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**Background**—Because depression is a multidimensional construct and few studies have compared the relative importance of its facets in predicting cardiovascular risk, we evaluated the utility of depressive symptom clusters in predicting the 5-year incidence of coronary artery calcification (CAC).

**Methods and Results**—Participants were 2171 middle-aged adults (58% female; 43% black) from the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) study who were free of cardiovascular disease. Depressive symptom clusters (z scores) were measured by questionnaires in 2000 to 2001, and CAC was measured by electron beam computed tomography in 2000 to 2001 and 2005 to 2006. There were 243 cases (11%) of incident CAC, defined as the absence of CAC at baseline and the presence of CAC at follow-up. Total depressive symptoms (odds ratio, 1.16; 95% confidence interval, 1.02–1.33; \( P = 0.03 \)) and the depressed affect cluster (odds ratio, 1.17; 95% confidence interval, 1.03–1.33; \( P = 0.02 \)) predicted incident CAC; however, the somatic, interpersonal distress, low positive affect, and pessimism clusters did not. The depressed affect–incident CAC relationship was independent of age, sex, race, education, and antidepressant use; was similar across sex and racial groups; and was partially accounted for by tobacco use and mean arterial pressure.

**Conclusions**—In contrast to recent results indicating that the somatic cluster is the most predictive of cardiovascular outcomes, we found that the prospective association between depressive symptoms and incident CAC was driven by the depressed affect cluster. Our findings raise the possibility that there may not be 1 facet of depression that is the most cardiotoxic across all contexts. (Circulation. 2012;126:410-417.)
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Three decades of epidemiological evidence indicates that depression is an independent risk factor for coronary artery disease (CAD). The prospective association between depression and CAD is strong and consistent; it is comparable in strength to that of known cardiovascular risk factors and has been detected in men and women and in various age and racial/ethnic groups. Despite these findings, few clinical trials have examined the effect of depression treatments on subsequent cardiovascular events, and results for the CAD outcomes in the larger trials have been generally disappointing. For instance, the Enhancing Recovery in Coronary Heart Disease Patients (ENRICHD) trial, in which nearly 2500 cardiac patients with depression and/or low social support were randomized to cognitive-behavioral therapy or usual care, did not observe a group difference for the outcome of recurrent myocardial infarction or death.

**Clinical Perspective on p 417**

Depression is typically conceptualized as a multidimensional construct or disorder consisting of affective, cognitive, behavioral, and somatic symptoms. Given its multifaceted nature, it is surprising that little research has compared the relative importance of depressive symptom clusters in predicting CAD risk. Moreover, findings of the few prospective studies examining symptom clusters are conflicting; some have observed that the somatic symptoms are the most predictive of CAD-related outcomes, whereas others have reported similar results for the cognitive symptoms of hopelessness and pessimism. Thus, a key remaining question...
is. Are particular depressive symptom clusters stronger predictors of CAD risk than others? The answer could have significant clinical implications. Although other reasons for the lack of a cardiovascular benefit observed in the ENRICH-D trial have been offered, a possible explanation is that the most cardiotoxic aspects of depression were not sufficiently addressed.

Our primary objective was to compare the relative importance of depressive symptom clusters in predicting 5-year incidence of advanced subclinical coronary atherosclerosis, defined as the onset of any coronary artery calcification (CAC). CAC, a computed tomographic measure of the extent of calcified lesions, is a strong and independent predictor of cardiovascular events among healthy adults. In addition, measures of CAC incidence and progression predict cardiac events. To date, only 2 studies have examined the association between depression and CAC incidence or progression, and none has evaluated depressive symptom clusters as predictors. Our secondary objective was to explore whether potential mediators or confounders account for any observed relationships. We examined year 15 and 20 data from the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) study, a prospective cohort investigation of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and its risk factors. The CARDIA study afforded an ideal opportunity to achieve our aims, given that a multidimensional measure of depression, evaluations of several potential mediators/confounders, and repeated assessments of CAC were obtained from a large sample of middle-aged black and white community members.

