Mesenchymal Cell Transplantation and Myocardial Remodeling After Myocardial Infarction
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Background—Targeted delivery of mesenchymal precursor cells (MPCs) can modify left ventricular (LV) cellular and extracellular remodeling after myocardial infarction (MI). However, whether and to what degree LV remodeling may be affected by MPC injection post-MI, and whether these effects are concentration-dependent, remain unknown.

Methods and Results—Allogeneic MPCs were expanded from sheep bone marrow, and direct intramyocardial injection was performed within the borderzone region 1 hour after MI induction (coronary ligation) in sheep at the following concentrations: 25×10^6 (25 M, n=7), 75×10^6 (75 M, n=7), 225×10^6 (225 M, n=10), 450×10^6 (450 M, n=8), and MPC free media only (MI Only, n=14). LV end diastolic volume increased in all groups but was attenuated in the 25 and 75 M groups. Collagen content within the borderzone region was increased in the MI Only, 225, and 450 M groups, whereas plasma ICTP, an index of collagen degradation, was highest in the 25 M group. Within the borderzone region matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and MMP tissue inhibitors (TIMPs) also changed in a MPC concentration-dependent manner. For example, borderzone levels of MMP-9 were highest in the 25 M group when compared to the MI Only and other MPC treatment group values.

Conclusions—MPC injection altered collagen dynamics, MMP, and TIMP levels in a concentration-dependent manner, and thereby influenced indices of post-MI LV remodeling. However, the greatest effects with respect to post-MI remodeling were identified at lower MPC concentrations, thus suggesting a therapeutic threshold exists for this particular cell therapy. (Circulation. 2009;120[suppl 1]:S220–S229.)
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Despite advances in reperfusion therapy, acute coronary syndromes still result in myocardial injury and subsequent myocardial infarction (MI). Molecular, cellular, and interstitial events antecedent to the acute MI culminate in changes in the size, shape, and function of the left ventricle (LV), collectively termed LV remodeling. A pathophysiological underpinning of the LV remodeling process is that continuous changes occur in the structure and function of the fully perfused myocardium surrounding the infarct region, described as the borderzone myocardium. Extension of these changes from the borderzone to contiguous normal myocardium is a process defined as infarct expansion. Indeed, adverse LV remodeling and infarct expansion have been identified as independent predictors of mortality after MI.1 Accordingly, significant effort has been directed toward surgical and pharmacological methods to interrupt post-MI LV remodeling and infarct expansion. Although numerous pharmacological and surgical therapies have been shown to ameliorate post-MI LV remodeling, none have been able to halt the process completely. Of more recent import, the use of multiple cell types (ie, stem cells) implanted into the post-MI myocardium has been evaluated.2–10 Whereas preclinical studies demonstrated improved LV function after MI,2–6 clinical trials have yielded disparate results thus far.7–10 The reasons for the difficulties in translating the basic studies regarding stem cells to clinical applications are likely multifactorial and include the type of cell used, the concentration of cells administered, and the method of delivery. A direct relationship between stem cell concentration and the degree of post-MI remodeling, with respect to cellular and extracel- lular processes, has not been examined.

