

# Part 1: Executive Summary

## 2010 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care

John M. Field, Co-Chair\*; Mary Fran Hazinski, Co-Chair\*; Michael R. Sayre; Leon Chameides; Stephen M. Schexnayder; Robin Hemphill; Ricardo A. Samson; John Kattwinkel; Robert A. Berg; Farhan Bhanji; Diana M. Cave; Edward C. Jauch; Peter J. Kudenchuk; Robert W. Neumar; Mary Ann Peberdy; Jeffrey M. Perlman; Elizabeth Sinz; Andrew H. Travers; Marc D. Berg; John E. Billi; Brian Eigel; Robert W. Hickey; Monica E. Kleinman; Mark S. Link; Laurie J. Morrison; Robert E. O'Connor; Michael Shuster; Clifton W. Callaway; Brett Cucchiara; Jeffrey D. Ferguson; Thomas D. Rea; Terry L. Vanden Hoek

The publication of the *2010 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care* marks the 50th anniversary of modern CPR. In 1960 Kouwenhoven, Knickerbocker, and Jude documented 14 patients who survived cardiac arrest with the application of closed chest cardiac massage.<sup>1</sup> That same year, at the meeting of the Maryland Medical Society in Ocean City, MD, the combination of chest compressions and rescue breathing was introduced.<sup>2</sup> Two years later, in 1962, direct-current, monophasic waveform defibrillation was described.<sup>3</sup> In 1966 the American Heart Association (AHA) developed the first cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) guidelines, which have been followed by periodic updates.<sup>4</sup> During the past 50 years the fundamentals of early recognition and activation, early CPR, early defibrillation, and early access to emergency medical care have saved hundreds of thousands of lives around the world. These lives demonstrate the importance of resuscitation research and clinical translation and are cause to celebrate this 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary of CPR.

Challenges remain if we are to fulfill the potential offered by the pioneer resuscitation scientists. We know that there is a striking disparity in survival outcomes from cardiac arrest across systems of care, with some systems reporting 5-fold higher survival rates than others.<sup>5-9</sup> Although technology, such as that incorporated in automated external defibrillators (AEDs), has contributed to increased survival from cardiac arrest, no initial intervention can be delivered to the victim of cardiac arrest unless bystanders are ready, willing, and able to act. Moreover, to be successful, the actions of bystanders and other care providers must occur within a system that coordinates and integrates each facet of care into a comprehensive whole, focusing on survival to discharge from the hospital.

This executive summary highlights the major changes and most provocative recommendations in the *2010 AHA Guidelines for CPR and Emergency Cardiovascular Care (ECC)*.

The scientists and healthcare providers participating in a comprehensive evidence evaluation process analyzed the sequence and priorities of the steps of CPR in light of current scientific advances to identify factors with the greatest potential impact on survival. On the basis of the strength of the available evidence, they developed recommendations to support the interventions that showed the most promise. There was unanimous support for continued emphasis on high-quality CPR, with compressions of adequate rate and depth, allowing complete chest recoil, minimizing interruptions in chest compressions and avoiding excessive ventilation. High-quality CPR is the cornerstone of a system of care that can optimize outcomes beyond return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC). Return to a prior quality of life and functional state of health is the ultimate goal of a resuscitation system of care.

The *2010 AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC* are based on the most current and comprehensive review of resuscitation literature ever published, the *2010 ILCOR International Consensus on CPR and ECC Science With Treatment Recommendations*.<sup>10</sup> The 2010 evidence evaluation process included 356 resuscitation experts from 29 countries who reviewed, analyzed, evaluated, debated, and discussed research and hypotheses through in-person meetings, teleconferences, and online sessions (“webinars”) during the 36-month period before the 2010 Consensus Conference. The experts produced 411 scientific evidence reviews on 277 topics in resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. The process included structured evidence evaluation, analysis, and cataloging of the literature. It also included rigor-

The American Heart Association requests that this document be cited as follows: Field JM, Hazinski MF, Sayre MR, Chameides L, Schexnayder SM, Hemphill R, Samson RA, Kattwinkel J, Berg RA, Bhanji F, Cave DM, Jauch EC, Kudenchuk PJ, Neumar RW, Peberdy MA, Perlman JM, Sinz E, Travers AH, Berg MD, Billi JE, Eigel B, Hickey RW, Kleinman ME, Link MS, Morrison LJ, O'Connor RE, Shuster M, Callaway CW, Cucchiara B, Ferguson JD, Rea TD, Vanden Hoek TL. Part 1: executive summary: 2010 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. *Circulation*. 2010;122(suppl 3):S640-S656.

\*Co-chairs and equal first co-authors.

(*Circulation*. 2010;122[suppl 3]:S640-S656.)

© 2010 American Heart Association, Inc.

ous disclosure and management of potential conflicts of interest, which are detailed in Part 2: “Evidence Evaluation and Management of Potential and Perceived Conflicts of Interest.”

The recommendations in the 2010 Guidelines confirm the safety and effectiveness of many approaches, acknowledge ineffectiveness of others, and introduce new treatments based on intensive evidence evaluation and consensus of experts. These new recommendations do not imply that care using past guidelines is either unsafe or ineffective. In addition, it is important to note that they will not apply to all rescuers and all victims in all situations. The leader of a resuscitation attempt may need to adapt application of these recommendations to unique circumstances.

### New Developments in Resuscitation Science Since 2005

A universal compression-ventilation ratio of 30:2 performed by lone rescuers for victims of all ages was one of the most controversial topics discussed during the 2005 International Consensus Conference, and it was a major change in the 2005 *AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC*.<sup>11</sup> In 2005 rates of survival to hospital discharge from witnessed out-of-hospital sudden cardiac arrest due to ventricular fibrillation (VF) were low, averaging  $\leq 6\%$  worldwide with little improvement in the years immediately preceding the 2005 conference.<sup>5</sup> Two studies published just before the 2005 International Consensus Conference documented poor quality of CPR performed in both out-of-hospital and in-hospital resuscitations.<sup>12,13</sup> The changes in the compression-ventilation ratio and in the defibrillation sequence (from 3 stacked shocks to 1 shock followed by immediate CPR) were recommended to minimize interruptions in chest compressions.<sup>11–13</sup>

There have been many developments in resuscitation science since 2005, and these are highlighted below.

#### Emergency Medical Services Systems and CPR Quality

Emergency medical services (EMS) systems and healthcare providers should identify and strengthen “weak links” in the Chain of Survival. There is evidence of considerable regional variation in the reported incidence and outcome from cardiac arrest within the United States.<sup>5,14</sup> This evidence supports the importance of accurately identifying each instance of treated cardiac arrest and measuring outcomes and suggests additional opportunities for improving survival rates in many communities. Recent studies have demonstrated improved outcome from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, particularly from shockable rhythms, and have reaffirmed the importance of a stronger emphasis on compressions of adequate rate and depth, allowing complete chest recoil after each compression, minimizing interruptions in compressions and avoiding excessive ventilation.<sup>15–22</sup>

Implementation of new resuscitation guidelines has been shown to improve outcomes.<sup>18,20–22</sup> A means of expediting guidelines implementation (a process that may take from 18 months to 4 years<sup>23–26</sup>) is needed. Impediments to implementation include delays in instruction (eg, time needed to produce new training materials and update instructors and providers), technology upgrades (eg, reprogramming AEDs), and decision making (eg, coordination with allied agencies

and government regulators, medical direction, and participation in research).

#### Documenting the Effects of CPR Performance by Lay Rescuers

During the past 5 years there has been an effort to simplify CPR recommendations and emphasize the fundamental importance of high-quality CPR. Large observational studies from investigators in member countries of the Resuscitation Council of Asia (the newest member of ILCOR)<sup>27,28–30</sup> and other studies<sup>31,32</sup> have provided important information about the positive impact of bystander CPR on survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. For most adults with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, bystander CPR with chest compression only (Hands-Only CPR) appears to achieve outcomes similar to those of conventional CPR (compressions with rescue breathing).<sup>28–32</sup> However, for children, conventional CPR is superior.<sup>27</sup>

#### CPR Quality

Minimizing the interval between stopping chest compressions and delivering a shock (ie, minimizing the preshock pause) improves the chances of shock success<sup>33,34</sup> and patient survival.<sup>33–35</sup> Data downloaded from CPR-sensing and feedback-enabled defibrillators provide valuable information to resuscitation teams, which can improve CPR quality.<sup>36</sup> These data are driving major changes in the training of in-hospital resuscitation teams and out-of-hospital healthcare providers.

#### In-Hospital CPR Registries

The National Registry of CardioPulmonary Resuscitation (NRCPR)<sup>37</sup> and other large databases are providing new information about the epidemiology and outcomes of in-hospital resuscitation in adults and children.<sup>8,38–44</sup> Although observational in nature, registries provide valuable descriptive information to better characterize cardiac arrest and resuscitation outcomes as well as identify areas for further research.

#### Deemphasis on Devices and Advanced Cardiovascular Life Support Drugs During Cardiac Arrest

At the time of the 2010 International Consensus Conference there were still insufficient data to demonstrate that any drugs or mechanical CPR devices improve long-term outcome after cardiac arrest.<sup>45</sup> Clearly further studies, adequately powered to detect clinically important outcome differences with these interventions, are needed.

#### Importance of Post-Cardiac Arrest Care

Organized post-cardiac arrest care with an emphasis on multidisciplinary programs that focus on optimizing hemodynamic, neurologic, and metabolic function (including therapeutic hypothermia) may improve survival to hospital discharge among victims who achieve ROSC following cardiac arrest either in- or out-of-hospital.<sup>46–48</sup> Although it is not yet possible to determine the individual effect of many of these therapies, when bundled as an integrated system of care, their deployment may well improve outcomes.

Therapeutic hypothermia is one intervention that has been shown to improve outcome for comatose adult victims of

witnessed out-of-hospital cardiac arrest when the presenting rhythm was VF.<sup>49,50</sup> Since 2005, two nonrandomized studies with concurrent controls as well as other studies using historic controls have indicated the possible benefit of hypothermia following in- and out-of-hospital cardiac arrest from all other initial rhythms in adults.<sup>46,51–56</sup> Hypothermia has also been shown to be effective in improving intact neurologic survival in neonates with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy,<sup>57–61</sup> and the results of a prospective multicenter pediatric study of therapeutic hypothermia after cardiac arrest are eagerly awaited.

Many studies have attempted to identify comatose post-cardiac arrest patients who have no prospect for meaningful neurologic recovery, and decision rules for prognostication of poor outcome have been proposed.<sup>62</sup> Therapeutic hypothermia changes the specificity of prognostication decision rules that were previously established from studies of post-cardiac arrest patients not treated with hypothermia. Recent reports have documented occasional good outcomes in post-cardiac arrest patients who were treated with therapeutic hypothermia, despite neurologic exam or neuroelectrophysiologic studies that predicted poor outcome.<sup>63,64</sup>

### Education and Implementation

The quality of rescuer education and frequency of retraining are critical factors in improving the effectiveness of resuscitation.<sup>65–83</sup> Ideally retraining should not be limited to 2-year intervals. More frequent renewal of skills is needed, with a commitment to maintenance of certification similar to that embraced by many healthcare-credentialing organizations.

Resuscitation interventions are often performed simultaneously, and rescuers must be able to work collaboratively to minimize interruptions in chest compressions. Teamwork and leadership skills continue to be important, particularly for advanced cardiovascular life support (ACLS) and pediatric advanced life support (PALS) providers.<sup>36,84–89</sup>

Community and hospital-based resuscitation programs should systematically monitor cardiac arrests, the level of resuscitation care provided, and outcome. The cycle of measurement, interpretation, feedback, and continuous quality improvement provides fundamental information necessary to optimize resuscitation care and should help to narrow the knowledge and clinical gaps between ideal and actual resuscitation performance.

