Outcomes in Patients With De Novo Left Main Disease Treated With Either Percutaneous Coronary Intervention Using Paclitaxel-Eluting Stents or Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Treatment in the Synergy Between Percutaneous Coronary Intervention With TAXUS and Cardiac Surgery (SYNTAX) Trial
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Background—The prospective, multinational, randomized Synergy Between Percutaneous Coronary Intervention With TAXUS and Cardiac Surgery (SYNTAX) trial was designed to assess the optimal revascularization strategy between percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) and coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), for patients with left main (LM) and/or 3-vessel coronary disease.

Methods and Results—This observational hypothesis-generating analysis reports the results of a prespecified powered subgroup of 705 randomized patients who had LM disease among the 1800 patients with de novo 3-vessel disease and/or LM disease randomized to PCI with paclitaxel-eluting stents or CABG in the SYNTAX trial. Major adverse cardiac and cerebrovascular event rates at 1 year in LM patients were similar for CABG and PCI (13.7% versus 15.8%; Δ2.1% [95% confidence interval −3.2% to 7.4%]; P=0.44). At 1 year, stroke was significantly higher in the CABG arm (2.7% versus 0.3%; Δ−2.4% [95% confidence interval −4.2% to −0.1%]; P=0.009), whereas repeat revascularization was significantly higher in the PCI arm (6.5% versus 11.8%; Δ5.3% [95% confidence interval 1.0% to 9.6%]; P=0.02); there was no observed difference between groups for other end points. When patients were scored for anatomic complexity, those with higher baseline SYNTAX scores had significantly worse outcomes with PCI than did patients with low or intermediate SYNTAX scores; outcomes for patients with CABG did not correlate with baseline SYNTAX score, but baseline EuroSCORE significantly predicted outcomes for both treatments.

Conclusions—Patients with LM disease who had revascularization with PCI had safety and efficacy outcomes comparable to CABG at 1 year; longer follow-up is required to determine whether these 2 revascularization strategies offer comparable medium-term outcomes in this group of complex patients.

Clinical Trial Registration—http://www.clinicaltrials.gov. Unique identifier: NCT00114972.

(Circulation. 2010;121:2645-2653.)
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ontemporary treatment guidelines recommend coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) as the most appropriate revascularization strategy for patients with unprotected left main (LM) disease.1,2 However, since the inception of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) with coronary stents, interventional cardiologists have been steadily expanding the application of coronary stenting to include patients with increasingly complex lesions, including LM disease. Several recent reports have shown the successful use of coronary stents compared with CABG in patients with LM disease,3–13 and in the drug-eluting stent era, additional studies have demonstrated a low risk of sudden death or stent thrombosis (ST) in moderate and long-term follow-up after LM stenting.14–21 These results are consistent with those from 2 recent
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meta-analyses that reported similar mortality rates between LM stenting and CABG after several years of follow-up.22,23
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The majority of reports comparing PCI to CABG for LM stenting were single-center studies, and the patient populations in all but 1 of these studies were not randomized, thus introducing a potential bias in patient selection that may confound interpretation of the results; moreover, some trials included both bare metal stents and drug-eluting stents.4,6–12 In addition, the only published randomized study, the Prospective, Randomized Trial of Stent Implantation Versus Bypass Graft Surgery in Patients With Left Main Coronary Artery Disease (LEMSANS) study, was small, consisting of ~50 patients in each treatment group.5 For this reason, consensus treatment guidelines continue to recommend CABG as the “gold standard” for revascularization of unprotected LM stenosis but note that PCI is feasible and may be a promising strategy in selected patients.24 In the absence of a large randomized controlled trial, it has been difficult for interventional cardiologists to establish where the upper boundary of safety and efficacy lies in using PCI for revascularization of LM patients, with or without multivessel disease, and to develop objective evidence-based criteria for determining the optimal revascularization strategy for a given patient.

