Nearly 15 years of increased stroke education and organization has produced significant strides in public awareness and development of stroke systems of care. Despite these successes, though, each year 795,000 people suffer a new or repeat stroke, and stroke remains the third leading cause of death in the United States. Many advances have been made in stroke prevention, treatment, and rehabilitation, but arguably the greatest gains have been in the area of stroke systems of care. Integrating public education, 911 dispatch, prehospital detection and triage, hospital stroke system development, and stroke unit management have led to significant improvements in stroke care. Not only have the rates of appropriate fibrinolytic therapy increased over the past 5 years, but also overall stroke care has improved, in part through the creation of stroke centers. To achieve further improvement in reducing the burden of stroke, healthcare providers, hospitals, and communities must continue to develop systems to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of stroke care. The “D’s of Stroke Care” remain the major steps in diagnosis and treatment of stroke and identify the key points at which delays can occur.

- Detection: Rapid recognition of stroke symptoms
- Dispatch: Early activation and dispatch of emergency medical services (EMS) system by calling 911
- Delivery: Rapid EMS identification, management, and transport
- Door: Appropriate triage to stroke center
- Data: Rapid triage, evaluation, and management within the emergency department (ED)
- Decision: Stroke expertise and therapy selection
- Drug: Fibrinolytic therapy, intra-arterial strategies
- Disposition: Rapid admission to stroke unit, critical-care unit

This chapter summarizes the early management of acute ischemic stroke in adult patients. It describes care from out-of-hospital therapy through the first hours of in-hospital therapy. For additional information about the management of acute ischemic stroke, see the American Heart Association (AHA)/American Stroke Association (ASA) guidelines for the management of acute ischemic stroke.

**Management Goals**

The overall goal of stroke care is to minimize acute brain injury and maximize patient recovery. The time-sensitive nature of stroke care is central to the establishment of successful stroke systems, hence the commonly used refrain “Time is Brain.” The AHA and ASA have developed a community-oriented “Stroke Chain of Survival” that links specific actions to be taken by patients and family members with recommended actions by out-of-hospital healthcare responders, ED personnel, and in-hospital specialty services. These links, which are similar to those in the Adult Chain of Survival for victims of sudden cardiac arrest, include rapid recognition of stroke warning signs and activation of the emergency response system (call 911); rapid EMS dispatch, transport, and prehospital notification; triage to a stroke center; and rapid diagnosis, treatment, and disposition in the hospital.

The AHA ECC stroke guidelines focus on the initial out-of-hospital and ED assessment and management of the patient with acute stroke as depicted in the algorithm Goals for Management of Patients With Suspected Stroke (Figure). The time goals of the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS) are illustrated on the left side of the algorithm as clocks. A sweep hand depicts the goal in minutes from ED arrival to task completion to remind the clinician of the time-sensitive nature of management of acute ischemic stroke.

The sections below summarize the principles and goals of stroke system development and emergency assessment and management, as well as highlight new recommendations and training issues. The text refers to the numbered boxes in the algorithm.

**Stroke Systems of Care**

The regionalization of stroke care was not widely considered in the era before availability of effective acute therapies. With the NINDS recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rtPA) trial, the crucial need for local partnerships between academic medical centers and community hospitals became a reality.
The time-sensitive nature of stroke requires such an approach, even in densely populated metropolitan centers. The idea of a “stroke-prepared” hospital emerged after the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved rtPA for stroke. In 2000 the Brain Attack Coalition provided a description of “primary stroke centers,” which would ensure that best practices for stroke care (acute and beyond) would be offered in an organized fashion. The logic of having a multitiered system such as that provided for trauma was evident. Therefore, in 2005 the Brain Attack Coalition followed the statement on primary stroke centers with recommendations for comprehensive stroke centers. Following the establishment of primary stroke centers and comprehensive stroke centers, the new concept of a stroke-prepared hospital has recently emerged. This stroke-prepared hospital can access stroke expertise via telemedicine. The comparison with a trauma system with Level 1, 2, and 3 centers is rational and quite intuitive to emergency care providers familiar with such configurations.

