Impact of Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillator, Amiodarone, and Placebo on the Mode of Death in Stable Patients With Heart Failure
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Background—The Sudden Cardiac Death in Heart Failure Trial (SCD-HeFT) demonstrated that implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) therapy reduces all-cause mortality in patients with New York Heart Association class II/III heart failure and a left ventricular ejection fraction ≤35% on optimal medical therapy. Whether ICD therapy reduced sudden death caused by ventricular tachyarrhythmias without affecting heart failure deaths in this population is unknown.

Methods and Results—SCD-HeFT randomized 2521 subjects to placebo, amiodarone, or shock-only, single-lead ICD therapy. Over a median follow-up of 45.5 months, a total of 666 deaths occurred, which were reviewed by an Events Committee and initially categorized as cardiac or noncardiac. Cardiac deaths were further adjudicated as resulting from sudden death presumed to be ventricular tachyarrhythmic, bradyarrhythmia, heart failure, or other cardiac causes. ICD therapy significantly reduced cardiac mortality compared with placebo (adjusted hazard ratio, 0.76; 95% confidence interval, 0.60 to 0.95) and tachyarrhythmia mortality (adjusted hazard ratio, 0.40; 95% confidence interval, 0.27 to 0.59) and had no impact on mortality resulting from heart failure or noncardiac causes. The cardiac and tachyarrhythmia mortality reductions were evident in subjects with New York Heart Association class II but not in subjects with class III heart failure. The reduction in tachyarrhythmia mortality with ICD therapy was similar in subjects with ischemic and nonischemic disease. Compared with placebo, amiodarone had no significant effect on any mode of death.

Conclusions—ICD therapy reduced cardiac mortality and sudden death presumed to be ventricular tachyarrhythmic in SCD-HeFT and had no effect on heart failure mortality. Amiodarone had no effect on all-cause mortality or its cause-specific components, except an increase in non-cardiac mortality in class III patients.

Clinical Trial Registration Information—URL: http://www.clinicaltrials.gov. Unique identifier: NCT00000609.
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The Sudden Cardiac Death in Heart Failure Trial (SCD-HeFT) demonstrated superiority of shock-only, single-lead implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) therapy over placebo for reducing all-cause mortality in a primary prevention population with New York Heart Association (NYHA) class II or III ischemic or nonischemic heart failure (HF) and a left ventricular ejection fraction ≤35%. Amiodarone had no effect on total mortality compared with placebo.
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A beneficial effect of ICD therapy on arrhythmic death has been demonstrated in a number of clinical trials. However, this benefit may be offset by an increase in cardiac nonarrhythmic outcomes, as seen in the Defibrillator in Acute Myocardial Infarction Trial (DINAMIT)® and the Dual
Chamber and VVI Implantable Defibrillator (DAVID) trial.9 This study is an analysis of cause-specific mortality outcomes in SCD-HeFT.

Methods

The study design, subject demographics, and main study outcomes of SCD-HeFT have been reported previously.1,2 Briefly, a total of 2521 subjects were randomized in equal proportions to receive a single-lead ICD programmed in a shock-only mode, amiodarone, or placebo. The amiodarone and placebo arms in the trial were double blinded. The randomization scheme was stratified by NYHA class and HF type. Subjects were >18 years of age, had chronic stable NYHA class II or III HF (assigned by the site investigator) resulting in HF type. Subjects were followed up for a median of 45.5 months. Vital status was considered to be noncardiac in origin. When a hospitalized subject developed HF or an unexpected arrhythmia as the mechanism of immediate demise, the outcome event was considered to be cardiac in origin. In the setting of equal cardiac and noncardiac considerations, the event was ascribed to a cardiac cause.

Event Subclassification

Cardiac events were subclassified as sudden death presumed to be ventricular tachyarrhythmic, bradyarrhythmic, HF related, or a result of other cardiac causes. An instantaneous or nearly instantaneous death was classified as being due to a ventricular tachyarrhythmia in the absence of a clear indication of an alternative mode of death. Death during sleep was considered to be due to a ventricular tachyarrhythmia if the event was unexpected and occurred in the absence of acceleration of HF symptoms. Deaths resulting from the sequelae of a cardiac arrest or occurring within 30 days of and related to a device implantation were considered to be due to a ventricular tachyarrhythmia. An outcome event was considered to be due to a bradyarrhythmia only when the subject’s rhythm demonstrated a bradyarrhythmia at the onset of the event.