## Highlights of the 2010 Guidelines

### The Change From “A-B-C” to “C-A-B”

The newest development in the *2010 AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC* is a change in the basic life support (BLS) sequence of steps from “A-B-C” (Airway, Breathing, Chest compressions) to “C-A-B” (Chest compressions, Airway, Breathing) for adults and pediatric patients (children and infants, excluding newly borns). Although the experts agreed that it is important to reduce time to first chest compressions, they were aware that a change in something as established as the A-B-C sequence would require re-education of everyone who has ever learned CPR. The *2010 AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC* recommend this change for the following reasons:

- The vast majority of cardiac arrests occur in adults, and the highest survival rates from cardiac arrest are reported among patients of all ages with witnessed arrest and a rhythm of VF or pulseless ventricular tachycardia (VT). In these patients the critical initial elements of CPR are chest compressions and early defibrillation.<sup>90</sup>
- In the A-B-C sequence chest compressions are often delayed while the responder opens the airway to give mouth-to-mouth breaths or retrieves a barrier device or other ventilation equipment. By changing the sequence to C-A-B, chest compressions will be initiated sooner and ventilation only minimally delayed until completion of the first cycle of chest compressions (30 compressions should be accomplished in approximately 18 seconds).
- Fewer than 50% of persons in cardiac arrest receive bystander CPR. There are probably many reasons for this, but one impediment may be the A-B-C sequence, which starts with the procedures that rescuers find most difficult: opening the airway and delivering rescue breaths. Starting with chest compressions might ensure that more victims receive CPR and that rescuers who are unable or unwilling to provide ventilations will at least perform chest compressions.
- It is reasonable for healthcare providers to tailor the sequence of rescue actions to the most likely cause of arrest. For example, if a lone healthcare provider sees a victim suddenly collapse, the provider may assume that the victim has suffered a sudden VF cardiac arrest; once the provider has verified that the victim is unresponsive and not breathing or is only gasping, the provider should immediately activate the emergency response system, get and use an AED, and give CPR. But for a presumed victim of drowning or other likely asphyxial arrest the priority would be to provide about 5 cycles (about 2 minutes) of conventional CPR (including rescue breathing) before activating the emergency response system. Also, in newly born infants, arrest is more likely to be of a respiratory etiology, and resuscitation should be attempted with the A-B-C sequence unless there is a known cardiac etiology.

### Ethical Issues

The ethical issues surrounding resuscitation are complex and vary across settings (in- or out-of-hospital), providers (basic or advanced), and whether to start or how to terminate CPR. Recent work suggests that acknowledgment of a verbal do-not-attempt-resuscitation order (DNAR) in addition to the current standard—a written, signed, and dated DNAR document—may decrease the number of futile resuscitation attempts.<sup>91,92</sup> This is an important first step in expanding the clinical decision rule pertaining to when to start resuscitation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. However, there is insufficient evidence to support this approach without further validation.

When only BLS-trained EMS personnel are available, termination of resuscitative efforts should be guided by a validated termination of resuscitation rule that reduces the transport rate of attempted resuscitations without compromising the care of potentially viable patients.<sup>93</sup> Advanced life support (ALS) EMS providers may use the same termination of resuscitation rule<sup>94–99</sup> or a derived nonvalidated rule specific to ALS providers that when applied will

decrease the number of futile transports to the emergency department (ED).<sup>95,97–100</sup>

Certain characteristics of a neonatal in-hospital cardiac arrest are associated with death, and these may be helpful in guiding physicians in the decision to start and stop a neonatal resuscitation attempt.<sup>101–104</sup> There is more variability in terminating resuscitation rates across systems and physicians when clinical decision rules are not followed, suggesting that these validated and generalized rules may promote uniformity in access to resuscitation attempts and full protocol care.<sup>105</sup>

Offering select family members the opportunity to be present during the resuscitation and designating staff within the team to respond to their questions and offer comfort may enhance the emotional support provided to the family during cardiac arrest and after termination of a resuscitation attempt.

Identifying patients during the post–cardiac arrest period who do not have the potential for meaningful neurologic recovery is a major clinical challenge that requires further research. Caution is advised when considering limiting care or withdrawing life-sustaining therapy. Characteristics or test results that are predictive of poor outcome in post–cardiac arrest patients not treated with therapeutic hypothermia may not be as predictive of poor outcome after administration of therapeutic hypothermia. Because of the growing need for transplant tissue and organs, all provider teams who treat postarrest patients should also plan and implement a system of tissue and organ donation that is timely, effective, and supportive of family members for the subset of patients in whom brain death is confirmed or for organ donation after cardiac arrest.

Resuscitation research is challenging. It must be scientifically rigorous while confronting ethical, regulatory, and public relations concerns that arise from the need to conduct such research with exception to informed consent. Regulatory requirements, community notification, and consultation requirements often impose expensive and time-consuming demands that may not only delay important research but also render it cost-prohibitive, with little significant evidence that these measures effectively address the concerns about research.<sup>106–109</sup>

### Basic Life Support

BLS is the foundation for saving lives following cardiac arrest. Fundamental aspects of adult BLS include immediate **recognition** of sudden cardiac arrest and **activation** of the emergency response system, early performance of **high-quality CPR**, and rapid **defibrillation** when appropriate. The *2010 AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC* contain several important changes but also have areas of continued emphasis based on evidence presented in prior years.

#### Key Changes in the 2010 AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC

- The BLS algorithm has been simplified, and “Look, Listen and Feel” has been removed from the algorithm. Performance of these steps is inconsistent and time consuming. For this reason the *2010 AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC* stress immediate activation of the emergency response system and starting chest compressions for any unresponsive adult victim with no breathing or no normal breathing (ie, only gasps).

- Encourage **Hands-Only (compression only) CPR** for the untrained lay rescuer. Hands-Only CPR is easier to perform by those with no training and can be more readily guided by dispatchers over the telephone.
- Initiate chest compressions before giving rescue breaths (**C-A-B rather than A-B-C**). Chest compressions can be started immediately, whereas positioning the head, attaining a seal for mouth-to-mouth rescue breathing, or obtaining or assembling a bag-mask device for rescue breathing all take time. Beginning CPR with 30 compressions rather than 2 ventilations leads to a shorter delay to first compression.
- There is an increased focus on methods to ensure that high-quality CPR is performed. Adequate chest compressions require that compressions be provided at the appropriate depth and rate, allowing complete recoil of the chest after each compression and an emphasis on minimizing any pauses in compressions and avoiding excessive ventilation. Training should focus on ensuring that chest compressions are performed correctly. The recommended depth of compression for adult victims has increased from a depth of 1½ to 2 inches to a depth of at least 2 inches.
- Many tasks performed by healthcare providers during resuscitation attempts, such as chest compressions, airway management, rescue breathing, rhythm detection, shock delivery, and drug administration (if appropriate), can be performed concurrently by an integrated team of highly trained rescuers in appropriate settings. Some resuscitations start with a lone rescuer who calls for help, resulting in the arrival of additional team members. Healthcare provider training should focus on building the team as each member arrives or quickly delegating roles if multiple rescuers are present. As additional personnel arrive, responsibilities for tasks that would ordinarily be performed sequentially by fewer rescuers may now be delegated to a team of providers who should perform them simultaneously.

#### Key Points of Continued Emphasis for the 2010 AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC

- Early recognition of sudden cardiac arrest in adults is based on assessing responsiveness and the absence of normal breathing. Victims of cardiac arrest may initially have gasping respirations or even appear to be having a seizure. These atypical presentations may confuse a rescuer, causing a delay in calling for help or beginning CPR. Training should focus on alerting potential rescuers to the unusual presentations of sudden cardiac arrest.
- Minimize interruptions in effective chest compressions until ROSC or termination of resuscitative efforts. Any unnecessary interruptions in chest compressions (including longer than necessary pauses for rescue breathing) decreases CPR effectiveness.
- Minimize the importance of pulse checks by healthcare providers. Detection of a pulse can be difficult, and even highly trained healthcare providers often incorrectly assess the presence or absence of a pulse when blood pressure is abnormally low or absent. Healthcare providers should take no more than 10 seconds to determine if a pulse is present. Chest compressions delivered to patients subsequently found not to be in cardiac arrest rarely lead to significant

injury.<sup>110</sup> The lay rescuer should activate the emergency response system if he or she finds an unresponsive adult. The lay rescuer should not attempt to check for a pulse and should assume that cardiac arrest is present if an adult suddenly collapses, is unresponsive, and is not breathing or not breathing normally (ie, only gasping).

### CPR Techniques and Devices

Alternatives to conventional manual CPR have been developed in an effort to enhance perfusion during resuscitation from cardiac arrest and to improve survival. Compared with conventional CPR, these techniques and devices typically require more personnel, training, and equipment, or apply to a specific setting. Some alternative CPR techniques and devices may improve hemodynamics or short-term survival when used by well-trained providers in selected patients.

Several devices have been the focus of recent clinical trials. Use of the impedance threshold device (ITD) improved ROSC and short-term survival when used in adults with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, but there was no significant improvement in either survival to hospital discharge or neurologically-intact survival to discharge.<sup>111</sup> One multicenter, prospective, randomized controlled trial<sup>112,112a</sup> comparing load-distributing band CPR (Auto-pulse) with manual CPR for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest demonstrated no improvement in 4-hour survival and worse neurologic outcome when the device was used. More research is needed to determine if site-specific factors<sup>113</sup> or experience with deployment of the device<sup>114</sup> influence effectiveness of the load-distributing band CPR device. Case series employing mechanical piston devices have reported variable degrees of success.<sup>115–119</sup>

To prevent delays and maximize efficiency, initial training, ongoing monitoring, and retraining programs should be offered on a frequent basis to providers using CPR devices. To date, no adjunct has consistently been shown to be superior to standard conventional (manual) CPR for out-of-hospital BLS, and no device other than a defibrillator has consistently improved long-term survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.

### Electrical Therapies

The *2010 AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC* have been updated to reflect new data on the use of pacing in bradycardia, and on cardioversion and defibrillation for tachycardic rhythm disturbances. Integration of AEDs into a system of care is critical in the Chain of Survival in public places outside of hospitals. To give the victim the best chance of survival, 3 actions must occur within the first moments of a cardiac arrest<sup>120</sup>: activation of the EMS system,<sup>121</sup> provision of CPR, and operation of a defibrillator.<sup>122</sup>

One area of continued interest is whether delivering a longer period of CPR before defibrillation improves outcomes in cardiac arrest. In early studies, survival was improved when 1.5 to 3 minutes of CPR preceded defibrillation for patients with cardiac arrest of >4 to 5 minutes duration prior to EMS arrival.<sup>123,124</sup> However, in 2 more recent randomized controlled trials, CPR performed before defibrillation did not improve outcome.<sup>125,126</sup> If  $\geq 2$  rescuers are

present CPR should be performed while a defibrillator is being obtained and readied for use.

The 1-shock protocol for VF has not been changed. Evidence has accumulated that even short interruptions in CPR are harmful. Thus, rescuers should minimize the interval between stopping compressions and delivering shocks and should resume CPR immediately after shock delivery.

Over the last decade biphasic waveforms have been shown to be more effective than monophasic waveforms in cardioversion and defibrillation.<sup>127–135</sup> However, there are no clinical data comparing one specific biphasic waveform with another. Whether escalating or fixed subsequent doses of energy are superior has not been tested with different waveforms. However, if higher energy levels are available in the device at hand, they may be considered if initial shocks are unsuccessful in terminating the arrhythmia.

In the last 5 to 10 years a number of randomized trials have compared biphasic with monophasic cardioversion in atrial fibrillation. The efficacy of shock energies for cardioversion of atrial fibrillation is waveform-specific and can vary from 120 to 200 J depending on the defibrillator manufacturer. Thus, the recommended initial biphasic energy dose for cardioversion of atrial fibrillation is 120 to 200 J using the manufacturer's recommended setting.<sup>136–140</sup> If the initial shock fails, providers should increase the dose in a stepwise fashion. Cardioversion of adult atrial flutter and other supraventricular tachycardias generally requires less energy; an initial energy of 50 J to 100 J is often sufficient.<sup>140</sup> If the initial shock fails, providers should increase the dose in a stepwise fashion.<sup>141</sup> Adult cardioversion of atrial fibrillation with monophasic waveforms should begin at 200 J and increase in a stepwise fashion if not successful.

Transcutaneous pacing has also been the focus of several recent trials. Pacing is not generally recommended for patients in asystolic cardiac arrest. Three randomized controlled trials<sup>142–144</sup> indicate no improvement in rate of admission to hospital or survival to hospital discharge when paramedics or physicians attempted pacing in patients with cardiac arrest due to asystole in the prehospital or hospital (ED) setting. However, it is reasonable for healthcare providers to be prepared to initiate pacing in patients with bradyarrhythmias in the event the heart rate does not respond to atropine or other chronotropic (rate-accelerating) drugs.<sup>145,146</sup>

### Advanced Cardiovascular Life Support

ACLS affects multiple links in the Chain of Survival, including interventions to prevent cardiac arrest, treat cardiac arrest, and improve outcomes of patients who achieve ROSC after cardiac arrest. The *2010 AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC* continue to emphasize that the foundation of successful ACLS is good BLS, beginning with prompt high-quality CPR with minimal interruptions, and for VF/pulseless VT, attempted defibrillation within minutes of collapse. The new fifth link in the Chain of Survival and Part 9: "Post-Cardiac Arrest Care" (expanded from a subsection of the ACLS part of the *2005 AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC*) emphasize the importance of comprehensive multidisciplinary care that begins with recognition of cardiac arrest and continues after ROSC through hospital discharge and beyond. Key ACLS assessments and interventions provide an

essential bridge between BLS and long-term survival with good neurologic function.