Substantial progress has been made toward regionalization of stroke care. Several states have passed legislation requiring prehospital providers to triage patients with suspected stroke to designated stroke centers. This is contingent on the accuracy of dispatch, an area where further improvement is
needed. Efforts have been strong in many regions, especially in regions with relatively high population density and large critical mass of stroke centers to effectively create a model for stroke regionalization. Although a large proportion of the US population is now within close proximity to a stroke center, it is not clear how many stroke patients arrive at stroke-prepared hospitals.

Additional work is needed to expand the reach of regional stroke networks. Healthcare professionals working in EMS, emergency medicine, or emergency nursing can also assist in this process by determining which hospitals in their community offer care concordant with the Brain Attack Coalition recommendations for primary stroke centers.

Stroke Recognition and EMS Care (Box 1)

**Stroke Warning Signs**

Identifying clinical signs of possible stroke is important because recanalization strategies (intravenous [IV] fibrinolysis and intra-arterial/catheter-based approaches) must be provided within the first few hours from onset of symptoms. Most strokes occur at home, and just over half of all victims of acute stroke use EMS for transport to the hospital. 

Stroke knowledge among the lay public remains poor. These factors can delay EMS access and treatment, resulting in increased morbidity and mortality. Community and professional education is essential and has successfully increased the proportion of stroke patients treated with fibrinolytic therapy.

Patient education efforts are most effective when the message is clear and succinct. The signs and symptoms of stroke include sudden weakness or numbness of the face, arm, or leg, especially on one side of the body; sudden confusion; trouble speaking or understanding; sudden trouble seeing in one or both eyes; sudden trouble walking, dizziness, loss of balance or coordination; or sudden severe headache with no known cause. Educational efforts need to couple the knowledge of the signs and symptoms of stroke with action—call 911. 

**911 and EMS Dispatch**

EMS systems of care include both 911 emergency medical dispatch centers and EMS response personnel. It is imperative that the stroke system of care provide education and training to 911 and EMS personnel to minimize delays in prehospital dispatch, assessment, and transport. Emergency medical telecommunications must identify and provide high-priority dispatch to patients with stroke symptoms. Current literature suggests that 911 telecommunicators do not recognize stroke well and that the use of scripted stroke-specific screens during a 911 call may be helpful. Studies are ongoing to investigate the effectiveness of such a stroke assessment tool for 911 telecommunicators. 

In settings where ground transport to a stroke center is potentially long, air medical services may be used. Regional stroke resources work with EMS agencies to establish criteria for the use of air medical transport for patients with acute stroke and determine the most appropriate destination based on distance and the hospital’s stroke capability. As with ground transportation, prehospital notification should be performed to ensure appropriate activation of stroke resources.

**Stroke Assessment Tools**

EMS providers can identify stroke patients with reasonable sensitivity and specificity, using abbreviated out-of-hospital tools such as the Cincinnati Prehospital Stroke Scale (CPSS) or the Los Angeles Prehospital Stroke Screen (LAPSS). The CPSS is based on physical examination only. The EMS provider checks for 3 physical findings: facial droop, arm weakness, and speech abnormalities. The presence of a single abnormality on the CPSS has a sensitivity of 59% and a specificity of 89% when scored by prehospital providers. Another assessment tool, the LAPSS, requires that the provider rule out other causes of altered level of consciousness (eg, history of seizures, hypoglycemia) and then identify asymmetry in any of 3 examination categories: facial smile or grimace, grip, and arm strength. The LAPSS has a sensitivity of 93% and a specificity of 97%.

With standard training in stroke recognition, paramedics demonstrated a sensitivity of 61% to 66% for identifying patients with stroke. After receiving training in use of a stroke assessment tool, paramedic sensitivity for identifying patients with stroke increased to 86% to 97%. We recommend that all paramedics and emergency medical technicians-basic (EMT-basic) be trained in recognition of stroke using a validated, abbreviated out-of-hospital screening tool such as the CPSS or LAPSS (Class I, LOE B).