One specific stem cell line are the mesenchymal precursor cells (MPCs), which are defined as self-renewing pluripotent cells contained within the adult bone marrow.2,3 Specifically,
these cells are STRO-3-positive, delineating them as nonhematopoietic perivascular bone marrow stromal stem cells, and demonstrate an extensive capacity for proliferation and differentiation.11 MPCs are noncyling cells which lack the phenotypic characteristics of leukocytes and mature stromal cells but maintain the functionality to differentiate into multiple stromal cell types, including smooth muscle cells. Potential advantages of MPCs include accessibility in bone marrow, allogenicity, which negates the need for immunosuppression, and ease of ex vivo expansion.3 Previous studies in large animal models of MI have found MPCs capable of improving LV function and attenuating infarct expansion after myocardial delivery.4 However, the underlying mechanisms which may contribute to the effect of MPC implantation on post-MI remodeling and whether these are concentration dependent remain unexplored. Therefore, the present study used this specific MPC line to examine how MPC injection may modify the extracellular environment post-MI. Although a number of cellular and extracellular events feed into the LV remodeling process, matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and MMP tissue inhibitors (TIMPs) have been identified as a common end-effector system in post-MI LV remodeling.12–14 Moreover, in an adult sheep model of post-MI LV remodeling, a clear association has been demonstrated between the emergence of certain MMP types and MI expansion in the borderzone region.12 Accordingly, using a well-established post-MI sheep model,4,12,15 the present study was designed to test the hypothesis that myocardial MMP and TIMP levels will change as a function of MPC concentration delivered to the borderzone and be directly related to the post-MI remodeling process.

Methods

Overview

This study used an adult sheep MI model with the following specific objectives: First, to quantify LV remodeling and infarct expansion after delivery of MPCs in varying doses; second, to harvest the myocardium at 8 weeks after MI to quantify MMP and TIMP levels; and third, to measure relative myocardial collagen content, as well as an index of collagen degradation.

Animal Model

An MI was surgically induced in 47 female sheep using a well-described method.13 Briefly, an anteroapical infarction was produced by ligating the left anterior descending artery and its diagonal branches, resulting in an infarction of approximately 20% of the LV mass. This technique has been shown to reproducibly create MI of consistent magnitude.13 Subsequently, the sheep were randomized to receive either vehicle alone or a specific concentration of MPCs. For the purposes of referent control values for the subsequent myocardial biochemical analysis, LV myocardial samples were collected from referent normal female sheep (n = 6). All animals were treated and cared for in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (National Research Council, Washington, DC, 1996).

MPC Prep/Injection

The specific fraction of MPCs used in this experiment were perivascular STRO-3-positive cells derived from bone marrow aspirates from male crossbred sheep using techniques similar to those previously described.11,16 Cells were cryopreserved in 42% ProfreezeTM/50% Alpha MEM/7.5% DMSO in 4 mL ampoules. Recovery of the frozen MPC preparations was achieved by thawing on ice. Sheep were randomized to receive the following MPC concentrations (105; M); 25, 75, 225, or 450 or cell medium only (MI only). All animals except for the 450 M group received 20 midmyocardial (2 to 3 mm depth) borderzone injections of 0.2 mL (4 mL total). The 450 M cell group received twenty 0.4 mL injections (8 mL total). Male MPCs or cell medium alone were delivered by direct injection into the clearly identifiable borderzone region adjacent to the infarct on the anterior wall of the LV within 1 hour of coronary occlusion.

Left Ventricular Function and Myocardial Sampling

At baseline (before MI induction), immediately after infarction, and at 8 weeks post-MI quantitative 2-dimensional echocardiograms were obtained (Philips 7500 ultrasound system using a 5-MHz probe) using a subdiaphragmatic technique. From these recordings, end-diastolic volume, ejection fraction, and MI length were determined.17 After echocardiography a blood sample was collected and the serum was separated and stored at −80°C. Subsequently, the sheep were euthanized and the heart was rapidly harvested. Transmural sections from the MI, remote and borderzone regions were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin or flash frozen.

Myocardial Collagen Content and Telopeptide Studies

Plasma samples were collected before and 8 weeks after MI induction. A carboxy-terminal telopeptide region type I collagen (ICTP) enzyme-immunoassay kit (06099, Orion Diagnostica) was used to measure collagen degradation as previously described.18 Collagen content in myocardial tissue samples was determined using a hydroxyproline assay.19

LV Myocardial MMP/TIMP Analysis

LV myocardial samples were homogenized (3- to 30-second bursts) in 5 mL of an ice-cold extraction buffer (1:3 wt/vol) containing cacodylic acid (10 mmol/L), NaCl (0.15 mol/L), ZnCl2 (20 mmol/L), NaN3 (1.5 mmol/L), and 0.01% Triton X-100 (pH 5.0). The homogenate was then centrifuged (4°C, 10 minutes, 8000 g), decanted and saved on ice. Final protein concentration of the myocardial extracts was determined with a standardized colorimetric assay (BCA Protein Assay, Pierce). The extracted samples were then aliquotted and stored at −80°C.

