

## Residential Proximity to Major Roadway and 10-Year All-Cause Mortality After Myocardial Infarction

Joshua I. Rosenbloom, MPH; Elissa H. Wilker, ScD; Kenneth J. Mukamal, MD, MPH; Joel Schwartz, PhD; Murray A. Mittleman, MD, DrPH

**Background**—The relationship between residential proximity to roadway and long-term survival after acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is unknown. We investigated the association between distance from residence and major roadway and 10-year all-cause mortality after AMI in the Determinants of Myocardial Infarction Onset Study (Onset Study), hypothesizing that living closer to a major roadway at the time of AMI would be associated with increased risk of mortality.

**Methods and Results**—The Onset Study enrolled 3886 individuals hospitalized for AMI in 64 centers across the United States from 1989 to 1996. Institutionalized patients, those providing only post office boxes, and those whose addresses could not be geocoded were excluded, leaving 3547 patients eligible for analysis. Addresses were geocoded, and distance to the nearest major roadway was assigned. Cox regression was used to calculate hazard ratios, with adjustment for personal characteristics (age, sex, race, education, marital status, distance to nearest acute care hospital), clinical characteristics (smoking, body mass index, comorbidities, medications), and neighborhood-level characteristics derived from US Census block group data (household income, education, urbanicity). There were 1071 deaths after 10 years of follow-up. In the fully adjusted model, compared with living >1000 m, hazard ratios (95% confidence interval) for living  $\leq 100$  m were 1.27 (1.01–1.60), for 100 to  $\leq 200$  m were 1.19 (0.93–1.60), and for 200 to  $\leq 1000$  m were 1.13 (0.99–1.30) ( $P_{\text{trend}}=0.016$ ).

**Conclusions**—In this multicenter study, living close to a major roadway at the time of AMI was associated with increased risk of all-cause 10-year mortality; this relationship persisted after adjustment for individual and neighborhood-level covariates. (*Circulation*. 2012;125:2197-2203.)

**Key Words:** acute myocardial infarction ■ epidemiology ■ mortality ■ roadway

Living close to a major roadway has been associated with adverse cardiovascular health including acute myocardial infarction (AMI), coronary artery disease, stroke, and deep vein thrombosis.<sup>1–4</sup> Proximity to roadway is a complex, multifaceted exposure that includes increased exposure to traffic-related air pollution, traffic noise, and other factors.<sup>5–9</sup>

### Clinical Perspective on p 2203

Long-term exposure to air pollution is associated with increased cardiovascular mortality in the general population,<sup>10–16</sup> and there are a number of possible mediators of this association, including progression of atherosclerosis,<sup>17,18</sup> autonomic dysfunction as manifested by reduced heart rate variability<sup>19</sup> or repolarization abnormalities,<sup>20</sup> increased inflammation,<sup>21</sup> and increased oxidative stress.<sup>22</sup> Evidence about the relationship between traffic noise exposure and cardiovascular disease is more mixed, with some studies showing an association with hypertension,<sup>23–25</sup> although evidence regarding ischemic heart disease is less clear.<sup>26,27</sup>

To date, however, few studies have focused specifically on mortality associated with living close to a major roadway,<sup>28–30</sup> and none of these has examined the relationship between living near major roadways and mortality among those with confirmed coronary disease, such as survivors of AMI.<sup>31–33</sup>

We therefore examined the association between distance from residence to major roadway at the time of AMI and 10-year all-cause mortality in an inception cohort of survivors of AMI, the Determinants of Myocardial Infarction Onset Study (Onset Study).<sup>34</sup> This multicenter, prospective cohort study included chart reviews and face-to-face interviews with patients who were hospitalized with confirmed AMI.

### Methods

#### Enrollment and Data Collection

The first phase of the Onset Study was conducted in 45 community hospitals and tertiary care medical centers in the United States

Received December 13, 2011; accepted March 28, 2012.

From Harvard Medical School (J.I.R., K.J.M., M.A.M.); Cardiovascular Epidemiology Research Unit (J.I.R., E.H.W., M.A.M.) Division of General Medicine and Primary Care (K.J.M.), and Division of Cardiology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (M.A.M.); and Harvard School of Public Health (J.S., M.A.M.), Boston, MA.

Guest Editor for this article was Neil A. Zakai, MD.

Correspondence to Murray A. Mittleman, MD, DrPH, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, 375 Longwood Ave, Room 441, Boston, MA 02215. E-mail mmittlem@bidmc.harvard.edu

© 2012 American Heart Association, Inc.

*Circulation* is available at <http://circ.ahajournals.org>

DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.085811

between August 1989 and September 1994. In the second phase that lasted through September 1996, the Onset Study was expanded to 64 medical centers. Altogether there were 3886 patients enrolled in the study. The institutional review board of each center approved this protocol, and all participants provided informed consent. For the analyses in this article, approval was obtained from the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Committee on Clinical Investigations.

Trained research interviewers identified eligible patients by reviewing coronary care unit admission logs and patient charts. For inclusion, patients were required to have a creatine kinase level above the upper limit of normal for the clinical laboratory at each center, positive MB isoenzymes, an identifiable onset of pain or other symptoms typical of AMI, and the ability to complete a structured interview. Interviewers used a structured data abstraction and questionnaire form.