Death occurring in a subject with progressively worsening HF over the preceding 3 to 4 months, in whom long-term survival was not expected, was considered to be due to HF even when death was sudden or associated with a terminal ventricular tachyarrhythmia event. This adjudication method required the absence of evidence that the cause of progressive HF was not a sustained supraventricular or ventricular tachyarrhythmia. Events deemed related to HF therapy such as those triggered by digoxin toxicity or inotrope-related ventricular tachyarrhythmias were also characterized as being due to HF.

Nonarrhythmic non-HF cardiac deaths included those with strong evidence for acute myocardial infarction, accelerating angina without evidence of a myocardial infarction, an arrhythmic death in a hospitalized subject within 48 hours of a cardiac surgical procedure, or percutaneous intervention, or a death within 30 days and as a direct result of any other cardiovascular procedure.

Noncardiac Events

Deaths classified as noncardiac included vascular events such as a stroke, peripheral arterial embolism, pulmonary embolism, aneurysm rupture, and acute hemorrhage and nonvascular events such as those underlying serious lung, liver, kidney or other organ failure, cancer, and sepsis. The death was considered noncardiac even if a ventricular tachyarrhythmia occurred but was considered secondary to the underlying noncardiac cause of death.

Statistical Analysis

All treatment comparisons were performed according to the intention-to-treat principle with 2-tailed statistical testing. Cumulative mortality rates were calculated with the Kaplan–Meier method.12 Follow-up time for each patient, including the time until death, was measured from the point of randomization. Treatment differences in all-cause and cause-specific mortality were assessed with Cox proportional-hazards models13 adjusted for NYHA class and HF type. Treatment effects (relative risks) were characterized through the use of hazard ratios (HRs) and associated 95% confidence intervals (CIs) derived from the Cox models. These models were also used to test interactions between HF class and treatment and between HF type and treatment. Wald $\chi^2$ tests were used for tests of treatment effects, and likelihood-ratio $\chi^2$ tests were used for interactions. The proportional-hazards assumption was tested for each randomized treatment in each end point model by the inclusion of separate treatment-by-time interactions; all models met the proportional-hazards assumption.

The authors had full access to and take full responsibility for the integrity of the data. All authors have read and agree to the manuscript as written.

Results

Total and Cardiac Mortality

During follow-up, deaths occurred in 182 of 829 subjects (22.0%) randomized to receive an ICD, in 240 of 845 subjects...
Cardiac Death Subclassification
Cardiac mortality resulting from sudden death presumed to be ventricular tachyarrhythmic occurred in 37 subjects (4.5%) randomized to ICD, in 75 subjects (8.9%) randomized to amiodarone, and in 95 subjects (11.2%) randomized to placebo (Figure 1B). The adjusted HR describing the benefit of ICD therapy versus placebo for cardiac mortality caused by tachyarrhythmia was 0.40 (95% CI, 0.27 to 0.59; P<0.001). The modest difference between the rates of sudden death presumed to be ventricular tachyarrhythmic in subjects randomized to amiodarone and those randomized to placebo was not statistically significant (adjusted HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.62 to 1.13; P=0.25). Subjects randomized to receive an ICD showed a reduction in sudden death presumed to be the result of ventricular tachyarrhythmia almost immediately after device implantation (Figure 1B).

Cardiac mortality caused by HF occurred in 72 of 829 subjects (8.7%) randomized to an ICD, in 67 of 845 subjects (7.9%) randomized to amiodarone, and in 66 of 847 subjects (7.8%) randomized to placebo (Figure 1C). There were no statistically significant differences in cardiac mortality resulting from HF among treatment groups. Likewise, there were no apparent differences in cardiac mortality caused by bradyarrhythmias or cardiac mortality resulting from other causes among treatment groups, although event rates were too low to permit formal testing (the Table).