Methods

Participants

Participants were 2171 middle-aged adults from the CARDIA study who were free of CVD and CAC in 2000 to 2001. The original sample consisted of 5115 black and white community members 18 to 30 years of age who were recruited from 4 metropolitan areas in 1985 to 1986. Descriptions of the recruitment and examination procedures are available elsewhere (www.cardia.dopm.uab.edu). Institutional review board approval was obtained at each site, and procedures are available elsewhere (www.cardia.dopm.uab.edu). Of the original sample, 3672 and 3549 attended the year 15 (2000–2001) and year 20 (2005–2006) examinations. CAC data were obtained from 3043 persons at year 15 and 3139 persons at year 20. We selected all participants with CAC data at years 15 and 20 from the CARDIA study, a prospective cohort investigation of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and its risk factors. The CARDIA study afforded an ideal opportunity to achieve our aims, given that a multidimensional measure of depression, evaluations of several potential mediators/confounders, and repeated assessments of CAC were obtained from a large sample of middle-aged black and white community members.

Measures and Procedures

Depressive Symptoms

Past-week depressive symptom severity was assessed at year 15 with the 20-item Center for Epidemiologic Studies–Depression Scale (CES-D). We computed a continuous CES-D Total variable and a dichotomous CES-D Group variable to identify persons with (≥16) and without (<16) clinically significant symptoms. The CES-D has good internal consistency, test-retest reliability, and construct validity, and a recent meta-analysis confirmed its 4-factor structure. We computed the following CES-D subscale scores by summing the items that loaded on each factor: depressed affect (eg, sadness and loneliness), somatic symptoms (eg, poor appetite and sleep disturbance), interpersonal distress (eg, feeling disliked), and (lack of) positive affect (eg, happiness and life satisfaction). Because depressed individuals have negative expectations about the future, we conceptualized a widely used scale of dispositional pessimism, the revised Life Orientation Test (LOT-R), as a measure of this cognitive symptom of depression. The LOT-R has good internal consistency, test-retest reliability, and construct validity. Lower scores are indicative of greater pessimism.

Incident CAC

At years 15 and 20, participants underwent 2 scans using an electron beam (Imatron C-150, GE Medical Systems) or a multidetector (GE Lightspeed, GE Medical Systems; or S4+ Volume Zoom, Siemens) computed tomography scanner. The scans were 1 to 2 minutes apart and generated 45 to 55 consecutive images (2.5- to 3.0-mm thickness) from the root of the aorta to the apex of the heart, including the main coronary arteries (left main, circumflex, left anterior descending, and right). Each pair of scans was analyzed with specially designed software that identified candidate calcified lesions. A trained cardiovascular radiologist then reviewed each candidate lesion to determine whether it was correctly identified and gave each participant a CAC score. Scores were dichotomized into the presence (Agatston score >0) or absence (Agatston score =0) of calcification. Another blinded expert investigator reviewed a subsample of scan pairs. Interrater agreement was high, with 95% and 82% agreement for concordant and discordant scan pairs, respectively. We defined incident CAC as the absence of CAC at year 15 and the presence of CAC at year 20, an outcome that reflects the development of type Vb lesions in the coronary arteries. We decided to examine CAC incidence instead of CAC progression as our primary outcome because the importance of biological and psychosocial factors may

Table 1. Characteristics of Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Nondepressed (n=1691–1848)</th>
<th>Depressed (n=279–323)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressant use, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean arterial pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>112.5 ± 30.0</td>
<td>112.6 ± 32.8</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose ≥126 mg/dL, %</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>27.9 ± 5.8</td>
<td>29.3 ± 6.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco use, %</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity level, exercise units</td>
<td>363.0 ± 277.4</td>
<td>296.2 ± 255.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-reactive protein, mg/L</td>
<td>2.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>2.5 ± 2.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LDL indicates low-density lipoprotein. Continuous variables are presented as mean ± SD; categorical variables are presented as percentage. Individuals with a Center for Epidemiologic Studies–Depression Scale (CES-D) score ≥16 were classified as nondepressed, and those with a CES-D score <16 were classified as depressed. Sample sizes vary slightly across variables because of missing data. Independent-samples t tests and χ² tests were conducted to compare groups on continuous and categorical variables, respectively.
vary by stage in the natural history of CAD.1,25 We do report exploratory analyses for CAC progression.

Covariates
We examined self-reported age, sex (male = 0, female = 1), race (white = 0, black = 1), education, and current antidepressant use (0 = no, 1 = yes) as potential confounders from year 15. Candidate mediators/confounders from year 15 were (1) cardiovascular risk factors (mean arterial pressure [MAP], LDL cholesterol, fasting glucose, and body mass index), (2) health behaviors (tobacco use and physical activity), and (3) C-reactive protein (CRP). These factors were examined as potential mediators because they are among the putative mechanisms underlying the depression-CVD relationship.3–10 However, because these factors were measured concurrently with depressive symptoms, it is also possible that they could be confounders. The last 2 of a set of 3 blood pressure readings were used to compute MAP. LDL cholesterol was derived from the Friedewald equation. Fasting glucose was assessed with a hexokinase ultraviolet method, and CRP was measured by high-sensitivity nephelometry-based methods. Because the glucose variable was indicative of acute inflammation rather than chronic low-grade inflammation predictive of CVD risk,27 all data were analyzed with PASW Statistics 18 software, and significance was determined at P < 0.05. Because CES-D Total was a predictor of incident CAC, we did not adjust the significance level of the tests of the subscales for multiple comparisons.

