Mitral Leaflet Adaptation to Ventricular Remodeling
Prospective Changes in a Model of Ischemic Mitral Regurgitation
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Background—Ischemic mitral regurgitation is caused by systolic traction on the mitral leaflets related to ventricular distortion. Little is known about how chronic tethering affects leaflet area, in part because it cannot be measured repeatedly in situ. Recently, a new method for 3D echocardiographic measurement of mitral leaflet area was developed and validated in vivo against sheep valves, later excised. Clinical studies (n = 80) showed that mitral leaflet area increased by >30% in patients with inferior myocardial infarction and dilated cardiomyopathy versus normal; greater adaptation independently predicted less mitral regurgitation. This study explored whether mitral valve area changes over time within the same heart with ischemic mitral regurgitation.

Methods and Results—Twelve sheep were studied at baseline and 3 months after inferior myocardial infarction by 3D echocardiography; 6 were untreated and 6 were treated initially with an epicardial patch to limit left ventricular dilation and mitral regurgitation. Untreated sheep developed left ventricular dilation at 3 months, with global dysfunction (mean ± SD ejection fraction, 24 ± 10% versus 44 ± 10% with patching, P = 0.02) and moderate mitral regurgitation (vena contracta, 5.0 ± 1.0 versus 0.8 ± 1.0 mm, P < 0.0002). In untreated sheep, total diastolic leaflet area increased from 13.1 ± 1.3 to 18.1 ± 2.5 cm² (P = 0.0001). In patched sheep, leaflet area at 3 months was not significantly different from baseline sheep values (13.0 ± 1.1 versus baseline, 12.1 ± 1.8 cm², P = 0.31).

Conclusions—Mitral valve area, independent of systolic stretch, increases over time as the left ventricular remodels after inferior myocardial infarction. This increase, however, fails to compensate adequately for tethering to prevent mitral regurgitation. Understanding the mechanism of valve adaptation can potentially suggest new biological and surgical therapeutic targets. (Circulation. 2009;120[suppl 1]:S99–S103.)
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Functional mitral regurgitation (MR) is a frequent complication of ischemic heart disease as the left ventricle (LV) dilates and the papillary muscles are displaced away from the annulus.1–6 Ischemic MR has consistently been associated with increased mortality, heart failure, and poor prognosis after myocardial infarction.7–10 Recently, patients with mitral leaflet tethering caused by dilated cardiomyopathy or inferior myocardial infarction (IMI) have been shown to have larger mitral leaflet areas than control patients with normal hearts11 measured by 3D echocardiographic reconstruction of the open valvular surface. Patients with greater valve adaptation to the tethered valve geometry had less MR. Several potential mechanisms have been suggested for leaflet adaptation in patients with functional MR.12–18 Significant increases over 15 days in systolic leaflet length have also recently been described in sheep with pacing-induced cardiomyopathy even without an increase in the tethering distance from the papillary muscles to the annulus that is seen in IMI.19 However, longitudinal changes in total leaflet area measured in diastole without added systolic leaflet stretch have not yet been studied in the inferior MI setting. Also, whether therapeutic procedures targeting LV remodeling can alter the long-term effect of valve tethering on leaflet remodeling is unknown.

Our aim was therefore to explore in an animal model whether mitral valve area changes over time within the same heart with inferior wall motion abnormality and LV dilation caused by an important IMI. Also, we intended to determine whether infarct patching, known to reduce LV remodeling and leaflet tethering, limits mitral leaflet changes.

Methods

Sheep Model and Design
Twelve Dorsett hybrid sheep were studied (Figure 1). As previously detailed by Llaneras et al.,20 anesthesia was induced with sodium thiopental (12.5 mg/kg intravenously), and the trachea was intubated...
and ventilated with 2% isoflurane and oxygen. Animals received glycopyrrolate (0.4 mg intravenously) and vancomycin (0.5 gm intravenously) 1 hour before incision. Via left thoracotomy, the heart was exposed and chronic MR was produced by ligating the second and third circumflex obtuse marginal branches. Six animals were treated with inferior wall patching (see below) and 6 were closed without treatment. Before thoracotomy closure, all animals had baseline echocardiographic imaging. Animals were cared for over 12 weeks, after which a second thoracotomy was performed for repeat echocardiographic imaging. This study was reviewed and approved by our institutional animal care committee.