In terms of airway management the *2010 AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC* have a major new Class I recommendation for adults: use of quantitative waveform capnography for confirmation and monitoring of endotracheal tube placement. In addition, the use of supraglottic advanced airways continues to be supported as an alternative to endotracheal intubation for airway management during CPR. Finally, the routine use of cricoid pressure during airway management of patients in cardiac arrest is no longer recommended.

There are several important changes in the *2010 AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC* regarding management of symptomatic arrhythmias. On the basis of new evidence of safety and potential efficacy, adenosine can now be considered for the diagnosis and treatment of stable undifferentiated wide-complex tachycardia when the rhythm is regular and the QRS waveform is monomorphic. For symptomatic or unstable bradycardia, intravenous (IV) infusion of chronotropic agents is now recommended as an equally effective alternative to external pacing when atropine is ineffective.

For 2010 a new circular AHA ACLS Cardiac Arrest Algorithm has been introduced as an alternative to the traditional box-and-line format. Both algorithms represent restructured and simplified formats that focus on interventions that have the greatest impact on outcome. To that end, emphasis has been placed on delivery of high-quality CPR with minimal interruptions and defibrillation of VF/pulseless VT. Vascular access, drug delivery, and advanced airway placement, while still recommended, should not cause significant interruptions in chest compression or delay shocks. In addition, atropine is no longer recommended for routine use in the management of pulseless electrical activity (PEA)/asystole.

Real-time monitoring and optimization of CPR quality using either mechanical parameters (eg, monitoring of chest compression rate and depth, adequacy of chest wall relaxation, length and duration of pauses in compression and number and depth of ventilations delivered) or, when feasible, physiologic parameters (partial pressure of end-tidal CO<sub>2</sub> [PETCO<sub>2</sub>], arterial pressure during the relaxation phase of chest compressions, or central venous oxygen saturation [Scvo<sub>2</sub>]) are encouraged. When quantitative waveform capnography is used for adults, guidelines now include recommendations for monitoring CPR quality and detecting ROSC based on PETCO<sub>2</sub> values.

Finally the *2010 AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC* continue to recognize that ACLS does not end when a patient achieves ROSC. Guidelines for post-cardiac arrest management have been significantly expanded (see Part 9) and now include a new Early Post-Cardiac Arrest Treatment Algorithm.

### Post-Cardiac Arrest Care

The *2010 AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC* recognize the increased importance of systematic care and advancements in the multispecialty management of patients following ROSC and admission to the hospital that can affect neurologically intact survival. Part 9: "Post-Cardiac Arrest Care" recognizes the importance of bundled goal-oriented management and

interventions to achieve optimal outcome in victims of cardiac arrest who are admitted to a hospital following ROSC. We recommend that a comprehensive, structured, integrated, multidisciplinary system of care should be implemented in a consistent manner for the treatment of post-cardiac arrest patients.

Initial and later key objectives of post-cardiac arrest care include

- Optimizing cardiopulmonary function and vital organ perfusion after ROSC
- Transportation to an appropriate hospital or critical-care unit with a comprehensive post-cardiac arrest treatment system of care
- Identification and intervention for acute coronary syndromes (ACS)
- Temperature control to optimize neurologic recovery
- Anticipation, treatment, and prevention of multiple organ dysfunction

The primary goal of a bundled treatment strategy for the patient after cardiac arrest includes a consistently applied comprehensive therapeutic plan delivered in a multidisciplinary environment leading to the return of normal or near-normal functional status. Patients with suspected ACS should be triaged to a facility with reperfusion capabilities and a multidisciplinary team prepared to monitor patients for multi-organ dysfunction and initiate appropriate post-cardiac arrest therapy, including hypothermia. Prognostic assessment in the setting of hypothermia is changing, and experts qualified in neurologic assessment in this patient population and integration of prognostic tools are essential for patients, caregivers, and families and are reviewed in detail in Part 9. As a guide to therapy, a new algorithm and a table of integrated goal therapy care were developed.

### Stabilization of the Patient With ACS

The *2010 AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC* recommendations for the evaluation and management of ACS have been updated to define the scope of training for healthcare providers who treat patients with suspected or definite ACS within the first hours after onset of symptoms. Within this context several important strategies and components of care are defined and emphasized by these guidelines and include systems of care for patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), prehospital 12-lead electrocardiograms (ECGs), triage to hospitals capable of performing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), and comprehensive care for patients following cardiac arrest with confirmed STEMI or suspected ACS.

A well-organized approach to STEMI care requires integration of community, EMS, physician, and hospital resources in a bundled STEMI system of care. An important and key component of STEMI systems of care is the performance of prehospital 12-lead ECGs with transmission or interpretation by EMS providers and advance notification of the receiving facility. Use of prehospital 12-lead ECGs has been recommended by the *AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC* since 2000 and has been documented to reduce time to

reperfusion with fibrinolytic therapy.<sup>147–153</sup> More recently, prehospital 12-lead ECGs have also been shown to reduce the time to primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) and can facilitate triage to specific hospitals when PCI is the chosen strategy.<sup>154–161</sup> When EMS or ED physicians activate the cardiac care team, including the cardiac catheterization laboratory, significant reductions in reperfusion times are observed.

The ACS guidelines also make new recommendations for triage of patients to PCI centers after cardiac arrest. The performance of PCI has been associated with favorable outcomes in adult patients resuscitated from cardiac arrest, and it is reasonable to include cardiac catheterization in standardized post-cardiac arrest protocols as part of an overall strategy to improve neurologically intact survival in this patient group. In patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest due to VF, emergent angiography with prompt revascularization of the infarct-related artery is recommended. The ECG may be insensitive or misleading following cardiac arrest, and coronary angiography after ROSC in subjects with arrest of presumed ischemic cardiac etiology may be reasonable, even in the absence of a clearly defined STEMI. Clinical findings of coma in patients before PCI are common following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and should not be a contraindication to consideration of immediate angiography and PCI.

### Adult Stroke

Part 11 emphasizes the early management of acute ischemic stroke in adult patients. It summarizes out-of-hospital care through the first hours of therapy. Approximately 795 000 people suffer a new or repeat stroke each year, and stroke remains the third leading cause of death in the United States. By integrating public education, 911 dispatch, prehospital detection and triage, hospital stroke system development, and stroke unit management, significant improvements in stroke care have been made. Important components of the stroke system of care are summarized in Part 11.

As with STEMI patients, prearrival hospital notification by the transporting EMS unit has been found to significantly increase the percentage of patients with acute stroke who receive fibrinolytic therapy. The *2010 AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC* recommend that every hospital with an ED have a written plan that is communicated to EMS systems describing how patients with acute stroke are to be managed in that institution. Triage of patients with acute stroke directly to designated stroke centers is a new Class I recommendation, which has been added to the Stroke Algorithm. Another new Class I recommendation is admission of the stroke patient to a dedicated stroke unit managed by a multidisciplinary team experienced in stroke care.

Since publication of the *2005 AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC*, additional data have emerged extending the time window for administration of IV rtPA to select patients with acute ischemic stroke. These guidelines now recommend IV rtPA for patients who meet the eligibility criteria for the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS) or the Third European Cooperative Acute Stroke Study (ECASS-3) if rtPA is administered by physicians in the setting of a clearly defined protocol with a knowledgeable

team and institutional commitment. However, it is important to emphasize the continued time-dependent reperfusion window and that earlier treatment is better and is associated with improved outcome. Patients ineligible for standard IV fibrinolytic therapy may be considered for intra-arterial fibrinolytic therapy or mechanical revascularization at selected centers with specialized capabilities.

Finally these guidelines recommend admission to a stroke unit within 3 hours of presentation to the ED. Recent studies establish that stroke unit care is superior to care in general medical wards, and positive effects of stroke unit care can persist for years. The benefits from treatment in a stroke unit are comparable to the beneficial effects achieved with IV rtPA.

Overall stroke care has progressed dramatically since it was first incorporated into the ECC mission. Improvements in education, prehospital management, hospital system development, and acute treatments have led to significant improvements in patient outcomes.

### Special Situations

Cardiac arrest in special situations may require special treatments or procedures beyond those provided during standard BLS or ACLS. Because of difficulty in conducting randomized clinical trials in these areas or their infrequent occurrence, these unique situations call for an experienced provider to go “beyond basics,” using clinical consensus and extrapolation from typical circumstances. The topics covered in the *2005 AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC* have been reviewed, updated, and expanded to 15 specific cardiac arrest situations. These guidelines emphasize the “above and beyond” knowledge required as well as the anticipatory clinical acumen to provide timely care and unique interventions.

Topics include significant periarrest features that may be important to prevent cardiac arrest or that require special post-cardiac arrest care and intervention beyond the usual care defined in these guidelines. Topics with these potentially unique features include asthma, anaphylaxis, pregnancy, morbid obesity, pulmonary embolism, electrolyte imbalance, ingestion of toxic substances, trauma, accidental hypothermia, avalanche, drowning, electric shock/lightning strikes, and special procedural situations affecting the heart, including PCI, cardiac tamponade, and cardiac surgery.

### Pediatric Basic Life Support

The majority of pediatric cardiac arrests are asphyxial, with only approximately 5% to 15% attributable to VF.<sup>8,9,27,162,163</sup> Animal studies<sup>164–166</sup> have shown that resuscitation from asphyxial arrest is best accomplished by a combination of ventilations and chest compressions. This has recently been confirmed in a large community pediatric study,<sup>27</sup> which not only showed that the best resuscitation results from asphyxial arrest were from a combination of ventilations and chest compressions but also that the small number of children with asphyxial arrest who received compression-only CPR had no better results than those who received no bystander CPR.

Although animal studies and pediatric series support the importance of ventilation for asphyxial arrest, data in adults suggest that chest compressions are critical for resuscitation from VF arrest, with ventilations being less important. Therefore

we continue to support a combination of ventilations and chest compressions for pediatric resuscitation but emphasize that sudden witnessed cardiac arrest in the adolescent, such as might occur during an athletic event, should be treated as a VF arrest, with emphasis on chest compressions and early defibrillation. Compression-only CPR is encouraged for bystanders who are not trained in giving ventilations or are hesitant to do so.

Despite the importance of providing a combination of ventilations and chest compressions for resuscitation of victims from asphyxial arrest (including most children) as described above, a switch to a C-A-B (Chest compressions, Airway, Breathing) sequence was recommended for ease of teaching. Theoretically this should delay ventilation by a maximum of about 18 seconds (less time if 2 rescuers are present).

There is again great emphasis on “push hard, push fast,” allowing the chest to completely recoil after each compression, minimizing interruptions in chest compressions, and avoiding excessive ventilation. To achieve effective chest compressions, rescuers are advised to compress at least one third the anterior-posterior dimension of the chest. This corresponds to approximately 1½ inches (4 cm) in most infants and 2 inches (5 cm) in most children.

### Pediatric Advanced Life Support

The following are the most important changes and reinforcements to recommendations in the *2005 AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC*:

- There is additional evidence that many healthcare providers cannot quickly and reliably determine the presence or absence of a pulse in infants or children.<sup>167</sup> The pulse assessment is therefore again deemphasized for healthcare providers. For a child who is unresponsive and not breathing normally, if a pulse cannot be detected within 10 seconds, healthcare providers should begin CPR.
- More data support the safety and effectiveness of cuffed endotracheal tubes in infants and young children, and the formula for selecting the appropriately sized cuffed tube has been updated.
- The safety and value of using cricoid pressure during emergency intubation has been questioned. It is therefore recommended that the application of cricoid pressure should be modified or discontinued if it impedes ventilation or the speed or ease of intubation.
- Monitoring capnography/capnometry is again recommended to confirm proper endotracheal tube (and other advanced airway) position and may be useful during CPR to assess and optimize quality of chest compressions.
- The optimal energy dose required for defibrillation (using either a monophasic or biphasic waveform) in infants and children is unknown. When shocks are indicated for VF or pulseless VT in infants and children, an initial energy dose of 2 to 4 J/kg of either waveform is reasonable; doses higher than 4 J/kg, especially if delivered with a biphasic defibrillator, may also be safe and effective.
- On the basis of increasing evidence of potential harm from high oxygen exposure after cardiac arrest, once spontaneous circulation is restored, inspired oxygen should be titrated to limit the risk of hyperoxemia.

- New sections have been added on resuscitation of infants and children with a single ventricle, after a variety of palliative procedures, and with pulmonary hypertension.
- There is recognition that for some young victims of sudden death, no cause of death is found on routine autopsy but these victims are found to have a genetic ion channel defect (channelopathy) that predisposes them to a fatal arrhythmia. It is therefore recommended that young victims of a sudden, unexpected cardiac arrest should have an unrestricted, complete autopsy when possible with appropriate preservation and genetic analysis of tissue. Detailed testing may reveal an inherited channelopathy that may also be present in surviving family members.

