of key health behaviors and health factors, including during, before, and after provider visits.
- Electronic systems to facilitate provision of feedback to patients on their progress during behavior change and other treatment efforts.
- Education and ongoing training for providers on evidence-based behavior change strategies, as well as the most relevant behavioral targets, including training on relevant ethnic and cultural issues.
- Integrated systems to provide coordinated care by multidisciplinary teams of providers, including physicians, nurse practitioners, dietitians, PA specialists, and social workers.
- Reimbursement guidelines and incentives that reward efforts to change health behaviors and health factors. Restructuring of practice goals and quality benchmarks to incorporate health behavior (diet, PA, tobacco, body weight) and health factor (BP, cholesterol, glucose) interventions and targets for both primary and secondary prevention.

BP indicates blood pressure; and PA, physical activity.
Table 2-6. Summary of Evidence-Based Population Approaches for Improving Diet, Increasing Physical Activity, and Reducing Tobacco Use*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Approaches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diet</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media and education</td>
<td>Sustained, focused media and educational campaigns, using multiple modes, for increasing consumption of specific healthful foods or reducing consumption of specific less healthful foods or beverages, either alone (IIa B) or as part of multicomponent strategies (I B)†‡§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On-site supermarket and grocery store educational programs to support the purchase of healthier foods (IIa B)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labeling and information</td>
<td>Mandated nutrition facts panels or front-of-pack labels/icons as a means to influence industry behavior and product formulations (IIa B)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic incentives</td>
<td>Subsidy strategies to lower prices of more healthful foods and beverages (I A)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tax strategies to increase prices of less healthful foods and beverages (IIa B)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Changes in both agricultural subsidies and other related policies to create an infrastructure that facilitates production, transportation, and marketing of healthier foods, sustained over several decades (IIa B)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>Multicomponent interventions focused on improving both diet and physical activity, including specialized educational curricula, trained teachers, supportive school policies, a formal PE program, healthy food and beverage options, and a parental/family component (I A)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>School garden programs, including nutrition and gardening education and hands-on gardening experiences (IIa A)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fresh fruit and vegetable programs that provide free fruits and vegetables to students during the school day (IIa A)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplaces</td>
<td>Comprehensive worksite wellness programs with nutrition, physical activity, and tobacco cessation/prevention components (IIa A)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased availability of healthier food/beverage options and/or strong nutrition standards for foods and beverages served, in combination with vending machine prompts, labels, or icons to make healthier choices (IIa B)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local environment</td>
<td>Increased availability of supermarkets near homes (IIa B)†‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrictions and mandates</td>
<td>Restrictions on television advertisements for less healthful foods or beverages advertised to children (I B)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Restrictions on advertising and marketing of less healthful foods or beverages near schools and public places frequented by youths (IIa B)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>General nutrition standards for foods and beverages marketed and advertised to children in any fashion, including on-package promotion (IIa B)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regulatory policies to reduce specific nutrients in foods (eg, trans fats, salt, certain fats) (I B)†‡§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical activity</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labeling and information</td>
<td>Point-of-decision prompts to encourage use of stairs (IIa A)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic incentives</td>
<td>Increased gasoline taxes to increase active transport/commuting (IIa B)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>Multicomponent interventions focused on improving both diet and physical activity, including specialized educational curricula, trained teachers, supportive school policies, a formal PE program, serving of healthy food and beverage options, and a parental/family component (IIa A)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased availability and types of school playground spaces and equipment (I B)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased number of PE classes, revised PE curricula to increase time in at least moderate activity, and trained PE teachers at schools (IIa A/IIb A¶)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regular classroom physical activity breaks during academic lessons (IIa A)†§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplaces</td>
<td>Comprehensive worksite wellness programs with nutrition, physical activity, and tobacco cessation/prevention components (IIa A)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Structured worksite programs that encourage activity and also provide a set time for physical activity during work hours (IIa B)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improving stairway access and appeal, potentially in combination with &quot;skip-stop&quot; elevators that skip some floors (IIa B)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adding new or updating worksite fitness centers (IIa B)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local environment</td>
<td>Improved accessibility of recreation and exercise spaces and facilities (eg, building of parks and playgrounds, increasing operating hours, use of school facilities during nonschool hours) (IIa B)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved land-use design (eg, integration and interrelationships of residential, school, work, retail, and public spaces) (IIa B)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved sidewalk and street design to increase active commuting (walking or bicycling) to school by children (IIa B)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved traffic safety (IIa B)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved neighborhood aesthetics (to increase activity in adults) (IIa B)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved walkability, a composite indicator that incorporates aspects of land-use mix, street connectivity, pedestrian infrastructure, aesthetics, traffic safety, and/or crime safety (IIa B)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media and education</td>
<td>Sustained, focused media and educational campaigns to reduce smoking, either alone (IIa B) or as part of larger multicomponent population-level strategies (I A)†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Table 2-6. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Labeling and information</th>
<th>Cigarette package warnings, especially those that are graphic and health related (I B)†‡§</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economic incentives</td>
<td>Higher taxes on tobacco products to reduce use and fund tobacco control programs (I A)†‡§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools and workplaces</td>
<td>Comprehensive worksite wellness programs with nutrition, physical activity, and tobacco cessation/prevention components (IIa A)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local environment</td>
<td>Reduced density of retail tobacco outlets around homes and schools (I B)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrictions and mandates</td>
<td>Development of community telephone lines for cessation counseling and support services (I A)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Community (city, state, or federal) restrictions on smoking in public places (I A)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local workplace-specific restrictions on smoking (IIa A)†‡§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stronger enforcement of local school-specific restrictions on smoking (IIa B)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local residence-specific restrictions on smoking (IIa B)†‡§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Partial or complete restrictions on advertising and promotion of tobacco products (I B)†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PE indicates physical education.

*The specific population interventions listed here are either a Class I or IIa recommendation with an evidence grade of either A or B. The American Heart Association evidence grading system for class of recommendation and level of evidence is summarized in Table 2. Because implementation of population-level strategies does not require perfect evidence but rather consideration of risks versus benefits, associated costs, and alternate approaches, the absence of any specific strategy herein does not mean it should not also be considered for implementation. See the more detailed tables and text below for further information on the evidence for each of these interventions, as well as other strategies that were reviewed.

†At least some evidence from studies conducted in high-income Western regions and countries (eg, North America, Europe, Australia, New Zealand).
‡At least some evidence from studies conducted in high-income non-Western regions and countries (eg, Japan, Hong Kong, South Korea, Singapore).
§At least some evidence from studies conducted in low- or middle-income regions and countries (eg, Africa, China, Pakistan, India).
‖Based on cross-sectional studies only; only 2 longitudinal studies have been performed, with no significant relations seen.
¶Evidence IIa A for improving physical activity; evidence IIb B for reducing adiposity.
Reprinted from Mozaffarian et al17 with permission. Copyright © 2012, American Heart Association, Inc.

Table 2-7. Reduction in BP Required to Increase Prevalence of Ideal BP Among Adults ≥20 Years of Age; NHANES 2009 to 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent BP ideal among adults, 2009–2010</td>
<td>44.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20% Relative increase</td>
<td>53.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of US adults whose BP would be ideal if population mean BP were lowered by*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 mm Hg</td>
<td>56.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 mm Hg</td>
<td>59.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 mm Hg</td>
<td>61.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mm Hg</td>
<td>65.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standardized to the age distribution of the 2000 US standard population.
BP indicates blood pressure; and NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.
*Reduction in BP=(observed average systolic−X mm Hg) AND (observed average diastolic−X mm Hg).
Table 2-8. AHA Advocacy and Policy Strategies Related to the 2020 Impact Goals for Ideal Cardiovascular Health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure of Cardiovascular Health</th>
<th>Advocacy/Policy Solutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal for cardiovascular health:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults: Never smoked or quit more than a year ago</td>
<td>● Support the full, authorized funding level for the FDA’s Center for Tobacco Products and advocate for comprehensive implementation of FDA regulation of tobacco.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children: Never tried or never smoked a whole cigarette</td>
<td>● Implement clinical guidance and monitor health claims concerning smokeless tobacco and other “harm reduction” products.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Support the Tobacco Tax Equity Act that closes tax loopholes to ensure that all tobacco products are taxed at levels similar to the current tax rate for cigarettes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Continue to advocate for ratification of WHO’s Framework Convention on Tobacco Control as part of the UN Political Declaration on Non-Communicable Diseases for implementation by all countries who are a party to the treaty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical activity</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal for cardiovascular health:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults: At least 150 min of moderate or 75 min of vigorous PA each week</td>
<td>● Preserve funding for Safe Routes to School and Complete Streets in transportation reauthorization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children: &gt;60 min of moderate to vigorous PA per day</td>
<td>● Include PA in nutrition education funding for the Farm Bill Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Incorporate PA into electronic medical records.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Support implementation of the National Physical Activity Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Increase the quality of physical education in schools and advocate for Physical Education for Progress grants to increase funding to schools to improve their PE programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Advocate for regular revision and update of the Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Implement shared use of school facilities within the community and support the construction of school fitness facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Increase sports, recreational opportunities, parks, and green spaces in the community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Support efforts to design workplaces, communities, and schools around active living and integrate PA opportunities throughout the day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Provide safe routes to schools and school sites that offer walking/biking options for more students.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Support the creation of complete streets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Support the use of zoning policy to increase access to safe places for recreation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Create and maintain comprehensive worksite wellness programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Support the creation and implementation, through legislation and regulation (including licensing), of PA standards for preschool, day care, and other out-of-school care programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Require quality, more frequent PE in schools.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Promote efforts within the school environment that will lead to increased PA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Federal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Provide obesity counseling and treatment coverage in the healthcare environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Provide robust surveillance and monitoring of obesity, diet, PA, and tobacco use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Provide robust coverage for guidelines-based prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of overweight and obesity in the healthcare environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Implement and monitor strong local wellness policies in all schools.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Ensure adequate funding and implementation of coordinated school health programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Establish comprehensive obesity prevention strategies in early childhood and day care programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Advocate for continued funding for obesity prevention research and work to ensure a strong evaluation component is a part of implementation of new laws and programs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
### Table 2-8. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure of Cardiovascular Health</th>
<th>Advocacy/Policy Solutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Healthy diet</strong></td>
<td><strong>Federal</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal for cardiovascular health:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the context of a DASH-type dietary pattern, adults and children should achieve at least 4 of the 5 following key components of a healthy diet:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Fruits and vegetables: &gt;4.5 cups/d</td>
<td>● Work to eliminate food deserts and improve access and affordability of healthy foods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Fish: More than two 3.5-oz servings/wk (preferably oily fish)</td>
<td>● Strengthen nutrition standards in schools for meals and competitive foods and in all government nutrition assistance or feeding programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Fiber-rich whole grains (&gt;1.1 g of fiber per 10 g of carbohydrates): three 1-oz-equivalent servings per day</td>
<td>● Improve food labeling to make the labels easier to read and convey more accurately the content of added sugars, trans fats, sodium, and whole grains in foods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Sodium: &lt;1500 mg/d</td>
<td>● Implement menu labeling in restaurants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>● Sugar-sweetened beverages: &lt;450 kcal (36 oz) per week.</td>
<td>● Continue to support and monitor the removal of industrially produced trans fats from the food supply and ensure the use of healthy replacement oils.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Go to <a href="http://www.americanheart.org/obesitypolicy">http://www.americanheart.org/obesitypolicy</a> for more specific policy resources</td>
<td>● Restrict the marketing and advertising of unhealthy food to children.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Support robust implementation of nutrition education and promotion in schools.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Reduce added sugar and sodium in the food supply.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Support the implementation and dissemination of procurement standards across federal agencies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Ensure that diet counseling is a covered benefit in Medicare.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>State</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Support the implementation of the reauthorization of the Federal Childhood Nutrition Act and new regulations concerning competitive foods and beverages and use all available techniques, including legislation, to encourage schools to take advantage of opportunities to provide even healthier options for children.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Support improvements in the school food environment just outside of school property, including corner stores and food trucks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Support the creation and implementation of nutrition standards, through legislation and regulation (including licensing), for preschool and day care and other out-of-school care program meals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Support opportunities for greater nutrition education in schools. Support opportunities to expand the availability of fruits, vegetables, and water, including policies that support expansion of school gardens and farm-to-school programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Support strategies that reduce sodium in the food supply.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Reduce trans fats in packaged foods, baked goods, restaurant meals, and school meal programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Support the elimination of food deserts through policies such as Healthy Food Financing that increase the availability of fruits, vegetables, and water in underserved neighborhoods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Support the establishment of food procurement policies that meet the AHA or federal guidelines for government offices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Support policies identified to reduce children’s exposure to marketing and advertising for unhealthy food.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Support policies that change relative prices of healthy versus unhealthy food items.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Support on a pilot basis the taxation of sugar-sweetened beverages to assess impact on health and consumer behavior, including 6 minimum criteria (at least a portion of the money is dedicated for HD and stroke prevention and/or obesity prevention; the tax is structured so as to result in an increase in price for sugar-sweetened beverages; tax is at least 1 cent/oz; there is money dedicated for evaluation with guidance that ensures rigorous evaluation, including health outcomes; there is a standard definition of “sugar-sweetened beverage”; and there is no sunset).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Support policies designed to encourage retailers to increase access to healthy foods while decreasing access to unhealthy foods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Expand state participation in the Department of Defense Fresh Fruit and Vegetable program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total cholesterol</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal for cardiovascular health:</td>
<td><strong>Federal and state</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults: Total cholesterol &lt;200 mg/dL</td>
<td>● Partner with Department of Health and Human Services to promote the Million Hearts Campaign through increased public awareness and partnership engagement, science and evaluation, clinical care improvement, patient outreach, and public policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children: &lt;170 mg/dL</td>
<td>● Ensure adequate healthcare coverage for prevention and treatment of dyslipidemia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Secure and protect dedicated state appropriations aligned with HD and stroke priorities, and work to support appropriate program implementation. Support other public health initiatives and evaluation targeted at HD, stroke, and related risk factors, as well as the disparities that exist in these areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
### Table 2-8. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure of Cardiovascular Health</th>
<th>Advocacy/Policy Solutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood pressure</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ideal for cardiovascular health:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults: &lt;120/80 mm Hg</td>
<td>Federal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children: &lt;90th percentile</td>
<td>● Partner with the Department of Health and Human Services to promote the Million Hearts Campaign, as above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Implement the Institute of Medicine’s recommendations to reduce sodium in the food supply.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Improve food labeling to increase consumer understanding of sodium levels in packaged foods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Advocate for robust sodium limits in procurement standards, nutrition standards in schools, and other government feeding programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>● Promote public funding for heart disease and stroke prevention programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Ensure the availability of essential CVD preventive benefits in private insurance and public health programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fasting plasma glucose</strong></td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ideal for cardiovascular health:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children and adults: Fasting blood glucose &lt;100 mg/dL</td>
<td>Federal and state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Ensure adequate healthcare coverage for early treatment and prevention of diabetes mellitus.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For AHA advocacy resources, including fact sheets, policy briefs, published papers, and position statements, go to [http://www.heart.org/HEARTORG/Advocate/PolicyResources/Policy-Resources_UCM_001135_SubHomePage.jsp](http://www.heart.org/HEARTORG/Advocate/PolicyResources/Policy-Resources_UCM_001135_SubHomePage.jsp). At the time of press of this document, the AHA was in the process of updating its strategic policy agenda for 2014–2017.

AHA indicates American Heart Association; BMI, body mass index; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DASH, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension; FDA, US Food and Drug Administration; HD, heart disease; PA, physical activity; PE, physical education; UN, United Nations; and WHO, World Health Organization.

#### Chart 2-1.

Chart 2-3. Proportion (unadjusted) of US children aged 12 to 19 years meeting different numbers of criteria for ideal cardiovascular health, overall and by sex, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2009 to 2010.
Chart 2-4. Age-standardized prevalence estimates of US adults aged ≥20 years meeting different numbers of criteria for ideal cardiovascular health, overall and by age and sex subgroups, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2009 to 2010.

Chart 2-5. Age-standardized prevalence estimates of US adults aged ≥20 years meeting different numbers of criteria for ideal cardiovascular health, overall and in selected race subgroups from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2009 to 2010.
Chart 2-6. Prevalence estimates of meeting ≥5 criteria for ideal cardiovascular health among US adults aged ≥20 years (age standardized), overall and by sex and race, and US children aged 12 to 19 years (unadjusted), by sex, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2009 to 2010.

A. Age-standardized prevalence of population with ideal cardiovascular health by states. 
B. Age-standardized percentage of population with 0 to 2 cardiovascular health metrics by states. 
C. Age-standardized mean score of cardiovascular health metrics by states. Reprinted from Fang et al with permission. Copyright © 2012, American Heart Association, Inc.
Chart 2-9. Trends in prevalence (unadjusted) of meeting criteria for ideal cardiovascular health for each of the 7 metrics of cardiovascular health in the American Heart Association 2020 goals among US children aged 12 to 19 years, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 1999 to 2000 through 2009 to 2010. *Because of changes in the physical activity questionnaire between different cycles of the NHANES survey, trends over time for this indicator should be interpreted with caution and statistical comparisons should not be attempted. †Data for the Healthy Diet Score, based on a 2-day average intake, were only available for the 2005 to 2006, 2007 to 2008, and 2009 to 2010 NHANES cycles at the time of this analysis.

Chart 2-10. Age-standardized trends in prevalence of meeting criteria for ideal cardiovascular health for each of the 7 metrics of cardiovascular health in the American Heart Association 2020 goals among US adults aged ≥20 years, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 1999 to 2000 through 2009 to 2010. *Because of changes in the physical activity questionnaire between different cycles of the NHANES survey, trends over time for this indicator should be interpreted with caution and statistical comparisons should not be attempted. †Data for the Healthy Diet Score, based on a 2-day average intake, were only available for the 2005 to 2006, 2007 to 2008, and 2009 to 2010 NHANES cycles at the time of this analysis.
Chart 2-12. US age-standardized death rates* attributable to CVD, 2000 to 2010. *Directly standardized to the age distribution of the 2000 US standard population. †Total CVD: International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10) I00 to I99 and Q20 to Q28. §Stroke (all cerebrovascular disease): ICD-10 I60 to I69. ¶CHD: ICD-10 I20 to I25. **Other CVD: ICD-10 I00 to I15, I26 to I51, I70 to I78, I80 to I89, and I95 to I99. CHD indicates coronary heart disease; and CVD, cardiovascular disease. Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.5

Chart 2-13. Incidence of cardiovascular disease according to the number of ideal health behaviors and health factors. Reprinted from Folsom et al7 with permission from Elsevier. Copyright © 2011, American College of Cardiology Foundation.
3. Smoking/Tobacco Use

See Table 3-1 and Charts 3-1 and 3-2.

Smoking is a major risk factor for CVD and stroke. The AHA has identified never tried or never smoked a whole cigarette (for children) and never smoking or quitting >12 months ago (for adults) as 1 of the 7 components of ideal cardiovascular health. According to NHANES 2009 to 2010 data, 85.2% of children and 76.2% of adults met these criteria.

Prevalence

Youth

(See Chart 3-1.)

- In 2011, in grades 9 through 12:
  - 18.1% of students reported current cigarette use (on ≥1 day during the 30 days before the survey), 13.1% of students reported current cigarette use, and 7.7% of students reported current smokeless tobacco use. Overall, 23.4% of students reported any current tobacco use (YRBS; Chart 3-1).
  - Male students were more likely than female students to report current cigarette use (19.9% compared with 16.1%). Male students were also more likely than female students to report current cigarette use (17.8% compared with 8.0%) and current smokeless tobacco use (12.8% compared with 2.2%; YRBS).3
  - Non-Hispanic white students were more likely than Hispanic or non-Hispanic black students to report any current tobacco use, which includes cigarettes, cigars, or smokeless tobacco (26.5% compared with 20.5% for Hispanic students and 15.4% for non-Hispanic black students; YRBS).3
  - Among youths 12 to 17 years of age in 2011, 2.4 million (10.0%) used a tobacco product (cigarettes, cigars, or smokeless tobacco) in the past month, and 1.9 million (7.8%) used cigarettes. Cigarette use in the past month in this age group declined significantly from 13.0% in 2002 to 7.8% in 2011 (NSDUH).4

Adults

(See Table 3-1 and Chart 3-2.)

- In 2012, among adults ≥18 years of age:
  - 20.5% of men and 15.9% of women were current cigarette smokers (NHIS).6
  - The percentage of current cigarette smokers (18.1%) declined 25% since 1998 (24.1%).6,7
  - The states with the highest percentage of current cigarette smokers were Kentucky (28.3%), West Virginia (28.2%), and Arkansas (25.0%). Utah had the lowest percentage of smokers (10.6%) (BRFSS).8
  - In 2011, an estimated 68.2 million Americans ≥12 years of age were current (past month) users of a tobacco product (cigarettes, cigars, smokeless tobacco, or tobacco in pipes). The rate of current use of any tobacco product in this age range declined from 2007 to 2011 (from 28.6% to 26.5%; NSDUH).4
  - From 1998 to 2007, cigarette smoking prevalence among adults ≥18 years of age decreased in 44 states and the District of Columbia. Six states had no substantial changes in prevalence after controlling for age, sex, and race/ethnicity (BRFSS).9
  - In 2009 to 2011, among people ≥65 years of age, 8.9% of men and 8.7% of women were current smokers. In this age group, men were more likely than women to be former smokers (53.0% compared with 30.6%) on the basis of age-adjusted estimates (NHIS).10
  - In 2009 to 2011, among adults ≥18 years of age, Asian men (15.1%) and Hispanic men (16.3%) were less likely to be current cigarette smokers than non-Hispanic black men (23.2%), non-Hispanic white men (23.6%), and American Indian or Alaska Native men (23.7%) on the basis of age-adjusted estimates (NHIS). Similarly, in 2009 to 2011, Asian women (5.7%) and Hispanic women (8.9%) were less likely to be current cigarette smokers than non-Hispanic black women (16.9%), non-Hispanic white women (20.3%), and American Indian or Alaska Native women (23.6%; NHIS).10

Abbreviations Used in Chapter 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AHA</td>
<td>American Heart Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIAN</td>
<td>American Indian or Alaska Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>acute myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRFSS</td>
<td>Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>coronary heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>non-Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHIS</td>
<td>National Health Interview Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSDUH</td>
<td>National Survey on Drug Use and Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td>relative risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YRBS</td>
<td>Youth Risk Behavior Survey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data from the YRBS1 for students in grades 9 to 12 indicated the following:

- The percentage of students who reported ever trying cigarettes remained stable from 1991 to 1999 and then declined from 70.4% in 1999 to 44.7% in 2011.
- The percentage who reported current cigarette use (on at least 1 day in the 30 days before the survey) increased between 1991 and 1997 and then declined from 36.4% in 1997 to 18.1% in 2011.
- The percentage who reported current frequent cigarette use (smoked on ≥20 of the 30 days before the survey) increased from 1991 to 1999 and then declined from 16.8% in 1999 to 6.4% in 2011.
- In 2011, 49.9% of students in grades 9 to 12 who currently smoked cigarettes had tried to quit smoking cigarettes during the previous 12 months. The prevalence of trying to quit smoking was higher among female student smokers (53.9%) than among male student smokers (47.0%) and among white females (54.0%) and Hispanic females (55.9%) than among white males (46.3%) and Hispanic males (44.7%; YRBS).3
Mortality
- In 2005, tobacco smoking was the cause of ≈467,000 adult deaths (19.1%) in the United States. Approximately one third of these deaths were related to CVD.18
- During 2000 to 2004, ≈49,000 (11.1%) of cigarette smoking–related deaths were attributable to secondhand smoke.19
- Each year from 2000 to 2004, smoking caused 3.1 million years of potential life lost for males and 2.0 million years for females, excluding deaths attributable to smoking-attributable residential fires and adult deaths attributable to secondhand smoke.19
- From 2000 to 2004, smoking during pregnancy resulted in an estimated 776 infant deaths annually.17
- During 2000 to 2004, cigarette smoking resulted in an estimated 269,655 deaths annually among males and 173,940 deaths annually among females.19
- On average, male smokers die 13.2 years earlier than male nonsmokers, and female smokers die 14.5 years earlier than female nonsmokers.1
- In 2010, tobacco smoking was the second-leading risk factor for deaths in the United States, after dietary risks.16
- Overall mortality among US smokers is 3 times higher than that for never-smokers.20
- Worldwide, tobacco smoking (including secondhand smoke) was estimated to contribute to 6.2 million deaths in 2010.17

Smoking Cessation
- Smoking cessation reduces the risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality for smokers with and without CHD.2
- There is no evidence to date that reducing the amount smoked by smoking fewer cigarettes per day reduces the risk of CVD.15
- Smokers who quit smoking at 25 to 34 years of age gained 10 years of life compared with those who continued to smoke. Those aged 35 to 44 years gained 9 years and those aged 45 to 54 years gained 8 years of life, on average, compared with those who continued to smoke.20
- In 2010, 48.3% of adult current smokers ≥18 years of age who had a health checkup during the preceding year reported that they had been advised to quit. Smokers between 18 and 24 (31%) and 24 to 44 (44%) years of age were less likely to be advised to quit than those at older ages (57%; NHIS).21
- Cessation medications (including sustained-release bupropion, varenicline, and nicotine gum, lozenge, nasal spray, and patch) are effective for helping smokers quit.22
- In addition to medications, smoke-free policies, increases in tobacco prices, cessation advice from healthcare professionals, and quitlines and other counseling have contributed to smoking cessation.21
- In 2010, 52.4% of adult smokers reported trying to quit smoking in the past year; 6.2% reported they recently quit smoking. Of those who tried to quit smoking, 30.0% used cessation medications.21
- To help combat the global problem of tobacco exposure, in 2003 the WHO adopted the Framework Convention on Tobacco Control treaty. The WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control contains a set of universal standards to limit tobacco supply and demand worldwide. These standards include the

Incidence
- In 2011:
  — Approximately 2.4 million people ≥12 years of age smoked cigarettes for the first time within the past 12 months, which was similar to the estimate in 2010. The 2011 estimate averages out to ≈6500 new cigarette smokers every day. Most new smokers (55.7%) in 2011 were <18 years of age when they first smoked cigarettes (NSDUH).4
  — The number of new smokers <18 years of age (1.3 million) was similar to that in 2002 (1.3 million); however, new smokers ≥18 years of age increased from ≈600,000 in 2002 to 1.1 million in 2011 (NSDUH).4
  — Among people 12 to 49 years of age who had started smoking within the past 12 months, the average age of first cigarette use was 17.2 years, similar to the average in 2010 (17.3 years).4
- Data from 2002 to 2004 suggest that ≈1 in 5 nonsmokers 12 to 17 years of age is likely to start smoking. Youths in the Mexican subpopulations were significantly more susceptible (28.8%) to start smoking than those in non-Hispanic white (20.8%), non-Hispanic black (23.0%), Cuban (16.4%), Asian Indian (15.4%), Chinese (15.3%), and Vietnamese (13.8%) subpopulations. There was no significant difference in susceptibility to start smoking between boys and girls in any of the major populations or subpopulations (NSDUH).11

Morbidity
A 2010 report of the US Surgeon General on how tobacco causes disease summarizes an extensive body of literature on smoking and CVD and the mechanisms through which smoking is thought to cause CVD.12 Among its conclusions are the following:
- There is a sharp increase in CVD risk with low levels of exposure to cigarette smoke, including secondhand smoke, and a less rapid further increase in risk as the number of cigarettes per day increases.
- A meta-analysis comparing pooled data of ≈2.4 million smokers and nonsmokers found the RR ratio of smokers to nonsmokers for developing CHD was 25% higher in women than in men (95% CI, 1.12–1.39).13
- Current smokers have a 2 to 4 times increased risk of stroke compared with nonsmokers or those who have quit for >10 years.14,15
- Recent analysis has found that tobacco exposure is a top risk factor for disability in the United States, second only to dietary risks.16
- Worldwide, tobacco smoking (including secondhand smoke) was 1 of the top 3 leading risk factors for disease in 2010.17

- In 2010 to 2011, among women 15 to 44 years of age, past-month cigarette use was lower for those who were pregnant (17.6%) than among those who were not pregnant (25.4%). This pattern was found for women 18 to 25 years of age (22.4% versus 29.9% for pregnant and nonpregnant women, respectively) and for women 26 to 44 years of age (14.3% versus 25.7%, respectively; NSDUH).4

- Morbidity and mortality for smokers with and without CHD.

- There is no evidence to date that reducing the amount smoked by smoking fewer cigarettes per day reduces the risk of CVD.15
- Smokers who quit smoking at 25 to 34 years of age gained 10 years of life compared with those who continued to smoke. Those aged 35 to 44 years gained 9 years and those aged 45 to 54 years gained 8 years of life, on average, compared with those who continued to smoke.20
- In 2010, 48.3% of adult current smokers ≥18 years of age who had a health checkup during the preceding year reported that they had been advised to quit. Smokers between 18 and 24 (31%) and 24 to 44 (44%) years of age were less likely to be advised to quit than those at older ages (57%; NHIS).21
- Cessation medications (including sustained-release bupropion, varenicline, and nicotine gum, lozenge, nasal spray, and patch) are effective for helping smokers quit.22
- In addition to medications, smoke-free policies, increases in tobacco prices, cessation advice from healthcare professionals, and quitlines and other counseling have contributed to smoking cessation.21
- In 2010, 52.4% of adult smokers reported trying to quit smoking in the past year; 6.2% reported they recently quit smoking. Of those who tried to quit smoking, 30.0% used cessation medications.21
- To help combat the global problem of tobacco exposure, in 2003 the WHO adopted the Framework Convention on Tobacco Control treaty. The WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control contains a set of universal standards to limit tobacco supply and demand worldwide. These standards include the
use of tax policies to reduce tobacco consumption, a ban on the indoor use of tobacco products, implementation of educational programs about the dangers of tobacco use, and restrictions of the sale of tobacco products to international travelers. Since it came into force in 2005, >175 countries have ratified the WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control.23

Secondhand Smoke

● Data from a 2006 report of the US Surgeon General on the consequences of involuntary exposure to tobacco smoke12 indicate the following:

—Non-smokers who are exposed to secondhand smoke at home or at work increase their risk of developing CHD by 25% to 30%.
—Short exposures to secondhand smoke can cause blood platelets to become stickier, damage the lining of blood vessels, and decrease coronary flow velocity reserves, potentially increasing the risk of an AMI.

● In 2008, data from 11 states showed that the majority of people surveyed in each state reported having smoke-free home rules, ranging from 68.8% in West Virginia to 85.6% in Arizona (BRFSS).24

● As of December 31, 2010, 25 states and the District of Columbia had laws that prohibited smoking in indoor areas of worksites, restaurants, and bars; no states had such laws in 2000. As of December 31, 2010, an additional 10 states had laws that prohibited smoking in 1 or 2 but not all 3 venues.25

● In 2012, 30 of the 50 largest US cities prohibited indoor smoking in private workplaces, either through state or local ordinances.26

● Pooled data from 17 studies in North America, Europe, and Australasia suggest that smoke-free legislation can reduce the incidence of acute coronary events by 10%.27

● The percentage of the US nonsmoking population with serum cotinine ≥0.05 ng/mL declined from 52.5% in 1999 to 2000 to 40.1% in 2007 to 2008, with declines occurring for both children and adults. During 2007 to 2008, the percentage of nonsmokers with detectable serum cotinine was 53.6% for those 3 to 11 years of age, 46.5% for those 12 to 19 years of age, and 36.7% for those ≥20 years of age. The percentage was also higher for non-Hispanic blacks (55.9%) than for non-Hispanic whites (40.1%) and Mexican Americans (28.5%; NHANES).28

Cost

● Direct medical costs ($96 billion) and lost productivity costs ($97 billion) associated with smoking totaled an estimated $193 billion per year between 2000 and 2004.28

● In 2008, $9.94 billion was spent on marketing cigarettes in the United States.29

● Cigarette prices have increased 283% between the early 1980s and 2011, which contributed to decreased sales from ≈30 million packs sold in 1982 to ≈14 million packs sold in 2011.29
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Both sexes 42
Males 22
Females 19

American Indian/Alaska Native only include non-Hispanic and Hispanic persons.

NH black females 14.2%
NH black males 21.6%

Percentages are age adjusted. Estimates for Asian only and American Indian/Alaska Native only include non-Hispanic and Hispanic persons. Ellipses ( . . . ) indicate data not available; and NH, non-Hispanic.

*Rounded to the nearest thousand.

Chart 3-2. Prevalence (%) of current smoking for adults >18 years of age by race/ethnicity and sex (National Health Interview Survey: 2009-2011). All percentages are age adjusted. AIAN indicates American Indian/Alaska Native; and NH, non-Hispanic. *Includes both Hispanics and non-Hispanics. Data derived from Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/National Center for Health Statistics, Health Data Interactive.10
4. Physical Inactivity
See Table 4-1 and Charts 4-1 through 4-5.

Physical inactivity is a major risk factor for CVD and stroke. The AHA has identified ≥60 minutes of moderate- or vigorous-intensity activity every day (for children) and ≥150 min/wk of moderate-intensity activity or ≥75 min/wk of vigorous-intensity activity or a combination thereof (for adults) as 1 of the 7 components of ideal cardiovascular health. In 2009 to 2010, 36.5% of children and 41.1% of adults met these criteria.

Prevalence

Youth Inactivity
(See Chart 4-1.)
In 2011:
- Nationwide, 13.8% of adolescents were inactive during the previous 7 days, as indicated by their response that they did not participate in ≥60 minutes of any kind of PA that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard on any 1 of the previous 7 days.
- Girls were more likely than boys to report inactivity (17.7% versus 10.0%).
- The prevalence of inactivity was highest among black (26.7%) and Hispanic (21.3%) girls, followed by white girls (13.7%), black boys (12.3%), Hispanic boys (10.7%), and white boys (8.5%).

Abbreviations Used in Chapter 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AHA</td>
<td>American Heart Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARDIA</td>
<td>Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>coronary heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>C-reactive protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>diastolic blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF</td>
<td>ejection fraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMD</td>
<td>flow-mediated dilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c</td>
<td>hemoglobin A1c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>high-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>hazard ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>non-Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHS</td>
<td>National Health Interview Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAD</td>
<td>peripheral artery disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td>relative risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>systolic blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Television/Video/Computers
(See Chart 4-2.)
In 2011:
- Nationwide, 31.1% of adolescents used a computer for activities other than school work (eg, videogames or other computer games) for ≥3 hours per day on an average school day.
- The prevalence of using computers or watching television ≥3 hours per day was highest among black (41.1%) and Hispanic (36.3%) boys, followed by white boys (33.3%), black girls (35.2%), Hispanic girls (28.3%), and white girls (22.6%).
- 32.4% of adolescents watched television for ≥3 hours per day.
- The prevalence of watching television ≥3 hours per day was highest among black girls (54.9%) and boys (54.4%), followed by Hispanic boys (38.4%) and girls (37.2%) and white boys (27.3%) and girls (23.9%).
- Increased television time has significant nutritional associations with weight gain (refer to Chapter 5, Nutrition).

Activity Recommendations
(See Charts 4-3 and 4-4.)
- In 2011, the proportion of students who met activity recommendations of ≥60 minutes of PA on 7 days of the week was 28.7% nationwide and declined from 9th (30.7%) to 12th (25.1%) grades. At each grade level, the proportion was higher in boys than in girls.
- In 2011, more high school boys (38.3%) than girls (18.5%) self-reported having been physically active ≥60 minutes per day on all 7 days; self-reported rates of activity were higher in white (30.4%) than in black (26.0%) or Hispanic (26.5%) adolescents.
- The 2010 National Youth Physical Activity and Nutrition Study showed that a total of 15.3% of high school students met the recommendations for aerobic activity, 51.0% met the recommendations for muscle-strengthening activity, and 12.2% met the recommendations for both aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities.
- There was a marked discrepancy between the proportion of youth (ages 6–11 years) who reported engaging in ≥60 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous PA on most days of the week and those who actually engaged in moderate-to-vigorous PA for ≥60 minutes when activity was measured objectively with accelerometers (ie, portable motion sensors that record and quantify the duration and intensity of movements) in the NHANES 2003 to 2004 survey.
- On the basis of accelerometer counts per minute ≥2020, 42% of 6- to 11-year-olds accumulated ≥260 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous PA on ≥5 days per week, whereas only 8% of 12-to 15-year-olds and 7.6% of 16- to 19-year-olds achieved similar counts.
- More boys than girls met PA recommendations (≥60 minutes of moderate to vigorous activity on most days of the week) as measured by accelerometry.

Structured Activity Participation
- Despite recommendations from the National Association for Sport and Physical Education that schools should require daily physical education for students in kindergarten
through 12th grade, only 51.8% of students attended physical education classes in school daily (56.7% of boys and 46.7% of girls).  

- Physical education class participation declined from the 9th through the 12th grades among boys and girls.  

- Little more than half (58.4%) of high school students played on at least 1 school or community sports team in the previous year; however, the prevalence declined with increasing grade level, from 61.4% in the 9th grade to 52.5% in the 12th grade.  

**Adults**

*Inactivity*

According to 2012 data from the NHIS, in adults ≥18 years of age:

- 29.9% do not engage in leisure-time PA (“no leisure-time PA/inactivity” refers to no sessions of light/moderate or vigorous PA of ≥10 minutes’ duration).  

- Inactivity was higher among women than men (31.0% versus 28.6%, age adjusted) and increased with age from 24.5% to 31.8%, 35.7%, and 51.4% among adults 18 to 44, 45 to 64, 65 to 74, and ≥75 years of age, respectively.  

- Non-Hispanic black and Hispanic adults were more likely to be inactive (39.4% and 39.8%, respectively) than were non-Hispanic white adults (26.2%) on the basis of age-adjusted estimates.  

*Activity Recommendations*

(See Table 4-1 and Chart 4-5.)

According to 2012 data from the NHIS, in adults ≥18 years of age:

- 20.7% met the 2008 federal PA guidelines for both aerobic and strengthening activity, an important component of overall physical fitness.  

- The age-adjusted proportion who reported engaging in moderate or vigorous PA that met the 2008 aerobic PA guidelines for Americans (≥150 minutes of moderate PA or 75 minutes of vigorous PA or an equivalent combination each week) was 50.1%; 53.9% of men and 46.5% of women met the recommendations. Age-adjusted prevalence was 53.6% for non-Hispanic whites, 40.9% for non-Hispanic blacks, and 42.5% for Hispanics.  

- The proportion of respondents who did not meet the federal aerobic PA guidelines increased with age from 43.8% of 18- to 44-year-olds to 71.9% of adults ≥75 years of age.  

- Non-Hispanic black adults (59.1%) and Hispanic/Latino adults (57.4%) were more likely not to meet the federal aerobic PA guidelines than non-Hispanic white (46.4%) adults, according to age-adjusted estimates.  

- The percentage of adults ≥25 years of age not meeting the full (aerobic and muscle-strengthening) federal PA guidelines was inversely associated with education; 66.4% of participants with no high school diploma, 57.6% of those with a high school diploma or a high school equivalency credential, 46.8% of those with some college, and 33.2% of those with a bachelor’s degree or higher did not meet the full federal PA guidelines.  

- The proportion of adults ≥25 years of age who met the 2008 federal PA guidelines for aerobic activity was positively associated with education level: 62.9% of those with a college degree or higher met the PA guidelines compared with 31.5% of adults with less than a high school diploma.  

- The proportion of adults reporting levels of PA consistent with the 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans remains low and decreases with age. Thirty-three percent of respondents in a study examining awareness of current US PA guidelines had direct knowledge of the recommended dosage of PA (ie, frequency/duration).  

- The percentage of adults reporting ≥150 minutes of moderate PA or 75 minutes of vigorous PA or an equivalent combination weekly decreased with age from 55.8% for adults 18 to 44 years of age to 27.4% for those ≥75 years of age, on the basis of the 2011 NHIS.  

- The percentage of men who engaged in both leisure-time aerobic and strengthening activities decreased with age, from 39.8% at age 18 to 24 years to 11.1% at ≥75 years of age. The percentage of women who engaged in both leisure-time aerobic and strengthening activities also decreased with age, from 20.7% at age 18 to 24 years to 5.3% at ≥75 years of age, on the basis of the 2011 NHIS.  

- Using PA recommendations that existed at the time of the survey, adherence to PA recommendations was much lower when based on PA measured by accelerometer in NHANES 2003 to 2004:

  —Among adults 20 to 59 years of age, 3.8% of men and 3.2% of women met recommendations to engage in moderate-to-vigorous PA (accelerometer counts ≥20200/min) for 30 minutes (in sessions of ≥10 minutes) on ≥5 of 7 days.  

  —Among those ≥60 years of age, adherence was 2.5% in men and 2.3% in women.  

- Accelerometry data from NHANES 2003 to 2006 showed that men engaged in 35 minutes of moderate activity per day, whereas for women, it was 21 minutes. More than 75% of moderate activity was accumulated in 1-minute bouts. Levels of activity declined sharply after the age of 50 years in all groups.  

- In a review examining self-reported versus actual measured PA (eg, accelerometers, pedometers, indirect calorimetry, doubly labeled water, heart rate monitor), 60% of respondents self-reported higher values of activity than what was measured by use of direct methods.  

- Among men, self-reported PA was 44% greater than actual measured values; among women, self-reported activity was 138% greater than actual measured PA.

**Trends**

*Youth*

In 2011:

- Among adolescents, there was a significant decrease in the prevalence of watching television ≥3 hours per day, from 42.8% in 1999 to 32.4%, although there was no significant decrease from the 2009 prevalence of 32.8%.  

- Among students nationwide, there was a significant increase in the prevalence of having participated in muscle-strengthening activities on ≥3 days per week, from 47.8% in 1991 to 55.6%.
● Nationwide, the prevalence of adolescents using computers ≥3 hours per day increased from 21.1% in 2005 to 24.9% in 2009 and 31.1% in 2011.

● Among adolescents nationwide, the prevalence of attending physical education classes at least once per week did not increase significantly, from 48.9% in 1991 to 51.8%.

● The prevalence of adolescents playing ≥1 team sport in the past year increased from 55.1% in 1999 to 58.4%.

Adults

● Between NHANES III (1988–1994) and NHANES 2001 to 2006, the non–age-adjusted proportion of adults who engaged in >12 bouts of PA per month declined from 57.0% to 43.3% in men and from 49.0% to 43.3% in women.13

● The proportion of US adults who meet criteria for muscle strength has improved between 1998 and 2011. Annual estimates of the percentage of US adults who met the muscle-strengthening criteria increased from 17.7% in 1998 to 24.5% in 2011, and estimates of the percentage who met both the muscle-strengthening and aerobic criteria increased from 14.4% in 1998 to 21.0% in 2011.8,14

● A 2.3% decline in physical inactivity between 1980 and 2000 was estimated to have prevented or postponed ≈17,445 deaths (≈5%) attributable to CHD in the United States.15

CVD and Metabolic Risk Factors

Youth

● More girls (67.9%) than boys (55.7%) reported having exercised to lose weight or to keep from gaining weight.3

● White girls (72.2%) were more likely than black (54.2%) and Hispanic (66.3%) girls to report exercising to lose weight or to keep from gaining weight.3

● Total and vigorous PA are inversely correlated with body fat and the prevalence of obesity.16

● Among children 4 to 18 years of age, increased time in moderate to vigorous PA was associated with improvements in waist circumference, SBP, fasting triglycerides, HDL cholesterol, and insulin. These findings were significant regardless of the amount of the children’s sedentary time.17

● Among children aged 4 to 18 years, both higher activity levels and lower sedentary time measured by accelerometry were associated with more favorable metabolic risk factor profiles.17

Adults

● Participants in the Diabetes Prevention Project randomized trial who met the PA goal of 150 minutes of PA per week were 44% less likely to develop DM after 3.2 years of follow-up, even if they did not meet the weight-loss target.18

● Exercise for weight loss, without dietary interventions, was associated with significant reductions in DBP (–2 mm Hg; 95% CI, –4 to –1 mm Hg), triglycerides (–0.2 mmol/L; 95% CI, –0.3 to –0.1 mmol/L), and fasting glucose (–0.2 mmol/L; 95% CI, –0.3 to –0.1 mmol/L).19

● A total of 120 to 150 minutes per week of moderate-intensity activity, compared with none, can reduce the risk of developing metabolic syndrome.20

● In CARDIA, women who maintained high activity through young adulthood gained 6.1 fewer kilograms of weight and 3.8 fewer centimeters in waist circumference in middle age than those with lower activity. Highly active men gained 2.6 fewer kilograms and 3.1 fewer centimeters than their lower-activity counterparts.21

● Self-reported low lifetime recreational activity has been associated with increased PAD.22

● In 3 US cohort studies, men and women who increased their PA over time gained less weight in the long term, whereas those who decreased their PA over time gained more weight and those who maintained their current PA had intermediate weight gain.23

● Among US men and women, every hour per day of increased television watching was associated with 0.3 lb of greater weight gain every 4 years, whereas every hour per day of decreased television watching was associated with a similar amount of relative weight loss.23

Morbidity and Mortality

● Physical inactivity is responsible for 12.2% of the global burden of MI after accounting for other CVD risk factors such as cigarette smoking, DM, hypertension, abdominal obesity, lipid profile, no alcohol intake, and psychosocial factors.24

● In a meta-analysis of longitudinal studies among women, RRs of incident CHD were 0.83 (95% CI, 0.69–0.99), 0.77 (95% CI, 0.64–0.92), 0.72 (95% CI, 0.59–0.87), and 0.57 (95% CI, 0.41–0.79) across increasing quintiles of PA compared with the lowest quintile.25

● A 2003 meta-analysis of 23 studies on the association of PA with stroke indicated that compared with low levels of activity, high (RR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.69–0.91) and moderate (RR, 0.91; 95% CI, 0.80–1.05) levels of activity were inversely associated with the likelihood of developing total stroke (ischemic and hemorrhagic).26

● With television watching as a sedentary activity, 2 hours of television per day is associated with an RR for type 2 DM of 1.20 (95% CI, 1.14–1.27), an RR for fatal or nonfatal CVD of 1.15 (95% CI, 1.06–1.23), and an RR for all-cause mortality of 1.13 (95% CI, 1.07–1.18). The risk for all-cause mortality further increases with >3 hours of television daily.27

● Longitudinal studies commonly report a graded, inverse association of PA amount and duration (ie, dose) with incident CHD and stroke.28

● The PA guidelines for adults cite evidence that ≈150 minutes per week of moderate-intensity aerobic activity, compared with none, can reduce the risk of CVD.29

● Adherence to PA guidelines for both aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities is associated with 27% lower all-cause mortality among adults without existing chronic conditions such as DM, cancer, MI, angina, CVD, stroke, or respiratory diseases and with 46% lower mortality among people with chronic comorbidities.29

● In the Health Professionals Follow-Up Study, for every 3-hour-per-week increase in vigorous-intensity activity, the multivariate RR of MI was 0.78 (95% CI, 0.61–0.98) for men. This 22% reduction of risk can be explained in part by beneficial effects of PA on HDL cholesterol, vitamin D, apolipoprotein B, and HbA1c.30
In a 20-year study of older male veterans, an inverse, graded, and independent association between impaired exercise capacity and all-cause mortality risk was found. For each increase of 1 metabolic equivalent tasks in exercise capacity, mortality risk was 12% lower (HR, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.86–0.90). Unfit individuals who improved their fitness status had a 35% lower mortality risk (HR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.46–0.93) than those who remained unfit.11

### Secondary Prevention

- **PA improves inflammatory markers in people with existing stable CHD.** After a 6-week training session, CRP levels declined by 23.7% ($P<0.001$), and plasma vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 levels declined by 10.23% ($P<0.05$); there was no difference in leukocyte count or levels of intercellular adhesion molecule-1.32
- In a randomized trial of patients with PAD, supervised treadmill exercise training and lower-extremity resistance training were each associated with significant improvements in functional performance and quality of life compared with a usual-care control group. Exercise training was additionally associated with improved brachial artery FMD, whereas resistance training was associated with better stair-climbing ability versus control.33
- On the basis of a meta-analysis of 34 randomized controlled trials, exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation after MI was associated with lower rates of reinfection, cardiac mortality, and overall mortality.34
- The benefit of intense exercise training for cardiac rehabilitation in people with HF was tested in a trial of 27 patients with stable, medically treated HF. Intense activity (an aerobic interval-training program 3 times per week for 12 weeks) was associated with a significant 35% improvement in left ventricular EF and decreases in pro-brain natriuretic peptide (40%), left ventricular end-diastolic volume (18%), and left ventricular end-systolic volume (25%) compared with control and endurance-training groups.35
- Exercise training in patients with HF with preserved EF was associated with improved exercise capacity and favorable changes in diastolic function.36

### Costs

- The economic consequences of physical inactivity are substantial. In a summary of WHO data sources, the economic costs of physical inactivity were estimated to account for 1.5% to 3.0% of total direct healthcare expenditures in developed countries such as the United States.37
- Interventions and community strategies to increase physical activity have been shown to be cost-effective in terms of reducing medical costs38:
  - Nearly $3 in medical cost savings is realized for every $1 invested in building bike and walking trails.
  - Incremental cost and incremental effectiveness ratios range from $14,000 to $69,000 per quality-adjusted life-year gained from interventions such as pedestrian or walking programs compared with no intervention, especially in high-risk groups.
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Table 4-1. Met 2008 Federal PA Guidelines for Adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Group</th>
<th>Prevalence, 2012 (Age ≥18 y), %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white only</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black only</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native only</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian only</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Met 2008 federal PA guidelines for adults" is defined as engaging in ≥150 min of moderate or ≥75 min of vigorous aerobic leisure-time physical activity per wk (or an equivalent combination) and engaging in leisure-time strengthening physical activity at least twice a wk.

Data are age adjusted for adults ≥18 y of age.

PA indicates physical activity; NH, non-Hispanic.

Source: National Health Interview Survey 2012 (National Center for Health Statistics).
Chart 4-1. Prevalence of students in grades 9 to 12 who did not participate in ≥60 minutes of physical activity on any day by race/ethnicity and sex (Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance: 2011). NH indicates non-Hispanic. Data derived from MMWR Surveillance Summaries.3

Chart 4-2. Percentage of students in grades 9 to 12 who used a computer for ≥3 hours a day by race/ethnicity and sex (Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance: 2011). NH indicates non-Hispanic. Data derived from MMWR Surveillance Summaries.3
Chart 4-3. Prevalence of students in grades 9 to 12 who met currently recommended levels of physical activity during the past 7 days by race/ethnicity and sex (Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance: 2011). “Currently recommended levels” was defined as activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time for a total of ≥60 minutes per day on 5 of the 7 days preceding the survey. NH indicates non-Hispanic. Data derived from MMWR Surveillance Summaries.3

Chart 4-4. Prevalence of children 6 to 19 years of age who attained sufficient moderate to vigorous physical activity to meet public health recommendations (≥60 minutes per day on 5 or more of the 7 days preceding the survey), by sex and age (National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey: 2003–2004). Source: Troiano et al.5
Chart 4-5. Prevalence of meeting the aerobic guidelines of the 2008 Federal Physical Activity Guidelines among adults ≥18 years of age by race/ethnicity and sex (National Health Interview Survey: 2012). NH indicates non-Hispanic. Percentages are age adjusted. The aerobic guidelines of the 2008 Federal Physical Activity Guidelines recommend engaging in moderate leisure-time physical activity for ≥150 minutes per week or vigorous activity ≥75 minutes per week or an equivalent combination. Source: Blackwell et al.7
5. Nutrition

See Tables 5-1 and 5-2 and Charts 5-1 through 5-3.

This chapter of the Update highlights national dietary consumption data, focusing on key foods, nutrients, dietary patterns, and other dietary factors related to cardiometabolic health. It is intended to examine current intakes, trends and changes in intakes, and estimated effects on disease to support and further stimulate efforts to monitor and improve dietary habits in relation to cardiovascular health.

Prevalence

Foods and Nutrients: Adults

(See Table 5-1; NHANES 2009–2010.)

The dietary consumption by US adults of selected foods and nutrients related to cardiometabolic health is detailed in Table 5-1 according to sex and race or ethnic subgroups:

Abbreviations Used in Chapter 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALA</td>
<td>α-linoleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIC</td>
<td>Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRFSS</td>
<td>Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>coronary heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>congestive heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DASH</td>
<td>Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>diastolic blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>docosahexaenoic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>eicosapentaenoic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>glomerular filtration rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GISSI</td>
<td>Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della Sopravvivenza nell’Infarto miocardico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>high-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEI</td>
<td>Healthy Eating Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>low-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-6-PUFA</td>
<td>ω-6-polyunsaturated fatty acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>non-Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>odds ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td>relative risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>systolic blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>standard deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHI</td>
<td>Women’s Health Initiative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average consumption of whole grains was 1.1 servings per day by white men and women and 0.8 servings per day by black men and women, with only between 7% and 10% of white and black adults meeting guidelines of ≥3 servings per day. Average whole grain consumption by Mexican Americans was ≥2 servings per day, with 27% to 29% consuming ≥3 servings per day.

Average fruit consumption ranged from 1.2 to 1.9 servings per day in these sex and race or ethnic subgroups: 11% to 13% of whites, 7% to 8% of blacks, and 14% of Mexican Americans met guidelines of ≥2 cups per day. When 100% fruit juices were included, the number of servings increased, and the proportions of adults consuming ≥2 cups per day approximately doubled in whites and Mexican Americans and nearly quadrupled in blacks.

Average vegetable consumption ranged from 1.3 to 2.2 servings per day; 6% to 8% of whites, 2% to 5% of blacks, and 2 to 4% of Mexican Americans consumed ≥2.5 cups per day. The inclusion of vegetable juices and sauces generally produced little change in these consumption patterns.

Average consumption of fish and shellfish was lowest among Mexican American and white women (1.2 and 1.4 servings per week, respectively) and highest among black women (2.1 servings per week); ≥72% to 78% of all adults in each sex and race or ethnic subgroup consumed <2 servings per week. Approximately 9% to 10% of whites, 10% to 12% of blacks, and 7% to 13% of Mexican Americans consumed ≥250 mg of eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid per day.

Average consumption of nuts, legumes, and seeds was ≥2.5 servings per week among whites and blacks and 5 to 8 servings per week among Mexican Americans. Approximately 22% of whites, 18% of blacks, and 40% of Mexican Americans met guidelines of ≥4 servings per week.

Average consumption of processed meats was lowest among Mexican American women (1.2 servings per week) and highest among black men (3.3 servings per week). Between 49% (black men) and 75% (Mexican American women) of adults consumed 2 or fewer servings per week.

Average consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages ranged from ≥6 servings per week among white women to 12 servings per week among Mexican American men. Women generally consumed less than men. From 29% (Mexican American men) to 68% (white women) of adults consumed no more than 36 oz (4.5 8-oz servings) per week.

Average consumption of sweets and bakery desserts ranged from ≥4.5 servings per day (Mexican Americans) to 7 servings per day (white women). Approximately two thirds of white women and more than half of all other sex and race groups consumed ≥2.5 servings per week.

Between 35% and 58% of adults in each sex and race or ethnic subgroup consumed <10% of total calories from saturated fat, and between 56% and 72% consumed <300 mg of dietary cholesterol per day.

Only 6% to 12% of whites, 2% to 5% of blacks, and 13% of Mexican Americans consumed ≥28 g of dietary fiber per day.

Only 5% to 7% of whites, 6% to 12% of blacks, and 10% of Mexican Americans consumed <2.3 g of sodium per day.
**Foods and Nutrients: Children and Teenagers**  
(See Table 5-2; NHANES 2009–2010.)

The dietary consumption by US children and teenagers of selected foods and nutrients related to cardiometabolic health is detailed in Table 5-2:

- Average whole grain consumption was low, <1 serving per day in all age and sex groups, with <7% of all children in different age and sex subgroups meeting guidelines of ≥3 servings per day.
- Average fruit consumption was low and decreased with age: 1.6 to 1.7 servings per day in younger boys and girls (5–9 years of age), 1.3 servings per day in adolescent boys and girls (10–14 years of age), and 0.9 to 1.2 servings per day in teenage boys and girls (15–19 years of age). The proportion meeting guidelines of ≥2 cups per day was also low and decreased with age: ≈10% in those 5 to 9 years of age, 8% in those 10 to 14 years of age, and 5% in those 15 to 19 years of age. When 100% fruit juices were included, the number of servings consumed approximately doubled, and proportions consuming ≥2 cups per day increased to approximately one third of those 5 to 9 years of age and one fourth of those 10 to 14 years and 15 to 19 years of age.
- Average vegetable consumption was low, ranging from 0.8 to 1.3 servings per day, with at most 3% of children in different age and sex subgroups meeting guidelines of ≥2.5 cups per day.
- Average consumption of fish and shellfish was low, ranging between 0.3 and 0.9 servings per week in all age and sex groups. Among all ages, only 5% to 11% of youth consumed ≥2 servings per week.
- Average consumption of nuts, legumes, and seeds ranged from 1.4 to 1.9 servings per week among different age and sex groups. Only between 11% and 14% of children in different age and sex subgroups consumed ≥4 servings per week.
- Average consumption of processed meats ranged from ≥2 to 3 servings per week; was generally higher than the average consumption of nuts, legumes, and seeds; and was up to 8 times higher than the average consumption of fish and shellfish. Approximately 40% and 50% of children consumed ≥2 servings per week.
- Average consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages was higher in boys than in girls and increased with age, from ≥7 to 8 servings per week in 5- to 9-year-olds, 9 to 10 servings per week in 10- to 14-year-olds, and 13 to 16 servings per week in 15- to 19-year-olds (each energy adjusted to 2000 kcal/d). This was generally considerably higher than the average consumption of whole grains, fruits, vegetables, fish and shellfish, or nuts, legumes, and seeds. Less than half of children 5 to 9 years of age and less than one quarter of boys 15 to 19 years of age consumed <4.5 servings per week.
- Average consumption of sweets and bakery desserts was ≥9 to 10 servings per week in 5- to 9-year-olds, 7 to 8 servings per week in 10- to 14-year-olds, and 5 to 8 servings per week in 15- to 19-year-olds. From 61% (boys 15–19 years of age) to 79% (girls 5–9 years of age) of youths consumed ≥2.5 servings per week.
- Average consumption of eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid was low, ranging from 39 to 63 mg/d in boys and girls at all ages. Fewer than 6% of children and teenagers at any age consumed ≥250 mg/d.
- Average consumption of saturated fat was ≈11% of calories, and average consumption of dietary cholesterol ranged from 225 to 250 mg/d. Approximately 30% to 40% of youth consumed <10% energy from saturated fat, and >75% consumed <300 mg of dietary cholesterol per day.
- Average consumption of dietary fiber ranged from 14 to 15 g/d. Less than 2% of children in all age and sex subgroups consumed ≥28 g/d.
- Average consumption of sodium ranged from 3.3 to 3.5 g/d. Only between 2% and 9% of children in different age and sex subgroups consumed <2.3 g/d.

**Energy Balance**

Energy balance, or consumption of total calories appropriate for needs, is determined by the balance of average calories consumed versus expended, with this balance depending on multiple factors, including calories consumed, PA, body size, age, sex, and underlying basal metabolic rate. Thus, one individual may consume relatively high calories but have negative energy balance (as a result of even greater calories expended), whereas another individual may consume relatively few calories but have positive energy balance (because of low calories expended). Given such variation, the most practical and reasonable method to assess energy balance in populations is to assess changes in weight over time (Trends section).

- Average daily caloric intake in the United States is ≈2500 calories in adult men and 1800 calories in adult women (Table 5-1). In children and teenagers, average caloric intake is higher in boys than in girls and increases with age in boys (Table 5-2). Trends in energy balance are described below. The average US adult gains ≈1 lb per year. In an analysis of >120000 US men and women in 3 separate US cohorts followed up for up to 20 years, changes in intakes of different foods and beverages were linked to long-term weight gain in different ways.1 Foods and beverages most positively linked to weight gain included refined grains, starches, and sugars, including potatoes, white bread, white rice, low-fiber breakfast cereals, sweets/desserts, and sugar-sweetened beverages, as well as red and processed meats. In contrast, increased consumption of several other foods, including nuts, whole grains, fruits, vegetables, and yogurt, was linked to relative weight loss over time. These findings indicate that attention to dietary quality, not simply counting total calories, is crucial for energy balance.1
- Diet quality also appears to influence energy expenditure. After intentional weight loss, isocaloric diets higher in fat and lower in rapidly digestible carbohydrates produced significantly smaller declines in total energy expenditure than low-fat, high-carbohydrate diets.2 Similarly, isocaloric meals richer in rapidly digestible carbohydrate increased hunger and stimulated brain regions associated with reward and craving compared with isocaloric meals lower in rapidly digestible carbohydrate.3
- Other nutritional determinants of positive energy balance (more calories consumed than expended), as determined by adiposity or weight gain, include larger portion sizes4,5 and greater consumption of fast food and commercially prepared meals.6–10
- Preferences for portion size are associated with BMI, socioeconomic status, eating in fast food restaurants, and...
television watching. Portion sizes are larger at fast food restaurants than at home or at other restaurants. Between 1999 and 2004, 53% of Americans consumed an average of 1 to 3 restaurant meals per week, and 23% consumed ≥4 restaurant meals per week. Spending on food away from home, including restaurant meals, catered foods, and food eaten during out-of-town trips, increased from 26% of average annual food expenditures in 1970 to 42% in 2004. Macronutrient composition of the overall diet or of specific foods, such as percentage of calories from total fat, does not appear to be strongly associated with energy balance as ascertained by weight gain or loss. In contrast, dietary quality as characterized by higher or lower intakes of specific foods and beverages is strongly linked to weight gain (see above).

Emerging evidence suggests that consumption of trans fat may be associated with energy imbalance as assessed by changes in adiposity or weight, as well as more specific adverse effects on visceral adiposity.

Other individual factors associated with positive energy balance (weight gain) include general television watching (with evidence that effects are mediated by diet, rather than physical inactivity, including greater snacking in front of the television and the influence of advertising on poor food choices). A comparison of BRFSS data in 1996 and 2003 suggested a shift in self-reported dietary strategies to lose weight, with the proportion focusing on calorie restriction increasing from 11.3% to 24.9% and the proportion focusing on restricting fat consumption decreasing from 41.6% to 29.1%.

On the basis of BRFSS data from 2003, among all American adults who were overweight or obese, a higher proportion was trying to lose weight if also diagnosed with hypertension (58% trying to lose weight), DM (60%), or both diseases (72%) than adults with neither condition (50%).

A 2007 to 2008 national survey of 1082 retail stores in 19 US cities found that energy-dense snack foods/beverages were present in 96% of pharmacies, 94% of gas stations, 22% of furniture stores, 16% of apparel stores, and 29% to 65% of other types of stores.

Societal and environmental factors independently associated with energy imbalance (weight gain), via either increased caloric consumption or decreased expenditure, include education, income, race/ethnicity, and local conditions such as availability of grocery stores, types of restaurants, safety, parks and open spaces, and walking or biking paths.

PA is covered in Chapter 4 of this update.

**Dietary Patterns**

In addition to individual foods and nutrients, overall dietary patterns can be used to assess more global dietary quality. Different dietary patterns have been defined, including the HEI, Alternative HEI, Western versus prudent dietary patterns, Mediterranean dietary pattern, and DASH-type diet.

The higher-monounsaturated-fat DASH-type diet is generally similar to a traditional Mediterranean dietary pattern.

In 1999 to 2004, only 19.4% of hypertensive US adults were following a DASH-type diet (based on intake of fiber, magnesium, calcium, sodium, potassium, protein, total fat, saturated fat, and cholesterol). This represented a decrease from 26.7% of hypertensive US adults in 1988 to 1994. Among older US adults (≥20 years of age) in 1999 to 2002, 72% met guidelines for dietary cholesterol intake, but only between 18% and 32% met guidelines for the HEI food groups (meats, dairy, fruits, vegetables, and grains). On the basis of the HEI score, only 17% of older US adults consumed a good-quality diet. Higher HEI scores were seen in white adults and individuals with greater education; lower HEI scores were seen in black adults and smokers.

**Dietary Supplements**

Use of dietary supplements is common in the United States among both adults and children:

- Approximately half of US adults in 2007 to 2010 used ≥1 dietary supplement, with the most common supplement being multivitamin-multiminer products (32% of men and women reporting use). It has been shown that most supplements are taken daily and for ≥2 years. Supplement use is associated with older age, higher education, greater PA, moderate alcohol consumption, lower BMI, abstinence from smoking, having health insurance, and white race. Previous research also suggests that supplement users have higher intakes of most vitamins and minerals from their food choices alone than nonusers. The primary reasons US adults in 2007 to 2010 reported for using dietary supplements were to “improve overall health” (45%) and to “maintain health” (33%).

- One third (32%) of US children (birth to 18 years of age) used dietary supplements in 1999 to 2002, with the highest use (48.5%) occurring among 4- to 8-year-olds. The most common supplements were multivitamins and multiminerals (58% of supplement users). The primary nutrients supplemented (either by multivitamins or individual vitamins) included vitamin C (29% of US children), vitamin A (26%), vitamin D (26%), calcium (21%), and iron (19%). Supplement use was associated with higher family income, a smoke-free home environment, lower child BMI, and less screen time (television, video games, or computers).

- In a 2005 to 2006 telephone survey of US adults, 41.3% were making or had made in the past a serious weight-loss attempt. Of these, one third (33.9%) had used a dietary supplement for weight loss, with such use being more common in women (44.9%) than in men (19.8%) and in blacks (48.7%) or Hispanics (41.6%) than in whites (31.2%); in those with high school education or less (38.4%) than in those with some college or more (31.1%); and in those with household income <$40000 per year (41.8%) than in those with higher incomes (30.3%).

- Multiple trials of most dietary supplements, including folate, vitamin C, and vitamin E, have generally shown no significant benefits for CVD risk, and even potential for harm. For example, a multicenter randomized trial in patients with diabetic nephropathy found that B vitamin supplementation (folic acid 2.5 mg/d, vitamin B6 25 mg/d,
and vitamin B₃, 1 mg/d decreased GFR and increased risk of MI and stroke compared with placebo.⁶⁶

- Fish oil supplements at doses of 1 to 2 g/d have shown CVD benefits in 2 large randomized, open-label trials and 1 large randomized, placebo-controlled trial (GISSI-Prevenzione, Japan Eicosapentaenoic Acid Lipid Intervention Study, and GISSI-HF)⁷⁷–⁷⁹ but several other trials of fish oil have not shown significant effects on CVD risk.⁸⁰ A meta-analysis of all randomized controlled clinical trials demonstrated a significant reduction for cardiac mortality but no statistically significant effects on other CVD end points.⁸¹

**Trends**

**Energy Balance**

(See Chart 5-1.)

Energy balance, or consumption of total calories appropriate for needs, has been steadily worsening in the United States over the past several decades, as evidenced by the dramatic increases over the past 30 years in overweight and obesity among both children and adults across broad cross sections of sex, race/ethnicity, geographic residence, and socioeconomic status. However, in more recent years, rates of obesity and overweight among both adults and children have begun to level off.⁵²–⁵⁴

- The US obesity epidemic began in approximately 1980, accelerated from 1990 to 2005, and may be slowing in more recent years. Examining trends in diet, activity, and other factors from 1980 to present is important to elucidate the drivers of this remarkably recent epidemic.

- Although trends in total calories consumed are difficult to quantify exactly because of differing methods of serial national dietary surveys over time, multiple lines of evidence indicate that average total energy consumption has increased by ≥200 kcal/d per person in the past 3 decades.

- Data from NHANES indicate that between 1971 and 2004, average total energy consumption among US adults increased by 22% in women (from 1542 to 1886 kcal/d) and by 10% in men (from 2450 to 2693 kcal/d).¹⁴ These increases are supported by data from 2 older surveys, the Nationwide Food Consumption Survey (1977–1978) and the Continuing Surveys of Food Intake (1989–1998).¹³ However, recent data show that energy intake appeared relatively stable among US adults during 1999 to 2008.⁸⁵

- The increases in calories consumed between 1971 and 2004 are attributable primarily to greater average carbohydrate intake, particularly of starches, refined grains, and sugars (Foods and Nutrients section). Other specific changes related to increased caloric intake in the United States include larger portion sizes, greater food quantity and calories per meal, and increased consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages, snacks, commercially prepared (especially fast-food) meals, and higher-energy-density foods.⁷,¹³,⁵⁶–⁶⁰

- Between 1977 and 1996, the average portion sizes for nearly all foods increased at fast-food outlets, other restaurants, and home. These included a 33% increase in the average portion of Mexican food (from 408 to 541 calories), a 34% increase in the average portion of cheeseburgers (from 397 to 533 calories), a 36% increase in the average portion of French fries (from 188 to 256 calories), and a 70% increase in the average portion of salty snacks such as crackers, potato chips, pretzels, puffed rice cakes, and popcorn (from 132 to 225 calories).¹³

- Among US children 2 to 7 years of age, an estimated energy imbalance of only 110 to 165 kcal/d (the equivalent of one 12- to 16-oz bottle of soda/cola) was sufficient to account for the excess weight gain between 1988 and 1994 and 1999 and 2002.⁶¹

- In a quantitative analysis using various US surveys between 1977 and 2006, the relations of changes in energy density, portion sizes, and number of daily eating/drinking occasions to changes in total energy intake were assessed.⁵² Decreases in energy density were actually linked to lower total energy intake over time, whereas increases in both portion size and number of eating occasions were linked to greater energy intake.

- Among US children 2 to 18 years of age, increases in energy intake between 1977 and 2006 (179 kcal/d) were entirely attributable to substantial increases in energy eaten away from home (255 kcal/d).⁶ The percentage of energy eaten away from home increased from 23.4% to 33.9% during this time, with a shift toward energy from fast food as the largest contributor to foods away from home for all age groups.

- A county-level investigation based on BRFSS and NHANES data found that prevalence of sufficient PA in the United States increased from 2001 to 2009 but that this was matched by increases in obesity in almost all counties during the same time period, with low correlation between level of PA and obesity in US counties.⁶⁴

**Foods and Nutrients**

Several changes in foods and nutrients have occurred over time. Selected changes are highlighted below.

**Macronutrients**

(See Chart 5-1.)

- Starting in 1977 and continuing until the most recent dietary guidelines revision in 2010, a major focus of US dietary guidelines was reduction of dietary fats.⁶⁵ During this time, average total fat consumption declined as a percent of calories from 36.9% to 33.4% in men and from 36.1% to 33.8% in women.¹⁴ However, more recent analyses show that there were no significant trends in total fat intake among US adults from 1999 to 2008.⁵⁵

- Dietary guidelines during this time also emphasized carbohydrate consumption as the base of one’s dietary pattern⁶⁶ and more recently specified the importance of complex rather than refined carbohydrates (eg, as the base of the Food Guide Pyramid).⁶⁵ From 1971 to 2004, total carbohydrate intake increased from 42.4% to 48.2% of calories in men and from 45.4% to 50.6% of calories in women.¹⁴ Evaluated as absolute intakes, the increase in total calories consumed during this period was attributable primarily to the greater consumption of carbohydrates, both as foods (starches and grains) and as beverages.⁶⁷,⁶⁸ However, more recent analyses show that there has been a decrease in carbohydrate intake (expressed as percentage of energy) among US adults from 1999 to 2008.⁵⁵

**Sugar-Sweetened Beverages**

(See Chart 5-2.)

- Between 1965 and 2002, the average percentage of total calories consumed from beverages in the United States
Effects on Cardiovascular Risk Factors

Dietary habits affect multiple cardiovascular risk factors, including both established risk factors (SBP, DBP, LDL cholesterol levels, HDL cholesterol levels, glucose levels, and obesity/weight gain) and novel risk factors (eg, inflammation, cardiac arrhythmias, endothelial cell function, triglyceride levels, lipoprotein[a] levels, and heart rate):

- A DASH dietary pattern with low sodium reduced SBP by 7.1 mm Hg in adults without hypertension and by 11.5 mm Hg in adults with hypertension.71
- Compared with the low-fat DASH diet, DASH-type diets that increased consumption of either protein or unsaturated fat had similar or greater beneficial effects on CVD risk factors. Compared with a baseline usual diet, each of the DASH-type diets, which included various percentages (27%–37%) of total fat and focused on whole foods such as fruits, vegetables, whole grains, and fish, as well as potassium and other minerals and low sodium, reduced SBP by 8 to 10 mm Hg, DBP by 4 to 5 mm Hg, and LDL cholesterol by 12 to 14 mg/dL. The diets that had higher levels of protein and unsaturated fat also lowered triglyceride levels by 16 and 9 mg/dL, respectively.72 The DASH-type diet higher in unsaturated fat also improved glucose-insulin homeostasis compared with the low-fat/high-carbohydrate DASH diet.73
- In a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, consumption of 1% of calories from trans fat in place of saturated fat, monounsaturated fat, or polyunsaturated fat, respectively, increased the ratio of total to HDL cholesterol by 0.031, 0.054, and 0.67; increased apolipoprotein B levels by 3, 10, and 11 mg/L; decreased apolipoprotein A-1 levels by 7.5, and 3 mg/L; and increased lipoprotein(a) levels by 3.8, 1.4, and 1.1 mg/L.74
- In meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials, consumption of eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid for 212 weeks lowered SBP by 2.1 mm Hg and lowered resting heart rate by 2.5 beats per minute.75
- In a pooled analysis of 25 randomized trials totaling 583 men and women both with and without hypercholesterolemia, nut consumption significantly improved blood lipid levels.76 For a mean consumption of 67 g of nuts per day, total cholesterol was reduced by 10.9 mg/dL (5.1%), LDL cholesterol by 10.2 mg/dL (7.4%), and the ratio of total cholesterol to HDL cholesterol by 0.24 (5.6% change; P<0.001 for each). Triglyceride levels were also reduced by 20.6 mg/dL (10.2%) in subjects with high triglycerides (2150 mg/dL). Different types of nuts had similar effects.77
- A review of cross-sectional and prospective cohort studies suggests that higher intake of sugar-sweetened beverages is associated with greater visceral fat and higher risk of type 2 DM.78 Two randomized trials have confirmed that reducing intake of sugar-sweetened beverages reduces weight gain in children.79,80
- In a randomized controlled trial, compared with a low-fat diet, 2 Mediterranean dietary patterns that included either virgin olive oil or mixed nuts lowered SBP by 5.9 and 7.1 mm Hg, plasma glucose by 7.0 and 5.4 mg/dL, fasting insulin by 16.7 and 20.4 pmol/L, the homeostasis model assessment index by 0.9 and 1.1, and the ratio of total to HDL cholesterol by 0.38 and 0.26 and raised HDL cholesterol by 2.9 and 1.6 mg/dL, respectively. The Mediterranean dietary patterns also lowered levels of C-reactive protein, interleukin-6, intercellular adhesion molecule-1, and vascular cell adhesion molecule-1.81

Effects on Cardiovascular Outcomes

Because dietary habits affect a broad range of established and novel risk factors, estimation of the impact of nutritional factors on cardiovascular health by considering only a limited number of pathways (eg, only effects on lipids, BP, and obesity) will systematically underestimate or even misconstrue the actual total impact on cardiovascular health. Randomized controlled trials and prospective observational studies have been used to quantify the total effects of dietary habits on clinical outcomes.