Participants reported their residential address at the time of AMI. Addresses were geocoded with the use of ArcGIS 10 (ESRI, Redlands, CA) and with the use of StreetMap USA 2006 (ESRI). For addresses that could not be automatically matched by the software, manual geocoding, including the use of the telephone book and Google Maps, was used. Distance to the nearest major roadway (defined as US Census feature class code A1 or A2) was computed with the use of the near tool in ArcGIS. Next, each geocoded address was assigned the appropriate census block group from the 1990 US Census with the spatial join tool. We used this information to derive median household income as well as the percentage of those aged  $\geq 25$  years without high school diplomas. We also determined the distance to the nearest acute care hospital because distance to a hospital has been associated with socioeconomic position as well as survival after AMI. Other information collected included age, sex, medical history, and prescription and nonprescription medication use. We defined current aspirin use as the reported use of any aspirin or aspirin-containing product in the 4 days before the index AMI, on the basis of previous Onset Study analyses and the duration of its physiological effect.<sup>35</sup> We defined noncardiac comorbidity as any diagnosis of cancer, respiratory disease, renal failure, or stroke recorded in medical records. We derived body mass index on the basis of self-reported height and weight. Patients were asked their usual frequency of heavy physical activity (leisure time and work related) with the use of a validated instrument.<sup>34</sup> Consistent with previous Onset Study analyses,<sup>34</sup> we defined physical activity as activity  $\geq 6$  metabolic equivalent task and categorized participants into sedentary ( $<1$  time per week) or active ( $\geq 1$  time per week).

All participants were administratively censored 10 years after their enrollment or death, whichever came first. Thus, the median and upper quartile of follow-up time was 10 years. We searched the National Death Index for deaths of Onset Study participants and requested death certificates from state offices of vital statistics records for all probable matches using a previously validated algorithm.<sup>36</sup> Three investigators independently verified the determination of each death. Disagreements among raters were resolved by discussion. The outcome measure in all analyses was all-cause mortality after 10 years of follow-up.

For most patients, we also obtained information on cause of death from the National Death Index and categorized deaths into cardiovascular or noncardiovascular on the basis of *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9)* or *International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10)* codes. The National Death Index provided ICD-9 codes for deaths through 1998 and ICD-10 codes for deaths from 1999 and beyond. For the ICD-9 codes, we used the 72 category recodes that collapse the ICD-9 codes into 72 categories, and we considered codes 300 to 490 to represent cardiovascular death. For the ICD-10 codes, we used the 113 category recodes (which is considered the same level of detail as the 72 category ICD-9 recodes) and considered codes 53 to 75 to represent cardiovascular death. For 292 individuals from the initial phase of the Onset Study, causes of death had been abstracted previously from death certificates and categorized as cardiovascular or noncardiovascular only, and no further information on specific cause of death was available. Because proximity to roadway might be associated with a higher incidence of traffic accidents, we also

categorized deaths into non-traffic accident or traffic accident ( $n=3$ ) (this information was not available for the aforementioned 292 individuals). We considered cardiovascular death as a secondary outcome measure.

## Statistical Analysis

We performed univariate comparisons of continuous and categorical variables using ANOVA and  $\chi^2$  tests, respectively, comparing 4 exposure groups ( $\leq 100$ ,  $100-\leq 200$ ,  $200-\leq 1000$ , and  $>1000$  m from a major roadway). We used Cox proportional hazards models to examine the independent association between distance from residence to roadway, 10-year all-cause mortality, and 10-year cardiovascular mortality. We treated distance to roadway as both a continuous and a categorical variable for these analyses. To graphically assess a potential exposure response, we used a penalized spline with 3 degrees of freedom for distance to roadway as a continuous variable on the basis of our a priori assumption that the relationship between distance and mortality would be nonlinear. To obtain an estimate for the continuous analysis, we examined the shape of the spline, which was found to be similar to  $\ln(\text{distance to roadway})$ , and then used this function for our model. For categorical analysis, we classified distance to roadway as  $\leq 100$ ,  $100$  to  $\leq 200$ ,  $200$  to  $\leq 1000$ , and  $>1000$  m on the basis of prior studies showing an association between living within 100 m of a major roadway and adverse cardiac outcomes,<sup>1</sup> living within 200 m and having increased coronary artery calcification,<sup>37</sup> as well as on the results of our continuous graphic analysis. In addition, prior studies have shown that ultrafine particles and black carbon are elevated near roadways but decline to the local urban background rapidly, generally within 100 m.<sup>8</sup> To assess trends, we assigned each exposure category the natural log of the median distance within each category. The  $P$  value obtained represents the linear component of trend on the log scale, consistent with the overall shape of the association. As exploratory analyses, we examined the potential for effect modification by sex, smoking status, diabetic status, marital status, individual education, neighborhood income, and age groups ( $<65$  and  $\geq 65$  years) and used interaction terms to assess whether the trends were significantly different across the characteristics.<sup>38,39</sup>

We present age-adjusted models followed by models adjusted for other potential personal, clinical, and sociodemographic confounders. Individual-level demographic variables included age, sex, marital status (married/not married), race, individual education ( $<12$  years of school,  $12-\leq 16$  years of school,  $\geq 16$  years of school), and distance to nearest acute care hospital. Individual clinical characteristics included body mass index (as linear and quadratic terms), smoking (current/previous/never), previous MI (yes, no, uncertain), previous congestive heart failure, previous angina, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, noncardiac comorbidity, previous medication use (aspirin,  $\beta$ -blockers, calcium channel blockers, digoxin, and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors individually), and frequency of physical activity (sedentary versus active). Neighborhood-level characteristics derived from US Census block group data included median household income (in quartiles), neighborhood education (percentage of residents aged  $\geq 25$  years without high school diplomas, in tertiles), and urbanicity (defined as percentage of residents living in urban area and then dichotomized as  $<50\%$  or  $\geq 50\%$ ).