### Neonatal Resuscitation

The etiology of neonatal arrests is nearly always asphyxia. Therefore, the A-B-C sequence has been retained for resuscitation of neonates unless there is a known cardiac etiology.

#### *Assessment, Supplementary Oxygen, and Peripartum Suctioning*

When assessing an infant’s cardiorespiratory transition and need for resuscitation, the best indicators were found to be increasing heart rate, effective respirations, and good tone. Pulse oximetry, with the probe attached to the right upper extremity, should be used to assess any need for supplementary oxygen. Studies demonstrate that healthy babies born at term start with an oxygen saturation of <60% and will take >10 minutes to reach a saturation of >90%. Hyperoxia can be toxic, particularly to the preterm infant. For babies born at term, it is best to begin resuscitation with room air rather than 100% oxygen. Any supplementary oxygen administered should be regulated by blending oxygen and air, using oximetry to guide titration of the blend delivered.

The role of peripartum suctioning has been deemphasized. There is no evidence to support airway suctioning in active babies, even in the presence of meconium. The available evidence does not support or refute the routine endotracheal suctioning of non-vigorous infants born through meconium-stained amniotic fluid.

#### *Chest Compressions*

The recommended compression-ventilation ratio remains 3:1 because ventilation is critical to reversal of newborn asphyxial arrest and higher ratios may decrease minute ventilation. If the arrest is known to be of cardiac etiology, a higher ratio (15:2) should be considered. If epinephrine is indicated, a dose of 0.01 to 0.03 mg/kg should be administered IV as soon as possible. When using the endotracheal route it is likely that a larger dose (0.05 mg/kg to 0.1 mg/kg) will be required.

#### *Postresuscitation Care (Post-Cardiac Arrest Care)*

Therapeutic hypothermia is recommended for babies born near term with evolving moderate to severe hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy. Cooling should be initiated and conducted under clearly defined protocols with treatment in neonatal intensive care facilities and the capabilities for multidisciplinary care.



**Ethics**

The duration of resuscitation for newborns with prolonged cardiac arrest was reviewed. In a newly born baby with no detectable heart rate that remains undetectable for 10 minutes, it is appropriate to consider stopping resuscitation. When gestation, birth weight, or congenital anomalies are associated with almost certain early death and an unacceptably high morbidity is likely among the rare survivors, resuscitation is not indicated.

The role of simulation in education was assessed. The task force concluded that although it is reasonable to use simulation in resuscitation education, the most effective methodologies remain to be defined. Briefings and debriefings during learning improve acquisition of content knowledge, technical skills, or behavioral skills required for effective, safe resuscitation.

**Education**

“Education, Implementation, and Teams” is a new section in the 2010 AHA *Guidelines for CPR and ECC*. Major recommendations and points of emphasis in this new section include the following:

- Bystander CPR dramatically improves survival from cardiac arrest, yet far less than half of arrest victims receive this potentially lifesaving therapy.
- Methods to improve bystander willingness to perform CPR include formal training in CPR techniques, including compression-only (Hands-Only) CPR for those who may be unwilling or unable to perform conventional CPR; educating providers on the low risk of acquiring an infection by performing CPR; and specific training directed at helping providers overcome fear or panic when faced with an actual cardiac arrest victim.
- EMS should provide dispatcher instructions over the telephone to help bystanders recognize victims of cardiac arrest, including victims who may still be gasping, and to encourage bystanders to provide CPR if arrest is likely. Dispatchers may also instruct untrained bystanders in the performance of compression-only (Hands-Only) CPR.
- BLS skills can be learned equally well with “practice while watching” (video-based) training as through longer, traditional instructor-led courses.
- To reduce the time to defibrillation for cardiac arrest victims, AED use should not be limited only to persons with formal training in their use. However, AED training does improve performance in simulation and continues to be recommended.
- Training in teamwork and leadership skills should continue to be included in ALS courses.
- Manikins with realistic features such as the capability to replicate chest expansion and breath sounds, generate a pulse and blood pressure, and speak may be useful for integrating the knowledge, skills, and behaviors required in ALS training. However, there is insufficient evidence to recommend their routine use in ALS courses.
- Written tests should not be used exclusively to assess the competence of a participant in an advanced life support (ACLS or PALS) course (ie, there needs to be a performance assessment as well).

- Formal assessment should continue to be included in resuscitation courses, both as a method of evaluating the success of the student in achieving the learning objectives and of evaluating the effectiveness of the course.
- The current 2-year certification period for basic and advanced life support courses should include periodic assessment of rescuer knowledge and skills with reinforcement provided as needed. The optimal timing and method for this assessment and reinforcement are not known and warrant further investigation.
- CPR prompt and feedback devices may be useful for training rescuers and may be useful as part of an overall strategy to improve the quality of CPR for actual cardiac arrests.
- Debriefing is a learner-focused, nonthreatening technique to assist individual rescuers or teams to reflect on and improve performance. Debriefing should be included in advanced life support courses to facilitate learning and can be used to review performance in the clinical setting to improve subsequent performance.
- Systems-based approaches to improving resuscitation performance, such as regional systems of care and rapid response systems, may be useful to reduce the variability of survival for cardiac arrest.

**First Aid**

Once again, a review of the literature on many topics relevant to first aid found that little investigation is being carried out in this field, and many recommendations have had to be extrapolated from research published in related fields. The following are new recommendations or reinforcements of previous recommendations.

- Evidence suggests that, without training, laypersons and some healthcare professionals may be unable to recognize the signs and symptoms of anaphylaxis. Therefore, initial or subsequent administration of epinephrine for anaphylaxis by either of these groups may be problematic. This issue takes on added importance in view of legislation permitting the practice in some jurisdictions.
- Except in diving decompression injuries, there is no evidence of any benefit of administration of oxygen by first aid providers.
- The administration of aspirin by a first aid provider to a victim experiencing chest discomfort is problematic. The literature is clear on the benefit of early administration of aspirin to victims experiencing a coronary ischemic event except when there is a contraindication, such as true aspirin allergy or a bleeding disorder. Less clear, however, is whether first aid providers can recognize the signs and symptoms of an acute coronary syndrome or contraindications to aspirin and whether administration of aspirin by first aid providers delays definitive therapy in an advanced medical facility.
- No evidence of benefit was found for placing an unresponsive victim who is breathing in a “recovery” position. Studies performed with volunteers appear to show that if a victim is turned because of emesis or copious secretions, the HAINES (High Arm IN Endangered Spine) position is an example of a recovery position that may have some theoretic advantages.

- Since 2005 considerable new data have emerged on the use of tourniquets to control bleeding. This experience comes primarily from the battlefields of Iraq and Afghanistan. There is no question that tourniquets do control bleeding, but if left on too long, they can cause gangrene distal to the application and systemic complications, including shock and death. Protocols for the proper use of tourniquets to control bleeding exist, but there is no experience with civilian use or how to teach the proper application of tourniquets to first aid providers. Studies have shown that not all tourniquets are the same, and some manufactured tourniquets perform better than others and better than tourniquets that are improvised.
- Because of its importance, the issue of spinal stabilization was once again reviewed. Unfortunately very little new data are available, and it is still not clear whether secondary spinal cord injury is a real problem and whether the methods recommended for spinal stabilization or movement restriction are effective.
- The literature regarding first aid for snake bites was once again reviewed. In the 2005 review evidence was found for a beneficial effect from pressure immobilization for neurotoxic snake bites, but it now appears that there is a benefit even for non-neurotoxic snake bites. The challenge is that the range of pressure needed under the immobilization bandage appears to be critical and may be difficult to teach or estimate in the field.
- A new section on jellyfish stings has been added and new recommendations for treatment have been made.
- The literature on the first aid treatment of frostbite was reviewed. There continues to be evidence of potential harm in thawing of a frozen body part if there is any chance of refreezing. The literature is mixed on the benefit of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents as a first aid treatment for frostbite. Chemical warmers should not be used because they may generate temperatures capable of causing tissue injury.
- Oral fluid replacement has been found to be as effective as IV fluid in exercise- or heat-induced dehydration. The best oral fluid appears to be a carbohydrate-electrolyte mixture.

### Conflict of Interest Management

Throughout the 2010 evidence evaluation process the AHA and the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) followed rigorous conflict of interest (COI) policies to ensure that the potential for commercial bias was minimized. The COI process was based on the successful policies and actions used in developing the *2005 International Consensus on CPR and ECC Science With Treatment Recommendations*.<sup>168,169</sup> In 2007 ILCOR modified the COI management policies to be used for the 2010 evidence evaluation process, further enhancing and building on the process used in 2005. Modifications ensured that commercial relationships were identified as early as possible to avoid potential conflicts by reassigning the role to a participant who had no conflicts *before* work began. The revisions also took into account changes in AHA policies, approved by the AHA Science Advisory and Coordinating Committee in 2009, regarding requirements for scientific statement and guideline writing group chairs and members.

The COI policies and actions for the 2010 evidence evaluation process<sup>170</sup> described in full in Part 2 of this publication applied to the entire 5-year consensus development process—before, during, and after the actual 2010 International Consensus Conference. The policies applied to all aspects of the evidence evaluation process, including selection of leaders and members of ILCOR task forces and writing groups, selection of topics for worksheets, selection of worksheet authors, presentation and discussion of worksheets, development of final Consensus on Science statements, and, for the AHA, creation of the *2010 AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC* that follow in this publication. The policies applied to all volunteers and staff involved in the process, including all leaders and members of ILCOR committees (Conference Planning Committee, Editorial Board, and Task Forces for resuscitation areas), all evidence evaluation worksheet authors, and all 2010 International Consensus Conference participants.

As in 2005, during the entire 2010 International Consensus Conference every participant used his or her assigned number when speaking as a presenter, panelist, moderator, or commentator from the floor. For the duration of each speaker's comments, a slide was displayed with the speaker's name, institution, and any commercial relationships the speaker had disclosed so that the audience could assess the impact these relationships might have on the speaker's input. All participants were encouraged to raise any concerns with the moderators or identified COI leads for the conference. Depending on the nature of the relationship and their role in the guidelines process, participants were restricted from some activities (ie, leading, voting, deciding, writing) that directly or indirectly related to that commercial interest. Although the focus of the evidence evaluation process was evaluation of the scientific data and translation of that evidence into treatment recommendations and guidelines, attention to potential conflicts of interest was omnipresent throughout the process, helping ensure evidence-based guidelines free of commercial influence.

### Summary

As we mark the 50th anniversary of modern-era CPR, we must acknowledge that, despite measurable progress aimed at its prevention, cardiac arrest—both in and out of the hospital—continues to be a major public health challenge. Over these 50 years, scientific knowledge about arrest pathophysiology and resuscitation mechanisms has increased substantially. In our ongoing commitment to ensure optimal community-based care for all victims of cardiac arrest, we must continue to effectively translate the science of resuscitation into clinical care and improved resuscitation outcomes.

### Acknowledgments

The writing group gratefully acknowledges the extraordinary dedication and contributions of the AHA ECC staff, especially Kara Robinson, as well as David Barnes, Jennifer Denton, Lana Gent, Colleen Halverson, Jody Hundley, Alicia Pederson, Tanya Semenko, and Nina Tran. In addition, the writing group acknowledges additional outstanding contributions, especially from Brenda Schoolfield, and also from Jeanette Allison, Janet Butler, Heba Costandy, Cathryn Evans, Pierce Goetz, and Sallie Young.