Data Analysis
We evaluated the internal consistency of the depressive symptom measures (Cronbach α) and their interrelationships (Pearson correlations), and we tested for sex differences (independent-samples t tests). To determine which depressive symptom clusters were the most predictive of incident CAC, we performed logistic regression analyses in which age, sex, and race were entered as covariates (demographics-adjusted models). The following predictors were examined in separate models: CES-D Total, CES-D Group, depressed affect subscale, somatic symptoms subscale, interpersonal distress subscale, positive affect subscale, and LOT-R. To facilitate comparisons of effect sizes, continuous predictors were converted to z scores. We then entered into the same model the depressive symptom measures that were significant or fell just short of significance in the demographics-adjusted models (simultaneous entry model). Because the potential for miscategorization is increased with smaller CAC scores, we also performed sensitivity analyses using an alternative definition of CAC incidence (presence, year 20 score > 10; absence, year 20 score ≤ 10).

We also conducted 4 sets of exploratory analyses. In the first set, education and antidepressant use were added to the demographics-adjusted models to evaluate the influence of these potential confounders. The second set examined the individual items (z scores) of the significant depressive symptom measures in our primary analyses as predictors of incident CAC in separate demographics-adjusted models. In the third set, the depressive symptom measure—by—sex and —race interaction terms were tested in separate demographics-adjusted models to ascertain whether the predictive utility of these measures varied across groups. The fourth set evaluated whether the same pattern of results was observed after CAC progression was substituted for CAC incidence. A total of 2352 individuals were available for the progression analyses, given that those with CAC at year 15 were not excluded. Agatston scores were used to create 2 CAC progression groups: no progression (year 20 score ≤ year 15 score) and progression (year 20 score > year 15 score).

Finally, to quantify the effect of potential mediators/confounders on any observed relationships, other covariates were added one at a time to the demographics-adjusted model that included the significant depressive symptom measures. Potential mediators/confounders were MAP, LDL cholesterol, fasting glucose, body mass index, tobacco use, physical activity level, and CRP. The change in the effect size was computed as

\[ \frac{B_{\text{mediator/confounder}} - B_{\text{demographics}}}{B_{\text{demographics}} \times 100} \],

where \( B_{\text{mediator/confounder}} \) is the unstandardized coefficient for the depressive symptom measure from the model with the selected mediator/confounder and the demographic factors and \( B_{\text{demographics}} \) is the unstandardized coefficient for the same variable from the model with the demographic factors only. Sobel tests were conducted to assess whether the potential mediators/confounders partially accounted for any observed associations. In the final analysis, all potential mediators/confounders were simultaneously entered into the same model. These analyses were performed on the participants who had usable data for the selected mediators/confounders. For analyses involving CRP, we excluded individuals with CRP ≥ 10 mg/L (n = 137) because levels above this value are likely indicative of acute inflammation rather than chronic low-grade inflammation predictive of CVD risk.27 All data were analyzed with PASW Statistics 18 software, and significance was determined at P < 0.05. Because CES-D Total was a predictor of incident CAC, we did not adjust the significance level of the tests of the subscales for multiple comparisons.

Results
Descriptive Statistics
As shown in Table 2, the mean CES-D total score fell in the subclinical range; however, 323 participants (15%) had scores indicative of clinically significant symptoms. Women had higher CES-D Total, depressed affect subscale, and somatic symptoms subscale scores than men. Aside from the interpersonal distress subscale, each depressive symptom measure had adequate internal consistency (Cronbach α ≥ 0.70). The CES-D subscales and the LOT-R were moderately correlated with each other in the expected directions. There were 243 cases (11%) of incident CAC, which included 53 black men, 39 black women, 107 white men, and 44 white women. Among the incident cases, CAC scores at year 20 ranged from 1 to 759 Agatston units (mean = 21, median = 9).