Fats and Carbohydrates

- In the WHI randomized clinical trial (n=48835), reduction of total fat consumption from 37.8% energy (baseline) to 24.3% energy (at 1 year) and 28.8% energy (at 6 years) had no effect on incidence of CHD (RR, 0.98; 95% CI, 0.88–1.09), stroke (RR, 1.02; 95% CI, 0.90–1.15), or total CVD (RR, 0.98; 95% CI, 0.92–1.05) over a mean of 8.1 years.82 This was consistent with null results of 4 prior randomized clinical trials and multiple large prospective cohort studies that indicated little effect of total fat consumption on CVD risk.83
- In 3 separate meta-analyses of prospective cohort studies, the largest of which included 21 studies with up to 2 decades of follow-up, saturated fat consumption overall had
no significant association with incidence of CHD, stroke, or total CVD.\textsuperscript{64-66} In comparison, in a pooled individual-level analysis of 11 prospective cohort studies, the specific exchange of polyunsaturated fat consumption in place of saturated fat was associated with lower CHD risk, with 13% lower risk for each 5% energy exchange (RR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.70–0.97).\textsuperscript{67} These findings are consistent with a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials in which increased polyunsaturated fat consumption in place of saturated fat reduced CHD events, with 10% lower risk for each 5% energy exchange (RR, 0.90; 95% CI, 0.83–0.97).\textsuperscript{68}

- In a pooled analysis of individual-level data from 11 prospective cohort studies in the United States, Europe, and Israel that included 344,696 participants, each 5% higher energy consumption of carbohydrate in place of saturated fat was associated with a 7% higher risk of CHD (RR, 1.07; 95% CI, 1.01–1.14).\textsuperscript{69} Each 5% higher energy consumption of monounsaturated fat in place of saturated fat was not significantly associated with CHD risk.\textsuperscript{67}

- Together these findings suggest that reducing saturated fat without specifying the replacement may have minimal effects on CHD risk, whereas increasing polyunsaturated fats from vegetable oils will reduce CHD.\textsuperscript{67}

- In a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies, each 2% of calories from trans fat was associated with a 23% higher risk of CHD (RR, 1.23; 95% CI, 1.11–1.37).\textsuperscript{69}

- In meta-analyses of prospective cohort studies, greater consumption of refined complex carbohydrates, starches, and sugars, as assessed by glycemic index or load, was associated with significantly higher risk of CHD and DM. When the highest category was compared with the lowest category, risk of CHD was 36% greater (glycemic load: RR, 1.36; 95% CI, 1.13–1.63), and risk of DM was 40% greater (glycemic index: RR, 1.40; 95% CI, 1.23–1.59).\textsuperscript{80,91}

**Foods and Beverages**

- In meta-analyses of prospective cohort studies, each daily serving of fruits or vegetables was associated with a 4% lower risk of CHD (RR, 0.96; 95% CI, 0.93–0.99) and a 5% lower risk of stroke (RR, 0.95; 95% CI, 0.92–0.97).\textsuperscript{23,93}

- In a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies, greater whole grain intake (2.5 compared with 0.2 servings per day) was associated with a 21% lower risk of CVD events (RR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.73–0.85), with similar estimates in men and women and for various outcomes (CHD, stroke, and fatal CVD). In contrast, refined grain intake was not associated with lower risk of CVD (RR, 1.07; 95% CI, 0.94–1.22).\textsuperscript{94}

- In a meta-analysis of 16 prospective cohort studies that included 326,572 generally healthy individuals in Europe, the United States, China, and Japan, fish consumption was associated with significantly lower risk of CHD mortality.\textsuperscript{95} Compared with no consumption, an estimated 250 mg of long-chain omega-3 fatty acids per day was associated with 35% lower risk of CHD death (P<0.001).

- In a meta-analysis of prospective cohort and case-control studies from multiple countries, consumption of unprocessed red meat was not significantly associated with incidence of CHD. In contrast, each 50-g serving per day of processed meats (eg, sausage, bacon, hot dogs, deli meats) was associated with a higher incidence of CHD (RR, 1.42; 95% CI, 1.07–1.89).\textsuperscript{96}

- In a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies that included 442,101 participants and 28,228 DM cases, unprocessed red meat consumption was associated with a higher risk of DM (RR, 1.19; 95% CI, 1.04–1.37, per 100 g/d).\textsuperscript{97} On a per g/d basis, risk of DM was nearly 7-fold higher for processed meat consumption (RR, 1.51; 95% CI, 1.25–1.83, per 50 g/d).\textsuperscript{97}

- In a meta-analysis of 6 prospective observational studies, nut consumption was associated with significantly lower incidence of CHD (comparing higher to low intake: RR, 0.70; 95% CI, 0.57–0.82).\textsuperscript{95}

- Higher consumption of dairy or milk products is associated with lower incidence of DM and trends toward lower risk of stroke.\textsuperscript{77,90,91} Some limited evidence suggests that these associations are stronger for low-fat dairy or milk than for other dairy products. Dairy consumption is not significantly associated with higher or lower risk of CHD.\textsuperscript{95,96}

- Among 88,520 generally healthy women in the Nurses’ Health Study who were 34 to 59 years of age in 1980 and were followed up from 1980 to 2004, regular consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages was independently associated with higher incidence of CHD, with 23% and 35% higher risk with 1 and ≥2 servings per day, respectively, compared with <1 per month.\textsuperscript{99} Among the 15,745 participants in the ARIC study, the OR for developing CHD was 2.59 for participants who had a serum uric acid level >9.0 mg/dL and who drank >1 sugar-sweetened soda per day.\textsuperscript{100}

**Sodium and Potassium**

- Lower estimated consumption of dietary sodium was not associated with lower CVD mortality in NHANES,\textsuperscript{101} although such findings may be limited by changes in behaviors that result from underlying risk (reverse causation). In a post hoc analysis of the Trials of Hypertension Prevention, participants randomized to low-sodium interventions had a 25% lower risk of CVD (RR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.57–0.99) after 10 to 15 years of follow-up after the original trials.\textsuperscript{102}

- In a meta-analysis of small randomized trials of sodium reduction of ≥6 months’ duration, nonsignificant trends were seen toward fewer CVD events in subjects with normal BP (RR, 0.71; 95% CI, 0.42–1.20; n=200 events) or hypertension (RR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.57–1.23; n=93 events), but findings were not statistically significant, with relatively low statistical power because of the small numbers of events. Sodium restriction increased total mortality in trials of patients with CHF (RR, 2.59; 95% CI, 1.04–6.44), but these data were based on very few events (n=21 deaths).\textsuperscript{103}

- In a meta-analysis of 13 prospective cohorts that included 177,025 participants and >11,000 vascular events, higher sodium consumption was associated with greater risk of stroke (pooled RR, 1.23; 95% CI, 1.06–1.43; P=0.007) and a trend toward higher risk of CVD (1.14; 95% CI, 0.99–1.32; P=0.07). These associations were greater with larger differences in sodium intake and longer follow-up.\textsuperscript{104}

- In a meta-analysis of 15 prospective cohort samples that included 247,510 participants and 70,666 strokes, 3,058 CHD events, and 2,497 total CVD events, each 1.64-g/d (42 mmol/d) higher potassium intake was associated with a 21% lower risk of stroke (RR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.68–0.90) and trends toward lower risk of CHD and total CVD.\textsuperscript{105}
Dietary Patterns

- In a cohort of 380,296 US men and women, greater versus lower adherence to a Mediterranean dietary pattern, characterized by higher intakes of vegetables, legumes, nuts, fruits, whole grains, fish, and unsaturated fat and lower intakes of red and processed meats, was associated with a 22% lower cardiovascular mortality (RR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.69–0.87). Similar findings have been seen for the Mediterranean dietary pattern and risk of incident CHD and stroke and for the DASH-type dietary pattern.

- In a cohort of 72,113 US female nurses, a dietary pattern characterized by higher intakes of vegetables, fruits, legumes, fish, poultry, and whole grains was associated with a 28% lower cardiovascular mortality (RR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.60–0.87), whereas a dietary pattern characterized by higher intakes of processed meat, red meat, refined grains, French fries, and sweets/desserts was associated with a 22% higher cardiovascular mortality (RR, 1.22; 95% CI, 1.01–1.48). Similar findings have been seen in other cohorts and for other outcomes, including development of DM and metabolic syndrome.

- The observational findings for benefits of a healthy food-based dietary pattern have been confirmed in 2 randomized clinical trials, including a small secondary prevention trial in France among patients with recent MI and a large primary prevention trial in Spain among patients with CVD risk factors. The latter trial demonstrated a 30% reduction in the risk of stroke, MI, and death attributable to cardiovascular causes in those patients randomized to Mediterranean-style diets.

Impact on US Mortality

- One report used consistent and comparable risk assessment methods and nationally representative data to estimate the impact of all major modifiable risk factors on mortality and morbidity in the United States in 1990 and 2010. Suboptimal dietary habits were the leading cause of both mortality and disability-adjusted life-years lost, exceeding even tobacco. In 2010, a total of 678,000 deaths of all causes were attributable to suboptimal diet.

- A previous investigation reported the estimated mortality effects of several specific dietary risk factors in 2005 in the United States. High dietary salt consumption was estimated to be responsible for 102,000 annual deaths, low dietary omega-3 fatty acids for 84,000 annual deaths, high dietary trans fatty acids for 82,000 annual deaths, and low consumption of fruits and vegetables for 55,000 annual deaths.

Cost

(See Chart 5-3.) The US Department of Agriculture forecast that the Consumer Price Index for all food would increase 3.0% to 4.0% in 2013 as retailers continued to pass on higher commodity and energy costs to consumers in the form of higher retail prices. The Consumer Price Index for food increased 3.7% in 2011. Prices for foods eaten at home increased 4.8% in 2011, whereas prices for foods eaten away from home increased by 1.9%.

- The proportion of total US food expenditures for meals outside the home, as a share of total food dollars, increased from 27% in 1961 to 40% in 1981 to 49% in 2011.

- The proportion of sales of meals and snacks from fast-food restaurants compared with total meals and snacks away from home increased from 5% in 1958 to 29% in 1982 to 36% in 2011.

- As a proportion of income, food has become less expensive over time in the United States. As a share of personal disposable income, average (mean) total food expenditures by families and individuals have decreased from 22.3% (1949) to 18.1% (1961) to 14.9% (1981) to 11.3% (2011). For any given year, the share of disposable income spent on food is inversely proportional to absolute income. The share increases as absolute income levels decline.

- Among 153 forms of fruits and vegetables priced with 2008 Nielsen Homescan data, price and calorie per portion of 20 fruits and vegetables were compared with 20 common snack foods such as cookies, chips, pastries, and crackers. Average price per portion of fruits and vegetables was 31 cents with an average of 57 calories per portion, compared with 33 cents and 183 calories per portion for snack foods.

- An overview of the costs of various strategies for primary prevention of CVD determined that the estimated costs per year of life gained were between $9800 and $18,000 for statin therapy, $1500 for nurse screening and lifestyle advice, $500 to $1250 for smoking cessation, and $20 to $900 for population-based healthy eating.

- Each year, $33 billion in medical costs and $9 billion in lost productivity resulting from HD, cancer, stroke, and DM are attributed to poor nutrition.

- Two separate cost-effectiveness analyses estimated that population reductions in dietary salt would not only be cost-effective but actually cost-saving. In 1 analysis, a 1.2-g/d reduction in dietary sodium was projected to reduce US annual cases of incident CHD by 60,000 to 120,000, stroke by 32,000 to 66,000, and total mortality by 44,000 to 92,000. If accomplished through a regulatory intervention, estimated savings in healthcare costs would be $10 to $24 billion annually. Such an intervention would be more cost-effective than using medications to lower BP in all people with hypertension.
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Table 5-1. Dietary Consumption in 2009 to 2010 Among US Adults ≥20 Years of Age of Selected Foods and Nutrients Related to Cardiometabolic Health\(^{103–106}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foods</th>
<th>NH White Men</th>
<th>NH White Women</th>
<th>NH Black Men</th>
<th>NH Black Women</th>
<th>Mexican American Men</th>
<th>Mexican American Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average Consumption (Mean±SD)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole grains, servings/d</td>
<td>1.1±0.9</td>
<td>1.1±0.8</td>
<td>0.8±0.7</td>
<td>0.8±0.7</td>
<td>2.2±1.4</td>
<td>2.1±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruits, servings/d</td>
<td>1.6±1.8</td>
<td>1.8±1.5</td>
<td>1.2±1.8</td>
<td>1.3±1.2</td>
<td>1.7±1.3</td>
<td>1.9±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruits including 100% juices, servings/d</td>
<td>2.6±2.3</td>
<td>2.7±2.0</td>
<td>3.0±2.4</td>
<td>2.9±2.1</td>
<td>3.2±2.3</td>
<td>3.4±2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables including starch, servings/d</td>
<td>2.1±0.4</td>
<td>2.2±1.4</td>
<td>1.3±0.8</td>
<td>1.6±1.0</td>
<td>1.3±0.9</td>
<td>1.6±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables including starch and juices/sauces, servings/d</td>
<td>2.4±0.7</td>
<td>2.4±1.4</td>
<td>1.5±0.8</td>
<td>1.8±1.2</td>
<td>1.7±0.7</td>
<td>1.8±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish and shellfish, servings/wk</td>
<td>1.6±1.7</td>
<td>1.4±1.7</td>
<td>1.6±1.7</td>
<td>2.1±0.13</td>
<td>1.9±1.3</td>
<td>1.2±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuts, legumes, and seeds, servings/wk</td>
<td>2.6±2.9</td>
<td>2.8±4.2</td>
<td>2.4±4.2</td>
<td>2.6±4.6</td>
<td>7.5±4.6</td>
<td>5.3±4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processed meats, servings/wk</td>
<td>3.0±1.7</td>
<td>2.1±1.4</td>
<td>3.3±1.4</td>
<td>2.3±1.0</td>
<td>7.0±1.0</td>
<td>2.0±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar-sweetened beverages, servings/wk</td>
<td>8.3±10.9</td>
<td>5.7±9.5</td>
<td>11.2±9.2</td>
<td>11.0±8.8</td>
<td>12.3±8.0</td>
<td>9.6±9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweets and bakery desserts, servings/wk</td>
<td>5.9±3.9</td>
<td>6.9±4.4</td>
<td>6.3±3.9</td>
<td>6.1±4.0</td>
<td>4.4±1.0</td>
<td>4.6±3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nutrients</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total calories, kcal/d</td>
<td>2532±705</td>
<td>1766±414</td>
<td>2365±699</td>
<td>1785±476</td>
<td>2367±664</td>
<td>1690±502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA/DHA, g/d</td>
<td>0.101±0.052</td>
<td>0.095±0.052</td>
<td>0.116±0.069</td>
<td>0.110±0.064</td>
<td>0.136±0.064</td>
<td>0.083±0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALA, g/d</td>
<td>1.44±0.31</td>
<td>1.60±0.37</td>
<td>1.43±0.25</td>
<td>1.49±0.17</td>
<td>1.19±0.33</td>
<td>1.41±0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-6 PUFA, % energy</td>
<td>7.4±1.5</td>
<td>7.6±1.4</td>
<td>7.4±1.2</td>
<td>7.6±1.0</td>
<td>6.3±1.4</td>
<td>7.1±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated fat, % energy</td>
<td>11.1±2.3</td>
<td>10.9±2.1</td>
<td>10.2±2.2</td>
<td>10.5±1.8</td>
<td>9.7±1.8</td>
<td>9.7±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary cholesterol, mg/d</td>
<td>263±106</td>
<td>260±104</td>
<td>311±83</td>
<td>308±83</td>
<td>293±75</td>
<td>300±60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat, % energy</td>
<td>33.9±5.3</td>
<td>33.3±4.4</td>
<td>32.5±4.5</td>
<td>33.1±3.4</td>
<td>29.8±5.4</td>
<td>30.6±4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate, % energy</td>
<td>47.2±7.3</td>
<td>50.1±6.6</td>
<td>48.8±6.2</td>
<td>51.1±5.4</td>
<td>51.9±3.9</td>
<td>54.3±5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary fiber, g/d</td>
<td>16.3±6.1</td>
<td>16.3±6.3</td>
<td>13.6±4.3</td>
<td>15.0±5.2</td>
<td>19.2±5.9</td>
<td>19.6±5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium, g/d</td>
<td>3.4±0.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3.6±0.5</td>
<td>3.5±0.4</td>
<td>3.2±0.5</td>
<td>3.4±0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unpublished data from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2009 to 2010, derived from two 24-h dietary recalls per person, with population SDs adjusted for within-person vs between-person variation. All values are energy adjusted by individual regressions or percent energy, and for comparability, means and proportions are reported for a 2000-kcal/d diet. To obtain actual mean consumption levels, the group means for each food or nutrient can be multiplied by the group-specific total calories (kcal/d) divided by 2000 kcal/d.

ALA indicates α-linoleic acid; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; NA, not available; NH, non-Hispanic; n-6-PUFA, ω-6-polyunsaturated fatty acid; and SD, standard deviation.

*Guidelines adjusted to a 2000-kcal/d diet. Whole grains (characterized as minimum 1.1 g of fiber per 10 g of carbohydrate), 3 or more 1-oz equivalent (1 oz of bread; 1 cup of dry cereal; 1/2 cup of cooked rice, pasta, or cereal) servings per d (Dietary Guidelines for Americans\(^{129}\)); fish or shellfish, 2 or more 100-g (3.5-oz) servings per wk\(^{129}\); fruits, 2 cups per d\(^{125}\); vegetables, 2 1/2 cups per d, including up to 3 cups per wk of starchy vegetables\(^{125}\); nuts, legumes, and seeds, 4 or more 50-g servings per wk\(^{129}\); processed meats (bacon, hot dogs, sausage, processed deli meats), 2 or fewer 100-g (3.5-oz) servings per wk (1/4 of discretionary calories)\(^{125}\); sugar-sweetened beverages (defined as ≥50 cal/8 oz, excluding whole juices), <36 oz per wk (<1/4 of discretionary calories)\(^{125}\); sweets and bakery desserts, 2.5 or fewer 50-g servings per wk (<1/4 of discretionary calories)\(^{125}\); EPA/DHA, ≥0.250 g/d; ALA, ≥1.6 g/d (men/women); saturated fat, <10% energy; dietary cholesterol, <300 mg/d; total fat, 20% to 35% energy; dietary fiber, ≥28 g/d; and sodium, <2.3 g/d.\(^{125}\)
Table 5-2. Dietary Consumption in 2009 to 2010 Among US Children and Teenagers of Selected Foods and Nutrients Related to Cardiometabolic Health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foods</th>
<th>Boys (5–9 y)</th>
<th>Girls (5–9 y)</th>
<th>Boys (10–14 y)</th>
<th>Girls (10–14 y)</th>
<th>Boys (15–19 y)</th>
<th>Girls (15–19 y)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Consumption (Mean±SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole grains, servings/d</td>
<td>0.8±0.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.8±0.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.9±0.8</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruits, servings/d</td>
<td>1.6±1.2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>1.7±0.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>1.3±1.7</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruits including 100% juices,</td>
<td>3.2±1.8</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>3.3±1.7</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>2.6±2.3</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>servings/d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables including starch,</td>
<td>0.9±0.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.0±0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.8±0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>servings/d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish and shellfish, servings/wk</td>
<td>0.4±0.3</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.6±0.3</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.5±0.3</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuts, legumes, and seeds,</td>
<td>1.4±1.6</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>1.9±1.5</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>1.5±0.7</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>servings/wk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processed meats, servings/wk</td>
<td>2.4±1.2</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>1.8±0.4</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>2.8±1.9</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar-sweetened beverages,</td>
<td>7.6±4.6</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>7.1±5.5</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>10.2±6.2</td>
<td>31.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>servings/wk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweets and bakery desserts,</td>
<td>8.9±2.3</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>9.6±2.3</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>7.3±2.5</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>servings/wk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total calories, kcal/d</td>
<td>1828±276</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1757±312</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2163±560</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA/DHA, g/d</td>
<td>0.045±0.049</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.051±0.048</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.048±0.049</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALA, g/d</td>
<td>1.18±0.16</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>1.24±0.17</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>1.17±0.24</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-6 PUFA, % energy</td>
<td>6.6±1.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6.8±1.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6.7±0.9</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated fat, % energy</td>
<td>11.3±1.7</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>11.2±1.1</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>11.3±0.9</td>
<td>37.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary cholesterol, mg/d</td>
<td>225±46</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>234±64</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>234±90</td>
<td>82.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat, % energy</td>
<td>32.1±2.4</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>32.0±1.8</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>32.4±1.8</td>
<td>62.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate, % energy</td>
<td>54.4±2.4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>54.8±2.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>53.1±3.3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary fiber, g/d</td>
<td>14.7±3.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>15.4±3.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>13.9±2.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium, g/d</td>
<td>3.3±0.4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>3.3±0.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.4±0.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unpublished data from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2009 to 2010, derived from two 24-h dietary recalls per person, with population SDs adjusted for within-person vs between-person variation. All values are energy adjusted by individual regressions or percent energy, and for comparability, means and proportions are reported for a 2000-kcal/d diet. To obtain actual mean consumption levels, the group means for each food or nutrient can be multiplied by the group-specific total calories (kcal/d) divided by 2000 kcal/d.

AL indicates α-linoleic acid; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; NA, not available; n-6 PUFA, ω-6 polyunsaturated fatty acid; and SD, standard deviation.

For different age and sex subgroups here, the guideline cut points are standardized to a 2000-kcal/d diet to account for differences in caloric intake in these groups. Whole grains (characterized as minimum 1.1 g of fiber per 10 g of carbohydrate), 3 or more 1-oz equivalent (1 oz of bread; 1 cup of dry cereal; 1/2 cup of cooked rice, pasta, or cereal) servings per d (Dietary Guidelines for Americans); fish or shellfish, 2 or more 100-g (3.5-oz) servings per wk; fruits, 2 cups per d; vegetables, 2 1/2 cups per d, including up to 3 cups per wk of starchy vegetables; nuts, legumes, and seeds, 4 or more 50-g servings per wk; processed meats (bacon, hot dogs, sausage, processed deli meats), 2 or fewer 100-g (3.5-oz) servings per wk (1/4 of discretionary calories); sugar-sweetened beverages (defined as ≥50 cal/8 oz, excluding whole juice), ≤36 oz per wk (≤1/4 of discretionary calories); sweets and bakery desserts, 2.5 or fewer 50-g servings per wk (≤1/4 of discretionary calories); EPA/DHA, ≥0.250 g/d; ALA, ≥1.6/1.1 g/d (men/women); saturated fat, <10% energy; dietary cholesterol, <300 mg/d; total fat, 20% to 35% energy; dietary fiber, ≥26/d; and sodium, <2.3 g/d.
Chart 5-1. Age-adjusted trends in macronutrients and total calories consumed by US adults (20–74 years of age), 1971 to 2008. Data derived from National Center for Health Statistics14 and Wright and Wang.55

Chart 5-3. Total US food expenditures away from home and at home, 1977 and 2007. Data derived from Davis and Saltos.66
6. Overweight and Obesity

See Table 6-1 and Charts 6-1 through 6-3.

Overweight and obesity are major risk factors for CVD and stroke. The AHA has identified BMI <85th percentile (for children) and <25 kg/m² (for adults aged ≥20 years) as 1 of the 7 components of ideal cardiovascular health. In 2009 to 2010, 64.2% of children and 31.1% of adults met these criteria (see Chapter 2, Cardiovascular Health).

Prevalence

Youth

(See Table 6-1 and Chart 6-1.)

- The prevalence of overweight and obesity in children 2 to 5 years of age, based on a BMI-for-age value ≥85th percentile of the 2000 CDC growth charts, was 26% for non-Hispanic white boys and 21% for non-Hispanic white girls, 31% for non-Hispanic black boys and 27% for non-Hispanic black girls, and 34% for Mexican American boys and 33% for Mexican American girls according to 2009 to 2010 data from NHANES (NCHS). In children 6 to 11 years of age, the prevalence was 30% for non-Hispanic white boys and 25% for non-Hispanic white girls, 41% for non-Hispanic black boys and 44% for non-Hispanic black girls, and 39% for Mexican American boys and 40% for Mexican American girls. In children 12 to 19 years of age, the prevalence was 32% for non-Hispanic white boys and 28% for non-Hispanic white girls, 37% for non-Hispanic black boys and 45% for non-Hispanic black girls, and 46% for Mexican American boys and 41% for Mexican American girls. The national prevalence of obesity in children 2 to 5 years of age, based on BMI-for-age values ≥95th percentile of the 2000 CDC growth charts, was 12% for non-Hispanic white boys and 6% for non-Hispanic white girls, 21% for non-Hispanic black boys and 17% for non-Hispanic black girls, and 19% for Mexican American boys and 12% for Mexican American girls according to 2009 to 2010 data from NHANES (NCHS). In children 6 to 11 years of age, the prevalence was 17% for non-Hispanic white boys and 11% for non-Hispanic white girls, 30% for non-Hispanic black boys and 28% for non-Hispanic black girls, and 22% for Mexican American boys and 22% for Mexican American girls. In children 12 to 19 years of age, the prevalence was 18% for non-Hispanic white boys and 15% for non-Hispanic white girls, 23% for non-Hispanic black boys and 25% for non-Hispanic black girls, and 29% for Mexican American boys and 19% for Mexican American girls. Regional variation exists in these prevalences.

- Overall, 18% of US children and adolescents 6 to 19 years of age have BMI-for-age values ≥95th percentile of the 2000 CDC growth charts for the United States (NHANES 2009–2010, NCHS). NHANES 2009 to 2010 found that 16.9% (95% CI, 15.4%–18.4%) of youth aged 2 to 19 years were obese, which was unchanged from NHANES 2007 to 2008. Rates of overweight and obesity (≥85th BMI percentile) were 39.1% for Hispanics, 39.4% for Mexican Americans, 27.9% for non-Hispanic whites, and 39.1% for non-Hispanic blacks. A study of >8500 4-year-olds in the Early Childhood Longitudinal Study, Birth Cohort (National Center for Education Statistics) found that 1 in 5 were obese. Almost 13% of Asian children, 16% of white children, nearly 21% of black children, 22% of Hispanic children, and 31% of American Indian children were obese. Children were considered obese if their BMI was ≥95th percentile on the basis of CDC BMI growth charts. Childhood sociodemographic factors may contribute to sex disparities in obesity prevalence. A study of data from the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health (Add Health) found that parental education consistently modified sex disparity in blacks. The sex gap was largest in those with low parental education (16.7% of men compared with 45.4% of women were obese) and smallest in those with high parental education (28.5% of men compared with 31.4% of women were obese). In whites, there was little overall sex difference in obesity prevalence. The obesity epidemic is disproportionally more rampant among children living in low-income, low-education, and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviations Used in Chapter 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AF</td>
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</tr>
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</tr>
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<td>OR</td>
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</tr>
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</table>
higher-unemployment households, according to data from the National Survey of Children’s Health.7

- Data from 2011 show that among low-income preschool children, American Indians/Alaskan Natives have an obesity rate of 17.7%, whereas rates are 14.7% for Hispanics, 10.6% for non-Hispanic blacks, 10.3% for non-Hispanic whites, and 9.3% for Asian/Pacific Islanders.8

- According to 1999 to 2008 NHANES survey data, lowest-income girls had an obesity prevalence of 17.9% compared with 13.1% among those with higher income; similar observations were observed for boys (20.6% versus 15.6%, respectively).9

- According to the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health, 1.0% of adolescents were severely obese in 1996 (defined as age <20 years and BMI ≥95th sex-specific BMI-for-age growth chart or BMI ≥30 kg/m²); the majority (70.5%) maintained this weight status into adulthood. Obese adolescents had a 16-fold increased risk of becoming severely obese adults compared with those with normal weight or those who were overweight.10

- NHANES 2003 to 2004 and 2005 to 2006 data were used to determine overweight and obesity prevalence in rural versus urban youth; the results showed that 39% of rural versus 32% of urban children had BMI >85th percentile.11

### Adults

(See Table 6-1 and Chart 6-2.)

- According to NHANES 2007 to 2010 (unpublished NHLBI tabulations):
  - Overall, 68% of US adults were overweight or obese (73% of men and 64% of women).
  - Among men, Mexican-Americans (81%) and non-Hispanic whites (73%) were more likely to be overweight or obese than non-Hispanic blacks (69%).
  - Among women, non-Hispanic blacks (80%) and Mexican-Americans (78%) were more likely to be overweight or obese than non-Hispanic whites (60%).
  - Among US adults, 35% were obese (35% of men and 36% of women).
  - Among men, non-Hispanic blacks (38%) and Mexican-Americans (36%) were more likely to be obese than non-Hispanic whites (34%).
  - Among women, non-Hispanic blacks (54%) and Mexican-Americans (45%) were more likely to be obese than non-Hispanic whites (33%).

- When estimates were based on self-reported height and weight in the BRFSS/CDC survey in 2011, the prevalence of obesity ranged from 20.7% in Colorado to 34.9% in Mississippi. The median percentage by state was 27.8%.12 Additionally, no state met the Healthy People 2010 goal of reducing obesity to 15% of adults.13

- On the basis of self-reported weights and heights from the 2012 NHIS14:
  - Blacks ≥18 years of age (27.9%), American Indians or Alaska Natives (26.6%), and whites (35.7%) were less likely than Asians (57.6%) to be at a healthy weight.
  - Blacks ≥18 years of age (36.2%) and American Indians or Alaska Natives (41.2%) were more likely to be obese than were whites (28.0%) and Asians (9.9%).

- Most adults in Asian subgroups were in the healthy weight range, with rates ranging from 51% for Filipino adults to 68% for Chinese adults. Although the prevalence of obesity is low within the Asian adult population, Filipino adults (14%) were more than twice as likely to be obese (BMI ≥30 kg/m²) as Asian Indian (6%), Vietnamese (5%), or Chinese (4%) adults.15

  - Approximately 64.8% of obese adults were told by a doctor or health professional that they were overweight.
  - The proportion of obese adults told that they were overweight was significantly lower for non-Hispanic blacks (60.5%) and Mexican Americans (57.1%) than for non-Hispanic whites (66.4%), for middle-income people than for high-income people (62.4% versus 70.6%), and for adults with less than a high school education than for those with any college education (59.2% versus 70.3%).

- As judged by an analysis of data from MESA, a large proportion of white, black, and Hispanic participants were overweight (60%–85%) or obese (30%–50%), whereas fewer Chinese American participants were overweight (33%) or obese (5%).17

- According to NHANES 2007 to 2010 data, 35% of US adults ≥65 years of age were obese, which represents 13 million individuals.18

### Trends

#### Youth

(See Chart 6-3.)

- Among infants and children between 6 and 23 months of age, the prevalence of high weight for recumbent length was 7% in 1976 to 1980 and 12% in 2003 to 2006 (NHANES, NCHS).19

- The obesity epidemic in children continues to grow on the basis of recent data from the Bogalusa Heart Study. Compared with 1973 to 1974, the proportion of children 5 to 17 years of age who were obese was 5 times higher in 2002 to 2009.20

- A comparison of NHANES 2009 to 2010 data with 1999 to 2000 data demonstrates an increase in obesity prevalence in male youth of 5% (OR, 1.05; 95% CI, 1.01–1.10) but not in female youth (OR, 1.02; 95% CI, 0.98–1.07).4

#### Adults

- On the basis of 2009 self-reported BRFSS data, overall obesity prevalence was 26.7% in the United States, with rates of 27.4% in men and 26.0% in women. By race/ethnicity, the prevalence of obesity among non-Hispanic whites was 25.2%, whereas it was 36.8% among non-Hispanic blacks and 30.7% among Hispanics. There was an inverse association by education level: College graduates had a 20.8% rate of obesity, whereas those who attained less than a high school education had an obesity prevalence of 32.9%.21

- According to NHANES data, between 2009 and 2010, the prevalence of obesity remained steady among US adult
men and women, with no significant change compared with 2003 to 2008.20 Among adults aged ≥65 years, the prevalence of obesity increased linearly for men between 1999 and 2010, but the increase among women was not statistically significant.18

- Forecasts through 2030 using the BRFSS 1990 to 2008 data set suggest that by 2030, 51% of the population will be obese, with 11% with severe obesity, an increase of 33% for obesity and 130% for severe obesity.21

Morbidity

- Overweight children and adolescents are at increased risk for future adverse health effects, including the following24:
  
  — Increased prevalence of traditional cardiovascular risk factors such as hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and DM.
  
  — Poor school performance, tobacco use, alcohol use, premature sexual behavior, and poor diet.
  
  — Other associated health conditions, such as asthma, hepatic steatosis, sleep apnea, stroke, some cancers (breast, colon, and kidney), renal insufficiency, musculoskeletal disorders, and gallbladder disease.

- Data from 4 Finnish cohort studies examining childhood and adult BMI with a mean follow-up of 23 years found that overweight or obese children who remained obese in adulthood had increased risks of type 2 DM, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and carotid atherosclerosis. However, those who became normal weight by adulthood had risks comparable to individuals who were never obese.25

- The CARDIA study showed that young adults who were overweight or obese had lower health-related quality of life than normal-weight participants 20 years later. On the basis of data from the Medical Outcomes Study 12-item short-form health survey, overweight and obese participants had lower multivariable-adjusted scores on the physical component summary score but not on the mental component summary score.26

- The increasing prevalence of obesity is driving an increased incidence of type 2 DM. Data from the FHS indicate a doubling in the incidence of DM over the past 30 years, most dramatically during the 1990s and primarily among individuals with a BMI >30 kg/m².26

- Among 68,070 participants across multiple NHANES surveys, the decline in BP in recent birth cohorts is slowing, mediated by BMI.27

- In a meta-analysis of 58 cohorts, representing 221,934 people in 17 developed countries with 14,297 incident CVD outcomes, BMI, waist circumference, and waist-to-hip ratio were only minimally associated with cardiovascular outcomes after controlling for baseline SBP, DM, and total and HDL cholesterol in addition to age, sex, and smoking status. Measures of adiposity also did not improve risk discrimination or reclassification when risk factor data were included.28

- The population attributable fraction for CHD associated with reducing current population mean BMI to 21 kg/m² in the Asia-Pacific region ranged from 2% in India to 58% in American Samoa; the population attributable fraction for ischemic stroke ranged from 3% in India to 64% in American Samoa. These data from 15 countries show the proportion of CVD that would be prevented if the population mean BMI were reduced below the current overweight cut point.29

- Obesity is also a strong predictor of sleep-disordered breathing, itself strongly associated with the development of CVD, as well as with myriad other health conditions, including numerous cancers, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, gallbladder disease, musculoskeletal disorders, and reproductive abnormalities.30

- A systematic review of prospective studies examining overweight and obesity as predictors of major stroke subtypes in >2 million participants over 24 years found an adjusted RR for ischemic stroke of 1.22 (95% CI, 1.05–1.41) in overweight individuals and an RR of 1.64 (95% CI, 1.36–1.99) for obese individuals relative to normal-weight individuals. RRs for hemorrhagic stroke were 1.01 (95% CI, 0.88–1.17) and 1.24 (95% CI, 0.99–1.54) for overweight and obese individuals, respectively. These risks were graded with increasing BMI and were independent of age, lifestyle, and other cardiovascular risk factors.31

- A recent meta-analysis of 15 prospective studies demonstrated the increased risk for Alzheimer disease or vascular dementia and any dementia was 1.35 and 1.26 for overweight, respectively, and 2.04 and 1.64 for obesity, respectively.32 The inclusion of obesity in dementia forecast models increases the estimated prevalence of dementia through 2050 by 9% in the United States and 19% in China.33

- Ten-year follow-up data from the Swedish Obese Subjects intervention study indicated that to maintain a favorable effect on cardiovascular risk factors, more than the short-term goal of 5% weight loss is needed to overcome secular trends and aging effects.34

- A randomized clinical trial of 130 severely obese adult individuals randomized to either 12 months of diet and PA or only 6 months of PA resulted in 12.1 and 9.9 kg, respectively, of weight loss at 1 year, with improvements in waist circumference, visceral fat, BP, and insulin resistance.35

Mortality

- Elevated childhood BMIs in the highest quartile were associated with premature death as an adult in a cohort of 4857 American Indian children during a median follow-up of 23.9 years.36

- According to NHIS data, among young adults aged 18 to 39 years, the HR for all-cause mortality was 1.07 (95% CI, 0.91–1.26) for overweight individuals, 1.41 (95% CI, 1.16–1.73) for obese individuals, and 2.46 for extremely obese individuals (95% CI, 1.91–3.16).37

- Among adults, obesity was associated with nearly 112,000 excess deaths (95% CI, 53,754–170,064) relative to normal weight in 2000. Grade 1 obesity (BMI 30 to <35 kg/m²) was associated with almost 34,000 of these excess deaths (95% CI, 8534–68,220) and grade 2 to 3 obesity (BMI ≥35 kg/m²) with >82,000 (95% CI, 44,843–119,289). Underweight was associated with nearly 34,000 excess deaths (95% CI, 15,726–51,766). As other studies have found,38 overweight (BMI 25 to <30 kg/m²) was not associated with excess deaths.39

- A recent systematic review (2.88 million individuals and >270,000 deaths) showed that relative to normal BMI (18.5
to <25 kg/m²), all-cause mortality was lower for overweight (HR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.91–0.96) but was not elevated for grade 1 obesity (HR, 0.95; 95% CI, 0.88–1.01). All-cause mortality was higher for obesity (all grades; HR, 1.18; 95% CI, 1.12–1.25) and grades 2 and 3 obesity (HR, 1.29; 95% CI, 1.18–1.41).40

- In a collaborative analysis of data from almost 900,000 adults in 57 prospective studies, mostly in western Europe and North America, overall mortality was lowest at a BMI of ≈22.5 to 25 kg/m² in both sexes and at all ages, after exclusion of early follow-up and adjustment for smoking status. Above this range, each 5-kg/m²-higher BMI was associated with ≈30% higher all-cause mortality, and no specific cause of death was inversely associated with BMI. Below 22.5 to 25 kg/m², the overall inverse association with BMI was predominantly related to strong inverse associations for smoking-related respiratory disease, and the only clearly positive association was for ischemic heart disease.41

- In a meta-analysis of 1.46 million white adults, over a mean follow-up period of 10 years, all-cause mortality was lowest at BMI levels of 20.0 to 24.9 kg/m². Among women, compared with a BMI of 22.5 to 24.9 kg/m², the HRs for death were as follows: BMI 15.0 to 18.4 kg/m², 1.47; 18.5 to 19.9 kg/m², 1.14; 20.0 to 22.4 kg/m², 1.0; 25.0 to 29.9 kg/m², 1.13; 30.0 to 34.9 kg/m², 1.44; 35.0 to 39.9 kg/m², 1.88; and 40.0 to 49.9 kg/m², 2.51. Similar estimates were observed in men.42

- Overweight was associated with significantly increased mortality resulting from DM or kidney disease and was not associated with increased mortality resulting from cancer or CVD in an analysis of 2004 data from NHANES. Obesity was associated with significantly increased mortality caused by CVD, some cancers, and DM or kidney disease. Obesity was associated with 13% of CVD deaths in 2004.43

- A BMI paradox has been reported, with higher-BMI patients demonstrating favorable outcomes in CHF, hypertension, peripheral vascular disease, and CAD; similar findings have been seen for percent body fat. In AFFIRM, a multicenter trial of AF, obese patients had lower all-cause mortality (HR, 0.77; P=0.01) than normal-weight patients after multivariable adjustment over a 3-year follow-up period.44

- Interestingly, among 2625 participants with new-onset DM, rates of total, CVD, and non-CVD mortality were higher among normal-weight people compared with overweight/obese participants, with adjusted HRs of 2.08 (95% CI, 1.52–2.85), 1.52 (95% CI, 0.89–2.58), and 2.32 (95% CI, 1.55–3.48), respectively.45

- Calculations based on NHANES data from 1978 to 2006 suggest that the gains in life expectancy from smoking cessation are beginning to be outweighed by the loss of life expectancy related to obesity.46

- Because of the increasing prevalence of obesity, the number of quality-adjusted life-years lost as a result of obesity is similar to or greater than that lost as a result of smoking, according to data from the BRFSS.47

- Recent estimates suggest that reductions in smoking, cholesterol, BP, and physical inactivity levels resulted in a gain of 2770500 life-years; however, these gains were reduced by a loss of 715,000 life-years caused by the increased prevalence of obesity and DM.48

- In a comparison of 5 different anthropometric variables (BMI, waist circumference, hip circumference, waist-to-hip ratio, and waist-to-height ratio) in 62,223 individuals from Norway with 12 years of follow-up from the HUNT 2 study, the risk of death per SD increase in each measure was 1.02 (95% CI, 0.99–1.06) for BMI, 1.10 (95% CI, 1.06–1.14) for waist circumference, 1.01 (95% CI, 0.97–1.05) for hip circumference, 1.15 (95% CI, 1.11–1.19) for waist-to-hip ratio, and 1.12 (95% CI, 1.08–1.16) for waist-to-height ratio. For CVD mortality, the risk of death per SD increase was 1.12 (95% CI, 1.06–1.20) for BMI, 1.19 (95% CI, 1.12–1.26) for waist circumference, 1.06 (95% CI, 1.00–1.13) for hip circumference, 1.23 (95% CI, 1.16–1.30) for waist-to-hip ratio, and 1.24 (95% CI, 1.16–1.31) for waist-to-height ratio.49

- According to data from the NCDR, among patients presenting with STEMI and a BMI ≥40 kg/m², in-hospital mortality rates were higher for patients with class III obesity (OR, 1.64; 95% CI, 1.32–2.03) when class I obesity was used as the referent.50

- In a study of 22,203 women and men from England and Scotland, metabolically unhealthy obese individuals were at an increased risk of all-cause mortality compared with metabolically healthy obese individuals (HR, 1.72; 95% CI, 1.23–2.41).51

Cost

- If current trends in the growth of obesity continue, total healthcare costs attributable to obesity could reach $861 to $957 billion by 2030, which would account for 16% to 18% of US health expenditures.52

- According to NHANES I data linked to Medicare and mortality records, obese 45-year-olds had lifetime Medicare costs of $163,000 compared with $117,000 among those with normal weight by the time they reached 65 years of age.53

- The total excess cost related to the current prevalence of adolescent overweight and obesity is estimated to be $254 billion ($208 billion in lost productivity secondary to premature morbidity and mortality and $46 billion in direct medical costs).54

- According to 2006 MEPS and 2006 BRFSS data, annual medical expenditures would be 6.7% to 10.7% lower in the absence of obesity.55

- According to data from the Medicare Current Beneficiary Survey from 1997 to 2006, in 1997, expenditures for a Part A and Part B services beneficiary were $6832 for a normal-weight individual, which was more than for overweight ($5473) or obese ($5790) individuals. However, over time, expenses increased more rapidly for overweight and obese individuals.56

- The costs of obesity are high: Obese people pay on average $1429 (42%) more for healthcare costs than normal-weight individuals. For obese beneficiaries, Medicare pays $1723 more, Medicaid pays $1021 more, and private insurers pay $1140 more than for beneficiaries who are at normal weight. Similarly, obese people have 46% higher inpatient costs and 27% more outpatient visits and spend 80% more on prescription drugs.57

Bariatric Surgery

- Patients with BMI >40 kg/m² or >35 kg/m² with an obesity-related comorbidity are eligible for gastric bypass surgery,
which is typically performed as either a Roux-en-Y gastric bypass or a biliopancreatic diversion.

- According to the 2006 NHDS, the incidence of bariatric surgery was estimated at 113,000 cases per year, with costs of nearly $1.5 billion annually.\(^{58}\)
- In a large bariatric surgery cohort, the prevalence of high 10-year predicted CVD risk was 36.5%,\(^{59}\) but 76% of those with low 10-year risk had high lifetime predicted CVD risk. The corresponding prevalence in US adults is 18% and 56%, respectively.\(^{60}\)
- Among obese Swedish patients undergoing bariatric surgery and followed up for up to 15 years, maximum weight loss was 32%. The risk of death was 0.76 among those who underwent bariatric surgery compared with matched control subjects.\(^{57}\) More recent data examining MI and stroke showed that bariatric surgery was associated with fewer CVD deaths (HR, 0.47; 95% CI, 0.29–0.76) and fewer strokes (HR, 0.67; 95% CI, 0.54–0.83) than in the control group. However, CVD risk was related to baseline CVD risk factors rather than to baseline BMI or 2-year weight change.\(^{61}\)
- Among 641 patients followed up for 10 years compared with 627 matched control subjects, after 2 years of follow-up, 72% of the surgically treated patients versus 21% of the control patients had remission of their DM; at 10 years of follow-up, results were 36% and 13%, respectively. Similar results have been observed for hypertension, elevated triglycerides, and low HDL cholesterol.\(^{62}\)
- According to retrospective data from the United States, among 99,499 patients who underwent gastric bypass surgery, after a mean of 7 years, long-term mortality was 40% lower among the surgically treated patients than among obese control subjects. Specifically, cancer mortality was reduced by 60%, DM mortality by 92%, and CAD mortality by 56%. Nondisease death rates (eg, accidents, suicide) were 58% higher in the surgery group.\(^{63}\)
- A recent retrospective cohort from the Veterans Affairs medical system showed that in a propensity-matched analysis, bariatric surgery was not associated with reduced mortality compared with obese control subjects (time-adjusted HR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.64–1.39).\(^{64}\)
- Two recent randomized controlled trials were performed that randomized bariatric surgery compared with intensive medical treatment among patients with type 2 DM. The first study randomized 150 patients and conducted 12-month follow-up; this study showed that glycemic control improved (6.4%) and weight loss was greater (29.4 versus 5.4 kg) in the surgical arm.\(^{65}\) The second trial randomized 60 patients to bariatric surgery versus medical therapy and conducted follow-up for 24 months. The results showed that DM remission occurred in 75% of the group that underwent gastric bypass surgery compared with 0% of those in the medical treatment arm, with HbA\(_1c\) values of 6.35% in the surgical arm compared with 7.69% in the medical treatment arm.\(^{66}\)
- Of 120 patients with type 2 DM and a BMI between 30 and 39.9 kg/m\(^2\), 60 who were randomized to Roux-en-Y gastric bypass were almost 5-fold (OR, 4.8; 95% CI, 1.9–11.7) more likely to achieve an HbA\(_1c\) <7.0% at 12-month follow-up. However, there were 22 serious adverse events in the intervention arm, including early and late perioperative complications and nutritional deficiencies.\(^{67}\)
- A recent cost-effectiveness study of laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding showed that after 5 years, $4970 was saved in medical expenses; if indirect costs were included (absenteeism and presenteeism), savings increased to $6180 and $10960, respectively.\(^{68}\) However, when expressed per quality-adjusted life expectancy, only $6600 was gained for laparoscopic gastric bypass, $6200 for laparoscopic adjustable gastric band, and $17,300 for open Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, none of which exceeded the standard $50,000 per quality-adjusted life expectancy gained.\(^{59}\)
- Adolescents (aged 10–19 years old) underwent bariatric surgery at a rate of 0.8/100,000 procedures, which increased to 2.3/100,000 in 2003 and remained constant by 2009 at 2.4/100,000.\(^{70}\)
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Obesity recommended new definitions for overweight and obesity in children and adolescents71; however, statistics based on this new definition are not yet available. Statistics based on BMI-for-age values at or above the 85th percentile of the 2000 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) growth charts. In children, obesity is based on BMI ≥ 30 kg/m2. In children, overweight and obesity risk factors 10 years after bariatric surgery. 

Table 6-1. Overweight and Obesity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes, n (%)</td>
<td>154,700,000 (68.2)</td>
<td>78,400,000 (34.6)</td>
<td>23,900,000 (31.8)</td>
<td>12,700,000 (16.9)</td>
<td>$147 Billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>79,900,000 (72.9)</td>
<td>36,800,000 (33.6)</td>
<td>12,700,000 (33.0)</td>
<td>7,200,000 (18.6)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>74,800,000 (63.7)</td>
<td>41,600,000 (35.6)</td>
<td>11,200,000 (30.4)</td>
<td>5,500,000 (15.0)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white males, %</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white females, %</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black males, %</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black females, %</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American males, %</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American females, %</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overweight and obesity in adults is defined as body mass index (BMI) ≥25 kg/m2. Obesity in adults is defined as BMI ≥30 kg/m2. In children, overweight and obesity are based on BMI-for-age values at or above the 85th percentile of the 2000 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) growth charts. In children, obesity is based on BMI-for-age values at or above the 95th percentile of the CDC growth charts. In January 2007, the American Medical Association’s Expert Task Force on Childhood Obesity recommended new definitions for overweight and obesity in children and adolescents72; however, statistics based on this new definition are not yet available. NH indicates non-Hispanic; ellipses (…), data not available.

Data from Finklestein et al.25

Sources: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2007 to 2010 (adults), unpublished National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) tabulation; NHANES 2009 to 2010 (ages 2–19 y) from Ogden et al.4 Extrapolation for ages 2 to 19 y from NHLBI tabulation of US Census resident population on April 1, 2010.
Chart 6-1. Prevalence of overweight and obesity among students in grades 9 through 12 by sex and race/ethnicity. NH indicates non-Hispanic. Data derived from Eaton et al (Table 101).72

7. Family History and Genetics

See Tables 7-1 through 7-3.

Biologically related first-degree relatives (siblings, offspring and parents) share roughly 50% of their genetic variation with one another. This constitutes much greater sharing of genetic variation than with a randomly selected person from the population, and thus, when a trait aggregates within a family, this lends evidence for a genetic risk factor for the trait. Similarly, racial/ethnic minorities are more likely to share their genetic variation within their demographic than with other demographics. Familial aggregation of CVD may be related to aggregation of specific behaviors (eg, smoking, alcohol use) or risk factors (eg, hypertension, DM, obesity) that may themselves have environmental and genetic contributors. Unlike classic mendelian genetic risk factors, whereby usually 1 mutation directly causes 1 disease, a complex trait’s genetic contributors may increase risk without necessarily always causing the condition. The effect size of any specific contributor to risk may be small but widespread throughout a population, or may be large but affect only a small population, or may have an enhanced risk when an environmental contributor is present. Although the breadth of all genetic research into CVD is beyond the scope of this chapter, we present a summary of evidence that a genetic risk for CVD is likely, as well as a summary of evidence on the most consistently replicated genetic markers for HD and stroke identified to date.

Family History

Prevalence

- Among adults ≥20 years of age, 12.6% (SE 0.5%) reported having a parent or sibling with a heart attack or angina before the age of 50 years. The racial/ethnic breakdown is as follows (NHANES 2007–2010, unpublished NHLBI tabulation):
  - For non-Hispanic whites, 12.4% (SE 0.7%) for men, 14.9% (SE 0.9%) for women
  - For non-Hispanic blacks, 8.1% (SE 0.8%) for men, 13.0% (SE 0.9%) for women
  - For Mexican Americans, 8.1% (SE 0.9%) for men, 10.0% (SE 1.1%) for women
  - For other Hispanics, 8.8% (SE 1.5%) for men, 12.0% (SE 1.2%) for women
  - For other races, 8.7% (SE 2.1%) for men, 10.7% (SE 2.6%) for women

- HD occurs as people age, and those without a family history of HD may survive longer, so the prevalence of family history will vary depending on the age at which it is assessed. The breakdown of reported family history of heart attack by age in the US population as measured by NHANES is as follows (NHANES 2007–2010, unpublished NHLBI tabulation):
  - Age 20 to 39 years, 8.4% (SE 0.9%) for men, 10.3% (SE 0.7%) for women
  - Age 40 to 59 years, 12.8% (SE 0.9%) for men, 15.3% (SE 1.1%) for women
  - Age 60 to 79 years, 13.7% (SE 0.9%) for men, 17.5% (SE 1.2%) for women
  - Age ≥80 years, 9.8% (SE 1.5%) for men, 13.7% (SE 0.6%) for women

- In the multigenerational FHS, only 75% of participants with a documented parental history of a heart attack before age 55 years reported that history when asked.1

Impact of Family History

- Premature paternal history of a heart attack has been shown to approximately double the risk of a heart attack in men and increase the risk in women by ≈70%.2,3
- History of a heart attack in both parents increases the risk of heart attack, especially when 1 parent had a premature heart attack4 (Table 7-1).
- Sibling history of HD has been shown to increase the odds of HD in men and women by ≈50%.5
- Premature family history of angina, MI, angioplasty, or bypass surgery increased the lifetime risk by ≈50% for both HD (from 8.9% to 13.7%) and CVD mortality (from 14.1% to 21%).6
- Similarly, parental history of AF is associated with ≈80% increased odds of AF in men and women,7 and a history of stroke in a first-degree relative increases the odds of stroke in men and women by ≈50%.8

Abbreviations Used in Chapter 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>abdominal aortic aneurysm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABI</td>
<td>ankle-brachial index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF</td>
<td>atrial fibrillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAC</td>
<td>coronary artery calcification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>coronary artery disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARDIoGRAMplusC4D</td>
<td>Coronary Artery Disease Genome-wide Replication and Meta-Analysis (CARDIOGRAM) plus the Coronary Artery Disease (C4D) Genetics Consortium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>coronary heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>diastolic blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHS</td>
<td>Framingham Heart Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>glomerular filtration rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c</td>
<td>hemoglobin A1c (glycosylated hemoglobin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>high-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>low-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHLBI</td>
<td>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>odds ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>systolic blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>standard error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP</td>
<td>single-nucleotide polymorphism</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Genetics

Heart Disease

● Genome-wide association is a robust technique to identify associations between genotypes and phenotypes. Table 7-2 presents results from the CARDIoGRAMplusC4D Consortium, which represents the largest genetic study of CAD to date. Although the ORs are modest, ranging from 1.06 to 1.51 per copy of the risk allele (individuals may harbor up to 2 copies of a risk allele), these are common alleles, which suggests that the attributable risk may be substantial. Additional analysis suggested that loci associated with CAD were involved in lipid metabolism and inflammation pathways.9

● The relationship between genetic variants associated with CHD and measured CHD risk factors is complex, with some genetic markers associated with multiple risk factors and other markers showing no association with risk factors.10

● Genetic markers discovered thus far have not been shown to add to cardiovascular risk prediction tools beyond current models that incorporate family history.11 Genetic markers have also not been shown to improve prediction of subclinical atherosclerosis beyond traditional risk factors.12 However, an association between genetic markers and coronary calcification has been seen.13

● The most consistently replicated genetic marker for HD in European-derived populations is located at 9p21.3. At this single-nucleotide polymorphism, ≈27% of the white population is estimated to have 0 risk alleles, 50% is estimated to have 1 risk allele, and the remaining 23% is estimated to have 2 risk alleles.14

● The 10-year HD risk for a 65-year-old man with 2 risk alleles at 9p21.3 and no other traditional risk factors is =1.32%, whereas a similar man with 0 alleles would have a 10-year risk of ≈0.2%.

● The 10-year HD risk for a 40-year-old woman with 2 alleles and no other traditional risk factors is =2.4%, whereas a similar woman with 0 alleles would have a 10-year risk of =1.7%.14

● Variation at the 9p21.3 region also increases the risk of HF15 and sudden death.16 Associations have also been observed between the 9p21.3 region and CAD.17,18 Additionally, stronger associations have been found between variation at 9p21.3 and earlier17,18 and more severe19 heart attacks. The biological mechanism underpinning the association of genetic variation in the 9p21 region with disease outcomes is still under investigation.

Stroke

● The same 9p21.3 region has also been associated with intracranial aneurysm,20 AAA,21 and ischemic stroke.22 For large-vessel ischemic stroke, an association for large-vessel stroke with histone deacetylase 9 on chromosome 7p21.1 has been identified (>9000 subjects) and replicated (>12,000 subjects).22,23

CVD Risk Factors

● Heritability is the ratio of genetically caused variation to the total variation of a trait or measure. Table 7-3 presents heritability estimates for standard CVD risk factors using data generated from the FHS. These data suggest that most CVD risk factors have at least moderate heritability.
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Table 7-1. OR for Combinations of Parental Heart Attack History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>combination</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No family history</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One parent with heart attack ≥50 y of age</td>
<td>1.67 (1.55–1.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both parents with heart attack &lt;50 y of age</td>
<td>2.36 (1.89–2.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both parents with heart attack ≥50 y of age</td>
<td>2.90 (2.30–3.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both parents with heart attack, one &lt;50 y of age</td>
<td>3.26 (1.72–6.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both parents with heart attack, both &lt;50 y of age</td>
<td>6.56 (1.39–30.95)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI indicates confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

Data derived from Chow et al.6
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNP</th>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Effect Size (OR)</th>
<th>Effect Allele Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rs602633</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SORT1</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs17464857</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>MIA3</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs17114036</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PPAP2B</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs11206510</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PCSK9</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs4845625</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>IL6R</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs6725887</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>WDR12</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs515135</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>APOB</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2252641</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>ZEB2-AC074093.1</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1561198</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>VAMP5-VAMP8-GGCX</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs6544713</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>ABCG5-ABCG8</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs9818870</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>MRAS</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs7692387</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>GUCY1A3</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1878406</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>EDNRB</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs273909</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>SLC22A4-SLC22A5</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs12205331</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>ANKS1A</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs9369640</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>PHACTR1</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs12190287</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>TCF21</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs3798220</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>LPA</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs10947789</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>KCNK5</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs4252120</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>PLG</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs11556924</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>ZC3HC1</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs12539895</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2023938</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>HDAC9</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs264</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>LPL</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2954029</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>TRIB1</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1333049</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>CDKN2A, CDKN2B</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs579459</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>ABO</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2505083</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>K0A41462</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs501120</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>CXCL12</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs12413409</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>CYP17A1-CNNM2-NT5C2</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2246833</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>LPA</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs9326246</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ZNF259-AP05-A4-C3-A1</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs974819</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>PDGFD</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs3184504</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>SH2B3</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs4773144</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>COL4A1-COL4A2</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs9319428</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>FLT1</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2895811</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>HHIPL1</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs7173743</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>ADAMTS7</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs17514846</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>FURIN-FES</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2281727</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>SMG6-SRR</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs12936587</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>RASD1-SMCR3-PEMT</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs15563</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>UBE2Z-GIP-ATP5G1-SNF8</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs1122608</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>LDLR</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2075650</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>ApoE-ApoC1</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs982601</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>KCNE2</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 7-2. Validated SNPs for CAD, the Nearest Gene, and the OR From the CARDIoGRAMplusC4D Consortium**

CAD indicates coronary artery disease; CARDIoGRAMplusC4D, Coronary Artery Disease Genome-wide Replication and Meta-analysis (CARDIOGRAM) plus the Coronary Artery Disease (C4D) Genetics Consortium; OR, odds ratio; and SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.

Data derived from Deloukas et al.9
Table 7-3. Heritability of CVD Risk Factors From the FHS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Heritability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABI</td>
<td>0.21&lt;sup&gt;24&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>0.42&lt;sup&gt;25&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>0.39&lt;sup&gt;25&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular mass</td>
<td>0.24 to 0.32&lt;sup&gt;26&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.37 (mean age 40 y) to 0.52 (mean age 60 y)&lt;sup&gt;27&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference</td>
<td>0.41&lt;sup&gt;28&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visceral abdominal fat</td>
<td>0.36&lt;sup&gt;29&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcutaneous abdominal fat</td>
<td>0.57&lt;sup&gt;29&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose</td>
<td>0.34&lt;sup&gt;30&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA&lt;sub&gt;1c&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.27&lt;sup&gt;30&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides</td>
<td>0.48&lt;sup&gt;31&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol</td>
<td>0.52&lt;sup&gt;31&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol</td>
<td>0.57&lt;sup&gt;31&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol</td>
<td>0.59&lt;sup&gt;31&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated GFR</td>
<td>0.33&lt;sup&gt;32&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ABI indicates ankle-brachial index; BMI, body mass index; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FHS, Framingham Heart Study; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; HbA<sub>1c</sub>, glycosylated hemoglobin; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; and SBP, systolic blood pressure.
8. High Blood Cholesterol and Other Lipids

See Table 8-1 and Charts 8-1 through 8-3.

High cholesterol is a major risk factor for CVD and stroke. The AHA has identified untreated total cholesterol <170 mg/dL (for children) and <200 mg/dL (for adults) as 1 of the 7 components of ideal cardiovascular health. In 2009 to 2010, 61.9% of children and 47.3% of adults met these criteria.

Prevalence

For information on dietary cholesterol, total fat, saturated fat, and other factors that affect blood cholesterol levels, see Chapter 5 (Nutrition).

Youth

(See Chart 8-1.)

- Among children 6 to 11 years of age, the mean total cholesterol level is 161.9 mg/dL. For boys, it is 162.3 mg/dL; for girls, it is 161.5 mg/dL. The racial/ethnic breakdown is as follows (NHANES 2007–2010, unpublished NHLBI tabulation):
  - For non-Hispanic whites, 160.9 mg/dL for boys and 161.6 mg/dL for girls
  - For non-Hispanic blacks, 165.2 mg/dL for boys and 157.9 mg/dL for girls
  - For Mexican Americans, 159.6 mg/dL for boys and 160.7 mg/dL for girls

- Among adolescents 12 to 19 years of age, the mean total cholesterol level is 158.2 mg/dL. For boys, it is 156.1 mg/dL; for girls, it is 160.3 mg/dL. The racial/ethnic breakdown is as follows (NHANES 2007–2010, unpublished NHLBI tabulation):
  - For non-Hispanic whites, 156.8 mg/dL for boys and 161.1 mg/dL for girls
  - For non-Hispanic blacks, 154.1 mg/dL for boys and 160.6 mg/dL for girls
  - For Mexican Americans, 157.8 mg/dL for boys and 158.0 mg/dL for girls

Adults

(See Table 8-1 and Charts 8-2 and 8-3.)

- An estimated 31.9 million adults ≥20 years of age have serum total cholesterol levels ≥240 mg/dL (extrapolated to 2010 by use of NCHS/NHANES 2007–2010 data), with a prevalence of 13.8% (Table 8-1; unpublished NHLBI tabulation).
- Approximately 5.6% of adults ≥20 years of age have undiagnosed hypercholesterolemia, defined as a total cholesterol level ≥240 mg/dL and the participant having responded “no” to ever having been told by a doctor or other healthcare professional that the participant’s blood cholesterol level was high (NHANES 2007–2010, unpublished NHLBI tabulation).
- Between the periods 1988 to 1994 and 1999 to 2002 (NHANES/NCHS), the age-adjusted mean serum total cholesterol level of adults ≥20 years of age decreased from 206 to 203 mg/dL, and LDL cholesterol levels decreased from 129 to 123 mg/dL.
- Data from NHANES 2003 to 2008 (NCHS) showed the serum total crude mean cholesterol level in adults ≥20 years of age was 195 mg/dL for men and 201 mg/dL for women.
- Data from the Minnesota Heart Survey (1980–1982 to 2000–2002) showed a decline in age-adjusted mean total cholesterol concentrations from 5.49 and 5.38 mmol/L (98.8 and 96.8 mg/dL) for men and women, respectively, in 1980 to 1982 to 5.16 and 5.09 mmol/L (92.8 and 91.6 mg/dL), respectively, in 2000 to 2002; however, the decline was not uniform across all age groups. Middle-aged to older people have shown substantial decreases, but younger people have shown little overall change and recently had increased total cholesterol values. Lipid-lowering drug use rose significantly for both sexes among those 35 to 74 years of age. Awareness, treatment, and control of hypercholesterolemia have increased; however, more than half of those at borderline-high risk remain unaware of their condition.
- According to data from NHANES 2005 to 2006, between the periods 1999 to 2000 and 2005 to 2006, mean serum total cholesterol levels in adults ≥20 years of age declined from 204 to 199 mg/dL. This decline was observed for men ≥40 years of age and for women ≥60 years of age. There was little change over this time period for other sex/age groups. In 2005 to 2006, ≥65% of men and 70% of women had been screened for high cholesterol in the past 5 years, and 16% of adults had serum total cholesterol levels ≥240 mg/dL.
- According to data from NHANES 2007 to 2008, mean serum total cholesterol levels in adults aged 20 to 74 years declined further to 197 mg/dL. Overall, the decline in cholesterol levels in recent years appears to reflect greater

Abbreviations Used in Chapter 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AHA</th>
<th>American Heart Association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRFSS</td>
<td>Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>coronary heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>cardiovascular diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>high-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>low-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mex. Am.</td>
<td>Mexican American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHS</td>
<td>National Center for Health Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>non-Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHLBI</td>
<td>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
uptake of cholesterol-lowering medications rather than changes in dietary patterns.9

● According to data from NHANES, from 1999 to 2006, the prevalence of elevated LDL cholesterol levels (as defined by levels higher than the specified Adult Treatment Panel III risk category) in adults ≥20 years of age has decreased by ≈33%.10

● During the period from 1999 to 2006, 26.0% of adults had hypercholesterolemia, 9% of adults had both hypercholesterolemia and hypertension, 1.5% of adults had DM and hypercholesterolemia, and 3% of adults had all 3 conditions.11

Screening

● Data from the BRFSS study of the CDC in 2011 showed that the percentage of adults who had been screened for high cholesterol in the preceding 5 years ranged from 66.3% in Utah to 83.7% in Massachusetts. The median percentage among all 50 states was 75.5%.12

● The percentage of adults who reported having had a cholesterol check increased from 68.6% during 1999 to 2000 to 74.8% during 2005 to 2006.13

Awareness

● Data from the BRFSS (CDC) survey in 2011 showed that among adults screened for high cholesterol, the percentage who had been told that they had high cholesterol ranged from 33.5% in Colorado to 42.3% in Mississippi. The median percentage among states was 38.4%.12

● Among adults with hypercholesterolemia, the percentage who had been told that they had high cholesterol increased from 42.0% during 1999 to 2000 to 50.4% during 2005 to 2006.13

Treatment

● NHANES data on the treatment of high LDL cholesterol showed an increase from 28.4% of individuals during 1999 to 2002 to 48.1% during 2005 to 2008.14

● Self-reported use of cholesterol-lowering medications increased from 8.2% during 1999 to 2000 to 14.0% during 2005 to 2006.13

Adherence

Youth

The American Academy of Pediatrics recommends screening for dyslipidemia in children and adolescents who have a family history of dyslipidemia or premature CVD, those whose family history is unknown, and those youths with risk factors for CVD, such as being overweight or obese, having hypertension or DM, or being a smoker.3

Analysis of data from NHANES 1999 to 2006 showed that the overall prevalence of abnormal lipid levels among youths 12 to 19 years of age was 20.3%.3

Adults

● On the basis of data from the Third Report of the Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults1:

—Fewer than half of all people who qualify for any kind of lipid-modifying treatment for CHD risk reduction are receiving it.

—Fewer than half of even the highest-risk people (those with symptomatic CHD) are receiving lipid-lowering treatment.

—Only approximately one third of treated patients are achieving their LDL goal; <20% of patients with CHD are at their LDL goal.

● Data from NHANES 2005 to 2006 indicate that among those with elevated LDL cholesterol levels, 35.5% had not been screened previously, 24.9% were screened but not told they had elevated cholesterol, and 39.6% were treated inadequately.10

● There were 33.2% of adults overall during 2005 to 2008 in NHANES who achieved LDL cholesterol goals. Among adults without health insurance, only 22.6% achieved LDL cholesterol goals; however, 82.8% of those adults with uncontrolled LDL cholesterol did have some form of health insurance.14

Lipid Levels

LDL (Bad) Cholesterol

Youth

● There are limited data available on LDL cholesterol for children 6 to 11 years of age.

● Among adolescents 12 to 19 years of age, the mean LDL cholesterol level is 89.5 mg/dL. For boys, it is 88.6 mg/dL, and for girls, it is 90.5 mg/dL. The racial/ethnic breakdown is as follows (NHANES 2007–2010, unpublished NHLBI tabulation):

—Among non-Hispanic whites, 90.4 mg/dL for boys and 90.9 mg/dL for girls

—Among non-Hispanic blacks, 85.8 mg/dL for boys and 91.8 mg/dL for girls

—Among Mexican Americans, 90.6 mg/dL for boys and 87.1 mg/dL for girls

● High levels of LDL cholesterol occurred in 7.3% of male adolescents and 7.6% of female adolescents during 2007 to 2010.3

Adults

● The mean level of LDL cholesterol for American adults ≥20 years of age was 115.8 mg/dL in 2007 to 2010.3 Levels of 130 to 159 mg/dL are considered borderline high, levels of 160 to 189 mg/dL are classified as high, and levels of ≥190 mg/dL are considered very high according to Adult Treatment Panel III.

● According to NHANES 2007 to 2010 (unpublished NHLBI tabulation):

—Among non-Hispanic whites, mean LDL cholesterol levels were 115.1 mg/dL for men and 115.7 mg/dL for women.

—Among non-Hispanic blacks, mean LDL cholesterol levels were 115.9 mg/dL for men and 114.2 mg/dL for women.
—Among Mexican Americans, mean LDL cholesterol levels were 119.7 mg/dL for men and 115.0 mg/dL for women.

- The age-adjusted prevalence of high LDL cholesterol in US adults was 26.6% in 1988 to 1994 and 25.3% in 1999 to 2004 (NHANES/NCHS). Between 1988 to 1994 and 1999 to 2004, awareness increased from 39.2% to 63.0%, and use of pharmacological lipid-lowering treatment increased from 11.7% to 40.8%. LDL cholesterol control increased from 4.0% to 25.1% among those with high LDL cholesterol. In 1999 to 2004, rates of LDL cholesterol control were lower among adults 20 to 49 years of age than among those ≥65 years of age (13.9% versus 30.3%, respectively), among non-Hispanic blacks and Mexican Americans than among non-Hispanic whites (17.2% and 16.5% versus 26.9%, respectively), and among men than among women (22.6% versus 26.9%, respectively).15

- Mean levels of LDL cholesterol decreased from 126.1 mg/dL during 1999 to 2000 to 116.1 mg/dL during 2009 to 2010. The prevalence of high LDL cholesterol decreased from 31.5% during 1999 to 2000 to 116.1 mg/dL during 2009 to 2010 (unpublished NHLBI tabulation).

**HDL (Good) Cholesterol**

**Youth**

- Among children 6 to 11 years of age, the mean HDL cholesterol level is 53.6 mg/dL. For boys, it is 55.1 mg/dL, and for girls, it is 51.9 mg/dL. The racial/ethnic breakdown is as follows (NHANES 2007–2010, unpublished NHLBI tabulation):
  - Among non-Hispanic whites, 53.9 mg/dL for boys and 51.4 mg/dL for girls
  - Among non-Hispanic blacks, 59.9 mg/dL for boys and 55.3 mg/dL for girls
  - Among Mexican Americans, 53.5 mg/dL for boys and 50.5 mg/dL for girls

- Among adolescents 12 to 19 years of age, the mean HDL cholesterol level is 51.4 mg/dL. For boys, it is 49.2 mg/dL, and for girls, it is 53.6 mg/dL. The racial/ethnic breakdown is as follows (NHANES 2007–2010, unpublished NHLBI tabulation):
  - Among non-Hispanic whites, 48.4 mg/dL for boys and 53.0 mg/dL for girls
  - Among non-Hispanic blacks, 53.9 mg/dL for boys and 55.4 mg/dL for girls
  - Among Mexican Americans, 47.5 mg/dL for boys and 53.3 mg/dL for girls

- Low levels of HDL cholesterol occurred in 21.7% of male adolescents and 10.7% of female adolescents during 2007 to 2010 (NHANES 2007–2010, unpublished NHLBI tabulation).

**Adults**

- An HDL cholesterol level <40 mg/dL in adult males and <50 mg/dL in adult females is considered low and is a risk factor for HD and stroke.1 The mean level of HDL cholesterol for American adults ≥20 years of age is 52.5 mg/dL (NHANES 2007–2010, unpublished NHLBI tabulation).

- According to NHANES 2007 to 2010 (unpublished NHLBI tabulation):
  - Among non-Hispanic whites, mean HDL cholesterol levels were 46.7 mg/dL for men and 58.1 mg/dL for women
  - Among non-Hispanic blacks, mean HDL cholesterol levels were 52.6 mg/dL for men and 58.7 mg/dL for women
  - Among Mexican Americans, mean HDL cholesterol levels were 45.4 mg/dL for men and 53.7 mg/dL for women

**Triglycerides**

**Youth**

- There are limited data available on triglycerides for children 6 to 11 years of age.

- Among adolescents 12 to 19 years of age, the geometric mean triglyceride level is 82.9 mg/dL. For boys, it is 85.6 mg/dL, and for girls, it is 80.1 mg/dL. The racial/ethnic breakdown is as follows (NHANES 2007–2010, unpublished NHLBI tabulation):
  - Among non-Hispanic whites, 89.6 mg/dL for boys and 83.5 mg/dL for girls
  - Among non-Hispanic blacks, 66.7 mg/dL for boys and 58.6 mg/dL for girls
  - Among Mexican Americans, 97.1 mg/dL for boys and 83.5 mg/dL for girls

- High levels of triglycerides occurred in 9.4% of male adolescents and 6.7% of female adolescents during 2007 to 2010.3

**Adults**

- A fasting triglyceride level ≥150 mg/dL in adults is considered elevated and is a risk factor for HD and stroke. The geometric mean level of triglycerides for American adults ≥20 years of age is 130.3 mg/dL (NHANES 2007–2010, unpublished NHLBI tabulation).

- Among men, the geometric mean triglyceride level is 141.7 mg/dL (NHANES 2007–2010, unpublished NHLBI tabulation). The racial/ethnic breakdown is as follows:
  - 140.0 mg/dL for non-Hispanic white men
  - 111.3 mg/dL for non-Hispanic black men
  - 161.4 mg/dL for Mexican American men

- Among women, the geometric mean triglyceride level is 119.1 mg/dL, with the following racial/ethnic breakdown:
  - 121.5 mg/dL for non-Hispanic white women
  - 94.4 mg/dL for non-Hispanic black women
  - 134.1 mg/dL for Mexican American women

- Approximately 27% of adults ≥20 years of age had a triglyceride level ≥150 mg/dL during 2007 to 2010 (NHANES 2007–2010, unpublished NHLBI tabulation).

- Fewer than 3% of adults with a triglyceride level ≥150 mg/dL received pharmacological treatment during 1999 to 2004.16
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Table 8-1. High Total and LDL Cholesterol and Low HDL Cholesterol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Group</th>
<th>Prevalence of Total Cholesterol ≥200 mg/dL, 2010 Age ≥20 y</th>
<th>Prevalence of Total Cholesterol ≥240 mg/dL, 2010 Age ≥20 y</th>
<th>Prevalence of LDL Cholesterol ≥130 mg/dL, 2010 Age ≥20 y</th>
<th>Prevalence of HDL Cholesterol &lt;40 mg/dL, 2010 Age ≥20 y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes, n (%)</td>
<td>98 900 000 (43.4)</td>
<td>31 900 000 (13.8)</td>
<td>71 000 000 (31.1)</td>
<td>48 700 000 (21.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males, n (%)</td>
<td>45 300 000 (41.3)</td>
<td>14 000 000 (12.7)</td>
<td>35 200 000 (31.9)</td>
<td>34 600 000 (31.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females, n (%)</td>
<td>53 600 000 (44.9)</td>
<td>17 900 000 (14.7)</td>
<td>35 800 000 (30.0)</td>
<td>14 100 000 (12.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white males, %</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white females, %</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black males, %</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black females, %</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican-American, %</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>34.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican-American females, %</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prevalence of total cholesterol ≥200 mg/dL includes people with total cholesterol ≥240 mg/dL. In adults, levels of 200 to 239 mg/dL are considered borderline high. Levels of ≥240 mg/dL are considered high.

HDL indicates high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; and NH, non-Hispanic.

*Total data for total cholesterol are for Americans ≥20 y of age. Data for LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, and all racial/ethnic groups are age-adjusted for age ≥20 y. Source for total cholesterol ≥200 mg/dL, ≥240 mg/dL, LDL, and HDL: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2007–2010), National Center for Health Statistics, and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Estimates from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2007 to 2010 (National Center for Health Statistics) were applied to 2010 population estimates.

9. High Blood Pressure

ICD-9 401 to 404, ICD-10 I10 to I15. See Tables 9-1 and 9-2 and Charts 9-1 through 9-5.

High blood pressure is a major risk factor for CVD and stroke.1 The AHA has identified untreated BP <90th percentile (for children) and <120/80 mm Hg (for adults aged ≥20 years) as 1 of the 7 components of ideal cardiovascular health.2 In 2009 to 2010, 85.8% of children and 44.3% of adults met these criteria (Chapter 2, Cardiovascular Health).

Prevalence
(See Table 9-1 and Chart 9-1.)

- HBP is defined as:
  - SBP ≥140 mm Hg or DBP ≥90 mm Hg or taking antihypertensive medicine, or
  - Having been told at least twice by a physician or other health professional that one has HBP.

- One in 3 US adults has HBP (unpublished NHLBI tabulation).

- Data from NHANES 2007 to 2010 found that ≈6% of US adults have undiagnosed hypertension. Data from the 2007 to 2008 BRFSS, NHIS, and NHANES surveys found 27.8%, 28.5%, and 30.7% US adults were told they had hypertension, respectively.3

- Prevalence of hypertension (age adjusted) among US adults ≥18 years of age was estimated to be 28.6% in NHANES 2009 to 2010.

  - Among those 18 to 39 years of age, prevalence was 6.8%; among those 40 to 59 years of age, prevalence was 30.4%; and among those ≥60 years of age, prevalence was 66.7%. Furthermore, prevalence of hypertension among non-Hispanic blacks, non-Hispanic whites, and Hispanics was 40.4%, 27.4%, and 26.1%, respectively.4

- An estimated 77.9 million adults ≥20 years of age have HBP, extrapolated to 2010 with NHANES 2007 to 2010 data (Table 9-1).

- NHANES data show that a higher percentage of men than women have hypertension until 45 years of age. From 45 to 54 and from 55 to 64 years of age, the percentages of men and women with hypertension are similar. After that, a higher percentage of women have hypertension than men (Chart 9-1).