Noncardiac and Unknown Modes of Death
A total of 23% of all deaths were from noncardiac causes, most of which were nonvascular causes (the Table). There were no statistical differences in noncardiac mortality between treatment groups (Figure 1D).

The cause of death could not be fully determined for 69 of the 666 deaths (10.4%). Some of these cases could be classified as cardiac or noncardiac but could not be further subclassified (the Table). There were no statistical differences in mortality from unknown causes between treatment groups.

Impact of HF Class on Mode of Death
There were a total of 362 deaths in those with NYHA class II HF and 304 deaths in those with NYHA class III HF. The impact of HF functional class on cardiac, sudden death presumed to be ventricular tachyarrhythmic, HF, and noncardiac mortalities is shown in Figure 2. The interaction between ICD therapy and NYHA class was significant for cardiac mortality (P=0.0004) and sudden death presumed to be ventricular tachyarrhythmic (P=0.0091) but not for HF (P=0.29) or noncardiac (P=0.11) mortalities. In subjects with NYHA class II HF, ICD therapy reduced cardiac mortality (adjusted HR, 0.50; 95% CI, 0.36 to 0.70) and sudden death presumed to be ventricular tachyarrhythmic (adjusted HR, 0.26; 95% CI, 0.15 to 0.44) compared with placebo, whereas there was no effect on HF mortality (adjusted HR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.56 to 1.54). ICD therapy had no effect on any mode of death in those with NYHA class III HF.

Amiodarone therapy had trends toward an interaction between HF classes with respect to cardiac mortality (P=0.064) and sudden death presumed to be ventricular tachyarrhythmic (P=0.073). Those with NYHA class II HF had a trend toward a lower mortality. There was no interaction between amiodarone therapy and HF class for HF mortality (P=0.30). There was a significant interaction of amiodarone therapy on noncardiac mortality between NYHA classes (P=0.020), with an increase in noncardiac mortality between NYHA classes.
seen in those with NYHA Class III HF (adjusted HR, 1.68; 95% CI, 1.03 to 2.73).

Impact of Type of HF on Mode of Death
There were 432 deaths in those with an ischemic type of HF and 234 deaths in those with a nonischemic type. Of those, there were 221 deaths in those with NYHA class II HF of ischemic type, 141 deaths in those with NYHA class II HF and nonischemic type, 211 deaths in those with NYHA class III HF and ischemic type, and 93 deaths in those with NYHA class III of nonischemic type.

The impact of ischemic versus nonischemic causes of HF on cardiac, sudden death presumed to be ventricular tachyarrhythmic, HF, and noncardiac mortalities is shown in Figure 2. There was no significant interaction of ICD therapy with the type of HF in cardiac (P=0.53), sudden death presumed to be ventricular tachyarrhythmic (P=0.58), HF (P=0.82), or noncardiac (P=0.92) mortalities. ICD therapy demonstrated a trend toward a reduction in cardiac mortality in ischemic (adjusted HR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.60 to 1.05) and nonischemic (adjusted HR, 0.68; 95% CI, 0.44 to 1.03) types of HF, whereas there was a significant reduction in sudden death presumed to be ventricular tachyarrhythmic in ischemic (adjusted HR, 0.43; 95% CI, 0.27 to 0.67) and nonischemic (adjusted HR, 0.34; 95% CI, 0.17 to 0.70) types of HF.

No interaction was seen with amiodarone therapy and type of HF in cardiac (P=0.29), sudden death presumed to be ventricular tachyarrhythmic (P=0.14), HF (P=0.79), and noncardiac (P=0.15) mortalities.

Discussion
Principal Findings
This mode-of-death analysis of SCD-HeFT demonstrates that the reduction in all-cause mortality associated with ICD therapy was due exclusively to a reduction in cardiac mortality from sudden death presumed to be ventricular tachyarrhythmic.