We conducted sensitivity analyses to assess the robustness of our findings. First we restricted our analysis to the northeast region of the country ( $n=2909$ ) because components of roadway exposure, including pollution, may differ regionally. Second, we excluded patients who died in traffic accidents.

We used indicator variables for missing education ( $n=74$ ) and marital status ( $n=51$ ) information. For patients missing body mass index values ( $n=33$ ), we assigned the mean value, and for those missing categorical neighborhood variables ( $n=6$ ), we assigned the mode value.

We tested hazard ratios for linear trend across categories of distance to roadway. We tested the proportionality of hazards using time-varying covariates and found no significant violations, and we also examined Schoenfeld residuals. Analyses were done with SAS

**Table 1. Patient Characteristics at Baseline**

Characteristics	Distance From Residence to Major Roadway				P*
	≤100 m (n=243)	100–≤200 m (n=230)	200–≤1000 m (n=1311)	>1000 m (n=1763)	
Age, mean (SD), y	62.1 (13.9)	62.8 (12.4)	61.3 (12.5)	61.3 (12.8)	0.32
Woman, n (%)	86 (35)	81 (35)	434 (33)	547 (31)	0.30
White race, n (%)	194 (80)	193 (84)	1097 (84)	1630 (92)	<0.001
Married, n (%)	131 (54)	137 (60)	808 (62)	1216 (69)	<0.001
Years of school, n (%)†					0.0004
<12	71 (30)	55 (24)	303 (24)	323 (19)	
12–<16	119 (50)	117 (52)	719 (56)	1034 (60)	
≥16	50 (20)	54 (24)	257 (20)	371 (21)	
Distance to nearest acute care hospital, mean (SD), km	4.4 (5.0)	3.7 (4.0)	4.3 (4.5)	6.3 (5.1)	<0.001
Body mass index, mean (SD), kg/m <sup>2</sup>	27.3 (5.5)	27.4 (5.5)	27.4 (5.0)	27.6 (5.0)	0.53
Smoking status, n (%)					0.30
Never	63 (26)	68 (30)	348 (27)	472 (27)	
Former	93 (38)	100 (43)	508 (39)	724 (41)	
Current	87 (36)	62 (27)	455 (34)	567 (32)	
Morbidity, n (%)					
Hypertension	103 (42)	94 (41)	589 (45)	755 (43)	0.54
Diabetes mellitus	56 (23)	49 (21)	258 (20)	317 (18)	0.18
Previous MI	76 (31)	55 (24)	337 (26)	471 (27)	0.63
Angina	78 (32)	57 (25)	308 (23)	422 (24)	0.035
Congestive heart failure	8 (3)	10 (4)	48 (4)	78 (4)	0.67
Noncardiac	41 (17)	37 (16)	214 (16)	232 (13)	0.063
Use of medications, n (%)					
Aspirin	91 (37)	78 (34)	522 (40)	706 (40)	0.30
β-blocker	53 (22)	42 (18)	280 (21)	383 (22)	0.69
Calcium channel blocker	66 (27)	59 (26)	299 (23)	427 (24)	0.43
Digoxin	10 (4)	17 (8)	91 (7)	121 (7)	0.40
Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors	24 (10)	37 (16)	167 (13)	227 (13)	0.25
Sedentary (<1 episode of physical activity per week), n (%)	217 (89)	204 (89)	1132 (86)	1508 (86)	0.28
Urban dwellers, n (%)‡	193 (79)	199 (87)	1108 (85)	1318 (75)	<0.001
Low neighborhood education, n (%)§	95 (39)	98 (43)	552 (42)	435 (25)	<0.001
Neighborhood income, mean (SD), year 1990 US\$	35 451 (19 320)	36 235 (19 650)	36 082 (15 883)	42 205 (16 560)	<0.001

MI indicates myocardial infarction.

\*P value is from ANOVA for continuous variables and  $\chi^2$  tests for categorical variables.

†Numbers do not add to the entire study population because of missingness. Although those missing personal education status were incorporated into the analyses as outlined in Methods, they are not included in this section of the table.

‡Defined as living in a block group that was >50% urban according to the US Census.

§Defined as living in a block group in the highest tertile of percentage of neighborhood residents aged ≥25 years without high school diplomas.

9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and the PSpline and Survival packages in R 2.9 (R Foundation, Vienna, Austria). We present hazard ratios from Cox models with 95% confidence intervals. All P values presented are 2-sided, and we considered values <0.05 to be statistically significant.