The SYNTAX trial is the first large trial (N=1800) to randomize patients suitable for revascularization by either CABG or PCI using drug-eluting stents for the treatment of LM and/or 3-vessel disease (3VD). The results of the overall population have been reported previously.25 This article presents outcomes in the prespecified subgroup of patients (n=705) with LM disease in the SYNTAX trial.

Methods

The study design and methods of the SYNTAX trial have been described previously in detail and are summarized here.26

Study Design

SYNTAX is a randomized, prospective, multicenter trial conducted in 17 countries in Europe and the United States. The study used an intended “all-comers” design consisting of a cohort of patients randomized to either PCI or CABG and nested registries for patients considered unsuitable for randomization (PCI registry for CABG-ineligible patients and CABG registry for PCI-ineligible patients). The institutional review board at each participating center approved the protocol, and all patients provided written informed consent. The protocol and consent forms were consistent with the principles espoused by US Food and Drug Administration Guidance for Industry E6 Good Clinical Practice: Consolidated Guidance, the Declaration of Helsinki, the International Conference on Harmonisation, and all local regulations, as appropriate.

Patient Selection and Randomization

Eligible patients had de novo LM and/or 3VD disease and ≥50% target vessel stenosis with stable or unstable angina. LM disease was defined as at least 50% stenosis by visual assessment in the LM vessel or LM equivalent (defined as at least 50% stenosis of the ostium of the left anterior descending artery and the ostium of the left circumflex) with or without stenosis in other vessels. Key exclusion criteria were previous PCI or CABG, acute myocardial infarction (MI), or the need for concomitant cardiac surgery. Patients were evaluated by a local heart team, consisting of an interventional cardiologist and a cardiothoracic surgeon, for suitability for either PCI with TAXUS Express III paclitaxel-eluting stents (Boston Scientific Corp, Natick, Mass) or CABG. A total of 1800 patients were deemed eligible for equivalent revascularization using either technique and were randomized 1:1, stratified by the presence or absence of LM disease and medically treated diabetes mellitus, to receive either PCI or CABG. The SYNTAX study also used a novel scoring system (SYNTAX score) to predict outcomes on the basis of coronary anatomic risk factors including number of lesions, total occlusion, bi/trifurcations, aorto-ostial stenosis, tortuosity, lesion length >20 mm, calcification, thrombus, and small vessels/diffuse disease.27 A higher SYNTAX score indicates increased anatomic complexity. This article focuses on the subgroup of patients with LM disease including isolated LM and LM with additional 1- (LM +1VD), 2- (LM +2VD), or 3-vessel disease (LM +3VD).

An independent core laboratory (Cardialysis BV, Rotterdam, the Netherlands), blinded to treatment assignment, reviewed all diagnostic angiograms and ECGs and scored all angiograms according to the SYNTAX score algorithm.25 In addition, selected biochemistry variables (eg, hemoglobin, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and triglycerides) were evaluated by an independent laboratory (Covance Inc, Geneva, Switzerland, and Indianapolis, Ind).

Treatment

Procedures were performed according to local practice and at investigator discretion. In the CABG arm, minimally invasive surgery was not performed, arteriovenous revascularization was preferred per protocol, and the decision of on- or off-pump surgery was left to surgical judgment. In the TAXUS arm, clopidogrel was mandated for at least 6 months after the procedure, with aspirin therapy indefinitely. Recommended procedural techniques included complete coverage of lesions with stent overlapping (where required) at both margins by ~4 mm and use of final kissing balloons inflation after bifurcation stenting.

Study End Points

The primary end point was the composite of major adverse cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events (MACCE) at 1 year, which included all-cause death, cerebrovascular accident/stroke (CVA), MI, and repeat revascularizations. Patients will continue to be followed up for up to 5 years. All MACCE events were adjudicated by an independent clinical events committee. Definitions of clinical events have been previously described.25,26,28

Statistical Methods

The primary end point analysis for the overall population at 1 year included a hierarchical statistical approach that prespecified statistical testing on the LM subgroup only after the demonstration of noninferiority between PCI and CABG for the overall population.26 The primary end point was not met;25 therefore, this analysis is intended for descriptive and hypothesis-generating purposes only. Data were analyzed as both the overall LM population, and as the subgroups of isolated LM, LM+1VD, LM+2VD, and LM+3VD. Comparisons between treatment groups by baseline SYNTAX score were also prespecified in the analysis plan.