**Papillary Muscle Repositioning**

The patch-balloon device was sewn onto the myocardium over the region of infarction using interrupted sutures as previously described. An elongated oval balloon parallel to the LV long axis was contained between the patch and the myocardium. The patch buttresses the balloon so that its inflation displaces the myocardium inward toward the anterior mitral annulus. Patch placement and degree of balloon inflation were guided in situ by echocardiography to reduce MR and achieve normal leaflet seating by injecting the minimum amount of fluid necessary (0 to 15 mL) intraoperatively.

**Echocardiography**

Basic views were obtained using a Philips iE33 scanner and a 5-MHz transducer. Images were analyzed offline using QLab 5.1 (Philips, Andover, Mass). LV end-diastolic volume, end-systolic volume (LVESV) and ejection fraction (EF) were measured by the biplane Simpson technique. The increase in LV volumes from baseline to 3 months was also reported and calculated as (3 months/baseline)/baseline. MR was quantified by the width of the proximal jet (vena contracta) in the apical long-axis view. Device application was adjusted to reduce MR based on visual assessment of the proximal jet width.

**Leaflet Area Measurements**

Images were obtained using an X3 matrix array transducer (Philips) to acquire 3D volumetric data sets of the mitral valve from 4 heart beats while temporarily suspending mechanical ventilation. Leaflet areas were analyzed in midsystole and end-diastole using custom software running on a personal computer (Omni4D, M. Handschumacher) as previously described. Total leaflet area was measured at end-diastole, because in systole, the leaflets are tethered to the annulus and cannot be uniformly visualized. Therefore, total leaflet area was assessed at full end-diastolic leaflet opening, 1 frame before closure motion.

**Three-Dimensional Tracing**

The technique of 3D leaflet tracing and measurement of total leaflet area and closure area has been recently described and validated in a sheep model using the explanted leaflet area as reference. Intraobserver and interobserver variability of this method was minimal.

In brief, after standardization of the axis of reference, tracings were performed by automatically obtaining a set of 9 equiangular intersecting image planes (0° to 180°) from the 3D data set, with the 0° view passing through the center of the aortic valve (Figure 2). The annular points, leaflets, and open leaflet tips were manually traced, providing 2 leaflet traces per plane for a total of 18 traces. An automated computer algorithm connected the individual annular points to form a closed 3D annular loop and then computed an open tube-like 3D polygonal surface conforming to the leaflet traces. Leaflet area was calculated by summing the elements of this 3D polygonal surface.

In midsystole, the closed leaflet surface was similarly computed to provide the closure area as the minimal area of the leaflets necessary to occlude the orifice based on their 3D shape, which is dictated by leaflet tethering. The closure area was measured as a continuous surface area separating the left atrial and LV cavities; this measurement excludes the juxtaposed leaflet surface portions that meet in systole but do not separate the 2 cavities. The regurgitant orifice itself is not directly visualized and therefore is not excluded from this area; the closure area is therefore the area necessary for the leaflets to completely close the orifice between the 2 cavities. Mitral annular area was calculated as the projection of the annular trace onto its average or least-squares plane. Tethering was also confirmed by measuring midsystolic tenting volume between leaflets and annular least-squares plane.
Statistical Analysis
Chronic effects of IMI and the effect of the patch device were tested by repeated-measures ANOVA after verification that all underlying statistical assumptions for such ANOVA (normal distribution of samples and homogeneous variances) were satisfied. Measures were taken at baseline, acutely after infarction, and at 3-month follow-up. For MR severity, values measured acutely after infarction and at 3-month follow-up were compared (no MR or variance at baseline). For LV volumes, EF, and leaflet areas, values measured at baseline and at 3-month follow-up were compared. A 2-tailed probability value of 0.05 was considered significant. For multiple comparisons, significance was examined by Student-Newman-Keuls tests. Values are reported as mean ± SD.
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Results

MR Severity
All animals in the control group developed moderate MR immediately after IMI (proximal jet width, 2.5 ± 0.7 mm; Figure 3) and severe MR at 3-month follow-up (proximal jet width, 5.0 ± 1.0 mm, P = 0.0015 versus immediately after IMI). In the patch group, proximal jet width immediately after IMI was 1.0 ± 0.7 mm. At 3 months, MR did not increase in the patch group (proximal jet width, 0.8 ± 1.0 mm, P = 0.4 versus immediately after IMI). MR severity was significantly higher in the control group than in the patch group at 3 months (P = 0.00016).