**Prehospital Management and Triage (Box 2)**

As with any other time-sensitive acute illness, prehospital providers must perform an initial assessment and intervene if necessary to provide cardiopulmonary support. In addition, for stroke, providers must clearly establish the time of onset of symptoms. This time represents time zero for the patient. If the patient wakes from sleep or is found with symptoms of a stroke, the time of onset of symptoms is defined as the last time the patient was observed to be normal. EMS providers must be able to support cardiopulmonary function, perform rapid stroke assessment, establish time of onset of symptoms
(or the last time the patient was known to be normal), triage and transport the patient, and provide prearrival notification to the most appropriate receiving hospital.\textsuperscript{31,41–44}

Patients with acute stroke are at risk for respiratory compromise from aspiration, upper airway obstruction, hypventilation, and (rarely) neurogenic pulmonary edema. The combination of poor perfusion and hypoxemia will exacerbate and extend ischemic brain injury and has been associated with worse outcome from stroke.\textsuperscript{45} Both out-of-hospital and in-hospital medical personnel should administer supplemental oxygen to hypoxemic (ie, oxygen saturation <94\%) stroke patients (Class I, LOE C) or those with unknown oxygen saturation.

Although blood pressure management is a component of the ED care of stroke patients, there are no data to support initiation of hypertension intervention in the prehospital environment. Unless the patient is hypotensive (systolic blood pressure <90 mm Hg), prehospital intervention for blood pressure is not recommended (Class III, LOE C).

### Transport and Destination Hospital

EMS providers should consider transporting a witness, family member, or caregiver with the patient to verify the time of stroke symptom onset. En route to the facility, providers should continue to support cardiopulmonary function, monitor neurologic status, check blood glucose if possible, and provide prehospital notification.

Prearrival hospital notification by the transporting EMS unit has been found to significantly increase the percentage of patients with acute stroke who receive fibrinolytic therapy.\textsuperscript{46–48} Bypass of community hospitals in favor of transporting patients directly to a stroke center has undergone investigations that merit attention. Investigators in New York, Canada, Italy, and Australia have performed before-and-after studies examining the difference in rates of rtPA administration after implementation of a hospital bypass protocol for EMS. All have found significantly larger percentages of patients with ischemic stroke treated with rtPA when patients are transported directly to stroke centers.\textsuperscript{47,49,50} Recently investigators have begun to examine the impact of direct activation of stroke teams by EMS.\textsuperscript{50,51}

EMS providers must rapidly deliver the patient to a medical facility capable of providing acute stroke care and provide prearrival notification to the receiving facility.\textsuperscript{41,46,48} Each receiving hospital should define its capability for treating patients with acute stroke using the definitions established for stroke-prepared hospitals, primary stroke centers, and comprehensive stroke centers\textsuperscript{3,6,7} and should communicate this information to the EMS system and the community. Although not every hospital is capable of organizing the necessary resources to safely administer fibrinolytic therapy, every hospital with an ED should have a written plan that is communicated to EMS systems describing how patients with acute stroke are to be managed in that institution. The plan should detail the roles of healthcare professionals in the care of patients with acute stroke and define which patients will be treated with fibrinolytic therapy at that facility and when transfer to another hospital with a dedicated stroke unit is appropriate.

The role of stroke centers and in particular stroke units continues to be defined, but a growing body of evidence\textsuperscript{47,49,50,52–58} indicates a favorable benefit from triage of stroke patients directly to designated stroke centers (Class I, LOE B). EMS systems should establish a stroke destination preplan to enable EMS providers to direct patients with acute stroke to appropriate facilities. When multiple stroke hospitals are within similar transport distances, EMS personnel should consider triage to the stroke center with the highest capability of stroke care.

Multiple randomized clinical trials and meta-analyses in adults\textsuperscript{50,59–62} document consistent improvement in 1-year survival rate, functional outcome, and quality of life when patients hospitalized with acute stroke are cared for in a dedicated stroke unit by a multidisciplinary team experienced in managing stroke. Although the studies reported were conducted outside the United States at in-hospital units that provided both acute care and rehabilitation, the improved outcomes were apparent very early in stroke care. These results should be relevant to the outcome of dedicated stroke units staffed with experienced multidisciplinary teams in the United States. When such a facility is available within a reasonable transport interval, stroke patients who require hospitalization should be admitted there (Class I, LOE B).