- HBP is 2 to 3 times more common in women taking oral contraceptives than in women not taking them.1

- Data from NHANES 1999 to 2008 and BRFSS 1997 to 2009 estimated the prevalence of hypertension in men and women ≥30 years of age to be 37.6% and 40.1%, respectively. Awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension varied across the country and were highest in the southeastern United States. Between 2001 and 2009, control of hypertension increased, as did prevalence of hypertension.5

- Data from the 2011 BRFSS/CDC indicate that the percentage of adults ≥18 years of age who had been told that they had HBP ranged from 22.9% in Utah to 40.1% in Alabama. The median percentage was 30.8%.6

- According to 2003 to 2008 NHANES data, among US adults with hypertension, 8.9% met the criteria for resistant hypertension (BP was ≥140/90 mm Hg, and they reported using antihypertensive medications from 3 different drug classes or drugs from ≥4 antihypertensive drug classes regardless of BP). This represents 12.8% of the population taking antihypertensive medication.7

- According to data from NHANES 1988 to 1994 and 2007 to 2008, HBP control rates improved from 27.3% to 50.1%, treatment improved from 54.0% to 73.5%, and the control/treated rates improved from 50.6% to 72.3%.8

Abbreviations Used in Chapter 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AHA</td>
<td>American Heart Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIC</td>
<td>Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRFSS</td>
<td>Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>coronary heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>congestive heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHS</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Health Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>C-reactive protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>diastolic blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED</td>
<td>emergency department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHS</td>
<td>Framingham Heart Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBP</td>
<td>high blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-9</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-9-CM</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases, Clinical Modification, 9th Revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-10</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>low-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEPS</td>
<td>Medical Expenditure Panel Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MESA</td>
<td>Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAMCS</td>
<td>National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHS</td>
<td>National Center for Health Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>non-Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANCS</td>
<td>National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHDS</td>
<td>National Hospital Discharge Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHES</td>
<td>National Health Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHS</td>
<td>National Health Interview Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHLBI</td>
<td>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NINDS</td>
<td>National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNHS</td>
<td>National Nursing Home Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGARDS</td>
<td>Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>systolic blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEARCH</td>
<td>Search for Diabetes in Youth Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHI</td>
<td>Women’s Health Initiative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Projections show that by 2030, ≈41.4% of US adults will have hypertension, an increase of 8.4% from 2012 estimates (unpublished AHA computation, based on methodology described by Heidenreich et al).1

**Older Adults**

- In 2009 to 2010, hypertension was among the diagnosed chronic conditions that were more prevalent among older (≥65 years of age) women than older men (57% for women, 54% for men). Ever-diagnosed conditions that were more prevalent among older men than older women included HD (37% for men, 26% for women) and DM (24% for men, 18% for women), on the basis of data from NHIS/NCHS.10
- The age-adjusted prevalence of hypertension (both diagnosed and undiagnosed) in 2003 to 2006 was 75% for older women and 65% for older men on the basis of data from NHANES/NCHS.11
- Data from the 2004 NNHS revealed the most frequent chronic medical condition among this nationally representative sample of long-term stay residents aged ≥65 years was hypertension (53% of men and 56% of women). In men, prevalence of hypertension decreased with increasing age.12
- Among US adults ≥65 years of age (NHANES 1999–2004), prevalence of hypertension was 70.8%, awareness of hypertension was 75.9%, treatment for hypertension was 69.3%, and control of hypertension was 48.8%. Women had a slightly higher prevalence than men and a significantly lower rate of hypertension control.13

**Children and Adolescents**

- Data from participants aged 12 to 19 years in the 2005 to 2010 NHANES found ideal blood pressure (<95th percentile) to be present in 78% of males and 90% of females; poor blood pressure (>95th percentile) was found in 2.9% of males and 3.7% of female participants.14
- Analysis of data from participants aged 12 to 19 years in NHANES 1999 to 2008 found the prevalence of prehypertension/hypertension was 14%. Furthermore, there was no significant change in the prevalence of prehypertension/hypertension between 1999 to 2000 and 2007 to 2008 among this age group.15
- Analysis of the NHES, the Hispanic Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, and the NHANES/NCHS surveys of the NCHS (1963–2002) found that the BP, pre-HBP, and HBP trends in children and adolescents 8 to 17 years of age moved downward from 1963 to 1988 and upward thereafter. Pre-HBP and HBP increased 2.3% and 1%, respectively, between 1988 and 1999. Increased obesity (abdominal obesity more so than general obesity) partially explained the HBP and pre-HBP rise from 1988 to 1999. BP and HBP reversed their downward trends 10 years after the increase in the prevalence of obesity. In addition, an ethnic and sex gap appeared in 1988 for pre-HBP and in 1999 for HBP: Non-Hispanic blacks and Mexican Americans had a greater prevalence of HBP and pre-HBP than non-Hispanic whites, and the prevalence was greater in boys than in girls. In that study, HBP in children and adolescents was defined as SBP or DBP that was, on repeated measurement, ≥95th percentile.16
- A study in Ohio of >14000 children and adolescents 3 to 18 years of age who were observed at least 3 times between 1999 and 2006 found that 507 children (3.6%) had hypertension. Of these, 131 (26%) had been diagnosed and 376 (74%) were undiagnosed. In addition, 3% of those with hypertension had stage 2 hypertension, and 41% of those with stage 2 hypertension were undiagnosed. Criteria for prehypertension were met by 485 children. Of these, 11% were diagnosed. In this study, HBP in children and adolescents was defined as SBP or DBP that was, on repeated measurement, ≥95th percentile.17
- Analysis of data from the SEARCH study, which included children 3 to 17 years of age with type 1 and type 2 DM, found the prevalence of elevated BP to be 5.9% among those with type 1 DM and 23.7% among those with type 2 DM.18
- A study of high school students in Houston, TX (mean age 15.4 years; 45.2% male, 49.3% Hispanic, 25.2% Caucasian, and 16.1% African American) found ≥30% of the students had ≥1 elevated BP measurement; elevated BP was significantly influenced by obesity.19
- Longitudinal BP outcomes from the National Childhood Blood Pressure database (ages 13–15 years) were examined after a single BP measurement. Among those determined to have prehypertension, 14% of boys and 12% of girls had hypertension 2 years later; the overall rate of progression from prehypertension to hypertension was ≈7%.20

**Race/Ethnicity and HBP**

(See Table 9-1 and Chart 9-2.)

- The prevalence of hypertension in blacks in the United States is among the highest in the world, and it is increasing. From 1988 to 1994 through 1999 to 2002, the prevalence of HBP in adults increased from 35.8% to 41.4% among blacks, and it was particularly high among black women at 44.0%. Prevalence among whites also increased, from 24.3% to 28.1%.21
- Compared with whites, blacks develop HBP earlier in life, and their average BP levels are much higher. As a result, compared with whites, blacks have a 1.3-times greater rate of nonfatal stroke, a 1.8-times greater rate of fatal stroke, a 1.5-times greater rate of death attributable to HD, and a 4.2-times greater rate of end-stage kidney disease (fifth and sixth reports of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure).
- Data from the 2012 NHIS showed that black adults 18 years of age were more likely (32.9%) to have been told on ≥2 occasions that they had hypertension than white adults (22.9%), American Indian/Alaska Native adults (24.8%), or Asian adults (21.2%).22
- Trend analyses that used NHANES 1988 to 1994 and 1999 to 2004 data among non-Hispanic black and non-Hispanic white men and women found that non-Hispanic blacks had the highest prevalence of hypertension among both men and women during both time periods. The largest increases in prevalence of hypertension occurred among women (both non-Hispanic black and non-Hispanic white) compared with men. Racial/ethnic disparities did not change over time periods.23
● Analysis from the REGARDS study of the NINDS suggests that efforts to raise awareness of prevalent hypertension among blacks have been successful (31% greater odds in blacks relative to whites), and efforts to communicate the importance of receiving treatment for hypertension have been successful (69% greater odds among blacks relative to whites); however, substantial racial disparities remain with regard to the control of BP (SBP <140 mm Hg, DBP <90 mm Hg), with the odds of control being 27% lower in blacks than in whites. In contrast, geographic disparities in hypertension awareness, treatment, and control were minimal.24

● The CDC analyzed death certificate data from 1995 to 2002 (any-mention mortality; ICD-9 codes 401-404 and ICD-10 codes I10-I13). The results indicated that Puerto Rican Americans had a consistently higher hypertension-related death rate than all other Hispanic subpopulations and non-Hispanic whites. The age-standardized hypertension-related mortality rate was 127.2 per 100000 population for all Hispanics, similar to that of non-Hispanic whites (135.9). The age-standardized rate for Hispanic females (118.3) was substantially lower than that observed for Hispanic males (135.9). Hypertension-related mortality rates for males were higher than rates for females for all Hispanic subpopulations. Puerto Rican Americans had the highest hypertension-related death rate among all Hispanic subpopulations (154.0); Cuban Americans had the lowest (82.5).25

● Some studies suggest that Hispanic Americans have rates of HBP similar to or lower than those of non-Hispanic white Americans. Findings from a new analysis of combined data from the NHIS of 2000 to 2002 point to a health disparity between black and white adults of Hispanic descent. Black Hispanics were at slightly greater risk than white Hispanics, although non-Hispanic black adults had by far the highest rate of HBP. The racial disparity among Hispanics also was evident in the fact that higher-income, better-educated black Hispanics still had a higher rate of HBP than lower-income, less-educated white Hispanics.26 Data from the NHLBI’s ARIC study found that hypertension was a particularly powerful risk factor for CHD in black people, especially black women.27

● Data from MESA found that being born outside the United States, speaking a language other than English at home, and living fewer years in the United States were each associated with a decreased prevalence of hypertension.28

● Filipino (27%) and Japanese (25%) adults were more likely than Chinese (17%) or Korean (17%) adults to have ever been told that they had hypertension.29

Mortality
(See Table 9-1.)

● HBP mortality in 2010 was 63 119. Any-mention mortality in 2010 was 362 895. The 2010 death rate was 18.8.30

● The 2010 overall death rate resulting from HBP was 18.8. Death rates were 17.2 for white males, 50.2 for black males, 15.0 for white females, and 37.1 for black females. When any-mention mortality for 2010 was used, the overall death rate was 108.9. Death rates were 112.5 for white males, 216.8 for black males, 90.6 for white females, and 161.9 for black females.30

● From 2000 to 2010, the death rate attributable to HBP increased 16.0%, and the actual number of deaths rose 41.5% (AHA tabulation).31

● A mathematical model was developed to estimate the number of deaths that potentially could be prevented annually by increasing the use of 9 clinical preventive services. The model predicted that a 10% increase in hypertension treatment would result in ≈14000 deaths prevented.32

● Analysis of NHANES I and II comparing hypertensive and nonhypertensive individuals found a reduction in age-adjusted mortality rate of 4.6 per 1000 person-years among people with hypertension compared with a reduction of 4.2 per 1000 person-years among those without hypertension.33

● Assessment of 30-year follow-up of the Hypertension Detection and Follow-up Program identified the long-term benefit of stepped care, as well as the increased survival for hypertensive African Americans.34

● Assessment of the Charleston Heart Study and Evans County Heart Study identified the excess burden of elevated BP for African Americans and its effect on long-term health outcomes.35

● Data from the Harvard Alumni Health Study found that higher BP in early adulthood was associated several decades later with higher risk for all-cause mortality, CVD mortality, and CHD mortality but not stroke mortality.36

Risk Factors

● Numerous risk factors and markers for development of hypertension have been identified, including age, ethnicity, family history of hypertension and genetic factors, lower education and socioeconomic status, greater weight, lower PA, tobacco use, psychosocial stressors, sleep apnea, and dietary factors (including dietary fats, higher sodium intake, lower potassium intake, and excessive alcohol intake).

● A study of related individuals in the NHLBI’s FHS suggested that different sets of genes regulate BP at different ages.37

● Recent data from the Nurses’ Health Study suggest that a large proportion of incident hypertension in women can be prevented by controlling dietary and lifestyle risk factors.38

● A meta-analysis identified the benefit of a goal BP of 130/80 mm Hg for individuals with hypertension and type 2 DM but less evidence for treatment below this value.39

Aftermath

● Approximately 69% of people who have a first heart attack, 77% of those who have a first stroke, and 74% of those who have CHF have BP >140/90 mm Hg (NHLBI unpublished estimates from ARIC, CHS, and FHS Cohort and Offspring studies).

● Data from FHS/NHLBI indicate that recent (within the past 10 years) and remote antecedent BP levels may be an important determinant of risk over and above the current BP level.40

● Data from the FHS/NHLBI indicate that hypertension is associated with shorter overall life expectancy, shorter life expectancy free of CVD, and more years lived with CVD.41
Total life expectancy was 5.1 years longer for normotensive men and 4.9 years longer for normotensive women than for hypertensive people of the same sex at 50 years of age.

Compared with hypertensive men at 50 years of age, men with untreated BP <140/90 mmHg survived on average 7.2 years longer without CVD and spent 2.1 fewer years of life with CVD. Similar results were observed for women.

**Hospital Discharges/Ambulatory Care Visits**

(See Table 9-1.)

- From 2000 to 2010, the number of inpatient discharges from short-stay hospitals with HBP as the first-listed diagnosis increased from 457,000 to 488,000 (no significant difference; NCHS, NHDS). The number of all-listed discharges increased from 8,034,000 to 11,282,000 (NHBLI, unpublished data from the NHDS, 2010; diagnoses in 2010 were truncated at 7 diagnoses for comparability with earlier year).

- Data from the Nationwide Inpatient Sample from the years 2000 to 2007 found the frequency of hospitalizations for adults aged ≥18 years of age with a hypertensive emergency increased from 101 to 111 per 100,000 in 2007 (average increase of 1.11%). In contrast to the increased number of hospitalizations, the all-cause in-hospital mortality rate decreased during the same period from 2.8% to 2.6%.42

- Data from ambulatory medical care use estimates for 2010 showed that the number of visits for essential hypertension was 43,436,000. Of these, 38,916,000 were physician office visits, 940,000 were ED visits, and 3,580,000 were outpatient department visits (NAMCS and NHAMCS, NHLBI tabulation).

- In 2010, there were 280,000 hospitalizations with a first-listed diagnosis of essential hypertension (ICD-9-CM code 401), but essential hypertension was listed as either a primary or a secondary diagnosis on 11,048,000 hospitalized inpatient visits (unpublished data from the NHDS, NHLBI tabulation).

**Awareness, Treatment, and Control**

(See Table 9-2 and Charts 9-3 through 9-5.)

- Data from NHANES 2007 to 2010 showed that of those with hypertension who were ≥20 years of age, 81.5% were aware of their condition, 74.9% were under current treatment, 52.5% had their hypertension under control, and 47.5% did not have it controlled (NHBLI tabulation).

- Data from NHANES 2009 to 2010 showed that 81.9% of adults were aware of their hypertension. Furthermore, 76.4% self-reported that they were currently taking prescribed medication to control hypertension. Awareness of hypertension was lower among those aged 18 to 39 years than among aged 40 to 59 years and those aged ≥60 years of age. Non-Hispanic black adults were more aware of their hypertension than Hispanics (87.0% and 77.7%, respectively).4

- Analysis of NHANES 2007 to 2008 and 2009 to 2010 found the proportion of adults with controlled hypertension increased from 48.4% to 53.3%, respectively. Medication use to lower hypertension was lowest for those aged 18 to 39 years (46.0%) compared with those aged 40 to 59 years (77.1%) and those aged ≥60 years (80.7%). Non-Hispanic black adults were more likely to take antihypertensive medication than non-Hispanic whites or Hispanic adults (79.7%, 76.6%, and 69.6%, respectively).4

- Data from the FHS of the NHLBI show that among those ≥80 years of age, only 38% of men and 23% of women had BP that met targets set forth in the National High Blood Pressure Education Program's clinical guidelines. Control rates in men <60, 60 to 79, and ≥80 years of age were 38%, 36%, and 38%, respectively; for women in the same age groups, they were 38%, 28%, and 23%, respectively.43

- Data from the WHI observational study of nearly 100,000 postmenopausal women across the country enrolled between 1994 and 1998 indicate that although prevalence rates ranged from 27% of women 50 to 59 years of age to 41% of women 60 to 69 years of age to 53% of women 70 to 79 years of age, treatment rates were similar across age groups: 64%, 65%, and 63%, respectively. Despite similar treatment rates, hypertension control is especially poor in older women, with only 29% of hypertensive women 70 to 79 years of age having clinic BPs <140/90 mmHg compared with 41% and 37% of those 50 to 59 and 60 to 69 years of age, respectively.44

- Among a cohort of postmenopausal women taking hormone replacement, hypertension was the most common comorbidity, with a prevalence of 34%.45

- A study of >300 women in Wisconsin showed a need for significant improvement in BP and LDL levels. Of the screened participants, 35% were not at BP goal, 32.4% were not at LDL goal, and 53.5% were not at both goals.46

- In 2005, a survey of people in 20 states conducted by the BRFSS of the CDC found that 19.4% of respondents had been told on ≥2 visits to a health professional that they had HBP. Of these, 70.9% reported changing their eating habits; 79.5% reduced the use of or were not using salt; 79.2% reduced the use of or eliminated alcohol; 68.8% were exercising; and 73.4% were taking antihypertensive medication.47

- Among 1509 NHANES 2005 to 2006 participants aged ≥30 years with hypertension, 24% were categorized as low risk, 21% as intermediate risk, and 23% as high risk according to Framingham global risk. Furthermore, an additional 32% had CVD. Treatment for hypertension varied by risk category and ranged from 58% to 75%; hypertension control was 80% for those in the low-risk category and <50% for those in the high-risk category.48

- According to data from NHANES 2001 to 2006, non-Hispanic blacks had 90% higher odds of poorly controlled BP than non-Hispanic whites. Among those who were hypertensive, non-Hispanic blacks and Mexican Americans had 40% higher odds of uncontrolled BP than non-Hispanic whites.49

- According to data from NHANES 1998 to 2008 for adults with DM, prevalence of hypertension increased, whereas awareness, treatment, and control improved during these time periods; however, for adults 20 to 44 years of age, there was no evidence of improvement.50

- “Resistant hypertension” is a treatment and control issue for nearly 1 in 10 hypertensive adults. This category of HBP represents individuals with uncontrolled HBP despite...
the use of ≥3 antihypertensive medications or with BP controlled with the use of ≥4 medications.51,52

**Cost**

(See Table 9-1.)

- The estimated direct and indirect cost of HBP for 2010 is $46.4 billion (MEPS, NHLBI tabulation).
- Projections show that by 2030, the total cost of HBP could increase to an estimated $274 billion (unpublished AHA computation, based on methodology described in Heidenreich et al).53

**Prehypertension**

- Prehypertension is untreated SBP of 120 to 139 mm Hg or untreated DBP of 80 to 89 mm Hg and not having been told on 2 occasions by a physician or other health professional that one has hypertension.54
- Among disease-free participants in NHANES 1999 to 2006, the prevalence of prehypertension was 36.3%. Prevalence was higher in men than in women. Furthermore, prehypertension was correlated with an adverse cardiometabolic risk profile.55
- Follow-up of 9845 men and women in the FHS/NHLBI who attended examinations from 1978 to 1994 revealed that at 35 to 64 years of age, the 4-year incidence of hypertension was 5.3% for those with baseline BP <120/80 mm Hg, 17.6% for those with SBP of 120 to 129 mm Hg or DBP of 80 to 84 mm Hg, and 37.3% for those with SBP of 130 to 139 mm Hg or DBP of 85 to 89 mm Hg. At 65 to 94 years of age, the 4-year incidences of hypertension were 16.0%, 25.5%, and 49.5% for these BP categories, respectively.56
- Data from FHS/NHLBI also reveal that prehypertension is associated with elevated relative and absolute risks for CVD outcomes across the age spectrum. Compared with normal BP (<120/80 mm Hg), prehypertension was associated with a 1.5- to 2-fold increased risk for major CVD events in those <60, 60 to 79, and ≥80 years of age. Absolute risks for major CVD associated with prehypertension increased markedly with age: 6-year event rates for major CVD were 1.5% in prehypertensive people <60 years of age, 4.9% in those 60 to 79 years of age, and 19.8% in those ≥80 years of age.43
- In a study of NHANES 1999 to 2000 (NCHS), people with prehypertension were more likely than those with normal BP levels to have above-normal cholesterol levels (≥200 mg/dL) and to be overweight or obese, whereas the probability of current smoking was lower. People with prehypertension were 1.65 times more likely to have ≥1 of these adverse risk factors than were those with normal BP.55
- Assessment of the REGARDS data identified high risk of prehypertension to be associated with increased age and black race.56
- A meta-analysis of 12 prospective cohort studies (including 518,520 participants) found prehypertension was associated with incident stroke. The risk was particularly noted in nonelderly people and for those with BP values in the higher prehypertension range.57
- Prehypertension was found to be significantly associated with stroke.58
- Prehypertension was highest in blacks with other risk factors, including DM and elevated CRP.59
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### Table 9-1. High Blood Pressure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td>77,895,000 (33.0%)</td>
<td>63,119</td>
<td>488,000</td>
<td>$46.4 Billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>37,195,000 (33.6%)</td>
<td>28,373 (45.0%)†</td>
<td>216,000</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>40,700,000 (32.2%)</td>
<td>34,746 (55.0%)†</td>
<td>272,000</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white males</td>
<td>33.4%</td>
<td>20,819</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white females</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
<td>26,798</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black males</td>
<td>42.6%</td>
<td>6670</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black females</td>
<td>47.0%</td>
<td>6923</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American males</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American females</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>21.2%‡</td>
<td>1578§</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaska Native</td>
<td>24.8%‡</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hypertension is defined in terms of National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey blood pressure measurements and health interviews. A subject was considered hypertensive if systolic blood pressure was ≥140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure was ≥90 mm Hg, if the subject said “yes” to taking antihypertensive medication, or if the subject was told on 2 occasions that he or she had hypertension.

Ellipses (…) indicate data not available; NH, non-Hispanic.

*Mortality data for the white, black, Asian or Pacific Islander, and American Indian or Alaska Native populations include deaths among people of Hispanic and non-Hispanic origin. Numbers of deaths for the American Indian or Alaska Native and Asian or Pacific Islander populations are known to be underestimated.

†These percentages represent the portion of total high blood pressure mortality that is for males vs females.

‡National Health Interview Survey (2010), National Center for Health Statistics; data are weighted percentages for Americans ≥18 y of age. Source: Blackwell et al.22

§Includes Chinese, Filipino, Hawaiian, Japanese, and other Asian or Pacific Islander.


### Table 9-2. Hypertension Awareness, Treatment, and Control: NHANES 1999 to 2004 and 2005 to 2010, by Race/Ethnicity and Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Awareness, %</th>
<th>Treatment, %</th>
<th>Control, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NH white males</td>
<td>71.2 77.5</td>
<td>61.2 69.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white females</td>
<td>74.4 84.0</td>
<td>65.3 78.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black male</td>
<td>69.1 77.5</td>
<td>58.1 66.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black females</td>
<td>83.5 88.5</td>
<td>73.9 81.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American males</td>
<td>57.0 64.8</td>
<td>41.8 54.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American females</td>
<td>67.9 75.5</td>
<td>56.3 68.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NH indicates non-Hispanic; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.

Chart 9-1. Prevalence of high blood pressure in adults ≥20 years of age by age and sex (National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey: 2007–2010). Hypertension is defined as systolic blood pressure ≥140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mm Hg, if the subject said "yes" to taking antihypertensive medication, or if the subject was told on 2 occasions that he or she had hypertension. Source: National Center for Health Statistics and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.


10. Diabetes Mellitus  

ICD-9 250; ICD-10 E10 to E14. See Table 10-1 and Charts 10-1 through 10-4.

DM is a major risk factor for CVD and stroke.1 The AHA has identified untreated fasting blood glucose levels of <100 mg/dL for children and adults as 1 of the 7 components of ideal cardiovascular health.2 In 2009 to 2010, 88.2% of children and 57.4% of adults met these criteria.3

Prevalence

● The prevalence of DM for all age groups worldwide was estimated to be 2.8% in 2000 and is projected to be 4.4% in 2030. The total number of people with DM is projected to rise from 171 million in 2000 to 366 million in 2030.5

Youths

● Approximately 186,000 people <20 years of age have DM. Each year, ~15,000 people <20 years of age are diagnosed with type 1 DM. Healthcare providers are finding more and more children with type 2 DM, a disease usually diagnosed in adults ≥40 years of age. Children who develop type 2 DM are typically overweight or obese and have a family history of the disease. Most are American Indian, black, Asian, or Hispanic/Latino.4

● During the period from 2002 to 2005, 3600 youth (age <20 years) were diagnosed with type 2 DM annually.5

● Among adolescents 10 to 19 years of age diagnosed with DM, 57.8% of blacks were diagnosed with type 2 versus type 1 DM compared with 46.1% of Hispanic youths and 14.9% of white youths.6

● According to the Bogalusa Heart Study, a long-term follow-up study of youths aging into adulthood, youths who were prediabetic or who had DM were more likely to have a constellation of metabolic disorders in young adulthood (19–44 years of age), including obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and metabolic syndrome, all of which predispose to CHD.7

● Among youths with type 2 DM, 10.4% are overweight and 79.4% are obese.8

● According to NHANES data from 1999 to 2007, among US adolescents aged 12 to 19 years, the prevalence of prediabetes and DM increased from 9% to 23%.9

● The TODAY cohort comprised youths aged 10 to 17 years (41.1% Hispanic and 31.5% non-Hispanic black) participating in a randomized controlled study of new-onset type 2 DM; 41.5% of participants had household income <$25,000.10 The results of the clinical trial demonstrated that only half of the children maintained durable glycemic control with monotherapy,11 a higher rate of treatment failure than observed in adult cohorts.

● In the TODAY cohort, youths who had type 2 DM were sedentary >56 minutes longer per day (via accelerometry) than obese youth from NHANES.12

● Of 1514 SEARCH participants, 95% reported having undergone BP checks and 88% reported having had lipid-level checks, whereas slightly more than two thirds (68%) reported having had HbA1c testing or eye examinations (66%).13

Abbreviations Used in Chapter 10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACC</td>
<td>American College of Cardiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCORD</td>
<td>Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACS</td>
<td>acute coronary syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADVANCE</td>
<td>Action in Diabetes and Vascular Disease: Preterax and Diamicron Modified Release Controlled Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF</td>
<td>atrial fibrillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHA</td>
<td>American Heart Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHRQ</td>
<td>Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>acute myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIC</td>
<td>Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRFSS</td>
<td>Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>coronary heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHS</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Health Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED</td>
<td>emergency department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESRD</td>
<td>end-stage renal disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVEREST</td>
<td>Efficacy of Vasopressin Antagonism in Heart Failure Outcome Study With Tolvaptan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHS</td>
<td>Framingham Heart Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c</td>
<td>hemoglobin A1c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>high-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>hazard ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-9</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-10</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDDM</td>
<td>insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>low-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MESA</td>
<td>Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHS</td>
<td>National Center for Health Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>non-Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHDS</td>
<td>National Hospital Discharge Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHIS</td>
<td>National Health Interview Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHLBI</td>
<td>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSTEMI</td>
<td>non-ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>odds ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR</td>
<td>population-attributable risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td>relative risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>systolic blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEARCH</td>
<td>Search for Diabetes in Youth Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEMI</td>
<td>ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TODAY</td>
<td>Treatment Options for Type 2 Diabetes in Adolescents and Youth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA</td>
<td>unstable angina</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Adults
(See Table 10-1 and Charts 10-1 through 10-3.)

- On the basis of data from NHANES 2007 to 2010 (unpublished NHLBI tabulation), an estimated 19.7 million Americans ≥20 years of age have physician-diagnosed DM. An additional 8.2 million adults have undiagnosed DM, and 87.3 million adults have prediabetes (eg, fasting blood glucose of 100 to <126 mg/dL). The prevalence of prediabetes in the US adult population is 38%.

- The prevalence of diagnosed DM in adults ≥65 years of age was 26.9% in 2010, and an additional 50% (>20 million) had prediabetes based on fasting glucose, oral glucose tolerance testing, or HbA1c. In addition, data from NHANES 2005 to 2006 show that 46% of DM cases remain undiagnosed in this group aged ≥65 years.14

- According to the Bogalusa Heart Study, men >20 years of age have a slightly higher prevalence of DM (11.8%) than women (10.8%).5

- After adjustment for population age differences, 2007 to 2009 national survey data for people ≥20 years of age indicate that 7.1% of non-Hispanic whites, 8.4% of Asian Americans, 11.8% of Hispanics, and 12.6% of non-Hispanic blacks had diagnosed DM.5

- Compared with non-Hispanic white adults, the risk of diagnosed DM was 18% higher among Asian Americans, 66% higher among Hispanics/Latinos, and 77% higher among non-Hispanic blacks.5

- In 2004 to 2006, the prevalence of diagnosed DM was more than twice as high for Asian Indian adults (14%) as for Chinese (6%) or Japanese (5%) adults.15

- Type 2 DM accounts for 90% to 95% of all diagnosed cases of DM in adults.5

- On the basis of 2012 BRFSS (CDC) data, the prevalence of adults in the United States who reported ever having been told by a physician that they had DM ranged from 6.9% in Alaska to 13.0% in West Virginia. The mean percentage among all states was 10.1%.16

- The CDC analyzed data from 1994 to 2004 collected by the Indian Health Service that indicated that the age-adjusted prevalence of DM per 1000 population increased 101.2% among American Indian/Alaska Native adults <35 years of age (from 8.5% to 17.1%). During this time period, the prevalence of diagnosed DM was greater among females than males in all age groups.17

- On the basis of projections from NHANES studies between 1984 and 2004, the total prevalence of DM in the United States is expected to more than double from 2005 to 2050 (from 5.6% to 12.0%) in all age, sex, and race/ethnicity groups. Increases are projected to be largest for the oldest age groups (for instance, projected to increase by 220% among those 65–74 years of age and by 449% among those ≥75 years of age). DM prevalence is projected to increase by 99% among non-Hispanic whites, by 107% among non-Hispanic blacks, and by 127% among Hispanics. The age/race/ethnicity group with the largest increase is expected to be blacks ≥75 years of age (projected increase of 606%).18

- According to NHIS data from 1997 to 2008, the prevalence of DM was higher at both time points among Asian Americans (4.3%–8.2%) than among whites (3.8%–6.0%), with the Asian American group also having a greater proportional increase (1.9–versus 1.5-fold increase). This was observed despite lower BMI levels (23.6 versus 26.1 kg/m² in the earliest time period) among Asians.19

- According to international survey and epidemiologic data from 2.7 million participants, the prevalence of DM in adults increased from 8.3% in men and 7.5% in women in 1980 to 9.8% in men and 9.2% in women in 2008. The number of individuals affected with DM increased from 153 million in 1980 to 347 million in 2008.20

Incidence
Youths

- In the SEARCH study, the incidence of DM in youths overall was 24.3 per 100,000 person-years. Among children <10 years of age, most had type 1 DM, regardless of race/ethnicity. The highest rates of incident type 1 DM were observed in non-Hispanic white youths (18.6, 28.1, and 32.9 per 100,000 person-years for age groups of 0–4, 5–9, and 10–14 years, respectively). Overall, type 2 DM was relatively infrequent, with the highest rates (17.0–49.4 per 100,000 person-years) seen among 15- to 19-year-old minority groups.5

- Of 2291 individuals <20 years of age with newly diagnosed DM, slightly more than half (54.5%) had autoimmune, insulin-sensitive DM, and 15.9% had nonautoimmune, insulin-resistant DM.21

- Projecting disease burden by 2050, the number of youths with type 1 DM will conservatively increase from 166,018 to 203,382, and the number with type 2 DM will increase from 20,203 to 30,111. Less conservative modeling projects the number of type 1 DM patients at 587,488 and of those with type 2 DM at 84,131 by 2050.22

Adults
(See Table 10-1.)

- A total of 1.9 million new cases of DM (type 1 or type 2) were diagnosed in US adults ≥20 years of age in 2010.5

- Data from the FHS indicate a doubling in the incidence of DM over the past 30 years, most dramatically during the 1990s. Among adults 40 to 55 years of age in each decade of the 1970s, 1980s, and 1990s, the age-adjusted 8-year incidence rates of DM were 2.0%, 3.0%, and 3.7% among women and 2.7%, 3.6%, and 5.8% among men, respectively. Compared with the 1970s, the age- and sex-adjusted OR for DM was 1.40 in the 1980s and 2.05 in the 1990s for trend = 0.0006. Most of the increase in absolute incidence of DM occurred in individuals with a BMI ≥30 kg/m² for trend = 0.03.23

- DM incidence in adults also varies markedly by race. Over 5 years of follow-up in 45- to 84-year-olds in MESA, 8.2% of the cohort developed DM. The cumulative incidence was highest in Hispanics (11.3%), followed by black (9.5%), Chinese (7.7%), and white (6.3%) participants.24

- On the basis of meta-analyses of 4 longitudinal cohort studies comprising 175938 individuals and 1.1 million person-years of follow-up, a statistically significant adjusted association was observed between net duration of television viewing and risk for incident type 2 DM, with a 20% increased risk per each 2-hour daily increment of exposure (adjusted RR, 1.20; 95% CI, 1.14–1.27).25
According to NHANES data from 1988 to 1994 compared with 2005 to 2010, the prevalence of DM increased from 8.4% to 12.1%. This increase was most pronounced among those ≥65 years of age (increase in prevalence from 18.6% to 28.5%).

According to data from NHANES and BRFSS, up to 48.7% of individuals with self-reported DM did not meet glycemic, BP, and lipid targets, and only 14.3% met all 3 targets and did not smoke.

Gestational DM complicates 2% to 10% of pregnancies and increases the risk of developing type 2 DM by 35% to 60%.

Mortality

(See Table 10-1.) DM mortality in 2010 was 69,071. Any-mention mortality in 2010 was 234,051.

- The 2010 overall underlying-cause death rate attributable to DM was 20.8. Death rates per 100,000 people were 23.1 for white males, 43.6 for black males, 15.6 for white females, and 35.1 for black females.

- According to data from the National Diabetes Information Clearinghouse, the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, and the National Institutes of Health:
  - At least 68% of people >65 years of age with DM die of some form of HD; 16% die of stroke.
  - HD death rates among adults with DM are 2 to 4 times higher than the rates for adults without DM.

- In a collaborative meta-analysis of 820,900 individuals from 97 prospective studies, DM was associated with the following risks: all-cause mortality, HR 1.80 (95% CI, 1.71–1.90); cancer death, HR 1.25 (95% CI, 1.19–1.31); and vascular death, HR 2.32 (95% CI, 2.11–2.56). In particular, DM was associated with death attributable to the following cancers: liver, pancreas, ovary, colorectal, lung, bladder, and breast. A 50-year-old with DM died on average 6 years earlier than an individual without DM.

- FHS/NHLBI data show that having DM significantly increased the risk of developing CVD (HR 2.5 for women and 2.4 for men) and of dying when CVD was present (HR 2.2 for women and 1.7 for men). Diabetic men and women ≥50 years of age lived an average of 7.5 and 8.2 years less than their nondiabetic counterparts. The differences in life expectancy free of CVD were 7.8 and 8.4 years, respectively.

- Analysis of data from NHANES 1971 to 2000 found that men with DM experienced a 43% relative reduction in the age-adjusted mortality rate, which was similar to that of nondiabetic men. Among women with DM, however, mortality rates did not decrease, and the difference in mortality rates between diabetic and nondiabetic women doubled.

- During 1979 to 2004, DM death rates for black youths 1 to 19 years of age were approximately twice those for white youths. During 2003 to 2004, the annual average DM death rate per 1 million youths was 2.46 for black youths and 0.91 for white youths.

- Among individuals ≥65 years of age participating in the CHS, during follow-up for up to 16 years, adjusted CHD mortality risk was similar for those with prevalent CHD free of DM at study entry compared with participants with DM but free of CHD (HR, 1.04; 95% CI, 0.83–1.30).

- Analysis of data from the FHS from 1950 to 2005 found reductions in all-cause and CVD mortality among men and women with and without DM; however, all-cause and CVD mortality rates among individuals with DM remain 2-fold higher than for individuals without DM.

- According to NHIS data from 1997 to 2006, the rate of CVD death among adults with DM decreased by 40% (95% CI, 23%–54%). Similarly, all-cause mortality decreased by 23% (95% CI, 10%–35%). In contrast, over this same period among adults without DM, the CVD mortality rate decreased by 60%, and the all-cause mortality rate decreased by 44%.

Awareness

(See Chart 10-4.)

- Analysis of NHANES/NCHS data from 1988 to 1994 and from 2005 to 2006 in adults ≥20 years of age showed that 40% of those with DM did not know they had it.

Although the prevalence of diagnosed DM has increased significantly over the past decade, the prevalence of undiagnosed DM and impaired fasting glucose has remained relatively stable. Minority groups remain disproportionately affected.

- Analysis of NHANES data collected during 2007 to 2010 indicated that the prevalence of DM was 8.3% among people ≥20 years of age. Prevalence of DM was defined as people who were told by a physician or other health professional that they had DM (NHANES 2007–2010, NHLBI tabulation).

- Of the estimated 27.9 million adults with DM, 70.6% were told they had DM or were undergoing treatment, and 29.4% (8.2 million) were unaware of the diagnosis. Of 12.9 million people being treated (65.5% of the diagnosed diabetic population), 5.1 million (39.5%) had their hyperglycemia under control (ie, they were undergoing treatment and had fasting plasma glucose <126 mg/dL), and 7.8 million (60.5%) were being treated but did not have their hyperglycemia under control (fasting plasma glucose ≥126 mg/dL). An estimated 6.8 million individuals with diagnosed DM are not treated with glucose-lowering therapy (NHANES 2007–2010, NHLBI tabulation).

Aftermath

- Although the exact date of DM onset can be difficult to determine, increasing duration of DM diagnosis is associated with increasing CVD risk. Longitudinal data from FHS suggest that the risk factor–adjusted RR of CHD is 1.38 (95% CI, 0.99–1.92) times higher and the risk for CHD death is 1.86 (95% CI, 1.17–2.93) times higher for each 10-year increase in duration of DM.

- On the basis of data from the NCHS/NHIS, 1997 to 2005

  —The estimated number of people ≥35 years of age with DM with a self-reported cardiovascular condition increased 36%, from 4.2 million in 1997 to 5.7 million in 2005; however, the respective age-adjusted prevalence decreased 11.2%, from 36.6% in 1997 to 32.5%
Data from the FHS show that despite improvements in CVD prevalence.

—Age-adjusted CVD prevalence was higher among men than women, among whites than blacks, and among non-Hispanics than Hispanics. Among women, the age-adjusted prevalence decreased by 11.2%; among men, it did not decrease significantly. Among blacks, the age-adjusted prevalence of self-reported CVD decreased by 25.3%; among whites, no significant decrease occurred; among non-Hispanics, the rate decreased by 12%. No clear trends were detected among Hispanics.

—Because the total number of people with DM and self-reported CVD increased over this period but proportions with self-reported CVD declined, the data suggest that the mean age at which people are diagnosed with DM is decreasing, or the higher CVD mortality rate among older diabetic individuals is removing them from ability to self-report CVD. These and other data show a consistent increase over time in the United States of the number of people with DM and CVD.

- Data from the FHS show that despite improvements in CVD morbidity and mortality over >4 decades of observation, DM continues to be associated with incremental CVD risk. Participants 45 to 64 years of age from the FHS original and offspring cohorts who attended examinations in 1950 to 1966 (“earlier” time period) and 1977 to 1995 (“later” time period) were followed up for incident MI, CHD death, and stroke. Among participants with DM, the age- and sex-adjusted CVD incidence rate was 286.4 per 10000 person-years in the earlier period and 146.9 per 10000 person-years in the later period, a 35.4% decline. HRs for DM as a predictor of incident CVD were not significantly different in the earlier (risk factor–adjusted HR, 2.68; 95% CI, 1.88–3.82) versus later (HR, 1.96; 95% CI, 1.44–2.66) period. Therefore, although there was a 50% reduction in the rate of incident CVD events among adults with DM, the absolute risk of CVD remained 2-fold greater than among people without DM.49

—Data from these earlier and later time periods in FHS also suggest that the increasing prevalence of DM is leading to an increasing rate of CVD, resulting in part from CVD risk factors that commonly accompany DM. The age- and sex-adjusted HR for DM as a CVD risk factor was 3.0 in the earlier time period and 2.5 in the later time period. Because the prevalence of DM has increased over time, the PAR for DM as a CVD risk factor increased from 5.4% in the earlier time period to 8.7% in the later time period (attributable risk ratio, 1.62; P=0.04). Adjustment for CVD risk factors (age, sex, hypertension, current smoking, high cholesterol, and obesity) weakened this attributable risk ratio to 1.5 (P=0.12).50

—Other data from FHS show that over a 30-year period, CVD among women with DM was 54.8% among normal-weight women but 78.8% among obese women. Among normal-weight men with DM, the lifetime risk of CVD was 78.6%, whereas it was 86.9% among obese men.41

Other studies show that the increased prevalence of DM is being followed by an increasing prevalence of CVD morbidity and mortality. New York City death certificate data for 1989 to 1991 and 1999 to 2001 and hospital discharge data for 1988 to 2002 show increases in all-cause and cause-specific mortality between 1990 and 2000, as well as in annual hospitalization rates for DM and its complications among patients hospitalized with AMI and/or DM. During this decade, all-cause and cause-specific mortality rates declined, although not for patients with DM; rates increased 61% and 52% for diabetic men and women, respectively, as did hospitalization rates for DM and its complications. The percentage of all AMIs occurring in patients with DM increased from 21% to 36%, and the absolute number more than doubled, from 2951 to 6048. Although hospital days for AMI fell overall, for those with DM, they increased 51% (from 34188 to 51566). These data suggest that increases in DM rates threaten the long-established nationwide trend toward reduced coronary artery events.42

- Data from the ARIC study of the NHLBI found that the magnitude of incremental CHD risk associated with DM was smaller in blacks than in whites.43

A subgroup analysis was conducted of patients with DM enrolled in randomized clinical trials that evaluated ACS therapies. The data included 62036 patients from Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction studies (46577 with STEMI and 15459 with UA/NSTEMI). Of these, 17.1% had DM. Modeling showed that mortality at 30 days was significantly higher among patients with DM than among those without DM who presented with UA/NSTEMI (2.1% versus 1.1%; P<0.001) and STEMI (8.5% versus 5.4%; P<0.001), with adjusted risks for 30-day mortality in DM versus no DM of 1.78 for UA/NSTEMI (95% CI, 1.24–2.6) and 1.40 (95% CI, 1.24–1.57) for STEMI. DM was also associated with significantly higher mortality 1 year after UA/NSTEMI or STEMI. By 1 year after ACS, patients with DM who presented with UA/NSTEMI had a risk of death that approached that of patients without DM who presented with STEMI (7.2% versus 8.1%).44

In analyses from the National Registry of Myocardial Infarction comprising data registered on 1 734431 patients admitted with AMI to 1964 participating US hospitals, the incremental adjusted OR for hospital mortality associated with DM declined from 1.24 (95% CI, 1.16–1.32) in 1994 to 1.08 (95% CI, 0.99–1.19) in 2006, which demonstrates a closing of the acute hospital mortality gap associated with DM.45

In an analysis of provincial health claims data for adults living in Ontario, Canada, between 1992 and 2000, the rate of patients admitted for AMI and stroke decreased to a greater extent in the diabetic than the non-diabetic population (AMI, −15.1% versus −9.1%, P=0.0001; stroke, −24.2% versus −19.4%, P=0.0001). Patients with DM experienced reductions in case fatality rates related to AMI and stroke similar to those without DM (−44.1% versus −33.2%, P=0.1, and −17.1% versus −16.6%, P=0.9, respectively) and similarly comparable decreases in all-cause mortality. Over the same period, the number of DM cases increased by 165%, which translates to a marked increase in the proportion of CVD events...
In 2011, the incidence rate of ESRD attributed to DM in adults ≥20 years increased with age from 5.02 per 100,000 in those aged 20 to 29 years to 109.81 per 100,000 in those aged 70 years, compared with rates of 2.41 and 83.19, respectively, in those without DM.46

- In the same data set, the transition to a high-risk category (an event rate equivalent to a 10-year risk of 20% or an event rate equivalent to that associated with previous MI) occurred at a younger age for men and women with DM than for those without DM (mean difference, 14.6 years). For the outcome of AMI, stroke, or death resulting from any cause, men and women with DM entered the high-risk category at 47.9 and 54.3 years of age, respectively. The data suggest that DM confers a risk equivalent to aging 15 years. In North America, diverse data show lower rates of CVD among people with DM, but as the prevalence of DM has increased, so has the absolute burden of CVD, especially among middle-aged and older individuals.47

- DM increases the risk of HF and adversely affects outcomes among patients with HF.47

  - DM alone qualifies for the most recent ACC Foundation/AHA diagnostic criteria for stages A and B HF, a classification of patients without HF but at notably high risk for its development.48
  - In MESA, DM was associated with a 2-fold increased adjusted risk of incident HF among 6814 individuals free of CVD at baseline over a mean follow-up of 4 years (HR, 1.99; 95% CI, 1.08–3.68).49
  - Posthoc analysis of data from the EVEREST randomized trial of patients hospitalized with decompensated systolic HF stratified by DM status, which evaluated cardiovascular outcomes over a follow-up period of 9.9 months, demonstrated an increased adjusted HR for the composite of cardiovascular mortality and HF rehospitalization associated with DM (HR, 1.17; 95% CI, 1.04–1.31).50

- DM increases the risk of AF. On the basis of meta-analysis of published observational data comprising 11 studies and >1.6 million participants, DM was crudely associated with a 40% increased risk for AF (RR, 1.39; 95% CI, 1.10–1.75) with the association remaining significant after multivariable adjustment (adjusted RR, 1.24; 95% CI, 1.06–1.44), yielding an estimate of the population attributable fraction of AF attributable to DM of 2.5%.51

- DM increases the risk of stroke, with the RR ranging from 1.8- to 6-fold increased risk.37,52

  - DM is associated with increased ischemic stroke incidence at all ages, with the incremental risk associated with DM being most prominent before 55 years of age in blacks and before 65 years of age in whites.52
  - Ischemic stroke patients with DM are younger, more likely to be black, and more likely to have hypertension, prior MI, and high cholesterol than nondiabetic patients.52

- DM accounted for 44% of the new cases of ESRD in 2007.53

- In 2011, the incidence rate of ESRD attributed to DM in adults ≥20 years increased with age from 5.02 per 100,000 in those aged 20 to 29 years to 109.81 per 100,000 in those ≥70 years, compared with rates of 2.41 and 83.19, respectively, in those without DM.54

- According to NHANES data, the prevalence of diabetic kidney disease has increased from 2.2% in NHANES III to 3.3% in NHANES 2005 to 2008. These increases were observed in direct proportion to increases in DM.55

- HbA1c levels ≥6.5% can be used to diagnose DM.56 In the population-based ARIC study, over a 14-year follow-up period that preceded the endorsement of HbA1c as a diagnostic criterion, HbA1c levels ≥6.5% at study entry were associated with a multivariable-adjusted HR of 16.5 (95% CI, 14.2–19.1) for diagnosed DM based on contemporaneous diagnostic criteria and 1.95 (95% CI, 1.53–2.48) for CHD relative to those with HbA1c <5.0%.56

- According to data from the ARIC study and NHANES III, the sensitivity and specificity for diagnosing DM with HbA1c criteria (compared with a single fasting glucose measurement of ≥126 mg/dL) were 47% and 98%, respectively.

### Risk Factors

- DM, especially type 2 DM, is associated with clustered risk factors for CHD, with a prevalence of 75% to 85% for hypertension among adults with DM, 70% to 80% for elevated LDL, and 60% to 70% for obesity.57

- Aggressive treatment of hypertension is recommended for adults with DM to prevent cardiovascular complications. Between NHANES III (1984–1992) and NHANES 1999 to 2004, the proportion of patients with DM whose BP was treated increased from 76.5% to 87.8%, and the proportion whose BP was controlled nearly doubled (from 15.9% to 29.6%).58

- Aggressive treatment of hypercholesterolemia is recommended for adults with DM, with the cornerstone of treatment being statin therapy, which is recommended for all patients with DM ≥40 years of age independent of baseline cholesterol, with targeted LDL cholesterol <100 mg/dL and optimally <70 mg/dL.59

- CHD risk factors among patients with DM remain suboptimally treated, although improvements have been observed over the past decade. Between 1999 and 2008, in up to 2623 adult participants with DM, data from NHANES showed that improvements were observed for the achieved targets for control of HbA1c (from 37.0% to 55.2%), BP (from 35.2% to 51.0%), and LDL cholesterol (from 32.5% to 52.9%).60

- Data from the 2012 National Healthcare Disparities Report (AHRQ, US Department of Health and Human Services) found that only about 23% of adults over age 40 years with DM received all 4 interventions to reduce risk factors recommended for comprehensive DM care in 2009. The proportion receiving all 4 interventions was lower among blacks and Hispanics than whites.61

  - In multivariable models, among those aged 40 to 64 years, only about 65% had their blood pressure <140/80 mmHg, with blacks less likely than whites to achieve this blood pressure level.61

- In 1 large academic medical center, outpatients with type 2 DM were observed during an 18-month period for proportions of patients who had HbA1c levels, BP, or total cholesterol levels measured; who had been prescribed any drug therapy if HbA1c levels, SBP, or LDL cholesterol levels...
exceeded recommended treatment goals; and who had been prescribed greater-than-starting-dose therapy if these values were above treatment goals. Patients were less likely to have cholesterol levels measured (76%) than HbA1c levels (92%) or BP (99%; P<0.0001 for either comparison). The proportion of patients who received any drug therapy was greater for above-goal HbA1c (92%) than for above-goal SBP (78%) or LDL cholesterol (38%; P<0.0001 for each comparison). Similarly, patients whose HbA1c levels were above the treatment goal (80%) were more likely to receive greater-than-starting-dose therapy than those who had above-goal SBP (62%) and LDL cholesterol levels (13%; P<0.0001).62

—Data from the same academic medical center also showed that CVD risk factors among women with DM were managed less aggressively than among men with DM. Women were less likely than men to have HbA1c <7% (without CHD: adjusted OR for women versus men 0.84, P=0.005; with CHD: 0.63, P<0.0001). Women without CHD were less likely than men to be treated with lipid-lowering medication (0.82; P=0.01) or, when treated, to have LDL cholesterol levels <100 mg/dL (0.75; P=0.004) and were less likely than men to be prescribed aspirin (0.63; P<0.0001). Women with DM and CHD were less likely than men to be prescribed aspirin (0.70; P<0.0001) and, when treated for hypertension or hyperlipidemia, were less likely to have BP levels <130/80 mm Hg (0.75; P<0.0001) or LDL cholesterol levels <100 mg/dL (0.80; P=0.006).63

● Analysis of data from the CHS of the NHLBI found that lifestyle risk factors, including PA level, dietary habits, smoking habits, alcohol use, and adiposity measures, assessed late in life, were each independently associated with risk of new-onset DM. Participants whose PA level and dietary, smoking, and alcohol habits were all in the low-risk group had an 82% lower incidence of DM than all other participants. When absence of adiposity was added to the other 4 low-risk lifestyle factors, incidence of DM was 89% lower.64

● According to 2007 data from the BRFSS, only 25% of adults with DM achieved recommended levels of total PA based on the 2007 American Diabetes Association guidelines.65

Hospitalizations
(See Table 10-1.)

Youths
● Nationwide Inpatient Sample data from 1993 to 2004 were analyzed for individuals 0 to 29 years of age with a diagnosis of DM. Rates of hospitalizations increased by 38%. Hospitalization rates were higher for females (42%) than for males (29%). Inflation-adjusted total charges for DM hospitalizations increased 130%, from $1.05 billion in 1993 to $2.42 billion in 2004.66

Adults
● According to NHDS data reported by the CDC in an analysis of data from 2010, DM was a listed diagnosis in 16% of US adult hospital discharges. Of the 5.1 million discharges with DM listed, circulatory diseases was the most common first-listed diagnosis (24.1%; 1.3 million discharges) and DM the second most common (11.5%; 610000 discharges).67

Hypoglycemia
● Hypoglycemia is a common side effect of DM treatment, typically defined as a blood glucose level <50 mg/dL; severe hypoglycemia is additionally defined as patients needing assistance to treat themselves.
● In the ADVANCE trial, 2.1% of patients had an episode of severe hypoglycemia.
● Severe hypoglycemia was associated with an increased risk of major macrovascular events (HR, 2.88; 95% CI, 2.01–4.12), cardiovascular death (HR, 2.68; 95% CI, 1.72–4.19), and all-cause death (HR, 2.69; 95% CI, 1.97–3.67), including nonvascular outcomes. The lack of specificity of hypoglycemia with vascular outcomes suggests that it might be a marker for susceptibility. Risk factors for hypoglycemia included older age, DM duration, worse renal function, lower BMI, lower cognitive function, use of multiple glucose-lowering medications, and randomization to the intensive glucose control arm.66

● According to data from the 2004 to 2008 MarketScan database of type 2 DM, which consisted of 536581 individuals, the incidence rate of hypoglycemia was 153.8 per 10000 person-years and was highest in adults aged 18 to 34 years (218.8 per 10000 person-years).69

● According to data from 2956 adults >55 years of age from the ACCORD trial, poor cognitive function, defined as a 5-point poorer baseline score on the Digit Symbol Substitution Test, was associated with a 13% increased risk of severe hypoglycemia that required medical assistance.70

● In a sample of 813 adults with type 2 DM enrolled in commercial health plans, 71% reported experiencing symptoms of hypoglycemia.71

Cost
(See Table 10-1.)

● In 2012, the cost of DM was estimated at $245 billion, up from $174 billion in 2007, accounting for 1 in 5 healthcare dollars. Of these costs, $176 billion were direct medical costs and $69 billion resulted from reduced productivity. Inpatient care accounted for 43% of these costs, 18% were attributable to prescription costs to treat DM complications, and 12% were related to antidiabetes agents and supplies.72

● After adjustment for age and sex, medical costs for patients with DM were 2.3 times higher than for people without DM.3

● According to the insurance claims and MarketScan data from 7556 youths <19 years of age with insulin-treated DM, costs for youths with hypoglycemia were $12850 compared with $8970 for youths without hypoglycemia. For diabetic ketoacidosis, costs were $14236 for youths with versus $8398 for youths without diabetic ketoacidosis.73

● The cost of hypoglycemia, according to data from 536581 individuals with type 2 DM from the 2004 to 2008 MarketScan database, was $52223675, which accounted for 1.0% of inpatient costs, 2.7% of ED costs, and 0.3% of outpatient costs. This resulted in a mean cost of $17564 for an inpatient admission, $1387 for an ED visit, and $394 for an outpatient visit.69
Type 1 DM

- Type 1 DM constitutes 5% to 10% of DM in the United States.74
- The Colorado IDDM Study Registry and SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth registry demonstrated an increasing incidence of type 1 DM among Colorado youths ≤17 years of age, with an increase in the incidence of 2.3% (95% CI, 1.6%–3.1%) per year over the past 26 years.75
- Between 1996 and 2010, the number of youths with type 1 DM increased by 5.7% per year.76
- Among youths with type 1 DM, the prevalence of overweight is 22.1% and the prevalence of obesity is 12.6%.5
- A long-term study of patients with type 1 DM that began in 1966 showed that over 30 years of follow-up, overall risk of mortality associated with type 1 DM was 7 times greater than that of the general population. Females had a 13.2-fold incremental mortality risk compared with a 5.0-fold increased risk in males. During the course of study, the incremental mortality risk associated with type 1 DM declined from 9.3 to 5.6 times that of nondiabetic control subjects.77
- According to 30-year mortality data from Allegheny County, PA, those with type 1 DM have a mortality rate 5.6 times higher than the general population.78
- The leading cause of death among patients with type 1 DM is CVD, which accounted for 22% of deaths among those in the Allegheny County, PA, type 1 DM registry, followed by renal (20%) and infectious (18%) causes.79
- Long-term follow-up data from the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Study Research Group showed that intensive versus conventional treatment in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial was associated with a 42% reduced risk of CVD (P=0.02) and a 57% reduced risk of the composite end point (P=0.02; included nonfatal MI, stroke, and CVD death).80
- Observational data from the Swedish National Diabetes Register showed that most CVD risk factors were more adverse among patients with HbA1c between 8.0% and 11.9% than among those with HbA1c between 5.0% and 7.9%. Per 1% unit increase in HbA1c, the HR of fatal and nonfatal CHD was 1.30 in multivariable-adjusted models and 1.27 for fatal and nonfatal CVD. Among patients with HbA1c 8.0% to 11.9% compared with those with HbA1c 5.0% to 7.9%, the HR of fatal/nonfatal CHD was 1.71 and the risk of fatal/nonfatal CVD was 1.59.81
- Among 2787 patients from the EURODIAB Prospective Complications Study, age, waist-hip ratio, pulse pressure, non-HDL cholesterol, microalbuminuria, and peripheral and autonomic neuropathy were risk factors for all-cause, CVD, and non-CVD mortality.81
- Among 3610 older patients (>60 years of age) with type 1 DM, the risk of severe hypoglycemia was twice as high as for those <60 years of age (40.1 versus 24.3 per 100 patient-years).82
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Table 10-1. Diabetes Mellitus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td>19 700 000 (8.3%)</td>
<td>8 200 000 (3.5%)</td>
<td>87 300 000 (38.2%)</td>
<td>1 900 000</td>
<td>69 071</td>
<td>63 000</td>
<td>$245 Billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>9 600 000 (8.7%)</td>
<td>5 300 000 (4.7%)</td>
<td>50 700 000 (46.0%)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>35 490 (51.4%)§</td>
<td>31 100</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>10 100 000 (7.9%)</td>
<td>2 900 000 (2.3%)</td>
<td>33 600 000 (30.5%)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>33 581 (48.6%)§</td>
<td>31 900</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white males, %</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>28 486</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white females, %</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>25 764</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black males, %</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>56 40</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black females, %</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>64 86</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American males, %</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American females, %</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1 838</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaska Native</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Undiagnosed DM is defined as those whose fasting glucose is ≥126 mg/dL but who did not report being told by a healthcare provider that they had DM. Prediabetes is a fasting blood glucose of 100 to <126 mg/dL (impaired fasting glucose); prediabetes includes impaired glucose tolerance. DM indicates diabetes mellitus; and NH, non-Hispanic. Ellipses (…) indicate data not available.

*Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Diabetes Fact Sheet, 2011.°
†Mortality data for the white, black, Asian or Pacific Islander, and American Indian or Alaska Native populations include deaths among people of Hispanic and NH origin. Numbers of deaths for the American Indian or Alaska Native and Asian or Pacific Islander populations are known to be underestimated.
‡Yang et al.72
§These percentages represent the portion of total DM mortality that is for males vs females.

Sources: Prevalence: Prevalence of diagnosed and undiagnosed DM: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2007 to 2010, National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Percentages for racial/ethnic groups are age adjusted for Americans ≥20 y of age. Age-specific percentages are extrapolations to the 2010 US population estimates. Mortality: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/NCHS, 2010 Mortality Multiple Cause-of-Death—United States, version May 28, 2013. These data represent underlying cause of death only. Hospital discharges: National Hospital Discharge Survey, NCHS; data include those inpatients discharged alive, dead, or status unknown.


11. Metabolic Syndrome

- Metabolic syndrome is a multicomponent risk factor for CVD and type 2 DM that reflects the clustering of individual cardiometabolic risk factors related to abdominal obesity and insulin resistance. Although several different clinical definitions for metabolic syndrome have been proposed, the International Diabetes Federation, NHLBI, AHA, and others recently proposed a harmonized definition for metabolic syndrome.\(^1\) By this definition, metabolic syndrome is diagnosed when any 3 of the following 5 risk factors are present (most but not all people with DM will be classified as having metabolic syndrome by this definition because they will have ≥2 other factors besides the glucose criterion; many will prefer to separate those with DM into a separate group for risk stratification or treatment purposes):

**Abbreviations Used in Chapter 11**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AF</td>
<td>atrial fibrillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHA</td>
<td>American Heart Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIC</td>
<td>Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAC</td>
<td>coronary artery calcification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>coronary artery disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>coronary heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COURAGE</td>
<td>Clinical Outcomes Utilizing Revascularization and Aggressive Drug Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>C-reactive protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>computed tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>electrocardiogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS</td>
<td>Framingham Risk Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>high-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>human immunodeficiency virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>hazard ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMT</td>
<td>intima-media thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>low-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MESA</td>
<td>Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHS</td>
<td>National Center for Health Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHLBI</td>
<td>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>odds ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR</td>
<td>population attributable risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI</td>
<td>percutaneous coronary intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td>relative risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

—Fasting plasma glucose ≥100 mg/dL or undergoing drug treatment for elevated glucose
—HDL cholesterol <40 mg/dL in men or <50 mg/dL in women or undergoing drug treatment for reduced HDL cholesterol
—Triglycerides ≥150 mg/dL or undergoing drug treatment for elevated triglycerides
—Waist circumference >102 cm in men or >88 cm in women for people of most ancestries living in the United States. Ethnicity and country-specific thresholds can be used for diagnosis in other groups, particularly Asians and individuals of non-European ancestry who have predominantly resided outside the United States.
—BP ≥130 mmHg systolic or ≥85 mmHg diastolic or undergoing drug treatment for hypertension or antihypertensive drug treatment in a patient with a history of hypertension.

- Those with a fasting glucose level ≥126 mg/dL or a casual glucose value ≥200 mg/dL or taking hypoglycemic medication can normally be classified separately as having DM; many of these people will also have metabolic syndrome from the presence of additional risk factors noted above.

- The new harmonized metabolic syndrome definition identifies a similar risk group and predicts CVD risk similarly to the prior metabolic syndrome definitions.\(^2\)

- There are many adverse health conditions that are related to metabolic syndrome but are not part of its clinical definition. These include nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, sexual dysfunction (erectile dysfunction in men and polycystic ovarian syndrome in women), and obstructive sleep apnea, as well as a general proinflammatory and prothrombotic state.\(^3\)

- Identification and treatment of metabolic syndrome fits closely with the current AHA 2020 Impact Goals, including emphasis on PA, healthy diet, and healthy weight for attainment of ideal BP, serum cholesterol, and fasting blood glucose. Metabolic syndrome should be considered largely a disease of unhealthy lifestyle. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome is a secondary metric in the 2020 Impact Goals. Identification of metabolic syndrome represents a call to action for the healthcare provider and patient to address the underlying lifestyle-related risk factors. A multidisciplinary team of healthcare professionals is desirable to adequately address these multiple issues in patients with metabolic syndrome.\(^4\)

**Prevalence**

**Adults**

The following estimates include many of those who have DM, in addition to those with metabolic syndrome without DM:

- Prevalence of metabolic syndrome varies by the definition used, with definitions such as that from the International Diabetes Federation and the harmonized definition suggesting lower thresholds for defining central obesity in European whites, Asians (in particular, South Asians), Middle Easterners, Sub-Saharan Africans, and Hispanics, which results in higher prevalence estimates.\(^5\)
On the basis of NHANES 2003 to 2006 data and National Cholesterol Education Program/Adult Treatment Panel III guidelines, ≈34% of adults ≥20 years of age met the criteria for metabolic syndrome.6

Also based on NHANES 2003 to 2006 data6

—The age-adjusted prevalence was 35.1% for men and 32.6% for women.

—Among men, the age-specific prevalence ranged from 20.3% among people 20 to 39 years of age to 40.8% for people 40 to 59 years of age and 51.5% for people ≥60 years of age. Among women, the age-specific prevalence ranged from 15.6% among people 20 to 39 years of age to 37.2% for people 40 to 59 years of age and 54.4% for those ≥60 years of age.

—The age-adjusted prevalences of people with metabolic syndrome were 37.2%, 25.3%, and 33.2% for non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, and Mexican American men, respectively. Among women, the percentages were 31.5%, 38.8%, and 40.6%, respectively.

—The age-adjusted prevalence was ≈53% higher among non-Hispanic black men than among non-Hispanic black women and ≈22% higher among Mexican American women than among Mexican American men.

The prevalence of metabolic syndrome is also high among immigrant Asian Indians, ranging between 26.8% and 38.2% depending on the definition used.7

Among American Indian and Alaska Native people living in the southwestern United States, the prevalence of metabolic syndrome was reported to be 43.2% in men and 47.3% in women; among Alaska Native people, prevalences were 26.5% and 31.2%, respectively.8

The prevalence of metabolic syndrome among pregnant women increased to 26.5% during 1999 to 2004 from 17.8% during 1988 to 1994.9

The prevalence of metabolic syndrome has been noted to be high among select special populations, including those taking atypical antipsychotic drugs,10 those receiving prior organ transplants,11 HIV-infected individuals,12 and individuals in select professions, including law enforcement13 and firefighters.14

There is a bidirectional relationship between metabolic syndrome and depression. In prospective studies, the presence of depression increases the risk of metabolic syndrome (OR, 1.49; 95% CI, 1.19–1.87), whereas metabolic syndrome increases the risk of depression (OR, 1.52; 95% CI, 1.20–1.91).15

Metabolic syndrome is becoming hyperendemic around the world. Recent evidence has described the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Canada,16 Latin America,17 India,18 and China,19 as well as many other countries.

In the INTER-HEART case-control study of MI in 26,903 subjects from 52 countries, metabolic syndrome was present in 29.1% of case subjects and just 16.8% of control subjects. The age- and obesity-adjusted prevalence of metabolic syndrome was highest in cases among women (32.1%), South Asians (29.8%), and other Asians (28.7%).20

Despite its prevalence, the public’s recognition of metabolic syndrome is limited.21 A diagnosis of metabolic syndrome may increase risk perception and motivation toward a healthier behavior.22

Children/Adolescents

According to the 2009 AHA scientific statement about metabolic syndrome in children and adolescents, metabolic syndrome should be diagnosed with caution in this age group because metabolic syndrome categorization in adolescents is not stable.23 Approximately half of the 1098 adolescent participants in the Princeton School District Study diagnosed with pediatric Adult Treatment Panel III metabolic syndrome lost the diagnosis over 3 years of follow-up.24

Additional evidence of the instability of the diagnosis of metabolic syndrome in children exists. In children 6 to 17 years of age participating in research studies in a single clinical research hospital, the diagnosis of metabolic syndrome was unstable in 46% of cases after a mean of 5.6 years of follow-up.25

On the basis of NHANES 1999 to 2002 data, the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in adolescents 12 to 19 years of age was 9.4%, which represents ≈2.9 million people. It was 13.2% in boys, 5.3% in girls, 10.7% in whites, 5.2% in blacks, and 11.1% in Mexican Americans.26

In 1999 to 2004, ≈4.5% of US adolescents 12 to 17 years of age had metabolic syndrome according to the definition developed by the International Diabetes Federation.27 In 2006, this prevalence would have represented ≈1.1 million adolescents 12 to 17 years of age with metabolic syndrome. It increased from 1.2% among those 12 to 13 years of age to 7.1% among those 14 to 15 years of age and was higher among boys (6.7%) than girls (2.1%). Furthermore, 4.5% of white adolescents, 3.0% of black adolescents, and 7.1% of Mexican American adolescents had metabolic syndrome. The prevalence of metabolic syndrome remained relatively stable during successive 2-year periods: 4.5% for 1999 to 2000, 4.4% to 4.5% for 2001 to 2002, and 3.7% to 3.9% for 2003 to 2004.

Recent NHANES data among those aged 10 to 18 years in 2007 to 2008 showed an overall prevalence of metabolic syndrome of 3.9% in boys and 3.6% in girls, with the highest prevalence among Mexican Americans (7.6%) compared with African-Americans (2.1%) and whites (3.1%).28

In 1999 to 2002, among overweight or obese adolescents, 44% had metabolic syndrome.29 In 1988 to 1994, two thirds of all adolescents had ≥1 metabolic abnormality.29

Of 31 participants in the NHLBI Lipid Research Clinics Princeton Prevalence Study and the Princeton Follow-up Study who had metabolic syndrome at baseline, 21 (68%) had metabolic syndrome 25 years later.30 After adjustment for age, sex, and race, the baseline status of metabolic syndrome was significantly associated with an increased risk of having metabolic syndrome during adulthood (OR, 6.2; 95% CI, 2.8–13.8).

In the Bogalusa Heart Study, 4 variables (BMI, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance, ratio of triglycerides to HDL cholesterol, and mean arterial pressure) considered to be part of metabolic syndrome clustered together in blacks and whites and in children and adults.31 The degree of clustering was stronger among adults than among children. The clustering of rates of change in the components of metabolic syndrome in blacks exceeded that in whites. Cardiovascular abnormalities are associated with metabolic syndrome in children and adolescents.32,33
Risk

Adults

- Consistent with 2 earlier meta-analyses, a recent meta-analysis of prospective studies concluded that metabolic syndrome increased the risk of developing CVD (summary RR, 1.78; 95% CI, 1.58–2.00). The risk of CVD tended to be higher in women (summary RR, 2.63) than in men (summary RR, 1.98; P=0.09). On the basis of results from 3 studies, metabolic syndrome remained a predictor of cardiovascular events after adjustment for the individual components of the syndrome (summary RR, 1.54; 95% CI, 1.32–1.79). A more recent meta-analysis among 87 studies comprising 951,083 subjects showed an even higher risk of CVD associated with metabolic syndrome (summary RR, 2.35; 95% CI, 2.02–2.73), with significant increased risks (RRs ranging from 1.6 to 2.9) for all-cause mortality, CVD mortality, MI, and stroke, as well as for those with metabolic syndrome without DM.

- In one of the earlier studies among US adults, mortality follow-up of the second NHANES showed a stepwise increase in risk of CHD, CVD, and total mortality across the spectrum of no disease, metabolic syndrome (without DM), DM, prior CVD, and those with CVD and DM, with an HR for CHD mortality of 2.02 (95% CI, 1.42–2.89) associated with metabolic syndrome. Increased risk was seen with increased numbers of metabolic syndrome risk factors.

- Several studies suggest that the FRS is a better predictor of incident CVD than metabolic syndrome. In the San Antonio Heart Study, the area under the receiver-operating characteristic curve was 0.816 for the FRS and 0.811 for the FRS plus metabolic syndrome. Furthermore, the sensitivity for CVD at a fixed specificity was significantly higher for the FRS than for metabolic syndrome. In ARIC, inclusion of metabolic syndrome did not improve the risk prediction achieved by the FRS. In the British Regional Heart Study, the area under the receiver-operating characteristic curve for the FRS was 0.73 for incident CHD during 10 years of follow-up, and the area under the receiver-operating characteristic curve for the number of metabolic syndrome components was 0.63.

- Estimates of RR for CVD generally increase as the number of components of metabolic syndrome increases. Compared with men without an abnormal component in the Framingham Offspring Study, the HRs for CVD were 1.48 (95% CI, 0.69–3.16) for men with 1 or 2 components and 3.99 (95% CI, 1.89–8.41) for men with ≥3 components. Among women, the HRs were 3.39 (95% CI, 1.31–8.81) for 1 or 2 components and 5.95 (95% CI, 2.20–16.11) for ≥3 components. Compared with men without a metabolic abnormality in the British Regional Heart Study, the HRs were 1.74 (95% CI, 1.22–2.39) for 1 component, 2.34 (95% CI, 1.65–3.32) for 2 components, 2.88 (95% CI, 2.02–4.11) for 3 components, and 3.44 (95% CI, 2.35–5.03) for 4 or 5 components.

- The cardiovascular risk associated with metabolic syndrome varies on the basis of the combination of metabolic syndrome components present. Of all possible ways to have 3 metabolic syndrome components, the combination of central obesity, elevated BP, and hyperglycemia conferred the greatest risk for CVD (HR, 2.36; 95% CI, 1.54–3.61) and mortality (HR, 3.09; 95% CI, 1.93–4.94) in the Framingham Offspring Study.

- Data from the Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study indicate that risk for CVD mortality is increased in men without DM who have metabolic syndrome (HR, 1.8; 95% CI, 1.5–2.0); however, among those with metabolic syndrome, the presence of DM is associated with even greater risk for CVD mortality (HR, 2.1; 95% CI, 1.7–2.6). Analysis of data from NCHS was used to determine the number of disease-specific deaths attributable to all nonoptimal levels of each risk factor exposure by age and sex. The results of the analysis of dietary, lifestyle, and metabolic risk factors show that targeting a handful of risk factors has large potential to reduce mortality in the United States.

- Among stable CAD patients in the COURAGE trial, the presence of metabolic syndrome was associated with an increased risk of death or MI (unadjusted HR, 1.41; 95% CI, 1.15–1.73; P=0.001); however, after adjustment for its individual components, metabolic syndrome was no longer significantly associated with outcome (HR, 1.15; 95% CI, 0.79–1.68; P=0.46). Early PCI in addition to medical therapy did not significantly reduce the risk of death or MI regardless of metabolic syndrome or DM status.

- In the INTER-HEART case-control study of 26,903 subjects from 52 countries, metabolic syndrome was associated with an increased risk of MI, both according to the WHO (OR, 2.69; 95% CI, 2.45–2.95) and the International Diabetes Federation (OR, 2.20; 95% CI, 2.03–2.38) definitions, with a PAR of 14.5% (95% CI, 12.7%–16.3%) and 16.8% (95% CI, 14.8%–18.8%), respectively, and associations that were similar across all regions and ethnic groups. In addition, the presence of ≥3 risk factors with subthreshold values was associated with increased risk of MI (OR, 1.50; 95% CI, 1.24–1.81) compared with having “normal” values. Similar results were observed when the International Diabetes Federation definition was used.

- In the Three-City Study, among 7612 participants aged ≥65 years who were followed up for 5.2 years, metabolic syndrome was associated with increased total CHD (HR, 1.78; 95% CI, 1.39–2.28) and fatal CHD (HR, 2.40; 95% CI, 1.41–4.09); however, metabolic syndrome was not associated with CHD beyond its individual risk components.

- In MESA, among 6603 people aged 45 to 84 years (1686 [25%] with metabolic syndrome without DM and 881 [13%] with DM), subclinical atherosclerosis assessed by CAC was more severe in people with metabolic syndrome and DM than in those without these conditions, and the extent of CAC was a strong predictor of CHD and CVD events in these groups. Furthermore, the progression of CAC was greater in people with metabolic syndrome and DM than in those without, and progression of CAC predicted future CVD event risk both in those with metabolic syndrome and in those with DM.