Disclosures

Guidelines Part 1: Executive Summary: Writing Group Disclosures

Writing Group Member	Employment	Research Grant	Other Research Support	Speakers' Bureau/Honoraria	Ownership Interest	Consultant/Advisory Board	Other
John M. Field	Penn State University COM & Heart and Vascular Institute—Professor of Medicine and Surgery. AHA ECC Senior Science Editor	None	None	None	None	None	None
Mary Fran Hazinski	Vanderbilt University School of Nursing—Professor; AHA ECC Product Development—Senior Science Editor †Significant AHA compensation to write, edit and review documents such as the 2010 AHA Guidelines for CPR and ECC.	None	None	None	None	None	None
Michael R. Sayre	The Ohio State University—Associate Professor	None	None	None	None	None	None
Leon Chameides	Emeritus Director Pediatric Cardiology, Connecticut Children's Hospital; Clinical Professor, University of Connecticut	None	None	None	None	None	None
Stephen M. Schexnayder	University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences—Professor/Division Chief; AHA Compensated Consultant as Associate Senior Science Editor	*Pharmacokinetics of proton pump inhibitors in critically ill children	None	*Contemporary Forums (nursing conferences)	None	None	*Various medical legal cases involving pediatric critical care & emergency medicine
Robin Hemphill	Emory University, Dept. of Emergency Medicine—Associate Professor †Paid AHA writer	None	None	None	None	None	None
Ricardo A. Samson	The University of Arizona, providing clinical care, teaching, and research associated with an academic pediatric cardiology practice. Professor of Pediatrics	None	None	None	None	†Consultant—American Heart Association—Associate Science Editor Salary support received to devote 30% time to AHA for the development of ECC materials	None
John Kattwinkel	University of Virginia—Professor of Pediatrics	None	None	None	None	None	None
Robert A. Berg	U of Pennsylvania—Professor	Co-I, Laerdal Foundation, Sarver Heart Center (U of Arizona) Cardiac Arrest and CPR Program Support Grant, 2007–2009	None	None	None	None	None
Farhan Bhanji	Montreal Children's Hospital, McGill University; Assistant Professor of Pediatrics	None	None	None	None	None	None
Diana M. Cave	Legacy Health System, Emergency Services, RN	None	None	None	None	None	None
Edward C. Jauch	Medical University of South Carolina; Emergency medicine physician, Stroke team physician, Professor	†NIH (EC) IMS-3 U01 NS052220 (not related) NIH study, all money to University *NIH (Co-I) ALIAS II Study U01 NS054630 NIH study, all money to University	None	None	None	None	*Member, DSMB Field Administration of Stroke Therapy—Magnesium Trial (U01NS044364) No money involved
Peter J. Kudenchuk	University of Washington—Professor of Medicine	†NHLBI Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium (Principal Investigator); funding comes to institution	None	*Network for Continuing Medical Education, Academy for Healthcare Education, Sanofi-Aventis, Pri-Med, Horizon CME, with honoraria	*Sanofi-Aventis, Novartis	None	†Medical-legal Consultation
Robert W. Neumar	University of Pennsylvania—Associate Professor of Emergency Medicine	†Funding Source: NIH/NINDS Grant Number: R21 NS054654 Funding Period 06/01/07 to 06/31/2010 Role on Project: Principal Investigator Title: Optimizing Therapeutic Hypothermia After Cardiac Arrest Description: The goal of this project is to evaluate how the onset and duration of therapeutic hypothermia after cardiac arrest impacts survival and neuroprotection	None	None	None	None	None

(Continued)

Guidelines Part 1: Executive Summary: Writing Group Disclosures, *Continued*

Writing Group Member	Employment	Research Grant	Other Research Support	Speakers' Bureau/Honoraria	Ownership Interest	Consultant/Advisory Board	Other
Mary Ann Peberdy	Virginia Commonwealth University-Professor of Internal Medicine and Emergency Medicine	None	None	None	None	None	None
Jeffrey M. Perlman	Weill Cornell Medical College-Professor of Pediatrics	*NIH Grant –Co-PI-Antimicrobial Dosing in the NIH	None	None	None	None	None
Elizabeth Sinz	Penn State Hershey Medical Center– Professor of Anesthesiology and Neurosurgery *Associate Science Editor for AHA	None	None	None	None	None	None
Andrew H. Travers	Emergency Health Services NS-Provincial Medical Director	None	None	None	None	None	None
Marc D. Berg	University of Arizona/University Physician's Healthcare (UPH)– Asso. Prof. Clinical Pediatrics Attending Intensivist, Pediatric Critical Care Medicine	None	None	None	None	None	None
John E. Billi	University of Michigan Medical School -Professor	None	None	None	None	None	None
Brian Eigel	American Heart Association–Director of Science, ECC Programs	None	None	None	None	None	None
Robert W. Hickey	University of Pittsburgh–MD	†NIH sponsored research on the effect of cyclopentenone prostaglandins upon post-ischemic brain	None	None	None	None	*Occasional expert witness in medical malpractice cases (1–2 times/yr)
Monica E. Kleinman	Children's Hospital Anesthesia Foundation: Not-for-profit foundation– Senior Associate in Critical Care Medicine	None	None	None	None	None	None
Mark S. Link	Tufts Medical Center Academic Medical Institution-Attending Physician	None	None	None	None	None	None
Laurie J. Morrison	St. Michael Hospital, clinician	None	None	None	None	None	None
Robert E. O'Connor	University of Virginia Health System–Professor and Chair of Emergency Medicine	None	None	None	None	None	None
Michael Shuster	Self-employed; Emergency Physician	None	None	None	None	None	None
Clifton W. Callaway	University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine; Associate Professor UPMC Health System; Physician	†NHLBI-Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium	*Loan of cooling equipment from Medivance, Inc., a manufacturer of hypothermia devices	None	†Coinventor on patents related to timing of defibrillation. Patents licensed to Medtronic ERS, by the University of Pittsburgh. *Own stock in Apple Computer, Inc.	None	None
Brett Cucchiara	University of Pennsylvania Assistant Professor of Neurology	†NIH RO1-migraine imaging research	None	*Multiple CME talks at different institutions	None	None	*Occasionally serves as expert witness for medicolegal cases
Jeffrey D. Ferguson	Brody School of Medicine at East Carolina University– Assistant Professor	None	None	None	None	None	*Currently involved as expert witness on two pending cases. Fees to date total less than \$10,000 over previous 12 months
Thomas D. Rea	University of Washington-Associate Professor	†Medtronic Foundation to develop community approaches to improve resuscitation. Monies to the institution. †Laerdal Foundation to evaluate optimal approaches for bystander CPR. Monies to the institution. *Philips Medical Inc PhysioControl Inc	†Philips Medical and PhysioControl provided equipment to support research. Equipment went to the institution.	None	None	None	None

(Continued)

Guidelines Part 1: Executive Summary: Writing Group Disclosures, *Continued*

Writing Group Member	Employment	Research Grant	Other Research		Ownership	Consultant/Advisory	
			Support	Speakers' Bureau/Honoraria	Interest	Board	Other
Terry L. Vanden Hoek	The University of Chicago; Associate Professor	*Principal Investigator Department of Defense, Office of Naval Research *Proteomic Development of Molecular Vital Signs: Mapping a Mitochondrial Injury Severity Score to Triage and Guide Resuscitation of Hemorrhagic Shock* 9/6/04–4/31/10 \$885,639 (current year) Research grant awarded to the University of Chicago	None	None	None	None	None

This table represents the relationships of writing group members that may be perceived as actual or reasonably perceived conflicts of interest as reported on the Disclosure Questionnaire, which all members of the writing group are required to complete and submit. A relationship is considered to be "significant" if (a) the person receives \$10 000 or more during any 12-month period, or 5% or more of the person's gross income; or (b) the person owns 5% or more of the voting stock or share of the entity, or owns \$10 000 or more of the fair market value of the entity. A relationship is considered to be "modest" if it is less than "significant" under the preceding definition.

\*Modest.

†Significant.

## References

- Kouwenhoven WB, Jude JR, Knickerbocker GG. Closed-chest cardiac massage. *JAMA*. 1960;173:1064–1067.
- Eisenberg M. *Resuscitate! How Your Community Can Improve Survival from Sudden Cardiac Arrest*. Seattle, WA: University of Washington Press; 2009.
- Lown B, Neuman J, Amarasingham R, Berkovits BV. Comparison of alternating current with direct electroshock across the closed chest. *Am J Cardiol*. 1962;10:223–233.
- Cardiopulmonary resuscitation: statement by the Ad Hoc Committee on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation, of the Division of Medical Sciences, National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council. *JAMA*. 1966;198:372–379.
- Nichol G, Thomas E, Callaway CW, Hedges J, Powell JL, Aufderheide TP, Rea T, Lowe R, Brown T, Dreyer J, Davis D, Idris A, Stiell I. Regional variation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest incidence and outcome. *JAMA*. 2008;300:1423–1431.
- Mogayzel C, Quan L, Graves JR, Tiedeman D, Fahrenbruch C, Herndon P. Out-of-hospital ventricular fibrillation in children and adolescents: causes and outcomes. *Ann Emerg Med*. 1995;25:484–491.
- Donoghue AJ, Nadkarni V, Berg RA, Osmond MH, Wells G, Nesbitt L, Stiell IG. Out-of-hospital pediatric cardiac arrest: an epidemiologic review and assessment of current knowledge. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2005;46:512–522.
- Samson RA, Nadkarni VM, Meaney PA, Carey SM, Berg MD, Berg RA. Outcomes of in-hospital ventricular fibrillation in children. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;354:2328–2339.
- Atkins DL, Everson-Stewart S, Sears GK, Daya M, Osmond MH, Warden CR, Berg RA. Epidemiology and outcomes from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in children: the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium Epistry-Cardiac Arrest. *Circulation*. 2009;119:1484–1491.
- Hazinski MF, Nolan JP, Billi JE, Böttiger BW, Bossaert L, de Caen AR, Deakin CD, Drajer S, Eigel B, Hickey RW, Jacobs I, Kleinman ME, Kloeck W, Koster RW, Lim SH, Mancini ME, Montgomery WH, Morley PT, Morrison LJ, Nadkarni VM, O'Connor RE, Okada K, Perlman JM, Sayre MR, Shuster M, Soar J, Sunde K, Travers AH, Wyllie J, Zideman D. Part 1: executive summary: 2010 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment Recommendations. *Circulation*. 2010;122(suppl 2):S250–S275.
- American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. *Circulation*. 2005;112(Suppl):IV1–203.
- Wik L, Kramer-Johansen J, Myklebust H, Sorebo H, Svensson L, Fellows B, Steen PA. Quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA*. 2005;293:299–304.
- Abella BS, Alvarado JP, Myklebust H, Edelson DP, Barry A, O'Hearn N, Vanden Hoek TL, Becker LB. Quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation during in-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA*. 2005;293:305–310.
- Callaway CW, Schmicker R, Kampmeyer M, Powell J, Rea TD, Daya MR, Aufderheide TP, Davis DP, Rittenberger JC, Idris AH, Nichol G. Receiving hospital characteristics associated with survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2010;81:524–529.
- Hollenberg J, Herlitz J, Lindqvist J, Riva G, Bohm K, Rosenqvist M, Svensson L. Improved survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest is associated with an increase in proportion of emergency crew-witnessed cases and bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Circulation*. 2008;118:389–396.
- Lund-Kordahl I, Olasveengen TM, Lorentz T, Samdal M, Wik L, Sunde K. Improving outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest by strengthening weak links of the local Chain of Survival: quality of advanced life support and post-resuscitation care. *Resuscitation*. 2010;81:422–426.
- Iwami T, Nichol G, Hiraide A, Hayashi Y, Nishiuchi T, Kajino K, Morita H, Yukioka H, Ikeuchi H, Sugimoto H, Nonogi H, Kawamura T. Continuous improvements in "chain of survival" increased survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrests: a large-scale population-based study. *Circulation*. 2009;119:728–734.
- Rea TD, Helbock M, Perry S, Garcia M, Cloyd D, Becker L, Eisenberg M. Increasing use of cardiopulmonary resuscitation during out-of-hospital ventricular fibrillation arrest: survival implications of guideline changes. *Circulation*. 2006;114:2760–2765.
- Bobrow BJ, Clark LL, Ewy GA, Chikani V, Sanders AB, Berg RA, Richman PB, Kern KB. Minimally interrupted cardiac resuscitation by emergency medical services for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA*. 2008;299:1158–1165.
- Sayre MR, Cantrell SA, White LJ, Hiestand BC, Keseg DP, Koser S. Impact of the 2005 American Heart Association cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care guidelines on out-of-hospital cardiac arrest survival. *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 2009;13:469–477.
- Steinmetz J, Barnung S, Nielsen SL, Risom M, Rasmussen LS. Improved survival after an out-of-hospital cardiac arrest using new guidelines. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2008;52:908–913.
- Hinchey PR, Myers JB, Lewis R, De Maio VJ, Reyer E, Licatose D, Zalkin J, Snyder G. Improved out-of-hospital cardiac arrest survival after the sequential implementation of 2005 AHA guidelines for compressions, ventilations, and induced hypothermia: the Wake County Experience. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2010; Mar 30. Epub.
- Berdowski J, Schmohl A, Tijssen JG, Koster RW. Time needed for a regional emergency medical system to implement resuscitation guidelines 2005—the Netherlands experience. *Resuscitation*. 2009;80:1336–1341.
- Bigham BL, Koprowicz K, Aufderheide TP, Davis DP, Donn S, Powell J, Suffoletto B, Nafziger S, Stouffer J, Idris A, Morrison LJ. Delayed prehospital implementation of the 2005 American Heart Association guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiac care. *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 2010;14:355–360.
- Bigham B, Aufderheide T, Davis D, Powell J, Donn S, Suffoletto B, Nafziger S, Stouffer J, Morrison LJ, the ROC Investigators. Knowledge translation in emergency medical services: a qualitative survey of barriers to guideline implementation. *Resuscitation*. 2010; Apr 14. Epub.
- Binks AC, Murphy RE, Prout RE, Bhayani S, Griffiths CA, Mitchell T, Padkin A, Nolan JP. Therapeutic hypothermia after cardiac arrest—