### Results

There were 3886 enrollees in the study. For the 20 patients who enrolled in the study twice (after 2 separate AMIs), only the first enrollment was used. Patients who provided no address (n=59), who provided only a post office box address (n=153), who were incarcerated or living in a homeless shelter (n=6), or who did not reside in the United States (n=3) were excluded. After

excluding participants whose residential addresses could not be geocoded (n=98), we were left with 3547 patients (92%) for analysis, comparable to results obtained in other large retrospective geocoding efforts.<sup>40</sup>

Characteristics of the patients according to distance from residence to major roadway are shown in Table 1. There were 243 patients (7%) living within 100 m of a major roadway, 230 (6%) living from 100 to 200 m, 1311 (37%) living from 200 to 1000 m, and 1763 (50%) living >1000 m from a major roadway. Those living close to a major roadway tended to live in areas of lower socioeconomic position as noted by lower block group income and lower neighborhood educa-

**Table 2. HRs for All-Cause Mortality After 10 Years of Follow-Up According to Distance to Major Roadway**

	Distance to Major Roadway				$P_{\text{trend}}$
	≤100 m (n=243)	100–≤200 m (n=230)	200–≤1000 m (n=1311)	>1000 m (n=1763)	
All-cause mortality, n (%)	90 (37)	76 (33)	410 (31)	495 (28)	...
Mortality rate per 100 person-years	4.6	4.2	3.8	3.3	...
Age-adjusted model HR (95% CI)	1.31 (1.05–1.64)	1.21 (0.95–1.54)	1.16 (1.02–1.32)	1.00	0.0040
Fully adjusted model* HR (95% CI)	1.27 (1.01–1.60)	1.19 (0.93–1.60)	1.13 (0.99–1.30)	1.00	0.016

HR indicates hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

\*Model adjusted for age, sex, marital status, race, individual education, distance to hospital, body mass index, smoking, previous myocardial infarction, previous congestive heart failure, previous angina, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, noncardiac comorbidity, previous cardiac medication use, frequency of physical activity, neighborhood household income, neighborhood education, and urbanicity.

tion, although their demographic and clinical characteristics were similar to those living farther from a major roadway, with the exception that fewer were of white race.

There were 1071 deaths at 10 years of follow-up. Of these, 672 (63%) were due to a primary cardiovascular cause, and 3 (0.4%) were due to traffic accidents. For the 779 patients for whom complete cause of death information was available, other major causes of death included cancer (n=131) and respiratory disease (n=45).

In both continuous and categorical analyses, living closer to a major roadway was associated with an increased risk of mortality. In the age-adjusted continuous analysis, a reduction in distance to roadway by 50% was associated with a 3.2% higher risk of mortality (95% confidence interval, 0.6% to 5.8%;  $P=0.014$ ). In the fully adjusted model, the risk was 2.3% higher (95% confidence interval, -0.3% to 5.1%;  $P=0.086$ ), which did not reach statistical significance.

Results of the categorical analysis are shown in Table 2. Overall, living closer to a major roadway was associated with an increased risk of mortality in both age-adjusted ( $P_{\text{trend}}=0.004$ ) and fully adjusted models ( $P_{\text{trend}}=0.015$ ), with a linear component of trend on the log scale. The estimated effect of living close to a major roadway was similar in the age-adjusted and fully adjusted models. In the fully adjusted model, living within 100 m of a major roadway was associated with a 27% higher mortality rate (95%

confidence interval, 1% to 60%) compared with subjects living >1000 m from a major roadway.

Table 3 presents mortality rates and age-adjusted and fully adjusted hazard ratios for 10-year cardiovascular mortality according to distance from residence to major roadway in categories. Here too, living closer to a major roadway was associated with an increased risk of mortality, with a statistically significant linear component of trend on the log scale. However, although this trend was statistically significant, the 3 exposure groups had approximately the same magnitude of association with cardiovascular mortality compared with the referent group. These results are different from those for all-cause mortality (Table 2), in which the risks more clearly differed across the groups.

Table 4 shows the association between living within 100 m of a major roadway and 10-year all-cause mortality, stratified by clinical characteristics. Although qualitatively it can be seen that effects were larger in nonsmokers, women, and diabetic patients, there were no statistically significant interactions.

As a sensitivity analysis, we stratified on region of the country (northeast versus rest of the country), which had no appreciable effect on the estimates. Furthermore, results excluding those patients who died in traffic accidents were unchanged.

## Discussion

In this prospective, multicenter cohort study of early survivors of AMI, living closer to a major roadway at the time of

**Table 3. HRs for Cardiovascular Mortality After 10 Years of Follow-Up According to Distance to Major Roadway**

	Distance to Major Roadway				$P_{\text{trend}}$
	≤100 m (n=243)	100–≤200 m (n=230)	200–≤1000 m (n=1311)	>1000 m (n=1763)	
Cardiovascular mortality, n (%)	51 (21)	51 (22)	266 (20)	304 (17)	...
Mortality rate per 100 person-years	2.6	2.8	2.5	2.0	...
Age-adjusted model HR (95% CI)	1.19 (0.88–1.60)	1.31 (0.97–1.76)	1.23 (1.04–1.45)	1.00	0.030
Fully adjusted model* HR (95% CI)	1.19 (0.88–1.61)	1.33 (0.98–1.80)	1.22 (1.02–1.44)	1.00	0.044

HR indicates hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

\*Model adjusted for age, sex, marital status, race, individual education, distance to hospital, body mass index, smoking, previous myocardial infarction, previous congestive heart failure, previous angina, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, noncardiac comorbidity, previous cardiac medication use, frequency of physical activity, neighborhood household income, neighborhood education, and urbanicity.