- In addition to CVD, metabolic syndrome has been associated with incident AF and HF.
So-called metabolically benign obesity without metabolic syndrome is associated with similar all-cause mortality to lean individuals.51

Metabolic syndrome is associated with increased healthcare use and healthcare-related costs among individuals with and without DM. Overall, healthcare costs increase by ≈24% for each additional metabolic syndrome component present.52

Children

Few prospective pediatric studies have examined the future risk for CVD or DM according to baseline metabolic syndrome status. Data from 771 participants 6 to 19 years of age from the NHLBI’s Lipid Research Clinics Princeton Prevalence Study and the Princeton Follow-up Study showed that the risk of developing CVD was substantially higher among those with metabolic syndrome than among those without this syndrome (OR, 14.6; 95% CI, 4.8–45.3) who were followed up for 25 years.50

Another analysis of 814 participants in this cohort showed that those 5 to 19 years of age who had metabolic syndrome at baseline had an increased risk of having DM 25 to 30 years later compared with those who did not have the syndrome at baseline (OR, 11.5; 95% CI, 2.1–63.7).53

Additional data from the Princeton Follow-up Study, the Fels Longitudinal Study, and the Muscatine Study suggest that the absence of components of metabolic syndrome in childhood has a high negative predictive value for the development of metabolic syndrome or DM in adulthood.54

In a study of 6328 subjects from 4 prospective studies, compared with people with normal BMI as children and as adults, those with consistently high adiposity from childhood to adulthood had an increased risk of the following metabolic syndrome components: hypertension (RR, 2.7; 95% CI, 2.2–3.3), low HDL (RR, 2.1; 95% CI, 1.8–2.5), elevated triglycerides (RR, 3.0; 95% CI, 2.4–3.8), type 2 DM (RR, 5.4; 95% CI, 3.4–8.5), and increased carotid IMT (RR, 1.7; 95% CI, 1.4–2.2). Those who were overweight or obese during childhood but were not obese as adults had an increased risk compared with those with consistently normal BMI.55

In 1757 youths from the Bogalusa Heart Study and the Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study, those with metabolic syndrome in youth and adulthood were at 3.4 times increased risk of high carotid IMT and 12.2 times increased risk of type 2 DM in adulthood as those without metabolic syndrome at either time. Adults whose metabolic syndrome had resolved after their youth were at no increased risk of having high IMT or type 2 DM.56

Risk Factors

Risk of metabolic syndrome probably begins before birth. The Prediction of Metabolic Syndrome in Adolescence Study showed that the coexistence of low birth weight, small head circumference, and parental history of overweight or obesity places children at the highest risk for metabolic syndrome in adolescence. Other risk factors identified included parental history of DM, gestational hypertension in the mother, and lack of breastfeeding.57

In prospective or retrospective cohort studies, the following factors have been reported as being directly associated with incident metabolic syndrome, defined by one of the major definitions: age,55,84–86,91 low educational attainment,54,55 low socioeconomic status,50 smoking,59–62 parental smoking,63 low levels of PA,59,61–64,66 low levels of physical fitness,64,67–70 intake of soft drinks,71 intake of diet soda,72 magnesium intake,73 energy intake,66 carbohydrate intake,66,68,69 total fat intake,73–75 Western dietary pattern,72 meat intake,72 intake of fried foods,72 skipping breakfast,70 heavy alcohol consumption,72 abstention from alcohol use,58 parental history of DM,53 long-term stress at work,79 pediatric metabolic syndrome,53 obesity or BMI,37,38,42,46,56 childhood obesity,77 waist circumference,74,76–82 intra-abdominal fat,83 gain in weight or BMI,37,83 change in weight or BMI,61,78,84 weight fluctuation,85 BP,74,78,81,86 heart rate,57 homeostasis model assessment,79,88 fasting insulin,79,92 2-hour insulin,79 proinsulin,79 fasting glucose or hyperglycemia,39,58,60 2-hour glucose,79 impaired glucose tolerance,79 triglycerides,74,78,81,89 low HDL cholesterol,73,77–79,83 oxidized LDL,84 uric acid,84–90 γ-glutamyltransferase,84,91,92 alanine transaminase,84,91,93,94 plasminogen activator inhibitor-1,95 aldosterone,95 leptin,96 CRP,79,81 adiponectin–fatty acid binding protein,99 free testosterone index,100 active periodontitis,101 and urinary bisphosphonate levels.102

The following factors have been reported as being inversely associated with incident metabolic syndrome, defined by one of the major definitions, in prospective or retrospective cohort studies: muscular strength,103 change in PA or physical fitness,51,67 aerobic training,104 alcohol intake,46 Mediterranean diet,105 dairy consumption,72 vitamin D intake,106 intake of tree nuts,109 insulin sensitivity,79 ratio of aspartate aminotransferase to alanine transaminase,93 total testosterone,79,82,83 serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D,108 sex hormone-binding globulin,79,82,83 and Δ5-desaturase activity.109

In the Data From the Epidemiological Study on the Insulin Resistance Syndrome cohort, metabolic syndrome was associated with an unfavorable hemodynamic profile, including increased brachial central pulse pressure and increase pulse pressure amplification, compared with similar individuals with isolated hypertension but without metabolic syndrome.110 In MESA, metabolic syndrome was associated with major and minor ECG abnormalities, although this varied by sex.111

Individuals with metabolic syndrome have a higher degree of endothelial dysfunction than individuals with a similar burden of traditional cardiovascular risk factors.112 Metabolic syndrome is associated with increased thrombosis, including increased resistance to aspirin.113

In modern imaging studies using echocardiography, magnetic resonance imaging, cardiac CT, and positron emission tomography, metabolic syndrome has been shown to be closely related to increased epicardial adipose tissues,114 increased visceral fat in other locations,115 high-risk coronary plaque features including increased necrotic core,116 impaired coronary flow reserve,117 and left ventricular diastolic dysfunction.118

Men are more likely than women to develop metabolic syndrome,58,78 and blacks have been shown to be less likely to develop metabolic syndrome than whites.58
In >6 years of follow-up in the ARIC Study, 1970 individu- als (25%) developed metabolic syndrome, and compared with the normal-weight group (BMI <25 kg/m²), the ORs of developing metabolic syndrome were 2.81 (95% CI, 2.50–3.17) and 5.24 (95% CI, 4.50–6.12) for the overweight (BMI 25–30 kg/m²) and obese (BMI ≥30 kg/m²) groups, respectively. Compared with the lowest quartile of leisure-time PA, the ORs of developing metabolic syn-
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12. Chronic Kidney Disease

ICD-10 N18.0. See Tables 12-1 through 12-3.

End-stage Renal Disease

Prevalence, Incidence, and Risk

ESRD is a condition that is most commonly associated with DM or HBP, occurs when the kidneys are functioning at a very low level, and is currently defined as the receipt of chronic renal replacement treatment such as hemodialysis, peritoneal dialysis, or kidney transplantation. The ESRD population is increasing in size and cost as those with CKD transition to ESRD and as a result of changing practice patterns in the United States.

- Data from the 2010 annual report of the United States Renal Data System showed that in 2008, the prevalence of ESRD was 547,982, with 70% of these prevalent cases being treated with hemodialysis.1
- In 2008, 112,476 new cases of ESRD were reported.1
- In 2008, 17,413 kidney transplants were performed.1
- Data from a large cohort of insured patients showed that in addition to established risk factors for ESRD, lower hemoglobin levels, higher serum uric acid levels, self-reported history of nocturia, and family history of kidney disease are independent risk factors for ESRD.2

Results from a large community-based population showed that higher BMI also independently increased the risk of ESRD. The higher risk of ESRD with overweight and obesity was consistent across age, sex, and race and in the presence or absence of DM, hypertension, or known baseline kidney disease.6

Age, Sex, Race, and Ethnicity

- The median age of the population with ESRD in 2008 varied across different racial/ethnic groups: 57.4 years for blacks, 58.0 years for Native Americans, 59.3 years for Asians, and 60.6 years for whites.1
- Treatment of ESRD is more common in men than in women.1
- Blacks, Hispanics, Asian Americans, and Native Americans have significantly higher rates of ESRD than do whites/Europeans. Blacks represent nearly 32% of treated patients with ESRD.1

Chronic Kidney Disease

Prevalence

- CKD, defined as reduced GFR, excess urinary protein excretion, or both, is a serious health condition and a worldwide public health problem. The incidence and prevalence of CKD are increasing in the United States and are associated with poor outcomes and a high cost to the US healthcare system. Controversy exists about whether CKD itself independently causes incident CVD, but it is clear that people with CKD, as well as those with ESRD, represent a population at very high risk for CVD events. In fact, individuals with CKD are more likely to die of CVD than to transition to ESRD. The United States Renal Data System estimates that by 2020, >700,000 Americans will have ESRD, with >500,000 requiring dialysis and >250,000 receiving a transplant.
- The National Kidney Foundation Kidney Disease Outcome Quality Initiative developed guidelines in 2002 that provided a standardized definition for CKD. Prevalence estimates may differ depending on assumptions used in obtaining estimates, including which equation is used to estimate GFR and methods for measuring proteinuria.7
- The most recent US prevalence estimates of CKD come from NHANES 1988 to 1994 and 1999 to 2004 (NCHS) in adults ≥20 years of age.8

- Data from a large insured population revealed that among adults with a GFR >60 mL·min⁻¹·1.73 m⁻² and no evidence of proteinuria or hematuria at baseline, risks for ESRD increased dramatically with higher baseline BP level, and in this same patient population, BP-associated risks were greater in men than in women and in blacks than in whites.3
- Compared with white patients with similar levels of kidney function, black patients are much more likely to progress to ESRD and are on average 10 years younger when they reach ESRD.4,5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviations Used in Chapter 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESRD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JNC V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

—The prevalence of CKD in 1999 to 2004 (stages 1 to 5) was 13.1%. This represents an increase from the 10.0% prevalence estimate from NHANES 1988 to 1994 (NCHS).
The prevalence of stage 1 CKD (eGFR ≥90 mL·min\(^{-1}\)·1.73 m\(^{-2}\)) with kidney damage, ie, presence of albuminuria) is 1.8%.

The prevalence of stage 2 CKD (eGFR 60–89 mL·min\(^{-1}\)·1.73 m\(^{-2}\) with kidney damage) is 3.2%.

The prevalence of stage 3 CKD (eGFR 30–59 mL·min\(^{-1}\)·1.73 m\(^{-2}\)) is 7.7%.

The prevalences of stages 4 and 5 CKD (eGFR <29 mL·min\(^{-1}\)·1.73 m\(^{-2}\)) is 0.4%.

More than 26 million people (13%) in the United States have CKD, and most are undiagnosed. Another 20 million are at increased risk for CKD.

Demographics

According to current definitions, the prevalence of CKD was higher with older age, as follows:

- 6.0% for those 20 to 39 years of age
- 11.6% for those 40 to 59 years of age
- 38.8% for those ≥60 years of age

CKD prevalence was greater among those with DM (43.8%) and hypertension (29.4%) than among those without these chronic conditions.

The prevalence of CKD was slightly higher among Mexican Americans (18.7%) and non-Hispanic blacks (19.9%) than among non-Hispanic whites (16.1%). This disparity was most evident for those with stage 1 CKD; non-Hispanic whites had a CKD prevalence of 4.2% compared with prevalences among Mexican Americans and non-Hispanic blacks of 10.2% and 9.4%, respectively.

Risk Factors

Many traditional CVD risk factors are also risk factors for CKD, including older age, male sex, hypertension, DM, smoking, and family history of CVD.

Recent evidence suggests that BMI is associated with worsening CKD.

In a cohort of 652 African American individuals with hypertensive nephrosclerosis, BMI was independently associated with urine total protein and albumin excretion.

In addition, both the degree of CKD (ie, eGFR) and urine albumin are strongly associated with the progression from CKD to ESRD. Furthermore, urine albumin level is associated with progression to CKD across all levels of reduced eGFR.

Other risk factors include systemic conditions such as autoimmune diseases, systemic infections, and drug exposure, as well as anatomically local conditions such as urinary tract infections, urinary stones, lower urinary tract obstruction, and neoplasia. Even after adjustment for these risk factors, excess CVD risk remains.

ESRD/CKD and CVD

(Cost: ESRD)

The total annual cost associated with ESRD in the United States was $26.8 billion in 2008, which represents nearly 6% of the total Medicare budget.

The total annual cost associated with CKD has not been determined accurately to date.

Cystatin C: Kidney Function and CVD

Serum cystatin C, another marker of kidney function, has been proposed to be a more sensitive indicator of kidney function than serum creatinine and creatinine-based estimating.
formulas at higher levels of GFR. It is a low-molecular-weight protein produced at a constant rate by all nucleated cells and appears not to be affected significantly across age, sex, and levels of muscle mass. Cystatin C is excreted by the kidneys, filtered through the glomerulus, and nearly completely reabsorbed by proximal tubular cells. Several equations have been proposed using cystatin C alone and in combination with serum creatinine to estimate kidney function.

All-Cause Mortality
Elevated levels of cystatin C have been shown to be associated with increased risk for all-cause mortality in studies from a broad range of cohorts. In a recent analysis of 26643 US adults, the addition of cystatin C to the combination of creatinine and albumin-to-creatinine ratio resulted in a significant improvement in the prediction of both all-cause mortality and the development of ESRD.

Cardiovascular Disease
Data from a large national cohort found higher values of cystatin C to be associated with prevalent stroke, angina, and MI, as well as higher BMI. Elevated cystatin C was an independent risk factor for HF, PAD events, clinical atherosclerosis, and subclinical measures of CVD in older adults, as well as for cardiovascular events among those with CHD.

In several diverse cohorts, elevated cystatin C has been found to be associated with CVD-related mortality, including sudden cardiac death.

In a recent clinical trial of 9270 patients with CKD, the effect of lipid-lowering therapy with simvastatin plus ezetimibe was associated with a lower risk for major atherosclerotic events compared with placebo.
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### Table 12-1. BP and the Adjusted Risk of ESRD Among 316,675 Adults Without Evidence of Baseline Kidney Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JNC V BP Category</th>
<th>Adjusted RR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Optimal</td>
<td>1.00 (Reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal, not optimal</td>
<td>1.62 (1.27–2.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High normal</td>
<td>1.98 (1.55–2.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 1</td>
<td>2.59 (2.07–3.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2</td>
<td>3.86 (3.00–4.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 3</td>
<td>3.88 (2.82–5.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 4</td>
<td>4.25 (2.63–6.86)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BP indicates blood pressure; CI, confidence interval; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; JNC V, fifth report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure; and RR, relative risk.

### Table 12-2. Multivariable Association Between BMI and Risk of ESRD Among 320,252 Adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI, kg/m²</th>
<th>Adjusted RR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18.5–24.9 (Normal weight)</td>
<td>1.00 (Reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.0–29.9 (Overweight)</td>
<td>1.87 (1.64–2.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0–34.9 (Class I obesity)</td>
<td>3.57 (3.05–4.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.0–39.9 (Class II obesity)</td>
<td>6.12 (4.97–7.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥40.0 (Extreme obesity)</td>
<td>7.07 (5.37–9.31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI indicates body mass index; CI, confidence interval; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; and RR, relative risk.

### Table 12-3. Adjusted HR for Death of Any Cause, Cardiovascular Events, and Hospitalization Among 1,120,295 Ambulatory Adults, According to eGFR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>eGFR, mL·min⁻¹·1.73 m⁻²</th>
<th>Death of Any Cause</th>
<th>Cardiovascular Event</th>
<th>Any Hospitalization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≥60†</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–59</td>
<td>1.2 (1.1–1.2)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.4–1.5)</td>
<td>1.1 (1.1–1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–44</td>
<td>1.8 (1.7–1.9)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.9–2.1)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.5–1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–29</td>
<td>3.2 (3.1–3.4)</td>
<td>2.8 (2.6–2.9)</td>
<td>2.1 (2.0–2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>5.9 (5.4–6.5)</td>
<td>3.4 (3.1–3.8)</td>
<td>3.1 (3.0–3.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI indicates confidence interval; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; and HR, hazard ratio.

*The analyses were adjusted for age, sex, income, education, use or nonuse of dialysis, and presence or absence of prior coronary heart disease, prior chronic heart failure, prior ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack, prior peripheral arterial disease, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia, a serum albumin level of ≤3.5 g/dL, dementia, cirrhosis or chronic liver disease, chronic lung disease, documented proteinuria, and prior hospitalizations.

†This group served as the reference group.
13. Total Cardiovascular Diseases

ICD-9 390 to 459, 745 to 747, ICD-10 100 to 199, Q20 to Q28; see Glossary (Chapter 26) for details and definitions.

See Tables 13-1 through 13-4 and Charts 13-1 through 13-21.

Prevalence
(See Table 13-1 and Chart 13-1.)

An estimated 83.6 million American adults (>1 in 3) have ≥1 types of CVD. Of these, 42.2 million are estimated to be ≥60 years of age. Total CVD includes diseases listed in the bullet points below, with the exception of congenital CVD. Because of overlap across conditions, it is not possible to add these conditions to arrive at a total.

- HBP—77.9 million (defined as systolic pressure ≥140 mm Hg and/or diastolic pressure ≥90 mm Hg, use of anti-hypertensive medication, or being told at least twice by a physician or other health professional that one has HBP).
- CHD—15.4 million
  - MI (heart attack)—7.6 million
  - AP (chest pain)—7.8 million
  - HF—5.1 million
  - Stroke (all types)—6.8 million
  - Congenital cardiovascular defects—650,000 to 1.3 million

The following age-adjusted prevalence estimates from the NHIS, NCHS are for diagnosed conditions for people ≥18 years of age in 2012:

- Among whites only, 10.9% have HD, 6.1% have CHD, 22.9% have hypertension, and 2.5% have had a stroke.
- Among blacks or African Americans, 10.8% have HD, 6.5% have CHD, 32.9% have hypertension, and 3.9% have had a stroke.
- Among Hispanics or Latinos, 7.8% have HD, 5.3% have CHD, 20.9% have hypertension, and 2.7% have had a stroke.
- Among Asians, 6.8% have HD, 4.5% have CHD, 21.2% have hypertension, and 1.8% have had a stroke.
- Among American Indians or Alaska Natives, 12.5% have HD, 8.1% have CHD, and 24.8% have hypertension. The statistic for stroke for this group is not shown because of unreliability.
- Among Native Hawaiians or other Pacific Islanders 12.5% have HD, 10.3% have CHD, and 36.5% have hypertension. The statistics for stroke for this group are not shown because of unreliability.
- Asian Indian adults (9%) are ≈2-fold more likely than Korean adults (4%) to have ever been told they have HD, based on data for 2004 to 2006.

1. By 2030, 43.9% of the US population is projected to have some form of CVD (unpublished AHA tabulation, based on methodology described by Heidenreich et al).

Incidence
(See Chart 13-2.)

- On the basis of the NHLBI’s FHS original and offspring cohort data from 1980 to 2003.
—The average annual rate of first cardiovascular events rises from 3 per 1000 men at 35 to 44 years of age to 74 per 1000 men at 85 to 94 years of age. For women, comparable rates occur 10 years later in life. The gap narrows with advancing age.

—Before 75 years of age, a higher proportion of CVD events attributable to CHD occur in men than in women, and a higher proportion of events attributable to stroke occur in women than in men.

● Among American Indian men 45 to 74 years of age, the incidence of CVD ranges from 20 to 28 per 1000 population. Among women, it ranges from 9 to 15 per 1000.3

● Data from the FHS indicate that the subsequent lifetime risk for all CVD in recipients starting free of known disease is almost 2 in 3 for men and &gt;1 in 2 for women at 45 years of age (Table 13-4).5,6

● Analysis of FHS data among participants free of CVD at 50 years of age showed the lifetime risk for developing CVD was 51.7% for men and 39.2% for women. Median overall survival was 30 years for men and 36 years for women.6

Mortality

(See Table 13-1 through 13-3 and Charts 13-3 through 13-18.)

ICD-10 I00 to I99, Q20 to Q28 for CVD; C00 to C97 for cancer; C33 to C34 for lung cancer; C50 for breast cancer; ICD-10 I00 to I99, Q20 to Q28 for CVD; C00 to C97 for cancer; C33 to C34 for lung cancer; C50 for breast cancer; J40 to J47 for CLRD; G30 for Alzheimer disease; E10 to E14 for DM; and V01 to X59 and Y85 to Y86 for accidents.

● Mortality data show that CVD as the listed underlying cause of death (including congenital cardiovascular defects) accounted for 31.9% (787 650) of all 2468.435 deaths in 2010, or 1 of every 3 deaths in the United States. CVD any-mentions (1 344 185 deaths in 2010) constituted 54.5% of all deaths that year (NHLBI; NCHS public use data files).7

● In every year since 1900 except 1918, CVD accounted for more deaths than any other major cause of death in the United States.8,9

● On average, &gt;2150 Americans die of CVD each day, an average of 1 death every 40 seconds. CVD currently claims more lives each year than cancer and CLRD combined.7

● The 2010 death rate attributable to CVD was 235.5 (excluding congenital cardiovascular defects; NCHS). The death rates were 283.4 for males and 197.3 for females. The rates were 278.4 for white males, 369.2 for black males, 192.2 for white females, and 260.5 for black females. From 2000 to 2010, death rates attributable to CVD declined 31.0%. In the same 10-year period, the actual number of CVD deaths per year declined by 16.7% (AHA tabulation).7

● Among other causes of death in 2010, cancer caused 574 743 deaths; CLRD, 138 080; accidents, 120 859; and Alzheimer disease, 83 494.7

● On the basis of 2010 mortality data, CVD (including congenital cardiovascular defects) caused =1 death per minute among females, or 400 322 deaths. That represents approximately the same number of female lives as were claimed by cancer, CLRD, and Alzheimer disease combined (unpublished AHA tabulation). There were 40 996 deaths attributable to breast cancer in females in 2010; lung cancer claimed 70 550 females. Death rates for females were 22.1 for breast cancer and 38.1 for lung cancer. One in 30 deaths of females was attributable to breast cancer, whereas 1 in 7.2 was attributable to CHD. For comparison, 1 in 4.5 females died of cancer, whereas 1 in 3.1 died of CVD.7

● Approximately 150 000 Americans died of CVD in 2010 who were &lt;65 years of age, and 34% of deaths attributed to CVD occurred before the age of 75 years,7 which is well below the average life expectancy of 78.7 years.9

● If all forms of major CVD were eliminated, life expectancy could rise by almost 7 years. If all forms of cancer were eliminated, the estimated gain could be 3 years. According to the same study, the probability at birth of eventually dying of major CVD (I00–I78) is 47%, and the chance of dying of cancer is 22%. Additional probabilities are 3% for accidents, 2% for DM (unrelated to CVD), and 0.7% for HIV.10

● In 2010, the leading causes of death in women &gt;65 years of age were diseases of the heart (No. 1), cancer (No. 2), stroke (No. 3), and CLRD (No. 4). In older men, they were diseases of the heart (No. 1), cancer (No. 2), CLRD (No. 3), and stroke (No. 4).7

● A study of the decrease in US deaths attributable to CHD from 1980 to 2000 suggests that ≈47% of the decrease was attributable to increased use of evidence-based medical therapies and 44% to changes in risk factors in the population attributable to lifestyle and environmental changes.8

● Analysis of data from NCHS was used to determine the number of disease-specific deaths attributable to all non-optimal levels of each risk factor exposure, by age and sex. In 2005, tobacco smoking and HBP were estimated to be responsible for 467 000 deaths, accounting for =1 in 5 or 6 deaths among US adults. Overweight/obesity and physical inactivity were each estimated to be responsible for nearly 1 in 10 deaths. High dietary salt, low dietary omega-3 fatty acids, and high dietary trans fatty acids were the dietary risks with the largest estimated excess mortality effects.10

Aftermath

● Among an estimated 45 million people with functional disabilities in the United States, HD, stroke, and hypertension are among the 15 leading conditions that caused those disabilities. Disabilities were defined as difficulty with activities of daily living or instrumental activities of daily living, specific functional limitations (except vision, hearing, or speech), and limitation in ability to do housework or work at a job or business.11

Awareness of Warning Signs and Risk Factors for CVD

● Surveys conducted every 3 years since 1997 by the AHA to evaluate trends in women’s awareness, knowledge, and perceptions related to CVD found most recently (in 2012) that awareness of HD as the leading cause of death among women was 56%, 30% higher than in 1997 (P &lt;0.001). Awareness among black and Hispanic women in 2012 was similar to that of white women in 1997; however, awareness rates in 2012 among black and Hispanic women remained well below that of white women in 2012. Awareness of heart attack signs remained low for all racial/ethnic and age groups surveyed.12

● A total of 875 students in 4 Michigan high schools were given a survey to obtain data on the perception of risk
factors and other knowledge-based assessment questions about CVD. Accidents were rated as the greatest perceived lifetime health risk (39%). Nearly 17% selected CVD as the greatest lifetime risk, which made it the third most popular choice after accidents and cancer. When asked to identify the greatest cause of death for each sex, 42% correctly recognized CVD for men, and 14% correctly recognized CVD for women; 40% incorrectly chose abuse/use behavior with a substance other than cigarettes as the most important CVD risk behavior.13

### Awareness of CPR

- Seventy-nine percent of the lay public are confident that they know what actions to take in a medical emergency; 98% recognize an automated external defibrillator as something that administers an electric shock to restore a normal heart beat among victims of sudden cardiac arrest; and 60% are familiar with CPR (Harris Interactive survey conducted on behalf of the AHA among 1132 US residents >18 years of age, January 8, 2008–January 21, 2008).

### Disparities in CVD Risk Factors

(See Chart 13-19.)

- Data from the 2003 CDC BRFSS survey of adults ≥18 years of age showed the prevalence of respondents who reported having ≥2 risk factors for HD and stroke was successively higher at higher age groups. The prevalence of having ≥2 risk factors was highest among blacks (48.7%) and American Indian/Alaska Natives (46.7%) and lowest among Asians (25.9%); prevalence was similar in women (36.4%) and men (37.8%). The prevalence of multiple risk factors ranged from 25.9% among college graduates to 52.5% among those with less than a high school diploma (or its equivalent). People reporting household income of ≥$50,000 had the lowest prevalence (28.8%), and those reporting household income of <$10,000 had the highest prevalence (52.5%). Adults who reported being unable to work had the highest prevalence (69.3%) of ≥2 risk factors, followed by retired people (45.1%), unemployed adults (43.4%), homemakers (34.3%), and employed people (34.0%). Prevalence of ≥2 risk factors varied by state/territory and ranged from 27.0% (Hawaii) to 46.2% (Kentucky). Twelve states and 2 territories had a multiple risk factor prevalence of ≥40%: Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Tennessee, West Virginia, Guam, and Puerto Rico.14

- Analysis of several data sets by the CDC showed that in adults ≥18 years of age, disparities were common in all risk factors examined. In men, the highest prevalence of obesity (29.7%) was found in Mexican Americans who had completed a high school education. Black women with or without a high school education had a high prevalence of obesity (48.4%). Hypertension prevalence was high among blacks (41.2%) regardless of sex or educational status. Hypercholesterolemia was high among white and Mexican American men and white women regardless of educational status. CHD and stroke were inversely related to education, income, and poverty status. Hospitalization for total HD and AMI was greater among men, but hospitalization for CHF and stroke was greater among women. Among Medicare enrollees, CHF hospitalization was higher among blacks, Hispanics, and American Indian/Alaska Natives than among whites, and stroke hospitalization was highest among blacks. Hospitalizations for CHF and stroke were highest in the southeastern United States. Life expectancy remains higher in women than in men and in whites than in blacks by ≈5 years. CVD mortality at all ages tended to be highest in blacks.15

- Analysis of >14,000 middle-aged subjects in the ARIC study sponsored by the NHLBI showed that >90% of CVD events in black subjects, compared with ≈70% in white subjects, appeared to be explained by elevated or borderline risk factors. Furthermore, the prevalence of participants with elevated risk factors was higher in black subjects; after accounting for education and known CVD risk factors, the incidence of CVD was identical in black and white subjects. Thus, the observed higher CVD incidence rate in black subjects appears to be largely attributable to a greater prevalence of elevated risk factors. These results suggest that the primary prevention of elevated risk factors might substantially impact the future incidence of CVD, and these beneficial effects would likely be applicable not only for white but also for black subjects.16

- Data from the MEPS 2004 Full-Year Data File showed that nearly 26 million US adults ≥18 years of age were told by a doctor that they had HD, stroke, or any other heart-related disease17:

  - 38.6% maintained a healthy weight. Among those told that they had HD, 33.9% had a healthy weight compared with 39.3% who had never been told they had HD.
  - 78.8% did not currently smoke. Among those ever told that they had indicators of HD, 18.3% continued to smoke.
  - More than 93% engaged in at least 1 recommended behavior for prevention of HD: 75.5% engaged in 1 or 2; 18% engaged in all 3; and 6.5% did not engage in any of the recommended behaviors.

  - Age-based variations:
    - Moderate to vigorous PA ≥3 times per week varied according to age. Younger people (18–44 years of age) were more likely (59.9%) than those who were older (45–64 and ≥65 years of age, 55.3% and 48.5%, respectively) to engage in regular PA.
    - A greater percentage of those 18 to 44 years of age had a healthy weight (43.7%) than did those 45 to 64 years of age and ≥65 years of age (31.4% and 37.3%, respectively).
    - People ≥65 years of age were more likely to be current nonsmokers (89.7%) than were people 18 to 44 years of age and 45 to 64 years of age (76.1% and 77.7%, respectively).

  - Race/ethnicity-based variations:
    - Non-Hispanic whites were more likely than Hispanics or non-Hispanic blacks to engage in moderate to vigorous PA (58.5% versus 51.4% and 52.5%, respectively).
    - Non-Hispanic whites were more likely to have maintained a healthy weight than were Hispanics.
Family History of CVD

- A family history of CVD increases risk of CVD, with the largest increase in risk if the family member’s CVD was premature.\(^{20}\)
- There is consistent evidence from multiple large-scale prospective epidemiology studies for a strong and significant association of a reported family history of premature parental CHD with incident MI or CHD in offspring. In the FHS, the occurrence of a validated premature atherosclerotic CVD event in either a parent\(^{21}\) or a sibling\(^{22}\) was associated with an ≥2-fold elevated risk for CVD, independent of other traditional risk factors.
- Addition of family history of premature CVD to a model that contained traditional risk factors provided modestly improved prognostic value in the FHS.\(^{21}\)

Multivariable risk models that contain traditional risk factors in large cohorts of women\(^{23}\) and men.\(^{24}\)
- Parental history of premature CHD is associated with increased burden of subclinical atherosclerosis in the coronary arteries and the abdominal aorta.\(^{25,26}\)
- In the FHS, a parental history of validated HF is associated with a 1.7-fold higher risk of HF in offspring, after multivariable adjustment.\(^{27}\)
- A family history of early-onset sudden cardiac death in a first-degree relative is associated with a >2-fold higher risk for sudden cardiac death in offspring on the basis of available case-control studies.\(^{28}\)
- The 2004 HealthStyles survey of 4345 people in the United States indicated that most respondents believe that knowing their family history is important for their own health, but few are aware of the specific health information from relatives necessary to develop a family history.\(^{29}\)
- A family history of premature CVD was associated with a significant increase in lifetime risk for CVD mortality in men. The effect of a premature family history on lifetime risk was similar to that observed for other major CVD risk factors.\(^{30}\)
- An accurate and complete family history may identify rare mendelian conditions such as HCM, long-QT syndrome, or familial hypercholesterolemia. However, in the majority of people with a family history of a CVD event, a known rare mendelian condition is not identified.
- Studies are under way to determine genetic variants that may help identify individuals at increased risk of CVD.

Impact of Healthy Lifestyle and Low Risk Factor Levels

Much of the literature on CVD has focused on factors associated with increasing risk for CVD and on factors associated with poorer outcomes in the presence of CVD; however, in recent years, a number of studies have defined the potential beneficial effects of healthy lifestyle factors and lower CVD risk factor burden on CVD outcomes and longevity. These studies suggest that prevention of risk factor development at younger ages may be the key to “successful aging,” and they highlight the need for evaluation of the potential benefits of intensive prevention efforts at younger and middle ages once risk factors develop to increase the likelihood of healthy longevity.

- Data from the Cardiovascular Lifetime Risk Pooling Project, which involved 18 cohort studies and combined data on 257384 black men and women and white men and women, indicate that at 45 years of age, participants with optimal risk factor profile had a substantially lower lifetime risk of CVD events than those with 1 major risk factor (1.4% versus 39.6% among men; 4.1% versus 20.2% among women). Having ≥2 major risk factors further increased lifetime risk to 49.5% in men and 30.7% in women.\(^{31}\)
- A recent study examined the association between low lifetime predicted risk for CVD (ie, having all optimal or near-optimal risk factor levels) and burden of subclinical atherosclerosis in younger adults in the CARDIA and MESA studies of the NHLBI. Among participants <50 years of age, nearly half had low and half had high predicted lifetime risk for CVD. Those with low predicted lifetime risk had lower prevalence and less severe amounts of coronary calcification and less carotid intima-media...
thickening, even at these younger ages, than those with high predicted lifetime risk. During follow-up, those with low predicted lifetime risk also had less progression of coronary calcium.32

- Among >7900 men and women from the FHS followed up for 111,000 person-years, median survival was highly associated with risk factor presence and burden at 50 years of age. Men and women with optimal risk factors had a median life expectancy ≥10 years longer than those with ≥2 major risk factors at age 50 years.5

- In another study, FHS investigators followed up 2531 men and women who were examined between the ages of 40 and 50 years and observed their overall rates of survival and survival free of CVD to 85 years of age and beyond. Low levels of the major risk factors in middle age were associated with overall survival and morbidity-free survival to ≥85 years of age.33

—Overall, 35.7% survived to the age of 85 years, and 22% survived to that age free of major morbidities.

—Factors associated with survival to the age of 85 years included female sex, lower SBP, lower total cholesterol, better glucose tolerance, absence of current smoking, and higher level of education attained. Factors associated with survival to the age of 85 years free of MI, UA, HF, stroke, dementia, and cancer were nearly identical.

—When adverse levels of 4 of these factors were present in middle age, <5% of men and =15% of women survived to 85 years of age.

- Data from the Chicago Heart Association Detection Project (1967–1973, with an average follow-up of 31 years) showed the following:

—In younger women (18–39 years of age) with favorable levels for all 5 major risk factors (BP, serum cholesterol, BMI, DM, and smoking), future incidence of CHD and CVD is rare, and long-term and all-cause mortality are much lower than for those who have unfavorable or elevated risk factor levels at young ages. Similar findings applied to men in this study.34

—Participants (18–64 years of age at baseline) without a history of MI were investigated to determine whether traditional CVD risk factors were similarly associated with CVD mortality in black and white men and women. In general, the magnitude and direction of associations were similar by race. Most traditional risk factors demonstrated similar associations with mortality in black and white adults of the same sex. Small differences were primarily in the strength and not the direction of the association.35

—Remaining lifetime risks for CVD death were noted to increase substantially and in a graded fashion according to the number of risk factors present in middle age (40–59 years of age). However, remaining lifetime risks for non-CVD death also increased dramatically with increasing CVD risk factor burden. These data help to explain the markedly greater longevity experienced by those who reach middle age free of major CVD risk factors.36

—Presence of a greater number of risk factors in middle age is associated with lower scores at older ages on assessment of social functioning, mental health, walking, and health perception in women, with similar findings in men.37

—Risk factor burden in middle age is associated with better quality of life at follow-up in older age (=25 years later) and lower average annual Medicare costs at older ages.37,38 Similarly, the existence of a greater number of risk factors in middle age is associated with higher average annual CVD-related and total Medicare costs (once Medicare eligibility is attained).38

- A study of 84,129 women enrolled in the Nurses’ Health Study identified 5 healthy lifestyle factors, including absence of current smoking, drinking half a glass or more of wine per day (or equivalent alcohol consumption), ≥30 minutes of moderate or vigorous PA per day, BMI <25 kg/m², and dietary score in the top 40% (which included diets with lower amounts of trans fats, lower glycemic load, higher cereal fiber, higher marine omega-3 fatty acids, higher folate, and higher polynsaturated to saturated fat ratio). When 3 of the 5 healthy lifestyle factors were present, the RR for CHD over a 14-year period was 57% lower; when 4 were present, the RR was 66% lower; and when all 5 factors were present, the RR was 83% lower.39 However, data from NHANES 1999 to 2002 showed that only approximately one third of adults complied with ≥6 of the recommended heart-healthy behaviors. Dietary recommendations in general and daily fruit intake recommendations in particular were least likely to be followed.40

- Among individuals 70 to 90 years of age, adherence to a Mediterranean-style diet and greater PA are associated with 65% to 73% relatively lower rates of all-cause mortality, as well as lower mortality rates attributable to CHD, CVD, and cancer.41

- Seventeen-year mortality data from the NHANES II Mortality Follow-Up Study indicated that the RR for fatal CHD was 51% lower for men and 71% lower for women with none of 3 major risk factors (hypertension, current smoking, and elevated total cholesterol [≥240 mg/dL]) than for those with ≥1 risk factor. Had all 3 major risk factors not occurred, it is hypothesized that 64% of all CHD deaths among women and 45% of CHD deaths in men could have been avoided.42

Hospital Discharges, Ambulatory Care Visits, Home Healthcare Patients, Nursing Home Residents, and Hospice Care Discharges
(See Table 13-1 and Charts 13-20 and 13-21.)

- From 2000 to 2010, the number of inpatient discharges from short-stay hospitals with CVD as the first-listed diagnosis decreased from 6,294,000 to 5,802,000 (NHDS, NCHS, and NHLBI). In 2010, CVD ranked highest among all disease categories in hospital discharges (NHDS, NCHS, and NHLBI).

- In 2010, there were 75,432,000 physician office visits with a primary diagnosis of CVD (NCHS, NAMCS, NHLBI tabulation). In 2010, there were 4,640,000 ED visits and 7,829,000 hospital outpatient department visits with a primary diagnosis of CVD (NHAMCS, NHLBI tabulation).

- In 2009, 1 of every 6 hospital stays, or 6 million, resulted from CVD (AHRQ, Nationwide Inpatient Sample).
total inpatient hospital cost for CVD was $71.2 billion, approximately one fourth of the total cost of inpatient hospital care in the United States. The average cost per hospitalization was $41% higher than the average cost for all stays. Hospital admissions that originated in the ED accounted for 60.7% of all hospital stays for CVD. This was $41% higher than the rate of 43.1% for all types of hospital stays; 3.3% of patients admitted to the hospital for CVD died in the hospital, which was significantly higher than the average in-hospital death rate of 2.1% for all hospitalized patients.43

- In 2004, CAD was estimated to be responsible for 1.2 million hospital stays and was the most expensive condition treated. This condition resulted in >$44 billion in expenses. More than half of the hospital stays for CAD were among patients who also received PCI or CABG during their stay. AMI resulted in $31 billion in inpatient hospital charges for 695,000 home stays. The 1.1 million hospitalizations for CHF amounted to nearly $29 billion in hospital charges.44

- In 2003, 48.3% of inpatient hospital stays for CVD were for women, who accounted for 42.8% of the national cost ($187 billion) associated with these conditions. Although only 40% of hospital stays for AMI and CAD were for women, more than half of all stays for nonspecific chest pain, CHF, and stroke were for women. There was no difference between men and women in hospitalizations for cardiac dysrhythmias.45

- Circulatory disorders were the most frequent reason for admission to the hospital through the ED, accounting for 26.3% of all admissions through the ED. After pneumonia, the most common heart-related conditions (in descending order) were CHF, chest pain, hardening of the arteries, and heart attack, which together accounted for >15% of all admissions through the ED. Stroke and irregular heart beat ranked seventh and eighth, respectively.46

- Among the 1,492,200 nursing home residents each day in 2004, CVD was the leading primary diagnosis; approximately one fourth of nursing home residents had a primary diagnosis of CVD at admission (23.7% or 353,100 residents) or at the time of interview (25% or 373,000 residents) (NCHS, NHHS).47

- Among the 1,459,900 home healthcare patients each day in 2007, CVD was the leading primary diagnosis; almost one fifth of home healthcare patients had a primary diagnosis of CVD at admission into home health care (18.3% or 267,300 residents) or at the time of interview (18.9% or 275,700 residents) (NCHS, NHHCS). The majority (62.9% or 918,900 patients) of home healthcare patients each day in 2007 had any diagnosis of CVD at the time of interview.48

- Among the 1,045,100 patients discharged from hospice in 2007, CVD was the primary diagnosis for 15.8% (or 165,100 discharges) at admission and 15.9% (or 165,700 discharges) at discharge. Half (50% or 523,000) of all hospice discharges had any diagnosis of CVD at the time of discharge.49

Operations and Procedures

- In 2010, an estimated 7,588,000 inpatient cardiovascular operations and procedures were performed in the United States; 4.4 million were performed on males, and 3.2 million were performed on females (NHLBI tabulation of NHDS, NCHS).

Cost

- The estimated direct and indirect cost of CVD for 2010 is $315.4 billion (MEPS, NHLBI tabulation).

- By 2030, real (2012$) total direct medical costs of CVD are projected to increase to $918 billion (unpublished AHA tabulation based on methodology described by Heidenreich et al).50
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Table 13-1. Cardiovascular Diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All Ages</td>
<td>All Ages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td>83,600,000 (35.3%)</td>
<td>787,650</td>
<td>5,802,000</td>
<td>$315.4 Billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>40,700,000 (36.7%)</td>
<td>387,318 (49.2%)†</td>
<td>3,021,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>42,900,000 (34.0%)</td>
<td>400,332 (50.8%)†</td>
<td>2,781,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white males</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
<td>330,330</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white females</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>342,581</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black males</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>46,266</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black females</td>
<td>48.9%</td>
<td>49,977</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American</td>
<td>33.4%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American females</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>16,829‡</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaska Native</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>3667</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mortality data for the white, black, Asian or Pacific Islander, and American Indian or Alaska Native populations include deaths among people of Hispanic and non-Hispanic origin. Numbers of deaths for the American Indian or Alaska Native and Asian or Pacific Islander populations are known to be underestimated.
†These percentages represent the portion of total cardiovascular disease mortality that is attributable to males vs females.
‡Includes Chinese, Filipino, Hawaiian, Japanese, and other Asian or Pacific Islander.

Sources: Prevalence: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2007 to 2010, National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI). Percentages for racial/ethnic groups are age adjusted for Americans ≥20 y of age. Age-specific percentages are extrapolated to the 2010 US population estimates. Mortality: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/NCHS, 2010 Mortality Multiple Cause-of-Death—United States, version dated May 21, 2013. These data represent underlying cause of death only for International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision codes I00 to I99 (diseases of the circulatory system) and Q20 to Q28 (congenital malformations of the circulatory system). Hospital discharges: National Hospital Discharge Survey, NCHS. Data include those inpatients discharged alive, dead, or of unknown status. Cost: NHLBI. Data include estimated direct and indirect costs for 2010.
Table 13-2. Age-adjusted Death Rates per 100 000 Population for CVD, CHD, and Stroke by State, 2008 to 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>314.8</td>
<td>−19.2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>110.3</td>
<td>−32.6</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>−21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>209.1</td>
<td>−26.7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>−31.1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>−34.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>204.0</td>
<td>−28.7</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>111.9</td>
<td>−31.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>−37.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>299.4</td>
<td>−21.8</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>149.7</td>
<td>−20.0</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>−30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>226.0</td>
<td>−31.2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>116.0</td>
<td>−39.0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>−37.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>190.1</td>
<td>−31.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>−35.3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>−35.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>206.0</td>
<td>−31.2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>−41.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>−37.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>235.1</td>
<td>−29.7</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>119.9</td>
<td>−39.3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>−22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>283.9</td>
<td>−25.0</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>159.0</td>
<td>−21.4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>−26.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Florida 18 215.2 −30.4 29 114.8 −39.1 45 47.6 −31.7  
Georgia 41 265.9 −29.4 10 91.7 −43.2 18 39.1 −34.4  
Hawaii 4 196.2 −27.6 3 77.1 −32.1 33 41.7 −36.6  
Idaho 17 214.6 −27.9 12 95.3 −35.2 27 40.6 −35.4  
Illinois 31 243.7 −30.0 32 117.1 −38.7 39 44.9 −34.0  
Indiana 40 261.2 −28.0 34 120.6 −35.4 31 40.9 −34.1  
Iowa 30 238.1 −24.8 42 132.6 −28.7 38 44.3 −31.5  
Kansas 28 234.8 −26.7 16 99.1 −35.8 41 46.1 −27.1  
Kentucky 44 281.4 −28.3 43 132.9 −33.6 43 46.9 −31.7  
Louisiana 48 298.4 −21.4 39 127.7 −32.2 15 37.6 −28.4  
Maine 14 209.7 −32.3 15 98.2 −40.0 23 39.9 −34.7  
Maryland 32 245.1 −28.1 38 127.1 −32.8 5 33.0 −35.8  
Massachusetts 8 204.5 −29.4 14 97.3 −33.5 30 40.9 −34.2  
Michigan 42 270.0 −27.2 45 140.7 −33.3 10 36.1 −33.3  
Minnesota 1 175.2 −32.7 1 70.3 −41.4 50 51.6 −35.6  
Mississippi 52 335.7 −22.7 41 131.6 −36.8 40 46.0 −27.7  
Missouri 43 272.5 −26.9 46 141.1 −31.2 25 40.5 −28.3  
Montana 16 214.3 −25.2 9 90.7 −26.8 26 40.5 −32.5  
Nebraska 19 215.8 −28.6 7 85.8 −35.4 13 36.9 −29.8  
Nevada 36 254.3 −25.7 19 101.8 −37.8 8 33.9 −35.1  
New Hampshire 9 205.4 −34.3 18 100.5 −44.7 6 33.0 −38.8  
New Jersey 26 232.7 −29.6 37 122.9 −37.9 14 37.3 −29.6  
New Mexico 11 207.1 −25.4 21 105.6 −30.6 1 27.7 −26.8  
New York 37 257.6 −27.1 52 160.2 −32.6 44 47.5 −31.6  
North Carolina 33 246.0 −31.1 28 112.7 −38.2 21 39.5 −37.3  
North Dakota 20 216.7 −28.6 26 111.6 −32.7 37 43.2 −33.8  
Ohio 38 259.6 −28.6 40 129.4 −35.9 49 51.3 −28.4  
Oklahoma 50 309.5 −23.9 49 156.3 −31.9 35 42.6 −24.8  
Oregon 7 204.3 −30.9 6 84.1 −37.3 28 40.7 −41.8  
Pennsylvania 35 250.5 −28.2 36 122.4 −35.8 29 40.7 −29.1  
Puerto Rico 2 179.6 −30.6 4 80.5 −35.4 12 36.2 −30.1  
Rhode Island 23 223.8 −28.4 44 135.9 −35.1 3 32.3 −32.4  
South Carolina 39 260.0 −29.1 24 109.5 −37.8 48 50.6 −36.7  
South Dakota 22 222.1 −26.6 35 122.1 −26.7 22 39.7 −31.5  
Tennessee 46 289.8 −26.0 50 157.5 −28.1 47 50.2 −33.8  
Texas 34 248.5 −29.1 31 116.9 −39.4 42 46.3 −29.3  
Utah 5 197.1 −27.2 2 72.1 −36.1 16 38.1 −37.3  
(Continued)
### Table 13-3. International Death Rates (Revised February 2012): Death Rates (per 100,000 Population) for Total CVD, CHD, Stroke, and Total Deaths in Selected Countries (Most Recent Year Available)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sorted Alphabetically by Country</th>
<th>Rate per 100,000 Population</th>
<th>Sorted by Descending CVD Death Rate</th>
<th>Rate per 100,000 Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>Stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men aged 35–74 y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina (2010)</td>
<td>305.6</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>62.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia (2011)</td>
<td>126.1</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria (2011)</td>
<td>182.4</td>
<td>108.9</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium (2009)</td>
<td>181.2</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil (2010)</td>
<td>347.7</td>
<td>134.5</td>
<td>95.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada (2009)</td>
<td>154.4</td>
<td>101.9</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile (2009)</td>
<td>220.5</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>63.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba (2010)</td>
<td>347.5</td>
<td>175.4</td>
<td>80.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic (2011)</td>
<td>374.0</td>
<td>200.2</td>
<td>55.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark (2011)</td>
<td>146.0</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>29.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland (2011)</td>
<td>261.0</td>
<td>153.9</td>
<td>39.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France (2009)</td>
<td>133.8</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany (2011)</td>
<td>197.6</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary (2011)</td>
<td>564.8</td>
<td>302.6</td>
<td>108.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland (2009)</td>
<td>210.0</td>
<td>140.6</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel (2010)</td>
<td>121.5</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy (2010)</td>
<td>145.6</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan (2011)</td>
<td>142.7</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>49.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea, South (2011)</td>
<td>123.4</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico (2010)</td>
<td>224.1</td>
<td>130.9</td>
<td>46.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands (2011)</td>
<td>136.8</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand (2009)</td>
<td>184.4</td>
<td>121.1</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rates are adjusted to the European Standard population. International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision codes used were I00 to I99 for CVD, I20 to I25 for CHD, and I60 to I69 for stroke.

CHD indicates coronary heart disease; and CVD, cardiovascular disease.

Sources: The World Health Organization, National Center for Health Statistics, and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sorted Alphabetically by Country</th>
<th>Rate per 100,000 Population</th>
<th>Sorted by Descending CVD Death Rate</th>
<th>Rate per 100,000 Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Norway (2011)</td>
<td>139.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>577.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal (2011)</td>
<td>148.1</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>777.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania (2010)</td>
<td>657.9</td>
<td>195.9</td>
<td>1548.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation (2010)</td>
<td>1173.3</td>
<td>299.2</td>
<td>2400.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain (2010)</td>
<td>149.6</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>668.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden (2010)</td>
<td>165.1</td>
<td>263.1</td>
<td>556.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland (2010)</td>
<td>131.6</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>538.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine (2011)</td>
<td>1080.0</td>
<td>220.8</td>
<td>2077.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom (2010)</td>
<td>197.5</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>673.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States (2010)</td>
<td>239.9</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>822.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina (2010)</td>
<td>139.7</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>574.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia (2011)</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>318.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria (2011)</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>361.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium (2009)</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>422.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil (2010)</td>
<td>205.1</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>638.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada (2009)</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>388.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile (2009)</td>
<td>103.5</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>455.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba (2010)</td>
<td>209.2</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>613.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic (2011)</td>
<td>149.0</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>483.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark (2011)</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>462.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland (2011)</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>358.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France (2009)</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>343.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany (2011)</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>393.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary (2011)</td>
<td>223.5</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>692.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland (2009)</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>419.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel (2010)</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>349.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy (2010)</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>308.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan (2011)</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>284.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea, South (2011)</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>357.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico (2010)</td>
<td>137.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>638.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands (2011)</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>408.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand (2009)</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>410.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway (2011)</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>355.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal (2011)</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>343.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania (2010)</td>
<td>312.2</td>
<td>111.4</td>
<td>692.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation (2010)</td>
<td>466.0</td>
<td>155.0</td>
<td>915.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain (2010)</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>283.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden (2010)</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>357.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland (2010)</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>308.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine (2011)</td>
<td>475.2</td>
<td>313.3</td>
<td>844.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom (2010)</td>
<td>123.4</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>736.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States (2010)</td>
<td>121.5</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>580.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Women aged 35–74 y

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sorted Alphabetically by Country</th>
<th>Rate per 100,000 Population</th>
<th>Sorted by Descending CVD Death Rate</th>
<th>Rate per 100,000 Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina (2010)</td>
<td>139.7</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>574.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia (2011)</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>318.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria (2011)</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>361.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium (2009)</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>422.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil (2010)</td>
<td>205.1</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>638.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada (2009)</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>388.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile (2009)</td>
<td>103.5</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>455.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba (2010)</td>
<td>209.2</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>613.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic (2011)</td>
<td>149.0</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>483.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark (2011)</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>462.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland (2011)</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>358.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France (2009)</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>343.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany (2011)</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>393.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary (2011)</td>
<td>223.5</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>692.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland (2009)</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>419.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel (2010)</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>349.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy (2010)</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>308.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan (2011)</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>284.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea, South (2011)</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>357.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico (2010)</td>
<td>137.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>638.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands (2011)</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>408.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand (2009)</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>410.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway (2011)</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>355.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal (2011)</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>343.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania (2010)</td>
<td>312.2</td>
<td>111.4</td>
<td>692.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation (2010)</td>
<td>466.0</td>
<td>155.0</td>
<td>915.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain (2010)</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>283.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden (2010)</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>357.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland (2010)</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>308.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine (2011)</td>
<td>475.2</td>
<td>313.3</td>
<td>844.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom (2010)</td>
<td>123.4</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>736.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States (2010)</td>
<td>121.5</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>580.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 13-4. Remaining Lifetime Risks for CVD and Other Diseases Among Men and Women Free of Disease at 40 and 70 Years of Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diseases</th>
<th>Remaining Lifetime Risk at Age 40 y</th>
<th>Remaining Lifetime Risk at Age 70 y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any CVD&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2 in 3*</td>
<td>1 in 2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1 in 2</td>
<td>1 in 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1 in 4</td>
<td>1 in 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHF&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1 in 5</td>
<td>1 in 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1 in 6‡</td>
<td>1 in 5‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dementia&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip fracture&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1 in 20</td>
<td>1 in 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast cancer&lt;sup&gt;o&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1 in 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate cancer&lt;sup&gt;o&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1 in 6</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer&lt;sup&gt;o&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1 in 13</td>
<td>1 in 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon cancer&lt;sup&gt;o&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1 in 19</td>
<td>1 in 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM&lt;sup&gt;j&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1 in 3</td>
<td>1 in 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9 in 10‡</td>
<td>9 in 10‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity&lt;sup&gt;o&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1 in 3</td>
<td>1 in 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF indicates atrial fibrillation; CHD, coronary heart disease; CHF, congestive heart failure; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; ellipses (…), not estimated.

*Age 45 y.
†Age 65 y.
‡Age 55 y.

Chart 13-3. Deaths attributable to diseases of the heart (United States: 1900–2010). See Glossary (Chapter 26) for an explanation of “diseases of the heart.” Note: In the years 1900 to 1920, the International Classification of Diseases codes were 77 to 80; for 1925, 87 to 90; for 1930 to 1945, 90 to 95; for 1950 to 1960, 402 to 404 and 410 to 443; for 1965, 402 to 404 and 410 to 443; for 1970 to 1975, 390 to 398 and 404 to 429; for 1980 to 1995, 390 to 398, 402, and 404 to 429; and for 2000 to 2009, I00 to I09, I11, I13, and I20 to I51. Before 1933, data are for a death registration area and not the entire United States. Source: National Center for Health Statistics.

Chart 13-4. Deaths attributable to cardiovascular disease (United States: 1900–2010). Cardiovascular disease (International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision codes I00–I99) does not include congenital. Before 1933, data are for a death registration area and not the entire United States. Source: National Center for Health Statistics.
Chart 13-5. Percentage breakdown of deaths attributable to cardiovascular disease (United States: 2010). Total may not add to 100 because of rounding. Coronary heart disease includes International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10) codes I20 to I25; stroke, I60 to I69; heart failure, I50; high blood pressure, I10 to I15; diseases of the arteries, I70 to I78; and other, all remaining ICD-10 categories. *Not a true underlying cause. With any-mention deaths, heart failure accounts for 35% of cardiovascular disease deaths. Source: National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute from National Center for Health Statistics reports and data sets.

Chart 13-6. Cardiovascular disease (CVD) deaths vs cancer deaths by age (United States: 2010). CVD includes International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision codes I00 to I99 and Q20 to Q28; cancer, C00 to C97. Source: National Center for Health Statistics.
Chart 13-7. Cardiovascular disease (CVD) and other major causes of death: total, <85 years of age, and ≥85 years of age. Deaths among both sexes, United States, 2010. Heart disease includes International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision codes I00 to I09, I11, I13, and I20 to I51; stroke, I60 to I69; all other CVD, I10, I12, I15, and I70 to I99; cancer, C00 to C97; chronic lower respiratory disease (CLRD), J40 to J47; Alzheimer disease, G30; and accidents, V01 to X59 and Y85 to Y86. Source: National Center for Health Statistics and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.

Chart 13-8. Cardiovascular disease (CVD) and other major causes of death in males: total, <85 years of age, and ≥85 years of age. Deaths among males, United States, 2010. Heart disease includes International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision codes I00 to I09, I11, I13, and I20 to I51; stroke, I60 to I69; all other CVD, I10, I12, I15, and I70 to I99; cancer, C00 to C97; chronic lower respiratory disease (CLRD), J40 to J47; and accidents, V01 to X59 and Y85 to Y86. Source: National Center for Health Statistics and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.
Chart 13-9. Cardiovascular disease (CVD) and other major causes of death in females: total, <85 years of age, and ≥85 years of age. Deaths among females, United States, 2010. Heart disease includes International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision codes I00 to I09, I11, I13, and I20 to I51; stroke, I60 to I69; all other CVD, I10, I12, I15, and I70 to I99; cancer, C00 to C97; chronic lower respiratory disease (CLRD), J40 to J47; and Alzheimer disease, G30. Source: National Center for Health Statistics and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.

Chart 13-10. Cardiovascular disease and other major causes of death for all males and females (United States: 2010). A indicates cardiovascular disease plus congenital cardiovascular disease (International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision codes I00–I99 and Q20–Q28); B, cancer (C00–C97); C, accidents (V01–X59 and Y85–Y86); D, chronic lower respiratory disease (J40–J47); E, diabetes mellitus (E10–E14); and F, Alzheimer disease (G30). Source: National Center for Health Statistics and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.
Chart 13-11. Cardiovascular disease and other major causes of death for white males and females (United States: 2010). A indicates cardiovascular disease plus congenital cardiovascular disease (International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision codes I00–I99 and Q20–Q28); B, cancer (C00–C97); C, accidents (V01–X59 and Y85–Y86); D, chronic lower respiratory disease (J40–J47); E, diabetes mellitus (E10–E14); and F, Alzheimer disease (G30). Source: National Center for Health Statistics and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.

Chart 13-12. Cardiovascular disease and other major causes of death for black males and females (United States: 2010). A indicates cardiovascular disease plus congenital cardiovascular disease (International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision codes I00–I99 and Q20–Q28); B, cancer (C00–C97); C, accidents (V01–X59 and Y85–Y86); D, diabetes mellitus (E10–E14); E, chronic lower respiratory disease (J40–J47); F, nephritis (N00–N07, N17–N19, and N25–N27). Source: National Center for Health Statistics and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.
Chart 13-13. Cardiovascular disease and other major causes of death for Hispanic or Latino males and females (United States: 2010). A indicates cardiovascular disease plus congenital cardiovascular disease (International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision codes I00–I99 and Q20–Q28); B, cancer (C00–C97); C, accidents (V01–X59 and Y85–Y86); D, diabetes mellitus (E10–E14); E, chronic lower respiratory disease (J40–J47); and F, nephritis (N00–N07, N17–N19, and N25–N27). Number of deaths shown may be lower than actual because of underreporting in this population. Source: National Center for Health Statistics and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.

Chart 13-14. Cardiovascular disease and other major causes of death for Asian or Pacific Islander males and females (United States: 2010). “Asian or Pacific Islander” is a heterogeneous category that includes people at high cardiovascular disease risk (eg, South Asian) and people at low cardiovascular disease risk (eg, Japanese). More specific data on these groups are not available. A indicates cardiovascular disease plus congenital cardiovascular disease (International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision codes I00–I99 and Q20–Q28); B, cancer (C00–C97); C, accidents (V01–X59 and Y85–Y86); D, diabetes mellitus (E10–E14); E, chronic lower respiratory disease (J40–J47); and F, influenza and pneumonia (J09–J18). Number of deaths shown may be lower than actual because of underreporting in this population. Source: National Center for Health Statistics and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.
Chart 13-15. Cardiovascular disease and other major causes of death for American Indian or Alaska Native males and females (United States: 2010). A indicates cardiovascular disease plus congenital cardiovascular disease (International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision codes I00–I99 and Q20–Q28); B, cancer (C00–C97); C, accidents (V01–X59 and Y85–Y86); D, diabetes mellitus (E10–E14); E, chronic liver disease (K70 and K73–K74); and F, chronic lower respiratory disease (J40–J47). Number of deaths shown may be lower than actual because of underreporting in this population. Source: National Center for Health Statistics and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.

Chart 13-16. Age-adjusted death rates for coronary heart disease (CHD), stroke, and lung and breast cancer for white and black females (United States: 2010). CHD includes International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision codes I20 to I25; stroke, I60 to I69; lung cancer, C33 to C34; and breast cancer, C50. Source: National Center for Health Statistics and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.
Death Rates Per 100,000 Population

- 27.7 to 34.4
- 34.5 to 41.1
- 41.2 to 47.7
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**Major Cardiovascular Disease Age-Adjusted Death Rates by State**

**Coronary Heart Disease Age-Adjusted Death Rates by State**

**Stroke Age-Adjusted Death Rates by State**

*Chart 13-18. US maps corresponding to state death rates (including the District of Columbia), 2010.*
Chart 13-19. Estimated average 10-year cardiovascular disease risk in adults 50 to 54 years of age according to levels of various risk factors (Framingham Heart Study). BP indicates blood pressure; and HDL, high-density lipoprotein. Data derived from D’Agostino et al.64

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>50-54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL Cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>45-49</td>
<td>45-49</td>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>35-44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>160-199</td>
<td>200-239</td>
<td>200-239</td>
<td>200-239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP mm/Hg, no treatment</td>
<td>120-129</td>
<td>130-139</td>
<td>130-139</td>
<td>130-139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Stroke (Cerebrovascular Disease)

ICD-9 430 to 438; ICD-10 I60 to I69. See Tables 14-1 and 14-2 and Charts 14-1 through 14-12.

**Stroke Prevalence**

(See Table 14-1 and Chart 14-1.)

- An estimated 6.8 million Americans ≥20 years of age have had a stroke (extrapolated to 2010 by use of NHANES 2007–2010 data). Overall stroke prevalence during this period is an estimated 2.8% (NHANES, NHLBI).
- According to data from the 2012 BRFSS (CDC), 2.9% of men and 2.9% of women ≥18 years of age had a history of stroke; 3.0% of non-Hispanic whites, 3.8% of non-Hispanic blacks, 1.9% of Asian/Pacific Islanders, 1.8% of Hispanics (of any race), 5.8% of American Indian/Alaska Natives, and 4.1% of other races or multiracial people had a history of stroke.1
- Over the time period 2006 to 2010, data from BRFSS show that the overall self-reported stroke prevalence did not change. Older adults, blacks, people with lower levels of education, and people living in the southeastern United States had higher stroke prevalence.2
- The prevalence of silent cerebral infarction is estimated to range from 6% to 28%, with higher prevalence with increasing age.1–5 The prevalence estimates also vary depending on the population studied (eg, ethnicity, sex, risk factor profile), definition of silent cerebral infarction, and imaging technique. It has been estimated that 13 million people had prevalent silent stroke in the 1998 US population.6–7
- The prevalence of stroke-related symptoms was found to be relatively high in a general population free of a prior diagnosis of stroke or TIA. On the basis of data from 18,462 participants enrolled in a national cohort study, 17.8% of the population >45 years of age reported at least 1 symptom. Stroke symptoms were more likely among blacks than whites, among those with lower income and lower educational attainment, and among those with fair to poor perceived health status. Symptoms also were more likely in participants with higher Framingham stroke risk score (REGARDS, NINDS).8
- Projections show that by 2030, an additional 3.4 million people aged ≥18 years will have had a stroke, a 20.5% increase in prevalence from 2012. The highest increase (29%) is projected to be in Hispanic men.9
- Individuals with atherosclerotic stroke should be included among those deemed to be at high risk (20% over 10

---

**Abbreviations Used in Chapter 14**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACCORD</th>
<th>Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AF</td>
<td>atrial fibrillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHA</td>
<td>American Heart Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHI</td>
<td>apnea-hypopnea index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIC</td>
<td>Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARHQ</td>
<td>Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASIC</td>
<td>Brain Attack Surveillance in Corpus Christi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRFSS</td>
<td>Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>coronary heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHS</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Health Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLRD</td>
<td>chronic lower respiratory disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CREST</td>
<td>Carotid Revascularization Endarterectomy versus Stenting Trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED</td>
<td>emergency department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR</td>
<td>estimated glomerular filtration rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS</td>
<td>emergency medical services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHS</td>
<td>Framingham Heart Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS</td>
<td>Framingham Risk Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUTURE</td>
<td>Follow-up of TIA and Stroke Patients and Unelucidated Risk Factor Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCNKSS</td>
<td>Greater Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky Stroke Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>glomerular filtration rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GWTG</td>
<td>Get With The Guidelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBP</td>
<td>high blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCUP</td>
<td>Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>high-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>hazard ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-9</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-10</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>intracerebral hemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEPS</td>
<td>Medical Expenditure Panel Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHS</td>
<td>National Center for Health Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>non-Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHAMCS</td>
<td>National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHDS</td>
<td>National Hospital Discharge Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHIS</td>
<td>National Health Interview Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHLBI</td>
<td>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NINDS</td>
<td>National Institutes of Neurological Disorders and Stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOMAS</td>
<td>Northern Manhattan Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONTARGET</td>
<td>Ongoing Telmisartan Alone and in Combination With Ramipril Global Endpoint Trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>odds ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR</td>
<td>population attributable risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGARDS</td>
<td>Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td>relative risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>subarachnoid hemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPS3</td>
<td>Secondary Prevention of Small Subcortical Strokes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>systolic blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWITCH</td>
<td>Stroke With Transfusions Changing to Hydroxyurea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIA</td>
<td>transient ischemic attack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPA</td>
<td>tissue-type plasminogen activator</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stroke Incidence
(See Table 14-1 and Charts 14-2 through 14-5.)

- Each year, ≈795,000 people experience a new or recurrent stroke. Approximately 610,000 of these are first attacks, and 185,000 are recurrent attacks (GCNKSS, NINDS, and NHLBI; GCNKSS and NINDS data for 1999 provided July 9, 2008; estimates compiled by NHLBI).
- Of all strokes, 87% are ischemic and 10% are ICH strokes, whereas 3% are SAH strokes (GCNKSS, NINDS, 1999).
- On average, every 40 seconds, someone in the United States has a stroke (AHA computation based on the latest available data).
- Each year, ≈55,000 more women than men have a stroke (GCNKSS, NINDS).11
- Women have a higher lifetime risk of stroke than men. In the FHS, lifetime risk of stroke among those 55 to 75 years of age was 1 in 5 for women (20% to 21%) and ≈1 in 6 for men (14% to 17%).12
- Women have lower age-adjusted stroke incidence than men; however, sex differences in stroke risk may be modified by age.13 Data from FHS demonstrate that compared with white men, white women 45 to 84 years of age have lower stroke risk than men, but this association is reversed in older ages such that women >85 years of age have elevated risk compared with men.14 Similarly, a population-based study in Sweden found stroke incidence to be lower for women than for men at ages 55 to 64 years, but at 75 to 85 years of age, this association reversed, and women had a higher incidence than men.15 Other studies report an excess risk of stroke in men compared with women that persists throughout the life course or that diminishes but does not reverse with age.16–20
- Temporal trend data from the BASIC Project for the time period 2000 through 2010 demonstrated that ischemic stroke rates declined significantly in people aged ≥60 years but remained largely unchanged over time in those aged 45 to 59 years. Rates of decline did not differ significantly for non-Hispanic whites and Mexican Americans in any age group. Therefore, ethnic disparities in stroke rates in the 45- to 59-year-old and 60- to 74-year-old age groups persist.21
- In the national REGARDS cohort, in 27,744 participants followed up for 4.4 years (2003–2010), the overall age- and sex-adjusted black/white incidence rate ratio was 1.51, but for ages 45 to 54 years, it was 4.02, whereas for those ≥85 years of age, it was 0.86.22 Similar trends for decreasing black/white incidence rate ratio with age were seen in the GCNKSS.23
- Analysis of data from the FHS suggests that stroke incidence is declining over time in this largely white cohort. Data from 1950 to 1977, 1978 to 1989, and 1990 to 2004 showed that the age-adjusted incidence of first stroke per 1000 person-years in each of the 3 periods was 7.6, 6.2, and 5.3 in men and 6.2, 5.8, and 5.1 in women, respectively. Lifetime risk for incident stroke at 65 years of age decreased significantly in the latest data period compared with the first, from 19.5% to 14.5% in men and from 18.0% to 16.1% in women.24
- In a similar fashion, data from the most recent GCNKSS show that compared with the 1990s, when incidence rates of stroke were stable, stroke incidence in 2005 was decreased for whites. A similar decline was not seen in blacks. These changes for whites were driven by a decline in ischemic strokes. There were no changes in incidence of ischemic stroke for blacks or of hemorrhagic strokes in blacks or whites.21
- In an analysis of temporal trends in ischemic stroke incidence stratified by age, the GCNKSS found an increased incidence of ischemic stroke over time for both blacks and whites aged 20 to 54 years, especially in 2005 compared with earlier time periods. There were declining incidence rates in the oldest age groups for both race groups.25
- The BASIC Project (NINDS) demonstrated an increased incidence of stroke among Mexican Americans compared with non-Hispanic whites in a community in southeast Texas. The crude 3-year cumulative incidence (2000–2002) was 16.8 per 1000 in Mexican Americans and 13.6 per 1000 in non-Hispanic whites. Specifically, Mexican Americans had a higher cumulative incidence for ischemic stroke at younger ages (45–59 years of age: RR, 2.04; 95% CI, 1.55–2.69; 60–74 years of age: RR, 1.58; 95% CI, 1.31–1.91) but not at older ages (≥75 years of age: RR, 1.12; 95% CI, 0.94–1.32). Mexican Americans also had a higher incidence of ICH and SAH than non-Hispanic whites, after adjustment for age.26
- The age-adjusted incidence of first ischemic stroke per 1000 was 0.88 in whites, 1.91 in blacks, and 1.49 in Hispanics according to data from NOMAS (NINDS) for 1993 to 1997. Among blacks, compared with whites, the relative rate of intracranial atherosclerotic stroke was 5.85; of extracranial atherosclerotic stroke, 3.18; of lacunar stroke, 3.09; and of cardioembolic stroke, 1.58. Among Hispanics (primarily Cuban and Puerto Rican), compared with whites, the relative rate of intracranial atherosclerotic stroke was 5.00; of extracranial atherosclerotic stroke, 1.71; of lacunar stroke, 2.32; and of cardioembolic stroke, 1.42.27
- Among 4507 American Indian participants without a prior stroke in the Strong Heart Study in 1989 to 1992, the age- and sex-adjusted incidence of stroke through 2004 was 6.79 per 100 person-years, with 86% of incident strokes being ischemic.28
- In the GCNKSS, the annual incidence of anticoagulant-associated ICH per 100,000 people increased from 0.8 (95% CI, 0.3–1.3) in 1988 to 1.9 (95% CI, 1.1–2.7) in 1993/1994 and 4.4 (95% CI, 3.2–5.5) in 1999 (P<0.001 for trend). Among people ≥80 years of age, the rate of anticoagulant-associated ICH increased from 2.5 (95% CI, 0.7–4.1) in 1988 to 45.9 (95% CI, 25.6–66.2) in 1999 (P<0.001 for trend).29

TIA: Prevalence, Incidence, and Prognosis

- In a nationwide survey of US adults, the estimated prevalence of self-reported physician-diagnosed TIA was 2.3%, which translates to ≈5 million people. The true prevalence
of TIA is greater, because many patients who experience neurological symptoms consistent with a TIA fail to report it to their healthcare provider. In the GCNKS, according to data from 1993 and 1994, the age-, sex-, and race-adjusted incidence rate for TIA was 0.83 per 10,000. The age- and sex-adjusted incidence rate for TIA in Rochester, MN, was estimated at 0.68 per 1000 for the years 1985 through 1989. In a more recent Italian community-based registry conducted in 2007 to 2009, the crude TIA incidence rate was 0.52 per 1000. The prevalence of physician-diagnosed TIA increases with age. Incidence of TIA increases with age and varies by sex and race/ethnicity. Men, blacks, and Mexican Americans have higher rates of TIA than their female and non-Hispanic white counterparts. Approximately 15% of all strokes are heralded by a TIA. TIA confers a substantial short-term risk of stroke, hospitalization for CVD events, and death. Of 1707 TIA patients evaluated in the ED of Kaiser Permanente Northern California, a large, integrated healthcare delivery system, 180 (11%) experienced a stroke within 90 days. Ninety-one patients (5%) had a stroke within 2 days. Predictors of stroke included age >60 years, DM, focal symptoms of weakness or speech impairment, and TIA that lasted >10 minutes. Meta-analyses of cohorts of patients with TIA have shown the short-term risk of stroke after TIA to be ≈3% to 10% at 2 days and 9% to 17% at 90 days. Individuals who have a TIA and survive the initial high-risk period have a 10-year stroke risk of roughly 19% and a combined 10-year stroke, MI, or vascular death risk of 43% (4% per year). Within 1 year of TIA, ≈12% of patients will die. It is estimated that one third of episodes characterized as TIA according to the classic definition (ie, focal neurological deficits that resolve within 24 hours) would be considered infarctions on the basis of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging findings.

**Recurrent Stroke**

- In a cohort of 10,399 patients discharged with a primary diagnosis of stroke in the state of South Carolina in 2002, recurrent stroke rates were 1.8% at 1 month, 5% at 6 months, 8% at 1 year, and 18.1% at 4 years.
- In the REGARDS cohort with 5 years of follow-up, participants with self-reported stroke symptoms, TIA, distant stroke, or recent stroke all had increased risk of future stroke compared with those with no symptoms. After risk factor adjustment, there was a monotonically increasing risk of subsequent stroke across this symptomatic spectrum.
- Annual recurrent stroke rates in control arms of stroke prevention trials fell from 8.71% in trials launched in the 1960s to 6.10% in the 1970s, 5.41% in the 1980s, 4.04% in the 1990s, and 4.98% in the 2000s. Assuming a continued linear decline, the annual recurrent stroke rate in trial control arms in the coming decade is projected to be 2.25%.
- From 1994 to 2002, 1-year recurrent ischemic stroke rates declined by almost 5% among elderly Medicare beneficiaries, but declines were heterogeneous across geographic regions of the United States.
- Among 600 Scandinavian stroke patients followed up for 2 years, 55 (9.2%) had had a recurrent stroke, 15 (2.5%) had a TIA, 4 (0.7%) had a coronary event, and 24 (4.0%) had died. Recurrent stroke occurred in 19.2% of patients with index stroke caused by large-artery disease, 4.9% with small-vessel disease, 8.2% with cardioembolic cause, 5.6% with cryptogenic cause, and 12.8% of other and undetermined cause combined.
- Recurrent stroke is associated with a greater number of risk factors and a higher incidence of large-artery atherosclerosis than the first stroke.
- Among 1626 first-ever stroke patients in the South London Register, first stroke recurrence rates during the first, second, third, fourth, and fifth years were 8% (95% CI, 6.5%–9.8%), 3.3% (2.2%–4.9%), 3.5% (2.1%–5.8%), 1.2% (0.4%–3.7%), and 1.8% (0.4%–7.4%). Cumulative risks of first stroke recurrence were 2.6% (1.9%–3.7%) at 3 months, 8.0% (6.5%–9.8%) at 1 year, 14.1% (11.8%–16.7%) at 3 years, and 16.6% (13.5%–20.4%) at 5 years.

**Stroke Mortality**

(See Table 14-1 and Charts 14-6 and 14-7.)

- On average, every 4 minutes, someone dies of a stroke (NCHS, NHLBI).
- Stroke accounted for ≈1 of every 19 deaths in the United States in 2010.
- When considered separately from other CVDs, stroke ranks No. 4 among all causes of death, behind diseases of the heart, cancer, and CLRD (NCHS mortality data). The number of deaths with stroke as an underlying cause in 2010 was 129,476; any-mention mortality in 2010 was 217,621, and the age-adjusted death rate for stroke as an underlying cause of death was 39.1 per 100,000.
- Approximately 55% of stroke deaths in 2010 occurred out of the hospital (unpublished tabulation from NCHS 2010 mortality data set).
- More women than men die of stroke each year because of the larger number of elderly women. Women accounted for almost 60% of US stroke deaths in 2010 (AHA tabulation).
- From 2000 to 2010, the annual stroke death rate decreased 35.8% and the actual number of stroke deaths declined 22.8% (AHA computation). Conclusions about changes in stroke death rates from 1981 to 2009 are as follows:

---

There was a greater decline in stroke death rates in men than in women, with a male-to-female ratio that decreased from 1.11 to 1.05 (age adjusted).

Stroke death rates declined more in people aged 45 to 64 years (–51.7%) than in those ≥65 years of age (–48.3%) or those aged 18 to 44 years (–37.8%).

The decline in stroke mortality over the past several decades, a major improvement in population health observed for both sexes and all race and age groups, is the result of reduced stroke incidence and lower case-fatality rates. The significant improvements in stroke outcomes are concurrent with cardiovascular risk factor control interventions. The hypertension control efforts initiated in the 1970s appear to have had the most substantial influence on the accelerated decline in stroke mortality, with lower blood pressure.
distributions in the population. Control of DM and dyslipidemia, as well as smoking cessation programs, particularly in combination with hypertension treatment, also appear to have contributed to the decline in stroke mortality.50

- In examining trends in stroke mortality by US census divisions between 1999 and 2007 for people ≥45 years of age, the rate of decline varied by geographic region and race/ethnic group. Among black and white women and white men, rates declined by ≥2% annually in every census division, but among black men, rates declined little in the East and West South Central divisions.51

- From 1995 to 1998, age-standardized mortality rates for ischemic stroke, SAH, and ICH were higher among blacks than whites. Death rates attributable to ICH also were higher among Asians/Pacific Islanders than among whites. All minority populations had higher death rates attributable to SAH than did whites. Among adults 25 to 44 years of age, blacks and American Indian/Alaska Natives had higher risk ratios for stroke mortality than did whites for all 3 stroke subtypes. Age-standardized mortality rates for ischemic stroke and ICH were lower for Hispanics than for whites.52

- In 2002, death certificate data showed that the mean age at stroke death was 79.6 years; however, males had a younger mean age at stroke death than females. Blacks, American Indian/Alaska Natives, and Asian/Pacific Islanders had younger mean ages than whites, and the mean age at stroke death was also younger among Hispanics than non-Hispanics.53

- A report released by the CDC in collaboration with the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, the Atlas of Stroke Hospitalizations Among Medicare Beneficiaries, found that in Medicare beneficiaries over the time period 1995 to 2002, the 30-day mortality rate varied by age: 9% in patients 65 to 74 years of age, 13.1% in those 74 to 84 years of age, and 23% in those ≥85 years of age.54

- The Netherlands FUTURE study enrolled 959 consecutive patients aged 18 to 50 years who had been admitted to a single academic center with first-ever TIA (n=262), ischemic stroke (n=606), or ICH (n=91). Over a mean follow-up of 11.1 years (follow-up rate of 97%), among 30-day survivors, the observed 20-year mortality for each stroke type exceeded the expected mortality in the general population. Among the patients, mortality ranged from 1.2% to 2.9% at 1 year to 2.5% to 6.1% at 5 years, 9.2% to 12.4% at 10 years, and 13% to 26.8% at 20 years. Among the stroke cases, the relative excess of deaths compared with the general population was greatest among the youngest subjects, but the absolute excess of deaths was highest among the older subjects.55

- There are substantial geographic disparities in stroke mortality, with higher rates in the southeastern United States, known as the “stroke belt.” This area is usually defined to include the 8 southern states of North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Tennessee, Mississippi, Alabama, Louisiana, and Arkansas. These geographic differences have existed since at least 1940,56 and despite some minor shifts, they persist.54,58,59 Within the stroke belt, a “buckle” region along the coastal plain of North Carolina, South Carolina, and Georgia has been identified with an even higher stroke mortality rate than the remainder of the stroke belt. The overall average stroke mortality is ≈20% higher in the stroke belt than in the rest of the nation and ≈40% higher in the stroke buckle.60

**Stroke Risk Factors**
(See Table 14-2 and Chart 14-8.)
For prevalence and other information on any of these specific risk factors, refer to the specific risk factor chapters.