Hemodynamic Data
Results are summarized in Figure 4. LVESV was increased at 3 months compared with baseline in both the control group (94 ± 15 versus 53 ± 14 mL, P = 0.01) and the patch group (71 ± 9 versus 48 ± 10 mL, P = 0.05), although the increase in volume ([3 months − baseline]/baseline) was less in the patch group (47 ± 9 versus 77 ± 12%, P = 0.01). LVESV was there-fore significantly increased at 3 months in the control group compared with the patch group (P = 0.03). EF was decreased at 3 months in the control group (24 ± 10 versus baseline 44 ± 10%, P = 0.02) but remained stable in the patch group (44 ± 10 versus baseline 43 ± 11%, P = 0.80).

Mitrail Valve Areas
Total leaflet area increased at 3 months compared with baseline in the control group (18.1 ± 2.5 versus 13.1 ± 1.3 cm², P = 0.0001; Figure 5). No significant increase in leaflet area was observed in the patch group (13.1 ± 1.2 versus 12.1 ± 1.9 cm², P = 0.31). Mitral annular area increased at 3 months in the control group (9.4 ± 1.5 versus 7.8 ± 1.0 cm², P = 0.02) but not significantly in the patch group (8.5 ± 0.7 versus 7.7 ± 0.8 cm², P = 0.1), consistent with posterior cinching of the annulus and MR reduction by the patch. Closure area was increased in the control group at 3 months (11.7 ± 2.3 versus 9.1 ± 2.6 cm², P = 0.03), suggesting a tethered geometry. Closure area did not increase in the patch group (9.1 ± 0.9 versus 8.7 ± 1.6 cm², P = 0.73), consistent with stabilization of the LV–mitral valvular complex by the device. Tethering was confirmed by increased tenting volume in the control group at 3 months (1.83 ± 1.14 cm³ versus 0.41 ± 0.20 cm³ at baseline, P = 0.039), without significant change in patched animals.
Discussion

Ischemic MR has been described as a disease in which distorted ventricular geometry and impaired function lead to increased systolic tethering and incomplete leaflet closure.  

Recently, however, we have begun to ask whether the leaflets themselves can adapt to chronic leaflet stretch and undergo changes that could improve coaptation.

A clinical study has shown that mitral valve area is increased by more than 30% in patients with IMI and dilated cardiomyopathy compared with patients with normal hearts at a single evaluation time point. In that work, a new echocardiographic method of in vivo leaflet area measurement had been first validated in sheep by correlating the echo-derived measure and the area measured by planimetry after explantation. To date, these changes in area had not been shown in longitudinal studies.

In the present study, we demonstrate that the mitral valve undergoes adaptive changes that translate in an increase in leaflet area over time within the same heart. In these animals, leaflet area increased by 38.2% 3 months after IMI. A recently validated 3D echocardiography technique for the reconstruction of the leaflets visualized in vivo allows such repeated measurements. In contrast, no significant change in leaflet area was observed in sheep in which the papillary muscles were realigned by external patch placement, with associated limitation of both LV and annular remodeling, which appear to create the geometric stimuli for leaflet enlargement. This adaptation of the leaflets, however, may not be sufficient to overcome the effects of tethering and restore normal coaptation.

These results are consistent with the study of Timek et al. who described a pacing-induced cardiomyopathy model in sheep. In this model leaflet length was measured by radiopaque markers placed along the leaflet midline. Systolic leaflet length increased over 15 days as the LV dilated (15.2% for the anterior leaflet and 16.7% for the posterior leaflet), roughly corresponding to a 30% increase in total leaflet area. The current study adds the setting of a typical IMI, a common clinical scenario for ischemic MR, and the measurement of total leaflet area in diastole during which increased passive stretch is not a factor. These findings are also consistent with the pathological literature indicating changes in leaflet composition and collagen content, which may lead to changes in leaflet area but also decrease leaflet flexibility and ability to bend and coapt effectively. Potential mechanisms for leaflet adaptation will require further study and include the generation of transforming growth factor-β and related signals by mechanically stretched valve interstitial cells and myocytes in ischemic hearts. These molecules could induce endothelial-to-mesenchymal cell transdifferentiation, with activation and proliferation of interstitial cells and increased matrix production. Studying these mechanisms can help us understand why leaflet adaptation may be greater in some patients and how it might be therapeutically augmented.

In summary, leaflet area increases substantially if LV remodeling is not limited by external constraint. These results suggest the need to further improve our understanding of mitral leaflet adaptation and whether it can be targeted in our therapeutic approach to functional MR.
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