### In-Hospital Care

#### Initial ED Assessment and Stabilization (Box 3)

Protocols should be used in the ED to minimize delay to definitive diagnosis and therapy: “Time is Brain.”\textsuperscript{43} As a goal, ED personnel should assess the patient with suspected stroke within 10 minutes of arrival in the ED. General care includes assessment, cardiopulmonary support (airway, breathing, circulation), and evaluation of baseline vital signs. Administration of oxygen to hypoxemic patients with stroke (oxygen saturation <94\%) is recommended (Class I, LOE C).

On arrival ED personnel should establish or confirm IV access and obtain blood samples for baseline studies (eg, complete blood count, coagulation studies, blood glucose). If not already identified in the prehospital setting, ED staff should promptly identify and treat hypoglycemia. The ED physician should perform a neurologic screening assessment, order an emergent computed tomography (CT) scan of the brain, and activate the stroke team or arrange for consultation with a stroke expert.

A 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) does not take priority over the CT scan but may identify a recent acute myocardial infarction or arrhythmias (eg, atrial fibrillation) as the cause of an embolic stroke. If the patient is hemodynamically stable, treatment of other arrhythmias, including bradycardia, premature atrial or ventricular contractions, or asymptomatic atrioventricular conduction block, may not be necessary.\textsuperscript{63} There is general agreement to recommend cardiac monitoring during the first 24 hours of evaluation in patients with acute ischemic stroke to detect atrial fibrillation and potentially life-threatening arrhythmias.\textsuperscript{64}

#### Assessment (Box 4)

The treating physician should review the patient’s history and verify time of onset of symptoms.\textsuperscript{65–67} This may require interviewing out-of-hospital providers, witnesses, and family members to establish the time that the patient was last known to be normal. Neurologic assessment is performed, incorpo-
Table 2. Potential Approaches to Arterial Hypertension in Acute Ischemic Stroke Patients Who Are Potential Candidates for Acute Reperfusion Therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood Pressure Target (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic &gt; 185 and Diastolic &gt; 110</td>
<td>Monitor blood pressure every 15 minutes for 2 hours from the start of rtPA therapy; then every 30 minutes for 6 hours; and then every hour for 16 hours</td>
<td>If systolic BP 180–230 mm Hg or diastolic BP 105–120 mm Hg and blood pressure not controlled or diastolic BP &gt; 140 mm Hg, consider sodium nitroprusside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic &gt; 185 and Diastolic &gt; 110</td>
<td>If blood pressure is not maintained at or below 185/110 mm Hg, do not administer rtPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Approach to Arterial Hypertension in Acute Ischemic Stroke Patients Who Are Not Potential Candidates for Acute Reperfusion Therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood Pressure Target (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic &gt; 120 mm Hg</td>
<td>Consider lowering blood pressure in patients with acute ischemic stroke if systolic blood pressure &gt; 220 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure &gt; 120 mm Hg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Pressure Reduction as Indicated for Other Concomitant Organ System Injury</td>
<td>Consider blood pressure reduction as indicated for other concomitant organ system injury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Myocardial Infarction</td>
<td>Acute myocardial infarction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive Heart Failure</td>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Aortic Dissection</td>
<td>Acute aortic dissection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A reasonable target is to lower blood pressure by 15% to 25% within the first day.
outcome, although the degree of clinical benefit is smaller than that achieved with treatment within 3 hours. Data supporting treatment in this time window come from a large, randomized trial (ECASS-3) that specifically enrolled patients between 3 and 4.5 hours after symptom onset, as well as a meta-analysis of prior trials. Criteria for inclusion in ECASS-3 were similar to the NINDS criteria, except that ECASS-3 excluded patients older than 80 years of age, with a baseline NIHSS > 25, taking oral anticoagulants, or who had a combination of diabetes and prior stroke. At present, use of IV rtPA within the 3- to 4.5-hour window has not yet been FDA approved, although it is recommended by a current AHA/ASA science advisory. Administration of IV rtPA to patients with acute ischemic stroke who meet the NINDS or ECASS-3 eligibility criteria is recommended if rtPA is administered to adult patients with acute ischemic stroke within 3 hours of onset of symptoms. These results are obtained when rtPA is administered by physicians in hospitals with a stroke protocol that rigorously adheres to the eligibility criteria and therapeutic regimen of the NINDS protocol. These results have been supported by a subsequent 1-year follow-up study, a reanalysis of the NINDS data, and a meta-analysis. Evidence from prospective randomized studies in adults also documents a greater likelihood of benefit the earlier treatment is begun. Additional analyses of the original NINDS data by an independent group of investigators confirmed the validity of the results, verifying that improved outcomes in the rtPA treatment arm persist even when imbalances in the baseline stroke severity among treatment groups is corrected. Treatment of carefully selected patients with acute ischemic stroke with IV rtPA between 3 and 4.5 hours after onset of symptoms has also been shown to improve clinical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis of ischemic stroke causing measurable neurologic deficit</td>
<td>Head trauma or prior stroke in previous 3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset of symptoms &lt;3 hours before beginning treatment</td>
<td>Symptoms suggest subarachnoid hemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥18 years</td>
<td>Arterial puncture at noncompressible site in previous 7 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relative exclusion criteria
- Only minor or rapidly improving stroke symptoms (clearing spontaneously)
- Seizure at onset with postictal residual neurologic impairments
- Major surgery or serious trauma within previous 14 days
- Recent gastrointestinal or urinary tract hemorrhage (within previous 21 days)
- Recent acute myocardial infarction (within previous 3 months)