**High Blood Pressure**
(See Chapter 9 for more information.)

- BP is a powerful determinant of risk for both ischemic stroke and intracranial hemorrhage.

---

**Diabetes Mellitus**
(See Chapter 10 for more information.)

- DM increases ischemic stroke incidence at all ages, but this risk is most prominent (risk ratio for ischemic stroke
Disorders of Heart Rhythm

(See Chapter 16 for more information.)

- **AF** is a powerful risk factor for stroke, independently increasing risk 5-fold throughout all ages. The percentage of strokes attributable to AF increases steeply from 1.5% at 50 to 59 years of age to 23.5% at 80 to 89 years of age.67–77
- Because **AF** is often asymptomatic77a,77b and likely frequently undetected clinically,78 the stroke risk attributed to AF may be substantially underestimated.79 Screening for AF in patients with cryptogenic stroke or TIA by use of outpatient telemetry for 21 to 30 days has resulted in an AF detection rate of 12% to 23%.78–80
- Among 2580 participants ≥65 years of age with hypertension in whom a cardiac rhythm device that included an atrial lead was implanted, 35% developed subclinical tachyarrhythmias (defined as an atrial rate ≥190 beats per minute that lasted ≥6 minutes). These subclinical events were independently associated with a 2.5-fold increased risk of ischemic stroke or systemic embolism.81
- Important risk factors for stroke in the setting of AF include advancing age, hypertension, HF, DM, previous stroke or TIA, vascular disease, and female sex.82–84 Additional biomarkers, including high levels of troponin and B-type natriuretic peptide, increase the risk of stroke in the setting of AF independent of those well-established clinical characteristics.85

High Blood Cholesterol and Other Lipids

(See Chapter 8 for more information.)

- An association between **total cholesterol** and ischemic stroke has been found in some prospective studies,86–88 but not others.89–91
- Data from the Honolulu Heart Program/NHLBI found that in Japanese men 71 to 93 years of age, low concentrations of **HDL cholesterol** were more likely to be associated with a future risk of thromboembolic stroke than were high concentrations.92 However, a meta-analysis of 23 studies performed in the Asia-Pacific Region showed no significant association between low **HDL cholesterol** and stroke risk.93
- In an analysis by the Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration of individual records on 302,430 people without initial vascular disease from 68 long-term prospective studies, HRs for ischemic stroke were 1.02 (95% CI, 0.94–1.11) with **triglyceride**, 0.93 (95% CI, 0.84–1.02) with **HDL cholesterol**, and 1.12 (95% CI, 1.04–1.20) with non-**HDL cholesterol**.94
- A Finish study of 27,703 men and 30,532 women followed up for >20 years for ischemic stroke found an independent inverse association of **HDL cholesterol** with the risks of total and ischemic stroke in women.95
- Among 13,951 patients in the Copenhagen Heart Study followed up for 33 years for ischemic stroke, increasing stepwise levels of nonfasting **triglycerides** were associated with increased risk of ischemic stroke in both men and women. Stepwise increasing levels of **total cholesterol** were not associated with risk of ischemic stroke in women, but levels >9.00 mmol/L were in men.95

Smoking

(See Chapter 3 for more information.)

- Current smokers have a 2 to 4 times increased risk of stroke compared with nonsmokers or those who have quit for >10 years.96,97
Cigarette smoking is a risk factor for ischemic stroke and SAH, but the data for ICH are less consistent.96,97 Smoking is perhaps the most important modifiable risk factor in preventing SAH, with the highest PAR of any SAH risk factor.98 Data also support a dose-response relationship across old and young age groups.96,99 Discontinuation of smoking has been shown to reduce stroke risk across sex, race, and age groups.99 Exposure to secondhand smoke (also termed passive smoking or environmental tobacco smoke) is a risk factor for stroke. Meta-analyses have estimated a pooled RR of 1.25 for exposure to spousal smoking (or nearest equivalent) and risk of stroke. A dose-response relationship between exposure to secondhand smoke and stroke risk has also been reported.100,101

Physical Inactivity

(See Chapter 4 for more information.)

In NOMAS, a prospective cohort that included white, black, and Hispanic adults in an urban setting followed up for a median of 9 years, moderate to vigorous PA was associated with an overall 35% reduction in risk of ischemic stroke.102 The NOMAS study found that only moderate- to vigorous-intensity exercise was associated with reduced stroke incidence, whereas light exercise (such as walking) showed no benefit.102 Timing of PA in relation to stroke onset has also been examined in several studies. In a hospital-based case-control study from Heidelberg, Germany, recent activity (within the prior months) was associated with reduced odds of having a stroke or TIA, whereas sports activity during young adulthood that was not continued showed no benefit.103 In a Danish case-control study, ischemic stroke patients were less physically active in the week preceding the stroke than age- and sex-matched control subjects, with the highest activity scores associated with the greatest reduction in odds of stroke.104 Recent results from REGARDS found that participants reporting PA <4 times per week had a 20% increased risk of incident stroke over a mean of 5.7 years compared with those exercising ≥4 times per week. This relationship, which was more pronounced in men than in women, may be explained in large part by the effect of PA on reducing traditional risk factors, such as obesity and DM.105

Nutrition

(See Chapter 5 for more information.)

Adherence to a Mediterranean-style diet that was higher in nuts and olive oil was associated with a reduced risk of stroke (HR, 0.54; 95% CI, 0.35–0.84) in a randomized clinical trial conducted in Spain. The protective benefit of the Mediterranean diet observed was greater for strokes than for MI, but stroke subtype was not available.107 In the Nurses Health and Health Professionals Follow-up Studies, each 1-serving increase in sugar-sweetened soda beverage was associated with a 13% increased risk of ischemic stroke but not hemorrhagic stroke. Conversely, each 1-serving increase in low-calorie or diet soda was associated with a 7% increased risk of ischemic stroke and 27% increased risk of hemorrhagic stroke.108 A meta-analysis of >94000 people with 34817 stroke events demonstrated that eating ≥5 servings of fish per week versus eating <1 serving per week was associated with a 12% reduction in stroke risk; however, these results were not consistent across all cohort studies.109 Using registry data from Sweden, people eating ≥7 servings of fruits and vegetables per day had a 19% reduced risk of stroke compared with those only eating 1 serving per day. This effect was only seen in people who did not have hypertension.110

Family History and Genetics

(See Chapter 7 for more information.)

In the FHS, a documented parental ischemic stroke by the age of 65 years was associated with a 3-fold increase in ischemic stroke risk in offspring, even after adjustment for other known stroke risk factors. The absolute magnitude of the increased risk was greatest in those in the highest quintile of the FRS. By age 65 years, people in the highest FRS quintile with an early parental ischemic stroke had a 25% risk of stroke compared with a 7.5% risk of ischemic stroke for those without such a history.111

Chronic Kidney Disease

(See Chapter 12 for more information.)

The CHS (NHLBI) showed that people with creatinine ≥1.5 mg/dl were at increased risk for stroke, with an adjusted HR of 1.77 (95% CI, 1.08–2.91).112 Participants in REGARDS with a reduced eGFR were also shown to have increased risk of stroke symptoms,113 and a meta-analysis of >280000 patients showed a 43% increased incident stroke risk among patients with a GFR <60 mL·min⁻¹·1.73 m⁻².114 In a study of 539287 Swedish men and women followed up for 12 years,115 HRs for ICH were as follows: for GFR 60 to 90 mL·min⁻¹·1.73 m⁻² (mild), 1.04 (95% CI, 0.93–1.15); for GFR 30 to 60 mL·min⁻¹·1.73 m⁻² (moderate), 1.26 (95% CI, 0.96–1.64); and for GFR 15 to 30 mL·min⁻¹·1.73 m⁻² (severe impairment), 2.31 (95% CI, 1.10–4.87). Among 128 patients with ICH, the presence of GFR <45 mL·min⁻¹·1.73 m⁻² is associated with larger, lobar hematomas and poor outcome.116 A urinary albumin to creatinine ratio >30 mg/g was associated with a 40% increased risk of stroke in black participants but not white participants in the REGARDS study.117

Risk Factor Issues Specific to Women

On average, women are older at stroke onset than men (≈75 years compared with 71 years).14 In the setting of AF, women have a significantly higher risk of stroke than men.118–122 Analysis of data from the FHS found that women with natural menopause before 42 years of age had twice the ischemic stroke risk of women with natural menopause after 42 years of age.123 Investigators from the Nurse’s Health Study, however, did not find an association between age at natural menopause and risk of ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke.124
Overall, randomized clinical trial data indicate that the use of estrogen plus progesterin, as well as estrogen alone, increases stroke risk in postmenopausal, generally healthy women and provides no protection for postmenopausal women with established CHD and recent stroke or TIA.

In a nested case-control study of the United Kingdom’s General Practice Research Database, stroke risk was not increased for users of low-dose (≤50 μg) estrogen patches (RR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.62–1.05) but was increased for users of high-dose (>50 μg) patches (RR, 1.89; 95% CI, 1.15–3.11) compared with nonusers.

Low-estrogen-dose oral contraceptives are associated with a 93% increased risk of ischemic stroke, but the absolute increased risk is small, (4.1 ischemic strokes per 100,000 nonsmoking, normotensive women).

Migraine with aura is associated with ischemic stroke in younger women, particularly if they smoke or use oral contraceptives. The combination of all 3 factors increases the risk 9-fold compared with women without any of these factors.

The risk of ischemic stroke or ICH during pregnancy and the first 6 weeks after giving birth was 2.4 times greater than for nonpregnant women of similar age and race, according to the Baltimore-Washington Cooperative Young Stroke Study. The risk of ischemic stroke during pregnancy was not increased during pregnancy per se but was increased 8.7-fold during the first 6 postpartum weeks. ICH showed a small RR of 2.5 during pregnancy that increased dramatically to an RR of 28.3 in the first 6 postpartum weeks. The excess risk of stroke (all types except SAH) attributable to the combined pregnancy/postpregnancy period was 8.1 per 100,000 pregnancies.

Analyses of the US Nationwide Inpatient Sample from 1994 to 1995 and from 2006 to 2007 show a temporal increase in the proportion of pregnancy hospitalizations that were associated with a stroke, with a 47% increase for antenatal hospitalizations and an 83% increase for postpartum hospitalizations, but no increase for delivery hospitalizations. Increases in the prevalence of HD and hypertensive disorders accounted for almost all the increase in postpartum stroke hospitalizations but not the antenatal stroke hospitalizations.

Preeclampsia is a risk factor for ischemic stroke remote from pregnancy. The subsequent stroke risk of preeclampsia maybe mediated by a 3.6- to 6.1-fold higher later risk of hypertension and a 3.1- to 3.7-fold higher later risk of DM, depending on whether the preeclampsia was mild or severe.

Sleep Apnea

The prevalence of sleep-disordered breathing, defined as an AHI >5, has been estimated to be 24% for men and 9% for women aged 30 to 60 years.

In the Sleep Heart Health Study, obstructive sleep apnea measured by the obstructive AHI was associated with risk of incident ischemic stroke in men after adjustment for confounders (P=0.016 for linear trend associated with quartiles of AHI) but not in women. Compared with men in the lowest quartile of AHI, men in the highest quartile (AHI >19) had an adjusted HR of 2.9 (95% CI, 1.1–7.4).

In the Victoria Sleep Project, severe sleep apnea (AHI ≥30) was associated with increased risk of incident ischemic stroke in community-dwelling elderly (HR, 2.5; 95% CI, 1.0–6.0).

Obstructive sleep apnea is associated with poststroke mortality.

Sleep apnea is common after stroke, with prevalence in excess of 50%.

No definitive study has been conducted to determine whether treatment with continuous positive airway pressure prevents stroke or improves poststroke outcomes.

Awareness of Stroke Warning Signs and Risk Factors

Correct knowledge of at least 1 stroke warning sign increased from 48% in 1995 to 68% in 2000, with no significant improvement to 2005 (68%) on the basis of a telephone survey conducted in a biracial population in the greater Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky region. Knowledge of 3 correct warning signs was low but increased over time: 5.4% in 1995, 12.0% in 2000, and 15.7% in 2005. Knowledge of at least 1 stroke risk factor increased from 59% in 1995 to 71% in 2000, but there was no improvement to 2005 (71%). Only 3.6% of those surveyed were able to independently identify tPA as an available drug therapy, and only 9% of these were able to identify a window of <3 hours for treatment.

In the 2009 NHIS, 51.2% of subjects were aware of 5 stroke warning symptoms and would first call 9-1-1 if they thought that someone was having a stroke. Awareness of all 5 stroke warning symptoms and calling 9-1-1 was higher among whites than blacks and Hispanics (55.9%, 47.1%, and 36.5%, respectively), women than men (53.6% versus 48.6%), and people with higher versus lower educational attainment (59.0% for people with a bachelor’s degree or more compared with 51.4% for people with a high school diploma or some college and 36.7% for those who had not received a high school diploma; unpublished NHLBI tabulation).

A study was conducted of patients admitted to an ED with possible stroke to determine their knowledge of the signs, symptoms, and risk factors of stroke. Of the 163 patients able to respond, 39% did not know a single sign or symptom. Patients ≥65 years of age were less likely than those <65 years old to know a sign or symptom of stroke (28% versus 47%), and 43% did not know a single risk factor. Overall, almost 40% of patients did not know the signs, symptoms, and risk factors for stroke.

In 2004, 800 adults ≥45 years of age were surveyed to assess their perceived risk for stroke and their history of stroke risk factors. Overall, 39% perceived themselves to be at risk. Younger age, current smoking, a history of DM, HBP, high cholesterol, HD, and stroke/TIA were independently associated with perceived risk for stroke. Respondents with AF were no more likely to report being at risk than were respondents without AF. Perceived risk for stroke increased as the number of risk factors increased; however,
46% of those with ≥3 risk factors did not perceive themselves to be at risk.147

- A study of patients who had experienced a stroke found that only 60.5% were able to accurately identify 1 stroke risk factor and that 55.3% were able to identify 1 stroke symptom. Patients’ median delay time from onset of symptoms to admission in the ED was 16 hours, and only 31.6% accessed the ED in <2 hours. Analysis showed that the appearance of nonmotor symptoms as the primary symptom and nonuse of the 9-1-1 system were significant predictors of delay ≥2 hours. Someone other than the patient made the decision to seek treatment in 66% of the cases.148

- Spanish-speaking Hispanics are less likely to know all stroke symptoms than English-speaking Hispanics, non-Hispanic blacks, and non-Hispanic whites. Lack of English proficiency is strongly associated with lack of stroke knowledge among Hispanics.149

**Aftermath**

(See Charts 14-9 through 14-11.)

- Stroke is a leading cause of serious long-term disability in the United States (Survey of Income and Program Participation, a survey of the US Census Bureau).150

- Stroke was among the top 18 diseases contributing to years lived with disability in 2010; of these 18 causes, only the age-standardized rates for stroke increased significantly between 1990 and 2010 (P<0.05).151

- Among Medicare patients discharged from the hospital after stroke, ≈45% return directly home, 24% are discharged to inpatient rehabilitation facilities, and 31% are discharged to skilled nursing facilities. Of stroke patients returning directly home, 32% use home healthcare services.152 For Medicare patients (including, but not limited to, stroke survivors), the likelihood of receiving inpatient rehabilitation facility care versus skilled nursing facility care is substantially influenced by the distance to and availability of inpatient rehabilitation facility beds.153

- Approximately one third of stroke survivors experience poststroke depression.154

- In the NHLBI’s FHS, among ischemic stroke survivors who were ≥65 years of age, the following disabilities were observed at 6 months after stroke155:

  - 50% had some hemiparesis
  - 30% were unable to walk without some assistance
  - 46% had cognitive deficits
  - 35% had depressive symptoms
  - 19% had aphasia
  - 26% were dependent in activities of daily living
  - 26% were institutionalized in a nursing home

- Visual impairments persist in 21% of stroke survivors 90 days after stroke.156

- Initial severity of upper limb weakness is the best predictor of ultimate recovery of upper limb motor function.157

- Data from the BRFSS (CDC) 2005 survey on stroke survivors in 21 states and the District of Columbia found that 30.7% of stroke survivors received outpatient rehabilitation. The findings indicated that the prevalence of stroke survivors receiving outpatient stroke rehabilitation was lower than would be expected if clinical practice guideline recommendations for all stroke patients had been followed.158

- After stroke, women have greater disability than men. A cross-sectional analysis of 5888 community-living elderly people (>65 years of age) in the CHS who were ambulatory at baseline found that women were half as likely to be independent in activities of daily living after stroke, even after controlling for age, race, education, and marital status.159 A prospective study from a Michigan-based stroke registry found that women had a 63% lower probability of achieving independence in activities of daily living 3 months after discharge, even after controlling for age, race, subtype, prestroke ambulatory status, and other patient characteristics.160

- Black stroke survivors had greater limitations in ambulation than white stroke survivors, after adjustment for age, sex, and educational attainment but not stroke subtype, according to data from the NHIS (2000–2001, NCHS) as analyzed by the CDC.161 A national study of inpatient rehabilitation after first stroke found that blacks were younger, had a higher proportion of hemorrhagic stroke, and were more disabled on admission. Compared with non-Hispanic whites, blacks and Hispanics also had a poorer functional status at discharge but were more likely to be discharged to home rather than to another institution, even after adjustment for age and stroke subtype. After adjustment for the same covariates, compared with non-Hispanic whites, blacks also had less improvement in functional status per inpatient day.162

**Stroke in Children**

- On the basis of pathogenic differences, pediatric strokes are typically classified as either perinatal (occurring at ≤28 days of life and including in utero strokes) or (later) childhood.

- Estimates of the overall annual incidence of stroke in US children are 6.4 per 100 000 children (0 to 15 years) in 1999 in the GCNKSS163 and 4.6 per 100 000 children (0 to 19 years) in 1997 to 2003 according to data from Kaiser Permanente of Northern California, a large, integrated healthcare delivery system.164 Approximately half of all incident childhood strokes are hemorrhagic.165–167

- The prevalence of perinatal strokes is 29 per 100 000 live births, or 1 per 3500 live births in the 1997 to 2003 Kaiser Permanente of Northern California population.164

- A history of infertility, preeclampsia, prolonged rupture of membranes, and chorioamnionitis are independent maternal risk factors for perinatal arterial ischemic stroke.166 However, maternal health and pregnancies are normal in most cases.167

- The most common cause of arterial ischemic stroke in children is a cerebral arteriopathy, found in more than half of all cases.168,169

- HD confers an 8- to 16-fold increased risk of arterial ischemic stroke but was present in only 8% of children with stroke in a population-based cohort.170

- Exposure to minor infection in the prior month is an independent risk factor for childhood arterial ischemic stroke, present in one third of cases (adjusted OR, 3.9; 95% CI, 2.0–7.4). Head or neck trauma in the prior week is an even
Stroke in the Very Elderly

- Stroke patients >85 years of age make up 17% of all stroke patients.\textsuperscript{184}
- Very elderly patients have a higher risk-adjusted mortality, have higher disability, have longer hospitalizations, receive less evidenced-based care, and are less likely to be discharged to their original place of residence.\textsuperscript{186,187}
- According to analyses from the US Nationwide Inpatient Sample, over the past decade, in-hospital mortality rates after stroke have declined for every age/sex group except men aged >84 years.\textsuperscript{188}
- Over the next 40 years (2010–2050), the number of incident strokes is expected to more than double, with the majority of the increase among the elderly (aged ≥75 years) and minority groups.\textsuperscript{189}

Barriers to Stroke Care

- On the basis of NHIS data from 2000 to 2006, elderly Mexican American and non-Hispanic black stroke survivors had less access to physician care (generalist and specialist physician visits) and medications than whites; however, for patients aged 45 to 64 years, these differences were present only for specialist care. Lack of health insurance conferred the highest adjusted odds for reduced access in both age groups.\textsuperscript{190}
- GWTG data from 2003 to 2009 found that less than half of patients presenting with stroke symptoms received imaging within the recommended 25 minutes of hospital arrival. Factors significantly associated with longer time to imaging included older age, being female, non-white race, having DM, and arrival by means other than EMS.\textsuperscript{191}
- Data from the Paul Coverdell National Acute Stroke Registry found that more patients were transported by ambulance than by other means (43.6%). Significantly fewer blacks (42.4%) arrived within 2 hours of symptom onset than did whites (49.5%), and significantly fewer nonambulance patients (36.2%) arrived within 2 hours of symptom onset than did patients transported by ambulance (58.6%).\textsuperscript{192}
- Data from the GWTG-Stroke program examining trends in time from symptom onset to hospital arrival between 2002 and 2009 found that there had been little overall improvement in the proportion of ischemic stroke patients arriving within 2 hours of symptom onset during this time period; only 20.6% of the 413,000 subjects arrived within 2 hours, although this increased to 26.9% when the time period was extended to 3.5 hours.\textsuperscript{193}
- Recent data have shown a steady increase in the proportion of ischemic stroke patients who are treated with tPA therapy. For example, data from 2 US administrative databases in 2009 found that between 3.4% and 5.2% of acute ischemic strokes were treated with tPA, which was approximately double the treatment rate observed in the same data sources in 2005.\textsuperscript{194}
- Data obtained from the Nationwide Inpatient Sample between 2004 and 2009 from 25 states showed that tPA treatment rates were higher in Joint Commission–certified primary stroke centers (6.7%) compared with noncertified hospitals (2.2%); however, over this 6-year period, tPA treatment rates increased faster in noncertified hospitals (1.4%–3.3%) than in primary stroke centers (6.5%–6.7%).\textsuperscript{195}
- NHIS data from 1998 to 2002 found that younger stroke survivors (aged 45–64 years) self-reported worse access to physician care and medication affordability than older stroke survivors. Compared with older patients, younger
stroke survivors were more likely to be male (52% versus 47%), to be black (19% versus 10%), and to lack health insurance (11% versus 0.4%). Lack of health insurance was associated with reduced access to care.196

● Results from the BASIC project found that women were less likely to arrive at the ED within 3 hours of stroke symptom onset than men (OR, 0.7; 95% CI, 0.5–0.9). Mexican Americans were 40% less likely to arrive by EMS than non-Hispanic whites, even after adjustment for age, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score, education, history of stroke, and insurance status. Language fluency was not associated with time to hospital arrival or use of EMS. The receipt of tPA was low (1.5%) but did not differ by sex or ethnicity.197

Hospital Discharges/Ambulatory Care Visits
(See Table 14-1.)

● From 2000 to 2010, the number of inpatient discharges from short-stay hospitals with stroke as the first-listed diagnosis remained about the same, with discharges of 981 000 and 1 015 000, respectively (NHDS, NHLBI tabulation).198

● Data from 2010 from the NHDS of the NCHS showed that the average length of stay for discharges with stroke as the first-listed diagnosis was 6.1 days (median, 3 days) compared with 9.5 days (median, 6 days) in 1990 (NHDS, NHLBI tabulation).199

● In 2010, men and women accounted for roughly the same number of hospital stays for stroke in the 18- to 44-year-old age group. Among people 45 to 64 years of age, 57.1% of stroke patients were men. After 65 years of age, women were the majority. Among people 65 to 84 years of age, 53.4% of stroke patients were women, whereas among those ≥85 years of age, women constituted 66.2% of all stroke patients.199

● A first-ever county-level Atlas of Stroke Hospitalizations Among Medicare Beneficiaries was released in 2008 by the CDC in collaboration with the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. It found that the stroke hospitalization rate for blacks was 27% higher than for the US population in general, 30% higher than for whites, and 36% higher than for Hispanics. In contrast to whites and Hispanics, the highest percentage of strokes in blacks (42.3%) occurred in the youngest Medicare age group (65–74 years of age).54

● In 2010, there were 671,000 ED visits and 257,000 outpatient department visits with stroke as the first-listed diagnosis. In 2010, physician office visits for a first-listed diagnosis of stroke totaled 2,207,000 (NHAMCS, unpublished NHLBI tabulation).200

Operations and Procedures
(See Chart 14-12.)

● In 2010, an estimated 100,000 inpatient endarterectomy procedures were performed in the United States. Carotid endarterectomy is the most frequently performed surgical procedure to prevent stroke (NHDS, NHLBI tabulation).

● Although rates of carotid endarterectomy have decreased between 1997 and 2010, the use of carotid stenting has increased dramatically (Nationwide Inpatient Sample, HCUP, AHRQ).

● The practice of carotid stenting in the United States is expanding, from <3% of all carotid artery revascularization procedures in 1998 to 13% in 2008.201

● The randomized CREST study compared carotid endarterectomy and stenting for symptomatic and asymptomatic carotid stenosis. There was no overall difference in the primary end point of stroke, MI, or death; however, carotid endarterectomy showed superiority with increasing age, with the crossover point at approximately age 70, and was associated with fewer strokes, which had a greater impact on quality of life than MI.202,203

● In-hospital mortality for carotid endarterectomy has decreased steadily from 1993 to 2010 (Nationwide Inpatient Sample, HCUP, AHRQ).

● In the Medicare population, in-hospital stroke rate and mortality are similar for carotid endarterectomy and carotid stenting.204

● Carotid stenting is associated with significantly higher costs than carotid endarterectomy in asymptomatic patients205 and may be less cost-effective in general.206

● The percentage of patients undergoing carotid endarterectomy within 2 weeks of the onset of stroke increased from 13% in 2007 to 47% in 2010.207

Cost
(See Table 14-1.)

● The direct and indirect cost of stroke in 2010 was $36.5 billion (MEPS, NHLBI tabulation).

● The estimated direct medical cost of stroke for 2010 is $20.6 billion. This includes hospital outpatient or office-based provider visits, hospital inpatient stays, ED visits, prescribed medicines, and home health care.208

● The mean expense per patient for direct care for any type of service (including hospital inpatient stays, outpatient and office-based visits, ED visits, prescribed medicines, and home health care) in the United States in 2010 was estimated at $5,455.208

● The mean lifetime cost of ischemic stroke in the United States is estimated at $140,048. This includes inpatient care, rehabilitation, and follow-up care necessary for lasting deficits. (All numbers were converted to 1999 dollars by use of the medical component of the Consumer Price Index.)209

● Between 2012 and 2030, total direct medical stroke-related costs are projected to triple, from $71.6 billion to $184.1 billion, with the majority of the projected increase in costs arising from those 65 to 79 years of age.9

● Inpatient hospital costs for an acute stroke event account for 70% of first-year poststroke costs.209

● The largest components of short-term care costs were room charges (50%), medical management (21%), and diagnostic tests (19%).210

● Death within 7 days, SAH, and stroke while hospitalized for another condition are associated with higher costs in the first year. Lower costs are associated with mild cerebral infarctions or residence in a nursing home before the stroke.211

● Demographic variables (age, sex, and insurance status) are not associated with stroke cost. Severe strokes (National...
Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score >20) cost twice as much as mild strokes, despite similar diagnostic testing. Comorbidities such as ischemic HD and AF predict higher costs. 

**The total cost of stroke from 2005 to 2050, in 2005 dollars, is projected to be $1.52 trillion for non-Hispanic whites, $313 billion for Hispanics, and $379 billion for blacks.** The per capita cost of stroke estimate is highest in blacks ($25,782), followed by Hispanics ($17,201) and non-Hispanic whites ($15,597). Loss of earnings is expected to be the highest cost contributor in each race/ethnic group. 

During 2001 to 2005, the average cost for outpatient stroke rehabilitation services and medications the first year after inpatient rehabilitation discharge was $11,145. The corresponding average yearly cost of medication was $3376, whereas the average cost of yearly rehabilitation service utilization was $7318.

*Recurrent stroke patients had 38% higher costs per patient 1 year after discharge from index hospitalization than new stroke patients.*

In adjusted models that controlled for relevant covariates, the attributable 1-year cost of poststroke aphasia was estimated at $1703 in 2004 dollars.

*Data from Sweden show that healthcare costs associated with stroke survivors with spasticity are 4-fold higher than for stroke survivors without spasticity.*

*The estimated cost of acute pediatric stroke in the United States was $42 million in 2003. The mean cost of short-term hospital care was $20927 per discharge.*

*After adjustment for routine healthcare costs, the average 5-year cost of a neonatal stroke was $51,719 and that of a childhood stroke was $135,161. Costs among children with stroke continued to exceed those in age-matched control children even in the fifth year by an average of $2016.*
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Table 14-1. Stroke

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td>6 800 000 (2.8%)</td>
<td>795 000</td>
<td>129 476</td>
<td>1 015 000</td>
<td>$36.5 Billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>3 000 000 (2.6%)</td>
<td>370 000 (46.5%)†</td>
<td>52 367 (40.4%)†</td>
<td>485 000</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>3 800 000 (3.0%)</td>
<td>425 000 (53.3%)†</td>
<td>77 109 (59.6%)†</td>
<td>530 000</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white males</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>325 000‡</td>
<td>43 424</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white females</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>365 000‡</td>
<td>65 695</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black males</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>45 000‡</td>
<td>69 38</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black females</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>60 000‡</td>
<td>90 27</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American males</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American females</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>2.7%§</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>1.8%§</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or</td>
<td>4.3%§</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska Native</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NH indicates non-Hispanic. Ellipses (…) indicate data not available.

*Mortality data for the white, black, Asian or Pacific Islander, and American Indian or Alaska Native populations include deaths of people of Hispanic and non-Hispanic origin. Numbers of deaths for the American Indian or Alaska Native and Asian or Pacific Islander populations are known to be underestimated.

†These percentages represent the portion of total stroke incidence or mortality that applies to males vs females.

‡Estimates include Hispanics and non-Hispanics. Estimates for whites include other nonblack races.

§National Health Interview Survey (2012), National Center for Health Statistics; data are weighted percentages for Americans ≥18 y of age.218

||Includes Chinese, Filipino, Hawaiian, Japanese, and other Asian or Pacific Islander.

¶ Estimate considered unreliable or does not meet standards of reliability or precision.

Sources: Prevalence: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2007 to 2010, National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHBLI). Percentages for racial/ethnic groups are age adjusted for Americans ≥20 y of age. Age-specific percentages are extrapolated to the 2010 US population. Incidence: Greater Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky Stroke Study/National Institutes of Neurological Disorders and Stroke data for 1999 provided on August 1, 2007. US estimates compiled by NHBLI. See also Kissela et al.219 Data include children. Mortality: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/NCHS, 2010 Mortality Multiple Cause-of-Death—United States, version dated May 21, 2013. These data represent underlying cause of death only. Mortality data for white and black males and females include Hispanics. Hospital discharges: National Hospital Discharge Survey, NCHS. Data include those inpatients discharged alive, dead, or status unknown.

Cost: NHBLI. Data include estimated direct and indirect costs for 2010.
**Table 14-2. Modifiable Stroke Risk Factors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Prevalence, %</th>
<th>PAR, %*</th>
<th>RR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cigarette smoking</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>12–14†</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hypertension</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages 20–34 y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages 35–44 y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages 45–54 y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages 55–64 y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages 65–74 y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages ≥75 y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diabetes</strong></td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>5–27</td>
<td>1.8–6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High total cholesterol</strong></td>
<td>Data calculated for highest quintile (20%) vs lowest quintile</td>
<td>9.1 (5.7–13.8)</td>
<td>1.5 (95% CI, 1.3–1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous risk for ischemic stroke</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1.25 per 1-mmol/L (38.7 mg/dL) increase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low HDL cholesterol</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40 mg/dL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data calculated for highest quintile (20%) vs lowest quintile</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;35 mg/dL</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20.6 (10.1–30.7)</td>
<td>2.00 (95% CI, 1.43–2.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous risk for ischemic stroke</td>
<td>≈0.5–0.6 for each 1-mmol/L increase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AF (nonvalvular)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall age, y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–79</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80–89</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asymptomatic carotid stenosis</strong></td>
<td>2–8</td>
<td>2–7§</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sickle cell disease</td>
<td>0.25 (of blacks)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>200–400 (i)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmenopausal hormone therapy</td>
<td>25 (Women 50–74 y of age)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral contraceptive use</td>
<td>13 (women 25–44 y)</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dietary factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na intake &gt;2300 mg</td>
<td>75–90</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K intake &lt;4700 mg</td>
<td>90–99</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Table 14-2. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Prevalence, %</th>
<th>PAR, %*</th>
<th>RR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical inactivity</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.39 Stroke death per increase of 5 kg/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.9‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.1§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral arterial disease</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.0¶</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF indicates atrial fibrillation; CHD, coronary heart disease; CI, confidence interval; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; PAR, population attributable risk; and RR, relative risk.

*PAR is the proportion of ischemic stroke in the population that can be attributed to a particular risk factor (see Goldstein et al for formula).

†PAR is for stroke deaths, not ischemic stroke incidence.

‡PAR percent=100×[(prevalence (RR−1)/prevalence (RR−1)+1)].

§Calculated on the basis of referenced data provided in the table or text.

¶Relative to stroke risk in children without sickle cell disease.

Calculated on the basis of point estimates of referenced data provided in the table. For peripheral arterial disease, calculation was based on average RR for men and women.

Adapted from Goldstein et al with permission. Copyright © 2011, American Heart Association, Inc.


Chart 14-3. Annual rate of first cerebral infarction by age, sex, and race (Greater Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky Stroke Study: 1999). Rates for black men and women 45 to 54 years of age and for black men ≥75 years of age are considered unreliable. Source: unpublished data from the Greater Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky Stroke Study.
Chart 14-4. Annual rate of all first-ever strokes by age, sex, and race (Greater Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky Stroke Study: 1999). Rates for black men and women 45 to 54 years of age and for black men ≥75 years of age are considered unreliable.

Chart 14-6. Age-adjusted death rates for stroke by sex and race/ethnicity, 2010. Death rates for the American Indian/Alaska Native and Asian or Pacific Islander populations are known to be underestimated. Stroke includes *International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision* codes I60 to I69 (cerebrovascular disease). Source: National Center for Health Statistics and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.

Chart 14-8. Estimated 10-year stroke risk in adults 55 years of age according to levels of various risk factors (Framingham Heart Study). AF indicates atrial fibrillation; and CVD, cardiovascular disease. Data derived from Wolf et al.221

Chart 14-10. Proportion of patients dead within 5 years after first stroke. Source: pooled data from the Framingham Heart Study, Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study, and Cardiovascular Health Study of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.

Chart 14-11. Proportion of patients with recurrent stroke within 5 years after first stroke. Source: pooled data from the Framingham Heart Study, Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study, and Cardiovascular Health Study of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.
15. Congenital Cardiovascular Defects and Kawasaki Disease


Congenital cardiovascular defects, also known as congenital heart defects, are structural problems that arise from abnormal formation of the heart or major blood vessels. ICD-9 lists 25 congenital heart defect codes, of which 21 designate specific anatomic or hemodynamic lesions.

Defects range in severity from tiny pinholes between chambers that may resolve spontaneously to major malformations that can require multiple surgical procedures before school age and may result in death in utero, in infancy, or in childhood. The common complex defects include the following:

- TOF
- TGA
- AV septal defects
- Coarctation of the aorta
- HPLHS

Congenital heart defects are serious and common conditions that have a significant impact on morbidity, mortality, and healthcare costs in children and in adults.1 As health outcomes improve and survival increases for children living with congenital HD, the burden of care is shifting toward adult populations.2,3

Incidence

The most commonly reported incidence of congenital heart defects in the United States is between 4 and 10 per 1000, clustering around 8 per 1000 live births.4,5 Continental variations in birth prevalence have been reported, from 6.9 per 1000 births in Europe to 9.3 per 1000 in Asia.6 Variations in reported number of incident cases are largely accounted for by the age at detection and the method of diagnosis. Major defects may be apparent in the prenatal or neonatal period, but minor defects may not be detected until adulthood. Detection rates have increased since the advent of cardiac ultrasound7 and pulse oximetry.8 Thus, true measures of the incidence of congenital HD would need to record new cases of defects that present from fetal life onward. Because most estimates are available for new cases detected between birth and the first year of life, birth prevalence is the best proxy for incidence of congenital heart defects. These are typically reported as cases per 1000 live births per year and do not distinguish between tiny defects that resolve without treatment and major malformations. To distinguish more serious defects, some studies also report new cases of sufficient severity to require an invasive procedure or that result in death within the first year of life. Despite the absence of true incidence figures, some data are available and are provided in Table 15-2.

- Using population-based data from the MACDP in metropolitan Atlanta, GA, congenital heart defects occurred in 1 of every 111 to 125 births (live, still, or >20 weeks’ gestation) from 1995 to 1997 and from 1998 to 2005, with variations in sex and racial distribution of some lesions.4
- Data collected in Alberta, Canada, found the total prevalence of CHD to be 12.42 per 1000 total births (live, still, or >20 weeks’ gestation).5
- The National Birth Defects Prevention Network for 13 states from 2004 to 2006 showed the average prevalence of 21 selected major birth defects. These data indicated that there are >6100 estimated annual cases of 5 cardiovascular defects: truncus arteriosus (0.7/10000 births), TGA (3.0/10000 births), TOF (4.0/10000 births), AV septal defect (4.7/10000 births), and HPLHS (2.3/10000 births).10
- Analysis of contemporary birth cohorts with MACDP data revealed that the most common defects at birth were VSD (4.2/1000 births), ASD (1.3/1000 births), valvar pulmonic stenosis (0.6/1000 births); TGF (0.5/1000 births), aortic coarctation (0.4/1000 births), AV septal defect (0.4/1000 births), and TGA (0.2/1000 births).4,11
- An estimated minimum of 32 000 infants are expected to be affected with congenital HD each year in the United States. Of these, an approximate 25%, or 2.4 per 1000 live births, require invasive treatment in the first year of life.12
- Estimates also are available for bicuspid aortic valves, which occur in 13.7 per 1000 people; these defects may not require treatment in infancy but can cause problems later in adulthood.13

Prevalence

(See Tables 15-1 through 15-3.)

The 32nd Bethesda Conference estimated that the total number of adults living with congenital HD in the United States in 2000 was 800 000.1,14 In the United States, 1 in 150 adults are expected to have some form of congenital HD.3
congenital cardiac defects in the general population was 11.89 per 1000 children and 4.09 per 1000 adults in the year 2000.\textsuperscript{15} Extrapolated to the US population in the same year, this yields published estimates of 859,000 children and 850,000 adults for the year 2000.\textsuperscript{11} The expected growth rates of the congenital heart defects population vary from 1% to 5% per year depending on the age and distribution of lesions.\textsuperscript{13}

Estimates of the distribution of lesions in the congenital heart defects population using available data vary with assumptions made. If all those born with lesions between 1940 and 2002 were treated, there would be 750,000 survivors with simple lesions, 400,000 with moderate lesions, and 180,000 with complex lesions; in addition, there would be 3.0 million subjects alive with bicuspid aortic valves.\textsuperscript{16} Without treatment, the number of survivors in each group would be 400,000, 220,000, and 30,000, respectively. The actual numbers surviving were projected to be between these 2 sets of estimates as of 1 decade ago.\textsuperscript{18} Using measurements from population data in Canada, the prevalence of severe forms of congenital heart defects increased 85% in adults and 22% in children from 1985 to 2000.\textsuperscript{15} The most common types of defects in children are (at a minimum) VSD, 620,000 people; ASD, 235,000 people; valvular pulmonary stenosis, 185,000 people; and patent ductus arteriosus, 173,000 people.\textsuperscript{16} The most common lesions seen in adults are ASD and TOF.\textsuperscript{14}

Risk Factors

- Numerous intrinsic and extrinsic nongenetic risk factors contribute to CHD.\textsuperscript{17}
- Attributable risks or fractions have been shown to include paternal anesthesia in TOF (3.6%), sympathomimetic medication for coarctation of the aorta (5.8%), pesticides for VSD (5.5%), and solvents for HPLHS (4.6%).\textsuperscript{18}
- A study of infants born with heart defects unrelated to genetic syndromes who were included in the National Birth Defects Prevention Study found that women who reported smoking in the month before becoming pregnant or in the first trimester were more likely to give birth to a child with a septal defect. Compared with the infants of mothers who did not smoke during pregnancy, infants of mothers who were heavy smokers (≥25 cigarettes daily) were twice as likely to have a septal defect.\textsuperscript{19}
- Data from the Baltimore-Washington Infant Study showed that maternal smoking during the first trimester of pregnancy was associated with a ≥30% increased risk of the following lesions in the fetus: ASD, pulmonary valvar stenosis, truncus arteriosus, and TGA.\textsuperscript{20}
- Maternal periconceptional smoking, exposure to second-hand smoke,\textsuperscript{21} and binge drinking\textsuperscript{22} are associated with an increased risk of congenital cardiac defects. Mothers who smoke and report any binge drinking in the 3 months before pregnancy are at an increased risk of giving birth to a child with a congenital cardiac defect (adjusted OR, 12.65).\textsuperscript{22} A greater risk of congenital heart defects is also seen in women who both have a high BMI and report periconceptional smoking.\textsuperscript{23}
- Associations between exposure to air pollutants during first-trimester pregnancy and risks of congenital heart defects were documented from 1986 to 2003 by the MACDP that related carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, and sulfur dioxide measurements to the risk of ASD, VSD, TGA, and TOF:\textsuperscript{24}
- The results of a population-based study examining pregnancy obesity found a weak to moderate positive association of maternal obesity with 7 of 16 categories of birth defects, including heart defects.\textsuperscript{25}
- Although folic acid supplementation is recommended during pregnancy to potentially reduce the risk of congenital heart defects,\textsuperscript{17} there has been only 1 US population-based case-control study, performed with the Baltimore-Washington Infant Study between 1981 and 1989, that showed an inverse relationship between folic acid use and the risk of TGA.\textsuperscript{26} A study from Quebec, Canada, that analyzed 1.3 million births from 1990 to 2005 found a significant 6% per year reduction in severe congenital heart defects using a time-trend analysis before and after public health measures were instituted that mandated folic acid fortification of grain and flour products in Canada.\textsuperscript{27}
- Pregestational DM was significantly associated with cardiac defects, both isolated and multiple. Gestational DM was associated with a limited group of birth defects.\textsuperscript{28}
- Paternal risk of occupational exposure was addressed in a study published in 2012 that documented a higher incidence of congenital HD with paternal exposure to phthalates.\textsuperscript{29}

Mortality

(See Tables 15-1 and 15-4.)

Mortality related to congenital cardiovascular defects in 2010 was 3196 deaths. Any-mention mortality related to congenital cardiovascular defects in 2010 was 5018 deaths.\textsuperscript{30}

- In 2010, congenital cardiovascular defects were the most common cause of infant death resulting from birth defects; 26.6% of infants who died of a birth defect had a heart defect.\textsuperscript{11}
- The 2010 age-adjusted death rate (deaths per 100,000 people) attributable to congenital cardiovascular defects was 1.1. Death rates were 1.1 for white males, 1.4 for black males, 0.9 for white females, and 1.2 for black females. Crude infant (<1 year of age) mortality rates were 32.5 for white infants and 43.2 for black infants.\textsuperscript{31,32}
- Death rates attributed to congenital heart defects decrease as gestational age advances toward 40 weeks,\textsuperscript{33} and similarly, in-hospital death of infants with major congenital HDs is independently associated with late-preterm birth (OR, 2.70; 95% CI, 1.69–4.33) compared with delivery at term.\textsuperscript{33}
- In a study that investigated mortality in very low-birth-weight infants, the mortality rate of very low-birth-weight infants with serious congenital HD was 44% compared with 12.7% in very low-birth-weight infants without serious congenital HD.\textsuperscript{35}
- The death rate attributable to congenital heart defects in the United States has continued to decline from 1979 to 1997 and from 1999 to 2006. Age-adjusted death rates attributable to all congenital heart defects declined 21% to 39%, and deaths tended to occur at progressively older ages. Although 1-year survival for infants with congenital heart defects has increased from 67.4% (1979–1993) to 82.5%
mortality in infants <1 year of age continues to account for nearly half of the deaths associated with congenital heart defects. Studies have shown that when CDC data on multiple causes of death were used to examine mortality in cyanotic and acyanotic lesions between 1979 and 2005, all-age death rates had declined by 60% for VSD and 40% for TOF.

- In population-based data from Canada, 8123 deaths occurred in 71686 congenital HD patients followed up for nearly 1 million patient-years. Overall mortality decreased by 31%, and the median age of death increased from 2 to 23 years between 1987 and 2005.

- Mortality after congenital heart surgery also differs between races/ethnicities after adjustment for access to care. The risk of in-hospital mortality for minority patients compared with white patients is 1.22 (95% CI, 1.05–1.41) for Hispanics, 1.27 (95% CI, 1.09–1.47) for non-Hispanic blacks, and 1.56 (95% CI, 1.37–1.78) for other non-Hispanics. Similarly, another study found that a higher risk of in-hospital mortality was associated with nonwhite race (OR, 1.36; 95% CI, 1.19–1.54), as well as Medicaid insurance (OR, 1.26; 95% CI, 1.09–1.46).

- According to CDC multiple-cause death data, from 1999 to 2006, sex differences in mortality over time varied with age. Between the ages of 18 and 34 years, mortality over time decreased significantly in females but not in males.

- On the basis of data from HCUP’s Kids’ Inpatient Database from 2000, 2003, and 2006, male children had more congenital heart defect surgeries in infancy, more high-risk surgeries, and more procedures to correct multiple congenital heart defects. Female infants with high-risk congenital heart defects had a 39% higher adjusted mortality.

- In 2007, 189000 life-years were lost before 55 years of age because of deaths attributable to congenital cardiovascular defects. This is almost as many life-years as were lost from leukemia and asthma combined (NHLBI tabulation of NCHS mortality data).

- Data from studies conducted in 15 North American centers by the Pediatric Heart Network revealed that even in lesions associated with the highest mortality among congenital lesions, such as HPHLS, aggressive palliation can lead to an increase in the 12-month survival rate, from 64% to 74%.

- Data analysis for the STS Congenital Heart Surgery Database, a voluntary registry with self-reported data for a 4-year cycle (2007–2010) from 103 centers performing congenital heart surgery (98 from the United States, 3 from Canada, and 1 from Japan), showed that of 95357 total operations, the overall aggregate hospital discharge mortality rate was 3.5%. Specifically, the mortality rate was 10.1% for neonates (0–30 days of age), 2.9% for infants (31 days to 1 year of age), 1.1% for children (>1 year to 18 years of age), and 1.9% for adults (>18 years of age).

- Using the Nationwide Inpatient Sample 1988 to 2003, mortality was examined for 12 congenital heart defect procedures. A total of 30250 operations were identified, which yielded a national estimate of 152277±7875 operations. Of these, 27% were performed in patients ≥18 years of age. The overall in-hospital mortality rate for adult patients with congenital heart defects was 4.71% (95% CI, 4.19%–5.23%), with a significant reduction in mortality observed when surgery was performed on such adult patients by pediatric versus nonpediatric heart surgeons (1.87% versus 4.84%; P<0.0001).

Hospitalizations
(See Table 15-1.)

In 2004, birth defects accounted for >139000 hospitalizations, representing 47.4 stays per 100000 people. Cardiac and circulatory congenital anomalies accounted for 34% of all hospital stays for birth defects. Although the most common congenital lesions were shunts, including patent ductus arteriosus, VSDs, and ASDs, TOF accounted for a higher proportion of in-hospital death than any other birth defect. Between 1997 and 2004, hospitalization rates increased by 28.5% for cardiac and circulatory congenital anomalies.

Cost

- From data from the HCUP 2003 Kids’ Inpatient Database and 2003 information on birth defects in the Congenital Malformations Surveillance Report, it was found that the most expensive average neonatal hospital charges were for 2 congenital heart defects: HPHLS ($199597) and common truncus arteriosus ($192781). Two other cardiac defects, coarctation of the aorta and TGA, were associated with average hospital charges in excess of $150000. For the 11 selected cardiovascular congenital defects (of 35 birth defects considered), there were 11578 hospitalizations in 2003 and 1550 in-hospital deaths (13.4%). Estimated total hospital charges for these 11 conditions were $1.4 billion.

- In 2004, hospital costs for congenital cardiovascular defect conditions totaled $2.6 billion. The highest aggregate costs were for stays related to cardiac and circulatory congenital anomalies, which accounted for >$1.4 billion, more than half of all hospital costs for birth defects.

- Data from 1941 neonates with HPHLS showed a median cost of $99070 for stage 1 palliation (Norwood or Sano procedure), $35674 for stage 2 palliation (Glenn procedure), $36928 for stage 3 palliation (Fontan procedure), and $289292 for transplantation.

- In 2124 patients undergoing congenital heart operations between 2001 and 2007, total costs for the surgeries were $12761 (ASD repair), $18834 (VSD repair), $28223 (TOF repair), and $55430 (arterial switch operation).

Kawasaki Disease

ICD-9 446.1; ICD-10 M30.3.


- The incidence of Kawasaki disease is rising worldwide, including in the United States, where the hospitalization rate rose from 17.5/100000 children aged <5 years to 20.8/100000 children <5 years in 2006. In 2010, Japan experienced its highest-ever incidence rate of 239.6 cases per 100000 children aged <4 years, and in Korea, the rate reached 113.1/100000 children <5 years old in 2008. A recent study reports a rate of 164.6/100000 in children <5 years old in Taiwan.

- In addition to geographic variation in the incidence of Kawasaki disease, the age of children affected may also
differ. In northern Europe (Finland, Sweden, and Norway), 67.8% of patients with Kawasaki disease were <5 years of age, compared with 86.4% of patients in Japan (P<0.001).58

- US states with higher Asian American populations have higher rates of Kawasaki disease; for example, rates are 2.5-fold higher in Hawaii than in the continental United States.59

- Boys have a 1.5-fold higher incidence of Kawasaki disease than girls.59

- An estimated 5523 hospitalizations for Kawasaki disease occurred in the United States in 2006, with a mean patient age of 3 years. Race-specific incidence rates indicate that Kawasaki disease is most common among Americans of Asian and Pacific Island descent (30.3/100000 children <5 years of age), occurs with intermediate frequency in non-Hispanic blacks (17.5/100000 children <5 years of age) and Hispanics (15.7/100000 children <5 years of age), and is least common in whites (12.0/100000 children <5 years of age).60

- Kawasaki disease is more common during the winter and early spring months, except in Hawaii, where no clear seasonal trend is seen; it occurs more often in boys than girls at a ratio of ≈1.5:1, and 76.8% of children with Kawasaki disease are <5 years of age.60

- Data from the Kids’ Inpatient Database59 show a hospitalization rate for Kawasaki disease for children <5 years of age of 19 per 100 000 in 2009 —20.8 per 100 000 in 2006 —17.3 per 100 000 in 2003 —17.5 per 100 000 in 2000

- Addition of prednisolone to the standard regimen of intravenous immunoglobulin for patients with severe Kawasaki disease appears to result in a substantial reduction in the incidence of coronary artery anomalies (RR, 0.20; 95% CI, 0.12–0.28).52
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### Table 15-1. Congenital Cardiovascular Defects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td>650,000 to 1.3 million16</td>
<td>3196</td>
<td>62,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1718 (53.8%)*</td>
<td>38,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1478 (46.2%)*</td>
<td>24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white males</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1333</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white females</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black males</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black females</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaska Native</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ellipses (…) indicate data not available; and NH, non-Hispanic.

*Mortality data for the white, black, Asian or Pacific Islander, and American Indian or Alaska Native populations include deaths among people of Hispanic and non-Hispanic origin. Numbers of deaths for the American Indian or Alaska Native and Asian or Pacific Islander populations are known to be underestimated.

†These percentages represent the portion of total congenital cardiovascular mortality that is for males vs females.

Sources: Mortality: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/National Center for Health Statistics, 2010 Mortality Multiple Cause-of-Death —United States, version dated May 23, 2013. These data represent underlying cause of death only; data include Hispanics. Hospital discharges: National Hospital Discharge Survey, National Center for Health Statistics; data include those inpatients discharged alive, dead, or status unknown.

### Table 15-2. Annual Birth Prevalence of Congenital Cardiovascular Defects in the United States5,7,12,13,63,84

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Presentation</th>
<th>Rate per 1000 Live Births</th>
<th>Estimated Number (Variable With Yearly Birth Rate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fetal loss</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive procedure</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>9200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the first year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detected during first year*</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicuspid aortic valve</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>54,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes stillbirths and pregnancy termination at <20 wk gestation; includes some defects that resolve spontaneously or do not require treatment.
Table 15-3. Estimated Prevalence of Congenital Cardiovascular Defects and Percent Distribution by Type, United States, 2002* (in Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Prevalence, n</th>
<th>Percent of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>994</td>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSD†</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patent ductus arteriosus</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valvular pulmonic stenosis</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarctation of aorta</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valvular aortic stenosis</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOF</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV septal defect</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGA</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoplastic right heart syndrome</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double-outlet right ventricle</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single ventricle</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anomalous pulmonary venous connection</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truncus arteriosus</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPLHS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average of the low and high estimates, two thirds from low estimate.16

ASD indicates atrial septal defect; AV, atrioventricular; HPLHS, hypoplastic left heart syndrome; TGA, transposition of the great arteries; TOF, tetralogy of Fallot; and VSD, ventricular septal defect.

*Excludes an estimated 3 million bicuspid aortic valve prevalence (2 million in adults and 1 million in children).
†Small VSD, 117,000 (65,000 adults and 52,000 children); large VSD, 82,000 (41,000 adults and 41,000 children).

Table 15-4. Surgery for Congenital Heart Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Population, Weighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 888</td>
<td>25 831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>736</td>
<td>1253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By sex (81 missing in sample)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male, n</th>
<th>8127</th>
<th>14 109</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deaths, n</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality rate, %</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n</td>
<td>6680</td>
<td>11 592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths, n</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality rate, %</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By type of surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASD secundum surgery, n</th>
<th>834</th>
<th>1448</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deaths, n</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality rate, %</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norwood procedure for HPLHS, n</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths, n</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality rate, %</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2003, 25 000 cardiovascular operations for congenital cardiovascular defects were performed on children <20 y of age. Inpatient mortality rate after all types of cardiac surgery was 4.8%. Nevertheless, mortality risk varies substantially for different defect types, from 0.4% for ASD repair to 25.2% for first-stage palliation for HPLHS. Fifty-five percent of operations were performed in males. In unadjusted analysis, mortality after cardiac surgery was somewhat higher for males than for females (5.1% vs 4.6%).

ASD indicates atrial septal defect; and HPLHS, hypoplastic left heart syndrome.

16. Disorders of Heart Rhythm

See Tables 16-1 and 16-2.

Bradyarrhythmias

ICD-9 426.0, 426.1, 427.81; ICD-10 I44.0 to I44.3, I49.5.

Mortality—841. Any-mention mortality—4927. Hospital discharges—110,000.

AV Block

Prevalence and Incidence

- The prevalence of first-degree AV block in NHANES III was 3.7%.
- In a healthy sample of subjects from the ARIC study (mean age 53 years), the prevalence of first-degree AV block was 7.8% in black men, 3.0% in black women, 2.1% in white men, and 1.3% in white women. Lower prevalence estimates were noted in the relatively younger population (mean age 45 years) of the CARDIA study at its year 20 follow-up examination: 2.6% in black men, 1.9% in black women, 1.2% in white men, and 0.1% in white women.
- Mobitz II second-degree AV block is rare in healthy individuals (≈0.003%), whereas Mobitz I (Wenckebach) is observed in 1% to 2% of healthy young people, especially during sleep.
- The prevalence of third-degree AV block in the general adult population is ≈0.02% to 0.04%.

Risk Factors

- Although first-degree AV block and Mobitz type I second-degree AV block can occur in apparently healthy individuals, presence of Mobitz II second-degree or third-degree AV block usually indicates underlying HD, including CHD and HF.
- Reversible causes of AV block include electrolyte abnormalities, drug-induced AV block, perioperative AV block attributable to hypothermia, or inflammation near the AV conduction system after surgery in this region. Some conditions may warrant pacemaker implantation because of the possibility of disease progression even if the AV block reverses transiently (eg, sarcoidosis, amyloidosis, and neuromuscular diseases).

Abbreviations Used in Chapter 16

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AF</td>
<td>atrial fibrillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHA</td>
<td>American Heart Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>acute myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIC</td>
<td>Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSERT</td>
<td>Asymptomatic Atrial Fibrillation and Stroke Evaluation in Pacemaker Patients and the Atrial Fibrillation Reduction Atrial Pacing Trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV</td>
<td>atrioventricular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CABG</td>
<td>coronary artery bypass graft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>coronary artery disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARDIA</td>
<td>Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASQ2</td>
<td>casequestrin 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>coronary heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHS</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Health Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD</td>
<td>chronic kidney disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPR</td>
<td>cardiopulmonary resuscitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>electrocardiogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED</td>
<td>emergency department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPHASIS-HF</td>
<td>Eplerenone in Mild Patients Hospitalization And Survival Study in Heart Failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS</td>
<td>emergency medical services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHS</td>
<td>Framingham Heart Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBD</td>
<td>Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GWTG</td>
<td>Get With The Guidelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCM</td>
<td>hypertrophic cardiomyopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>hazard ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-9</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-10</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHCA</td>
<td>in-hospital cardiac arrest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHS</td>
<td>National Center for Health Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHDS</td>
<td>National Hospital Discharge Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHLBI</td>
<td>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>odds ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR</td>
<td>population attributable risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVT</td>
<td>polymorphic ventricular tachycardia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td>relative risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR2</td>
<td>ryanodine type 2 receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>systolic blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>standard deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVT</td>
<td>supraventricular tachycardia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TdP</td>
<td>torsade de points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VF</td>
<td>ventricular fibrillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>ventricular tachycardia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YLL</td>
<td>years of life lost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Long sinus pauses and AV block can occur during sleep apnea. In the absence of symptoms, these abnormalities are reversible and do not require pacing.9

**Prevention**

- Detection and correction of reversible causes of acquired AV block could be of potential importance in preventing symptomatic bradycardia and other complications of AV block.8
- In utero detection of congenital AV block is possible by echocardiography.10

**Aftermath**

- In the FHS, PR interval prolongation (>200 ms) was associated with an increased risk of AF (HR, 2.06; 95% CI, 1.36–3.12),11,12 pacemaker implantation (HR, 2.89; 95% CI, 1.83–4.57),12 and all-cause mortality (HR, 1.44; 95% CI, 1.09–1.91).12 Compared with individuals with a PR ≤200 ms, individuals with a PR interval >200 ms had an absolute increased risk per year of 1.04% for AF, 0.55% for pacemaker implantation, and 2.05% for death.
- Patients with abnormalities of AV conduction may be asymptomatic or may experience serious symptoms related to bradycardia, ventricular arrhythmias, or both.
- Decisions about the need for a pacemaker are influenced by the presence or absence of symptoms directly attributable to bradycardia. Permanent pacing improves survival in patients with third-degree AV block, especially if syncope has occurred.9 Nevertheless, the overall prognosis depends to a large extent on the underlying HD.
- Although there is little evidence to suggest that pacemakers improve survival in patients with isolated first-degree AV block,13 it is now recognized that marked first-degree AV block (PR >300 ms) can lead to symptoms even in the absence of higher degrees of AV block.14

**Prognosis**

- Investigators at Northwestern University compared older adult (age >60 years) outpatients with (n=470) and without (n=2090) asymptomatic bradycardia. Over a mean follow-up of 7.2 years, patients with asymptomatic bradycardia had a higher adjusted incidence of pacemaker insertion (HR, 2.14; 95% CI, 1.30–3.51; P=0.003), which appeared after a lag time of 4 years. However, the absolute rate of pacemaker implantation was low (<1% per year), and asymptomatic bradycardia was not associated with a higher risk of death.15

**Sinus Node Dysfunction**

**Prevalence and Incidence**

- The prevalence of sinus node dysfunction has been estimated to be between 403 and 666 per million, with an incidence rate of 63 per million per year requiring pacemaker therapy.16
- Sinus node dysfunction occurs in 1 of every 600 cardiac patients >65 years of age and accounts for ~50% of implantations of pacemakers in the United States.17,17a
- Sinus node dysfunction is commonly present with other causes of bradyarrhythmias (carotid sinus hypersensitivity in 33% of patients and advanced AV conduction abnormalities in 17%).18,19

**Risk Factors**

- The causes of sinus node dysfunction can be classified as intrinsic (secondary to pathological conditions involving the sinus node) or extrinsic (caused by depression of sinus node function by external factors such as drugs or autonomic influences).20
- Sinus node dysfunction may occur at any age but is primarily a disease of the elderly, with the average being ≈68 years of age.17
- Idiopathic degenerative disease is probably the most common cause of sinus node dysfunction.21
- Collected data from 28 different studies on atrial pacing for sinus node dysfunction showed a median annual incidence of complete AV block of 0.6% (range, 0%–4.5%) with a total prevalence of 2.1% (range, 0%–11.9%). This suggests that the degenerative process also affects the specialized conduction system, although the rate of progression is slow and does not dominate the clinical course of disease.22
- Ischemic HD can be responsible for one third of cases of sinus node dysfunction. Transient sinus node dysfunction can complicate MI; it is common during inferior MI and is caused by autonomic influences. Cardiomyopathy, longstanding hypertension, infiltrative disorders (eg, amyloidosis and sarcoidosis), collagen vascular disease, and surgical trauma can also result in sinus node dysfunction.23,24

**Aftermath**

- The course of sinus node dysfunction is typically progressive, with 57% of patients experiencing symptoms over a 4-year period if untreated, and a 23% prevalence of syncope over the same time frame.25
- Approximately 50% of patients with sinus node dysfunction develop tachy-brady syndrome over a lifetime; such patients have a higher risk of stroke and death. The survival of patients with sinus node dysfunction appears to depend primarily on the severity of underlying cardiac disease and is not significantly changed by pacemaker therapy.26–28
- In a retrospective study,29 patients with sinus node dysfunction who had pacemaker therapy were followed up for 12 years; at 8 years, mortality among those with ventricular pacing was 59% compared with 29% among those with atrial pacing. This discrepancy may be attributed to selection bias. For instance, the physiological or anatomic disorder (eg, fibrosis of conductive tissue) that led to the requirement for the particular pacemaker may have influenced prognosis, rather than the type of pacemaker used.
- The incidence of sudden death is extremely low, and sinus node dysfunction does not appear to affect survival whether untreated or treated with pacemaker therapy.8
- SVT including AF occurs in 47% to 53% of patients with sinus node dysfunction.28,30
- On the basis of records from the NHDS, age-adjusted pacemaker implantation rates increased progressively from 370 per million in 1990 to 612 per million in 2002. This escalating implantation rate is attributable to increasing implantation for isolated sinus node dysfunction; implantation for sinus node dysfunction increased by 102%, whereas implantation for all other indications did not increase.31
SVT (Excluding AF and Atrial Flutter)

ICD-9 427.0; ICD-10 I47.1.


Prevalence and Incidence

- Data from the Marshfield Epidemiologic Study Area in Wisconsin suggested the incidence of documented paroxysmal SVT is 35 per 100,000 person-years. The mean age at SVT onset was 57 years, and both female sex and age >65 years were significant risk factors.32
- A review of ED visits from 1993 to 2003 revealed that 550,000 visits were for SVT (0.05% of all visits; 95% CI, 0.04%–0.06%), or ≈50,000 visits per year. Of these patients, 24% (95% CI, 15%–34%) were admitted to the hospital, and 44% (95% CI, 32%–56%) were discharged without specific follow-up.35
- The prevalence of SVT that is clinically undetected is likely much greater than the estimates from ED visits and electrophysiology procedures would suggest. For example, among a random sample of 604 participants in Finland, 7 (1.2%) fulfilled the diagnostic criteria for inappropriate sinus tachycardia.34
- Of 1383 participants in the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging undergoing maximal exercise testing, 6% exhibited SVT during the test; increasing age was a significant risk factor. Only 16% exhibited >10 beats of SVT, only 4% were symptomatic, and the SVT participants were more likely to develop spontaneous SVT or AF.35
- From the surface ECG, the prevalence of atrial tachycardia is estimated to be 0.34% in asymptomatic patients and 0.46% in symptomatic patients.36

Aftermath

- The primary consequence of SVT for the majority of patients is a decline in quality of life.37 However, rare cases of incessant SVT can lead to a tachycardia-induced cardiomyopathy,38 and rare cases of sudden death attributed to SVT as a trigger have been described.39

Specific Types

- Among those presenting for invasive electrophysiologic study and ablation, AV nodal reentrant tachycardia (a circuit that requires 2 AV nodal pathways) is the most common mechanism of SVT40,42 and usually represents the majority of cases (56% of 1 series of 1754 cases from Loyola University Medical Center).41
- AV reentrant tachycardia (an arrhythmia that requires the presence of an extranodal connection between the atria and ventricles or specialized conduction tissue) is the second most common28,41 type of SVT (27% in the Loyola series),41 and atrial tachycardia is the third most common (17% in the Loyola series).41
- In the pediatric population, AV reentrant tachycardia is the most common SVT mechanism, followed by AV nodal reentrant tachycardia and then atrial tachycardia.41
- AV reentrant tachycardia prevalence decreases with age, whereas AV nodal reentrant tachycardia and atrial tachycardia prevalences increase with advancing age.41
- The majority of AV reentrant tachycardia patients in the Loyola series were men (55%), whereas the majority of patients with AV nodal reentrant tachycardia (70%) or atrial tachycardia (62%) were women.41
- Multifocal atrial tachycardia is an arrhythmia that is commonly confused with AF and is characterized by 3 distinct P-wave morphologies, irregular R-R intervals, and a rate >100 beats per minute. It is uncommon in both children42 and adults,43 with a prevalence in hospitalized adults estimated at 0.05% to 0.32%.54,46 The average age in adults is 70 to 72 years. Adults with multifocal atrial tachycardia have a mortality rate that is high, with estimates around 45%, but this is generally ascribed to the underlying condition(s).43,47

Wolff-Parkinson-White Syndrome

- Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome, a diagnosis reserved for those with both ventricular preexcitation (evidence of an anterograde conducting AV accessory pathway on a 12-lead ECG) and tachyarrhythmias,37 deserves special attention because of the associated risk of sudden death. Sudden death is generally attributed to rapid heart rates in AF conducting down an accessory pathway and leading to VF.48,49 Of note, AF is common in Wolff-Parkinson-White patients, and surgical or catheter ablation of the accessory pathway often results in elimination of the AF.50
- Ventricular preexcitation with or without tachyarrhythmia was observed in 0.11% of 47,358 ECGs in adults participating in 4 large Belgian epidemiological studies51 and in 0.17% of 32,837 Japanese high school students in ECGs obtained by law before the students entered school.46
- Asymptomatic adults with ventricular preexcitation appear to be at no increased risk of sudden death compared with the general population,48,49,51,52 although certain characteristics found during invasive electrophysiological study (including inducibility of AV reentrant tachycardia or AF; accessory pathway refractory period, and the shortest R-R interval during AF) can help risk stratify these patients.49,53
- In a meta-analysis of 20 studies involving 1869 asymptomatic patients with a Wolff-Parkinson-White ECG pattern followed up for a total of 11,722 person-years, the risk of sudden death in a random effects model that was used because of heterogeneity across studies was estimated to be 1.25 (95% CI, 0.57–2.19) per 1000 person-years. Risk factors for sudden death included male sex, inclusion in a study of children (<18 years of age), and inclusion in an Italian study.54
- Symptomatic adult patients with the Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome are at a higher risk of sudden death. In a study of 60 symptomatic patients in Olmsted County, MN, including some who underwent curative surgery, 2 (3.3%) experienced sudden death over a 13-year period. Of 690 Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome patients referred to a single hospital in the Netherlands, 15 (2.2%) had aborted sudden death, and VF was the first manifestation of the disease in 8 patients.55
- Of 379 Wolff-Parkinson-White patients with induced AV reentrant tachycardia during electrophysiology study who did not undergo ablation, 29 (8%) exhibited a “malignant presentation” over a mean 3.6 years of follow-up: syncope/presyncope in 25 patients, rapid preexcited AF causing hemodynamic collapse in 3 patients, and VF in 1 patient.56
a shorter accessory pathway effective refractory period during electrophysiology study, more often had AV reentrant tachycardia that triggered AF during electrophysiology study, and more often had >1 accessory pathway.

- Although some studies in asymptomatic children with ventricular preexcitation suggest a benign prognosis,\textsuperscript{51,57} others suggest that electrophysiological testing can identify a group of asymptomatic children with a risk of sudden death or VF as high as 11% over 19 months of follow-up.\textsuperscript{58}

### Subclinical Atrial Tachyarrhythmias and Unrecognized AF

Pacemakers and defibrillators have increased clinician awareness of the frequency of subclinical AF and atrial high-rate episodes in individuals without a documented history of AF. Several studies have suggested that device-detected high-rate atrial tachyarrhythmias are surprisingly frequent and are associated with an increased risk of AF,\textsuperscript{53} thromboembolism,\textsuperscript{53,59} and total mortality.\textsuperscript{53}

- Investigators in the ASSERT study prospectively enrolled 2580 patients with a recent pacemaker or defibrillator implantation who were ≥65 years of age, had a history of hypertension, and had no history of AF. They classified individuals by presence versus absence of subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias (defined as atrial rate >190 beats per minute for ≥6 minutes in the first 3 months) and conducted follow-up for 2.5 years.\textsuperscript{60} Subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias in the first 3 months occurred in 10.1% of the patients and were associated with the following:
  - An almost 6-fold higher risk of clinical AF (HR, 5.56; 95% CI, 3.78–8.17; \textit{P}<0.001)
  - A more than doubling in the adjusted risk of the primary end point, ischemic stroke or systemic embolism (HR, 2.50; 95% CI, 1.28–4.89; \textit{P}<0.008)
  - An annual ischemic stroke or systemic embolism rate of 1.69% (versus 0.69% in those without)
  - A 13% PAR for ischemic stroke or systemic embolism

- Over the subsequent 2.5 years of follow-up, an additional 34.7% of the patients had subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias, which were 8-fold more frequent than clinical AF episodes.

- The appropriate therapy of subclinical atrial tachyarrhythmias has not been rigorously studied.

- In a community-based study in Sweden, all inhabitants aged 75 to 76 years were invited to a stepwise screening program for AF. Of 848 participants, 10 had clinically unrecognized AF diagnosed on a 12-lead ECG. Of 403 individuals with ≥2 stroke risk factors who completed a 2-week once-a-day handheld ECG event recorder, an additional 30 were diagnosed with paroxysmal AF. The study suggests that the burden of unrecognized AF in the community is higher than appreciated.\textsuperscript{61}

### AF and Atrial Flutter

\textit{ICD-9} 427.3; \textit{ICD-10} 148.

### Prevalence

- Estimates of the prevalence of AF in the United States ranged from ≈2.7 million to 6.1 million in 2010, and AF prevalence is expected to rise to between ≈5.6 and 12 million in 2050.\textsuperscript{62,63}

- Data from a California health plan suggest that compared with whites, blacks (OR, 0.49; 95% CI, 0.47–0.52), Asians (OR, 0.68; 95% CI, 0.64–0.72), and Hispanics (OR, 0.58; 95% CI, 0.55–0.61) have significantly lower adjusted prevalences of AF.\textsuperscript{64}

- Data from the NHDS/NCHS (1996–2001) on cases that included AF as a primary discharge diagnosis found the following:
  - Approximately 44.8% of patients were men.
  - The mean age for men was 66.8 years versus 74.6 years for women.
  - The racial breakdown for admissions was 71.2% white, 5.6% black, and 2.0% other races (20.8% were not specified).
  - Black patients were much younger than patients of other races.

- Among Medicare patients aged ≥65 years, diagnosed from 1993 to 2007, the prevalence of AF increased ≈5% per year, from ≈41.1 per 1000 beneficiaries to 85.5 per 1000 beneficiaries.\textsuperscript{65}

### Incidence

- Data from the NHDS/NCHS (1996–2001) on cases that included AF as a primary discharge diagnosis found the following:
  - The incidence in men ranged from 20.6 per 100000 people per year for patients between 15 and 44 years of age to 1077.4 per 100000 people per year for patients ≥85 years of age.
  - In women, the incidence ranged from 6.6 per 100000 people per year for patients between 15 and 44 years of age to 1203.7 per 100000 people per year for those ≥85 years of age.

- In Olmsted County, MN
  - The age-adjusted incidence of clinically recognized AF in a white population increased by 12.6% between 1980 and 2000.\textsuperscript{66,67}
  - The incidence of AF was greater in men (incidence ratio for men over women 1.86) and increased markedly with older age.\textsuperscript{68}

- In a Medicare sample, the incidence of AF was ≈28 per 1000 person-years and did not change substantively between 1993 and 2007. Of individuals with incident AF in 2007, ≈55% were women, 91% were white, 84% had hypertension, 36% had HF, and 30% had cerebrovascular disease.\textsuperscript{65}

### Mortality

- In 2010, AF was mentioned on 107335 US death certificates and was the underlying cause in 16454 of those deaths (NCHS, NHLBI).

- In adjusted analyses from the FHS, AF was associated with an increased risk of death in both men (OR, 1.5; 95% CI, 1.2–1.8) and women (OR, 1.9; 95% CI, 1.5–2.2).\textsuperscript{68} Furthermore, there was an interaction with sex, such that...
AF appeared to diminish the survival advantage typically observed in women.

- In Medicare beneficiaries ≥65 years of age with new-onset AF, mortality decreased modestly but significantly between 1993 and 2007. In 2007, the age- and sex-adjusted mortality at 30 days was 11%, and at 1 year, it was 25%.65
- A study of ≥4600 patients diagnosed with first AF showed that risk of death within the first 4 months after the AF diagnosis was high. The most common causes of CVD death were CAD, HF, and ischemic stroke, which accounted for 22%, 14%, and 10%, respectively, of the early deaths (within the first 4 months) and 15%, 16%, and 7%, respectively, of the late deaths.69
- AF is also associated with mortality in individuals with other cardiovascular conditions and procedures, including HF, MI, CABG and stroke, and with non-cardiovascular conditions such as sepsis73 and noncardiac surgery.76

**Lifetime Risk and Cumulative Risk**

- Participants in the NHLBI-sponsored FHS were followed up from 1968 to 1999. At 40 years of age, remaining lifetime risks for AF were 26.0% for men and 23.0% for women. At 80 years of age, lifetime risks for AF were 22.7% for men and 21.6% for women. In further analysis, counting only those who had development of AF without prior or concurrent HF or MI, lifetime risk for AF was ≈16%.77
- Investigators from the NHLBI-sponsored ARIC study observed that the cumulative risk of AF was 21% in white men, 17% in white women, and 11% in African Americans of both sexes by 80 years of age.78

**Risk Factors**

- **Standard risk factors**
  - Both ARIC71 and FHS (http://www.framinghamheartstudy.org/risk/atrial.html)17,79 have developed risk prediction models to predict new-onset AF. Predictors of increased risk of new-onset AF include advanced age, European ancestry, body size (greater height and BMI), electrocardiography features (left ventricular hypertrophy, left atrial enlargement), DM, BP (SBP and hypertension treatment), and presence of CVD (CHD, HF, valvular HD).
  - More recently, the ARIC, CHS, and FHS investigators developed and validated a risk prediction model.73
  - Other consistently reported risk factors for AF include clinical and subclinical hyperthyroidism,80 CKD,82 and heavy alcohol consumption.83
- **Family history**
  - Although unusual, early-onset familial lone AF has long been recognized as a risk factor.84,85
  - In the past decade, the heritability of AF in the community has been appreciated. In studies from the FHS
    - Adjusted for coexistent risk factors, having at least 1 parent with AF was associated with a 1.85-fold increased risk of AF in the adult offspring (multivariable-adjusted 95% CI, 1.12–3.06; P=0.02).86
  - A history of a first-degree relative with AF also was associated with an increased risk of AF (HR, 1.40; 95% CI, 1.13–1.74).72 The risk was greater if the first-degree relative’s age of onset was ≤65 years (HR, 2.01; 95% CI, 1.49–2.71) and with each additional affected first-degree relative (HR, 1.24; 95% CI, 1.05–1.46).77

- **Genetics**
  - Mutations in genes coding channels (sodium and potassium), gap junction proteins, and signaling have been described, often in lone AF or familial AF series, but they are responsible for few cases of AF in the community.86
  - Meta-analyses of genome-wide association studies have revealed single-nucleotide polymorphisms on chromosomes 4q25 (upstream of *PITX2*),85–89 16q22 (*ZFHX3*),90,92 and 1q21 (*KCNN3*),91 as well as 6 other novel susceptibility loci (near *PRRX1*, *CAV1*, *C9orf3*, *SYNO2L*, *SYNE2*, and *HCN4*).93 Although an area of intensive inquiry, the causative single-nucleotide polymorphisms and the functional basis of the associations have not been revealed.