Mean±SDs were calculated for continuous variables, and discrete variables were reported as total events and percentages. For Kaplan-Meier analyses, event-free patients with >365 days of follow-up were censored at 366 days. For binary analyses, event-free patients who did not have adequate follow-up of 335 days were not included in the rate calculation. Two-sided 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated on binary rates as the difference between groups ±1.96 SE of the mean unless an exact method was required. Exact 95% CIs are from the difference of the 2 binomial proportions based on the standardized statistic and inverting a 2-sided test. The Greenwood formula for the SE was used to calculate the 2-sided 95% CI of the Kaplan-Meier event rates. Patients were also analyzed post hoc by baseline SYNTAX score tercile (low ≤22, intermediate 23 to 32, and high ≥33) for 1-year MACCE outcomes. Differences between groups were considered statistically significant if the 95% CI around the difference of the means did not contain zero. In a prespecified analysis, baseline predictors (using both patient and procedural characteristics) for 12-month MACCE were
identified using multivariate logistic regression with stepwise model selection. Treatment assignment was forced into the overall model. The predictors in the final model were selected in a stepwise procedure with a significance level of 0.10 for entry and 0.10 for exit, and no interaction terms were considered as candidate variables in the multivariate modeling.

Results

Participants
In the SYNTAX trial, 705 patients had LM disease reported by the individual sites: 348 in the CABG arm and 357 in the PCI arm (Figure 1). Baseline patient and lesion characteristics were well balanced between groups (Table 1). Although patients without distal LM stenosis would have been permitted under the protocol definition of LM disease (LM equivalent disease), in actuality no patients with LM equivalent disease were enrolled. The CABG group had a higher proportion of current smokers (24.0% CABG versus 17.9% PCI) and a lower proportion of patients with hyperlipidemia (75.4% CABG versus 81.0% PCI). In the LM subset, 2 patients randomized to CABG received medical treatment (worsening clinical status, n=1; investigator decision that patient was not suitable for surgical treatment, n=1), and 5 received PCI (worsening clinical status, n=1; patient preference, n=3; disappearance of LM stenosis on second angiogram, n=1). In the PCI arm, 3 patients received medical therapy (patient preference, n=1; disappearance of LM stenosis on second angiogram, n=2) and 5 received CABG (patient preference, n=3; investigator decision that patient was not suitable for PCI, n=2).

In the PCI group, time from randomization to procedure, procedure duration, and hospital stay after the procedure were all significantly shorter compared with the CABG group (Table 2). Significantly more patients treated with CABG had complete revascularization (72.5%) than patients treated with PCI (64.5%; Δ = 8.0% [-14.9%, -1.1%]; P = 0.02). In the PCI arm, ~80% of patients were revascularized using a T-stenting technique (Table 2).
Study Outcomes