**Table 4. HRs for Residence Within 100 m of a Major Roadway and 10-Year All-Cause Mortality by Group**

Analysis/Group (n)	HR*	95% CI	<i>P</i> <sub>interaction</sub>
Age, y			0.67
<65 (2030)	1.30	0.88–1.92	
≥65 (1517)	1.23	0.92–1.64	
Sex			0.29
Men (2399)	1.07	0.78–1.46	
Women (1148)	1.62	1.14–2.31	
Marital status			0.96
Married (2292)	1.25	0.90–1.75	
Unmarried or missing (1255)	1.32	0.95–1.84	
Individual education, y			0.84
<12 (752)	1.08	0.71–1.64	
12 to <16 (1989)	1.25	0.89–1.75	
≥16 (732)	1.65	0.87–3.13	
Smoking			0.14
Never smoked (951)	2.22	1.47–3.36	
Former (1425)	1.05	0.74–1.51	
Current (1171)	0.95	0.59–1.57	
Diabetes mellitus			0.22
Diabetic (680)	1.52	1.02–2.25	
Nondiabetic (2867)	1.14	0.85–1.53	
Neighborhood income quartile			0.89
Lowest (887)	1.34	0.90–1.99	
Second (887)	1.24	0.76–2.03	
Third (887)	1.33	0.79–2.22	
Highest (886)	1.10	0.60–2.02	

HR indicates hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

\*Model adjusted for the following variables, except that each stratified model is not adjusted for the stratification variable: age, sex, marital status, race, individual education, distance to hospital, body mass index, smoking, previous myocardial infarction, previous congestive heart failure, previous angina, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, noncardiac comorbidity, previous cardiac medication use, frequency of physical activity, neighborhood household income, neighborhood education, and urbanicity.

AMI was associated with an increased risk of 10-year all-cause mortality, with a statistically significant risk up to 1000 m. Adjustment for key personal, clinical, and neighborhood-level socioeconomic covariates did not alter the results substantially after age adjustment. Furthermore, the association persisted in the highest socioeconomic stratum in the fully adjusted analysis. Similar results were seen with the outcome of cardiovascular deaths, although there was a less prominent linear trend. This difference between the all-cause mortality and the cardiovascular mortality results may demonstrate that the risk of cardiovascular death persists at further distances than the risk of all-cause mortality. Alternatively, the confidence intervals for cardiovascular death are wider because of the smaller number of events, and thus the estimates are consistent with a wider range of values.

There are no prior studies about proximity of residence to roadway and survival after AMI. However, there has been some research into the relationship between certain components of roadway proximity, such as air pollution, and

post-MI survival. Our findings support those of Zanobetti and Schwartz,<sup>31</sup> who found that in a national study, exposure to particulate air pollution was associated with increased risk of mortality after MI, with a hazard ratio of 1.3 per increase in 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  of particulate matter <10  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter (PM<sub>10</sub>) over the 3 years before failure/censoring. They are also similar to those of von Klot et al,<sup>32</sup> who in a single-city study found that higher levels of exposure to traffic-related pollutants were associated with higher long-term mortality in hospital survivors of AMI. Additionally, our results are similar to those of Medina-Ramón et al,<sup>29</sup> who found that in Worcester, MA, the hazard ratio for 5-year mortality after hospitalization for heart failure was 1.3 for those living within 100 m of a major roadway, supporting the finding that living close to a major roadway is associated with adverse cardiovascular outcomes in those with underlying cardiac disease.

Besides air pollution, exposure to noise could be a possible mechanism underlying this association. Although there are no studies specifically on noise exposure and survival after AMI, there is some evidence that noise exposure may be associated with risk of AMI and ischemic heart disease.<sup>26</sup>

Consistent with prior studies, we observed a statistically nonsignificant trend toward stronger associations between living near a major roadway and mortality among women, diabetic patients, and nonsmokers. Other studies suggest that diabetic patients and women are more susceptible to air pollution,<sup>41,42</sup> although there is no previous work on these groups and proximity to roadway specifically. Interestingly, although associations between air pollution exposures and cardiovascular outcomes have been found in women in the Nurses' Health Study and the Women's Health Initiative,<sup>13,16</sup> no association was found among men in the Health Professionals Follow-Up Study,<sup>38</sup> findings that are similar to our stratified results. In terms of the null association seen among current and former smokers, it is possible that any health effects of roadway exposure were overwhelmed by the negative effects of smoking on cardiovascular disease risk.

Our study has distinct advantages as well as limitations. In particular, the study is based on a multicenter, prospectively assembled cohort with extensive clinical and demographic data, and we were able to follow patients for 10 years. Additionally, our geocoding success rate was comparable to that of other retrospectively geocoded cohorts such as the Jackson Heart Study.<sup>40</sup> However, as with any observational study, the associations we observed could be accounted for, at least in part, by differences between those living closer to roadways and those living farther away. To address this, we incorporated a wealth of socioeconomic data at both the personal and neighborhood levels. This is important because it has been shown that survival after MI is worse in more deprived areas,<sup>43,44</sup> and in our cohort, living close to a major roadway was associated with lower economic status (Table 1).

We do not know whether patients moved after their AMI and thus whether their exposure changed or, alternatively, whether new roads were built close to patients' homes. It is likely that the inevitable exposure misclassification may have biased our results toward the null. However, older people (such as those in this cohort) are less likely to move to a different house than younger people. For instance, in 2008–2009, the overall annual

moving rate of Americans aged  $\geq 1$  year was 12%, but for those aged  $\geq 60$  years, it was only 3.4%.<sup>45</sup> Furthermore, among those who died in our study, >90% died in the same state in which they lived at the time of their AMI.