**Awareness**

- In a US national biracial study of individuals with AF, compared with whites, blacks had approximately one third the likelihood (OR, 0.32; 95% CI, 0.20–0.52) of being aware that they had AF.94

**Prevention**

- Data from the ARIC study indicated that having at least 1 elevated risk factor explained 50% and having at least 1 borderline risk factor explained 6.5% of incident AF cases. The estimated overall incidence rate per 1000 person-years at a mean age of 54.2 years was 2.19 for those with optimal risk, 3.68 for those with borderline risk, and 6.59 for those with elevated risk factors.95
- Hypertension accounted for ≈14%96 to 22%95 of AF cases.
- Observational data from the CHS suggested that moderate-intensity exercise (such as regular walking) was associated with a lower risk of AF (HR, 0.72).97 However, data from many studies suggested that vigorous-intensity exercise 5 to 7 days a week was associated with a slightly increased risk of AF (HR, 1.20; P=0.04).98
- Meta-analyses have suggested that renin-angiotensin system blockers may be useful in primary and secondary (recurrences) prevention of AF in trials of hypertension, after MI, in HF, and after cardioversion.24,99 However, the studies were primarily secondary or post hoc analyses, and the results were fairly heterogeneous. Recently, in an analysis of the EMPHASIS-HF trial, in one of many secondary outcomes, eplerenone was nominally observed to reduce the incidence of new-onset AF.100
- Although heterogeneous in their findings, modest-sized short-term studies suggested that the use of statins might prevent AF; however, larger longer-term studies do not provide support that statins are effective in AF prevention.101
- The NHLBI sponsored a workshop highlighting important research areas to advance the prevention of AF.102
Aftermath

- Hospitalization
  - Hospital discharges—479,000.
    - From 1996 to 2001, hospitalizations with AF as the first-listed diagnosis increased by 34%.101
    - On the basis of Medicare and MarketScan databases, annually, individuals with AF (37.5%) are approximately twice as likely to be hospitalized as age- and sex-matched control subjects (17.5%).104

- Stroke
  - Stroke rates per 1000 patient-years declined in AF patients taking anticoagulants, from 46.7 in 1992 to 19.5 in 2002, for ischemic stroke but remained fairly steady for hemorrhagic stroke (1.6–2.9).105
  - When standard stroke risk factors were accounted for, AF was associated with a 4- to 5-fold increased risk of ischemic stroke.106
  - Although the RR of stroke associated with AF did not vary (≈3–5-fold increased risk) substantively with advancing age, the proportion of strokes attributable to AF increased significantly. In FHS, AF accounted for ≈1.5% of strokes in individuals 50 to 59 years of age and ≈23.5% in those 80 to 89 years of age.106
  - Paroxysmal, persistent, and permanent AF all appeared to increase the risk of ischemic stroke to a similar degree.99
  - AF was also an independent risk factor for ischemic stroke severity, recurrence, and mortality.74 In one study, people who had AF and were not treated with anticoagulants had a 2.1-fold increase in risk for recurrent stroke and a 2.4-fold increase in risk for recurrent severe stroke.107
  - Studies have demonstrated an underutilization of warfarin therapy. In a recent meta-analysis, men and individuals with prior stroke were more likely to receive warfarin, whereas factors associated with lower use included alcohol and drug abuse, noncompliance, warfarin contraindications, dementia, falls, both gastrointestinal and intracranial hemorrhage, renal impairment, and advancing age.108

- Cognition
  - Individuals with AF have an adjusted 2-fold increased risk of dementia.109
  - A meta-analysis suggested that the risk was consistently high in the 7 studies of patients with recent stroke and a history of AF (OR, 2.4; 95% CI, 1.7–3.5; P<0.001; I²=87%). There was significant heterogeneity in the 7 studies of individuals without a history of stroke (OR, 1.6; 95% CI, 1.0–2.7; P=0.05; I²=87%).110
  - In individuals with AF in Olmsted County, MN, the cumulative rate of dementia at 1 and 5 years was 2.7% and 10.5%, respectively.100

- Heart failure
  - AF and HF share many antecedent risk factors, and ≈40% of individuals with either AF or HF will develop the other condition.66
  - In the community, estimates of the incidence of HF in individuals with AF ranged from 3.366 to 4.411 per 100 person-years of follow-up.

Global Burden

- The vast majority of research on the epidemiology of AF has been conducted in Europe and North America. The GBD study estimated annual deaths and disability-adjusted life-years globally for hundreds of diseases, including AF.
  - Standardizing by age, the investigators estimated that between 1990 and 2010, the death rate (per 100,000) increased 89.6%, from 0.9 (0.7–1.1) to 1.7 (1.4–2.1) over all ages.112
  - The investigators estimated that between 1990 and 2010, the disability-adjusted life-years (summing the YLL and the years lived with disability; in 1000s) increased 94.5%, from 1854 (95% CI, 1377–2429) to 3598 (95% CI, 2756–4578).113

Cost

Investigators examined Medicare and MarketScan databases (2004–2006) to estimate costs attributed to AF in 2008 US dollars:

- Annual total direct costs for AF patients were ≈$20,670 versus ≈$11,965 in the control group, for an incremental per-patient cost of $8705.104
- Extrapolating to the US population, it is estimated that the incremental cost of AF was ≈$26 billion, of which $6 billion was attributed to AF, $9.9 billion to other cardiovascular expenses, and $10.1 billion to noncardiovascular expenses.104

Tachycardia

ICD-9 427.0, 427.1, 427.2.

Mortality—599. Any-mention mortality—5994. Hospital discharges—78,000.

Monomorphic VT

Prevalence and Incidence

- Of 150 consecutive patients with wide-complex tachycardia subsequently studied by invasive electrophysiological study, 122 (81%) had VT; the remainder had SVT.114
- Of patients with ventricular arrhythmias presenting for invasive electrophysiological studies, 11% to 21% had no structural HD, and the majority of those with structural HD had CAD.115,116
- In 634 patients with implantable cardioverter-defibrillators who had structural HD (including both primary and secondary prevention patients) followed up for a mean 11±3 months, ≈80% of potentially clinically relevant ventricular tachyarrhythmias were attributable to VT amenable to antitachycardia pacing (implying a stable circuit and therefore monomorphic VT).117 Because therapy may have been delivered before spontaneous resolution occurred, the proportion of these VT episodes with definite clinical relevance is not known.
- Of those with VT in the absence of structural HD, right ventricular outflow tract VT is the most common form.118
Aftermath

- Although the prognosis of those with VT or frequent premature ventricular contractions in the absence of structural HD is good,\textsuperscript{115,118} a potentially reversible cardiomyopathy may develop in patients with very frequent premature ventricular contractions,\textsuperscript{119,120} and some cases of sudden death attributable to short-coupled premature ventricular contractions have been described.\textsuperscript{121,122}

Polymorphic VT

Prevalence and Incidence

- The true prevalence and incidence of PVT in the US general population are not known.
- During ambulatory cardiac monitoring, PVT prevalence ranged from 0.01% to 0.15%\textsuperscript{123,124}; however, among patients who developed sudden cardiac death during ambulatory cardiac monitoring, PVT was detected in 30% to 43%.\textsuperscript{124–126}
- A prevalence range of 15% to 19% was reported during electrophysiological study in patients resuscitated from cardiac arrest.\textsuperscript{126–128}
- In the setting of AMI, the prevalence of PVT ranged from 1.2% to 2%.\textsuperscript{129,130}
- Out-of-hospital PVT is estimated to be present in $\approx$25% of all cardiac arrest cases involving VT.\textsuperscript{131,132}

Risk Factors

- PVT in the setting of a normal QT interval is most frequently seen in the context of acute ischemia or MI.\textsuperscript{133,134}
- Less frequently, PVT with a normal QT interval can occur in patients without apparent structural HD. Catecholaminergic PVT, which is discussed under inherited arrhythmic syndromes, is one such disorder.
- A prolonged QT interval, whether acquired (drug induced) or congenital, is a common cause of PVT. Drug-induced prolongation of the QT interval that causes PVT is discussed under TdP, whereas congenital prolonged QT interval is discussed under inherited arrhythmic syndromes.

Aftermath

- The presentation of PVT can range from a brief, asymptomatic, self-terminating episode to recurrent syncope or sudden cardiac death.\textsuperscript{135}
- The overall hospital discharge rate (survival) of PVT has been estimated to be $\approx$28%.\textsuperscript{136}

Prevention

- Prompt detection and correction of myocardial ischemia would potentially minimize the risk of PVT with normal QT interval in the setting of AMI.

Torsade de Pointes

Prevalence and Incidence

- The true incidence and prevalence of drug-induced TdP in the US general population are largely unknown.
- By extrapolating data from non-US registries,\textsuperscript{137} it has been estimated that 12,000 cases of drug-induced TdP occur annually in the United States.\textsuperscript{129}
- The prevalence of drug-induced prolongation of QT interval and TdP is 2 to 3 times higher in women than in men.\textsuperscript{130}
- With the majority of QT-interval–prolonging drugs, drug-induced TdP may occur in 3% to 15% of patients.\textsuperscript{126}
- Antiarrhythmic drugs with QT-interval–prolonging potential carry a 1% to 3% risk of TdP over 1 to 2 years of exposure.\textsuperscript{138}

Risk Factors

- TdP is usually related to administration of QT-interval–prolonging drugs.\textsuperscript{139} An up-to-date list of drugs with the potential to cause TdP may be found at http://www.azcert.org/medical-pros/drug-lists/drug-lists.cfm, a Web site maintained by the University of Arizona Center for Education and Research on Therapeutics.
- Specific risk factors for drug-induced TdP include prolonged QT interval, female sex, advanced age, bradycardia, hypokalemia, hypomagnesemia, left ventricular systolic dysfunction, and conditions that lead to elevated plasma concentrations of causative drugs, such as kidney disease, liver disease, drug interactions, or some combination of these.\textsuperscript{129,140,141}
- Predisposition was also noted in patients who had a history of ventricular arrhythmia and who experienced a recent symptomatic increase in the frequency and complexity of ectopy.\textsuperscript{142}
- Drug-induced TdP rarely occurs in patients without concomitant risk factors. An analysis of 144 published articles describing TdP associated with noncardiac drugs revealed that 100% of the patients had at least 1 risk factor, and 71% had at least 2 risk factors.\textsuperscript{143}
- Both common and rare genetic variants have been shown to increase the propensity to drug-induced QT interval prolongation.\textsuperscript{144,145}

Aftermath

- Drug-induced TdP may result in morbidity that requires hospitalization and in mortality attributable to sudden cardiac death in $\leq$31% of patients.\textsuperscript{129,146}
- Patients with advanced HF with a history of drug-induced TdP had a significantly higher risk of sudden cardiac death during therapy with amiodarone than amiodarone-treated patients with no history of drug-induced TdP (55% versus 15%).\textsuperscript{147} Current use of antipsychotic drugs was associated with a significant increase in the risk of sudden cardiac death attributable to TdP (OR, 3.3; 95% CI, 1.8–6.2).\textsuperscript{148}
- Hospitalization was required in 47% and death occurred in 8% of patients with QT interval prolongation and TdP caused by administration of methadone.\textsuperscript{149}

Prevention

- Keys to reducing the incidence of drug-induced cardiac arrhythmias include increased awareness among the medical, pharmaceutical, and nursing professions of the potential problems associated with the use of certain agents.
- Appropriate monitoring when a QT-interval–prolonging drug is administered is essential. Also, prompt withdrawal of the offending agent should be initiated.\textsuperscript{150}

VF and Ventricular Flutter

ICD-9 427.4; ICD-10 I49.0.

Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest: Adults
Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest is defined as a sudden and unexpected pulseless condition attributable to cessation of cardiac mechanical activity. There are wide variations in the reported incidence of and outcomes for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. These differences are caused in part by differences in definition and ascertainment of cardiac arrest data, as well as differences in treatment after the onset of cardiac arrest.

For additional details on out-of-hospital cardiac arrest treatment, please refer to Chapter 22, Quality of Care.

Incidence
(See Table 16-1.)

- The incidence of nontraumatic EMS-assessed, EMS-treated cardiac arrest and bystander-witnessed VF among individuals of any age during 2011 in the United States is best characterized by an ongoing registry from the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium.
- The total resident population of the United States is 316,302,564 individuals (www.census.gov, accessed July 23, 2013). Extrapolation of the incidence and case-fatality rate of EMS-assessed out-of-hospital cardiac arrest reported by the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium (Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium Investigators, unpublished data, July 23, 2013) to the total population of the United States suggests that each year, 424,000 (quasi CI, 417,000–432,000) people experience EMS-assessed out-of-hospital cardiac arrests in the United States.
- Approximately 60% of out-of-hospital cardiac arrests are treated by EMS personnel.
- Twenty-five percent of those with EMS-treated out-of-hospital cardiac arrest have no symptoms before the onset of arrest.
- Among EMS-treated out-of-hospital cardiac arrests, 23% have an initial rhythm of VF or VT or are shockable by an automated external defibrillator.
- The incidence of cardiac arrest with an initial rhythm of VF is decreasing over time; however, the incidence of cardiac arrest with any initial rhythm is not decreasing.

Risk Factors
- A study conducted in New York City found the age-adjusted incidence of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest per 10,000 adults was 10.1 among blacks, 6.5 among Hispanics, and 5.8 among whites.
- Prior HD is a major risk factor for cardiac arrest. A study of 1275 health maintenance organization enrollees 50 to 79 years of age who had cardiac arrest showed that the incidence of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest was 6.0 per 1000 person-years in subjects with any clinically recognized HD compared with 0.8 per 1000 person-years in subjects without HD. In subgroups with HD, incidence was 13.6 per 1000 person-years in subjects with prior MI and 21.9 per 1000 person-years in subjects with HF.
- A family history of cardiac arrest in a first-degree relative is associated with an ≥2-fold increase in risk of cardiac arrest.
- In a study of 81,722 women in the Nurses’ Health Study, the PAR of sudden death associated with 4 lifestyle factors (smoking, PA, diet, and weight) was 81% (95% CI, 52%–93%).

Aftermath
- Survival to hospital discharge in 2011 after EMS-treated nontraumatic cardiac arrest with any first recorded rhythm was 10.4% (95% CI, 9.7%–11.2%) for patients of any age, 10.7% (95% CI, 9.9%–11.5%) for adults, and 5.4% (2.4%–8.4%) for children (Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium Investigators, unpublished data, July 23, 2013). Survival after bystander-witnessed VF was 31.7% (95% CI, 28.3%–35.2%) for patients of any age, 31.7% (95% CI, 28.2%–35.1%) for adults, and 26.7% (95% CI, 4.3%–49.0%) for children (Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium Investigators, unpublished data, July 23, 2013).
- In a study using US Nationwide Inpatient Sample data, inhospital mortality for patients hospitalized for cardiac arrest declined 11.8%, from 69.6% in 2001 to 57.8% in 2009.
- A 9-year retrospective cohort study of 5958 people who received EMS-initiated resuscitation demonstrated that 16.8% (n=1001) were alive at hospital discharge. In people discharged alive, 5-year survival was better in those who received percutaneous intervention (78.7% versus 54.4% for those not treated) and in those who received therapeutic hypothermia (77.5% versus 60.4% in those not treated).
- In a retrospective follow-up study of 2 randomized trials of EMS dispatcher CPR instruction, 5-year survival was higher in people who received chest compressions alone (10.2%) than in those who received chest compressions and rescue breathing (8.5%).
- A study conducted in New York City found the age-adjusted survival to 30 days after discharge was more than twice as poor for blacks as for whites, and survival among Hispanics was also lower than among whites.
- Seventy-nine percent of the lay public are confident that they know what actions to take in a medical emergency; 98% recognize an automated external defibrillator as something that administers an electric shock to restore a normal heartbeat among victims of sudden cardiac arrest; and 60% are familiar with CPR (Harris Interactive survey conducted on behalf of the AHA among 1132 US residents ≥18 years of age, January 8, 2008–January 21, 2008).
- A nationwide prospective Danish study observed that family members of individuals who had premature (age <60 years) sudden cardiac death had a significantly elevated 1.72 standardized risk of subsequent CVD compared with the general population.

Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest: Athletics
- Among 10.9 million registered participants in 40 marathons and 19 half marathons, the overall incidence of cardiac arrest was 0.54 per 100,000 participants (95% CI, 0.41–0.70). Those with cardiac arrest were more often male and were running a marathon versus a half marathon. Seventy-one percent of those with cardiac arrest died; those who died were younger (mean 39±9 years of age) than those who did not die (mean 49±10 years of age), were more often male, and were more often running a full marathon.
Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest: Children
(See Table 16–1.)

- Most sudden deaths in young athletes were attributable to CVD (56%). Of the cardiovascular deaths that occurred, 29% occurred in blacks, 54% in high school students, and 82% with physical exertion during competition/training; only 11% occurred in females, although this proportion has increased over time.158
- A longitudinal study of students 17 to 24 years of age participating in National Collegiate Athletic Association sports showed that the incidence of nontraumatic out-of-hospital cardiac arrest was 1 per 22 903 athlete participants-years. The incidence of cardiac arrest tended to be higher among blacks than among whites and among men than among women.159

In-Hospital Cardiac Arrest
(See Table 16–2.)

- Extrapolation of the incidence of in-hospital cardiac arrest reported by GWTG-Resuscitation to the total population of hospitalized patients in the United States suggests that each year, 209 000 (quasi CI, 192 000–211 000) people are treated for in-hospital cardiac arrest.166
- According to the GWTG-Resuscitation Investigators (unpublished data, July 27, 2013), 22.7% (95% CI, 22.0%–23.4%) of adults and 36.8% (95% CI, 32.6%–41.0%) of children (excluding neonates) who experienced in-hospital cardiac arrest with any first recorded rhythm in 2011 survived to discharge.
- In 2011, 41.5% (95% CI, 39.3%–43.7%) of adults and 33.3% (95% CI, 15.5%–51.1%) of children (excluding neonates) survived to discharge after in-hospital cardiac arrest with VF or pulseless VT as the first recorded rhythm (GWTG-Resuscitation Investigators, unpublished data, July 27, 2013). For additional details on in-hospital arrest treatment, please refer to Chapter 22, Quality of Care.

Inherited Syndromes Associated With Sudden Cardiac Death

Long-QT Syndrome

- The hereditary long-QT syndrome is a genetic channelopathy characterized by prolongation of the QT interval (typically >460 ms) and susceptibility to ventricular tachyarrhythmias that lead to syncope and sudden cardiac death. Investigators have identified mutations in 13 genes leading to this phenotype (\(LQT1\) through \(LQT13\)), \(LQT1\) (\(KCQ1\)), \(LQT2\) (\(KCNH2\)), and \(LQT3\) (\(SCN5A\)) mutations account for the majority (≈80%) of the typed mutations.167,168
- Prevalence of long-QT syndrome is estimated at 1 per 2000 live births from ECG-guided molecular screening of ≈44 000 mostly white infants born in Italy.169 A similar prevalence was found among nearly 8000 Japanese school children screened by use of an ECG-guided molecular screening approach.170
- Long-QT syndrome has been reported among those of African descent, but its prevalence is not well assessed.171
- There is variable penetrance and a sex-time interaction for long-QT syndrome symptoms. Risk of cardiac events is higher among boys than girls (21% among boys and 14% among girls by age 12 years). Risk of events during adolescence is equivalent between sexes (≈25% for both sexes from ages 12–18 years). Conversely, risk of cardiac events in young adulthood is higher among women than men (39% among women from ages 18–40 years and 16% among men).168
- In addition to age and sex, the clinical course is influenced by prior syncope or aborted cardiac arrest, family history, QT-interval duration, genotype, number of mutations, and congenital deafness.167,168,172
- Risk of cardiac events is decreased during pregnancy but increased during the 9-month postpartum period.173
- The mainstay of therapy and prevention is \(\beta\)-blockade treatment.172,174 Implantable defibrillators are considered for high-risk individuals.175
- Individuals may be risk-stratified for increased risk of sudden cardiac death172 according to their specific long-QT mutation and their response to \(\beta\)-blockers.174

Short-QT Syndrome

- Short-QT syndrome is a recently described inherited mendelian condition characterized by shortening of the QT interval (typically QT <320 ms) and predisposition to AF and ventricular tachyarrhythmias and sudden death. Mutations in 5 ion channel genes have been described (\(SQT1–SQT5\)).177
- In a population of 41 767 young predominantly male Swiss transcripts, 0.02% of the population had a QT interval shorter than 320 ms.178
- Among 53 patients from the European Short QT Syndrome Registry (75% males, median age 26 years), a familial or personal history of cardiac arrest was present in 89%. Twenty-four patients received an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator, and 12 received long-term prophylaxis with hydroquinidine. During a median follow-up of 64 months, 2 patients received an appropriate implantable cardioverter-defibrillator shock, and 1 patient experienced syncope. Nonsustained PVT was recorded in 3 patients.179

The Brugada Syndrome

- The Brugada syndrome is an inherited channelopathy characterized by persistent ST-segment elevation in the preordial leads (\(V_1–V_3\)), right bundle-branch block, and susceptibility to ventricular arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death.180
- Mutations in several ion channel–related genes have been identified that lead to Brugada syndrome.180
- Prevalence is estimated at 1 to 5 per 10 000 individuals. Prevalence is higher in Southeast Asian countries, including Thailand and the Philippines. There is a strong male predominance (80% male).180–185
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Catecholaminergic PVT

Catecholaminergic PVT is a familial condition characterized by adrenergically induced ventricular arrhythmias associated with syncope and sudden death. It is associated with frequent ectopy, bidirectional VT, and PVT with exercise or catecholaminergic stimulation (such as emotion, or medicines such as isoproterenol).

Mutations in genes encoding RYR2 [190,191] are found in the majority, and mutations in genes encoding CASQ2 [192,193] are found in a small minority. [186] However, a substantial proportion of individuals with catecholaminergic PVT do not have an identified mutation.

Statistics regarding catecholaminergic PVT are primarily from case series. Of 101 patients with catecholaminergic PVT, the majority had experienced symptoms before 21 years of age. [186]

In small series (n=27 to n=101) of patients followed up over a mean of 6.8 to 7.9 years, 27% to 62% experienced cardiac symptoms, and fatal or near-fatal events occurred in 13% to 31%. [186,187,188,189]

Risk factors for cardiac events included younger age of diagnosis and absence of β-blocker therapy. A history of aborted cardiac arrest and absence of β-blocker therapy were risk factors for fatal or near-fatal events. [186]

Arrhythmogenic Right Ventricular Cardiomyopathy

Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy is a form of genetically inherited structural HD that presents with fibrofatty replacement of the myocardium, with clinical presentation of palpitations, syncope, and sudden death. [191]

Twelve arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy loci have been described (ARVC1–ARVC12). Disease-causing genes for 8 of these loci have been identified, the majority of which are in desmosomally related proteins. [191]

Prevalence is estimated at 2 to 10 per 10 000 individuals. [184,185] Of 100 patients reported on from the Johns Hopkins Arrhythmogenic Right Ventricular Dysplasia Registry, 51 were men and 95 were white, with the rest being of black, Hispanic, or Middle Eastern origin. Twenty-two percent of index cases had evidence of the familial form of arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy. [192]

The most common presenting symptoms were palpitations (27%), syncope (26%), and sudden cardiac death (23%). [192]

During a median follow-up of 6 years, 47 patients received an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator, 29 of whom received appropriate implantable cardioverter-defibrillator shocks. At the end of follow-up, 66 patients were alive. Twenty-three patients died at study entry, and 11 died during follow-up (91% of deaths were attributable to sudden cardiac arrest). [192] Similarly, the annual mortality rate was 2.3% for 130 patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy from Paris, France, who were followed up for a mean of 8.1 years. [193]

Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy

(please refer to Chapter 19, Cardiomyopathy and Heart Failure, for statistics regarding the general epidemiology of HCM.)

Over a mean follow-up of 8±7 years, 6% of HCM patients experienced sudden cardiac death. [196]

Among 1866 sudden deaths in athletes between 1980 and 2006, HCM was the most common cause of cardiovascular sudden death (in 251 cases, or 36% of the 690 deaths that could be reliably attributed to a cardiovascular cause). [188]

The risk of sudden death increases with increasing maximum left ventricular wall thickness, [197,198] and the risk for those with wall thickness ≥30 mm is 18.2 per 1000 patient-years (95% CI, 7.3–37.6), [199] or approximately twice that of those with maximal wall thickness <30 mm. [197,198] Of note, an association between maximum wall thickness and sudden death has not been found in every HCM population. [194]

Nonsustained VT is a risk factor for sudden death, [195,199] particularly in younger patients. Nonsustained VT in those ≤30 years of age is associated with a 4.35-greater odds of sudden death (95% CI, 1.5–12.3). [195]

A history of syncope is also a risk factor for sudden death in these patients, [200] particularly if the syncope was recent before the initial evaluation and not attributable to a neurally mediated event. [201]

The presence of left ventricular outflow tract obstruction ≥30 mm Hg appears to increase the risk of sudden death by 2-fold. [202,202] The presence of left ventricular outflow tract obstruction has a low positive predictive value (7%–8%) but a high negative predictive value (92%–95%) for predicting sudden death. [202,204]

The rate of malignant ventricular arrhythmias detected by implantable cardioverter-defibrillators appears to be similar between those with a family history of sudden death in ≥1 first-degree relatives and those with at least 1 of the risk factors described above. [205]

The risk of sudden death increases with the number of risk factors. [206,207]

Early Repolarization Syndrome

Early repolarization, observed in ≥4% to 19% of the population [208–211] (more commonly in young men [201,208,208] and in athletes [209]) has conventionally been considered a benign finding.

A clinically relevant syndrome was initially described in which ≥1-mm positive deflections (sometimes referred to as “J waves”) in the S wave of ≥2 consecutive inferior or lateral leads were found in 31% of 206 patients with idiopathic VF compared with 5% of control subjects (P<0.001). [208] These findings have been validated in a second study demonstrating similar J-point elevation in 42% of 45 patients with idiopathic VF compared with 13% of age and sex-matched control subjects (P=0.001). [209] Given an estimated risk of idiopathic VF in the general population (among those aged 35–45 years) of 3.4 per 100 000, the positive predictive value of such J-wave findings in a
person 35 to 45 years of age increases the chances of having idiopathic VF to 11 of 100,000.20

● In an analysis of the Social Insurance Institution’s Coronary Disease Study in Finland, J-point elevation was identified in 5.8% of 10,864 people.210 Those with inferior lead J-point elevation more often were male and more often were smokers; had a lower resting heart rate, lower BMI, lower BP, shorter corrected QT interval, and longer QRS duration; and were more likely to have ECG evidence of CAD. Those with lateral J-point elevation were more likely to have left ventricular hypertrophy. Before and after multivariable adjustment, subjects with J-point elevation of ≥1 mm in the inferior leads (n=384) had a higher risk of cardiac death (adjusted RR, 1.28; 95% CI, 1.04–1.59; P=0.03) and death of any cause (adjusted RR, 1.54; 95% CI, 1.06–1.94; P=0.03). However, these patients did not have a significantly higher rate of all-cause mortality. Before and after multivariable adjustment, subjects with J-point elevation >2 mm (n=36) had an increased risk of cardiac death (adjusted RR, 2.98; 95% CI, 1.85–4.92; P=0.03), arrhythmic death (adjusted RR, 3.94; 95% CI, 1.96–7.90; P=0.03), and death of any cause (adjusted RR, 1.54; 95% CI, 1.06–2.24; P=0.03).

● In CARDIA, 18.6% of 5069 participants had early repolarization restricted to the inferior and lateral leads at baseline; by year 20, only 4.8% exhibited an early repolarization pattern.211 Younger age, black race, male sex, longer exercise duration and QRS duration, and lower BMI, heart rate, QT, PR, and QRS duration were more often observed in those with J-point elevation.212 A meta-analysis of evidence from families with a high penetrance of the early repolarization syndrome suggested that the syndrome is inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion.213 Younger age, black race, male sex, longer exercise duration and QRS duration, and lower BMI, heart rate, QT, PR, and QRS duration were more often observed in those with J-point elevation.212 A meta-analysis of evidence from families with a high penetrance of the early repolarization syndrome suggested that the syndrome is inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion.213 Younger age, black race, male sex, longer exercise duration and QRS duration, and lower BMI, heart rate, QT, PR, and QRS duration were more often observed in those with J-point elevation.212 A meta-analysis of evidence from families with a high penetrance of the early repolarization syndrome suggested that the syndrome is inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion.213 Younger age, black race, male sex, longer exercise duration and QRS duration, and lower BMI, heart rate, QT, PR, and QRS duration were more often observed in those with J-point elevation.212 A meta-analysis of evidence from families with a high penetrance of the early repolarization syndrome suggested that the syndrome is inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion.213 Younger age, black race, male sex, longer exercise duration and QRS duration, and lower BMI, heart rate, QT, PR, and QRS duration were more often observed in those with J-point elevation.

Evidence from families with a high penetrance of the early repolarization syndrome associated with a high risk of sudden death suggests that the syndrome can be inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion.214 A meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies performed in population-based cohorts failed to identify any genetic variants that met criteria for statistical significance215 (Table 16-1).
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Table 16-1. Incidence and Outcome of Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest in the United States, 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Incidence per 100,000, Point Estimate (95% CI)</th>
<th>Annual Number of Cases in United States, Point Estimate (Quasi CI)</th>
<th>Annual Number of Fatalities in United States, Point Estimate (Quasi CI)</th>
<th>Survival, Point Estimate (95% CI), %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EMS assessed</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>134.1 (131.7–136.6)</td>
<td>424,000 (417,000–432,000)</td>
<td>401,000 (392,000–410,000)</td>
<td>5.2 (4.8–5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>135.8 (133.0–138.7)</td>
<td>322,000 (315,000–328,000)</td>
<td>299,000 (291,000–307,000)</td>
<td>6.7 (6.2–7.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>11.9 (10.5–13.4)</td>
<td>9,500 (8,400–10,700)</td>
<td>8,800 (7,500–10,200)</td>
<td>4.4 (2.0–6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EMS treated</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>66.7 (64.9–68.4)</td>
<td>211,000 (205,000–216,000)</td>
<td>187,000 (181,000–194,000)</td>
<td>10.4 (9.7–11.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>84.8 (82.5–87.0)</td>
<td>201,000 (195,000–206,000)</td>
<td>178,000 (172,000–184,000)</td>
<td>10.7 (9.9–11.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>9.7 (8.4–11.1)</td>
<td>7,700 (6,700–8,800)</td>
<td>7,000 (5,800–8,400)</td>
<td>5.4 (2.4–8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shockable rhythm</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>13.4 (12.6–14.2)</td>
<td>42,000 (40,000–45,000)</td>
<td>30,000 (27,000–33,000)</td>
<td>28.3 (25.7–30.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>17.4 (16.4–18.5)</td>
<td>41,000 (39,000–44,000)</td>
<td>29,000 (26,000–32,000)</td>
<td>28.4 (25.9–30.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>0.7 (0.3–1.0)</td>
<td>560 (240–800)</td>
<td>370 (100–720)</td>
<td>26.7 (4.3–49.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bystander-witnessed</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>shockable rhythm</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>7.7 (7.1–8.3)</td>
<td>24,000 (22,000–26,000)</td>
<td>16,000 (14,000–18,000)</td>
<td>31.7 (28.3–35.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>10.1 (9.3–10.9)</td>
<td>24,000 (22,000–26,000)</td>
<td>16,000 (14,000–18,000)</td>
<td>31.7 (28.2–35.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>0.3 (0.1–0.5)</td>
<td>240 (80–400)</td>
<td>160 (30–360)</td>
<td>26.7 (4.3–49.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

US sites only; 2011 cases.
CI indicates confidence interval; and EMS, emergency medical services.

Table 16-2. Outcome of In-Hospital Cardiac Arrest in United States, 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Survival, %</th>
<th>Point Estimate (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treated IHCA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>22.0–23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>32.6–41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shockable rhythm</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>39.3–43.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>15.5–51.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI indicates confidence interval; and IHCA, in-hospital cardiac arrest.
17. Subclinical Atherosclerosis

See Table 17-1 and Charts 17-1 through 17-6.

Atherosclerosis, a systemic disease process in which fatty deposits, inflammation, cells, and scar tissue build up within the walls of arteries, is the underlying cause of the majority of clinical cardiovascular events. Individuals who develop atherosclerosis tend to develop it in a number of different types of arteries (large and small arteries and those feeding the heart, brain, kidneys, and extremities), although they may have much more in some parts of the body than others. In recent decades, advances in imaging technology have allowed for improved ability to detect and quantify atherosclerosis at all stages and in multiple different vascular beds. Two modalities, CT of the chest for evaluation of CAC and B-mode ultrasound of the neck for evaluation of carotid artery IMT, have been used in large studies with outcomes data and may help define the burden of atherosclerosis in individuals before they develop clinical events such as heart attack or stroke. Another commonly used method for detecting and quantifying atherosclerosis in the peripheral arteries is the ABI. Data on cardiovascular outcomes are beginning to emerge for additional modalities that measure anatomic and functional measures of subclinical disease, including brachial artery reactivity testing, aortic and carotid magnetic resonance imaging, and tonometric methods of measuring vascular compliance or microvascular reactivity. Further research may help to define the role of these techniques in cardiovascular risk assessment. Some guidelines have recommended screening for subclinical atherosclerosis, especially by CAC, or IMT may be appropriate in people at intermediate risk for HD (eg, 10-year estimated risk of 10% to 20%) but not for lower-risk general population screening or for people with preexisting HD or most other high-risk conditions. However, a recent guideline notes those with DM who are ≥40 years of age may be suitable for screening of risk by coronary calcium. There are still limited data demonstrating whether screening with these and other imaging modalities can improve patient outcomes or whether it only increases downstream medical care costs. A recently published report in a large cohort randomly assigned to coronary calcium screening or not showed such screening to result in an improved risk factor profile without increasing downstream medical costs.

Coronary Artery Calcification

Background

- CAC is a measure of the burden of atherosclerosis in the heart arteries and is measured by CT. Other components of the atherosclerotic plaque, including fatty (eg, cholesterol-rich components) and fibrotic components, often accompany CAC and may be present even in the absence of CAC.
- The presence of any CAC, which indicates that at least some atherosclerotic plaque is present, is defined by an Agatston score >0. Clinically significant plaque, frequently an indication for more aggressive risk factor management, is often defined by an Agatston score ≥100 or a score ≥75th percentile for one’s age and sex. An Agatston score ≥400 has been noted to be an indication for further diagnostic evaluation (eg, exercise testing or myocardial perfusion imaging) for CAD.

Prevalence

(See Table 17-1 and Charts 17-1 and 17-2.)

- The NHLBI’s FHS reported CAC measured in 3238 white adults in age groups ranging from <45 years of age to ≥75 years of age. Overall, 32.0% of women and 52.9% of men had prevalent CAC. Among participants at intermediate risk according to FRS, 58% of women and 67% of men had prevalent CAC.
The NHLBI’s CARDIA study measured CAC in 3043 black and white adults 33 to 45 years of age (at the CARDIA year 15 examination).\(^5\)

—Overall, 15.0% of men and 5.1% of women, 5.5% of those 33 to 39 years of age and 13.3% of those 40 to 45 years of age, had prevalent CAC. Overall, 1.6% of participants had an Agatston score that exceeded 100.

—Chart 17-1 shows the prevalence of CAC by ethnicity and sex. The prevalence of CAC was lower in black men than in white men but was similar in black and white women at these ages.

The NHLBI’s MESA measured CAC in 6814 participants 45 to 84 years of age, including white (n=2619), black (n=1898), Hispanic (n=1494), and Chinese (n=803) men and women.\(^6\)

—Chart 17-2 shows the prevalence of CAC by sex and ethnicity.

—The prevalence and 75th percentile levels of CAC were highest in white men and lowest in black and Hispanic women. Significant ethnic differences persisted after adjustment for risk factors, with the RR of coronary calcium being 22% less in blacks, 15% less in Hispanics, and 8% less in Chinese than in whites.

—Table 17-1 shows the 75th percentile levels of CAC by sex and race at selected ages. These might be considered cut points above which more aggressive efforts to control risk factors (eg, elevated cholesterol or BP) could be implemented and/or at which treatment goals might be more aggressive (eg, LDL cholesterol <100 mg/dL instead of <130 mg/dL).

The prevalence of CAC varies widely according to FRS. In a report from MESA,\(^7\) the prevalence of CAC among individuals with very low FRS (10-year risk <5%) was low. These findings may have important implications for population screening for subclinical atherosclerosis.

Investigators from the NHLBI’s CARDIA study examined the association between neighborhood attributes and subclinical atherosclerosis in younger adult populations. Using 2000 US Census block-group-level data, among women, higher odds of CAC were associated with higher neighborhood deprivation and lower neighborhood cohesion. Among all men, neither neighborhood deprivation nor neighborhood cohesion was associated with CAC, whereas among men in deprived neighborhoods, low cohesion was associated with higher odds of CAC.\(^8\)

**CAC and Incidence of Cardiovascular Events**

(See Charts 17-3 and 17-4.)

The NHLBI’s MESA recently reported on the association of CAC scores with first CHD events over a median follow-up of 3.9 years among a population-based sample of 6722 men and women (39% white, 27% black, 22% Hispanic, and 12% Chinese).\(^9\)

—Chart 17-3 shows the HRs associated with CAC scores of 1 to 100, 101 to 300, and >300 compared with those without CAC (score=0), after adjustment for standard risk factors. People with CAC scores of 1 to 100 had 4 times greater risk and those with CAC scores >100 were 7 to 10 times more likely to experience a coronary event than those without CAC.

—CAC provided similar predictive value for coronary events in whites, Chinese, blacks, and Hispanics (HRs ranging from 1.15–1.39 for each doubling of coronary calcium).

In another report of a community-based sample, not referred for clinical reasons, the South Bay Heart Watch examined CAC in 1461 adults (average age 66 years) with coronary risk factors, with a median of 7.0 years of follow-up.\(^10\)

—Chart 17-4 shows the HRs associated with increasing CAC scores (relative to CAC=0 and <10% risk category) in low-risk (<10%), intermediate-risk (10%–15% and 16%–20%), and high-risk (>20%) FRS categories of estimated risk for CHD in 10 years. Increasing CAC scores further predicted risk in intermediate- and high-risk groups.

In a study of healthy adults 60 to 72 years of age who were free of clinical CAD, predictors of the progression of CAC were assessed. Predictors tested included age, sex, race/ethnicity, smoking status, BMI, family history of CAD, CRP, several measures of DM, insulin levels, BP, and lipids. Insulin resistance, in addition to the traditional cardiac risk factors, independently predicts progression of CAC.\(^11\)

Clinically, however, it is not yet recommended to conduct serial scanning of CAC to measure effects of therapeutic interventions.

A recent publication from MESA also used CAC, in particular, and carotid IMT to stratify CHD and CVD event risk in people with metabolic syndrome and DM; those with low levels of CAC or carotid IMT have CHD and CVD event rates as low as many people without metabolic syndrome and DM. Those with DM who have CAC scores <100 have annual CHD event rates of <1%.\(^12\)

It is noteworthy, as recently demonstrated in MESA in 5878 participants with a median of 5.8 years of follow-up, that the addition of CAC to standard risk factors resulted in significant improvement of classification of risk for incident CHD events, placing 77% of people in the highest or lowest risk categories compared with 69% based on risk factors alone. An additional 23% of those who experienced events were reclassified as high risk, and 13% with events were reclassified as low risk.\(^13\)

The contribution of CAC to risk prediction has also been observed in other cohorts, including both the Heinz Nixdorf Recall Study\(^14\) and the Rotterdam Study.\(^15\)

An absence of CAC, observed in 40% to 50% of individuals, confers a very low risk for future cardiovascular events. In a recent meta-analysis of 13 studies assessing the relationship of CAC with adverse cardiovascular outcomes that included 71595 asymptomatic patients, 29312 patients (41%) did not have any evidence of CAC.\(^16\) In a follow-up that averaged 3 to 5 years, 154 of 29312 patients without CAC (0.47%) experienced a cardiovascular event compared with 1749 of 42283 patients with CAC (4.14%). The cumulative RR ratio was 0.15 (95% CI, 0.11–0.21; P<0.001). These findings were confirmed in MESA, which reported a rate of 0.52% for CHD events during a median of 4 years of follow-up among people with no detectable CAC.\(^17\)
A recent meta-analysis also highlighted the utility of CAC testing in the diabetic population. In this meta-analysis, 8 studies were included (n=6521; 802 events; mean follow-up, 5.18 years). The RR for all-cause mortality or cardiovascular events or both comparing a total CAC score ≥10 with a score <10 was 5.47 (95% CI, 2.59–11.53; F=82.4%, P<0.001). For people with a CAC score <10, the posttest probability of the composite outcome was ≈1.8%, which represents a 6.8-fold reduction from the pretest probability, which suggests that those with low or absent CAC may facilitate risk stratification by enabling the identification of people at low risk within this high-risk population.

In the Heinz Nixdorf Recall Study, CAC independently predicted stroke during a mean follow-up of 7.9 years. Cox proportional hazards regressions were used to examine CAC as a predictor of stroke in addition to established vascular risk factors (age, sex, SBP, LDL, HDL, DM, smoking, and AF). Study participants who had a stroke had significantly higher CAC values at baseline than the remaining subjects (median, 104.8 [quartile 1, 14.0; quartile 3, 482.2] versus 11.2 [quartile 1, 0; quartile 3, 106.2]; P<0.001). In a multivariable Cox regression, log10(CAC+1) was an independent stroke predictor (HR, 1.52; 95% CI, 1.19–1.92; P=0.001). CAC discriminated stroke risk specifically in participants in the low (<10%) and intermediate (10%–20%) FRS categories.

**CAC Progression and Risk**

A recent report in 4609 individuals who had baseline and repeat cardiac CT found that progression of CAC provided incremental information over baseline score, demographics, and cardiovascular risk factors in predicting future all-cause mortality.

More recently, data from 6778 people in MESA showed annual CAC progression was an average of 25 Agatston units, and among those without CAC at baseline, a 5-U annual change in CAC was associated with HRs of 1.4 and 1.5 for total and hard CHD events, respectively. Among those with CAC >0 at baseline, HRs per 100-U annual change in CAC were 1.2 and 1.3, respectively, and for those with annual progression ≥300 versus no progression, HRs were 3.8 and 6.3, respectively. Progression of CAC in MESA was also shown to be greater in those with metabolic syndrome and DM than in those with neither condition, and progression of CAC in each of these conditions was associated with a greater future risk of CHD events.

In MESA, greater adherence to a healthy lifestyle based on a healthy lifestyle score was associated with slower progression of CAC and lower mortality rates relative to those with the most unhealthy lifestyle.

**Carotid IMT**

**Background**

Carotid IMT measures the thickness of 2 layers (the intima and media) of the wall of the carotid arteries, the largest conduits of blood going to the brain. Carotid IMT is thought to be an even earlier manifestation of atherosclerosis than CAC, because thickening precedes the development of frank atherosclerotic plaque. Carotid IMT methods are still being refined, so it is important to know which part of the artery was measured (common carotid, internal carotid, or bulb) and whether near and far walls were both measured. This information can affect the average-thickness measurement that is usually reported.

Unlike CAC, everyone has some thickness to the layers of their arteries, but people who develop atherosclerosis have greater thickness. Ultrasound of the carotid arteries can also detect plaques and determine the degree of narrowing of the artery they may cause. Epidemiological data, including the data discussed below, have indicated that high-risk levels of thickening might be considered as those in the highest quartile or quintile for one’s age and sex, or ≥1 mm.

Although ultrasound is commonly used to diagnose plaque in the carotid arteries in people who have had strokes or who have bruits (sounds of turbulence in the artery), guidelines are limited as to screening of asymptomatic people with carotid IMT to quantify atherosclerosis or predict risk. However, some organizations have recognized that carotid IMT measurement by B-mode ultrasonography may provide an independent assessment of coronary risk.

**Prevalence and Association With Incident Cardiovascular Events**

(See Charts 17-5 and 17-6.)

- The Bogalusa Heart Study measured carotid IMT in 518 black and white men and women at a mean age of 32±3 years. These men and women were healthy but overweight.

- The mean values of carotid IMT for the different segments are shown in Chart 17-5 by sex and race. Men had significantly higher carotid IMT in all segments than women, and blacks had higher common carotid and carotid bulb IMTs than whites.

- Even at this young age, after adjustment for age, race, and sex, carotid IMT was associated significantly and positively with waist circumference, SBP, DBP, and LDL cholesterol. Carotid IMT was inversely correlated with HDL cholesterol levels. Participants with greater numbers of adverse risk factors (0, 1, 2, 3, or more) had stepwise increases in mean carotid IMT levels.

In a subsequent analysis, the Bogalusa investigators examined the association of risk factors measured since childhood with carotid IMT measured in these young adults.

- Higher BMI and LDL cholesterol levels measured at 4 to 7 years of age were associated with increased risk for being >75th percentile for carotid IMT in young adulthood. Higher SBP and LDL cholesterol and lower HDL cholesterol in young adulthood were also associated with having high carotid IMT. These data highlight the importance of adverse risk factor levels in early childhood and young adulthood in the early development of atherosclerosis.

- Among both women and men in MESA, blacks had the highest common carotid IMT, but they were similar to whites and Hispanics in internal carotid IMT. Chinese participants had the lowest carotid IMT, in particular in the internal carotid of the 4 ethnic groups (Chart 17-6).

- The NHLBI’s CHS reported follow-up of 4476 men and women ≥65 years of age (mean age 72 years) who were free of CVD at baseline.
Mean maximal common carotid IMT was 1.03±0.20 mm, and mean internal carotid IMT was 1.37±0.55 mm. After a mean follow-up of 6.2 years, those with maximal combined carotid IMT in the highest quintile had a 4- to 5-fold greater risk for incident heart attack or stroke than those in the bottom quintile. After adjustment for other risk factors, there was still a 2- to 3-fold greater risk for the top versus the bottom quintile.

A study of 441 individuals ≤65 years of age without a history of CAD, DM, or hyperlipidemia who were examined for carotid IMT found 42% had high-risk carotid ultrasound findings (carotid IMT ≥75th percentile, adjusted for age, sex, and race or presence of plaque). Among those with an FRS ≤5%, 38% had high-risk carotid ultrasound findings. Conflicting data have been reported on the contribution of carotid IMT to risk prediction. In 13,145 participants in the NHLBI’s ARIC study, the addition of carotid IMT combined with identification of plaque presence or absence to traditional risk factors reclassified risk in 23% of individuals overall, with a net reclassification improvement of 9.9%. There was a modest but statistically significant improvement in the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve, from 0.742 to 0.755. In contrast, data reported recently from the Carotid Atherosclerosis Progression Study observed a net reclassification improvement of −1.4% that was not statistically significant.

A recent study from a consortium of 14 population-based cohorts consisting of 45,828 individuals followed up for a median of 11 years demonstrated little additive value of common carotid IMT to FRS for purposes of discrimination and reclassification as far as incident MI and stroke were concerned. The C statistics of the model with FRS alone (0.757; 95% CI, 0.749–0.764) and with addition of common carotid IMT (0.759; 95% CI, 0.752–0.766) were similar. The net reclassification improvement with the addition of common carotid IMT was small (0.8%; 95% CI, 0.1%–1.6%). In those at intermediate risk, the net reclassification improvement was 3.6% among all individuals (95% CI, 2.7%–4.6%).

CAC and Carotid IMT

In the NHLBI’s MESA, a study of white, black, Chinese, and Hispanic adults 45 to 84 years of age, carotid IMT and CAC were found to be commonly associated, but patterns of association differed somewhat by sex and race.

Common and internal carotid IMT were greater in women and men who had CAC than in those who did not, regardless of ethnicity.

Overall, CAC prevalence and scores were associated with carotid IMT, but associations were somewhat weaker in blacks than in other ethnic groups.

In general, blacks had the thickest carotid IMT of all 4 ethnic groups, regardless of the presence of CAC.

Common carotid IMT differed little by race/ethnicity in women with any CAC, but among women with no CAC, IMT was higher among blacks (0.86 mm) than in the other 3 groups (0.76–0.80 mm).

In a more recent analysis from the NHLBI’s MESA study, the investigators reported on follow-up of 6698 men and women in 4 ethnic groups over 5.3 years and compared the predictive utility of carotid IMT and CAC.

CAC was associated more strongly than carotid IMT with the risk of incident CVD.

After adjustment for each other (CAC score and IMT) and for traditional CVD risk factors, the HR for CVD increased 2.1-fold for each 1-SD increment of log-transformed CAC score versus 1.3-fold for each 1-SD increment of the maximum carotid IMT.

For CHD events, the HRs per 1-standard deviation increment increased 2.5-fold for CAC score and 1.2-fold for IMT.

A receiver operating characteristic curve analysis also suggested that CAC score was a better predictor of incident CVD than was IMT, with areas under the curve of 0.81 versus 0.78, respectively.

Investigators from the NHLBI’s CARDIA and MESA studies examined the burden and progression of subclinical atherosclerosis among adults <50 years of age. Ten-year and lifetime risks for CVD were estimated for each participant, and the participants were stratified into 3 groups: (1) those with low 10-year (<10%) and low lifetime (<39%) predicted risk for CVD; (2) those with low 10-year (<10%) but high lifetime (≥39%) predicted risk; and (3) those with high 10-year risk (>10%). The latter group had the highest burden and greatest progression of subclinical atherosclerosis. Given the young age of those studied, ~90% of participants were at low 10-year risk, but of these, half had high predicted lifetime risk. Compared with those with low short-term/low lifetime predicted risks, those with low short-term/high lifetime predicted risk had significantly greater burden and progression of CAC and significantly greater burden of carotid IMT, even at these younger ages. These data confirm the importance of early exposure to risk factors for the onset and progression of subclinical atherosclerosis.

CT Angiography

CT angiography is widely used by cardiologists to aid in the diagnosis of CAD, particularly when other test results may be equivocal. It is also of interest because of its ability to detect and possibly quantitate overall plaque burden and certain characteristics of plaques that may make them prone to rupture, such as positive remodeling or low attenuation.

Compared with the established value of CAC scanning for risk reclassification in asymptomatic patients, there are limited data regarding the utility of CT angiography in asymptomatic people. This was recently assessed by the investigators of the CONFIRM registry, from which >7500 asymptomatic subjects with CAC and CT angiography were followed up for death and nonfatal MI for a median of 2 years. Overall, 2.2% either died or experienced nonfatal MI, and in multivariable models, compared with those without atherosclerosis, there was increasing risk across groups with increasing degrees of atherosclerosis measured by CT angiography. However, after the inclusion of CAC in the multivariable risk model, CT angiography did not provide incremental prognostic value over this short period of follow-up.
Because of the limited outcome data in asymptomatic people, as well as the associated expense and risk of CT angiography (including generally higher radiation levels than CT scanning to detect CAC), current guidelines do not recommend its use as a screening tool for assessment of cardiovascular risk in asymptomatic people.

Measures of Vascular Function and Incident CVD Events

**Background**

- Measures of arterial tonometry (stiffness) are based on the concept that pulse pressure has been shown to be an important risk factor for CVD. Arterial tonometry offers the ability to directly and noninvasively measure central pulse wave velocity in the thoracic and abdominal aorta.
- Brachial FMD is a marker for nitric oxide release from the endothelium that can be measured by ultrasound. Impaired FMD is an early marker of CVD.
- Recommendations have not been specific, however, as to which, if any, measures of vascular function may be useful for CVD risk stratification in selected patient subgroups. Because of the absence of significant prospective data relating these measures to outcomes, latest guidelines do not currently recommend measuring either FMD or arterial stiffness for cardiovascular risk assessment in asymptomatic adults.

**Arterial Tonometry and CVD**

- The Rotterdam Study measured arterial stiffness in 2835 elderly participants (mean age 71 years). They found that as aortic pulse wave velocity increased, the risk of CHD was 1.72 (second versus first tertile) and 2.45 (third versus first tertile). Results remained robust even after accounting for carotid IMT, ABI, and pulse pressure.
- A study from Denmark of 1678 individuals aged 40 to 70 years found that each 1-SD increment in aortic pulse wave velocity (3.4 m/s) increased CVD risk by 16% to 20%.
- The FHS measured several indices of arterial stiffness, including pulse wave velocity, wave reflection, and central pulse pressure. They found that not only was higher pulse wave velocity associated with a 48% increased risk of incident CVD events, but pulse wave velocity additionally improved CVD risk prediction (integrated discrimination improvement of 0.7%, *P*<0.05).

**FMD and CVD**

- MESA measured FMD in 3026 participants (mean age 61 years) who were free of CVD. As FMD increased (ie, improved brachial function), the risk of CVD was 16% lower. FMD also improved CVD risk prediction compared with the FRS by improving net reclassification by 29%.

**Comparison of Measures**

- In MESA, a comparison of 6 risk markers—CAC, ABI, high-sensitivity CRP, carotid IMT, brachial FMD, and family history of CHD—and their clinical utility over FRS was evaluated in 1330 intermediate-risk individuals. After 7.6 years of follow-up, CAC, ABI, high-sensitivity CRP, and family history were independently associated with incident CHD in multivariable analyses (HRs of 2.6, 0.79, 1.28, and 2.18, respectively), but carotid IMT and brachial FMD were not. CAC provided the highest incremental improvement over the FRS (0.784 for both CAC and FRS versus 0.623 for FRS alone), as well as the greatest net reclassification improvement (0.659).

**Utility for Risk Stratification for Treatment**

- CAC has been examined for its potential to identify those most likely to benefit from treatment.
- In a recent report, 950 participants from MESA who met JUPITER clinical trial entry criterion (risk factors plus LDL cholesterol <130 mg/dL and CRP ≥2 mg/L) were identified and stratified according to CAC scores of 0, 1 to 100, or >100; CHD event rates were calculated, and the number needed to treat was calculated by applying the benefit found in JUPITER to the event rates found in each of these groups. For CHD, the predicted 5-year number needed to treat was 5-49 for those with CAC of 0, 94 for scores of 1 to 100, and 24 for scores >100, thus showing the utility of CAC in identifying those most likely to benefit from statin treatment with an appropriate number needed to treat.

**References**


Table 17-1. CAC Scores for the 75th Percentile of Men and Women of Different Race/Ethnic Groups, at Specified Ages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Chinese</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>White</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>820</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAC indicates coronary artery calcification.

*The 75th percentile CAC score is the score at which 75% of people of the same age, sex, and race have a score at or below this level and 25% of people of the same age, sex, and race have a higher score. (Source: MESA CAC Tools Web site.)

Chart 17-1. Prevalence (%) of coronary calcium: US adults 33 to 45 years of age. P<0.0001 across race-sex groups. Data derived from Loria et al.
Chart 17-2. Prevalence (%) of coronary calcium: US adults 45 to 84 years of age. $P<0.0001$ across ethnic groups in both men and women. Data derived from Bild et al.6

Chart 17-3. Hazard ratios (HR) for coronary heart disease (CHD) events associated with coronary calcium scores: US adults 45 to 84 years of age (reference group, coronary artery calcification [CAC]=0). All HRs $P<0.0001$. Major CHD events included myocardial infarction and death attributable to CHD; any CHD events included major CHD events plus definite angina or definite or probable angina followed by revascularization. Data derived from Detrano et al.9
Chart 17-4. Hazard ratios (HR) for coronary heart disease events associated with coronary calcium scores: US adults (reference group, coronary artery calcification [CAC]=0 and Framingham Risk Score <10%). Coronary heart disease events included nonfatal myocardial infarction and death attributable to coronary heart disease. Data derived from Greenland et al.10

Chart 17-5. Mean values of carotid intima-media thickness (IMT) for different carotid artery segments in younger adults by race and sex (Bogalusa Heart Study). Data derived from Urbina et al.25
Chart 17-6. Mean values of carotid intima-media thickness (IMT) for different carotid artery segments in older adults, by race. Data derived from Manolio et al.32
18. Coronary Heart Disease, Acute Coronary Syndrome, and Angina Pectoris

See Tables 18-1 and 18-2 and Charts 18-1 through 18-10; see Glossary (Chapter 26) for details and definitions.

Coronary Heart Disease

ICD-9 410 to 414, 429.2; ICD-10 I20 to I25; including MI ICD-10 I21 to I22.

Prevalence

(See Table 18-1 and Charts 18-1 and 18-2.)

- On the basis of data from NHANES 2007 to 2010 (NHLBI tabulation), an estimated 15.4 million Americans ≥20 years of age have CHD.
  - Total CHD prevalence is 6.4% in US adults ≥20 years of age. CHD prevalence is 7.9% for men and 5.1% for women.
  - Among non-Hispanic whites, CHD prevalence is 8.2% for men and 4.6% for women.
  - Among non-Hispanic blacks, CHD prevalence is 6.8% for men and 7.1% for women.
  - Among Mexican Americans, CHD prevalence is 6.7% for men and 5.3% for women.

- On the basis of data from the 2012 NHIS
  - Among Hispanic or Latino individuals ≥18 years of age, CHD prevalence is 5.9%.1
  - Among American Indian/Alaska Natives ≥18 years of age, it is estimated that 8.1% have CHD, and among Asians ≥18 years of age, the estimate is 4.5%.1

- According to data from NHANES 2007 to 2010 (NHLBI tabulation), the overall prevalence for MI is 2.9% in US adults ≥20 years of age. MI prevalence is 4.2% for men and 1.7% for women.
  - Among non-Hispanic whites, MI prevalence is 4.4% for men and 1.5% for women.
  - Among non-Hispanic blacks, MI prevalence is 3.9% for men and 2.3% for women.
  - Among Mexican Americans, MI prevalence is 3.6% for men and 1.7% for women.

- Data from the BRFSS 2011 survey indicated that 4.3% of respondents had been told that they had had an MI. The highest prevalence was in Arkansas (6.4%) and West Virginia (6.2%). The lowest prevalence was in Colorado (2.7%) and Utah (3.0%). In the same survey, 4.2% of respondents were told that they had angina or CHD. The highest prevalence was in West Virginia (6.6%), and the lowest was in Colorado (2.4%).2

Abbreviations Used in Chapter 18

| ACC | American College of Cardiology |
| ACS | acute coronary syndrome |
| ACTION | Acute Coronary Treatment and Intervention Outcomes Network |
| AHA | American Heart Association |
| AMI | acute myocardial infarction |
| AP | angina pectoris |
| ARIC | Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study |
| BMI | body mass index |
| BP | blood pressure |
| BRFSS | Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System |
| CABG | coronary artery bypass graft |
| CAD | coronary artery disease |
| CDC | Centers for Disease Control and Prevention |
| CHD | coronary heart disease |
| CHS | Cardiovascular Health Study |
| CI | confidence interval |
| CRUSADE | Can Rapid Risk Stratification of Unstable Angina Patients Suppress Adverse Outcomes With Early Implementation of the ACC/AHA Guidelines |
| CVD | cardiovascular disease |
| DM | diabetes mellitus |
| ECG | electrocardiogram/electrocardiographic |
| ED | emergency department |
| EHS-ACS-II | second Euro Heart Survey on ACS |
| EMS | emergency medical services |
| FHS | Framingham Heart Study |
| GRACE | Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events |
| GWTG | Get With The Guidelines |
| HCUP | Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project |
| HD | heart disease |
| HDL-C | high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol |
| HF | heart failure |
| ICD-9 | International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision |
| ICD-10 | International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision |
| MEPS | Medical Expenditure Panel Survey |
| MI | myocardial infarction |
| NAMCS | National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey |
| NCDR | National Cardiovascular Data Registry |
| NCHS | National Center for Health Statistics |
| NH | non-Hispanic |
| NHAMCS | National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey |
| NHANES | National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey |
| NHDS | National Hospital Discharge Survey |
| NHIS | National Health Interview Study |
| NHLBI | National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute |
| NRMI-4 | National Registry of Myocardial Infarction 4 |
| NSTEMI | non–ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction |
| OR | odds ratio |
| PCI | percutaneous coronary intervention |
| SBP | systolic blood pressure |
| STEMI | ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction |
| UA | unstable angina |
| WISE | Women's Ischemia Syndrome Evaluation |
| YLL | years of life lost |
Projections show that by 2030, prevalence of CHD will increase ≈18% from 2013 estimates (AHA computation, based on methodology described in Heidenreich et al).3

Incidence
(See Table 18-1 and Charts 18-3 through 18-5.)

Approximately every 44 seconds, an American will have an MI (AHA computation).

On the basis of data from the ARIC study4 of the NHLBI —This year, ≈620,000 Americans will have a new coronary attack (defined as first hospitalized MI or CHD death), and ≈295,000 will have a recurrent attack. It is estimated that an additional 150,000 silent MIs occur each year. That assumes that ≈21% of the 720,000 first and recurrent MIs are silent.5,6
—The estimated annual incidence of MI is 515,000 new attacks and 205,000 recurrent attacks.
—Average age at first MI is 64.9 years for men and 72.3 years for women.

On the basis of the NHLBI-sponsored FHS —CHD makes up more than half of all cardiovascular events in men and women <75 years of age.5
—The incidence of CHD in women lags behind men by 10 years for total CHD and by 20 years for more serious clinical events such as MI and sudden death.5

In the NHLBI-sponsored ARIC study, in participants 35 to 84 years of age, the average age-adjusted first MI or fatal CHD rates per 1000 population were as follows: white men, 3.6; black men, 5.6; white women, 2.1; and black women, 3.8 (unpublished data from ARIC Surveillance 2005–2010, NHLBI).

Incidence rates for MI in the NHLBI-sponsored ARIC study are displayed in Charts 18-3 and 18-4, stratified by age, race, and sex. The annual age-adjusted rates per 1000 population of first MI (2005–2010) were 4.8 in black men, 3.2 in white men, 3.3 in black women, and 1.9 in white women (unpublished data from ARIC Surveillance 2005–2010, NHLBI).

Among American Indians 65 to 74 years of age, the annual rates per 1000 population of new and recurrent MIs were 7.6 for men and 4.9 for women.7

On the basis of data from the NHDS, since the mid-1990s, the rate of hospitalization for MI and in-hospital case fatality rates have decreased.8

From 2002 to 2007, the rates of hospitalization for MI decreased among Medicare beneficiaries; however, the degree of reduction was more significant in whites than in African Americans.9

Trends in Incidence

Analysis of >40 years of physician-validated AMI data in the NHLBI’s FHS found that AMI rates diagnosed by electrocardiographic criteria declined ≈50%, with a concomitant 2-fold increase in rates of AMI diagnosed by blood markers. These findings may explain the paradoxical stability of AMI rates in the United States despite concomitant improvements in CHD risk factors.10

Data from the Worcester Heart Attack Study showed that incidence rates for AMI were 277 per 100,000 person-years in 1975 and 209 per 100,000 person-years in 2005 (P=0.42 for overall trend). The incidence rate rose from 1975 to 1981, decreased from 1981 to 1988, increased from 1981 to 2001, and decreased from 2001 to 2005.11

In Olmsted County, MN, no significant change in the overall age- and sex-adjusted incidence rate for hospitalized MI was noted (186 per 100,000 person-years in 1987 and 180 per 100,000 person-years in 2006; P=0.171), but a significant decline in the age- and sex-adjusted incidence rate for hospitalized MI based on creatine kinase/creatine kinase-MB markers, to 141 per 100,000 person-years (P=0.020), was observed in 2006, which represents a 20% decrease during the study period.12

Data from Kaiser Permanente Northern California showed that the age- and sex-adjusted incidence rate of hospitalizations for MI changed from 274 per 100,000 person-years in 1999 to 208 per 100,000 person-years in 2008. Furthermore, the age- and sex-adjusted incidence rate of hospitalizations for STEMI changed from 133 per 100,000 person-years in 1999 to 50 per 100,000 person-years in 2008 (P linear trend <0.001). The trajectory of the age- and sex-adjusted incidence rate of hospitalizations for NSTEMI did not change significantly.13

From 1987 to 2008, the age- and biomarker-adjusted incidence rates of hospitalization for AMI or fatal CHD decreased by 4.9% per year (95% CI, 5.3%–4.5%) among white men, 3.9% per year (95% CI, 4.5%–3.4%) among white women, 1.8% per year (95% CI, 2.6%–1.0%) among black men, and 3.5% per year (95% CI, 4.4%–2.6%) among black women in the ARIC study.14

Predicted Risk
Ten-Year Predicted Risk

Analysis of data from NHANES III (1988–1994) and NHANES 1999 to 2002 (NCHS) showed that in adults 20 to 74 years of age, the overall distribution of 10-year risk of developing CHD changed little during this time. Among the 3 racial/ethnic groups, blacks had the highest proportion of participants in the high-risk group.15

Another analysis of NHANES data concluded that 10-year predicted risk for CHD among adults 30 to 74 years of age decreased from 10.0% during 1976 to 1980 to 7.9% during 1981 to 1984 (P<0.001) and to 7.4% during 1999 to 2004 (P<0.001).16

More recently, it was reported that the mean predicted 10-year risk for CHD among adults aged 30 to 74 years decreased from 7.2% during 1999 to 2000 to 6.5% during 2009 to 2010 (P=0.005).17 Mean predicted risk declined among men, women, whites, and adults 40 to 59, 50 to 59, and 60 to 74 years of age. Risk increased nonsignificantly among African American adults.

A survey of US family physicians, general internists, and cardiologists found that 41% of respondents reported using global CHD risk assessment at least occasionally.18

Lifetime Risk

The lifetime risk of developing CHD after 40 years of age is 49% for men and 32% for women.19

Lifetime risk for CHD varies drastically as a function of risk factor profile. With an optimal risk factor profile, lifetime risk
for CHD is 3.6% for men and <1% for women; with ≥2 major risk factors, it is 37.5% for men and 18.3% for women.20

**Mortality**

- CHD was an underlying cause of death in ≥1 of every 6 deaths in the United States in 2010.
- In 2010, CHD mortality was 379,559,21 and CHD any-mention mortality was 545,259.22
- In 2010, MI mortality was 122,071.21 MI any-mention mortality was 158,998 (NCHS, NHLBI tabulation).23
- In 2010, the overall CHD death rate per 100,000 was 113.6.21 From 2000 to 2010, the annual death rate attributable to CHD declined 39.2% and the actual number of deaths declined 26.3% (CDC computation).22 CHD death rates per 100,000 were 151.9 for white males and 169.0 for black males; for white females, the rate was 83.8, and for black females, it was 104.9.21
- In 2010, 73% of CHD deaths occurred out of the hospital. According to NCHS mortality data, 278,000 CHD deaths occur out of the hospital or in hospital EDs annually (NCHS, AHA tabulation).22
- Approximately every 34 seconds, an American will experience a coronary event, and approximately every 1 minute 23 seconds, someone will die of one (AHA computation).
- Approximately 34% of the people who experience a coronary attack in a given year will die of it, and ≈15% who experience a heart attack (MI) will die of it (AHA computation).
- A study of 1275 health maintenance organization enrollees 50 to 79 years of age who had cardiac arrest showed that the incidence of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest was 6.0/1000 subject-years in subjects with any clinically recognized HD compared with 0.8/1000 subject-years in subjects without HD. Among enrollees with HD, incidence was 13.6 and 21.9 per 1000 subject-years in those with prior MI and with HF, respectively.23
- Approximately 80% of people who die of CHD are ≥65 years of age (NCHS; AHA computation).
- The estimated average number of YLL because of an MI death is 17.2 (NCHS, NHLBI tabulation).
- On the basis of data from the FHS of the NHLBI,5
  —Fifty percent of men and 64% of women who die suddenly of CHD have no previous symptoms of this disease. Between 70% and 89% of sudden cardiac deaths occur in men, and the annual incidence is 3 to 4 times higher in men than in women; however, this disparity decreases with advancing age.
  —People who have had an MI have a sudden death rate 4 to 6 times that of the general population.
- Researchers investigating variation in hospital-specific 30-day risk-stratified mortality rates for patients with AMI found teaching status, number of hospital beds, AMI volume, cardiac facilities available, urban/rural location, geographic region, hospital ownership type, and socioeconomic status profile of the patients were all significantly associated with mortality rates. However, a substantial proportion of variation in outcomes for patients with AMI at hospitals remains unexplained by measures of hospital characteristics.24

**Temporal Trends in CHD Mortality**

- The decline in CHD mortality rates in part reflects the shift in the pattern of clinical presentations of AMI. In the past decade, there has been a marked decline in STEMI (from 133 to 50 cases per 100,000 person-years).23
- According to data from the National Registry of Myocardial Infarction:
  —From 1990 to 1999, in-hospital AMI mortality declined from 11.2% to 9.4%.25
  —From 1990 to 2006, in-hospital AMI mortality declined from 10.4% to 6.3% (P<0.001; STEMI: 11.5% to 8.0%, P<0.001; NSTEMI: 7.1% to 5.2%, P<0.001). Approximately 37% of the decline in annual mortality for patients with NSTEMI and 21% for patients with STEMI was judged to be attributable to improvements in acute treatments.26
  —Mortality rate increases for every 30 minutes that elapses before a patient with ST-segment elevation is recognized and treated.25
- Other studies also reported declining case fatality rates after MI:
  —In Olmsted County, MN, the age- and sex-adjusted 30-day case fatality rate decreased by 56% from 1987 to 2006.12
  —In Worcester, MA, the hospital case fatality rates, 30-day postadmission case fatality rates, and 1-year postdischarge case fatality rates for STEMI were 11.1%, 13.2%, and 10.6%, respectively, in 1997 and 9.7%, 11.4%, and 8.4%, respectively, in 2005. The hospital case fatality rates, 30-day postadmission case fatality rates, and 1-year postdischarge case fatality rates for NSTEMI were 12.9%, 16.0%, and 23.1%, respectively, in 1997 and 9.5%, 14.0%, and 18.7%, respectively, in 2005.27
  —Among enrollees of the Kaiser Permanente Northern California healthcare delivery system, the age- and sex-adjusted 30-day mortality rate for MI dropped from 10.5% in 1999 to 7.8% in 2008, and the 30-day mortality rate for NSTEMI dropped from 10.0% in 1999 to 7.6% in 2008.13
  —A recent analysis of Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services data suggests that between 1995 and 2006, the 30-day mortality rate attributable to MI decreased, as did hospital variation in mortality attributable to MI.28
  —Data from the Nationwide Inpatient Sample database suggest that mortality attributable to MI has decreased since 1988.29
- CHD death rates have fallen from 1968 to the present. Analysis of NHANES (NCHS) data compared CHD death rates between 1980 and 2000 to determine how much of the decline in deaths attributable to CHD over that period could be explained by the use of medical and surgical treatments versus changes in CVD risk factors (resulting from lifestyle/behavior). After 1980 and 2000 data were compared, it was estimated that ≈47% of the decrease in CHD deaths was attributable to treatments, including the following:30
  —Secondary preventive therapies after MI or revascularization (11%)
  —Initial treatments for AMI or UA (10%)
  —Treatments for HF (9%)
  —Revascularization for chronic angina (5%)
—Other therapies (12%), including antihypertensive and lipid-lowering primary prevention therapies

It was also estimated that a similar amount of the reduction in CHD deaths, =44%, was attributable to changes in risk factors, including the following:36

—Lower total cholesterol (24%)
—Lower SBP (20%)
—Lower smoking prevalence (12%)
—Decreased physical inactivity (5%)
—Nevertheless, these favorable improvements in risk factors were offset in part by increases in BMI and DM prevalence, which accounted for an increased number of deaths (8% and 10%, respectively).

Between 1980 and 2002, death rates attributable to CHD among men and women ≥35 years of age fell by 52% in men and 49% in women. Among men, the death rate declined on average by 2.9% per year in the 1980s, 2.6% per year during the 1990s, and 4.4% per year from 2000 to 2002. Among women, death rates fell by 2.6%, 2.4%, and 4.4%, respectively; however, when stratified by age, among men 35 to 54 years of age, the average annual rate of death fell by 6.2%, 2.3%, and 0.5%, respectively. Among women 35 to 54 years of age, the average annual rate of death fell by 5.4% and 1.2% and then increased by 1.5%, respectively. This increase was not statistically significant; however, in even younger women (35–44 years of age), the rate of death has been increasing by an average of 1.3% annually between 1997 and 2002, which is statistically significant.31

In an analysis of 28 studies published from 1977 to 2007, significantly improved survival was described in patients with nonacute CAD treated with revascularization by CABG or PCI in conjunction with medical therapy compared with patients treated with medical therapy alone.32

Risk Factors

Risk factors for CHD act synergistically to increase CHD risk, as shown in the examples in Charts 18-6 and 18-7.

Awareness of Warning Signs and Risk Factors for HD

Among people in 14 states and Washington, DC, participating in the 2005 BRFSS, only 27% were aware of 5 heart attack warning signs and symptoms (1. pain in jaw, neck, or back; 2. weak, lightheaded, or faint; 3. chest pain or discomfort; 4. pain or discomfort in arms or shoulder; and 5. shortness of breath) and indicated that they would first call 9-1-1 if they thought someone was having a heart attack or stroke. Significant variation in the percentage of participants who were aware of all 5 heart attack warning signs and symptoms and who would call 9-1-1 as their initial action varied by race or ethnicity (30.2% for non-Hispanic whites, 16.2% for non-Hispanic blacks and 14.3% for Hispanics), sex (30.8% for women and 22.5% for men), and educational status (33.4% for those with a college education or more and 15.7% for those with less than a high school education). In addition, significant interstate variation was also present (highest in West Virginia [35.5%] and lowest in Washington, DC [16.0%]).33

Data from the Women Veterans Cohort showed that 42% of women ≥35 years of age were concerned about HD.34

Women’s awareness that CVD is their leading cause of death increased from 30% in 1997 to 56% in 2012.

—Depending on age, 44% to 50% identified HD/heart attack as the leading cause of death for women, a significant increase from 16% to 34% in the original 1997 survey.

—The percentages of women identifying warning signs for a heart attack were as follows: pain in the chest, neck, shoulder, and arm—56%; shortness of breath—38%; chest tightness—17%; nausea—18%; and fatigue—10%.

—The 5 most commonly cited HD prevention strategies in 2012 were maintaining a healthy BP (78%), seeing the doctor (78%), and increasing fiber intake, eating food with antioxidants, and maintaining healthy cholesterol levels (each 66%).