rtPA indicates recombinant tissue plasminogen activator; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; INR, international normalized ratio; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; and PT, prothrombin time.

Table 4. Inclusion and Exclusion Characteristics of Patients With Ischemic Stroke Who Could Be Treated With rtPA Within 3 Hours From Symptom Onset

Table 5. Additional Inclusion and Exclusion Characteristics of Patients With Ischemic Stroke Who Could Be Treated With rtPA From 3 to 4.5 Hours From Symptom Onset

Inclusion criteria | Exclusion criteria |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis of ischemic stroke causing measurable neurologic deficit</td>
<td>Head trauma or prior stroke in previous 3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset of symptoms 3 to 4.5 hours before beginning treatment</td>
<td>Symptoms suggest subarachnoid hemorrhage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes
- The checklist includes some FDA-approved indications and contraindications for administration of rtPA for acute ischemic stroke. Recent guideline revisions have modified the original FDA criteria. A physician with expertise in acute stroke care may modify this list.
- Onset time is either witnessed or last known normal.
- In patients without recent use of oral anticoagulants or heparin, treatment with rtPA can be initiated before availability of coagulation study results but should be discontinued if INR is >1.7 or PT is elevated by local laboratory standards.
- In patients without history of thrombocytopenia, treatment with rtPA can be initiated before availability of platelet count but should be discontinued if platelet count is <100 000/mm³.
experience is an important factor in ensuring adherence to protocol. Adding a dedicated stroke team to a community hospital can increase the number of patients with acute stroke treated with fibrinolytic therapy and produce excellent clinical outcomes. There is also strong evidence to avoid all delays and treat patients as soon as possible. These findings show that it is important to have an institutional commitment to ensure optimal patient outcomes.

Evidence from 3 prospective randomized studies in adults and a meta-analysis have demonstrated improved outcome from intra-arterial fibrinolysis. Thus, for patients with acute ischemic stroke who are not candidates for standard IV fibrinolysis, administration of intra-arterial fibrinolitics is reasonable (Class I, LOE B). To date, intra-arterial administration of fibrinolitics has not been FDA approved. In carefully selected patients, catheter-based thrombectomy is being performed at centers where resources and expertise are available. The pending ASA acute ischemic stroke guidelines will provide greater detail about intra-arterial strategies.