- implementation in UK intensive care units. *Anaesthesia*. 2010;65:260–265.
27. Kitamura T, Iwami T, Kawamura T, Nagao K, Tanaka H, Nadkarni VM, Berg RA, Hiraide A. Conventional and chest-compression-only cardiopulmonary resuscitation by bystanders for children who have out-of-hospital cardiac arrests: a prospective, nationwide, population-based cohort study. *Lancet*. 2010;375:1347–1354.
  28. Iwami T, Kawamura T, Hiraide A, Berg RA, Hayashi Y, Nishiuchi T, Kajino K, Yonemoto N, Yukioka H, Sugimoto H, Kakuchi H, Sase K, Yokoyama H, Nonogi H. Effectiveness of bystander-initiated cardiac-only resuscitation for patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circulation*. 2007;116:2900–2907.
  29. SOS-KANTO Study Group. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation by bystanders with chest compression only (SOS-KANTO): an observational study. *Lancet*. 2007;369(9565):920–926.
  30. Ong ME, Ng FS, Anushia P, Tham LP, Leong BS, Ong VY, Tiah L, Lim SH, Anantharaman V. Comparison of chest compression only and standard cardiopulmonary resuscitation for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Singapore. *Resuscitation*. 2008;78:119–126.
  31. Bohm K, Rosenqvist M, Herlitz J, Hollenberg J, Svensson L. Survival is similar after standard treatment and chest compression only in out-of-hospital bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Circulation*. 2007;116:2908–2912.
  32. Olasveengen TM, Wik L, Steen PA. Standard basic life support vs continuous chest compressions only in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2008;52:914–919.
  33. Edelson DP, Abella BS, Kramer-Johansen J, Wik L, Myklebust H, Barry AM, Merchant RM, Hoek TL, Steen PA, Becker LB. Effects of compression depth and pre-shock pauses predict defibrillation failure during cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2006;71:137–145.
  34. Eftestol T, Sunde K, Steen PA. Effects of interrupting precordial compressions on the calculated probability of defibrillation success during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circulation*. 2002;105:2270–2273.
  35. Christenson J, Andrusiek D, Everson-Stewart S, Kudenchuk P, Hostler D, Powell J, Callaway CW, Bishop D, Vaillancourt C, Davis D, Aufderheide TP, Idris A, Stouffer JA, Stiell I, Berg R. Chest compression fraction determines survival in patients with out-of-hospital ventricular fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2009;120:1241–1247.
  36. Edelson DP, Litzinger B, Arora V, Walsh D, Kim S, Lauderdale DS, Vanden Hoek TL, Becker LB, Abella BS. Improving in-hospital cardiac arrest process and outcomes with performance debriefing. *Arch Intern Med*. 2008;168:1063–1069.
  37. National Registry of CPR (NRCPR). <http://www.nrcpr.org/>. Accessed May 5, 2010.
  38. Meaney PA, Nadkarni VM, Kern KB, Indik JH, Halperin HR, Berg RA. Rhythms and outcomes of adult in-hospital cardiac arrest. *Crit Care Med*. 2010;38:101–108.
  39. Topjian AA, Localio AR, Berg RA, Alessandrini EA, Meaney PA, Pepe PE, Larkin GL, Peberdy MA, Becker LB, Nadkarni VM. Women of child-bearing age have better in-hospital cardiac arrest survival outcomes than do equal-aged men. *Crit Care Med*. 2010;38:1254–1260.
  40. Chan PS, Nichol G, Krumholz HM, Spertus JA, Jones PG, Peterson ED, Rathore SS, Nallamothu BK. Racial differences in survival after in-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA*. 2009;302:1195–1201.
  41. Kayser RG, Ornato JP, Peberdy MA. Cardiac arrest in the Emergency Department: a report from the National Registry of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2008;78:151–160.
  42. Peberdy MA, Ornato JP, Larkin GL, Braithwaite RS, Kashner TM, Carey SM, Meaney PA, Cen L, Nadkarni VM, Praestgaard AH, Berg RA. Survival from in-hospital cardiac arrest during nights and weekends. *JAMA*. 2008;299:785–792.
  43. Nadkarni VM, Larkin GL, Peberdy MA, Carey SM, Kaye W, Mancini ME, Nichol G, Lane-Truitt T, Potts J, Ornato JP, Berg RA. First documented rhythm and clinical outcome from in-hospital cardiac arrest among children and adults. *JAMA*. 2006;295:50–57.
  44. Peberdy MA, Kaye W, Ornato JP, Larkin GL, Nadkarni V, Mancini ME, Berg RA, Nichol G, Lane-Truitt T. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation of adults in the hospital: a report of 14720 cardiac arrests from the National Registry of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2003;58:297–308.
  45. Olasveengen TM, Sunde K, Brunborg C, Thowsen J, Steen PA, Wik L. Intravenous drug administration during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2009;302:2222–2229.
  46. Sunde K, Pytte M, Jacobsen D, Mangschau A, Jensen LP, Smedsrud C, Draegni T, Steen PA. Implementation of a standardised treatment protocol for post resuscitation care after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2007;73:29–39.
  47. Rittenberger JC, Guyette FX, Tisherman SA, DeVita MA, Alvarez RJ, Callaway CW. Outcomes of a hospital-wide plan to improve care of comatose survivors of cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2008;79:198–204.
  48. Gaieski DF, Band RA, Abella BS, Neumar RW, Fuchs BD, Kolansky DM, Merchant RM, Carr BG, Becker LB, Maguire C, Klair A, Hylton J, Goyal M. Early goal-directed hemodynamic optimization combined with therapeutic hypothermia in comatose survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2009;80:418–424.
  49. Mild therapeutic hypothermia to improve the neurologic outcome after cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;346:549–556.
  50. Bernard SA, Gray TW, Buist MD, Jones BM, Silvester W, Gutteridge G, Smith K. Treatment of comatose survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest with induced hypothermia. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;346:557–563.
  51. Arrich J. Clinical application of mild therapeutic hypothermia after cardiac arrest. *Crit Care Med*. 2007;35:1041–1047.
  52. Holzer M, Mullner M, Sterz F, Robak O, Kliegel A, Losert H, Sodeck G, Uray T, Zeiner A, Laggner AN. Efficacy and safety of endovascular cooling after cardiac arrest: cohort study and Bayesian approach. *Stroke*. 2006;37:1792–1797.
  53. Oddo M, Schaller MD, Feihl F, Ribordy V, Liaudet L. From evidence to clinical practice: effective implementation of therapeutic hypothermia to improve patient outcome after cardiac arrest. *Crit Care Med*. 2006;34:1865–1873.
  54. Busch M, Soreide E, Lossius HM, Lexow K, Dickstein K. Rapid implementation of therapeutic hypothermia in comatose out-of-hospital cardiac arrest survivors. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2006;50:1277–1283.
  55. Storm C, Steffen I, Schefold JC, Krueger A, Oppert M, Jorres A, Hasper D. Mild therapeutic hypothermia shortens intensive care unit stay of survivors after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest compared to historical controls. *Crit Care*. 2008;12:R78.
  56. Don CW, Longstreth WT Jr, Maynard C, Olsufka M, Nichol G, Ray T, Kupchik N, Deem S, Copass MK, Cobb LA, Kim F. Active surface cooling protocol to induce mild therapeutic hypothermia after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a retrospective before-and-after comparison in a single hospital. *Crit Care Med*. 2009;37:3062–3069.
  57. Gluckman PD, Wyatt JS, Azzopardi D, Ballard R, Edwards AD, Ferriero DM, Polin RA, Robertson CM, Thoresen M, Whitelaw A, Gunn AJ. Selective head cooling with mild systemic hypothermia after neonatal encephalopathy: multicentre randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2005;365(9460):663–670.
  58. Shankaran S, Laptook AR, Ehrenkrantz RA, Tyson JE, McDonald SA, Donovan EF, Fanaroff AA, Poole WK, Wright LL, Higgins RD, Finer NN, Carlo WA, Dura S, Oh W, Cotten CM, Stevenson DK, Stoll BJ, Lemons JA, Guillet R, Jobe AH. Whole-body hypothermia for neonates with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;353:1574–1584.
  59. Azzopardi DV, Strohm B, Edwards AD, Dyet L, Halliday HL, Juszczak E, Kapellou O, Levene M, Marlow N, Porter E, Thoresen M, Whitelaw A, Brocklehurst P. Moderate hypothermia to treat perinatal asphyxial encephalopathy. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;361:1349–1358.
  60. Eicher DJ, Wagner CL, Katikaneni LP, Hulsey TC, Bass WT, Kaufman DA, Horgan MJ, Languani S, Bhatia JJ, Givelicichian LM, Sankaran K, Yager JY. Moderate hypothermia in neonatal encephalopathy: safety outcomes. *Pediatr Neurol*. 2005;32:18–24.
  61. Gluckman PD, Wyatt JS, Azzopardi D, Ballard R, Edwards AD, Ferriero DM, Polin RA, Robertson CM, Thoresen M, Whitelaw A, Gunn AJ. Selective head cooling with mild systemic hypothermia after neonatal encephalopathy: multicentre randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2005;365:663–670.
  62. Wijndicks EF, Hijdra A, Young GB, Bassetti CL, Wiebe S. Practice parameter: prediction of outcome in comatose survivors after cardiopulmonary resuscitation (an evidence-based review): report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology*. 2006;67:203–210.
  63. Rossetti AO, Oddo M, Loggrosino G, Kaplan PW. Prognostication after cardiac arrest and hypothermia: a prospective study. *Ann Neurol*. 2010;67:301–307.
  64. Leithner C, Ploner CJ, Hasper D, Storm C. Does hypothermia influence the predictive value of bilateral absent N20 after cardiac arrest? *Neurology*. 2010;74:965–969.
  65. Smith KK, Gilcrest D, Pierce K. Evaluation of staff's retention of ACLS and BLS skills. *Resuscitation*. 2008;78:59–65.