MACCE rates were comparable between CABG and PCI for overall LM disease (13.6% CABG versus 15.8% PCI; Figure 2A). Among the MACCE components, there was no observable difference between CABG and PCI in terms of all-cause death (4.4% CABG versus 4.2% PCI; Δ−0.2 [95% CI −3.2 to 2.8]; Figure 2B), cardiac death (2.4% CABG versus 3.9% PCI; Δ1.6% [95% CI −1.0% to 4.2%]; P=0.24), nor MI (4.1% CABG versus 4.3% PCI; Δ0.2 [95% CI −2.8 to 3.2]; Figure 2C); however, at 1 year, the rate of CVA was significantly greater in patients with CABG compared to those with PCI (2.7% CABG versus 0.3% PCI; Δ−2.4% [95% CI −4.3% to −0.5%]; P=0.009; Figure 2D), and repeat revascularization was significantly increased in patients with PCI compared with CABG (6.7% CABG versus 12.0% PCI; Δ5.3% [95% CI 1.0% to 9.6%]; P=0.02; Figure 2E). Of the repeat revascularizations, the majority were via repeat PCI, with only 3.1% (11/355) of LM patients initially treated with PCI undergoing repeat revascularization by CABG within 12 months. For the combined safety end point of all death/CVA/MI, regardless of the extent of vessel involvement. Revascularization was significantly higher in PCI patients with LM+2VD or +3VD compared with CABG but not for patients with isolated LM or LM+1VD (Table 3). Interaction P values were not significant for treatment versus the extent of vessel involvement; however, it is important to remember that the limited statistical power of this subset analysis means that, despite the negative interaction findings, a positive interaction effect cannot be ruled out.

Outcomes Stratified by LM and Multivessel Disease

Overall 1-year MACCE rates were higher in the CABG arm than the PCI arm in patients with isolated LM or LM+1VD but higher in the PCI arm than the CABG arm for patients with LM+2VD or LM+3VD (Table 3). There was no significant difference between groups for the composite safety end point of death/CVA/MI, regardless of the extent of vessel involvement. Revascularization was significantly higher in PCI patients with LM+2VD or +3VD compared with CABG but not for patients with isolated LM or LM+1VD (Table 3). Interaction P values were not significant for treatment versus the extent of vessel involvement; however, it is important to remember that the limited statistical power of this subset analysis means that, despite the negative interaction findings, a positive interaction effect cannot be ruled out.

Outcomes Stratified by Baseline SYNTAX Score

Patients were subdivided by baseline SYNTAX score into 3 terciles: low (Syntax score 0 to 22), intermediate (23 to 32), or high (≥33) scores. In the LM subgroup, MACCE outcomes at 1 year were comparable between PCI and CABG with either low or intermediate baseline SYNTAX score (Figure 3A and 3B), with the exception of significantly increased all-cause death in CABG patients with an intermediate SYNTAX score compared with PCI patients with an intermediate SYNTAX score (Figure 4). In the tercile with the highest baseline SYNTAX score, MACCE outcomes were significantly higher for patients treated patients who experienced these, all but 1 underwent repeat revascularization after the ST, and 3 patients subsequently died (2 LM ST, 1 right coronary artery ST). Total ST rate using the Academic Research Consortium definite/probable definition was 4.2% per patient and 1.3% per stent at 1 year.

Table 2. Procedural Characteristics in Left Main Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable*</th>
<th>CABG (n=348)</th>
<th>PCI (n=357)</th>
<th>Difference (95% CI)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time to procedure, d</td>
<td>14.7±27.8</td>
<td>6.0±11.0</td>
<td>−8.7 (−11.8 to −5.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure duration, hr</td>
<td>3.3±1.2</td>
<td>1.5±0.8</td>
<td>−1.8 (−2.0 to −1.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-procedure hospital stay, d</td>
<td>13.6±9.6</td>
<td>6.1±5.5</td>
<td>−6.4 (−14.9 to −1.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete revascularization,† %</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>−8.0 (−14.9 to −1.1)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bifurcation‡ stenting technique (n=312 lesions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>CABG (n=196)</th>
<th>PCI (n=218)</th>
<th>Difference (95% CI)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provisional T-stenting, %</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classic T-stenting, main vessel first, %</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classic T-stenting, side branch first, %</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified T-stenting, %</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culotte or trousers, %</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skirt, %</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crush, %</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-stenting, touching stents</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-stenting, trouser legs and seat, %</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-stenting: kissing stents/gun barrel, %</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No. (percent) or mean±SD based on an intent-to-treat analysis.
†Per protocol: “Complete revascularization was defined as the treatment of any lesion with ≥50% diameter stenosis in vessels ≥1.5 mm diameter as estimated on diagnostic angiogram during the local heart team conference.”
‡Includes all bifurcated lesions.
§Includes all bifurcated lesions.
¶Includes all bifurcated lesions.
||
Multivariate Predictors of MACCE at 1 Year