We do not have information on secondary prevention measures or access to care, which could be important determinants of survival. To address the issue of access to care, we included distance to nearest acute care hospital as a covariate. Overall, a majority of patients in all exposure categories were on medications at baseline (before their AMI), which suggests that patients in the study received secondary prevention measures as well, in a nondifferential fashion. The body mass index measurements are based on self-reported height and weight, which has been shown in some studies to be highly reliable, whereas in others, reliability is less.<sup>46–48</sup> However, the relative rank order of body mass index should be preserved if there was systematic underreporting of weight. Finally, a number of the individual estimates did not attain statistical significance, perhaps because of the small number of people living closest to the major roadways.

In this cohort of survivors of AMI, living close to a major roadway at the time of AMI was associated with an increased rate of all-cause mortality after 10 years of follow-up. This study provides new evidence that long-term exposure to roadways is associated with increased risk for mortality, including in patients with underlying cardiovascular disease. A recent observational study in Vancouver showed that moving away from a major roadway was associated with a decreased risk of cardiovascular mortality, suggesting that interventions to avoid traffic exposure may be beneficial.<sup>30</sup> If, in fact, the associations found in this study are causal in nature, there are a number of public health implications. The American Heart Association Scientific Statement on Particulate Matter Air Pollution and Cardiovascular Disease from 2010 suggests that clinicians educate their patients on the risks posed by particulate matter pollution and encourage patients with cardiovascular disease to avoid unnecessary exposure to traffic.<sup>49</sup> On a public policy level, city planners should consider locating housing developments away from the most heavily trafficked roadways. Further studies should continue to examine this association and understand which components of near-road exposure may be most harmful.

### Acknowledgments

Thanks to Hannah Buettner for assistance with data collection and processing.

### Sources of Funding

This publication was made possible by US Environmental Protection Agency grant RD 83479801. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the grantee and do not necessarily represent the official views of the US Environmental Protection Agency. Furthermore, the US Environmental Protection Agency does not endorse the purchase of any commercial products or services mentioned in the publication. This study was further supported by National Institutes of Health grant P01-ES009825. Dr Wilker was additionally supported by National Institutes of Health grant T32-HL007374. Joshua I. Rosenblum was supported by the Harvard Medical School Scholars in Medicine Office.

### Disclosures

None.

### References

1. Tonne C, Melly S, Mittleman M, Coull B, Goldberg R, Schwartz J. A case-control analysis of exposure to traffic and acute myocardial infarction. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2007;115:53–57.
2. Hoffmann B, Moebus S, Stang A, Beck EM, Dragano N, Mohlenkamp S, Schmermund A, Memmesheimer M, Mann K, Erbel R, Jockel KH; Heinz Nixdorf RECALL Study Investigative Group. Residence close to high traffic and prevalence of coronary heart disease. *Eur Heart J*. 2006;27:2696–2702.
3. Maheswaran R, Elliott P. Stroke mortality associated with living near main roads in England and Wales: a geographical study. *Stroke*. 2003;34:2776–2780.
4. Baccarelli A, Martinelli I, Pegoraro V, Melly S, Grillo P, Zanobetti A, Hou L, Bertazzi PA, Mannucci PM, Schwartz J. Living near major traffic roads and risk of deep vein thrombosis. *Circulation*. 2009;119:3118–3124.
5. Hitchins J, Morawska L, Wolff R, Gilbert D. Concentrations of submicrometre particles from vehicle emissions near a major road. *Atmos Environ*. 2000;34:51–59.
6. Reponen T, Grinshpun SA, Trakumas S, Martuzevicius D, Wang ZM, LeMasters G, Lockey JE, Biswas P. Concentration gradient patterns of aerosol particles near interstate highways in the Greater Cincinnati airshed. *J Environ Monit*. 2003;5:557–562.
7. Hothersall DC, Chandlerwilde SN. Prediction of the attenuation of road traffic noise with distance. *J Sound Vibration*. 1987;115:459–472.
8. Roorda-Knape MC, Janssen NAH, De Hartog JJ, Van Vliet PHN, Harssema H, Brunekreef B. Air pollution from traffic in city districts near major motorways. *Atmos Environ*. 1998;32:1921–1930.
9. Kim JJ, Smorodinsky S, Lipsett M, Singer BC, Hodgson AT, Ostro B. Traffic-related air pollution near busy roads: the East Bay Children's Respiratory Health Study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2004;170:520–526.
10. Dockery DW, Pope CA III, Xu X, Spengler JD, Ware JH, Fay ME, Ferris BG Jr, Speizer FE. An association between air pollution and mortality in six U.S. cities. *N Engl J Med*. 1993;329:1753–1759.
11. Krewski D, Burnett RT, Goldberg M, Hoover K, Siemiatycki J, Abrahamowicz M, White W. Reanalysis of the Harvard Six Cities Study, part I: validation and replication. *Inhal Toxicol*. 2005;17:335–342.
12. Krewski D, Burnett RT, Goldberg M, Hoover K, Siemiatycki J, Abrahamowicz M, Villeneuve PJ, White W. Reanalysis of the Harvard Six Cities Study, part II: sensitivity analysis. *Inhal Toxicol*. 2005;17:343–353.
13. Miller KA, Siscovick DS, Sheppard L, Shepherd K, Sullivan JH, Anderson GL, Kaufman JD. Long-term exposure to air pollution and incidence of cardiovascular events in women. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;356:447–458.
14. Pope CA III, Burnett RT, Thun MJ, Calle EE, Krewski D, Ito K, Thurston GD. Lung cancer, cardiopulmonary mortality, and long-term exposure to fine particulate air pollution. *JAMA*. 2002;287:1132–1141.
15. Maheswaran R, Haining RP, Brindley P, Law J, Pearson T, Fryers PR, Wise S, Campbell MJ. Small-area level ecological study: outdoor air pollution, mortality, and hospital admissions from coronary heart disease in Sheffield, UK: a small-area level ecological study. *Eur Heart J*. 2005;26:2543–2549.
16. Puett RC, Hart JE, Yanosky JD, Paciorek C, Schwartz J, Suh H, Speizer FE, Laden F. Chronic fine and coarse particulate exposure, mortality, and coronary heart disease in the Nurses' Health Study. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2009;117:1697–1701.
17. Chen LC, Quan C, Hwang JS, Jin X, Li Q, Zhong M, Rajagopalan S, Sun Q. Atherosclerosis lesion progression during inhalation exposure to environmental tobacco smoke: a comparison to concentrated ambient air fine particles exposure. *Inhal Toxicol*. 2010;22:449–459.
18. Hoffmann B, Moebus S, Dragano N, Mohlenkamp S, Memmesheimer M, Erbel R, Jockel KH; Heinz Nixdorf Recall Investigative Group. Residential traffic exposure and coronary heart disease: results from the Heinz Nixdorf Recall Study. *Biomarkers*. 2009;14(suppl 1):74–78.
19. Zanobetti A, Gold DR, Stone PH, Suh HH, Schwartz J, Coull BA, Speizer FE. Reduction in heart rate variability with traffic and air pollution in patients with coronary artery disease. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2010;118:324–330.
20. Baja ES, Schwartz JD, Wellenius GA, Coull BA, Zanobetti A, Vokonas PS, Suh HH. Traffic-related air pollution and QT interval: modification by diabetes, obesity, and oxidative stress gene polymorphisms in the Normative Aging Study. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2010;118:840–846.
21. Peters A, Frohlich M, Doring A, Immervoll T, Wichmann HE, Hutchinson WL, Pepys MB, Koenig W. Particulate air pollution is asso-