—Among online survey participants, 21% responded that their doctor had talked to them about HD risk. Rates were lower among Hispanic women (12%) than whites (22%) or blacks (22%) and increased with age from 6% (25–34 years) to 33% (≥65 years).35

A 2004 national study of physician awareness and adherence to CVD prevention guidelines showed low awareness that the annual number of deaths from CVD among women exceeded that among men (fewer than 1 in 5 physicians knew this).36

Delays Between Symptom Onset and Arrival at Hospital

A recent community surveillance study in 4 US communities reported that in 2000, 49.5% of people arrived at the hospital ≥4 hours after the onset of AMI symptoms. From 1987 to 2000, the percentage of patients arriving at the hospital ≥4 hours after symptoms began did not change significantly, indicating that there had been little improvement in the speed at which patients with MI symptoms arrived at the hospital after symptom onset. Although the proportion of patients with MI who arrived at the hospital by EMS increased over this period, from 37.1% in 1987 to 44.5% in 2000, the total time between onset and hospital arrival did not change appreciably.37

System improvements in Dallas County, TX, resulted in decreases in the median time from symptom onset to balloon (catheterization) from the fourth quarter of 2010 to the first quarter of 2012.38

Data from CRUSADE and the NCDR ACTION Registry–GWTG showed a longer median time to hospital presentation in men (3 hours) than in women (2.8 hours; P<0.001). From 2002 to 2007, presentation time did not change significantly in men or women.39

Individuals with documented CHD have 5 to 7 times the risk of having a heart attack or dying as the general population. Survival rates improve after a heart attack if treatment begins within 1 hour; however, most patients are admitted to the hospital 2.5 to 3 hours after symptoms begin. More than 3500 patients with a history of CHD were asked to identify possible symptoms of heart attack. Despite their history of CHD, 44% had low knowledge levels. Among these high-risk participants, 43% underestimated their risk for a future AMI (men 47%, women 36%).40
Data from Worcester, MA, indicate that the average time from symptom onset to hospital arrival has not improved and that delays in hospital arrival are associated with less receipt of guidelines-based care. Mean and median prehospital delay times from symptom onset to arrival at the hospital were 4.1 and 2.0 hours in 1986 and 4.6 and 2.0 hours in 2005, respectively. Receipt of thrombolytic therapy and PCI within 90 minutes of hospital arrival was less likely among patients who arrived within ≥2 hours of symptom onset than among those who arrived <2 hours after onset.

In an analysis from ARIC, low neighborhood household income (OR, 1.46; 95% CI, 1.09–1.96) and being a Medicare recipient (OR, 1.87; 95% CI, 1.10–3.19) were associated with increased odds of having prolonged prehospital delays from symptom onset to hospital arrival for AMI compared with individuals with higher neighborhood household income and other insurance providers, respectively.

An analysis of data from the NCDR ACTION Registry–GWTG showed that 60% of 37,634 STEMI patients used EMS to get to the hospital. Older adults, women, adults with comorbidities, and sicker patients were more likely to use EMS than their counterparts. Hospital arrival time was shorter for those who used EMS (89 minutes) than self-transport (120 minutes).

**Aftermath**

Depending on their sex and clinical outcome, people who survive the acute stage of an MI have a chance of illness and death 1.5 to 15 times higher than that of the general population. Among these people, the risk of another MI, sudden death, AP, HF, and stroke—for both men and women—is substantial (FHS, NHLBI).

On the basis of pooled data from the FHS, ARIC, and CHS studies of the NHLBI (1986–2007), within 1 year after a first MI:

— At ≥45 years of age, 19% of men and 26% of women will die.
— At 45 to 64 years of age, 5% of white men, 8% of white women, 14% of black men, and 9% of black women will die.
— At ≥65 years of age, 25% of white men, 30% of white women, 25% of black men, and 30% of black women will die.
— In part because women have MIs at older ages than men, they are more likely to die of MIs within a few weeks.

Within 5 years after a first MI:

— At ≥45 years of age, 36% of men and 47% of women will die.
— At 45 to 64 years of age, 11% of white men, 18% of white women, 22% of black men, and 28% of black women will die.
— At ≥65 years of age, 46% of white men, 53% of white women, 54% of black men, and 58% of black women will die.

Of those who have a first MI, the percentage with a recurrent MI or fatal CHD within 5 years is as follows:

— At 45 to 64 years of age, 15% of men and 22 of women — At ≥65 years of age, 22% of men and women
— At 45 to 64 years of age, 14% of white men, 18% of white women, 22% of black men, and 28% of black women
— At ≥65 years of age, 21% of white men and women, 33% of black men, and 26% of black women

The percentage of people with a first MI who will have HF in 5 years is as follows:

— At 45 to 64 years of age, 8% of men and 18% of women
— At ≥65 years of age, 20% of men and 23% of women
— At 45 to 64 years of age, 7% of white men, 15% of white women, 13% of black men, and 25% of black women
— At ≥65 years of age, 19% of white men, 23% of white women, 31% of black men, and 24% of black women

The percentage of people with a first MI who will have a stroke within 5 years is as follows:

— At 45 to 64 years of age, 2% of men and 6% of women
— At ≥65 years of age, 5% of men and 8% of women
— At 45 to 64 years of age, 2% of white men, 4% of white women, 3% of black men, and 10% of black women
— At ≥65 years of age, 5% of white men, 8% of white women, 9% of black men, and 10% of black women

The median survival time (in years) after a first MI is

— At 55 to 64 years of age, 17.0 for men and 13.3 for women
— At 65 to 74 years of age, 9.3 for men and 8.8 for women
— At ≥75 years of age, 3.2 for men and 3.2 for women

A Mayo Clinic study found that cardiac rehabilitation after an MI is underused, particularly in women and the elderly. Women were 55% less likely than men to participate in cardiac rehabilitation, and older study patients were less likely to participate than younger participants. Only 32% of men and women ≥70 years of age participated in cardiac rehabilitation compared with 66% of those 60 to 69 years of age and 81% of those <60 years of age.

Among survivors of an MI, in 2005, 34.7% of BRFSS respondents participated in outpatient cardiac rehabilitation. The prevalence of cardiac rehabilitation was higher among older age groups (≥50 years of age), among men versus women, among Hispanics, among those who were married, among those with higher education, and among those with higher levels of household income.

A recent analysis of Medicare claims data revealed that only 13.9% of Medicare beneficiaries enroll in cardiac rehabilitation after an AMI, and only 31% enroll after CABG. Older people, women, nonwhites, and individuals with comorbidities were less likely to enroll in cardiac rehabilitation programs.

**Hospital Discharges and Ambulatory Care Visits**

(See Table 18-1 and Chart 18-8.)

From 2000 to 2010, the number of inpatient discharges from short-stay hospitals with CHD as the first-listed diagnosis decreased from 2,165,000 to 1,346,000 (NHDS, NHLBI tabulation).
In 2010, there were 11,921,000 ambulatory care visits with CHD as the first-listed diagnosis (NCHS, NAMCS, NHAMCS). There were 10,570,000 physician office visits, 587,000 ED visits, and 764,000 outpatient department visits with a primary diagnosis of CHD (NHAMCS, NHLBI tabulation). The majority of these visits (77.7%) were for coronary atherosclerosis.  

The age-adjusted hospitalization rate for MI per 100,000 people was 215 in 1979 to 1981, increased to 342 in 1985 to 1987, stabilized for the next decade, and then declined after 1996 to 242 during the period from 2003 to 2005. The rate for men exceeded that for women by almost a factor of 2. Hospitalization rates increased strongly with age.  

An analysis of data from HCUP found that 48.3% of hospitalizations for circulatory disease in 2003 occurred among women, but among patients >65 years of age, women constituted the majority. Furthermore, the percentage of hospitalized patients who were female increased from 24.1% of those 18 to 44 years of age to 63.7% of those ≥85 years of age for MI, from 31.4% of people 18 to 44 years of age to 60.7% of those ≥85 years of age for coronary atherosclerosis, and from 45.1% of those 18 to 44 years of age to 73.9% of those ≥85 years of age for nonspecific chest pain. For MI, in-hospital mortality was 9.3% among women and 6.2% among men.  

**Operations and Procedures**  

In 2010, an estimated 954,000 inpatient PCI procedures, 397,000 inpatient bypass procedures, 1,029,000 inpatient diagnostic cardiac catheterizations, 97,000 inpatient implantable defibrillator procedures, and 370,000 pacemaker procedures were performed for inpatients in the United States (NHLBI tabulation).  

**Cost**  

(See Table 18-1.)  

- The estimated direct and indirect cost of heart disease in 2010 was $204.4 billion (MEPS, NHLBI tabulation).  
- In 2006, $11.7 billion was paid to Medicare beneficiaries for in-hospital costs when CHD was the principal diagnosis ($14,009 per discharge for AMI, $12,977 per discharge for coronary atherosclerosis, and $10,630 per discharge for other ischemic HD).  
- Between 2013 and 2030, medical costs of CHD (real 2010$) are projected to increase by ≈100%  
  —Indirect costs for all CVD (real 2010$) are projected to increase 52% (from $202.5 billion to $308.2 billion) between 2013 and 2030. Of these indirect costs, CHD is projected to account for ≈43% and has the largest indirect costs (AHA computation, based on methodology described by Heidenreich et al).  

**Acute Coronary Syndrome**  

ICD-9 410, 411; ICD-10 I20.0, I21, I22.  

The term acute coronary syndrome is increasingly used to describe patients who present with either AMI or UA. (UA is chest pain or discomfort that is accelerating in frequency or severity and may occur while at rest but does not result in myocardial necrosis.) The discomfort may be more severe and prolonged than typical AP, or it may be the first time a person has had AP, UA, NSTEMI, and STEMI share common pathophysiological origins related to coronary plaque progression, instability, or rupture with or without luminal thrombosis and vasospasm.  

A conservative estimate for the number of discharges with ACS from hospitals in 2010 is 625,000. Of these, an estimated 363,000 are males and 262,000 are females. This estimate is derived by adding the first-listed inpatient hospital discharges for MI (595,000) to those for UA (30,000; NHDS, NHLBI).  

When secondary discharge diagnoses in 2010 were included, the corresponding number of inpatient hospital discharges was 1,141,000 unique hospitalizations for ACS; 653,000 were males, and 488,000 were females. Of the total, 813,000 were for MI alone, 322,000 were for UA alone, and 600,000 hospitalizations received both diagnoses (NHDS, NHLBI).  

Among commercially insured adults 18 to 64 years of age, the 1-year medical costs for an ACS event during 2004 to 2005 were $34,087 for those who were treated with medical management, $52,673 for those who were treated with percutaneous intervention, and $86,914 for those who had coronary artery bypass surgery. The 1-year short-term disability costs were $60,48, $92,21, and $173,35, respectively, and the 1-year absenteeism costs were $98,26, $94,60, and $149,60, respectively. Another study of the same database using adults 18 to 64 years of age who had a principal inpatient diagnosis of ACS during 2003 to 2006 estimated that the incremental annual direct cost was $40,671 and the incremental short-term disability cost was $999.  

Decisions about medical and interventional treatments are based on specific findings noted when a patient presents with ACS. Such patients are classified clinically into 1 of 3 categories according to the presence or absence of ST-segment elevation on the presenting ECG and abnormal (“positive”) elevations of myocardial biomarkers, such as troponins, as follows:  

- STEMI  
- NSTEMI  
- UA  

The percentage of ACS or MI cases with ST-segment elevation varies in different registries/databases and depends heavily on the age of patients included and the type of surveillance used. According to NRMi-4, ≈29% of patients with MI are patients with STEMI. The AHA GWTG project found that 32% of the patients with MI in the CAD module were patients with STEMI (personal communication from AHA GWTG staff, October 1, 2007). The GRACE study, which includes US patient populations, found that 38% of ACS patients have STEMI, whereas the EHS-ACS-II reported that ≈47% of patients with ACS have STEMI. In addition, the percentage of ACS or MI cases with ST-segment elevation appears to be declining. In an analysis of 46,086 hospitalizations for ACS in the Kaiser Permanente Northern California study, the percentage of MI cases with ST-segment elevation decreased from 47.0% to 22.9% between 1999 and 2008.  

Analysis of data from the GRACE multinational observational cohort study of patients with ACS found evidence of a change in practice for both pharmacological and interventional treatments in patients with either STEMI.
or non–ST-segment–elevation ACS. These changes have been accompanied by nonsignificant decreases in the rates of in-hospital death, cardiogenic shock, and new MI among patients with non–ST-segment–elevation ACS. The use of evidence-based therapies and PCI interventions increased in the STEMI population. This increase was matched by a statistically significant decrease in the rates of death, cardiogenic shock, and HF or pulmonary edema.53

- A study of hospital process performance in 350 centers of nearly 65,000 patients enrolled in the CRUSADE National Quality Improvement Initiative found that ACC/AHA guideline–recommended treatments were adhered to in 74% of eligible instances.54 A better composite guideline adherence rate was significantly associated with decreased in-hospital mortality among all patients with ACS and those with NSTEMI.

- After adjustment for clinical differences and the severity of CAD by angiogram, 30-day mortality after ACS is similar in men and women.55

**Angina Pectoris**

**ICD-9 413; ICD-10 I20.1 to I20.9.**

**Prevalence**

(See Table 18-2 and Chart 18-9.)

- A study of 4 national cross-sectional health examination studies found that among Americans 40 to 74 years of age, the age-adjusted prevalence of AP was higher among women than men. Increases in the prevalence of AP occurred for Mexican American men and women and African American women but were not statistically significant for the latter.56

**Incidence**

(See Table 18-2 and Chart 18-10.)

- Only 18% of coronary attacks are preceded by long-standing AP (NHLBI computation of FHS follow-up since 1986).

- The annual rates per 1000 population of new episodes of AP for nonblack men are 28.3 for those 65 to 74 years of age, 36.3 for those 75 to 84 years of age, and 33.0 for those ≥85 years of age. For nonblack women in the same age groups, the rates are 14.1, 20.0, and 22.9, respectively. For black men, the rates are 22.4, 33.8, and 39.5, and for black women, the rates are 15.3, 23.6, and 35.9, respectively (CHS, NHLBI).57

- On the basis of 1987 to 2001 data from the ARIC study of the NHLBI, the annual rates per 1000 population of new episodes of AP for nonblack men are 8.5 for those 45 to 54 years of age, 11.9 for those 55 to 64 years of age, and 13.7 for those 65 to 74 years of age. For nonblack women in the same age groups, the rates are 10.6, 11.2, and 13.1, respectively. For black men, the rates are 11.8, 10.6, and 8.8, and for black women, the rates are 20.8, 19.3, and 10.0, respectively.57

**Mortality**

- A small number of deaths resulting from CHD are coded as being attributable to AP. These are included as a portion of total deaths attributable to CHD.

**Cost**

- For women with nonobstructive CHD enrolled in the WISE study of the NHLBI, the average lifetime cost estimate was ≥$770,000 and ranged from $1.0 to $1.1 million for women with 1- to 3-vessel CHD.58
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Table 18-1. Coronary Heart Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td>15400000 (6.4%)</td>
<td>7600000 (2.9%)</td>
<td>915000 (7.9%)</td>
<td>720000 (2.9%)</td>
<td>379559 (2.9%)</td>
<td>122071 (2.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>8800000 (7.9%)</td>
<td>5000000 (4.2%)</td>
<td>530000 (4.2%)</td>
<td>420000 (4.2%)</td>
<td>207580 (54.7%)</td>
<td>6435 (55.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>6600000 (5.1%)</td>
<td>2600000 (1.7%)</td>
<td>385000 (1.7%)</td>
<td>300000 (1.7%)</td>
<td>171979 (45.3%)</td>
<td>54636 (44.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white males</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>465000‡</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>181386 (59.1%)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white females</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>330000‡</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>148891 (47.0%)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black males</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>65000‡</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>20615 (64.4%)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black females</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>55000‡</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>19015 (62.9%)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American males</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American females</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>7821I</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1831</td>
<td>594</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHD includes people who responded “yes” to at least 1 of the questions in “Has a doctor or other health professional ever told you that you had coronary heart disease, angina or angina pectoris, heart attack, or myocardial infarction?” Those who answered “no” but were diagnosed with Rose angina are also included (the Rose questionnaire is only administered to survey participants >40 y of age).

CHD indicates coronary heart disease; ellipses (...), data not available; MI, myocardial infarction; and NH, non-Hispanic.

* Mortality data for the white, black, Asian or Pacific Islander, and American Indian/Alaska Native populations include deaths of people of Hispanic and non-Hispanic origin. Numbers of deaths for the American Indian/Alaska Native and Asian or Pacific Islander populations are known to be underestimated.

† These percentages represent the portion of total CHD and MI mortality that is for males vs females.

‡ Estimates include Hispanics and non-Hispanics. Estimates for whites include other nonblack races.

§ National Health Interview Survey, National Center for Health Statistics 2012; data are weighted percentages for Americans ≥18 y of age.1

||Includes Chinese, Filipino, Hawaiian, Japanese, and Other Asian or Pacific Islander.

¶ Estimate considered unreliable or does not meet standards of reliability or precision.

Table 18-2. Angina Pectoris

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Group</th>
<th>Prevalence, 2010, Age ≥20 y</th>
<th>Incidence of Stable AP, Age ≥45 y</th>
<th>Hospital Discharges, 2010, All Ages*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td>780000 (3.2%)</td>
<td>565000</td>
<td>22000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>3700000 (3.3%)</td>
<td>370000</td>
<td>12000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>4100000 (3.2%)</td>
<td>195000</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white males</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white females</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black males</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black females</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American males</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American females</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AP is chest pain or discomfort that results from insufficient blood flow to the heart muscle. Stable AP is predictable chest pain on exertion or under mental or emotional stress. The incidence estimate is for AP without myocardial infarction.

AP indicates angina pectoris; ellipses, data not available; and NH, non-Hispanic.

*There were 56,000 days of care for discharges of patients with AP from short-stay hospitals in 2010.

Sources: Prevalence: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2007 to 2010 (National Center for Health Statistics) and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; percentages for racial/ethnic groups are age adjusted for US adults ≥20 y of age. AP includes people who either answered “yes” to the question of ever having angina or AP or who were diagnosed with Rose angina (the Rose questionnaire is only administered to survey participants >40 y of age). Estimates from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2007 to 2010 (National Center for Health Statistics) were applied to 2010 population estimates (≥20 y of age). Incidence: AP uncomplicated by a myocardial infarction or with no myocardial infarction (Framingham Heart Study [the original cohort and the Offspring Cohort 1986–2009], National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute). Hospital discharges: National Hospital Discharge Survey, National Center for Health Statistics; data include those inpatients discharged alive, dead, or status unknown.

Chart 18-3. Annual number of adults per 1000 having diagnosed heart attack or fatal coronary heart disease (CHD) by age and sex (Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Surveillance: 2005–2010 and Cardiovascular Health Study). These data include myocardial infarction (MI) and fatal CHD but not silent MI. Source: National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.


Chart 18-6. Estimated 10-year coronary heart disease risk in adults 55 years of age according to levels of various risk factors (Framingham Heart Study). HDL-C indicates high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol. Data derived from Wilson et al.59
Chart 18-7. Prevalence of low coronary heart disease risk, overall and by sex (National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey: 1971–2006). Low risk is defined as systolic blood pressure <120 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure <80 mmHg; cholesterol <200 mg/dL; body mass index <25 kg/m²; currently not smoking cigarettes; and no prior myocardial infarction or diabetes mellitus. Source: Personal communication with the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, June 28, 2007.


19. Cardiomyopathy and Heart Failure

See Table 19-1 and Charts 19-1 through 19-3.

Cardiomyopathy

ICD-9 425; ICD-10 I42.


● Since 1996, the NHLBI-sponsored Pediatric Cardiomyopathy Registry has collected data on all children with newly diagnosed cardiomyopathy in New England and the Central Southwest (Texas, Oklahoma, and Arkansas).

—The overall incidence of cardiomyopathy is 1.13 cases per 100,000 among children <18 years of age.
—Among children <1 year of age, the incidence is 8.34, and among children 1 to 18 years of age, it is 0.70 per 100,000.
—The annual incidence is lower in white than in black children, higher in boys than in girls, and higher in New England (1.44 per 100,000) than in the Central Southwest (0.98 per 100,000).

● HCM is the most common inherited heart defect, occurring in 1 of 500 individuals. In the United States, ≈500,000 people have HCM, yet most are unaware of it. See Chapter 16, Disorders of Heart Rhythm, for statistics regarding sudden death in HCM.

● In a recent report of the Pediatric Cardiomyopathy Registry, the overall annual incidence of HCM in children was 4.7 per 1 million children. There was a higher incidence in the New England than in the Central Southwest region, in boys than in girls, and in children diagnosed at <1 year of age than in older children. Dilated cardiomyopathy is the most common form of cardiomyopathy. The Pediatric Cardiomyopathy Registry recently reported an annual incidence of dilated cardiomyopathy in children <18 years of age of 0.57 per 100,000 overall. The annual incidence was higher in boys than in girls (0.66 versus 0.47 cases per 100,000), in blacks than in whites (0.98 versus 0.46 cases per 100,000), and in infants (<1 year of age) than in children (4.40 versus 0.34 cases per 100,000). The majority of children (66%) had idiopathic disease. The most common known causes were myocarditis (46%) and neuromuscular disease (26%).

● Risk factors for death and transplantation in children varied by cause of dilated cardiomyopathy. For idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy, increased left ventricular end-diastolic dimension was associated with increased transplantation risk but not mortality. Short stature was significantly related to death but not transplantation.

—The 5-year incidence rate of sudden cardiac death among children with dilated cardiomyopathy is 3%.

—Tachycardia-induced cardiomyopathy develops slowly and appears reversible, but recurrent tachycardia causes rapid decline in left ventricular function and development of HF. Sudden death is possible.

—Data from Kaiser Permanente indicate that the incidence of peripartum cardiomyopathy is 4.84 per 10,000 live births (95% CI, 3.98–5.83), and peripartum cardiomyopathy is associated with higher maternal and neonatal death rates and worse neonatal outcomes.

Heart Failure

ICD-9 428; ICD-10 I50.

Prevalence

(See Table 19-1 and Chart 19-1.)

—On the basis of data from NHANES 2007 to 2010, an estimated 5.1 million Americans ≥20 years of age have HF (NHLBI tabulation).

—Projections show that the prevalence of HF will increase 46% from 2012 to 2030, resulting in >8 million people ≥18 years of age with HF.

Incidence

(See Table 19-1 and Chart 19-2.)

—On the basis of data from the community surveillance component of the ARIC study of the NHLBI:

—There are 825,000 new HF cases annually.
—At ages <75 years, HF incidence is higher in blacks than whites.

Data from the NHLBI-sponsored FHS indicate the following:
—HF incidence approaches 10 per 1000 population after 65 years of age.
—Seventy-five percent of HF cases have antecedent hypertension.
—At 40 years of age, the lifetime risk of developing HF for both men and women is 1 in 5. At 80 years of age, remaining lifetime risk for development of new HF remains at 20% for men and women, even in the face of a much shorter life expectancy.
—At 40 years of age, the lifetime risk of HF occurring without antecedent MI is 1 in 9 for men and 1 in 6 for women.
—The lifetime risk for people with BP >160/90 mm Hg is double that of those with BP <140/90 mm Hg.

- The annual rates per 1000 population of new HF events for white men are 15.2 for those 65 to 74 years of age, 31.7 for those 75 to 84 years of age, and 65.2 for those ≥85 years of age. For white women in the same age groups, the rates are 8.2, 19.8, and 45.6, respectively. For black men, the rates are 16.9, 25.5, and 50.6 (unreliable estimate), and for black women, the estimated rates are 14.2, 25.5, and 44.0 (unreliable estimate), respectively (CHS, NHLBI).  
- In MESA, African Americans had the highest risk of developing HF, followed by Hispanic, white, and Chinese Americans (4.6, 3.5, 2.4, and 1.0 per 1000 person-years, respectively). This higher risk reflected differences in the prevalence of hypertension, DM, and socioeconomic status. African Americans had the highest proportion of incident HF not preceded by clinical MI (75%).
- In Olmsted County, MN, the incidence of HF did not decline between 1979 and 2000.
- In the NHLBI’s ARIC study, the age-adjusted incidence rate per 1000 person-years was 3.4 for white women, less than for all other groups, that is, white men (6.0), black women (8.1), and black men (9.1). The 30-day, 1-year, and 5-year case fatality rates after hospitalization for HF were 10.4%, 22%, and 42.3%, respectively. Blacks had a greater 5-year case fatality rate than whites (P<0.05). HF incidence rates in black women were more similar to those of men than of white women. The greater HF incidence in blacks than in whites is explained largely by blacks’ greater levels of atherosclerotic risk factors.
- Data from Kaiser Permanente indicated an increase in the incidence of HF among the elderly with the effect being greater in men.
- Data from hospitals in Worcester, MA, indicate that during 2000, the incidence and attack rates for HF were 219 per 100,000 and 897 per 100,000, respectively. HF was more frequent in women and the elderly. The hospital fatality rate was 5.1%.
- In the CARDIA study, HF before 50 years of age was more common among blacks than whites. Hypertension, obesity, and systolic dysfunction are important risk factors that may be targets for prevention.
- The lifetime risks of HF were assessed in a diverse large group of 39,578 participants in several cohorts (Chicago Heart Association Detection Project in Industry, ARIC, and CHS). At age 45 years, lifetime risks for HF through age 75 or 95 years were 30% to 42% in white men, 20% to 29% in black men, 32% to 39% in white women, and 24% to 46% in black women. HBP and higher BMI at all ages in both blacks and whites led to higher lifetime risks.

**Mortality**

(See Table 19-1.)

- In 2010, HF any-mention mortality was 279,098 (126,776 males and 152,322 females). HF was the underlying cause in 577,577 of those deaths in 2010. Table 19-1 shows the numbers of these deaths that are coded for HF as the underlying cause.
- The 2010 overall any-mention death rate for HF was 84.0. Any-mention death rates were 99.9 for white males, 101.7 for black males, 74.1 for white females, and 79.1 for black females.
- One in 9 deaths has HF mentioned on the death certificate (NCHS, NHLBI).
- The number of any-mention deaths attributable to HF was approximately as high in 1995 (287,000) as it was in 2010 (279,000; NCHS, NHLBI).
- Survival after HF diagnosis has improved over time, as shown by data from the FHS and the Olmsted County Study. However, the death rate remains high: ≈50% of people diagnosed with HF will die within 5 years.
- In the elderly, data from Kaiser Permanente indicate that survival after the onset of HF has also improved.
- In the CHS, depression and amino-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide were independent risk factors for CVD-related and all-cause mortality.
- Among Medicare beneficiaries, the overall 1-year mortality rate declined slightly over the past decade but remains high. Changes were uneven across states.

**Risk Factors**

- In the NHLBI-sponsored FHS, B-type natriuretic peptide, urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio, elevated serum γ-glutamyl transferase, and higher levels of hematocrit were identified as risk factors for HF.
- In the Framingham Offspring Study, among 2739 participants, increased circulating concentrations of resistin were associated with incident HF independent of prevalent coronary disease, obesity, insulin resistance, and inflammation.
- Among 20,900 male physicians in the Physicians Health Study, the lifetime risk of HF was higher in men with hypertension; healthy lifestyle factors (normal weight, not smoking, regular exercise, moderate alcohol intake, consumption of breakfast cereals, and consumption of fruits and vegetables) were related to lower risk of HF. Adiponectin was also associated with risk of HF.
- Among 2934 participants in the ABC study, the incidence of HF was 13.6 per 1000 person-years. Men and black participants were more likely to develop HF. Coronary disease (PAR 23.9% for white participants, 29.5% for black participants) and uncontrolled BP (PAR 21.3% for white participants, 30.1% for black participants) had the highest PARs in both races. There was a higher proportion of HF attributable to modifiable risk factors in black than in white participants (67.8% versus 48.9%). Inflammatory markers (interleukin-6 and tumor necrosis factor-α), serum albumin,
levels, and cigarette smoking exposure were also associated with HF risk.\textsuperscript{31–33} 

- In the CHS, baseline cardiac troponin and changes in cardiac troponin levels measured by a sensitive assay were significantly associated with incident HF.\textsuperscript{34} Circulating individual and total omega-3 fatty acid concentrations were associated with lower incidence of HF.\textsuperscript{35} 

- In the ARIC study, white blood cell count, CRP, albuminuria, HbA\textsubscript{1c} among individuals without DM, cardiac troponin measured with a sensitive assay, ventricular premature complexes, and socioeconomic position over the life course were all identified as risk factors for HF.\textsuperscript{36–41} 

- In the MESA study, plasma N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide provided incremental prognostic information beyond the traditional risk factors and the magnetic resonance imaging–determined left ventricular mass index for incident symptomatic HF.\textsuperscript{42}

**Left Ventricular Function**

- Data from Olmsted County, MN, indicate the following:
  
  —Among asymptomatic individuals, the prevalence of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction was 21% for mild diastolic dysfunction and 7% for moderate or severe diastolic dysfunction. The prevalence of systolic dysfunction was 6%. The presence of any left ventricular dysfunction (systolic or diastolic) was associated with an increased risk of developing overt HF, and diastolic dysfunction was predictive of all-cause death.\textsuperscript{43} After 4 years of follow-up, the prevalence of diastolic dysfunction increased to 39.2%. Diastolic dysfunction was associated with development of HF during 6 years of subsequent follow-up after adjustment for age, hypertension, DM, and CAD (HR, 1.81; 95% CI, 1.01–3.48).\textsuperscript{44} 
  
  —Among individuals with symptomatic HF, the prevalence of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction was 6% for mild diastolic dysfunction and 75% for moderate or severe diastolic dysfunction.\textsuperscript{45} The proportion of people with HF and preserved EF increased over time. Survival improved over time among individuals with reduced EF but not among those with preserved EF.\textsuperscript{46}

**Hospital Discharges/Ambulatory Care Visits**

(See Table 19-1 and Chart 19-3.)

- Hospital discharges for HF were essentially unchanged from 2000 to 2010, with first-listed discharges of 1,008,000 and 1,023,000, respectively (NHDS, NHLBI tabulation).\textsuperscript{37} 

- In 2010, there were 1,801,000 physician office visits with a primary diagnosis of HF.\textsuperscript{37} In 2010, there were 676,000 ED visits and 236,000 outpatient department visits for HF (NHAMCS, NHLBI tabulation).\textsuperscript{38} 

- Among 1077 patients with HF in Olmsted County, MN, hospitalizations were common after HF diagnosis, with 83% patients hospitalized at least once and 43% hospitalized at least 4 times. More than one half of all hospitalizations were related to noncardiovascular causes.\textsuperscript{39} 

- Among Medicare beneficiaries, the overall HF hospitalization rate declined substantially from 1998 to 2008 but at a lower rate for black men.\textsuperscript{32} Changes were uneven across states.

**Cost**

- In 2012, total cost for HF was estimated to be $30.7 million. Of this total, 68% was attributable to direct medical costs.\textsuperscript{9} 

- Projections show that by 2030, the total cost of HF will increase almost 127% to $69.7 billion from 2012. This equals $244 for every US adult.\textsuperscript{9}
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td>5,100,000</td>
<td>825,000</td>
<td>57,757</td>
<td>1,023,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>2,700,000 (2.5%)</td>
<td>395,000</td>
<td>24,385 (42.2%)†</td>
<td>501,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>2,400,000 (1.8%)</td>
<td>430,000</td>
<td>33,372 (57.8%)‡</td>
<td>522,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white males</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>21,540</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white females</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>375,000</td>
<td>29,750</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black males</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>2444</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black females</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>55,000</td>
<td>3084</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American males</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American females</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaska Native</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>…</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heart failure includes people who answered “yes” to the question of ever having congestive heart failure. Ellipses (…) indicate data not available; and NH, non-Hispanic.

*Mortality data for the white, black, Asian or Pacific Islander, and American Indian or Alaska Native populations include deaths among people of Hispanic and non-Hispanic origin. Numbers of deaths for the American Indian or Alaska Native and Asian or Pacific Islander populations are known to be underestimated.

†Cost data are from Heidenreich et al.9

‡These percentages represent the portion of total mortality attributable to heart failure that is for males vs females.


---


20. Valvular, Venous, and Aortic Diseases

See Tables 20-1 and 20-2 and Chart 20-1.

Mortality and any-mention mortality in this section are for 2010. “Mortality” is the number of deaths in 2010 for the given underlying cause based on ICD-10. Prevalence data are for 2006. Hospital discharge data are from the NHDS/NCHS; data include inpatients discharged alive, dead, or status unknown. Hospital discharge data for 2010 are based on ICD-9 codes.

Valvular Heart Disease

(See Table 20-1.)

ICD-9 424; ICD-10 I34 to I38.

Mortality—22,777. Any-mention mortality—46,426. Hospital discharges—85,000.

Two important factors have contributed to the changing epidemiology of valvular heart disease in the United States over the past few decades: aging of the population and the increased ability to diagnose valvular heart disease by echocardiography.

● A large population-based epidemiological study with systematic use of echocardiography on 16,501 participants from Olmsted County, MN, showed an overall age-adjusted prevalence of clinically diagnosed (moderate or greater) valvular heart disease of 1.8%.1

● Prevalence of any valve disease increased with age—
  - 18 to 44 years: 0.3% (95% CI, 0.2%–0.3%)
  - 45 to 54 years: 0.7% (95% CI, 0.6%–0.9%)
  - 55 to 64 years: 1.6% (95% CI, 1.4%–1.9%)
  - 65 to 75 years: 4.4% (95% CI, 3.9%–4.9%)
  - ≥75 years: 11.7% (95% CI, 11.0%–12.5%)

● Pooled echocardiographic data from 11,911 participants from CARDIA (4351), ARIC (2435), and CHS (5125) demonstrated a similar increase in prevalence with age (Table 20-1).1
  - 18 to 44 years: 0.7% (95% CI, 0.5%–1.0%)
  - 45 to 54 years: 0.4% (95% CI, 0.1%–1.3%)
  - 55 to 64 years: 1.9% (95% CI, 1.2%–2.8%)
  - 65 to 75 years: 8.5% (95% CI, 7.6%–9.4%)
  - ≥75 years: 13.3% (95% CI, 11.7%–15.0%)

- Adjusted to the entire US population, these data suggest that the prevalence of any valve disease is 2.5% (95% CI, 2.2%–2.7%), with no difference between men (2.4% [95% CI, 2.1%–2.8%]) and women (2.5% [95% CI, 2.1%–2.9%]). Within this sample, 0.4% had aortic stenosis, 0.5% had aortic regurgitation, 0.1% had mitral stenosis, and 1.7% had mitral regurgitation.1

- In CARDIA, ARIC, and CHS, survival of participants with valve disease was 79% (SE 2%) at 5 years and 68% (1.9%) at 8 years compared with 93% (0.2%) and 86% (0.4%) in participants without valve disease.

Aortic Valve Disorders

ICD-9 424.1; ICD-10 I35.

Mortality—15,276 Any-mention mortality—30,736. Hospital discharges—55,000.

- The prevalence of moderate or severe aortic stenosis in patients ≥75 years old is 2.8% (95% CI, 2.1%–3.7%), and the prevalence of moderate or severe aortic regurgitation in patients ≥75 years is 2.0% (95% CI, 1.4%–2.7%).1

- In MESA participants aged 45 to 84 years (n=5880), aortic valve calcium was quantified with serial CT images. During a mean follow-up of 2.4 years, 210 (4.1%) of the 5142 participants with no aortic valve calcium had a mean incidence rate of progression of 1.7% per year, which increased with age. Incident aortic valve calcium was associated with several conventional cardiovascular risk factors, including age, male sex, BMI, and smoking.2

Abbreviations Used in Chapter 20

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>abdominal aortic aneurysm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHA</td>
<td>American Heart Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVR</td>
<td>aortic valve replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIC</td>
<td>Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARDIA</td>
<td>Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>coronary heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHS</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Health Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>computed tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVT</td>
<td>deep vein thrombosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBD</td>
<td>Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>hazard ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-9</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-10</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE</td>
<td>infective endocarditis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRAD</td>
<td>International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MESA</td>
<td>Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHS</td>
<td>National Center for Health Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>non-Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHDS</td>
<td>National Hospital Discharge Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHLBI</td>
<td>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVER</td>
<td>Open Versus Endovascular Repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAD</td>
<td>peripheral artery disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARTNER</td>
<td>Placement of Aortic Transcatheter Valves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>pulmonary embolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td>relative risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>standard deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS</td>
<td>Society of Thoracic Surgeons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAVR</td>
<td>transcatheter aortic valve replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIA</td>
<td>transient ischemic attack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTE</td>
<td>venous thromboembolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YLL</td>
<td>years of life lost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Approximately 50% of patients with severe aortic stenosis are referred for cardiothoracic surgery, and ~40% undergo AVR according to data from 10 US centers of various sizes and geographic distribution. Reasons for not undergoing AVR included high perioperative risk, age, lack of symptoms, and patient/family refusal.1

On the basis of data from the PARTNER B cohort that compared TAVR with medical therapy in patients who were not surgical candidates for AVR, 2-year mortality rates were 43.3% and 68% (P<0.001) and 2-year hospitalization rates were 35% and 72.5% (P<0.001), respectively.4

One-year costs of TAVR were higher than with medical therapy ($106,076 versus $53,621), with an incremental cost-effectiveness of $50,200 per life-year gained and $61,889 per quality-adjusted life-year gained.5

In a cohort of 416 community-based participants from Olmsted County, MN, with bicuspid aortic valves followed up for a mean (SD) of 16 (7) years, the incidence of aortic dissection in individuals ≥50 years of age at baseline was 17.4 (95% CI, 2.9–53.6) cases per 10,000 patient years. For patients aged ≥50 years with a bicuspid valve and a baseline aortic aneurysm, the incidence of aortic dissection was 44.9 (95% CI, 7.5–138.5) cases per 10,000 patient-years. In the remaining participants without baseline aortic aneurysm, the incidence of aortic dissection, the incidence of aneurysm was 84.9 (95% CI, 63.3–110.9) cases per 10,000 patient-years, for an age-adjusted RR of 86.2 (95% CI, 65.1–114) compared with the general population.6

Aortic Valve Interventions

Lipid-lowering therapy does not appear to reduce aortic stenosis progression on the basis of any echocardiographic measures of aortic stenosis, as reported by a meta-analysis of 4 randomized controlled trials by Teo and colleagues.7

Immediate postoperative and 1-, 3-, 5-, and 10-year pooled survival rates from 48 studies of 13,216 octogenarians were 93.7%, 87.6%, 78.7%, 65.4%, and 29.7%, respectively.9

TAVR has emerged as an innovative technology for treatment of aortic stenosis in patients at high risk for perioperative complications.

—A systematic review9 of TAVR from 16 studies that included 3519 patients and reported at least 1 outcome using the Valve Academic Research Consortium’s definitions demonstrated the following:

- Device success 92.1% (88.7–95.5%)  
- 30-day all-cause mortality 7.8% (5.5%–11.1%)  
- 1-year all-cause mortality 22.1% (17.9–26.9%)  
- Major vascular complications 11.9% (8.6%–16.4%)  
- Major stroke 3.2% (2.1%–4.8%)  

—More recent data from the PARTNER A cohort that compared TAVR with surgical AVR showed that 2-year mortality rates were 33.9% and 35% (P=0.78), respectively. Stroke or TIA rates were higher in the TAVR arm (11.2% versus 6.5%, P=0.05) than in the surgical AVR arm, as were major vascular complications (11.6% versus 3.8%, P<0.001).10

Mitral Valve Disorders

ICD-9 424.0; ICD-10 I34.


Prevalence

(See Table 20-1.)

- In pooled data from CARDIA, ARIC, and CHS, mitral valve disease was the most common valvular lesion. At least moderate mitral regurgitation occurred at a frequency of 1.7% as adjusted to the US adult population of 2000, increasing from 0.5% in participants aged 18 to 44 years to 9.3% in participants aged ≥75 years.1

- A systematic review by de Marchena and colleagues11 found that in the US population, the prevalence of mitral regurgitation according to Carpentier’s functional classification system was as follows:

  - Type I (congenital mitral regurgitation and endocarditis): <20 per 1 million  
  - Type II (myxomatous mitral regurgitation): 15000 per 1 million  
  - Type IIIa (rheumatic HD, systemic lupus erythematosus, antiphospholipid syndrome): 10520 per 1 million  
  - Type IIIb (ischemic mitral regurgitation, left ventricular dysfunction, dilated cardiomyopathy): 23250 per 1 million

- Data from the STS adult cardiac surgery database of 14,604 isolated, nonemergent mitral valve repair operations demonstrated an operative mortality rate of 2.59%. Over a mean (SD) follow-up of 5.9 (3.9) years and a mean (SD) age of 73.3 (5.5) years, survival was 74.9%. The 10-year actuarial survival rate of 57.4% was similar to the matched US population.12

Pulmonary Valve Disorders

ICD-9 424.3; ICD-10 I37.

Mortality—17. Any-mention mortality—44.

Tricuspid Valve Disorders

ICD-9 424.2; ICD-10 I36.


Rheumatic Fever/Rheumatic HD

(See Table 20-2 and Chart 20-1.)

ICD-9 390 to 398; ICD-10 I00 to I09.


- Rheumatic HD is uncommon in high-income countries such as the United States but remains endemic in Africa, Asia, and the Pacific, affecting >15 million individuals and causing 233,000 deaths annually.13

- The reported prevalence of rheumatic HD is increasing in all regions of the world except Europe.14

- Recent echocardiography-based screening studies in schoolchildren have demonstrated rheumatic HD prevalence rates ranging from 14.8 (95% CI, 7.3–22.3) per 1000 (Uganda)15 to 20.4 (95% CI, 16.9–23.9) per 1000 in northern India16 to 21.5 (95% CI, 16.8–26.2) per 1000.
in Cambodia and 30.4 (95% CI, 23.2–37.6) per 1000 (Mozambique).17

—Echocardiography reveals a 3- to 10-fold higher prevalence of rheumatic HD than clinical examination.15,17

- Acute rheumatic fever incidence is decreasing in all WHO regions except for the Americas, where it appears to be increasing slightly, and the Western Pacific, where it appears to be increasing steadily.14

- In 1950, 15,000 Americans (adjusted for changes in ICD codes) died of rheumatic fever/rheumatic HD compared with 3100 annually in the present era (NCHS/NHLBI).

- The 2009 overall death rate for rheumatic fever/rheumatic HD was 1.1 per 100,000. Death rates varied across race/ethnic groups but were generally low: white, 1.2 per 100,000; black or African American, 0.7 per 100,000; Asian or Pacific Islander, 0.6 per 100,000; American Indian or Alaska Native, 0.6 per 100,000; and Hispanic or Latino origin individuals, 0.4 per 100,000.18

Bacterial Endocarditis
ICD-9 421.0; ICD-10 I13.0.
Mortality—1060. Any-mention mortality—2197. Hospital discharges—34,000, primary plus secondary diagnoses.

- The 2007 AHA guidelines on prevention of IE15 state that IE is thought to result from the following sequence of events: (1) Formation of nonbacterial thrombotic endocarditis on the surface of a cardiac valve or elsewhere that endothelial damage occurs; (2) bacteremia; and (3) adherence of the bacteria in the bloodstream to nonbacterial thrombotic endocarditis (1) Formation of nonbacterial thrombotic endocarditis on the surface of a cardiac valve or elsewhere that endothelial damage occurs; (2) bacteremia; and (3) adherence of the bacteria in the bloodstream to nonbacterial thrombotic endocarditis and proliferation of bacteria within a vegetation. Viridans group streptococci are part of the normal skin, oral, respiratory, and gastrointestinal tract flora, and they cause ≥50% of cases of community-acquired native valve IE not associated with intravenous drug use.19

- Although the absolute risk for acquiring IE from a dental procedure is impossible to measure precisely, the best available estimates are as follows: If dental treatment causes 1% of all cases of viridans group streptococcal IE annually in the United States, the overall risk in the general population is estimated to be as low as 1 case of IE per 14 million dental procedures. The estimated absolute risk rates for acquiring IE from a dental procedure in patients with underlying cardiac conditions are as follows20:

  - Mitral valve prolapse: 1 per 1.1 million procedures
  - CHD: 1 per 475,000
  - Rheumatic HD: 1 per 142,000
  - Presence of a prosthetic cardiac valve: 1 per 114,000
  - Previous IE: 1 per 95,000 dental procedures

- Cessation of antibiotic prophylaxis for IE before dental procedures has not led to a change in pediatric cases of endocarditis. Using 2003 to 2010 data from 37 centers in the Pediatric Health Information Systems Database, Pasquali and colleagues21 did not demonstrate a significant difference in the number of IE hospitalizations after the guidelines were implemented in 2007 (1.6% difference after versus before guideline implementation; 95% CI, −6.4% to 10.3%; P=0.7).

- A systematic review that included 161 studies and 27,354 patients from 1960 to 2011 demonstrated that in hospital-based studies (143 studies; 23,877 patients), staphylococcal endocarditis has increased over time (coagulase-negative Staphylococcus 2% to 10%, P<0.001), with recent increases in Staphylococcus aureus (21% to 30%, P<0.05) over the past decade and a corresponding decrease in streptococcal endocarditis (32% to 17%) over the same time period.22

- Cardiac device IE appears to be present in 6.4% (95% CI, 5.5%–7.4%) of patients with definite IE, according to data from the International Collaboration on Endocarditis—Prospective Cohort Study (2000–2006). Nearly half (45.8%; 95% CI, 38.3%–53.4%) of such cases are associated with healthcare-associated infection. In-hospital and 1-year mortality rates for these patients were 14.7% (26/177; 95% CI, 9.8%–20.8%) and 23.2% (41/177; 95% CI, 17.2%–30.1%), respectively.23

Endocarditis, Valve Unspecified
ICD-9 424.9; ICD-10 I30.
Mortality—5196. Any-mention mortality—10,582.

VTE Epidemiology (Including DVT and PE)24
Pulmonary Embolism
ICD-9 415.1; ICD-10 I26.
Hospital discharges—186,000.

Deep Vein Thrombosis
ICD-9 431.1; ICD-10 I80.2.

Incidence

- The average annual incidence of VTE among whites is 108 per 100,000 person-years, with 250,000 incident cases occurring annually among US whites.

- VTE incidence appears to be similar or higher among African Americans and lower among Asian Americans and Native Americans than among whites.

- After adjustment for the different age and sex distribution of African Americans, VTE incidence is 78 per 100,000, which suggests that 27,000 incident VTE cases occur annually among US blacks.

- VTE incidence has not changed significantly over the past 25 years.

- Incidence rates increase exponentially with age for both men and women and for both DVT and PE.

- Incidence rates are higher in women during childbearing years, whereas incidence rates after age 45 years are higher in men.

- PE accounts for an increasing proportion of VTE with increasing age in both sexes.

- VTE event type (DVT versus PE) has a common familial background and shared genetic susceptibility.25

Survival

- For almost one quarter of PE patients, the initial clinical presentation is sudden death.
Thirty-day VTE survival is 74.8% (DVT alone, 96.2%; PE with or without DVT, 59.1%).

PE is an independent predictor of reduced survival for ≤3 months.

Because most PE deaths are sudden and usually attributed to underlying disease (eg, cancer; other chronic heart, lung, or renal disease), secular trends in VTE survival are confounded by autopsy rates.

**Recurrence**

- VTE is a chronic disease with episodic recurrence; ≈30% of patients develop recurrence within the next 10 years.
- Independent predictors of early (within 180 days) recurrence include active cancer, proportion of time spent taking heparin with a heparin level ≥0.2 anti-Xa U/mL, and proportion of time spent taking warfarin with an international normalized ratio ≥2. Two-week case fatality for recurrent DVT alone and recurrent PE with or without DVT is 2% and 11%, respectively.

**Complications**

- The 20-year cumulative incidence of venous stasis syndrome and venous ulcer after proximal DVT is 40% and 3.7%, respectively.
- The incidence of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension is 6.5 per million person-years; ≈1400 incident cases occur annually among US whites.

**Risk Factors**

- Independent VTE risk factors include increasing patient age, surgery, trauma/fracture, hospital or nursing home confinement, active cancer, central vein catheterization or transvenous pacemaker, prior superficial vein thrombosis, infection, varicose veins, and neurological disease with leg paresis, and among women, use of oral contraceptives, pregnancy/postpartum period, and hormone therapy.
- Together, these risk factors account for >75% of all incident VTE that occurs in the community.
- Compared with residents in the community, hospitalized residents have a >130-fold higher VTE incidence (71 versus 9605 per 100,000 person-years).
- Hospitalization and nursing home residence together account for almost 60% of incident VTE events that occur in the community.
- Among patients hospitalized for acute medical illness, independent risk factors for VTE include prior VTE, thrombophilia, cancer, age >60 years, leg paralysis, immobilization ≥7 days, and admission to an intensive care unit or coronary care unit.
- Among cancer patients beginning chemotherapy, tumor site, BMI, hemoglobin, platelet and white blood cell count, and plasma D-dimer and soluble P-selectin levels are predictors of VTE in the next 6 months.
- In a large cohort study of middle-aged women, including women undergoing surgery, current smoking increased the risk for hospitalization for or death attributable to VTE. However, whether smoking represents an independent VTE risk factor remains uncertain.
- In a case-crossover study, novel predictors of hospitalization for VTE included recent infection, erythropoiesis-stimulating agents, and blood transfusion.
- Hospitalization for an autoimmune disorder (particularly immune thrombocytopenia, polycythemia nodosa, polymyositis or dermatomyositis, systemic lupus erythematosus, and rheumatoid arthritis) is associated with an increased risk for VTE in the year after hospitalization.
- An association between systemic, intestinal, or inhaled glucocorticoids and VTE was reported recently; however, this association may be spurious because of residual confounding.
- Among patients with immune thrombocytopenia, splenectomy was associated with an increased incidence of abdominal vein thrombosis within 90 days after surgery and an increased incidence of leg DVT and PE. Whether these associations are independent of immune thrombocytopenia disease activity is uncertain.
- Pregnancy-associated VTE incidence is 200 per 100,000 woman-years; compared with nonpregnant women of childbearing age, the RR for VTE is increased 4-fold. VTE risk appears to be higher for pregnancies after in vitro fertilization compared with natural pregnancies.
- VTE risk during the postpartum period is 5-fold higher than during pregnancy.

**Arteries, Diseases of**

ICD-9 440 to 448; ICD-10 I70 to I78. Includes PAD.

**Penetrating Aortic Ulcers**

- A single-center evaluation of 388 penetrating aortic ulcers found on CT angiography (2003–2009) demonstrated penetrating aortic ulcers in the aortic arch (6.8%), descending thoracic aorta (61.2%), and abdominal aorta (29.7%).
- Nearly 2 of every 3 penetrating aortic ulcers (57.7%) did not have a saccular aneurysm or intramural hematoma, whereas ≈1 in 4 (27.8%) had associated saccular aneurysms, and ≈1 in 7 (14.4%) had an associated intramural hematoma. Rupture was present in ≈1 in 25 penetrating aortic ulcers (4.1%).

**Aortic Aneurysm**

ICD-9 444; ICD-10 I71.


- According to the GBD, the age-standardized death rate attributable to aortic aneurysm was 3.4 (95% CI, 2.5–4.8), with a 27% median decrease since 1990. The YLL because of aortic aneurysms was 57.4 (95% CI, 43.8–80.4), with a 29% median decrease since 1990.
- Although the definition varies somewhat by age and body surface area, generally an AAA is considered to be present when the anteroposterior diameter of the aorta reaches 3.0 cm.
- The prevalence of AAAs that are 2.9 to 4.9 cm in diameter ranges from 1.3% in men 45 to 54 years of age to 12.5% in men 75 to 84 years of age. For women, the prevalence ranges from 0% in the youngest to 5.2% in the oldest age groups.
A meta-analysis of 15,475 individuals from 18 studies on small AAAs (3.0–5.4 cm) demonstrated that mean aneurysm growth rate was 2.21 mm per year and was independent of age and sex. Growth rates were higher in smokers (by 0.35 mm/y) and lower in patients with DM (by 0.51 mm/y).42

Rupture rates range from 0.71 to 11.03 per 1000 person-years, with higher rupture rates in smokers (pooled HR, 2.02; 95% CI, 1.33–3.06) and women (pooled HR, 3.76; 95% CI, 2.58–5.47).43

Data from IRAD demonstrate that the rate of mesenteric malperfusion in 1809 patients with type A acute dissections was 3.7%, with a higher mortality rate than for patients without malperfusion (63.2% versus 23.8%, P<0.001).44

Data from IRAD suggest that patients with acute type B aortic dissection have heterogeneous in-hospital outcomes. In-hospital mortality in patients with and without complications (such as mesenteric ischemia, renal failure, limb ischemia, or refractory pain) was 20.0% and 6.1%, respectively. In patients with complications, in-hospital mortality associated with surgical and endovascular repair was 28.6% and 10.1% (P=0.006), respectively.45

Thoracic Aortic Aneurysm Treatment

A sample of 12,573 and 2732 Medicare patients who underwent open thoracic aortic aneurysm and endovascular repair demonstrated higher perioperative mortality for open repair in both intact (7.1% versus 6.1%, P=0.07) and ruptured (46% versus 28%, P<0.01) thoracic aortic aneurysms but higher 1-year (87% versus 82%, P=0.001) and 5-year (72% versus 62%, P=0.001) survival rates.46

Perioperative mortality rates for open thoracic aortic aneurysms were higher for black Medicare patients than for white Medicare patients (18% versus 10%, P<0.001), but rates were similar for endovascular repair (8% versus 9%, P=0.56).47

AAA Treatment

A 2011 meta-analysis of 46 studies that included 1397 studies of endovascular repair demonstrated that endovascular repair was associated with a perioperative mortality rate of 24.3%.48

Long-term results from the OVER trial that compared open AAA repair to endovascular repair demonstrated no survival difference between open and endovascular repair at a median follow-up of 9 years (HR, 0.97; 95% CI, 0.77–1.22) despite reductions in mortality from endovascular repair at 2 years (HR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.40–0.98) and 3 years (HR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.51–1.00).49

After multivariable adjustment, Medicare patients who underwent open AAA repair had a higher risk of all-cause mortality (HR, 1.24; 95% CI, 1.05–1.47) and AAA-related mortality (HR, 4.37; 95% CI, 2.51–7.66) at 1 year than patients who underwent endovascular repair.49
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Table 20-1. Pooled Prevalence of Valvular Heart Disease From CARDIA, ARIC, and CHS Cohorts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>Participants, n</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Mitral regurgitation (n=449)</th>
<th>Mitral stenosis (n=15)</th>
<th>Aortic regurgitation (n=90)</th>
<th>Aortic stenosis (n=102)</th>
<th>Any valve disease Overall (n=615)</th>
<th>Women (n=356)</th>
<th>Men (n=259)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18–44</td>
<td>4351</td>
<td>1959 (45)</td>
<td>23 (0.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>10 (0.2)</td>
<td>1 (0.02)</td>
<td>31 (0.7)</td>
<td>19 (0.8)</td>
<td>12 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–54</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>258 (37)</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
<td>3 (0.4)</td>
<td>1 (0.2)</td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–64</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>415 (33)</td>
<td>12 (1.0)</td>
<td>3 (0.2)</td>
<td>8 (0.7)</td>
<td>2 (0.2)</td>
<td>23 (1.9)</td>
<td>13 (1.6)</td>
<td>10 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–74</td>
<td>3879</td>
<td>1586 (41)</td>
<td>250 (6.4)</td>
<td>7 (0.2)</td>
<td>37 (1.0)</td>
<td>50 (1.3)</td>
<td>328 (8.5)</td>
<td>208 (9.1)</td>
<td>120 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥75</td>
<td>1745</td>
<td>826 (47)</td>
<td>163 (9.3)</td>
<td>4 (0.2)</td>
<td>34 (2.0)</td>
<td>48 (2.8)</td>
<td>230 (13.2)</td>
<td>115 (12.6)</td>
<td>115 (14.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are n (%) unless otherwise indicated.

Table 20-2. Rheumatic Fever/Rheumatic Heart Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Group</th>
<th>Mortality, 2010: All Ages</th>
<th>Hospital Discharges, 2010: All Ages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td>2987</td>
<td>20000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>996 (33.3%)†</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>1991 (66.7%)†</td>
<td>15000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white males</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white females</td>
<td>1759</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black males</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black females</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaska Native</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ellipses (…) indicate data not available; and NH, non-Hispanic.

†Mortality data include Hispanics.

These percentages represent the portion of total mortality that is for males vs females.

‡Number of deaths shown may be lower than actual because of underreporting in this population.

Sources: Mortality: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/National Center for Health Statistics, 2010 Mortality Multiple Cause-of-Death—United States, version dated May 29, 2013; data represent underlying cause of death only. Hospital discharges: National Hospital Discharge Survey, National Center for Health Statistics, and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; data include those inpatients discharged alive, dead, or of unknown status.
Chart 20-1. Rheumatic heart disease prevalence trends per 1000 people for each World Health Organization region: A, The Americas; B, Europe; C, Africa; D, Eastern Mediterranean; E, Western Pacific; and F, Southeast Asia. Reprinted from Seckeler and Hoke14 with permission of Dove Medical Press; permission conveyed through Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. Copyright © 2011, Seckeler and Hoke, publisher and licensee Dove Medical Press Ltd.
21. Peripheral Artery Disease

ICD-9: 440.20 to 440.24, 440.30 to 440.32, 440.4, 440.9, 443.9, 445.02; ICD-10: I70.2, I70.9, I73.9, I74.3, I74.4. See Table 21-1 and Charts 21-1 and 21-2.

Prevalence and Incidence

(See Table 21-1 and Charts 21-1 and 21-2.)

- PAD affects ≈8.5 million Americans aged ≥40 years and is associated with significant morbidity and mortality.1
- The age-standardized prevalence rate of PAD per 100000 in 2010 was 185.6 (95% CI, 150.3–226.1), with minimal change (median percent change, 0.19% [95% CI, −24.1% to 31.6%]) since 1990. The age-standardized disability-adjusted life-year rate of PAD per 100000 in 2010 was 23.9 (95% CI, 15.7–38.3), with a median change of 24.9% since 1990.2
- The highest prevalence of PAD has been observed among elderly people, non-Hispanic blacks, and women. In a multivariable age-, sex-, and race/ethnicity-adjusted regression model, hypertension, DM, CKD, and smoking were associated with incident PAD (P≤0.05 for each).3,4
- A 2003 to 2008 sample of US national insurance claims of adults aged ≥40 years demonstrated that 263270 eligible individuals had a PAD diagnosis, with an annual incidence and prevalence of 2.76% (95% CI, 2.75%–2.77%) and 12.29% (95% CI, 12.8%–12.31%), respectively.5
- In the general population, only ≈10% of people with PAD have the classic symptom of intermittent claudication.

Approximately 40% do not complain of leg pain, whereas the remaining 50% have a variety of leg symptoms different from classic claudication.6,7 Data from NHANES 1999 to 2002 suggest that up to two thirds of US adults with PAD who are ≥40 years old are asymptomatic, with one fourth having severe PAD (ABI <0.7).8 In an older, disabled population of women, as many as two thirds of individuals with PAD had no exertional leg symptoms.9

Mortality

(See Table 21-1.)

- In 2010, PAD any-mention mortality was 62955 (29213 males and 33742 females). PAD was the underlying cause in 13854 of those deaths in 2010.10 Table 21-1 shows the numbers of these deaths that were coded for PAD as the underlying cause.
- The 2010 overall any-mention age-adjusted death rate for PAD was 18.9 per 100000. Any-mention death rates were 22.3 for white males, 26.9 for black males, 16.4 for white females, and 19.6 for black females.11
- The number of any-mention deaths attributable to PAD was higher in 2000 (96551) than in 2010 (62955; NCHS, AHA).11
- Data from the GBD project suggest that the age-standardized death rate attributable to PAD was 1.7 (95% CI, 1.0–2.9) per 100000, with a 42% median increase since 1990. The YLL because of PAD was 21.2 (95% CI, 13.4–35.9), with a 29% median increase since 1990.2
- A 2008 meta-analysis of 24955 men and 23339 women demonstrated that the association of the ABI with mortality has a reverse J-shaped distribution in which participants with an ABI of 1.11 to 1.40 are at lowest risk for mortality. Low ABI (≤0.9) carried a 3-fold (RR, 3.33; 95% CI, 2.74–4.06) risk of all-cause death compared with men with normal ABI (1.11–1.40) and a similar risk in women (RR, 2.71; 95% CI, 2.03–3.62).12
- Among 508 patients (449 men) identified from 2 vascular laboratories in San Diego, CA, a decline in ABI of >0.15 within a 10-year period was associated with a subsequent increased risk of all-cause mortality (RR, 2.4; 95% CI, 1.2–4.8) and CVD mortality (RR, 2.8; 95% CI, 1.3–6.0) at 3 years’ follow-up.13
- Among 440 patients with PAD, male sex and smoking were more associated with aortoiliac (proximal) disease than with infrailiac (distal) disease. In addition, aortoiliac disease was associated with an increased risk of mortality or cardiovascular events compared with infrailiac disease (adjusted HR, 3.28; 95% CI, 1.87–5.75).14

Risk Factors

- The risk factors for PAD are similar but not identical to those for CHD. DM and cigarette smoking are stronger risk factors for PAD than for CHD.15 ORs for associations of DM and smoking with symptomatic PAD are ≥3.0 to 4.0. Most studies suggest that the prevalence of PAD is similar in men and women.16
- Pooled data from 11 studies in 6 countries found that PAD (defined by ABI <0.9) is a marker for systemic atherosclerotic disease. The pooled age-, sex-, risk factor--, and CVD-adjusted RR for all-cause death was 1.60 (95% CI,
1.32–1.95), the RR for cardiovascular mortality was 1.96 (95% CI, 1.46–2.64), the RR for CHD was 1.45 (95% CI, 1.08–1.93), and the RR for stroke was 1.35 (95% CI, 1.10–1.65).17

Awareness and Aftermath

- A cross-sectional, population-based telephone survey of >2500 adults ≥50 years of age, with oversampling of blacks and Hispanics, found that 26% expressed familiarity with PAD. Of these, half were not aware that DM and smoking increase the risk of PAD. One in 4 knew that PAD is associated with increased risk of MI and stroke, and only 14% were aware that PAD could lead to amputation. All knowledge domains were lower in individuals with lower income and education levels.18

- People with PAD have impaired function and quality of life. This is true even for people who do not report leg symptoms. Furthermore, patients with PAD, including those who are asymptomatic, experience a significant decline in lower-extremity functioning over time.19-21

- Among patients with established PAD, higher PA levels during daily life are associated with better overall survival rate, a lower risk of death because of CVD, and slower rates of functional decline.22,23 In addition, better 6-minute walk performance and faster walking speed are associated with lower rates of all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality, and mobility loss.24

- From 2000 to 2008, the overall use of lower-extremity amputation decreased significantly during the study period, from 7258 to 5790 per 100 000 Medicare beneficiaries with PAD. There was significant geographic variation in the rate of lower-extremity amputation, from 8400 amputations per 100 000 patients with PAD in the East South Central region to 5500 amputations per 100 000 patients with PAD in the Mountain region. After adjustment for clustering at the US Census Bureau level, geographic variation in lower-extremity amputations remained. Lower-extremity amputation was performed more often in the East South Central region (adjusted OR, 1.152; 95% CI, 1.131–1.174; P<0.001) and West South Central region (adjusted OR, 1.115; 95% CI, 1.097–1.133; P<0.001) and less often in the Middle Atlantic region (OR, 0.833; 95% CI, 0.820–0.847; P<0.001) than in the South Atlantic region.26

- A 2003 to 2008 sample of US national insurance claims of adults >40 years of age demonstrated that 44 431 patients had a critical limb ischemic diagnosis over the study period, with an annual incidence and prevalence of 0.47% (95% CI, 0.46–0.47) and 1.90% (95% CI, 1.89–1.91), respectively.5

Interventions

- Data from the REACH registry of 8273 PAD participants suggest that only 70% of PAD patients receive lipid-lowering therapy and only 82% receive antiplatelet therapy for secondary CVD prevention.27

- A 2011 systematic review evaluated lower-extremity aerobic exercise against usual care and demonstrated a range of benefits, including the following:28

  —Increased claudication time by 15 seconds (79%) to 918 seconds (422%)

  —Increased claudication distance by 15 m (5.6%) to 232 m (200%)

  —Increased walking distance/time by 67% to 101% after 40 minutes of walking 2 to 3 times per week

Hospital Discharges

(See Table 21-1.)

- Hospital discharges for PAD slightly increased from 2000 to 2010, with first-listed discharges of 135 000 and 146 000, respectively (unreliable estimate, NHDS, NHLBI tabulation).29

- In 2010, there were 1 539 000 physician office visits with a primary diagnosis of PAD.29 In 2010, there were 20 000 ED visits and 109 000 outpatient department visits for PAD (NHAMCS, NHLBI tabulation).30
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Table 21-1. Peripheral Artery Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td>≥6.8 Million</td>
<td>13854</td>
<td>146,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td></td>
<td>5826 (42.1%)†</td>
<td>84,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td></td>
<td>8028 (57.9%)†</td>
<td>62,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white males</td>
<td></td>
<td>5074</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white females</td>
<td></td>
<td>7045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black males</td>
<td></td>
<td>648</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black females</td>
<td></td>
<td>868</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander</td>
<td></td>
<td>172</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ellipses (…) indicate data not available; and NH, non-Hispanic.

*Mortality data for the white, black, Asian or Pacific Islander, and American Indian or Alaska Native populations include deaths among people of Hispanic and non-Hispanic origin. Numbers of deaths for the American Indian or Alaska Native and Asian or Pacific Islander populations are known to be underestimated.

†These percentages represent the portion of total mortality attributable to heart failure that is for males vs females.

Sources: Prevalence: Allison et al.1 Prevalence of peripheral arterial disease is based on an ankle-brachial index <0.9 or a previous revascularization for peripheral arterial disease. Mortality: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/National Center for Health Statistics, 2010 Mortality Multiple Cause-of-Death—United States, version dated May 21, 2013.
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Chart 21-1. Prevalence estimates for peripheral arterial disease in males by age and ethnicity. Amer. indicates American; and NH, non-Hispanic . Source: Reprinted from Allison et al1 with permission from Elsevier. Copyright © 2007, American Journal of Preventive Medicine.
22. Quality of Care

See Tables 22-1 through 22-14.

The Institute of Medicine defines quality of care as “the degree to which health services for individuals and populations increase the likelihood of desired health outcomes and are consistent with current professional knowledge.” The Institute of Medicine has defined 6 specific domains for improving health care, including care that is safe, effective, patient-centered, timely, efficient, and equitable.

In the following sections, data on quality of care will be presented based on the 6 domains of quality as defined by the Institute of Medicine. This is intended to highlight current care and to stimulate efforts to improve the quality of cardiovascular care nationally. Where possible, data are reported from recently published literature or standardized quality indicators from quality-improvement registries (ie, those consistent with the methods for quality performance measures endorsed by the ACC and the AHA). Additional data on aspects of quality of care, such as adherence to ACC/AHA clinical practice guidelines, are also included to provide a spectrum of quality-of-care data. The data selected are meant to provide examples of the current quality of care as reflected by the Institute of Medicine domains and are not meant to be comprehensive given the sheer number of publications yearly.

● The safety domain has been defined as avoiding injuries to patients from the care that is intended to help them. The following are several publications that have focused on safety issues:

—In a small, single-center study conducted over a 2-month period in the cardiac care unit of a tertiary center, Rahim et al demonstrated that iatrogenic adverse events were common (99 of 194 patients), of which bleeding (27%) was the most common preventable iatrogenic adverse event.

—Using the NCDR CathPCI Registry, Tsai et al found that almost one fourth of dialysis patients undergoing...
PCI (n=22 778) received a contraindicated antithrombotic agent, specifically enoxaparin, eptifibatide, or both. Patients who received a contraindicated antithrombotic agent had an increased risk of in-hospital bleeding (OR, 1.63; 95% CI, 1.35–1.98) and a trend toward increased mortality (OR, 1.15; 95% CI, 0.97–1.36).4

—Using data from the ACTION Registry–GWTG, Mathews and colleagues developed a contemporary model to stratify in-hospital bleeding risk for patients after STEMI and NSTEMI.5 The 12 factors associated with major bleeding in the model were heart rate, baseline hemoglobin, female sex, baseline serum creatinine, age, electrocardiographic changes, HF or shock, DM, PAD, body weight, SBP, and home warfarin use. The risk model discriminated well in the derivation (C statistic=0.73) and validation (C statistic=0.71) cohorts, and the risk score for major bleeding corresponded well with observed bleeding.5

—in a random sample of medical and surgical long-term care adult patients in Massachusetts hospitals, López et al6 assessed the association between disclosure of an adverse event and patients’ perception of quality of care. Overall, only 40% of adverse events were disclosed. Higher quality ratings were associated with disclosure of an adverse event. Conversely, lower patient perception of quality of care was associated with events that were preventable and with events that caused discomfort.6

—Using prospective propensity-matched cohort analysis of 7 newly introduced cardiovascular devices, Resnic et al7 showed the feasibility of automated prospective surveillance to identify low-frequency safety signals in a cardiovascular registry. In this study, 3 of the 21 safety alerts triggered sustained alerts in 2 implantable devices.7

Effective care has been defined as providing services based on scientific knowledge to all who could benefit and refraining from providing services to those not likely to benefit. It has been defined as providing services based on scientific knowledge to all who could benefit and refraining from providing services to those not likely to benefit. It

—According to data from NHANES 1988 to 1994 and 1999 to 2008, prevalence of hypertension increased from 23.9% in 1988 to 1994 to 29.0% in 2007 to 2008, and hypertension control among hypertensive adults has increased from 27.3% in 1988 to 1994 to 50.1% in 2007 to 2008. In addition, among people with hypertension, BP has decreased from 143.0/80.4 to 135.2/74.1 mm Hg.8

—Weintraub et al9 reported results from a comparative effectiveness study of PCI versus CABG using observational data among patients ≥65 years of age with 2- or 3-vessel CAD without AMI. Their results showed that at 1 year, there was no significant difference in adjusted mortality between groups (6.24% in the CABG group versus 6.55% in the PCI group). At 4 years, there was lower mortality in the CABG group than in the PCI group (16.4% versus 20.8%; RR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.76–0.82).9

—Appel et al10 reported results of a randomized controlled trial comparing the effectiveness of 2 behavioral weight loss interventions with controls. The interventions included either remote weight loss intervention (delivered through the telephone, a study-specific Web site, and e-mail) or in-person support (individual and group sessions along with the 3 means of remote support). At 24 months, the mean change in weight from baseline was −0.8 kg in the control group, −4.6 kg in the group with remote support only (P<0.001 for comparison with the control group), and −5.1 kg in the group receiving in-person support (P<0.001 for comparison with the control group). The change in weight from baseline did not differ significantly between the 2 intervention groups at the end of the trial.

—Choudhry et al11 reported results of a cluster randomized trial that evaluated the impact of eliminating out-of-pocket costs (full prescription coverage) on medication adherence and cardiovascular outcomes in patients discharged after MI. Compared with the usual prescription coverage, rates of adherence to statins, β-blockers, ACE inhibitors, and ARBs were on average 4% to 6% higher in the full-coverage group. There was no significant difference in the primary outcome (first major vascular event or revascularization) between the 2 groups (17.6 per 100 person years in the full-coverage group versus 18.8 in the usual-coverage group; HR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.82–1.04). The rates of secondary outcomes of total major vascular events or revascularization were significantly reduced in the full-coverage group (21.5 versus 23.3; HR, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.90–0.99), as was the rate of first major vascular event (11 versus 12.8; HR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.74–0.99). The elimination of copayments did not increase total spending, although patient costs were reduced for drugs and other services.

—Data from the ACC PINNACLE outpatient registry12 of patients with CAD (n=38 775) showed that 77.8% of the patients (30 160) were prescribed statins, 2042 (5.3%) were treated only with nonstatin lipid-lowering medications, and 6573 (17%) were not taking any lipid-lowering medication. Lack of medical insurance (RR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.89–1.00) was associated with a lower likelihood of statin treatment, whereas male sex (RR, 1.10; 95% CI, 1.07–1.13), coexisting hypertension (RR, 1.07; 95% CI, 1.02–1.12), prior CABG (RR, 1.09; 95% CI, 1.05–1.14), and prior PCI (RR, 1.11; 95% CI, 1.06–1.16) were associated with a higher likelihood of statin treatment.

—in patients recently hospitalized with HF, a randomized clinical trial did not show improvement in the primary end point (readmission for any reason or death of any cause within 180 days after enrollment) or the secondary end points (hospitalization for HF, number of days in the hospital, and number of hospitalizations) with the use of telemonitoring.13 Similar results were seen in a randomized clinical trial of remote telemedical management in patients with chronic HF.14

—Heisler et al15 reported results of a prospective, multisite, cluster randomized trial that evaluated the effectiveness of a pharmacist-led intervention that targeted medication
management and adherence counseling to improve BP control in patients with DM in 2 high-performing integrated healthcare systems. Although the mean SBP of patients in the intervention arm was 2.4 mmHg lower (95% CI, −3.4 to −1.5; P<0.001) immediately after the intervention than that of patients in the control arm, the mean SBP decrease from 6 months before to 6 months after the intervention (primary outcome) was similar in magnitude (≈9 mmHg) in both arms.15

—In 2013, investigators from the GBD 2010 study described their findings of a systematic analysis of disease burden, injuries, and leading risk factors in the United States and compared them with those of 34 countries in the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.16 Their findings showed that the US life expectancy for both sexes combined increased from 75.2 years in 1990 to 78.2 years in 2010. During the same time period, healthy life expectancy (the number of years that a person at a given age can expect to live in good health, taking into account mortality and disability) increased from 65.8 years to 68.1 years in the United States. Despite declines in the YLLs because of premature mortality secondary to ischemic HD and stroke, 15.9% of YLLs were related to ischemic HD and 4.3% of YLLs were related to stroke in the United States in 2010, which highlights the continued dominance of CVD in causing premature death. Despite these absolute improvements, the US rank among 34 countries in the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development changed from 18th to 27th for the age-standardized death rate, from 20th to 27th for life expectancy at birth, from 14th to 26th for healthy life expectancy, and from 23rd to 28th for the age-standardized YLL. These results indicate that improvements in population health in the United States have not kept pace with advances in population health in other wealthy nations.

—Outcome measures of 30-day mortality and 30-day readmission after hospitalization for AMI or HF have been developed that adjust for patient mix (eg, comorbidities) so that comparisons can be made across hospitals.17 Using national Medicare data from 2008 through 2010, the median (10th, 90th percentile) hospital risk-standardized mortality rate was 15.7% (13.7%, 17.7%) for AMI and 11.5% (9.7%, 13.5%) for HF. The median risk-standardized readmission rate was 19.7% (18.0%, 21.7%) for AMI and 24.7% (22.6%, 27.3%) for HF. Distinct regional patterns were seen for both measures and both conditions. The median risk-standardized mortality rate for AMI decreased by 0.7% from 2008 to 2010, whereas the median risk-standardized mortality rate for HF increased by 0.4%. The median risk-standardized readmission rate for AMI and HF declined by 0.5% and 0.3%, respectively, from 2008 to 2010.17

—A study of 30,947 patients admitted with ischemic strokes showed that admission to a designated stroke center compared with admission to a nondenominated hospital was associated with more frequent use of thrombolytic therapy (4.8% versus 1.7%, P<0.001) and lower 30-day all-cause mortality (10.1% versus 12.5%, P<0.001).18

—A study of 458 hospitals participating in the STS National Cardiac Database showed that an intervention of receiving quality-improvement educational material designed to influence the prescription rates of 4 medication classes (aspirin, β-blockers, lipid-lowering therapy, and ACE inhibitors) after CABG discharge in addition to site-specific feedback reports led to a significant improvement in adherence for all 4 secondary prevention medications at the intervention sites compared with the control sites.19

—In 2011, the ACC Foundation/AHA/American Medical Association–Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement published a report on performance measures for CAD and hypertension.20 The 9 performance measures for CAD care included BP control, lipid control, symptom and activity assessment, symptom management, tobacco use (screening, cessation, and intervention), antiplatelet therapy, β-blocker therapy, ACE inhibitor/ARB therapy, and cardiac rehabilitation patient referral from an outpatient setting. For hypertension care, the performance measures included BP control. This set was an update to the 2005 ACC Foundation/AHA performance measures for CAD and hypertension and included modifications to 7 of the 2005 performance measures. Screening for DM was retired from the CAD set published in 2005, whereas symptom management and cardiac rehabilitation referral were added to the 2011 CAD set. Similarly, the ACC Foundation/AHA/American Medical Association–Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement published a report on performance measures for HF,21 which was an update to the 2005 report.22 Eight measures from the 2005 report were retired, β-blocker use in patients with HF was expanded as a performance measure for the inpatient setting, symptom management and counseling about implantable cardioverter-defibrillators were added as new quality metrics, and patient education was changed from a performance measure to a quality metric.