**General Stroke Care**

Recent studies establish that stroke unit care is superior to care in general medical wards, and the positive effects of stroke unit care can persist for years. The benefits from treatment in a stroke unit are comparable to the effects achieved with IV rtPA. Patients should be admitted to a stroke unit (if available) for careful observation (Box 11), including monitoring of blood pressure and neurologic status and physiologic optimization. General stroke care, centered on physiologic optimization, includes prevention of hypoxia, management of hypertension, optimal glucose control, maintenance of euthermia, and nutritional support. Additional efforts center on prevention of complications associated with stroke (eg, aspiration pneumonia, deep venous thrombosis, urinary tract infections) and initiation of secondary stroke prevention.

Given the requirements for frequent neurologic assessment and vital sign measurements, especially after administration of IV rtPA, patients should be admitted as quickly as possible, ideally within 3 hours from arrival. If the patient’s neurologic status deteriorates, an emergent CT scan is required to determine if cerebral edema or hemorrhage is responsible for the deterioration. Treatment of hemorrhage or edema should be started immediately as indicated.

**Blood Pressure Management**

Blood pressure management varies depending on whether or not fibrinolytic or intra-arterial therapies were used. Current recommendations for control of blood pressure in patients who receive IV rtPA or intra-arterial recanalization therapies are shown in Table 2. In those patients for whom recanalization is not planned, more liberal acceptance of hypertension is recommended, provided no other comorbid conditions require intervention (Table 3). Normal saline, administered at a rate of approximately 75 to 100 mL/h, is used to maintain euvoledema as needed. In stroke patients who may be relatively hypovolemic, careful administration of IV normal saline boluses may be appropriate.

**Glycemic Control**

Hyperglycemia is associated with worse clinical outcome in patients with acute ischemic stroke but there is no direct evidence that active glucose control improves clinical outcome. There is contradictory evidence for the benefit of insulin treatment of hyperglycemia in other critically ill patients. Current AHA/ASA recommendations call for the use of insulin when the serum glucose level is greater than 185 mg/dL in patients with acute stroke (Class Ia, LOE C); however, the utility of administration of IV or subcutaneous insulin to lower blood glucose in patients with acute ischemic stroke when serum glucose is ≤185 mg/dL remains uncertain.

**Temperature Control**

Hyperthermia in the setting of acute cerebral ischemia is associated with increased morbidity and mortality and should be managed aggressively (treat fever >37.5°C [99.5°F]). Hypothermia has been shown to improve survival and functional outcome in patients following resuscitation from ventricular fibrillation (VF) sudden cardiac arrest; however, there are limited data on the role of hypothermia specific to acute ischemic stroke. At this time there is insufficient scientific evidence to recommend for or against the use of hypothermia in the treatment of acute ischemic stroke (Class IIb, LOE C).

**Dysphagia Screening**

All patients with stroke should be screened for dysphagia before they are given anything by mouth. A simple bedside screening evaluation involves asking the patient to sip water from a cup. If the patient can sip and swallow without difficulty, the patient is asked to take a large gulp of water and swallow. If there are no signs of coughing or aspiration after 30 seconds, then it is safe for the patient to have a thickened diet until formally assessed by a speech pathologist. Medications may be given in applesauce or jam. Any patient who fails a swallow test may be given medications such as aspirin rectally or, if appropriate for the medication, intravenously, intramuscularly, or subcutaneously.

**Other Stroke Management**

Additional stroke care includes support of the airway, oxygenation and ventilation, and nutritional support. Seizure prophylaxis is not recommended, but for patients who experience a seizure, administration of anticonvulsants is recommended to prevent more seizures. In patients with severe stroke, posterior circulation stroke, and in younger patients, healthcare providers must observe for signs of increased intracranial pressure.

**Summary**

Advances in stroke care will have the greatest effect on stroke outcome if care is delivered within a regional stroke system designed to improve both efficiency and effectiveness. The ultimate goal of stroke care is to minimize ongoing injury, emergently recanalize acute vascular occlusions, and begin secondary measures to maximize functional recovery. These efforts will provide stroke patients with the greatest opportunity for a return to previous quality of life and decrease the overall societal burden of stroke.
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