The goal of ICD therapy, to reduce death from otherwise fatal tachyarrhythmias, has been demonstrated in both primary and secondary studies. Similar to the findings in our trial, the Multicenter Automatic Defibrillator Implantation Trial II (MADIT II) showed a significant reduction in all-cause, total cardiac, and sudden cardiac mortality in patients with coronary artery disease and reduced left ventricular function. Neither nonsudden nor noncardiac mortality was affected by ICD therapy compared with conventional medical therapy. A meta-analysis of secondary prevention trials of ICDs, including the Antiarrhythmics Versus Implantable Defibrillators (AVID) study, Cardiac Arrest Study Hamburg (CASH), and Canadian Implantable Defibrillator

Figure 1. Kaplan–Meier estimates in each treatment arm. A, Cardiac mortality. B, Cardiac mortality resulting from sudden death presumed to be ventricular tachyarrhythmic. C, Cardiac mortality resulting from HF. D, Noncardiac mortality. See text for HRs.
CIDS study,\(^4\) demonstrated that compared specifically with amiodarone, ICD therapy reduced all-cause and arrhythmic mortality with no change in nonarrhythmic mortality.

Two clinical trials of ICD therapy, however, have not demonstrated a reduction in all-cause mortality. In DINAMIT, a decrease in arrhythmic death was offset by an increase in cardiac nonarrhythmic death in patients at high risk for ventricular tachyarrhythmias enrolled 6 to 40 days after a myocardial infarction.\(^8\) Similar findings were seen in the Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Patch (CABG-Patch) trial, in which subjects with a low left ventricular ejection fraction and an abnormal signal-averaged ECG undergoing CABG surgery were randomized to receive or not receive an ICD after CABG.\(^17\) Although ICD therapy was associated with a decrease in arrhythmic mortality, all-cause mortality was not affected because of a low arrhythmic event rate and no effect of the ICD on nonarrhythmic mortality.

ICDs Do Not Prevent All Sudden Deaths

This analysis also indicates that despite the presence of an ICD, sudden death may still occur. In SCD-HeFT, 20% of total deaths in the ICD group were classified as sudden deaths presumed to be ventricular tachyarrhythmic, which, although significantly less than in the placebo group (39% of all deaths), is still notable. It is understood that not all terminal arrhythmic events can be prevented with ICD therapy and that some arrhythmic events may even be caused by an ICD.\(^18\) Postshock pulseless electrical activity, incessant ventricular tachyarrhythmias, and shock failure have been among the causes of failure of ICD therapy.\(^19,20\) Myocardial infarction has also been shown in an autopsy series to be a significant cause of sudden death in HF patients.\(^21\) Finally, catastrophic noncardiac events such as pulmonary embolus, dissecting aortic aneurysm, and intracerebral hemorrhage may be the inciting sudden death event.

Impact of HF Class

Perhaps surprising was the observation, consistent with the main trial results,\(^4\) that there was no benefit of ICD therapy in reducing ventricular tachyarrhythmic death in subjects with NYHA class III HF, the only subgroup that did not show a benefit. This may be partially explained by a lower percentage of deaths resulting from ventricular tachyarrhythmia compared with HF in this population. More important, however, an analysis using the Seattle Heart Failure Model demonstrated that the lack of benefit from ICD therapy on sudden death was only in the decile with the highest predicted mortality, indicating that the lack of benefit in the NYHA class III HF population was likely skewed by this group.\(^22\) The other 90% of the study population had a reduction in sudden deaths.

Impact of Underlying Cause of HF

The benefit of ICD therapy was similar regardless of whether the origin of HF was ischemic or nonischemic. Again, the benefit in both those with ischemic and those with nonischemic HF was due solely to a reduction in sudden deaths presumed to be ventricular tachyarrhythmia with no effect on HF deaths. Amiodarone had no effect on all-cause mortality or on any of its components in those with either type of HF.

The only other trial to examine the role of ICD therapy as a primary prevention strategy for reducing sudden cardiac death in patients with a nonischemic cause of HF was the relatively small Defibrillator in Nonischemic Cardiomyopathy Treatment Evaluation (DEFINITE) study.\(^5\) Subjects randomized to receive an ICD did not demonstrate a reduction in all-cause mortality, although on posthoc analysis, there was a statistically significant reduction in sudden death with no difference in HF mortality.