—A study from the PINNACLE registry of NCDR showed that uninsured patients with CAD were 9%, 12%, and 6% less likely to receive treatment with a β-blocker, an ACE inhibitor/ARB, and lipid-lowering therapy, respectively, than privately insured CAD patients, and CAD patients with public insurance were 9% less likely to be prescribed ACE inhibitor/ARB therapy. Most of the differences were attenuated after adjustment for the site providing care.23

—A randomized controlled trial of Transcendental Meditation or health education in 201 black men and women with CHD showed that the Transcendental Meditation program was associated with a 48% reduction in RR (11.2% absolute risk reduction) for the composite primary end point of all-cause mortality, MI, or stroke (HR, 0.52; 95% CI, 0.29–0.92) during an average follow-up of 5.4 years.24

—In 2013, a TVT registry was created through a partnership between the STS and the ACC.25 The objective of this registry is to provide an “objective, comprehensive, and scientifically based resource to improve the quality of patient care, to monitor the safety and effectiveness of TVT devices, to serve as an analytic resource for TVT research, and to enhance communication among key stakeholders.”
Patient-centered care has been defined as the provision of care that is respectful of and responsive to individual patient preferences, needs, and values and that ensures that patient values guide all clinical decisions. Dimensions of patient-centered care include the following: (1) Respect for patients’ values, preferences, and expressed needs; (2) coordination and integration of care; (3) information, communication, and education; (4) physical comfort; (5) emotional support; and (6) involvement of family and friends. Studies that focused on some of these aspects of patient-centered care are highlighted below.

The COURAGE trial, which investigated a strategy of PCI plus optimal medical therapy versus optimal medical therapy alone, demonstrated that both groups had significant improvement in health status during follow-up. By 3 months, health status scores had increased in the PCI group compared with the medical therapy group, to 76±24 versus 72±23 for physical limitation (P=0.004), 77±28 versus 73±27 for angina stability (P=0.002), 85±22 versus 80±23 for angina frequency (P<0.001), 92±12 versus 90±14 for treatment satisfaction (P<0.001), and 73±22 versus 68±23 for quality of life (P<0.001). The PCI plus optimal medical therapy group had a small but significant incremental benefit compared with the optimal medical therapy group early on, but this benefit disappeared by 36 months.

In SCD-HeFT, a study of a single-lead implantable cardioverter-defibrillator versus amiodarone for moderately symptomatic HF, patients with implantable cardioverter-defibrillators had improvement in quality of life compared with patients who received medical therapy at 3 and 12 months but not at 30 months. Implantable cardioverter-defibrillator shocks in the month preceding a scheduled assessment were associated with a decrease in quality of life in multiple domains. The authors concluded that the presence of a single-lead implantable cardioverter-defibrillator was not associated with any detectably adverse quality of life during 30 months of follow-up.

Peikes et al reported on 15 care-coordination programs as part of a Medicare demonstration project for patients with CHF, CAD, DM, and other conditions. Thirteen of the 15 programs did not show a difference in hospitalization rates, and none of the programs demonstrated net savings. The interventions tested varied significantly, but the majority of the interventions included patient education to improve adherence to medication, diet, exercise, and self-care regimens and improving care coordination through various approaches. These programs overall had favorable effects on none of the adherence measures and only a few of the many quality-of-care indicators examined. The authors concluded that programs with substantial in-person contact that target moderately to severely ill patients can be cost-neutral and improve some aspects of care.

Hernandez et al showed that patients with outpatient follow-up within 7 days of discharge for an HF hospitalization were less likely to be readmitted within 30 days in the GWTG-HF registry of patients who were ≥65 years of age. The median length of stay was 4 days (interquartile range, 2–6 days), and 21.3% of patients were readmitted within 30 days. At the hospital level, the median percentage of patients who had early follow-up after discharge from the index hospitalization was 38.3% (interquartile range, 32.4%–44.5%).

Smolderen et al assessed whether health insurance status affects decisions to seek care for AMI. Uninsured and insured patients with financial concerns were more likely to delay seeking care during AMI and had prehospital delays of >6 hours (48.6% of uninsured patients and 44.6% of insured patients with financial concerns compared with 39.3% of insured patients without financial concerns). Lack of health insurance and financial concerns about accessing care among those with health insurance were each associated with delays in seeking emergency care for AMI.

Using a cohort (n=192) nested within a randomized trial at a university-affiliated ambulatory practice, Murray et al demonstrated that refill adherence of <40% was associated with a 3-fold higher incidence of HF hospitalization than refill adherence of ≥80% (P=0.002). In multivariable analysis, prescription label–reading skills were associated with a lower incidence of HF-specific emergency care (incidence rate ratio, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.19–0.69), and participants with adequate health literacy had a lower risk of HF hospitalization (incidence rate ratio, 0.34; 95% CI, 0.15–0.76).

Reynolds et al reported results on health-related quality of life after TAVR in inoperable patients with severe aortic stenosis compared with those receiving standard therapy. Health-related quality of life was assessed at baseline and at 1, 6, and 12 months with the Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire and the 12-item Short Form–12 General Health Survey. Although the Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire summary scores improved in both groups, the extent of improvement was greater in the TAVR group than in the standard-care group at 1 month (mean between-group difference, 13 points; 95% CI, 8–19), with larger benefits at 6 months (mean difference, 21 points; 95% CI, 15–27 points) and 12 months (mean difference, 26 points; 95% CI, 19–33). At 12 months, TAVR patients also reported higher physical and mental health scores on the 12-item Short Form–12 General Health Survey, with a mean difference of 5.7.
and 6.4 points, respectively (P<0.001 for both comparisons) compared with standard care.32

—In 2012, the AHA published a scientific statement on decision making in advanced HF. This statement discusses the clinical trajectory of HF, importance and process of shared decision making in advanced HF; timing of discussion, discussion on outcomes beyond survival (ie, major adverse events, symptom burden, functional limitations, loss of independence, quality of life, and obligations for caregivers), discussions regarding end-of-life issues, and assessment and integration of emotional readiness of the patient and family in these discussions.33

—In 2013, the AHA published a scientific statement on the importance of measuring patient-reported health status across 3 domains (symptom burden, functional status, and health-related quality of life).34 The statement discusses why it is important to measure patient-reported health status measures, the association between measures of patient-reported health status and cardiovascular outcomes, and the currently available tools to measure health status of patients with CVD.

—In 2012, the ACC Foundation published a policy statement on patient-centered care in cardiovascular medicine. This policy statement discusses and provides recommendations on topics such as enhanced clinician-patient communication, health literacy, clinician-directed patient education, assessment of patient-centered outcomes, process of shared decision making, collaborative care planning and goal setting, and patient empowerment and self-management. The policy statement also discusses newer paradigms and challenges in patient-centered care, such as the impact of technology, complexity of care strategies with self-care, a systemic approach to episodic care, and barriers to patient-centered care.35

• The timely care domain relates to reducing waits and sometimes harmful delays for both those who receive and those who give care. Timeliness is an important characteristic of any service and is a legitimate and valued focus of improvement in health care and other industries.

—Data from the CRUSADE national quality-improvement initiative showed that median delay from onset of symptoms to hospital presentation for patients presenting with NSTE MI was 2.6 hours and was significantly associated with in-hospital mortality but did not change over time from 2001 to 2006.36

—Bradley et al37 demonstrated that participation in the D2B Alliance led to a reduction in door-to-balloon time to within 90 minutes for patients with STEMI. By March 2008, >75% of patients had door-to-balloon times of ≤90 minutes compared with only approximately one fourth of patients in April 2005.

—Using data between 2005 and 2007 from the NCDR CathPCI Registry, Wang et al38 demonstrated that among STEMI patients, only 10% of the transfer patients received PCI within 90 minutes (versus 63% for direct-arrival patients; P<0.0001).

—Glickman et al39 showed that a year-long implementation of standardized protocols as part of a statewide regionalization program was associated with a significant improvement in median door-in-door-out times among 436 STEMI patients who presented at non-PCI hospitals who required transfer (before intervention: 97 minutes, interquartile range 56–160 minutes; after intervention: 58 minutes, interquartile range 35–90 minutes; P<0.0001).

—A recent study40 of 204591 patients with ischemic and hemorrhagic strokes admitted to 1563 GTWG-Stroke participating hospitals between April 1, 2003, and June 30, 2010, showed that 63.7% of the patients arrived by EMS in the hospital. Older patients, those with Medicaid and Medicare, and those with severe strokes were more likely to activate EMS. Conversely, minority race/ethnicity (black, Hispanic, Asian) and living in rural communities were associated with a lower likelihood of EMS use. EMS transport was independently associated with an onset-to-door time ≤3 hours, a higher proportion of patients meeting door-to-imaging time of ≤25 minutes, more patients meeting a door-to-needle time of ≤60 minutes, and more eligible patients being treated with tPA if onset of symptoms was ≤2 hours. The authors concluded that although EMS use was associated with rapid evaluation and treatment of stroke, more than one third of stroke patients fail to use EMS.

—Data on time to reperfusion for STEMI or ischemic stroke are provided from national registries in Table 22-11.

• Efficiency has been defined as avoiding waste, in particular waste of equipment, supplies, ideas, and energy. In an efficient healthcare system, resources are used to get the best value for the money spent.

—The AHA and ACC have jointly developed a scientific statement that outlines standards for measures to be used for public reporting of efficiency in health care. The group identified 4 standards important to the development of any efficiency performance measure, including (1) integration of quality and cost, (2) valid cost measurement and analysis, (3) no or minimal incentive to provide poor-quality care, and (4) no or proper attribution of the measure. In the statement, 4 examples were provided of hospital-based efficiency measures, as well as information on how each of the measures fared within the 4 domains recommended. The examples were length of stay, 30-day readmission, hospitalization costs, and nonrecommended imaging tests.41

—Using data from the NCDR CathPCI registry from 2004 through 2010, Amin et al42 examined the association between risk of TVR and use of DES and the cost-effectiveness of lower use of DES in patients at low risk of TVR (<10% TVR risk). The authors showed a marked variation in physicians’ use of DES (range, 2%–100%). Even in groups with low TVR risk, 73.9% of the patients received DES. The authors projected that by reducing the use of DES by 50% in patients at low risk of TVR, US healthcare costs could be lowered by $205 million, whereas the overall TVR event rate would be increased by 0.5%.

—At an urban, tertiary care, academic medical center ED, elements of departmental workflow were redesigned to streamline patient throughput before implementation of a fully integrated ED information system with patient
tracking, computerized charting and order entry, and direct access to patient historical data from the hospital data repository. Increasing the clinical information available at the bedside and improving departmental workflow through ED information system implementation and process redesign led to decreased patient throughput times and improved ED efficiency (eg, the length of stay for all patients [from arrival to time patient left the ED] decreased by 1.94 hours, from 6.69 hours \(n=508\) before the intervention to 4.75 hours \(n=691\) after the intervention; \(P<0.001\)).

—Himmelstein et al\(^{44}\) analyzed whether more-computerized hospitals had lower costs of care or administration or better quality, to address a common belief that computerization improves healthcare quality, reduces costs, and increases administrative efficiency. They found that hospitals that increased computerization faster had more rapid administrative cost increases (\(P=0.0001\)); however, higher overall computerization scores correlated weakly with better quality scores for AMI (\(r=0.07, P=0.003\)) but not for HF, pneumonia, or the 3 conditions combined. In multivariate analyses, more-computerized hospitals had slightly better quality. The authors concluded that hospital computing might modestly improve process measures of quality but does not reduce administrative or overall costs.

—In a retrospective cohort study of cases (111 707) submitted to the NCDS ICD (implantable cardioverter-defibrillator) Registry between January 1, 2006, and June 30, 2009, 25 145 (22.5%) received non-evidence-based implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy. Patients who received non-evidence-based implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy had a significantly higher risk of in-hospital death (0.57% versus 0.18%, \(P<0.001\)) and any postprocedure complication (3.23% versus 2.41%, \(P<0.001\)) than those who received evidence-based implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy.

—In a multicenter study of patients within the NCDS undergoing PCI, Chan et al\(^{46}\) reported results of the appropriateness of PCI for both acute and nonacute indications. Among patients undergoing PCI for acute indications (71.1% of the cohort), 98.5% of the procedures were classified as appropriate, 0.3% as uncertain, and 1.1% as inappropriate. Among patients undergoing PCI for nonacute indications (28.9% of the cohort), 50.4% of the procedures were classified as appropriate, 38% as uncertain, and 11.6% as inappropriate. There was also substantial variation for inappropriate nonacute PCI across hospitals (median hospital rate 10.8%; interquartile range 6.0%–16.7%).

**Equitable care** means the provision of care that does not vary in quality because of personal characteristics such as sex, ethnicity, geographic location, and socioeconomic status. The aim of equity is to secure the benefits of quality health care for all the people of the United States. With regard to equity in caregiving, all individuals rightly expect to be treated fairly by local institutions, including healthcare organizations.

—Chan et al\(^{47}\) demonstrated that rates of survival to discharge were lower for black patients (25.2%) than for white patients (37.4%) after in-hospital cardiac arrest. Lower rates of survival to discharge for blacks reflected lower rates of both successful resuscitation (55.8% versus 67.4%) and postresuscitation survival (45.2% versus 55.5%). Adjustment for the hospital site at which patients received care explained a substantial portion of the racial differences in successful resuscitation (adjusted RR, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.88–0.96; \(P<0.001\)) and eliminated the racial differences in postresuscitation survival (adjusted RR, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.92–1.06; \(P=0.68\)). The authors concluded that much of the racial difference was associated with the hospital center in which black patients received care.

—Kapoor et al\(^{48}\) evaluated 99 058 HF admissions from 244 sites between January 2005 and September 2009. Patients were grouped on the basis of payer status (private/health maintenance organization, no insurance, Medicare, or Medicaid). Compared with private/health maintenance organization group, the other 3 groups were less likely to receive evidence-based therapies (\(\beta\)-blockers, implantable cardioverter-defibrillators, anticoagulation for AF, ACE inhibitors, or ARBs) and had longer hospital stays. Higher adjusted rates of in-hospital mortality were also seen in patients with Medicaid (OR, 1.22; 95% CI, 1.06–1.41) and in patients with reduced EF and no insurance (OR, 1.61; 95% CI, 1.15–2.25).

—Cohen et al\(^{49}\) demonstrated that among hospitals engaged in a national quality monitoring and improvement program, evidence-based care for AMI appeared to improve over time for patients irrespective of race/ethnicity, and differences in care by race/ethnicity care were reduced or eliminated. They analyzed 142 593 patients with AMI (121 528 whites, 10 882 blacks, and 10 183 Hispanics) at 443 hospitals participating in the GWTG-CAD program. Overall, defect-free care was 80.9% for whites, 79.5% for Hispanics (adjusted OR versus whites, 1.00; 95% CI, 0.94–1.06; \(P=0.94\)), and 77.7% for blacks (adjusted OR versus whites, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.87–0.98; \(P=0.01\)). A significant gap in defect-free care was observed for blacks during the first half of the study but was no longer present during the remainder of the study. Overall, progressive improvements in defect-free care were observed regardless of race/ethnic groups.

—Thomas et al\(^{50}\) analyzed data among hospitals that voluntarily participated in the AHA's GWTG-HF program from January 2005 through December 2008. Relative to white patients, Hispanic and black patients hospitalized with HF were significantly younger (median age 78, 63, and 64 years, respectively) but had lower EFs (mean EF 41.1%, 38.8%, and 35.7%, respectively) with a higher prevalence of DM (40.2%, 55.7%, and 43.8%, respectively) and hypertension (70.6%, 78.4%, and 82.8%, respectively). The provision of guideline-based care was comparable for white, black, and Hispanic patients. Black (1.7%) and Hispanic (2.4%) patients had lower in-hospital mortality than white patients (3.5%). Improvement in adherence to all-or-none HF measures increased annually from year 1 to year 3 for all 3 racial/ethnic groups.

—Al-Khatib et al\(^{51}\) analyzed implantable cardioverter-defibrillator use for primary prevention among 11 880 patients with a history of HF; left ventricular EF<35%, and age >65 years enrolled in the GWTG-HF registry.
from January 2005 through December 2009. From 2005 to 2007, overall implantable cardioverter-defibrillator use increased from 30.2% to 42.4% and then remained unchanged in 2008 to 2009. After adjustment for founders, implantable cardioverter-defibrillator use increased significantly in the overall study population during 2005 to 2007 (OR, 1.28; 95% CI, 1.11–1.48 per year; \(P=0.0008\)) and in black women (OR, 1.82; 95% CI, 1.28–2.58 per year; \(P=0.0008\)), white women (OR, 1.30; 95% CI, 1.06–1.59 per year; \(P=0.010\)), black men (OR, 1.54; 95% CI, 1.19–1.99 per year; \(P=0.0009\)), and white men (OR, 1.25; 95% CI, 1.06–1.48 per year; \(P=0.0072\)). The increase in implantable cardioverter-defibrillator use was greatest among blacks. They concluded that although previously described racial disparities in the use of implantable cardioverter-defibrillators were no longer present in their study by the end of the study period, a sex difference in their use persisted.51

In 2013, the AHA published an advisory that provided a recommendation on improving bystander CPR in communities with low bystander CPR rates (in the United States, rates ranged from 10%–65%) and the metrics to evaluate the impact of community-based CPR training programs.52

GWTG data by race, sex, and ethnicity are provided in Tables 22-12 through 22-14.
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### Table 22-1. ACS Quality-of-Care Measures, 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality-of-Care Measure</th>
<th>VHA*</th>
<th>Program†</th>
<th>ACTION-GWTG STEMI‡</th>
<th>ACTION-GWTG NSTEMI‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin within 24 h of admission</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>97.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin at discharge</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>98.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Blockers at discharge</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid-lowering medication at discharge§</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>98.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARB/ACEI at discharge for patients with LVEF &lt;40%</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>88.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI at discharge for AMI patients</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>59.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARB at discharge for AMI patients</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult smoking cessation advice/counseling</td>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>99.7†i</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>98.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac rehabilitation referral for AMI patients</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>73.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are percentages.

ACEI indicates angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ACS, acute coronary syndrome; ACTION-GWTG, Acute Coronary Treatment and Intervention Outcomes Network Registry–Get With The Guidelines; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; HIQR, Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; NM, not measured; NSTEMI, non–ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction; STEMI, ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction; and VHA, Veterans Health Administration.

*VHA: AMI patients. Data reported include data from October 1, 2011, to September 30, 2012.
†HIQR Program includes data from all payers, including Medicare and Medicaid. Data reported include data from the third quarter of 2011 to the second quarter of 2012.
‡ACTION Registry: STEMI and NSTEMI patients are reported separately. Patients must be admitted with acute ischemic symptoms within the previous 24 h, typically reflected by a primary diagnosis of STEMI or NSTEMI. Patients who are admitted for any other clinical condition are not eligible. Data reported include data from the second quarter of 2012 to the first quarter of 2013.
§Denotes statin use at discharge. Use of nonstatin lipid-lowering agent was 8.1% for STEMI patients and 11.7% for NSTEMI patients in the ACTION registry.
†Measure was retired in January 2012.
Table 22-2. HF Quality-of-Care Measures, 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality-of-Care Measure</th>
<th>National Data From</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HIQR Program*</td>
<td>AHA GWTG- HF</td>
<td>VHA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF assessment</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARB/ACEI at discharge for patients with LVSD</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete discharge instructions</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult smoking cessation advice/counseling</td>
<td>99†</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Blockers at discharge for patients with LVSD, no contraindications</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticoagulation for AF or atrial flutter, no contraindications</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>Retired</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are percentages.

In the GWTG registry, mechanical ventilation was required in 0.9% of patients. In-hospital mortality was 2.5%, and mean length of hospital stay was 5.0 d (median 4.0 d).

AF indicates atrial fibrillation; AHA GWTG-HF, American Heart Association’s Get With The Guidelines–Heart Failure; ARB/ACEI, angiotensin receptor blocker/angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; HF, heart failure; HIQR, Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVSD, left ventricular systolic dysfunction; NM, not measured; and VHA, Veterans Health Administration.

*HIQR Program includes data from all payers, including Medicare and Medicaid. Data reported include data from the third quarter of 2011 to the second quarter of 2012.
†Measure was retired in January 2012.

Table 22-3. Time Trends in GWTG-ACS Quality-of-Care Measures, 2006 to 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality-of-Care Measure</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010*</th>
<th>2011*</th>
<th>2012*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin within 24 h of admission</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>97.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin at discharge</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>98.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Blockers at discharge</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>97.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid-lowering medication at discharge</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>92†</td>
<td>98.4†</td>
<td>98.8†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid therapy at discharge if LDL cholesterol &gt;100 mg/dL</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>91.9</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARB/ACEI at discharge for patients with LVEF &lt;40%</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>91.9</td>
<td>91.9</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>89.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult smoking cessation advice/counseling</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>98.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac rehabilitation referral for AMI patients</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>77.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are percentages.

In the ACTION (Acute Coronary Treatment and Intervention Outcomes Network) registry, the unadjusted in-hospital mortality rate for 2012 was 4.8% (95% confidence interval, 4.6%–4.9%; excludes transfer-out patients). The American Heart Association’s Get With The Guidelines–Coronary Artery Disease (GWTG-CAD) program has merged into the ACTION registry.

AMI indicates acute myocardial infarction; ARB/ACEI, angiotensin receptor blocker/angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; GWTG-ACS, Get With The Guidelines–Acute Coronary Syndrome; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; and NM, not measured.

*Measures from 2006 to 2009 are from the American Heart Association’s GWTG-CAD registry. The 2010 to 2012 measures are from the American Heart Association’s ACTION registry. The 2012 data reported include data from the second quarter of 2012 to the first quarter of 2013.
†Represents statin use.
Table 22-4. Time Trends in GWTG-HF Quality-of-Care Measures, 2006 to 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality-of-Care Measure</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LVEF assessment*</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>96.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARB/ACEI at discharge for patients with LVSD*</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>95.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete discharge instructions†</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>93.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postdischarge appointment (new for 2011)*</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult smoking cessation advice/counseling†</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>97.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-blockers at discharge for patients with LVSD, no contraindications†</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>97.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence-based specific β-blockers*</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>82.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticoagulation for AF or atrial flutter, no contraindications</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>78.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are percentages.

In the GWTG registry, mechanical ventilation was required in 0.9% of patients. In-hospital mortality was 2.5%, and mean length of hospital stay was 5.0 d (median 4.0 d). AF indicates atrial fibrillation; ARB/ACEI, angiotensin receptor blocker/angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; GWTG-HF, Get With The Guidelines–Heart Failure; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; and LVSD, left ventricular systolic dysfunction.

*Indicates the 4 key achievement measures targeted in GWTG-HF. The composite quality-of-care measure for 2012 was 93.5%. The composite quality-of-care measure indicates performance on the provision of several elements of care. It is computed by summing the numerators for each key achievement measure across the population of interest to create a composite numerator (all the care that was given), summing the denominators for each measure to form a composite denominator (all the care that should have been given), and reporting the ratio (the percentage of all the needed care that was given). The composite performance measure includes β-blocker at discharge instead of evidence-based specific β-blockers and complete discharge instructions instead of postdischarge appointment until data collection for the new achievement measures stabilizes.

†Indicates historical key achievement measures in GWTG-HF. The composite quality-of-care measure for 2012 for the historical key achievement measures was 64.9%.

Table 22-5. Time Trends in GWTG-Stroke Quality-of-Care Measures, 2006 to 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality-of-Care Measure</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IV tPA in patients who arrived ≤2 h after symptom onset, treated ≤3 h*</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>82.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV tPA in patients who arrived &lt;3.5 h after symptom onset, treated ≤4.5 h*</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>60.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV tPA door-to-needle time ≤60 min</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>39.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombolytic complications: IV tPA and life-threatening, serious systemic hemorrhage</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antithrombotics ≤48 h after admission*</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>96.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVT prophylaxis by second hospital day*</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>98.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antithrombotics at discharge*</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td>97.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticoagulation for AF at discharge*</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>93.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy at discharge if LDL cholesterol &gt;100 mg/dL or LDL cholesterol not measured or on therapy at admission*</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>94.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling for smoking cessation*</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>96.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifestyle changes recommended for BMI &gt;25 kg/m²</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>57.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite quality-of-care measure</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>96.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are percentages.

In-hospital mortality for the 2012 patient population was 6.4%, and mean length of hospital stay was 5.2 d (median 3.0 d). AF indicates atrial fibrillation; BMI, body mass index; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; GWTG-Stroke, Get With The Guidelines–Stroke; IV, intravenous; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; and tPA, tissue-type plasminogen activator.

*Indicates the 7 key achievement measures targeted in GWTG-Stroke.
Table 22-6. Additional ACTION-GWTG Quality-of-Care Metrics for ACS Care, 2012*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality Metrics</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>STEMI</th>
<th>NSTEMI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ECG within 10 min of arrival</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>59.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin within 24 h of arrival</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>97.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any anticoagulant use†</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>91.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dosing error</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFH dose</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>47.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enoxaparin dose</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycoprotein lib/llla inhibitor dose</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin at discharge</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>98.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescribed statins on discharge</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>98.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult smoking cessation advice/counseling</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>98.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac rehabilitation referral</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>73.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-hospital mortality‡ (95% CI)</td>
<td>4.8 (4.6–4.9)</td>
<td>6.5 (6.3–6.7)</td>
<td>3.6 (3.5–3.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are percentages.

ACS indicates acute coronary syndrome; ACTION-GWTG, Acute Coronary Treatment and Intervention Outcomes Network Registry–Get With The Guidelines; CI, confidence interval; ECG, electrocardiogram; NSTEMI, non–ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction; STEMI, ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction; and UFH, unfractionated heparin.

*2012 data reported include data from second quarter of 2012 to first quarter of 2013.
†Includes UFH, low-molecular-weight heparin, or direct thrombin inhibitor use.
‡Excludes transfer-out patients.

Table 22-7. National Committee for Quality Assurance Health Plan Employer Data and Information Set Measures of Care, 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Commercial</th>
<th>Medicare</th>
<th>Medicaid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-blocker persistence*</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>80.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol management for patients with CVD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol screening</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>82.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol control (&lt;100 mg/dL)</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP &lt;140/90 mm Hg</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>56.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c testing</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>82.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c &gt;9.0%</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>43.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye examination performed</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol screening</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol &lt;100 mg/dL</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring nephropathy</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>77.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP &lt;140/90 mm Hg</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>60.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advising smokers and tobacco users to quit</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>74.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI percentile assessment in children and adolescents</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition counseling (children and adolescents)</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>50.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling for physical activity (children and adolescents)</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>40.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI assessment for adults</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity discussion in older adults (≥65 y of age)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity advice in older adults (≥65 y of age)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are percentages.

AMI indicates acute myocardial infarction; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; and N/A, not available or not applicable.

*β-blocker persistence: Received persistent β-blocker treatment for 6 mo after AMI hospital discharge.
Table 22-8. Quality of Care for EMS-Treated Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Adults</th>
<th>Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bystander CPR, %*</td>
<td>40.8 (39.6–42.0)</td>
<td>40.4 (39.1–41.7)</td>
<td>53.9 (47.3–60.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shocked by AED before EMS, %*</td>
<td>2.1 (1.7–2.4)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.8–2.5)</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from dispatch to first EMS</td>
<td>8.9 (4.7)</td>
<td>8.9 (4.7)</td>
<td>9.0 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest compression fraction, %*†</td>
<td>0.75 (0.16)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.16)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression depth, mm‡</td>
<td>39.5 (14.9)</td>
<td>39.6 (14.9)</td>
<td>35.9 (16.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preshock pause duration, s§</td>
<td>18.5 (8.6)</td>
<td>18.5 (8.6)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (95% confidence interval) or mean (standard deviation).
US sites only; 2011 cases.
AED indicates automated external defibrillator; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation; EMS indicates emergency medical services; and N/A, not available.
*Denominator is EMS-treated cardiac arrest.
†During first 5 min of resuscitation.
‡During first 10 min of resuscitation.
§Up to and including first 6 shocks.

Table 22-9. Quality of Postresuscitation Hospital Care for Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Adults</th>
<th>Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypothermia induced*</td>
<td>55.7 (50.5–61.0)</td>
<td>55.8 (50.6–61.1)</td>
<td>66.7 (13.3–100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care not withdrawn during hospitalization†</td>
<td>44.8 (41.7–47.9)</td>
<td>44.7 (41.5–47.8)</td>
<td>51.5 (34.5–68.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessed for implantable defibrillator‡</td>
<td>31.3 (23.7–38.8)</td>
<td>31.2 (23.6–38.9)</td>
<td>50.0 (0–100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean percentages (95% confidence interval).
US sites only; 2011 cases.
*Denominator is EMS-treated cardiac arrest with first rhythm of ventricular tachycardia/ventricular fibrillation.
†Denominator is all cases admitted to hospital.
‡Denominator is all cases admitted to hospital with first rhythm of ventricular tachycardia/ventricular fibrillation and no acute myocardial injury.
### Table 22-10. Quality of Care for In-Hospital Cardiac Arrest, 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality of Care Measure</th>
<th>Adults</th>
<th>Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monitored before arrest, %</td>
<td>86.6 (86.0–87.2)</td>
<td>90.0 (87.8–92.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETCO₂ used during arrest, %</td>
<td>4.5 (4.1–4.9)</td>
<td>9.1 (6.8–11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induced hypothermia after resuscitation from shockable rhythm, %</td>
<td>7.6 (6.2–9.1)</td>
<td>17.7 (3.8–43.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieved temperature between 32°C and 34°C if cooled, %</td>
<td>54.2 (43.5–64.9)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean percentages (95% confidence interval).
ETCO₂ indicates end-tidal carbon dioxide; N/A, not applicable.

### Table 22-11. Timely Reperfusion for ACS and Stroke, 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality-of-Care Measure</th>
<th>VHA (for STEMI) or GWTG-Stroke (for Stroke)</th>
<th>National Data From HIQR Program*</th>
<th>ACTION-GWTG STEMI†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STEMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tPA within 30 min</td>
<td>50‡</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>44.6‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI within 90 min</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>95.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV tPA in patients who arrived &lt;2 h after symptom onset, treated ≤3 h</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV tPA in patients who arrived &lt;3.5 h after symptom onset, treated ≤4.5 h</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV tPA door-to-needle time ≤60 min</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are percentages.
ACS indicates acute coronary syndrome; ACTION-GWTG, Acute Coronary Treatment and Intervention Outcomes Network Registry–Get With The Guidelines; GWTG-Stroke, Get With The Guidelines–Stroke; HIQR, Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting; IV, intravenous; N/A, not applicable; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; STEMI, ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction; tPA, tissue-type plasminogen activator; and VHA, Veterans Health Administration.

*HIQR Program includes data from all payers, including Medicare and Medicaid. Data reported include data from third quarter of 2011 to second quarter of 2012.
†ACTION Registry: Data reported include data from second quarter of 2012 to first quarter of 2013.
‡Indicates low number.
### Table 22-12. Quality of Care by Race/Ethnicity and Sex in the ACTION Registry, 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality-of-Care Measure</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin at admission</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td>97.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin at discharge</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>98.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Blockers at discharge</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>96.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to PCI ≤90 min for STEMI patients</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>94.1</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>94.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARB/ACEI at discharge for patients with LVEF &lt;40%</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>89.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statins at discharge</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>98.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are percentages.

Data reported include data from second quarter of 2012 to first quarter of 2013.

ACTION indicates Acute Coronary Treatment and Intervention Outcomes Network; ARB/ACEI angiotensin receptor blocker/angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; and STEMI, ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction.

### Table 22-13. Quality of Care by Race/Ethnicity and Sex in the GWTG-HF Program, 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality-of-Care Measure</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Postdischarge appointment (new for 2011)*</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>48.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete set of discharge instructions†</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>92.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure of LV function*</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>95.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI or ARB at discharge for patients with LVSD, no contraindications*</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>95.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking cessation counseling, current smokers†</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>97.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence-based specific β-blockers*</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>82.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Blockers at discharge for patients with LVSD, no contraindications†</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>97.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydralazine/nitrates at discharge for patients with LVSD, no contraindications‡</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticoagulation for AF or atrial flutter, no contraindications</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>76.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite quality-of-care measure (with discharge instructions and β-blocker at discharge)</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>92.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are percentages.

Quality-of-care measures stratified by race/ethnicity and sex are reported for hospitals participating in GWTG from January 1, 2012, through December 31, 2012.

ACEI indicates angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; AF, atrial fibrillation; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; ellipses (...), no data; GWTG-HF, Get With The Guidelines–Heart Failure; LV, left ventricular; and LVSD, left ventricular systolic dysfunction.

*Indicates the 4 key achievement measures targeted in GWTG-HF.
†Indicates historical key achievement measures in GWTG-HF.
‡For black patients only.
Table 22-14. Quality of Care by Race/Ethnicity and Sex in the GWTG-Stroke Program, 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality-of-Care Measure</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IV tPA in patients who arrived ≤2 h after symptom onset, treated ≤3 h*</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>81.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV tPA in patients who arrived &lt;3.5 h after symptom onset, treated ≤4.5 h</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>59.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV tPA door-to-needle time ≤60 min</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>37.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombolytic complications: IV tPA and life-threatening, serious systemic hemorrhage</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antithrombotics &lt;48 h after admission*</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVT prophylaxis by second hospital day*</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>98.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antithrombotics at discharge*</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>97.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticoagulation for AF at discharge*</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>93.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy at discharge if LDL cholesterol &gt;100 mg/dL or LDL cholesterol not measured or on therapy at admission*</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>93.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling for smoking cessation*</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifestyle changes recommended for BMI &gt;25 kg/m²</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>57.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite quality-of-care measure</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>96.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are percentages.

Quality-of-care measures stratified by race/ethnicity and sex are reported for hospitals participating in GWTG from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012. AF indicates atrial fibrillation; BMI, body mass index; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; GWTG-Stroke, Get With The Guidelines–Stroke; IV, intravenous; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; and tPA, tissue-type plasminogen activator.

*Indicates the 7 key performance measures targeted in GWTG-Stroke.
Abbreviations Used in Chapter 23

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CABG</td>
<td>coronary artery bypass graft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>congestive heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2B</td>
<td>Door-to-Balloon Alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DES</td>
<td>drug-eluting stent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GWTG-CAD</td>
<td>Get With The Guidelines–Coronary Artery Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCUP</td>
<td>Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPLHS</td>
<td>hypoplastic left heart syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-9-CM</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHS</td>
<td>National Center for Health Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHDS</td>
<td>National Hospital Discharge Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHLBI</td>
<td>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI</td>
<td>percutaneous coronary intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTCA</td>
<td>percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEMI</td>
<td>ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS</td>
<td>Society of Thoracic Surgeons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOF</td>
<td>tetralogy of Fallot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSD</td>
<td>ventricular septal defect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trends in Operations and Procedures

- The total number of inpatient cardiovascular operations and procedures increased 28%, from 5,939,000 in 2000 to 7,588,000 in 2010 (NHLBI computation based on NCHS annual data). Data from the NHDS were examined for trends from 1990 to 2004 for use of PCI and CABG and in-hospital mortality rate attributable to PCI and CABG by sex:
  - Discharge rates (per 10,000 population) for PCI increased 58%, from 37.2 in 1990 to 1992 to 59.2 in 2002 to 2004.
  - Discharge rates for CABG increased from 34.1 in 1990 to 1992 to 38.6 in 1996 to 1998, then declined to 25.2 in 2002 to 2004.
  - In 1990 to 1992, discharge rates for CABG were 53.5 for males and 18.1 for females; these rates increased through 1996 to 1998, then declined to 38.8 and 13.6, respectively, in 2002 to 2004. The magnitude of these declines decreased by age decile and were essentially flat for both men and women ≥75 years of age.
  - PCI discharge rates increased from 5.45 for males and 23.0 for females to 83.0 and 38.7, respectively, over the 15-year time interval. In 2002 to 2004, discharge rates for men and women 65 to 74 years of age were 39.5 and 64.0, respectively. For those ≥75 years of age, the rates were 128.7 and 69.0, respectively.
  - In-hospital mortality rate (deaths per 100 CABG discharges) declined from 4.3 to 3.5 between 1990 to 1992 and 2002 to 2004 despite an increase in Charlson comorbidity index. The mortality rate declined in all age and sex subsets, but especially in women.

Cardiac Catheterization and PCI

- From 2000 to 2010, the number of cardiac catheterizations decreased slightly, from 1,221,000 to 1,029,000 annually (NHDS, NHLBI tabulation).
- In 2010, an estimated 492,000 patients underwent PCI (previously referred to as percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty, or PTCA) procedures in the United States (NHDS, NHLBI tabulation).
- In 2010, ≈67% of PCI procedures were performed on men, and ≈51% were performed on people ≥65 years of age (NHDS, NHLBI tabulation).
- In-hospital death rates for PCI have remained stable, although comorbidities increased for patients who received the procedure.
- In 2010, ≈75% of stents implanted during PCI were DES compared with 25% that were bare-metal stents (NHDS, NHLBI computation).
- In a study of nontransferred patients with STEMI treated with primary PCI from July 2006 to March 2008, there was significant improvement over time in the percentage of patients receiving PCI within 90 minutes, from 54.1% from July to September 2006 to 74.1% from January to March 2008, among hospitals participating in the GWTG-CAD program. This improvement was seen whether or not hospitals joined the D2B Alliance during that period.
- The rate of any cardiac stent procedure rose by 61% from 1999 to 2006, then declined by 27% between 2006 and 2009.

Cardiac Open Heart Surgery

The NHDS (NCHS) estimates that in 2010, in the United States, 219,000 patients underwent a total of 397,000 coronary artery bypass procedures (defined by procedure codes). CABG volumes have declined nationally since 1998. Risk-adjusted mortality for CABG has declined significantly over the past decade.
Data from the STS Adult Cardiac Surgery Database, which voluntarily collects data from ≈80% of all hospitals that perform CABG in the United States, indicate that a total of 158,008 procedures involved CABG in 2010.6

Data from the STS Adult Cardiac Surgery Database document a 50% decline in the risk-adjusted mortality rate despite a significant increase in preoperative surgical risk.7

Congenital Heart Surgery, 1998 to 2002 (From STS)

There were 103,664 procedures performed from July 2006 to June 2010. The in-hospital mortality rate was 3.2% in 2010. The 5 most common diagnoses were the following: patent ductus arteriosus (7.4%); HPLHS (6.9%); VSD, type 2 (6.3%); cardiac, other (5.3%); and TOF (4.9%).8

There were 16,920 procedures performed from 1998 to 2002 at 18 centers. In 2002, there were 4208 procedures performed. The in-hospital mortality rate ranged from 5.7% in 1998 to 4.3% in 2002. Of these procedures, ≈46% were performed in children >1 year old, ≈32% in infants between 29 days and 1 year of age, and ≈22% in neonates (<29 days old). The conditions for which these procedures were most commonly performed were the following: patent ductus arteriosus (6.5%), VSD (6.4%), and TOF (6.0%).

Heart Transplantations
(See Charts 23-3 and 23-4.)

In 2012, 2378 heart transplantations were performed in the United States (Chart 23-3). There are 247 transplant hospitals in the United States, 129 of which performed heart transplantations (based on Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network data as of April 10, 2013).

Of the recipients in 2012, 69.7% were male, and 65.9% were white; 19.6% were black, whereas 9.0% were Hispanic. Heart transplantations by recipient age are shown in Chart 23-4.

As of April 11, 2013, for transplants that occurred between 2009 and 2010, the 1-year survival rate was 90.8% for males and 90.6% for females; the 5-year rates between 2005 and 2010 were 77.5% for males and 75.6% for females; and the 10-year rates between 2000 and 2010 were 58.9% for males and 57.6% for females. The 1-, 5-, and 10-year survival rates for white cardiac transplant patients were 91.2%, 79.1%, and 61.0%, respectively. For black patients, they were 88.3%, 68.6%, and 47.5%, respectively. For Hispanic patients, they were 91.9%, 76.3%, and 59.7%, respectively.

As of June 4, 2013, 3497 patients were on the transplant waiting list for a heart transplant, and 50 patients were on the list for a heart/lung transplant.

Cardiovascular Healthcare Expenditures

An analysis of claims and enrollment data from the Continuous Medicare History Sample and from physician claims from 1995 to 2004 was used to evaluate the conditions that contributed to the most expensive 5% of Medicare beneficiaries.8

Ischemic HD, CHF, and cerebrovascular disease, respectively, constituted 13.8%, 5.9%, and 5.7% of the conditions of all beneficiaries in 2004. In patients in the top 5% overall for all expenditures, the respective figures were 39.1%, 32.7%, and 22.3% for these cardiovascular conditions.
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Table 23-1. 2011 National HCUP Statistics: Mean Hospital Charges, In-Hospital Death Rates, and Mean Length of Stay for Various Cardiovascular Procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Mean Hospital Charges, $</th>
<th>In-Hospital Death Rate, %</th>
<th>Mean Length of Stay, d</th>
<th>ICD-9-CM Procedure Codes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total vascular and cardiac surgery and procedures</td>
<td>75,746</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>35–39, 00.50–00.51, 00.53–00.55, 00.61–00.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac revascularization (bypass)</td>
<td>147,435</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>36.1–36.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI</td>
<td>70,176</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>00.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac catheterization</td>
<td>42,337</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>37.21–37.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacemakers</td>
<td>69,205</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>37.7–37.8, 00.50, 00.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implantable defibrillators</td>
<td>146,210</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>37.94–37.99, 00.51, 00.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endarterectomy</td>
<td>38,500</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>38.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valves</td>
<td>203,009</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>35.1–35.2, 35.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart transplantation</td>
<td>70,199</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>37.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HCUP indicates Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project; ICD-9-CM, International Classification of Diseases, Clinical Modification, 9th Revision; and PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.

Data derived from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, HCUP Nationwide Inpatient Sample, 2011.

Table 23-2. Estimated* Inpatient Cardiovascular Operations, Procedures, and Patient Data by Sex and Age: United States, 2010 (in Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation/Procedure/</th>
<th>ICD-9-CM Procedure Codes</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>&lt;15</th>
<th>15–44</th>
<th>45–64</th>
<th>≥65</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valves</td>
<td>35.1, 35.2, 35.99</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4†</td>
<td>5†</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angioplasty</td>
<td>36.0, 0.66</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI (patients)</td>
<td>36.06, 36.07, 0.66</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI with stents</td>
<td>36.06, 36.07</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac revascularization‡</td>
<td>36.1–36.3</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>9†</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac revascularization (patients)</td>
<td>36.1–36.3</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>5†</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac catheterization</td>
<td>37.21–37.23</td>
<td>1029</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>7†</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacemakers</td>
<td>37.7, 37.8, 00.50, 00.53</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>3†</td>
<td>6†</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacemaker devices</td>
<td>37.8, 00.53</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1†</td>
<td>3†</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacemaker leads</td>
<td>37.7, 00.50</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>1†</td>
<td>3†</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implantable defibrillators</td>
<td>37.94–37.99, 00.51, 00.54</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>8†</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endarterectomy</td>
<td>38.12</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total vascular and cardiac surgery and procedures§</td>
<td>35–39, 00.50–00.51, 00.53–00.55, 00.61–00.66</td>
<td>7588</td>
<td>4397</td>
<td>3191</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>2706</td>
<td>3891</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These data do not reflect any procedures performed on an outpatient basis. Many more procedures are being performed on an outpatient basis. Some of the lower numbers in this table compared with 2006 probably reflect this trend. Data include procedures performed on newborn infants.

Ellipses (...) indicate data not available; ICD-9-CM, International Classification of Diseases, Clinical Modification, 9th Revision; and PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.

*Breakdowns are not available for some procedures, so entries for some categories do not add to totals. These data include codes for which the estimated number of procedures is <5000. Categories with such small numbers are considered unreliable by the National Center for Health Statistics and in some cases may have been omitted.

†Estimate should be used with caution because it may be unreliable or does not meet standards of reliability or precision.

‡Because ≥1 procedure codes are required to describe the specific bypass procedure performed, it is impossible from these (mixed) data to determine the average number of grafts per patient.

§Totals include procedures not shown here.

This estimate includes angioplasty and stent insertions for noncoronary arteries.

Data derived from the National Hospital Discharge Survey/National Center for Health Statistics, 2010. Estimates are based on a sample of inpatient records from short-stay hospitals in the United States.


24. Economic Cost of Cardiovascular Disease

See Tables 24-1 and 24-2 and Charts 24-1 through 24-5.

The annual direct and indirect cost of CVD and stroke in the United States is an estimated $315.4 billion (Table 24-1; Chart 24-1). This figure includes $193.4 billion in expenditures (direct costs, which include the cost of physicians and other professionals, hospital services, prescribed medication, and home health care, but not the cost of nursing home care) and $122.0 billion in lost future productivity attributed to premature CVD and stroke mortality in 2010 (indirect costs).

The direct costs for CVD and stroke are the healthcare expenditures for 2010 available on the Web site of the nationally representative MEPS of the AHRQ. Details on the advantages or disadvantages of using MEPS data are provided in the “Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics—2011 Update.” Indirect mortality costs are estimated for 2010 by multiplying the number of deaths that year attributable to CVD and strokes, in age and sex groups, by estimates of the present value of lifetime earnings for those age and sex groups as of 2010. Mortality data are from the National Vital Statistics System of the NCHS. The present values of lifetime earnings are unpublished estimates furnished by the Institute on Health and Aging, University of California at San Francisco, by Wendy Max, PhD, on April 25, 2012. Those estimates have a 3% discount rate, the recommended percentage. The discount rate removes the effect of inflation in income over the lifetime of earnings. The estimates are for 2009, inflated to 2010 by 3% to account for the 2009 to 2010 change in hourly worker compensation in the business sector reported by the US Bureau of Labor Statistics.

The indirect costs exclude lost productivity costs attributable to CVD and stroke illness during 2010 among workers, people keeping house, people in institutions, and people unable to work. Those morbidity costs were substantial in very old studies, but an adequate update could not be made.

Most Costly Diseases
(See Table 24-2 and Chart 24-2.)

CVD and stroke accounted for 15% of total health expenditures in 2010, more than any major diagnostic group. That is also the case for indirect mortality costs. By way of comparison, CVD total direct and indirect costs shown in Table 24-1 are higher than the official National Cancer Institute estimates for cancer and benign neoplasms in 2008, which were cited as $228 billion total ($93 billion in direct costs, $19 billion in indirect morbidity costs, and $116 billion in indirect mortality costs).

Table 24-2 shows direct and indirect costs for CVD by sex and by 2 broad age groups. Chart 24-2 shows total direct costs for the 14 leading chronic diseases in the MEPS list. HD is the most costly condition.

Projections
(See Charts 24-3 through 24-5.)

The AHA developed methodology to project future costs of care for HBP, CHD, HF, stroke, and all other CVD.

● By 2030, 43.9% of the US population is projected to have some form of CVD.
● Between 2012 and 2030, total direct medical costs of CVD are projected to increase from $396 billion to $918 billion (2012 $ in billions). Of this total, 60.5% is attributable to hospital costs, 15.6% to medications, 10.8% to physicians, 6.8% to nursing home care, 5.3% to home health care, and 1.1% to other costs.
● Indirect costs (attributable to lost productivity) for all CVDs are estimated to increase from $183 billion in 2012 to $290 billion in 2030 (2012 $ in billions), an increase of 58%.

These data indicate that CVD prevalence and costs are projected to increase substantially.
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Table 24-1. Estimated Direct and Indirect Costs (in Billions of Dollars) of CVD and Stroke: United States, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Heart Disease*</th>
<th>Stroke</th>
<th>Hypertensive Disease†</th>
<th>Other Circulatory Conditions</th>
<th>Total CVD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct costs‡</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital inpatient stays</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>98.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital emergency department visits</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital outpatient or office-based provider visits</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home health care</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescribed medicines</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total expenditures</td>
<td>107.2</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>193.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indirect costs§</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost productivity/mortality‖</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>122.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand totals</strong></td>
<td>204.4</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>315.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers do not add to total because of rounding.
CVD indicates cardiovascular disease.
*This category includes coronary heart disease, heart failure, part of hypertensive disease, cardiac dysrhythmias, rheumatic heart disease, cardiomyopathy, pulmonary heart disease, and other ill-defined heart diseases.
†Costs attributable to hypertensive disease are limited to hypertension without heart disease.
‡Medical Expenditure Panel Survey healthcare expenditures are estimates of direct payments for care of a patient with the given disease provided during the year, including out-of-pocket payments and payments by private insurance, Medicaid, Medicare, and other sources. Payments for over-the-counter drugs are not included. These estimates of direct costs do not include payments attributed to comorbidities. Total CVD costs are the sum of costs for the 4 diseases but with some duplication.
§The Statistics Committee agreed to suspend the presentation of estimates of lost productivity attributable to morbidity until a better estimating method can be developed.
‖Lost future earnings of people who died in 2010, discounted at 3%.

Sources: Estimates from the Household Component of the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality for direct costs (2010). Indirect mortality costs are based on 2010 counts of deaths by the National Center for Health Statistics and an estimated present value of lifetime earnings furnished for 2009 by Wendy Max (Institute for Health and Aging, University of California, San Francisco, April 25, 2012) and inflated to 2010 from change in worker compensation reported by the US Bureau of Labor Statistics.

All estimates prepared by Michael Mussolino, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.
Table 24-2. Costs of Total CVD in Billions of Dollars by Age and Sex: United States, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>&lt;65</td>
<td>≥65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>193.4</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>98.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>122.0</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>105.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mortality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>315.4</td>
<td>186.0</td>
<td>129.4</td>
<td>200.4</td>
<td>115.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers may not add to total because of rounding.
CVD indicates cardiovascular diseases and stroke.

All estimates prepared by Michael Mussolino, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.

Chart 24-1. Direct and indirect costs of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and stroke (in billions of dollars), United States, 2010. Source: Prepared by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.
Chart 24-1. Projected total costs of cardiovascular disease (CVD), 2015 to 2030 (2012 $ in billions) in the United States. CHD indicates coronary heart disease; CHF, congestive heart failure; and HBP, high blood pressure. Unpublished data tabulated by the American Heart Association using methods described by Heidenreich et al.8
Chart 24-4. Projected total (direct and indirect) costs of total cardiovascular disease by age (2012 $ in billions). Unpublished data tabulated by the American Heart Association using methods described by Heidenreich et al.8

Chart 24-5. Projected direct costs of total cardiovascular disease by type of cost (2012 $ in billions). Unpublished data tabulated by the American Heart Association using methods described by Heidenreich et al.8
25. At-a-Glance Summary Tables

See Tables 25-1 through 25-4.

Sources: See the following summary tables and charts for complete details:

- Smoking—Table 3-1
- Physical activity—Table 4-1
- Overweight/obesity—Table 6-1; Chart 6-1
- Blood cholesterol—Table 8-1
- High blood pressure—Table 9-1
- Diabetes mellitus—Table 10-1
- Total cardiovascular diseases—Table 13-1
- Stroke—Table 14-1
- Congenital heart defects—Table 15-1
- Coronary heart disease—Table 18-1
- Heart failure—Table 19-1
### Table 25-1. Males and CVD: At-a-Glance Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diseases and Risk Factors</th>
<th>Both Sexes</th>
<th>Total Males</th>
<th>White Males</th>
<th>Black Males</th>
<th>Mexican American Males</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2012*‡</td>
<td>42.1 M (18.1%)</td>
<td>23.0 M (20.5%)</td>
<td>22.0%</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
<td>16.6%†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA‡</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
<td>24.6%</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>19.3%†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overweight and obesity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight and obesity, BMI &gt;25.0 kg/m²§</td>
<td>154.7 M (68.2%)</td>
<td>79.9 M (72.9%)</td>
<td>73.1%</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
<td>81.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity, BMI &gt;30.0 kg/m²§</td>
<td>78.4 M (34.6%)</td>
<td>36.8 M (33.6%)</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood cholesterol</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol &gt;200 mg/dL§</td>
<td>98.9 M (43.4%)</td>
<td>45.3 M (41.3%)</td>
<td>40.5%</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol &gt;240 mg/dL§</td>
<td>31.9 M (13.8%)</td>
<td>14.0 M (12.7%)</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol &gt;130 mg/dL§</td>
<td>71.0 M (31.1%)</td>
<td>35.2 M (31.9%)</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>33.1%</td>
<td>39.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol &lt;40 mg/dL§</td>
<td>48.7 M (21.8%)</td>
<td>34.6 M (31.6%)</td>
<td>33.1%</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td>34.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HBP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010§</td>
<td>77.9 M (33.0%)</td>
<td>37.2 M (33.6%)</td>
<td>33.4%</td>
<td>42.6%</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2010</td>
<td>63 119</td>
<td>28 373</td>
<td>20 819</td>
<td>66 70</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician-diagnosed DM§</td>
<td>19.7 M (8.3%)</td>
<td>9.6 M (8.7%)</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undiagnosed DM§</td>
<td>8.2 M (3.5%)</td>
<td>5.3 M (4.7%)</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prediabetes§</td>
<td>87.3 M (38.2%)</td>
<td>50.7 M (46.0%)</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
<td>47.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence, diagnosed DM§</td>
<td>1.9 M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2010</td>
<td>69 071</td>
<td>35 490</td>
<td>28 486</td>
<td>56 400</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total CVD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010§</td>
<td>83.6 M (35.3%)</td>
<td>40.7 M (36.7%)</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>33.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2010‖</td>
<td>787 650</td>
<td>387 318</td>
<td>330 330</td>
<td>462 666</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stroke</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010§</td>
<td>6.8 M (2.8%)</td>
<td>3.0 M (2.6%)</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New and recurrent strokes‡</td>
<td>795.0 K</td>
<td>370.0 K</td>
<td>325.0 K</td>
<td>45.0 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2010</td>
<td>129 476</td>
<td>52 367</td>
<td>43 424</td>
<td>69 386</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, CHD, 2010‖</td>
<td>15.4 M (6.4%)</td>
<td>8.8 M (7.9%)</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, MI, 2010‖</td>
<td>7.6 M (2.9%)</td>
<td>5.0 M (4.2%)</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, AP, 2010‖</td>
<td>7.8 M (3.2%)</td>
<td>3.7 M (3.3%)</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New and recurrent CHD**</td>
<td>915.0 K</td>
<td>530.0 K</td>
<td>465.0 K</td>
<td>65.0 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New and recurrent MI**</td>
<td>720.0 K</td>
<td>420.0 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2010, CHD‖</td>
<td>379 559</td>
<td>207 580</td>
<td>181 386</td>
<td>20 615</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2010, MI‖</td>
<td>122 071</td>
<td>67 435</td>
<td>59 181</td>
<td>64 454</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010§</td>
<td>5.1 M (2.1%)</td>
<td>2.7 M (2.5%)</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence, 2010‖</td>
<td>825 000</td>
<td>395 000</td>
<td>350 000</td>
<td>45 000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2010</td>
<td>57 757</td>
<td>24 385</td>
<td>21 540</td>
<td>24 444</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AP indicates angina pectoris (chest pain); BMI, body mass index; CHD, coronary heart disease (includes heart attack, angina pectoris chest pain, or both); CVD, cardiovascular disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; HBP, high blood pressure; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HF, heart failure; K, thousands; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; M, millions; MI, myocardial infarction (heart attack); N/A, data not available; and PA, physical activity.

*Age ≥18 y (National Health Interview Survey).
†All Hispanic (National Health Interview Survey).
‡Met 2008 full Federal PA guidelines for adults.
§Age ≥20 y.
‖All ages.
¶Total CVD mortality includes deaths from congenital heart disease.
#New and recurrent MI and fatal CHD.
**Age ≥35 y.
††Age ≥45 y.
### Table 25-2. Females and CVD: At-a-Glance Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diseases and Risk Factors</th>
<th>Both Sexes</th>
<th>Total Females</th>
<th>White Females</th>
<th>Black Females</th>
<th>Mexican American Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2012*</td>
<td>42.1 M (18.1%)</td>
<td>19.1 M (15.9%)</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>7.5%††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2012*</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>12.2%††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overweight and obesity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight and obesity, BMI &gt; 25.0 kg/m²§</td>
<td>154.7 M (68.2%)</td>
<td>74.8 M (63.7%)</td>
<td>60.2%</td>
<td>79.9%</td>
<td>78.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity, BMI &gt; 30.0 kg/m²§</td>
<td>78.4 M (34.6%)</td>
<td>41.6 M (35.6%)</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
<td>53.9%</td>
<td>44.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood cholesterol</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol &gt; 200 mg/dL§</td>
<td>98.9 M (43.4%)</td>
<td>53.6 M (44.9%)</td>
<td>45.8%</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol &gt;240 mg/dL§</td>
<td>31.9 M (13.8%)</td>
<td>17.9 M (14.7%)</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol &gt; 130 mg/dL§</td>
<td>71.0 M (31.1%)</td>
<td>35.8 M (30.0%)</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol &lt; 40 mg/dL§</td>
<td>48.7 M (21.8%)</td>
<td>14.1 M (12.3%)</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HBP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010§§</td>
<td>77.9 M (33.0%)</td>
<td>40.7 M (32.2%)</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
<td>47.0%</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2010‖‖</td>
<td>63119</td>
<td>34746</td>
<td>26798</td>
<td>6923</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010§</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician-diagnosed DM§</td>
<td>19.7 M (8.3%)</td>
<td>10.1 M (7.9%)</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undiagnosed DM§</td>
<td>8.2 M (3.5%)</td>
<td>2.9 M (2.3%)</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prediabetes§</td>
<td>87.3 M (38.2%)</td>
<td>33.6 M (30.5%)</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence, diagnosed DM§</td>
<td>1.9 M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2010‖‖</td>
<td>69071</td>
<td>33581</td>
<td>25764</td>
<td>6486</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total CVD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010§</td>
<td>83.6 M (35.3%)</td>
<td>42.9 M (34.0%)</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>48.9%</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2010‖‖</td>
<td>787650</td>
<td>400332</td>
<td>342581</td>
<td>49977</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stroke</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010§</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New and recurrent strokes‖</td>
<td>6.8 M (2.8%)</td>
<td>3.8 M (3.0%)</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2010‖‖</td>
<td>129476</td>
<td>77109</td>
<td>65695</td>
<td>9027</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, CHD, 2010§</td>
<td>15.4 M (6.4%)</td>
<td>6.6 M (5.1%)</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, MI, 2010§</td>
<td>6.6 M (2.9%)</td>
<td>2.6 M (1.7%)</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, AP, 2010§</td>
<td>7.8 M (3.2%)</td>
<td>4.1 M (3.2%)</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New and recurrent CHD#**</td>
<td>915.0 K</td>
<td>385.0 K</td>
<td>330.0 K</td>
<td>55.0 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New and recurrent MI**</td>
<td>720.0 K</td>
<td>300.0 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence, AP (stable angina) ‡‡</td>
<td>565.0 K</td>
<td>195.0 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2010, CHD‖</td>
<td>379559</td>
<td>171979</td>
<td>148891</td>
<td>19015</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2010, MI‖</td>
<td>122071</td>
<td>54636</td>
<td>47023</td>
<td>6298</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010§</td>
<td>5.1 M (2.1%)</td>
<td>2.4 M (1.8%)</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence, 2010‡†</td>
<td>825000</td>
<td>430000</td>
<td>375000</td>
<td>55000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2010‖‖</td>
<td>57757</td>
<td>33372</td>
<td>29750</td>
<td>3084</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AP indicates angina pectoris (chest pain); BMI, body mass index; CHD, coronary heart disease (includes heart attack, angina pectoris chest pain, or both); CVD, cardiovascular disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; HBP, high blood pressure; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HF, heart failure; K, thousands; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; M, millions; MI, myocardial infarction (heart attack); N/A, data not available; and PA, physical activity.**

*Age ≥18 y (National Health Interview Survey).
†All Hispanic (National Health Interview Survey).
‡Met 2008 full Federal PA guidelines for adults.
§Age ≥20 y.
‖All ages.
¶Total CVD mortality includes deaths from congenital heart disease.
#New and recurrent MI and fatal CHD.
**Age ≥35 y.
‡‡Age ≥45 y.
### Table 25-3. Race/Ethnicity and CVD: At-a-Glance Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diseases and Risk Factors</th>
<th>Both Sexes</th>
<th>Sexes</th>
<th>Sexes</th>
<th>Sexes</th>
<th>Sexes</th>
<th>Sexes</th>
<th>Sexes</th>
<th>Sexes</th>
<th>Sexes</th>
<th>Sexes</th>
<th>Sexes</th>
<th>Sexes</th>
<th>Sexes</th>
<th>Sexes</th>
<th>Sexes</th>
<th>Sexes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2012*</td>
<td>42.1 M (18.1%)</td>
<td>22.0%</td>
<td>19.2%</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA†</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overweight and obesity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight and obesity, BMI &gt;25.0 kg/m²‡</td>
<td>154.7 M (68.2%)</td>
<td>73.1%</td>
<td>70.2%</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
<td>79.9%</td>
<td>81.3%</td>
<td>78.2%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight and obesity, BMI &gt;30.0 kg/m²‡</td>
<td>78.4 M (34.6%)</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td>53.9%</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
<td>44.6%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood cholesterol</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol &gt;200 mg/dL‡</td>
<td>98.9 M (43.4%)</td>
<td>40.5%</td>
<td>45.8%</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
<td>48.1%</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol &gt;240 mg/dL‡</td>
<td>31.9 M (13.8%)</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>15.6%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol &gt;130 mg/dL‡</td>
<td>71.0 M (31.1%)</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>33.1%</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
<td>39.9%</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol &lt;40 mg/dL‡</td>
<td>48.7 M (21.8%)</td>
<td>33.1%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>34.2%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HBP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010‡</td>
<td>77.9 M (33.0%)</td>
<td>33.4%</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
<td>42.6%</td>
<td>47.0%</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
<td>20.9%*</td>
<td>21.2%*</td>
<td>24.8%*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2010§</td>
<td>63119</td>
<td>20819</td>
<td>26798</td>
<td>6670</td>
<td>6923</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1578</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician-diagnosed DM†</td>
<td>19.7 M (8.3%)</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undiagnosed DM‡</td>
<td>8.2 M (3.5%)</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prediabetes‡</td>
<td>87.3 M (38.2%)</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
<td>47.0%</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence, diagnosed DM‡</td>
<td>1.9 M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2010§</td>
<td>69071</td>
<td>28486</td>
<td>25764</td>
<td>5640</td>
<td>6486</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1838</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CVD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010‡</td>
<td>83.6 M (35.3%)</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>48.9%</td>
<td>33.4%</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2010§</td>
<td>787650</td>
<td>330330</td>
<td>342581</td>
<td>46266</td>
<td>49977</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>18629</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010‡</td>
<td>6.8 M (2.8%)</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>2.7%*</td>
<td>1.8%*</td>
<td>4.3%*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New and recurrent strokes§</td>
<td>795.0 K</td>
<td>325.0 K</td>
<td>365.0 K</td>
<td>45.0 K</td>
<td>60.0 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2010§</td>
<td>129476</td>
<td>43424</td>
<td>65695</td>
<td>6938</td>
<td>9027</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3833</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, CHD, 2010‡</td>
<td>15.4 M (6.4%)</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, MI, 2010‡</td>
<td>7.6 M (2.9%)</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, AP, 2010‡</td>
<td>7.8 M (3.2%)</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New and recurrent CHD#**</td>
<td>915.0 K</td>
<td>465.0 K</td>
<td>330.0 K</td>
<td>65.0 K</td>
<td>55.0 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, CHD, 2010§</td>
<td>379559</td>
<td>181386</td>
<td>148891</td>
<td>20615</td>
<td>19015</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>7821</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, MI, 2010§</td>
<td>122671</td>
<td>59181</td>
<td>47023</td>
<td>6445</td>
<td>6298</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010‡</td>
<td>5.1 M (2.1%)</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence, 2010‡</td>
<td>825000</td>
<td>350000</td>
<td>375000</td>
<td>45000</td>
<td>55000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2010§</td>
<td>57757</td>
<td>21540</td>
<td>29750</td>
<td>2444</td>
<td>3084</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AP**, angina pectoris (chest pain); BMI, body mass index; CHD, coronary heart disease (includes heart attack, angina pectoris chest pain, or both); CVD, cardiovascular disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; HBP, high blood pressure; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HF, heart failure; K, thousands; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; M, millions; MI, myocardial infarction (heart attack); N/A, data not available; and PA, physical activity.

*Age ≥18 y (National Health Interview Survey, 2012).
†Met 2008 full Federal PA guidelines for adults.
‡Age ≥20 y.
§All ages.
‖Total CVD mortality includes deaths from congenital heart disease.
¶Figure not considered reliable.
#New and recurrent MI and fatal CHD.
**Age ≥35 y.
††Age ≥45 y.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diseases and Risk Factors</th>
<th>Both Sexes</th>
<th>Total Males</th>
<th>Total Females</th>
<th>NH Whites Males</th>
<th>NH Whites Females</th>
<th>NH Blacks Males</th>
<th>NH Blacks Females</th>
<th>Mexican Americans Males</th>
<th>Mexican Americans Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school students, grades 9–12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current cigarette smoking, 2011</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>19.5*</td>
<td>15.2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current cigar smoking, 2011</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>17.2*</td>
<td>9.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA† Prevalence, grades 9–12, 2011‡</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>57.1*</td>
<td>33.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight and obesity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children and adolescents, ages 2–19 y, overweight or obese</td>
<td>23.9 M (31.8%)</td>
<td>12.7 M (33.0%)</td>
<td>11.2 M (30.4%)</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>36.9%</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
<td>40.5%</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children and adolescents, age 2–19 y, obese‡</td>
<td>12.7 M (16.9%)</td>
<td>7.2 M (18.6%)</td>
<td>5.5 M (15.0%)</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood cholesterol, mg/dL, 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean total cholesterol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages 4–11 y</td>
<td>161.9</td>
<td>162.3</td>
<td>161.5</td>
<td>160.9</td>
<td>161.6</td>
<td>165.2</td>
<td>157.9</td>
<td>159.6</td>
<td>160.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages 12–19 y</td>
<td>158.2</td>
<td>156.1</td>
<td>160.3</td>
<td>156.8</td>
<td>161.1</td>
<td>154.1</td>
<td>160.6</td>
<td>157.8</td>
<td>158.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HDL cholesterol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages 4–11 y</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>50.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages 12–19 y</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean LDL cholesterol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages 12–19 y</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>87.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital cardiovascular defects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2010§</td>
<td>3196</td>
<td>1718</td>
<td>1478</td>
<td>1333</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overweight indicates a body mass index in the 95th percentile of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2000 growth chart.
CVD indicates cardiovascular disease; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; M, millions; N/A, data not available; NH, non-Hispanic; and PA, physical activity.
*All Hispanic subgroups.
†Regular leisure-time PA.
§All ages.
26. Glossary

- **Age-adjusted rates**—Used mainly to compare the rates of ≥2 communities or population groups or the nation as a whole over time. The American Heart Association (AHA) uses a standard population (2000), so these rates are not affected by changes or differences in the age composition of the population. Unless otherwise noted, all death rates in this publication are age adjusted per 100000 population and are based on underlying cause of death.

- **Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ)**—A part of the US Department of Health and Human Services, this is the lead agency charged with supporting research designed to improve the quality of health care, reduce the cost of health care, improve patient safety, decrease the number of medical errors, and broaden access to essential services. The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality sponsors and conducts research that provides evidence-based information on healthcare outcomes, quality, cost, use, and access. The information helps healthcare decision makers (patients, clinicians, health system leaders, and policy makers) make more informed decisions and improve the quality of healthcare services. The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality conducts the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS; ongoing).

- **Bacterial endocarditis**—An infection of the heart’s inner lining (endocardium) or of the heart valves. The bacteria that most often cause endocarditis are streptococci, staphylococci, and enterococci.

- **Body mass index (BMI)**—A mathematical formula to assess body weight relative to height. The measure correlates highly with body fat. It is calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters (kg/m²).

- **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/National Center for Health Statistics (CDC/NCHS)**—CDC is an agency within the US Department of Health and Human Services. The CDC conducts the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), an ongoing survey. The CDC/NCHS conducts or has conducted these surveys (among others):
  - National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey I (NHANES I; 1971–1975)
  - National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES; 1999 to ...) (ongoing)
  - National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) (ongoing)
  - National Hospital Discharge Survey (NHDS) (1965–2010)
  - National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NAMCS) (ongoing)
  - National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NHAMCS) (ongoing)
  - National Nursing Home Survey (periodic)
  - National Home and Hospice Care Survey (periodic)
  - National Vital Statistics System (ongoing)

- **Comparability ratio**—Provided by the NCHS to allow time-trend analysis from one International Classification of Diseases (ICD) revision to another. It compensates for the “shifting” of deaths from one causal code number to another. Its application to mortality based on one ICD revision means that mortality is “comparability modified” to be more comparable to mortality coded to the other ICD revision.

- **Coronary heart disease (CHD)** (ICD-10 codes I20–I25)—This category includes acute myocardial infarction (I21–I22), other acute ischemic (coronary) heart disease (I24), angina pectoris (I20), atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (I25.0), and all other forms of chronic ischemic coronary heart disease (I25.1–I25.9).

- **Death rate**—The relative frequency with which death occurs within some specified interval of time in a population. National death rates are computed per 100000 population. Dividing the total number of deaths by the total population gives a crude death rate for the total population. Rates calculated within specific subgroups, such as age-specific or sex-specific rates, are often more meaningful and informative. They allow well-defined subgroups of the total population to be examined. Unless otherwise stated, all death rates in this publication are age adjusted and are per 100000 population.

- **Diseases of the circulatory system** (ICD codes I00–I99)—Included as part of what the AHA calls “cardiovascular disease.” (“Total cardiovascular disease” in this Glossary.)

- **Diseases of the heart**—Classification the NCHS uses in compiling the leading causes of death. Includes acute rheumatic fever/chronic rheumatic heart diseases (I00–I09), hypertensive heart disease (I11), hypertensive heart and renal disease (I13), coronary heart disease (I20–I25), pulmonary heart disease and diseases of pulmonary circulation (I26–I28), heart failure (I50), and other forms of heart disease (I29–I49, I50.1–I51). “Diseases of the heart” are not equivalent to “total cardiovascular disease,” which the AHA prefers to use to describe the leading causes of death.

- **Health Care Financing Administration**—See Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

- **Hispanic origin**—In US government statistics, “Hispanic” includes people who trace their ancestry to Mexico, Puerto Rico, Cuba, Spain, the Spanish-speaking countries of Central or South America, the Dominican Republic, or other Spanish cultures, regardless of race. It does not include people from Brazil, Guyana, Suriname, Trinidad, Belize, or Portugal, because Spanish is not the first language in those countries. Most of the data in this update are for Mexican Americans or Mexicans, as reported by government agencies or specific studies. In many cases, data for all Hispanics are more difficult to obtain.

- **Hospital discharges**—The number of inpatients (including newborn infants) discharged from short-stay hospitals for whom some type of disease was the first-listed diagnosis. Discharges include those discharged alive, dead, or “status unknown.”
International Classification of Diseases (ICD) codes—A classification system in standard use in the United States. The International Classification of Diseases is published by the World Health Organization. This system is reviewed and revised approximately every 10 to 20 years to ensure its continued flexibility and feasibility. The 10th revision (ICD-10) began with the release of 1999 final mortality data. The ICD revisions can cause considerable change in the number of deaths reported for a given disease. The NCHS provides “comparability ratios” to compensate for the “shifting” of deaths from one ICD code to another. To compare the number or rate of deaths with that of an earlier year, the “comparability-modified” number or rate is used.

Incidence—An estimate of the number of new cases of a disease that develop in a population, usually in a 1-year period. For some statistics, new and recurrent attacks, or cases, are combined. The incidence of a specific disease is estimated by multiplying the incidence rates reported in community- or hospital-based studies by the US population. The rates in this report change only when new data are available; they are not computed annually.

Major cardiovascular diseases—Disease classification commonly reported by the NCHS; represents ICD codes 100 to 178. The AHA does not use “major cardiovascular diseases” for any calculations. See “Total cardiovascular disease” in this Glossary.

Metabolic syndrome—Metabolic syndrome is defined as the presence of any 3 of the following 5 diagnostic measures: Elevated waist circumference (≥102 cm in men or ≥88 cm in women), elevated triglycerides (≥150 mg/dL [1.7 mmol/L] or drug treatment for elevated triglycerides), reduced high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (<40 mg/dL [0.9 mmol/L] in men, <50 mg/dL [1.1 mmol/L] in women, or drug treatment for reduced high-density lipoprotein cholesterol), elevated blood pressure (≥130 mm Hg systolic blood pressure, ≥85 mm Hg diastolic blood pressure, or drug treatment for hypertension), and elevated fasting glucose (≥100 mg/dL or drug treatment for elevated glucose).

Morbidity—Incidence and prevalence rates are both measures of morbidity (ie, measures of various effects of disease on a population).

Mortality—Mortality data for states can be obtained from the NCHS Web site (http://cdc.gov/nchs/), by direct communication with the CDC/NCHS, or from the AHA on request. The total number of deaths attributable to a given disease in a population during a specific interval of time, usually 1 year, are reported. These data are compiled from death certificates and sent by state health agencies to the NCHS. The process of verifying and tabulating the data takes ≥2 years.

National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI)—An institute in the National Institutes of Health in the US Department of Health and Human Services. The National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute conducts such studies as the following:

—Framingham Heart Study (FHS; 1948 to …) (ongoing)
—Honolulu Heart Program (HHP) (1965–1997)
—Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS; 1988 to …) (ongoing)
—Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study (1985 to …) (ongoing)

National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS)—An institute in the National Institutes of Health of the US Department of Health and Human Services. The National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke sponsors and conducts research studies such as these:

—Greater Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky Stroke Study (GCNKSS)
—Rochester (Minnesota) Stroke Epidemiology Project
—Northern Manhattan Study (NOMAS)
—Brain Attack Surveillance in Corpus Christi (BASIC) Project

Physical activity—Any bodily movement produced by the contraction of skeletal muscle that increases energy expenditure above a basal level.

Physical fitness—The ability to perform daily tasks with vigor and alertness, without undue fatigue, and with ample energy to enjoy leisure-time pursuits and respond to emergencies. Physical fitness includes a number of components consisting of cardiorespiratory endurance (aerobic power), skeletal muscle endurance, skeletal muscle strength, skeletal muscle power, flexibility, balance, speed of movement, reaction time, and body composition.

Prevalence—An estimate of the total number of cases of a disease existing in a population during a specified period. Prevalence is sometimes expressed as a percentage of population. Rates for specific diseases are calculated from periodic health examination surveys that government agencies conduct. Annual changes in prevalence as reported in this statistical update reflect changes in the population size. Changes in rates can be evaluated only by comparing prevalence rates estimated from surveys conducted in different years. Note: In the data tables, which are located in the different disease and risk factor categories, if the percentages shown are age adjusted, they will not add to the total.

Race and Hispanic origin—Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on death certificates. In this publication, unless otherwise specified, deaths of people of Hispanic origin are included in the totals for whites, blacks, American Indians or Alaska Natives, and Asian or Pacific Islanders according to the race listed on the decedent’s death certificate. Data for Hispanic people include all people of Hispanic origin of any race. See “Hispanic origin” in this Glossary.

Stroke (ICD-10 codes I60–I69)—This category includes subarachnoid hemorrhage (I60); intracerebral hemorrhage (I61); other nontraumatic intracranial hemorrhage (I62); cerebral infarction (I63); stroke, not specified as hemorrhage or infarction (I64); occlusion and stenosis of pre-cerebral arteries not resulting in cerebral infarction (I65);
occlusion and stenosis of cerebral arteries not resulting in cerebral infarction (I66); other cerebrovascular diseases (I67); cerebrovascular disorders in diseases classified elsewhere (I68); and sequelae of cerebrovascular disease (I69).

- **Total cardiovascular disease (ICD-10 codes I00–I99, Q20–Q28)**—This category includes rheumatic fever/rheumatic heart disease (I00–I09); hypertensive diseases (I10–I15); ischemic (coronary) heart disease (I20–I25); pulmonary heart disease and diseases of pulmonary circulation (I26–I28); other forms of heart disease (I30–I52); cerebrovascular disease (stroke) (I60–I69); atherosclerosis (I70); other diseases of arteries, arterioles, and capillaries (I71–I79); diseases of veins, lymphatics, and lymph nodes not classified elsewhere (I80–I89); and other and unspecified disorders of the circulatory system (I95–I99). When data are available, we include congenital cardiovascular defects (Q20–Q28).

- **Underlying cause of death or any-mention cause of death**—These terms are used by the NCHS when defining mortality. Underlying cause of death is defined by the World Health Organization as “the disease or injury which initiated the chain of events leading directly to death, or the circumstances of the accident or violence which produced the fatal injury.” Contributing cause of death would be any other disease or condition that the decedent may also have had and that was reported on the death certificate but was not part of the chain of events leading directly to death.
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