66. Woollard M, Whitfield R, Smith A, Colquhoun M, Newcombe RG, Vetter N, Chamberlain D. Skill acquisition and retention in automated external defibrillator (AED) use and CPR by lay responders: a prospective study. *Resuscitation*. 2004;60:17–28.
67. Spooner BB, Fallaha JF, Kocierz L, Smith CM, Smith SC, Perkins GD. An evaluation of objective feedback in basic life support (BLS) training. *Resuscitation*. 2007;73:417–424.
68. Einspruch EL, Lynch B, Aufderheide TP, Nichol G, Becker L. Retention of CPR skills learned in a traditional AHA Heartsaver course versus 30-min video self-training: a controlled randomized study. *Resuscitation*. 2007;74:476–486.
69. Roppolo LP, Pepe PE, Campbell L, Ohman K, Kulkarni H, Miller R, Idris A, Bean L, Bettes TN, Idris AH. Prospective, randomized trial of the effectiveness and retention of 30-min layperson training for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and automated external defibrillators: the American Airlines Study. *Resuscitation*. 2007;74:276–285.
70. Berden HJ, Willems FF, Hendrick JM, Pijls NH, Knape JT. How frequently should basic cardiopulmonary resuscitation training be repeated to maintain adequate skills? *BMJ*. 1993;306(6892):1576–1577.
71. Woollard M, Whitfield R, Newcombe RG, Colquhoun M, Vetter N, Chamberlain D. Optimal refresher training intervals for AED and CPR skills: a randomised controlled trial. *Resuscitation*. 2006;71:237–247.
72. Duran R, Aladag N, Vatanever U, Kucukugurluoglu Y, Sut N, Acunas B. Proficiency and knowledge gained and retained by pediatric residents after neonatal resuscitation course. *Pediatr Int*. 2008;50:644–647.
73. Anthonypillai F. Retention of advanced cardiopulmonary resuscitation knowledge by intensive care trained nurses. *Intensive Crit Care Nurs*. 1992;8:180–184.
74. Boonmak P, Boonmak S, Srichaipanha S, Poomsawat S. Knowledge and skill after brief ACLS training. *J Med Assoc Thai*. 2004;87:1311–1314.
75. Kaye W, Wynne G, Marteau T, Dubin HG, Rallis SF, Simons RS, Evans TR. An advanced resuscitation training course for preregistration house officers. *J R Coll Physicians Lond*. 1990;24:51–54.
76. Skidmore MB, Urquhart H. Retention of skills in neonatal resuscitation. *Paediatr Child Health*. 2001;6:31–35.
77. Semeraro F, Signore L, Cerchiaro EL. Retention of CPR performance in anaesthetists. *Resuscitation*. 2006;68:101–108.
78. Trevisanuto D, Ferrarese P, Cavicchioli P, Fasson A, Zanardo V, Zaccello F. Knowledge gained by pediatric residents after neonatal resuscitation program courses. *Paediatr Anaesth*. 2005;15:944–947.
79. Young R, King L. An evaluation of knowledge and skill retention following an in-house advanced life support course. *Nurs Crit Care*. 2000;5:7–14.
80. Duran R, Sen F, N A, Vatanever U, Acunaş B. Knowledge gained and retained by neonatal nurses following neonatal resuscitation program course. *Turk Pediatr Ars*. 2007;42:153–155.
81. Grant EC, Marcinski CA, Menon K. Using pediatric advanced life support in pediatric residency training: does the curriculum need resuscitation? *Pediatr Crit Care Med*. 2007;8:433–439.
82. O'Steen DS, Kee CC, Minick MP. The retention of advanced cardiac life support knowledge among registered nurses. *J Nurs Staff Dev*. 1996;12:66–72.
83. Hammond F, Saba M, Simes T, Cross R. Advanced life support: retention of registered nurses' knowledge 18 months after initial training. *Aust Crit Care*. 2000;13:99–104.
84. Hunziker S, Buhlmann C, Tschan F, Balestra G, Legeret C, Schumacher C, Semmer NK, Hunziker P, Marsch S. Brief leadership instructions improve cardiopulmonary resuscitation in a high-fidelity simulation: a randomized controlled trial. *Crit Care Med*. 2010;38:1086–1091.
85. Thomas EJ, Taggart B, Crandell S, Lasky RE, Williams AL, Love LJ, Sexton JB, Tyson JE, Helmreich RL. Teaching teamwork during the Neonatal Resuscitation Program: a randomized trial. *J Perinatol*. 2007;27:409–414.
86. Gilfoyle E, Gottesman R, Razack S. Development of a leadership skills workshop in paediatric advanced resuscitation. *Med Teach*. 2007;29:e276–e283.
87. DeVita MA, Schaefer J, Lutz J, Wang H, Dongilli T. Improving medical emergency team (MET) performance using a novel curriculum and a computerized human patient simulator. *Qual Saf Health Care*. 2005;14:326–331.
88. Makinen M, Aune S, Niemi-Murola L, Herlitz J, Varpula T, Nurmi J, Axelsson AB, Thoren AB, Castren M. Assessment of CPR-D skills of nurses in Goteborg, Sweden and Espoo, Finland: teaching leadership makes a difference. *Resuscitation*. 2007;72:264–269.
89. Morey JC, Simon R, Jay GD, Wears RL, Salisbury M, Dukes KA, Berns SD. Error reduction and performance improvement in the emergency department through formal teamwork training: evaluation results of the MedTeams project. *Health Serv Res*. 2002;37:1553–1581.
90. Rea TD, Cook AJ, Stiell IG, Powell J, Bigham B, Callaway CW, Chugh S, Aufderheide TP, Morrison L, Terndrup TE, Beaudoin T, Wittwer L, Davis D, Idris A, Nichol G. Predicting survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: role of the Utstein data elements. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2010;55:249–257.
91. Feder S, Matheny RL, Loveless RS, Jr, Rea TD. Withholding resuscitation: a new approach to prehospital end-of-life decisions. *Ann Intern Med*. 2006;144:634–640.
92. Kellermann A, Lynn J. Withholding resuscitation in prehospital care. *Ann Intern Med*. 2006;144:692–693.
93. Morrison LJ, Visentin LM, Kiss A, Theriault R, Eby D, Vermeulen M, Sherbino J, Verbeek PR. Validation of a rule for termination of resuscitation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;355:478–487.
94. Richman PB, Vadeboncoeur TF, Chikani V, Clark L, Bobrow BJ. Independent evaluation of an out-of-hospital termination of resuscitation (TOR) clinical decision rule. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2008;15:517–521.
95. Morrison LJ, Verbeek PR, Zhan C, Kiss A, Allan KS. Validation of a universal prehospital termination of resuscitation clinical prediction rule for advanced and basic life support providers. *Resuscitation*. 2009;80:324–328.
96. Ong ME, Jaffey J, Stiell I, Nesbitt L. Comparison of termination-of-resuscitation guidelines for basic life support: defibrillator providers in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2006;47:337–343.
97. Sasson C, Hegg AJ, Macy M, Park A, Kellermann A, McNally B. Prehospital termination of resuscitation in cases of refractory out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA*. 2008;300:1432–1438.
98. Ruygrok ML, Byyny RL, Haukoos JS. Validation of 3 termination of resuscitation criteria for good neurologic survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2009;54:239–247.
99. Skrifvars MB, Vayrynen T, Kuisma M, Castren M, Parr MJ, Silfverstople J, Svensson L, Jonsson L, Herlitz J. Comparison of Helsinki and European Resuscitation Council “do not attempt to resuscitate” guidelines, and a termination of resuscitation clinical prediction rule for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patients found in asystole or pulseless electrical activity. *Resuscitation*. 2010;81:679–684.
100. Morrison LJ, Verbeek PR, Vermeulen MJ, Kiss A, Allan KS, Nesbitt L, Stiell I. Derivation and evaluation of a termination of resuscitation clinical prediction rule for advanced life support providers. *Resuscitation*. 2007;74:266–275.
101. De Leeuw R, Cuttini M, Nadai M, Berdik I, Hansen G, Kucinskas A, Lenoir S, Levin A, Persson J, Rebagliato M, Reid M, Schroell M, de Vonderweid U. Treatment choices for extremely preterm infants: an international perspective. *J Pediatr*. 2000;137:608–616.
102. Jain L, Ferre C, Vidyasagar D, Nath S, Sheftel D. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation of apparently stillborn infants: survival and long-term outcome. *J Pediatr*. 1991;118:778–782.
103. Casalaz DM, Marlow N, Speidel BD. Outcome of resuscitation following unexpected apparent stillbirth. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. 1998;78:F112–F115.
104. Laptook AR, Shankaran S, Ambalavanan N, Carlo WA, McDonald SA, Higgins RD, Das A. Outcome of term infants using apgar scores at 10 minutes following hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124:1619–1626.
105. Eckstein M, Stratton SJ, Chan LS. Termination of resuscitative efforts for out-of-hospital cardiac arrests. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2005;12:65–70.
106. Weisfeldt ML, Sugarman J, Bandeen-Roche K. Toward definitive trials and improved outcomes of cardiac arrest. *Circulation*. 2010;121:1586–1588.
107. Sugarman J. Examining the provisions for research without consent in the emergency setting. *Hastings Cent Rep*. 2007;37:12–13.
108. Tisherman SA, Powell JL, Schmidt TA, Aufderheide TP, Kudenchuk PJ, Spence J, Climer D, Kelly D, Marcantonio A, Brown T, Sopko G, Kerber R, Sugarman J, Hoyt D. Regulatory challenges for the resuscitation outcomes consortium. *Circulation*. 2008;118:1585–1592.
109. Dickert NW, Sugarman J. Getting the ethics right regarding research in the emergency setting: lessons from the PolyHeme study. *Kennedy Inst Ethics J*. 2007;17:153–169.
110. White L, Rogers J, Bloomingdale M, Fahrenbruch C, Culley L, Subido C, Eisenberg M, Rea T. Dispatcher-assisted cardiopulmonary resusci-

- tation: risks for patients not in cardiac arrest. *Circulation*. 2010;121:91–97.
111. Cabrini L, Beccaria P, Landoni G, Biondi-Zoccai GG, Sheiban I, Cristofolini M, Fochi O, Maj G, Zangrillo A. Impact of impedance threshold devices on cardiopulmonary resuscitation: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. *Crit Care Med*. 2008;36:1625–1632.
  112. Hallstrom A, Rea TD, Sayre MR, Christenson J, Anton AR, Mosesso VN, Jr, Van Ottingham L, Olsufka M, Pennington S, White LJ, Yahn S, Husar J, Morris MF, Cobb LA. Manual chest compression vs use of an automated chest compression device during resuscitation following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2006;295:2620–2628.
  - 112a. Hallstrom A, Rea TD, Sayre MR, Christenson J, Cobb LA, Mosesso VN Jr, Anton AR. The ASPiRE trial investigators respond to inhomogeneity and temporal effects assertion. *Am J Emerg Med*. August 16, 2010. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2010.07.001. Available at: [http://www.ajemjournal.com/article/S0735-6757\(10\)00307-4/fulltext](http://www.ajemjournal.com/article/S0735-6757(10)00307-4/fulltext).
  113. Paradis N, Young G, Lemeshow S, Brewer J, Halperin H. Inhomogeneity and temporal effects in AutoPulse Assisted Prehospital International Resuscitation—an exception from consent trial terminated early. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2010;28:391–398.
  114. Tomte O, Sunde K, Lorentz T, Auestad B, Souders C, Jensen J, Wik L. Advanced life support performance with manual and mechanical chest compressions in a randomized, multicentre manikin study. *Resuscitation*. 2009;80:1152–1157.
  115. Axelsson C, Nestin J, Svensson L, Axelsson AB, Herlitz J. Clinical consequences of the introduction of mechanical chest compression in the EMS system for treatment of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest—a pilot study. *Resuscitation*. 2006;71:47–55.
  116. Larsen AI, Hjørnevik AS, Ellingsen CL, Nilsen DW. Cardiac arrest with continuous mechanical chest compression during percutaneous coronary intervention. A report on the use of the LUCAS device. *Resuscitation*. 2007;75:454–459.
  117. Deakin CD, O'Neill JF, Tabor T. Does compression-only cardiopulmonary resuscitation generate adequate passive ventilation during cardiac arrest? *Resuscitation*. 2007;75:53–59.
  118. Bonnemeier H, Olivecrona G, Simonis G, Gotberg M, Weitz G, Iblher P, Gerling I, Schunkert H. Automated continuous chest compression for in-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation of patients with pulseless electrical activity: a report of five cases. *Int J Cardiol*. 2009;136:e39–e50.
  119. Wagner H, Terkelsen CJ, Friberg H, Harnek J, Kern K, Lassen JF, Olivecrona GK. Cardiac arrest in the catheterisation laboratory: a 5-year experience of using mechanical chest compressions to facilitate PCI during prolonged resuscitation efforts. *Resuscitation*. 2010;81:383–387.
  120. Larsen MP, Eisenberg MS, Cummins RO, Hallstrom AP. Predicting survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a graphic model. *Ann Emerg Med*. 1993;22:1652–1658.
  121. Valenzuela TD, Roe DJ, Cretin S, Spaite DW, Larsen MP. Estimating effectiveness of cardiac arrest interventions: a logistic regression survival model. *Circulation*. 1997;96:3308–3313.
  122. Swor RA, Jackson RE, Cynar M, Sadler E, Basse E, Boji B, Rivera-Rivera EJ, Maher A, Grubb W, Jacobson R, et al. Bystander CPR, ventricular fibrillation, and survival in witnessed, unmonitored out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Ann Emerg Med*. 1995;25:780–784.
  123. Cobb LA, Fahrenbruch CE, Walsh TR, Copass MK, Olsufka M, Breskin M, Hallstrom AP. Influence of cardiopulmonary resuscitation prior to defibrillation in patients with out-of-hospital ventricular fibrillation. *JAMA*. 1999;281:1182–1188.
  124. Wik L, Hansen TB, Fylling F, Steen T, Vaagenes P, Auestad BH, Steen PA. Delaying defibrillation to give basic cardiopulmonary resuscitation to patients with out-of-hospital ventricular fibrillation: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2003;289:1389–1395.
  125. Baker PW, Conway J, Cotton C, Ashby DT, Smyth J, Woodman RJ, Grantham H. Defibrillation or cardiopulmonary resuscitation first for patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrests found by paramedics to be in ventricular fibrillation? A randomised control trial. *Resuscitation*. 2008;79:424–431.
  126. Jacobs IG, Finn JC, Oxer HF, Jelinek GA. CPR before defibrillation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a randomized trial. *Emerg Med Australas*. 2005;17:39–45.
  127. Morrison LJ, Dorian P, Long J, Vermeulen M, Schwartz B, Sawadsky B, Frank J, Cameron B, Burgess R, Shield J, Bagley P, Mausz V, Brewer JE, Lerman BB. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest rectilinear biphasic to monophasic damped sine defibrillation waveforms with advanced life support intervention trial (ORBIT). *Resuscitation*. 2005;66:149–157.
  128. Schneider T, Martens PR, Paschen H, Kuisma M, Wolcke B, Gliner BE, Russell JK, Weaver WD, Bossaert L, Chamberlain D. Multicenter, randomized, controlled trial of 150-J biphasic shocks compared with 200- to 360-J monophasic shocks in the resuscitation of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest victims. Optimized Response to Cardiac Arrest (ORCA) Investigators. *Circulation*. 2000;102:1780–1787.
  129. van Alem AP, Chapman FW, Lank P, Hart AA, Koster RW. A prospective, randomised and blinded comparison of first shock success of monophasic and biphasic waveforms in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2003;58:17–24.
  130. Carpenter J, Rea TD, Murray JA, Kudenchuk PJ, Eisenberg MS. Defibrillation waveform and post-shock rhythm in out-of-hospital ventricular fibrillation cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2003;59:189–196.
  131. Freeman K, Hendey GW, Shalit M, Stroh G. Biphasic defibrillation does not improve outcomes compared to monophasic defibrillation in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 2008;12:152–156.
  132. Gliner BE, White RD. Electrocardiographic evaluation of defibrillation shocks delivered to out-of-hospital sudden cardiac arrest patients. *Resuscitation*. 1999;41:133–144.
  133. White RD, Hankins DG, Bugliosi TF. Seven years' experience with early defibrillation by police and paramedics in an emergency medical services system. *Resuscitation*. 1998;39:145–151.
  134. Cummins RO, Eisenberg MS, Bergner L, Hallstrom A, Hearne T, Murray JA. Automatic external defibrillation: evaluations of its role in the home and in emergency medical services. *Ann Emerg Med*. 1984;13(pt 2):798–801.
  135. White RD, Vukov LF, Bugliosi TF. Early defibrillation by police: initial experience with measurement of critical time intervals and patient outcome. *Ann Emerg Med*. 1994;23:1009–1013.
  136. Mittal S, Ayati S, Stein KM, Schwartzman D, Cavlovich D, Tchou PJ, Markowitz SM, Slotwiner DJ, Scheiner MA, Lerman BB. Transthoracic cardioversion of atrial fibrillation: comparison of rectilinear biphasic versus damped sine wave monophasic shocks. *Circulation*. 2000;101:1282–1287.
  137. Page RL, Kerber RE, Russell JK, Trouton T, Waktare J, Gallik D, Olgin JE, Ricard P, Dalzell GW, Reddy R, Lazzara R, Lee K, Carlson M, Halperin B, Bardy GH. Biphasic versus monophasic shock waveform for conversion of atrial fibrillation: the results of an international randomized, double-blind multicenter trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2002;39:1956–1963.
  138. Scholten M, Szili-Torok T, Klootwijk P, Jordaens L. Comparison of monophasic and biphasic shocks for transthoracic cardioversion of atrial fibrillation. *Heart*. 2003;89:1032–1034.
  139. Glover BM, Walsh SJ, McCann CJ, Moore MJ, Manoharan G, Dalzell GW, McAllister A, McClements B, McEneaney DJ, Trouton TG, Mathew TP, Adgey AA. Biphasic energy selection for transthoracic cardioversion of atrial fibrillation. The BEST AF Trial. *Heart*. 2008;94:884–887.
  140. Reisinger J, Gstrein C, Winter T, Zeindlhofer E, Hollinger K, Mori M, Schiller A, Winter A, Geiger H, Siostrzonek P. Optimization of initial energy for cardioversion of atrial tachyarrhythmias with biphasic shocks. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2010;28:159–165.
  141. Kerber RE, Martins JB, Kienzle MG, Constantin L, Olshansky B, Hopson R, Charbonnier F. Energy, current, and success in defibrillation and cardioversion: clinical studies using an automated impedance-based method of energy adjustment. *Circulation*. 1988;77:1038–1046.
  142. Hedges JR, Syverud SA, Dalsey WC, Feero S, Easter R, Shultz B. Prehospital trial of emergency transcutaneous cardiac pacing. *Circulation*. 1987;76:1337–1343.
  143. Barthell E, Troiano P, Olson D, Stueven HA, Hendley G. Prehospital external cardiac pacing: a prospective, controlled clinical trial. *Ann Emerg Med*. 1988;17:1221–1226.
  144. Cummins RO, Graves JR, Larsen MP, Hallstrom AP, Hearne TR, Ciliberti J, Nicola RM, Horan S. Out-of-hospital transcutaneous pacing by emergency medical technicians in patients with asystolic cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med*. 1993;328:1377–1382.
  145. Smith I, Monk TG, White PF. Comparison of transesophageal atrial pacing with anticholinergic drugs for the treatment of intraoperative bradycardia. *Anesth Analg*. 1994;78:245–252.
  146. Morrison LJ, Long J, Vermeulen M, Schwartz B, Sawadsky B, Frank J, Cameron B, Burgess R, Shield J, Bagley P, Mausz V, Brewer JE, Dorian P. A randomized controlled feasibility trial comparing safety and effec-