- ciated with an acute phase response in men; results from the MONICA-Augsburg Study. *Eur Heart J*. 2001;22:1198–1204.
22. Ren C, Park SK, Vokonas PS, Sparrow D, Wilker E, Baccarelli A, Suh HH, Tucker KL, Wright RO, Schwartz J. Air pollution and homocysteine: more evidence that oxidative stress-related genes modify effects of particulate air pollution. *Epidemiology*. 2010;21:198–206.
  23. Barregard L, Bonde E, Ohrstrom E. Risk of hypertension from exposure to road traffic noise in a population-based sample. *Occup Environ Med*. 2009;66:410–415.
  24. Bodin T, Albin M, Ardo J, Stroh E, Ostergren PO, Bjork J. Road traffic noise and hypertension: results from a cross-sectional public health survey in southern Sweden. *Environ Health*. 2009;8:38.
  25. Leon Bluhm G, Berglund N, Nordling E, Rosenlund M. Road traffic noise and hypertension. *Occup Environ Med*. 2007;64:122–126.
  26. van Kempen EE, Kruize H, Boshuizen HC, Ameling CB, Staatsen BA, de Hollander AE. The association between noise exposure and blood pressure and ischemic heart disease: a meta-analysis. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2002;110:307–317.
  27. Babisch W, Ising H, Gallacher JE, Sweetnam PM, Elwood PC. Traffic noise and cardiovascular risk: the Caerphilly and Speedwell studies, third phase: 10-year follow up. *Arch Environ Health*. 1999;54:210–216.
  28. Hoek G, Brunekreef B, Goldbohm S, Fischer P, van den Brandt PA. Association between mortality and indicators of traffic-related air pollution in the Netherlands: a cohort study. *Lancet*. 2002;360:1203–1209.
  29. Medina-Ramón M, Goldberg R, Melly S, Mittleman MA, Schwartz J. Residential exposure to traffic-related air pollution and survival after heart failure. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2008;116:481–485.
  30. Gan WQ, Tamburic L, Davies HW, Demers PA, Koehoorn M, Brauer M. Changes in residential proximity to road traffic and the risk of death from coronary heart disease. *Epidemiology*. 2010;21:642–649.
  31. Zanobetti A, Schwartz J. Particulate air pollution, progression, and survival after myocardial infarction. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2007;115:769–775.
  32. von Klot S, Gryparis A, Tonne C, Yanosky J, Coull BA, Goldberg RJ, Lessard D, Melly SJ, Suh HH, Schwartz J. Elemental carbon exposure at residence and survival after acute myocardial infarction. *Epidemiology*. 2009;20:547–554.
  33. Gerber Y, Myers V, Broday DM, Koton S, Steinberg DM, Drory Y. Cumulative exposure to air pollution and long term outcomes after first acute myocardial infarction: a population-based cohort study: objectives and methodology. *BMC Public Health*. 2010;10:369.
  34. Mittleman MA, Maclure M, Tofler GH, Sherwood JB, Goldberg RJ, Muller JE. Determinants of Myocardial Infarction Onset Study Investigators. Triggering of acute myocardial infarction by heavy physical exertion: protection against triggering by regular exertion. *N Engl J Med*. 1993;329:1677–1683.
  35. Mukamal KJ, Mittleman MA, Maclure M, Sherwood JB, Goldberg RJ, Muller JE. Recent aspirin use is associated with smaller myocardial infarct size and lower likelihood of Q-wave infarction. *Am Heart J*. 1999;137:1120–1128.
  36. Rich-Edwards JW, Corsano KA, Stampfer MJ. Test of the National Death Index and Equifax Nationwide Death Search. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1994;140:1016–1019.
  37. Hoffmann B, Moebus S, Mohlenkamp S, Stang A, Lehmann N, Dragano N, Schmermund A, Memmesheimer M, Mann K, Erbel R, Jockel KH; Heinz Nixdorf RECALL Study Investigative Group. Residential exposure to traffic is associated with coronary atherosclerosis. *Circulation*. 2007;116:489–496.
  38. Puett RC, Hart JE, Suh H, Mittleman M, Laden F. Particulate matter exposures, mortality, and cardiovascular disease in the Health Professionals Follow-Up Study. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2011;119:1130–1135.
  39. O'Neill MS, Veves A, Zanobetti A, Sarnat JA, Gold DR, Economides PA, Horton ES, Schwartz J. Diabetes enhances vulnerability to particulate air pollution-associated impairment in vascular reactivity and endothelial function. *Circulation*. 2005;111:2913–2920.
  40. Robinson JC, Wyatt SB, Hickson D, Gwinn D, Faruque F, Sims M, Sarpong D, Taylor HA. Methods for retrospective geocoding in population studies: the Jackson Heart Study. *J Urban Health*. 2010;87:136–150.
  41. Wilker E, Schwartz J. Air pollution and diabetes. In: Bhatnagar A, ed. *Environmental Cardiology*. Cambridge, UK: Royal Society of Chemistry; 2011:143–158.
  42. Zeka A, Zanobetti A, Schwartz J. Individual-level modifiers of the effects of particulate matter on daily mortality. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2006;163:849–859.
  43. Tonne C, Schwartz J, Mittleman M, Melly S, Suh H, Goldberg R. Long-term survival after acute myocardial infarction is lower in more deprived neighborhoods. *Circulation*. 2005;111:3063–3070.
  44. Salomaa V, Miettinen H, Niemela M, Ketonen M, Mahonen M, Immonen-Raiha P, Lehto S, Vuorenmaa T, Koskinen S, Palomaki P, Mustaniemi H, Kaarsalo E, Arstila M, Torppa J, Kuulasmaa K, Puska P, Pyorala K, Tuomilehto J. Relation of socioeconomic position to the case fatality, prognosis and treatment of myocardial infarction events: the FINMONICA MI Register Study. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2001;55:475–482.
  45. Table 1: General mobility, by race and Hispanic origin, region, sex, age, relationship to householder, educational attainment, marital status, nativity, tenure, and poverty status: 2008 to 2009. United States Census Bureau Web site. <http://www.census.gov/population/socdemo/migration/cps2009/tab01-01.xls>. Accessed December 1, 2011.
  46. Villanueva EV. The validity of self-reported weight in US adults: a population based cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*. 2001;1:11.
  47. Jeffery RW. Bias in reported body weight as a function of education, occupation, health and weight concern. *Addict Behav*. 1996;21:217–222.
  48. Stunkard AJ, Albaum JM. The accuracy of self-reported weights. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1981;34:1593–1599.
  49. Brook RD, Rajagopalan S, Pope CA III, Brook JR, Bhatnagar A, Diez-Roux AV, Holguin F, Hong Y, Luepker RV, Mittleman MA, Peters A, Siscovick D, Smith SC Jr, Whitless L, Kaufman JD, American Heart Association Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, Council on the Kidney in Cardiovascular Disease, and Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity and Metabolism. Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease: an update to the scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2010;121:2331–2378.

### CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE

Living close to a major roadway has been associated with adverse cardiovascular health including acute myocardial infarction (AMI), coronary artery disease, stroke, and deep vein thrombosis. Proximity to roadway is a complex, multifaceted exposure that includes increased exposure to traffic-related air pollution, traffic noise, and other factors. However, the relationship between residential proximity to roadway and long-term survival after AMI is unknown. We investigated the association between distance from residence to the nearest major roadway and 10-year all-cause mortality after AMI in an inception cohort of 3547 patients enrolled in the multicenter Determinants of Myocardial Infarction Onset Study. After adjustment for clinical and socioeconomic characteristics, the rate of all-cause mortality in the first 10 years after AMI was highest for those living within 100 m, and the risk declined steadily and reached background levels beyond 1000 m. For clinicians, these results suggest that exposure to traffic may be harmful for AMI survivors. Clinicians should consider advising patients to avoid unnecessary traffic exposure if possible and should counsel patients on the risks associated with traffic exposure. On a public policy level, city planners should consider building housing complexes away from major roadways.

## Residential Proximity to Major Roadway and 10-Year All-Cause Mortality After Myocardial Infarction

Joshua I. Rosenbloom, Elissa H. Wilker, Kenneth J. Mukamal, Joel Schwartz and Murray A. Mittleman

*Circulation*. 2012;125:2197-2203

doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.085811

*Circulation* is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231

Copyright © 2012 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

Print ISSN: 0009-7322. Online ISSN: 1524-4539

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/125/18/2197>

**Permissions:** Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in *Circulation* can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the [Permissions and Rights Question and Answer](#) document.

**Reprints:** Information about reprints can be found online at:  
<http://www.lww.com/reprints>

**Subscriptions:** Information about subscribing to *Circulation* is online at:  
<http://circ.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/>