Depressive Symptoms Clusters as Predictors of Incident CAC
Logistic regression analyses adjusting for demographic factors (Table 3) revealed that the CES-D Total (P = 0.03) was a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure (Possible Range)</th>
<th>All (n=2171)</th>
<th>Men (n=915)</th>
<th>Women (n=1256)</th>
<th>Cronbach α</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. CES-D Total (0–60)</td>
<td>8.6±7.4</td>
<td>8.0±6.6</td>
<td>9.0±7.9*</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Depressed affect (0–21)</td>
<td>1.9±2.8</td>
<td>1.6±2.5</td>
<td>2.1±3.0*</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Somatic symptoms (0–21)</td>
<td>3.0±2.8</td>
<td>2.8±2.6</td>
<td>3.2±3.0*</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Interpersonal distress (0–6)</td>
<td>0.4±0.8</td>
<td>0.4±0.8</td>
<td>0.4±0.8</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Positive affect (0–12)</td>
<td>8.8±2.8</td>
<td>8.8±2.7</td>
<td>8.7±2.9</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>−0.74</td>
<td>−0.49</td>
<td>−0.40</td>
<td>−0.25</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. LOT-R (0–24)</td>
<td>17.1±3.6</td>
<td>17.0±3.5</td>
<td>17.2±3.6</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>−0.49</td>
<td>−0.38</td>
<td>−0.35</td>
<td>−0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CES-D indicates Center for Epidemiologic Studies–Depression Scale; LOT-R, Life Orientation Test–Revised. Values are mean±SD when appropriate. n = 2171.

*P < 0.05.
Table 3. Logistic Regression Analyses Predicting 5-Year Incidence of Coronary Artery Calcification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Demographics-Adjusted Models*</th>
<th>Simultaneous Entry Model†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CES-D Total</td>
<td>1.16‡ (1.02–1.33)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressed affect subscale</td>
<td>1.17‡ (1.03–1.33)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.97–1.38)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatic symptoms subscale</td>
<td>1.13§ (0.99–1.30)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.85–1.23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal distress subscale</td>
<td>1.05 (0.92–1.20)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive affect subscale</td>
<td>0.91 (0.79–1.04)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOT-R</td>
<td>0.95 (0.83–1.09)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| CES-D indicates Center for Epidemiologic Studies–Depression Scale; LOT-R, Life Orientation Test–Revised.  
†Adjusted for age, sex, and race.  
‡P<0.05  
§P<0.10.

The depressed symptom measure–by–sex and –by race interaction terms. None of these variables, including the depressed symptom measure–by–sex (P=0.47) and depressed symptom measure–by–race (P=0.35) interactions, was significant (all P>0.07), demonstrating that the predictive utility of the depressed symptom subscale was similar across these groups. The ORs for the depressed symptom subscale were 1.21 (95% CI, 1.02–1.44; P=0.03) among women and 1.12 (95% CI, 0.93–1.35; P=0.22) among men and were 1.23 (95% CI, 1.04–1.46; P=0.02) among black adults and 1.10 (95% CI, 0.91–1.34; P=0.31) among white adults. Finally, the fourth set of exploratory analyses revealed that the pattern of results was similar when CAC progression (an increase in CAC from year 15 to 20; 431 cases) was the outcome. In separate models adjusted for demographic factors and CAC at year 15, CES-D Total (OR, 1.18; 95% CI, 1.04–1.34; P=0.01), CES-D Group (OR, 1.59; 95% CI, 1.11–2.30; P=0.01), the depressed affect subscale (OR, 1.19; 95% CI, 1.06–1.35; P=0.01), and the somatic symptoms subscale (OR, 1.15; 95% CI, 1.01–1.31; P=0.04) predicted CAC progression. As with incident CAC, the effect size of the somatic symptoms subscale was substantially reduced in the simultaneous entry model (OR, 1.03; 95% CI, 0.85–1.15; P=0.78), whereas the effect size of the depressed affect subscale was not (OR, 1.18; 95% CI, 0.98–1.42; P=0.09).