Emergent revascularization priority, medically treated diabetes mellitus, and higher baseline EuroSCORE were significant predictors of increased MACCE at 1 year for the overall population, whereas female sex significantly predicted reduced MACCE (Table 4). Treatment group (CABG or PCI) did not significantly predict MACCE at 1 year ($P=0.14$). In the CABG arm, significant predictors for increased MACCE at 1 year were emergent revascularization priority and higher baseline EuroSCORE. In the PCI arm, significant multivariate predictors for increased MACCE at 1 year were prior transient ischemic attack, higher baseline SYNTAX score, and higher baseline EuroSCORE (Table 3). The Parsonnet score did not appear to be a significant predictor of MACCE at 1 year for any of the 3 models (overall cohort, CABG arm, or PCI arm; data not shown).

Discussion

In the SYNTAX trial, although the LM group was prespecified and sufficiently powered to detect overall MACCE, the statistical analysis plan used a hierarchical approach that allowed testing of the LM group only if PCI was shown to be noninferior to CABG in the overall population. Because this condition was not met, this analysis of the LM group should be considered only observational. With this caveat, patients with LM disease had comparable overall 12-month MACCE in both the PCI and the CABG group (14% CABG versus 16% PCI). This result is consistent with the findings of the only other randomized LM trial to compare PCI to CABG. Similarly, nonrandomized registries have also generally reported equivalent or improved safety (determined by rates of death, MI, or MACCE) at 1 year with PCI compared with CABG, with the exception of 1 small single-center study. Furthermore, some nonrandomized trials have also observed significantly higher revascularization rates for PCI versus CABG in LM patients. Additionally, rates of death/CVA/MI and target lesion revascularization similar to SYNTAX at 1 year were found in patients with unprotected LM disease treated with either paclitaxel- or sirolimus-eluting stents in the Intracoronary Stenting and Angiographic Results: Drug-Eluting Stents for Unprotected Coronary Left Main Lesions (ISAR-LM) study. Subgroup analyses by LM in the presence or absence of multivessel disease suggest that the outcomes of PCI relative to CABG are also good in patients with isolated LM or LM+1VD. However, the number of patients in these subgroups is small, so these results must be interpreted with caution. In contrast, outcomes in patients with LM+2VD or LM+3VD demonstrated equivalent safety outcomes but higher rates of repeat revascularization compared with PCI. The variability within these subgroup analyses, although undoubtedly influenced by the small number of patients in some subgroups, may suggest that lesion complexity has a greater effect on outcomes in these patients than does the absolute number of vessels treated.
In this regard, use of the SYNTAX score may better stratify the patients according to risk than does the division into isolated LM, or LM + 1VD, 2VD, or 3VD. Analyses of SYNTAX score by baseline tercile demonstrated the excellent concordance between clinical outcomes and baseline SYNTAX score. In addition, the baseline SYNTAX score was also a significant predictor of outcomes after PCI by multivariate analysis. These results are similar to those reported for 3VD patients revascularized with sirolimus-eluting stents in the Arterial Revascularization Therapies (ARTS) II trial, in which patients with higher SYNTAX scores had higher MACCE rates at 30 days and also by baseline tercile demonstrated the excellent concordance between clinical outcomes and baseline SYNTAX score. In this trial, the 30-day MACCE rates by baseline tercile demonstrated the excellent concordance between clinical outcomes and baseline SYNTAX score. In this regard, use of the SYNTAX score may better stratify the patients according to risk than does the division into isolated LM, or LM + 1VD, 2VD, or 3VD. Analyses of SYNTAX score by baseline tercile demonstrated the excellent concordance between clinical outcomes and baseline SYNTAX score. In addition, the baseline SYNTAX score was also a significant predictor of outcomes after PCI by multivariate analysis. These results are similar to those reported for 3VD patients revascularized with sirolimus-eluting stents in the Arterial Revascularization Therapies (ARTS) II trial, in which patients with higher SYNTAX scores had higher MACCE rates at 30 days and also by baseline tercile demonstrated the excellent concordance between clinical outcomes and baseline SYNTAX score. In this trial, the 30-day MACCE rates by baseline tercile demonstrated the excellent concordance between clinical outcomes and baseline SYNTAX score.

