Can Myocardial Infarct Size Be Reduced by Mechanically Unloading the Left Ventricle?
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Oxygen Supply/Demand Approach to Reducing Infarct Size

Great strides have been made in the treatment of acute myocardial infarction (MI). Improved outcomes and reduced mortality are largely the result of opening the occluded infarct artery as soon as possible and keeping it open. Early reperfusion consistently reduces myocardial infarct size in both experimental animal models and in patients.

Despite the advances in stenting of the occluded coronary artery and shortening of the door-to-balloon time, 1 month mortality postinfarction still hovers at ≈15%, and post-MI heart failure remains problematic. Therefore, there is still a need to try to further reduce myocardial infarct size in 2013.

Initial concepts focused on reducing myocardial infarct size by improving the imbalance between O2/nutrient supply and O2/nutrient demand of the heart (Table). Improving O2 supply by inducing early reperfusion with thrombolytic therapy, then angioplasty, and now stenting has been successful and dual-antiplatelet therapy and possibly the addition of anticoagulant therapy have further improved clinical outcomes, maintained vessel patency, and reduced stent thrombosis. Other techniques to improve O2/nutrient supply such as hyperoxemia and erythropoietin have shown benefit in some but not all studies.

Antianginal agents that vasodilate the coronary arteries and may improve coronary flow, such as nitrates and calcium blockers, in general, have failed to reduce myocardial infarct size in clinical trials.

Although restoring O2 supply reduces ischemic cardiac damage resulting from MI, attempts at reducing myocardial infarct size by reducing O2 demand have yielded mixed results. Early clinical studies with β-blockers in the pre-reperfusion era were negative. However, chronic β-blockers post-MI were suggested to reduce fatal ventricular arrhythmias, reduce reinfarction, and limit left ventricle (LV) remodeling and post-MI heart failure and death. Some β-blockers combined with reperfusion were shown to reduce myocardial infarct size1 and limit microvascular damage;2 and, in the Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction IIb (TIMI IIb) study, immediate intravenous metoprolol coupled with thrombolysis reduced recurrent chest pain and reinfarction in comparison with delayed β-blockade.3 There has been a lack of large contemporary studies testing the effect of β-blockers coupled with angioplasty/stenting to determine whether, in this setting, they further reduce ischemic necrosis. Some studies suggested that other drugs that reduce oxygen demand, such as angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers, are capable of reducing myocardial infarct size.4,5

However, again, there are few data from large contemporary studies combining these agents with early reperfusion achieved by percutaneous coronary intervention to determine whether they are capable of further reducing infarct size. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers given chronically after MI have been shown to reduce post-MI remodeling, heart failure, and, for angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, reduce mortality.6 Another approach to reduce O2 demand is by making the heart hypothermic. In experiments in our laboratory, this approach has consistently worked to reduce myocardial infarct size.7

Hypothermia slows the reduction of myocardial ATP during ischemia, and this appears to us to be a logical mechanism of action; however, recent studies suggest that hypothermia also may preserve certain signal transduction pathways including extracellular signal-regulated kinase, which could be another mechanism of action.8 Clinical studies with hypothermia in patients with acute MI have shown variable results, largely because of technical difficulties, such as the inability to cool the heart greatly enough and quickly enough before reperfusion. In clinical studies of anterior wall MI in which temperature is reduced to ≤35°C before reperfusion, there has been a positive signal for reduction in myocardial infarct size. Heat exchange catheters have been tried but may not be adequate to produce rapid and sufficient cooling in all patients. Recently, a cooling ThermoSuit (Life Recovery Systems, Napa, CA) was developed that induces systemic cooling very rapidly, achieving core temperatures of ≈33.0°C within 5 to 12 minutes.9 Such approaches are already being applied to patients with cardiac arrest, in whom therapy is begun in the field. This method of inducing hypothermia has yet to be applied to a myocardial infarct size–reducing clinical trial. Other pharmacological agents that have shown promise for reducing infarct size are adenosine infusions and ivabradine. Adenosine agonists and adenosine infusions can slow heart rate, vasodilate, and, in both some experimental and 2 large clinical trials, showed the reduction of anterior wall MIs. Ivabradine, the If sodium channel blocker that slows heart rate without dropping blood pressure, appears promising in...
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Experimental models of infarct size reduction, but it has not been tested clinically.

**Mechanical Unloading for Reducing Infarct Size**

Mechanical unloading is another approach that has been tried for the reduction of myocardial oxygen demand and infarct size. Although some experimental myocardial infarct size studies using intra-aortic balloon counterpulsation (IABP) showed reductions in infarct size, this technique, which primarily reduces afterload, was unsuccessful in reducing myocardial infarct size in humans or in reducing death from cardiogenic shock. The reason for the negative clinical trials is unclear, as discussed by Kapur et al.