The Figure displays the results of analyses examining potential mediators/confounders of the relationship between the CES-D depressed affect subscale and incident CAC. Only tobacco use and MAP reduced the depressed affect–incident CAC effect size by >5%, with tobacco use bringing about a decline more than twice the size of any other factor. In contrast, LDL cholesterol appeared to be acting as a suppressor variable. Sobel tests confirmed these descriptive results in that only tobacco use (P=0.01) and MAP (P=0.03) partially accounted for the observed association (all other P≥0.07).
When all potential mediators/confounders were entered simultaneously into the model, they accounted for 16.7% of the depressed affect–incident CAC relationship. As is shown in the Figure, the depressed affect–incident CAC relationship remained significant after individual adjustment for each factor and fell short of significance after simultaneous adjustment for all 7 factors (OR, 1.12; 95% CI, 0.97–1.29; \( P \leq 0.11 \)). The independent predictors of incident CAC in the model with all factors were age (OR, 1.08; 95% CI, 1.04–1.13; \( P = 0.001 \)), female sex (OR, 0.33; 95% CI, 0.24–0.46; \( P < 0.001 \)), black race (OR, 0.67; 95% CI, 0.49–0.93; \( P = 0.02 \)), LDL cholesterol (OR, 1.01; 95% CI, 1.00–1.01; \( P = 0.004 \)), body mass index (OR, 1.06; 95% CI, 1.03–1.09; \( P < 0.001 \)), and tobacco use (OR, 1.62; 95% CI, 1.14–2.29; \( P = 0.01 \)).

**Discussion**

Our primary objective was to compare the relative importance of depressive symptom clusters in predicting the 5-year incidence of advanced subclinical coronary atherosclerosis, defined as the development of calcified lesions. In a large and racially diverse sample of middle-aged community members, we observed that the relationship between elevated depressive symptoms and incident CAC was driven largely by the depressed affect cluster. Specifically, a 1-SD increase in the CES-D depressed affect subscale was associated with a 17% greater odds of developing CAC. The observed relationship was independent of age, sex, race, education, and antidepressant use and was similar in magnitude across sex and racial groups. The depressed affect–incident CAC relationship fell short of significance after adjustment for all potential mediators/confounders (ie, cardiovascular risk factors, health behaviors, and CRP), with tobacco use accounting for one sixth of this association. Exploratory analyses revealed that 2 items assessing depressed mood and 1 item assessing sense of failure predicted incident CAC. A similar pattern of results

![Figure](http://circ.ahajournals.org/Downloadedfrom/article-figures/414_724_2012.png)
was observed for an alternative definition of incident CAC and for CAC progression, suggesting that the present findings are robust. Measures of CAC change over time might have clinical relevance beyond a single CAC score, given that CAC incidence/progression may reflect more recent disease activity whereas a CAC score is an index of atherosclerotic burden at 1 point in time. Supporting this notion is emerging literature showing that CAC incidence/progression predicts cardiac events.16

Our findings suggest that the negative affective elements of depression, especially depressed mood and sense of failure, may be the most cardiotoxic among middle-aged adults free of CVD, which contrasts with past findings. Results of recent studies examining depressive symptoms clusters suggest that the somatic symptoms may be the most predictive of CAD risk markers.8–10 These findings are paralleled by those of studies examining cardiovascular prognosis. Both de Jonge et al28 and Martens et al29 observed that elevated scores on the somatic-affective subscale of the Beck Depression Inventory, but not on the cognitive-affective subscale, predicted cardiovascular events or death among post–myocardial infarction patients. Comparable results have been reported in other prognostic studies30,31; however, there are notable exceptions.32–34 To the best of our knowledge, the only other study to favor the depressed affect cluster was conducted by Barefoot and colleagues,32 who found that only hopelessness and the negative affective symptoms of depression predicted cardiovascular mortality among CAD patients. Although our study is the first to examine depressive symptom clusters in relation to CAC incidence, Hamer et al35 found that persistent depression, especially depressed mood and sense of failure, were the strongest predictors of incident CAC? One possibility is that depressed affect may be more strongly associated with some of the putative behavioral or physiological mechanisms underlying the depression-CVD relationship.19 For instance, smoking may be an effective, albeit unhealthy, strategy for attenuating negative affect in the short-term.36 It is also possible that a sense of failure reflects low perceived self-efficacy, which has been shown to predict adherence to cardiovascular medications and lifestyle recommendations.37 Finally, central serotoninergic dysfunction is considered one of the neurobiological underpinnings of the depressed mood component of major depression.38 Other evidence suggests that serotonin also plays a role in regulating platelet function,39 and abnormalities in platelet function may contribute to atherosclerotic progression and cardiovascular events.40 Another related possibility is that the negative affective elements may have a stronger connection than the other clusters to a third factor that contributes to both depression and atherosclerotic progression such as genetic variants related to serotoninergic function.41