### Table 3. Outcomes at 1 Year in Left Main Patients By No. of Diseased Vessels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup, %</th>
<th>CABG (n=348)</th>
<th>PCI (n=357)</th>
<th>Difference (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall MACCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.50†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All LM patients</td>
<td>13.7 (46/336)</td>
<td>15.8 (56/355)</td>
<td>2.1 (−3.2, 7.4)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM isolated</td>
<td>8.5 (4/47)</td>
<td>7.1 (3/42)</td>
<td>−1.4 (−14.8, 11.9)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM + 1 vessel</td>
<td>13.2 (9/68)</td>
<td>7.5 (5/67)</td>
<td>−5.8 (−16.0, 4.40)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM + 2 vessels</td>
<td>14.4 (15/104)</td>
<td>19.8 (22/111)</td>
<td>5.4 (−6.6, 15.4)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM + 3 vessels</td>
<td>15.4 (18/117)</td>
<td>19.3 (26/135)</td>
<td>3.9 (−5.5, 13.2)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death/CVA/MI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.53‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All LM patients</td>
<td>9.2 (31/336)</td>
<td>7.0 (25/355)</td>
<td>−2.2 (−6.3, 1.9)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM isolated</td>
<td>2.1 (1/47)</td>
<td>0 (0/42)</td>
<td>−2.1 (N/A)</td>
<td>1.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM + 1 vessel</td>
<td>7.4 (5/68)</td>
<td>4.5 (3/67)</td>
<td>−2.9 (N/A)</td>
<td>0.72*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM + 2 vessels</td>
<td>7.7 (8/104)</td>
<td>9.9 (11/111)</td>
<td>−2.2 (−5.3, 9.8)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM + 3 vessels</td>
<td>14.5 (17/117)</td>
<td>8.1 (11/135)</td>
<td>−6.4 (−14.3, 1.5)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revascularization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.33‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All LM patients</td>
<td>6.5 (22/336)</td>
<td>11.8 (42/355)</td>
<td>5.3 (1.0, 9.6)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM isolated</td>
<td>6.4 (3/47)</td>
<td>7.1 (3/42)</td>
<td>0.8 (N/A)</td>
<td>1.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM + 1 vessel</td>
<td>5.9 (4/68)</td>
<td>3.0 (2/67)</td>
<td>−2.9 (N/A)</td>
<td>0.68*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM + 2 vessels</td>
<td>7.7 (8/104)</td>
<td>15.3 (17/111)</td>
<td>7.6 (−0.8, 16.1)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM + 3 vessels</td>
<td>6.0 (7/117)</td>
<td>14.8 (20/135)</td>
<td>8.8 (1.5, 16.2)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/A indicates not applicable.  
†Interaction P value from Wald χ² interaction test.  
*P value from Fisher exact test.

### Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier estimates of 1-year MACCE by baseline SYNTAX score tercile. A. Patients with low baseline SYNTAX score (0 to 22); B, intermediate baseline SYNTAX score (23 to 32); and C, high baseline SYNTAX score (≥33). Values are Kaplan-Meier event rates and 1.5 standard error for the intent-to-treat population. SYNTAX score was calculated by the core laboratory. P values from Fisher exact test. The Wald χ² interaction P value between SYNTAX score tercile and treatment was 0.03 at 1 year.
In this LM analysis, no increase in mortality or MI rates was noted in the PCI cohort when compared with CABG. The incidence of very late (ie, >1 year) ST and graft occlusion also remains to be determined in this trial.