Experimental studies with a catheter-mounted axial flow pump (moving blood from LV to aorta) that reduced preload, did not reduce infarct size in experimental models. Smalling et al subjected anesthetized dogs to 2 hours of left anterior descending coronary artery occlusion followed by 1 hour of reperfusion and compared the effect of a Hemopump transvalvular axial-flow LV assist device with IABP on LV unloading, coronary collateral blood flow, and reduction of infarct size. The Hemopump device was placed through a laparotomy into the common iliac arteries, and then it was advanced under fluoroscopic control across the aortic valve. Comparator animals received an intra-aortic balloon catheter placed by cutdown into the femoral artery and advanced to the descending aorta. Hemopump animals had a maximum flow increase of 3 to 3.5 L/min. During coronary artery occlusion, with the Hemopump LV support but not IABP, there was improvement (reduction) in LV segmental end-diastolic dimensions measured with ultrasonic crystals, suggesting diastolic LV unloading. The Hemopump also reduced systolic paradoxical motion. Mean aortic pressure was maintained, whereas LV systolic pressure and end-diastolic pressures were reduced with the Hemopump turned on during coronary occlusion. The intra-aortic balloon pump, when turned on during coronary occlusion, resulted in no change in mean arterial pressure, had a trend toward a modest decrease in LV systolic pressure, and did not reduce LV diastolic pressure except at 1 hour after reperfusion. Control animals without LV mechanical support shared values similar to those in the treated groups when the devices were turned off.

With Hemopump LV assistance during coronary artery occlusion, regional myocardial blood flow in the risk region increased slightly from 0.05 to 0.1 mL/min per gram. There was no change with intra-aortic counterpulsation in comparison with controls. Myocardial infarct size, expressed as a percentage of the risk zone was 63% in control animals versus 27% (P<0.01 versus controls) with IABP, and 21.7% in the Hemopump group (P=0.01 versus controls; P=not significant versus intra-aortic balloon).

The authors concluded that the Hemopump device provided better LV systolic and diastolic unloading than the IABP device; both techniques reduced myocardial infarct size more than reperfusion alone.

Achour et al assessed LV unloading just before reperfusion in dogs subjected to 2 hours of left anterior descending coronary artery occlusion plus 4 hours of reperfusion. A LV assist device placed transvalvularly was activated just before reperfusion. Myocardial infarct size was reduced in the LV assist device group, and transmural regional myocardial blood flow was improved in this group in comparison with controls. End-diastolic wall thickness at reperfusion returned to baseline with LV assist started pre-reperfusion. Another group, treated with LV assist activated after reperfusion did not show benefit. The authors concluded that LV unloading before reperfusion, but not after reperfusion, decreased the extent of myocardial necrosis in comparison with reperfusion alone or LV unloading that occurs after reperfusion has occurred. This group suggested that a potential mechanism by which LV unloading before reperfusion reduced infarct size was by reducing the release of endothelin-1 and reducing calcium overload.

Another group of researchers showed in a canine model that a catheter-mounted microaxial blood pump (Impella, Aachen, Germany) turned on during 60 minutes of ischemia and 120 minutes of reperfusion in sheep significantly reduced infarct size in comparison with controls (67% to 18%). The pump produced 4.1 L/min flow at full support.

The pump increased diastolic and mean arterial pressure, decreased LV end-diastolic pressure, and improved reperfusion blood flow. It had partial benefits when used during reperfusion only. Importantly, the microaxial blood pump was observed to reduce myocardial oxygen consumption during ischemia and reperfusion, and the reduction in O₂ consumption correlated with the decrease in myocardial infarct size (r=0.9).
Other studies by other researchers have suggested that unloading the LV with an LV assist device reduces infarct size.18,19 One study suggested an added benefit of unloading the LV plus administering an ultrashort-acting β-blocker.19

Clinical studies using these newer LV support devices for infarct size reduction are not available, but these devices have shown promise in stabilizing patients with cardiogenic shock.20

The Present Study
Kapur et al13 provide an intriguing study in this issue of Circulation, suggesting that a circulatory support device that reduces LV preload with a left atrial to femoral artery pumping approach decreased LV wall stress and LV stroke work after reperfusion and limited infarct size even when treated animals received an additional 30 minutes of ischemia, during which LV unloading was activated. The benefit of this type of unloading may be secondary to the reduction in oxygen demand, but also intriguing was their observation that phosphorylation of reperfusion injury salvage kinase pathway proteins (pERK and pAkt) was increased in samples of the LV obtained from the noninfarct zone (but not the infarct zone). Because this noninfarcted tissue may not have been ischemic nor reperfused, the significance of this finding remains to be determined.

Their experimental design was unusual. Anesthetized pigs in the control group were subjected to 120 minutes of left anterior descending balloon coronary artery occlusion, followed by 120 minutes of reperfusion. In the treated group, mechanical unloading (percutaneous left atrial to femoral artery bypassing which uses a centrifugal flow pump that achieved 3.2 L/min flow) was initiated after 120 minutes of coronary artery occlusion, and the coronary occlusion was then extended for an additional 30 minutes with the device turned on, followed by 120 minutes of coronary reperfusion with the mechanical support device working. Despite the fact that the treated group was subjected to an additional 30 minutes of ischemia in comparison with the control group, unloading the LV during this time period and throughout reperfusion was associated with an impressive reduction in infarct size (28% of the LV infarcted in the treated group versus 49% in the control group). The authors conclude “that first unloading the LV despite delaying door-to-balloon time to unload the LV before reperfusion remains to be seen. Of course, other potential therapies are being developed that do not primarily rely on favorably altering the balance between oxygen supply and demand by using hemodynamic maneuvers.
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