Methodological factors could also account for our results and should not be ignored. More than half of the CES-D items are affective in nature, so the depressed affect cluster may have been the strongest predictor simply because the CES-D provided the most comprehensive assessment of this set of symptoms. Moreover, the depressed affect cluster may have had greater reliability or variability than the other clusters, which could have resulted in greater predictive utility. This does not appear to be the case here, given that the CES-D subscales and the LOT-R had adequate internal consistency and exhibited comparable variability. Evidence also suggests that older adults tend to report more somatic symptoms and fewer cognitive symptoms than younger or middle-aged adults,42 possibly because of a reporting bias or a true age-related difference in the phenomenology of depression. Thus, the predictive utility of a given depressive symptom cluster may depend on the age of the participants, with the somatic symptoms cluster being favored in older samples as a result of increased variability and/or improved sensitivity. Importantly, these statistical advantages may not be present in middle-aged samples like the present one. A final possibility is that particular elements of depression may predict different aspects of the atherosclerotic process, thereby producing inconsistent results across outcomes.

Our secondary objective was to examine whether potential mediators/confounders explained the observed relationship. In separate models, tobacco use and MAP accounted for 17% and 8% of the depressed affect–incident CAC association, respectively. When included in the same model, tobacco use, but not MAP, predicted incident CAC, suggesting that tobacco use is a key mediator/confounder. Further supporting this idea is the observation that tobacco use accounted for as much of the depressed affect–CAC relationship as all potential mediators/confounders combined. It is more plausible that tobacco use is operating as a mediator (versus a confounder) in our study, given that the bulk of the evidence indicates that depression precedes and predicts smoking initiation.43 However, some have detected links between smoking and future depression, and others have proposed that genetic factors explain the depression-smoking relationship.44 Our results corroborate previous findings indicating that smoking accounts for 11% to 17% of the deleterious effect of depressive symptoms on cardiovascular prognosis.44,45 The candidate mediators (poor medication/lifestyle adherence and abnormal platelet function) and third factors (serotonergic genetic variants) proposed earlier might explain a portion of the depressed affect–incident CAC relationship. Future studies are needed to evaluate these possibilities, given that measures of these factors are not available in this study.

In addition to the strengths of our investigation (eg, multidimensional depression measure, repeated CAC assessments, and large and diverse sample), there are limitations. First, the CES-D does not yield a clinical diagnosis, nor does it represent all facets of the construct of depression (eg, some cognitive and behavioral symptoms are not adequately assessed). Second, depressive symptoms and potential mediators/confounders were measured concurrently, which precluded us from establishing the directionality of these associations. Third, because our sample consisted of black and white middle-aged adults, our findings may not extend to other racial groups or older individuals.

Conclusions

We found that negative affective elements of depression, particularly depressed mood and sense of failure, were the
strongest predictors of incident CAC in a large and diverse sample of middle-aged community members. Ultimately, this line of research could have important theoretical and clinical implications. Elucidating the cardiotoxic aspects of depression could help to pinpoint the mechanisms underlying the depression-CVD relationship, to identify a subpopulation of depressed persons at greatest risk for CVD in whom early intervention is warranted, and to develop focused depression interventions specifically designed to reduce CVD risk, which may yield more pronounced cardiovascular benefits than those observed in past trials.3,6 However, given the inconsistent results across studies, there is a need for future investigations in this area, including reanalysis of completed projects in which a multidimensional depression measure was administered. As the search for the cardiotoxic aspects of depression continues, we urge researchers to consider methodological explanations for their findings and to remain open to the possibility that a single facet of depression may not be the most noxious across all contexts.
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Evidence indicates that depression as a whole is an independent risk factor for coronary artery disease. Because depression consists of affective, cognitive, behavioral, and somatic symptoms, it is not clear whether certain symptom clusters are stronger risk factors for coronary artery disease than others. Accordingly, we compared the utility of depressive symptom clusters in predicting 5-year incidence of coronary calcification in 2171 healthy, middle-aged black and white community members. We found that the cluster of negative affective symptoms was the strongest predictor of incident coronary calcification. This relationship was independent of age, sex, race, education, and antidepressant use; was similar across sex and racial groups; and was partially explained by tobacco use and blood pressure. The results were driven primarily by responses to 3 depression questions (could not shake off the blues, felt depressed, and thought that life had been a failure) that are quick and easy to administer. Our findings suggest that, among middle-aged men and women, those experiencing the negative affective symptoms of depression are at increased risk of coronary calcification, in part because of their higher smoking rates and blood pressure levels. Concurrently addressing negative affective symptoms, smoking, and high blood pressure in these individuals may prevent the onset of coronary calcification, a strong predictor of future coronary artery disease events.
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