**Study Limitations**

The hierarchical primary end point testing of the study only allowed the LM subgroup of the SYNTAX study to be tested if the overall comparison reached statistical significance. Because this was not the case, results from this analysis should be considered hypothesis generating only and need to be confirmed in an additional dedicated LM trial. Although the study was adequately powered to test the difference in MACCE between groups, it is underpowered to detect differences in the individual components of MACCE, so these results must be interpreted with caution. It is also important to note that the subset of LM patients in this study was a heterogeneous group that consisted of patients with isolated LM disease or LM plus additional disease. Per the trial design, the clinical events committee did not adjudicate repeat revascularizations according to lesion location (ie, LM or elsewhere), which may have confounded the results presented here. Additionally, completeness of revascularization was determined by each investigator and not by the core laboratory and may therefore have been affected by interobserver variability. Finally, to date, follow-up is available only through 1 year. It is possible that, with time, differences in outcomes between patients treated with CABG and patients treated with PCI will begin to emerge. Previous studies of LM patients have not observed a difference in mortality with follow-up of 2,29 3,9 and 5,5 years or in MACCE-free survival after 3 years.5 Follow-up in this trial is scheduled for 5 years, and results from later time points will be of particular interest.
In this observational analysis, patients with LM disease who had revascularization with TAXUS Express stents had safety and efficacy outcomes comparable to CABG at 1 year; longer follow-up is needed to confirm this early analysis.

Conclusions

In this observational analysis, patients with LM disease who had revascularization with TAXUS Express stents had safety and efficacy outcomes comparable to CABG at 1 year; longer follow-up is needed to confirm this early analysis.
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CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE

Contemporary consensus treatment guidelines continue to recommend coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) as the “gold standard” for revascularization of unprotected left main (LM) stenosis but note that percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is feasible; for example, the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association PCI guidelines recently upgraded LM PCI from a class III to class IIb (level B) recommendation. In the absence of a large randomized controlled trial, interventional cardiologists have had difficulty developing objective evidence-based criteria for determining the optimal revascularization strategy for a given patient. The Synergy Between Percutaneous Coronary Intervention with TAXUS and Cardiac Surgery (SYNTAX) trial is the first large trial to randomize patients suitable for revascularization by either CABG or PCI using drug-eluting stents for the treatment of LM and/or 3- vessel disease. This article presents outcomes in the prespecified subgroup of patients (N=705) with LM disease. Patients with LM disease had comparable overall 12-month major adverse cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events in both the PCI and the CABG group (14% CABG versus 16% PCI). When patients were scored for anatomic complexity, those with higher baseline SYNTAX scores had significantly worse outcomes with PCI than those with low or intermediate SYNTAX scores; outcomes for patients with CABG did not correlate with baseline SYNTAX score. Thus, this short-term (1-year) analysis suggests that patients with LM disease who have low or intermediate SYNTAX scores may be safely treated with either PCI or CABG, but longer follow-up is needed.
Outcomes in Patients With De Novo Left Main Disease Treated With Either Percutaneous Coronary Intervention Using Paclitaxel-Eluting Stents or Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Treatment in the Synergy Between Percutaneous Coronary Intervention With TAXUS and Cardiac Surgery (SYNTAX) Trial

Marie-Claude Morice, Patrick W. Serruys, A. Pieter Kappetein, Ted E. Feldman, Elisabeth Ståhle, Antonio Colombo, Michael J. Mack, David R. Holmes, Lucia Torracca, Gerrit-Anne van Es, Katrin Leadley, Keith D. Dawkins and Friedrich Mohr

_Circulation_. 2010;121:2645-2653; originally published online June 7, 2010;
doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.899211

_Circulation_ is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231
Copyright © 2010 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.
Print ISSN: 0009-7322. Online ISSN: 1524-4539

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:
http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/121/24/2645

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in _Circulation_ can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the Permissions and Rights Question and Answer document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
http://www.lww.com/reprints

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to _Circulation_ is online at:
http://circ.ahajournals.org//subscriptions/