For this study, the relative abundances of MMPs corresponding to each class were examined by quantitative zymography or immunoblotting (10 μg myocardial protein), which has been described in detail previously.20–22 Abundance of the gelatinase MMP-2 was determined by zymography, as described previously.22 For immunoblotting, after electrophoresis the separated proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. After a blocking and washing step, the membranes were incubated in antisera (0.4 μg/mL) corresponding to the regional myocardial abundance of the interstitial collagenases MMP-1 (IM35, Calbiochem) and MMP-13 (AB8114, Chemicon International), the gelatinase MMP-9 (AB804, Chemicon International), the matrilysins MMP-3 (Ab38907, Abcam) and MMP-7 (Ab38996, Abcam), or the membrane-type MMP MT1-MMP (AB8115, Chemicon). The TIMPs were measured in identical fashion using specific antisera for TIMP-1 (AB8116, Chemicon), TIMP-2 (Ab38973, Abcam), and TIMP-4 (AB816, Chemicon). After incubation with a secondary antibody, immunoreactive signals were detected by chemiluminescence (Western Lightning Chemiluminescence Reagent Plus, Perkin Elmer). Recombinant standards (Chemicon or Oncogene) were included in all immunoblots as positive controls. The zymograms and immunoblots were analyzed by den-
Assessment of MPC Engraftment
Genomic DNA was extracted from frozen tissue samples using QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen). SYR2 and SYR3 primer pairs were selected to amplify genes from the ovine male-specific region.4,23 The detection limit for SRY2 and SRY3 was 500 pg, equivalent to approximately 85 cells, as described in greater detail previously.4

Data Analysis
The LV function and MI length measurements were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) in which the treatment variables were MI and MPC treatment. After the ANOVA, pair-wise comparisons were performed by Bonferroni bounds. For the ICTP plasma assay results, the data were not normally distributed, therefore log transformation was performed before comparing individual group means by t test. For hydroxyproline values, a 2-way ANOVA was performed in which the main treatment was MI and the subplot effect was MPC treatment. The densitometry results obtained from the MMP and TIMP zymography and immunoblotting were converted to a normalized integrated optical density (IOD) and then analyzed by 2-way ANOVA. Values were considered significant at the P < 0.05 level and values reported as the mean ± SEM.

Statement of Responsibility
The authors had full access to the data and take full responsibility for its integrity.

Results
The baseline LV functional characteristics and final sample sizes for all of the groups are shown in Table 1. One animal in the 225 M treatment group died 1 hour after MI induction and MPC injection. Myocardium harvested from this animal was incorporated in the histology and MSC identification experiments.

LV Function and Geometry
The overall mean baseline LV end diastolic volume, before treatment randomization, was 60 ± 3.5 mL, which was not different between randomized treatment groups. LV end diastolic volume was increased from baseline in all post-MI groups (Table 1). LV end diastolic volume decreased in the 25 M and 75 M MPC treatment groups in comparison with the MI Only group. The mean baseline LV ejection fraction was 40 ± 3%, and LV ejection fraction decreased in all post-MI groups. The LV ejection fraction increased in all MPC treatment groups as compared to the MI Only group. Infarct length was reduced in the 25 M, 75 M, and 225 M treatment groups as compared to the MI Only group, whereas in the 450 M group infarct expansion was not different from the MI Only group. At the cellular level, myocyte cross sectional area for the remote and borderzone regions were increased from the referent control values in all post-MI groups, indicative of post-MI LV myocyte hypertrophy (Table 1). At higher MPC concentrations the borderzone myocyte cross sectional area decreased from MI Only values, with this being significant at the 75 and 450 M concentrations.