- tiveness of prehospital pacing versus conventional treatment: 'PrePACE.' *Resuscitation*. 2008;76:341–349.
147. Karagounis L, Ipsen SK, Jessop MR, Gilmore KM, Valenti DA, Clawson JJ, Teichman S, Anderson JL. Impact of field-transmitted electrocardiography on time to in-hospital thrombolytic therapy in acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol*. 1990;66:786–791.
  148. Kereiakes DJ, Gibler WB, Martin LH, Pieper KS, Anderson LC. Relative importance of emergency medical system transport and the prehospital electrocardiogram on reducing hospital time delay to therapy for acute myocardial infarction: a preliminary report from the Cincinnati Heart Project. *Am Heart J*. 1992;123(4 Pt 1):835–840.
  149. Banerjee S, Rhoden WE. Fast-tracking of myocardial infarction by paramedics. *J R Coll Physicians Lond*. 1998;32:36–38.
  150. Melville MR, Gray D, Hinchley M. The potential impact of prehospital electrocardiography and telemetry on time to thrombolysis in a United Kingdom center. *Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol*. 1998;3:327–332.
  151. Millar-Craig MW, Joy AV, Adamowicz M, Furber R, Thomas B. Reduction in treatment delay by paramedic ECG diagnosis of myocardial infarction with direct CCU admission. *Heart*. 1997;78:456–461.
  152. Brainard AH, Raynovich W, Tandberg D, Bedrick EJ. The prehospital 12-lead electrocardiogram's effect on time to initiation of reperfusion therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of existing literature. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2005;23:351–356.
  153. Morrison LJ, Brooks S, Sawadsky B, McDonald A, Verbeek PR. Prehospital 12-lead electrocardiography impact on acute myocardial infarction treatment times and mortality: a systematic review. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2006;13:84–89.
  154. Adams GL, Campbell PT, Adams JM, Strauss DG, Wall K, Patterson J, Shuping KB, Maynard C, Young D, Corey C, Thompson A, Lee BA, Wagner GS. Effectiveness of prehospital wireless transmission of electrocardiograms to a cardiologist via hand-held device for patients with acute myocardial infarction (from the Timely Intervention in Myocardial Emergency, NorthEast Experience [TIME-NE]). *Am J Cardiol*. 2006;98:1160–1164.
  155. Afolabi BA, Novaro GM, Pinski SL, Fromkin KR, Bush HS. Use of the prehospital ECG improves door-to-balloon times in ST segment elevation myocardial infarction irrespective of time of day or day of week. *Emerg Med J*. 2007;24:588–591.
  156. Terkelsen CJ, Lassen JF, Norgaard BL, Gerdes JC, Poulsen SH, Bendix K, Ankensen JP, Gotzsche LB, Romer FK, Nielsen TT, Andersen HR. Reduction of treatment delay in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: impact of pre-hospital diagnosis and direct referral to primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Eur Heart J*. 2005;26:770–777.
  157. Wall T, Albright J, Livingston B, Isley L, Young D, Nanny M, Jacobowitz S, Maynard C, Mayer N, Pierce K, Rathbone C, Stuckey T, Savona M, Leibbrandt P, Brodie B, Wagner G. Prehospital ECG transmission speeds reperfusion for patients with acute myocardial infarction. *N C Med J*. 2000;61:104–108.
  158. Dhruva VN, Abdelhadi SI, Anis A, Gluckman W, Hom D, Dougan W, Kaluski E, Haider B, Klapholz M. ST-Segment Analysis Using Wireless Technology in Acute Myocardial Infarction (STAT-MI) trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2007;50:509–513.
  159. Sekulic M, Hassunizadeh B, McGraw S, David S. Feasibility of early emergency room notification to improve door-to-balloon times for patients with acute ST segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2005;66:316–319.
  160. Swor R, Hegerberg S, McHugh-McNally A, Goldstein M, McEachin CC. Prehospital 12-lead ECG: efficacy or effectiveness? *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 2006;10:374–377.
  161. Campbell PT, Patterson J, Cromer D, Wall K, Adams GL, Albano A, Corey C, Fox P, Gardner J, Hawthorne B, Lipton J, Sejersten M, Thompson A, Wilfong S, Maynard C, Wagner G. Prehospital triage of acute myocardial infarction: wireless transmission of electrocardiograms to the on-call cardiologist via a handheld computer. *J Electrocardiol*. 2005;38:300–309.
  162. Lopez-Herce J, Garcia C, Dominguez P, Carrillo A, Rodriguez-Nunez A, Calvo C, Delgado MA. Characteristics and outcome of cardiorespiratory arrest in children. *Resuscitation*. 2004;63:311–320.
  163. Rodriguez-Nunez A, Lopez-Herce J, Garcia C, Dominguez P, Carrillo A, Bellon JM. Pediatric defibrillation after cardiac arrest: initial response and outcome. *Crit Care Med*. 2006;10:R113.
  164. Berg RA, Hilwig RW, Kern KB, Babar I, Ewy GA. Simulated mouth-to-mouth ventilation and chest compressions (bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation) improves outcome in a swine model of prehospital pediatric asphyxial cardiac arrest. *Crit Care Med*. 1999;27:1893–1899.
  165. Berg RA, Hilwig RW, Kern KB, Ewy GA. "Bystander" chest compressions and assisted ventilation independently improve outcome from piglet asphyxial pulseless "cardiac arrest." *Circulation*. 2000;101:1743–1748.
  166. Iglesias JM, Lopez-Herce J, Urbano J, Solana MJ, Mencia S, Del Castillo J. Chest compressions versus ventilation plus chest compressions in a pediatric asphyxial cardiac arrest animal model. *Intensive Care Med*. 2010;36:712–716.
  167. Tibballs J, Weeraratna C. The influence of time on the accuracy of healthcare personnel to diagnose paediatric cardiac arrest by pulse palpation. *Resuscitation*. 2010;81:671–675.
  168. Billi JE, Zideman DA, Eigel B, Nolan JP, Montgomery WH, Nadkarni VM; from the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation and the American Heart Association. Conflict of interest management before, during, and after the 2005 International Consensus Conference on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment Recommendations. *Circulation*. 2005;112(22suppl):III 131–132.
  169. Billi JE, Eigel B, Montgomery WH, Nadkarni VM, Hazinski MF. Management of conflict of interest issues in the activities of the American Heart Association Emergency Cardiovascular Care Committee, 2000–2005. *Circulation*. 2005;112(24 Suppl):IV204–205.
  170. Billi JE, Shuster M, Bossaert L, de Caen AR, Deakin CD, Eigel B, Hazinski MF, Hickey RW, Jacobs I, Kleinman ME, Koster RW, Mancini ME, Montgomery WH, Morley PT, Morrison LJ, Munoz H, Nadkarni VM, Nolan JP, O'Connor RE, Perlman JM, Richmond S, Sayre MR, Soar J, Wyllie J, Zideman D; for the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation and the American Heart Association. Part 4: conflict of interest management before, during, and after the 2010 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment Recommendations. *Circulation*. 2010;122(suppl 2):S291–S297.

KEY WORDS: cardiac arrest ■ cardiopulmonary resuscitation ■ emergency ■ resuscitation

## Part 1: Executive Summary: 2010 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care

John M. Field, Mary Fran Hazinski, Michael R. Sayre, Leon Chameides, Stephen M. Schexnayder, Robin Hemphill, Ricardo A. Samson, John Kattwinkel, Robert A. Berg, Farhan Bhanji, Diana M. Cave, Edward C. Jauch, Peter J. Kudenchuk, Robert W. Neumar, Mary Ann Peberdy, Jeffrey M. Perlman, Elizabeth Sinz, Andrew H. Travers, Marc D. Berg, John E. Billi, Brian Eigel, Robert W. Hickey, Monica E. Kleinman, Mark S. Link, Laurie J. Morrison, Robert E. O'Connor, Michael Shuster, Clifton W. Callaway, Brett Cucchiara, Jeffrey D. Ferguson, Thomas D. Rea and Terry L. Vanden Hoek

*Circulation*. 2010;122:S640-S656

doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.970889

*Circulation* is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231

Copyright © 2010 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

Print ISSN: 0009-7322. Online ISSN: 1524-4539

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the  
World Wide Web at:

[http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/122/18\\_suppl\\_3/S640](http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/122/18_suppl_3/S640)

**Permissions:** Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in *Circulation* can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the [Permissions and Rights Question and Answer](#) document.

**Reprints:** Information about reprints can be found online at:  
<http://www.lww.com/reprints>

**Subscriptions:** Information about subscribing to *Circulation* is online at:  
<http://circ.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/>