Amiodarone Therapy

SCD-HeFT was unique among primary prevention trials of ICD therapy for including a placebo-controlled antiarrhyth-
mic drug therapy arm. At the time of trial design, 2 studies had suggested conflicting results relative to the potential benefit of amiodarone as a primary prevention strategy to reduce sudden cardiac death in HF patients, the Survival Trial of Antiarrhythmic Therapy in Congestive Heart Failure (CHF-STAT) and Grupo de Estudio de la Sobrevida en la Insuficiencia Cardiaca en Argentina (GESICA). SC-D-HeFT clearly resolved this question when amiodarone was shown to have no benefit on reducing all-cause mortality. Given the antiarrhythmic properties of amiodarone, a reduction in ventricular tachyarrhythmia might have been expected but was not observed.

A statistically significant increase in noncardiac mortality was observed in NYHA class III HF subjects randomized to receive amiodarone versus placebo therapy. The specific causes for this increased risk are not clear.

Limitations

Although SCD-HeFT was unique with regard to the mechanism of event adjudication by both electrophysiologists and HF cardiologists, the main limitation of this study is the inherent difficulty in defining causality and the associated classification. This limitation is particularly relevant to the category of cardiac mortality resulting from ventricular tachyarrhythmias. Although such deaths were, by definition, sudden, some events classified as ventricular tachyarrhythmias may have been due to other causes, including bradyarrhythmias, pulseless electrical activity, myocardial infarction, and noncardiac causes. Information from ICD interrogations was purposely not made available for event adjudication because it may have affected the Events Committee’s decision using data not available in other treatment groups. Only a limited number of subjects, however, had their device interrogated at the time of death; therefore, this information was not used in event adjudication. In addition, sparse information precluded causality adjudication in 9% of deaths, which may have affected the study results.

Conclusions

In SCD-HeFT, ICD therapy was associated with a reduction in all-cause mortality in subjects with NYHA class II or III HF and LEFT VENTRICULAR EJECTION FRACTION ≤35%. This study demonstrated that the benefit was due solely to a reduction in sudden deaths presumed to be ventricular tachyarrhythmic and was not offset by an adverse effect on HF or noncardiac deaths. Furthermore, the dominant beneficiaries of these advantages are patients with NYHA class II HF. NYHA class III patients in SCD-HeFT had a high rate of death resulting from progressive HF, which may have offset any benefit of the ICD in reducing death from ventricular tachyarrhythmias. Amiodarone increased noncardiac mortality in subjects with NYHA class III HF but otherwise had a neutral effect on cause-specific mode of death.
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**CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE**

The Sudden Cardiac Death in Heart Failure Trial (SCD-HeFT) demonstrated that implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy reduces all-cause mortality in patients with New York Heart Association class II/III heart failure and a left ventricular ejection fraction ≤35% on optimal medical therapy. This report examined the mode of death in SCD-HeFT. A total of 2521 subjects were randomized to placebo, amiodarone, or shock-only, single-lead implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy. Over a median follow-up of 45.5 months, 666 deaths were reviewed by an Events Committee and categorized as sudden death presumed to be ventricular tachyarrhythmic, heart failure related, bradyarrhythmic, nonarrhythmic non–heart failure related, or noncardiac. Implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy reduced cardiac and ventricular tachyarrhythmic mortality and had no impact on mortality resulting from heart failure or noncardiac causes compared with placebo. The cardiac and ventricular tachyarrhythmic mortality reductions were evident in subjects with New York Heart Association class II but not in subjects with class III heart failure. The reduction in ventricular tachyarrhythmic mortality with implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy was similar in subjects with ischemic and nonischemic disease. Amiodarone compared with placebo had no significant effect on any mode of death, although there was an increase in noncardiac mortality in those with New York Heart Association class III heart failure who were receiving amiodarone. Implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy has a beneficial effect on reducing sudden death presumed to be due to ventricular tachyarrhythmias without an effect on heart failure mortality.
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