MPC Engraftment Studies
Parallel LV sections from the MI borderzone obtained at 1 hour post-MI and MPC injection, as well as at 8 weeks post-MI in which increasing concentrations of MPCs were...
injected within the borderzone at the time of MI induction, were stained with H&E and for the Y chromosome (Figure 1). A strong basophilic linear array of cells was readily apparent within the borderzone at 1 hour after MPC injection. In sections obtained at 8 weeks post-MI a pleomorphic staining pattern was observed within the borderzone, which included residual myocytes, inflammatory cells, myofibroblasts, and bands of fibrillar collagen. Immunolocalization for the Y chromosome revealed a strong positive signal in the testis as well as in the linear array of cells within the injection site at 1 hour (black arrows). The positive Y chromosome staining is therefore reflective of successful implantation of MPCs within the myocardial borderzone after MI induction. However, examination of more than 240 high power fields within the targeted MPC injection sites at 8 weeks post-MI revealed an absence of Y chromosome staining, indicative of a failure of MPC engraftment. Treatment groups are labeled as follows: Normal=Referent Control (n=6), MI Only=cell medium only (n=14), 25 M=25×10⁶ MPCs (n=7), 75 M=75×10⁶ MPCs (n=7), 225 M=225×10⁶ MPCs (n=10), 450 M=450×10⁶ MPCs (n=8). Scale bar=200 μm.
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Figure 1. Parallel LV sections obtained from the MI borderzone were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and the Y chromosome, were obtained at 1 hour after MI induction and MPC injection (225 M), as well as at 8 weeks post-MI in which increasing concentrations of MPCs were injected within the borderzone at the time of MI induction. Sections from testis as a positive control for the Y chromosome as well as referent normal sheep myocardium were included in this analysis. Sections were examined under low (20×) and high (40×) magnification, and representative images are shown. A strong basophilic linear array of cells were readily evident within the targeted injection site at 1 hour after MPC injection (white arrows). After 8 weeks of MI, a pleomorphic staining pattern was observed within the borderzone, which included residual myocytes, inflammatory cells, myofibroblasts, and bands of fibrillar collagen. Immunolocalization for the Y chromosome revealed a strong positive signal in the testis as well as in the linear array of cells within the injection site at 1 hour (black arrows). The positive Y chromosome staining is therefore reflective of successful implantation of MPCs within the myocardial borderzone after MI induction. However, examination of more than 240 high power fields within the targeted MPC injection sites at 8 weeks post-MI revealed an absence of Y chromosome staining, indicative of a failure of MPC engraftment. Treatment groups are labeled as follows: Normal=Referent Control (n=6), MI Only=cell medium only (n=14), 25 M=25×10⁶ MPCs (n=7), 75 M=75×10⁶ MPCs (n=7), 225 M=225×10⁶ MPCs (n=10), 450 M=450×10⁶ MPCs (n=8). Scale bar=200 μm.

injected within the borderzone at the time of MI induction, were stained with H&E and for the Y chromosome (Figure 1). A strong basophilic linear array of cells was readily apparent within the borderzone at 1 hour after MPC injection. In sections obtained at 8 weeks post-MI a pleomorphic staining pattern occurred within the borderzone, containing cellular and extracellular components. Immunolocalization for the Y chromosome revealed a strong positive signal in the testis as well as in the linear array of cells within the injection site at 1 hour post-MI. Therefore, the positive Y chromosome staining was reflective of successful implantation of the MPCs within the borderzone after MI induction. However, an absence of Y chromosome staining was revealed after examination of more than 240 high power fields within the borderzone at 8 weeks post-MI, there was no evidence that these cells were residual MPCs. Treatment groups are labeled as follows: Normal=Referent Control (n=6), MI Only=cell medium only (n=14), 25 M=25×10⁶ MPCs (n=7), 75 M=75×10⁶ MPCs (n=7), 225 M=225×10⁶ MPCs (n=10), 450 M=450×10⁶ MPCs (n=8). Scale bar=200 μm.

Figure 2. To more closely examine whether and to what degree MPC phenotypic changes may have occurred after MPC injections, parallel LV sections were stained for alpha smooth muscle actin (ASMA) and for the Y chromosome. ASMA and Y chromosome-positive cells, indicative of MPCs, were readily evident within the targeted injection site at 1 hour after MPC injection (asterisks). In normal control LV myocardial samples, ASMA staining was evident within the vasculature, consistent with vascular smooth muscle (arrow). After 8 weeks of MI, robust staining for ASMA was observed within the borderzone, consistent with neovascularization and myofibroblasts. However, at this time point parallel LV sections were uniformly negative for the Y chromosome. Thus, although a heterogeneous ASMA cell population was present within the borderzone at 8 weeks post-MI, there was no evidence that these cells were residual MPCs. Treatment groups are labeled as follows: Normal=Referent Control (n=6), MI Only=cell medium only (n=14), 25 M=25×10⁶ MPCs (n=7), 75 M=75×10⁶ MPCs (n=7), 225 M=225×10⁶ MPCs (n=10), 450 M=450×10⁶ MPCs (n=8). Scale bar=200 μm.
borderzone at 8 weeks post-MI, thus indicating a failure of prolonged MPC engraftment. To investigate whether and to what degree MPC phenotypic changes may have occurred after MPC injection, colocalization studies were performed using parallel LV sections stained for ASMA and the Y chromosome (Figure 2). Cells staining positive for ASMA and Y chromosome, indicative of MPCs, were readily apparent within the targeted injection site at 1 hour after MPC injection. In normal referent control LV myocardial samples, ASMA staining was evident within the vasculature, consistent with vascular smooth muscle. At 8 weeks post-MI, robust staining for ASMA was observed within the borderzone, consistent with neovascularization and myofibroblasts. However, at this time point parallel LV sections were uniformly negative for the Y chromosome. Thus, although a heterogeneous ASMA cell population was present within the borderzone at 8 weeks post-MI, there was no evidence that these cells were residual MPCs. Additionally, using high-sensitivity PCR technique, DNA extracts from the 225 M animal that died 1 hour after cell injection did demonstrate the presence of male cells in the borderzone. However, extracts from the borderzone region in all treatment groups 8 weeks post-MI did not produce a PCR product consistent with the presence of the injected male cells. The positive control sample generated a PCR product of expected size, and the negative control did not create a signal. Therefore, using cellular and PCR techniques, no significant engraftment of the successfully injected MPCs into the borderzone region occurred at 8 weeks post-MI.

Collagen Studies
An index of collagen degradation, as measured by plasma telopeptides (ICTP), increased from mean baseline values in the 25 M treatment group (Figure 3). ICTP levels in both the 75 M and 450 M treatment groups were lower in comparison to the 25 M group. Myocardial collagen, as measured by hydroxyproline levels, was 11.4±1.7 μg/g in the referent control group. Within the remote region, hydroxyproline increased from the referent control value to 16.7±2.5 μg/g (P<0.05) in the MI Only group and was similarly increased in all MPC treatment groups. Within the MI region, hydroxyproline was increased from the referent control value to 253.2±29.5 μg/g (P<0.05) in the MI Only group and was again similarly increased in all MPC treatment groups. The greatest differential changes in hydroxyproline levels were in the borderzone region. Specifically, the MI Only, 225 M, and 450 M groups were increased from the referent control value (P<0.05).

MMP and TIMP Myocardial Levels

MMP and TIMP Regional Distribution

Remote Region
MMP-1 increased in the MI Only group from referent control values and decreased in the 25, 75, and 225 M groups (Table 2). MMP-13 increased in the MI Only group, and was decreased from this value in the 450 M group. MMP-2 decreased in the 25, 75, and 225 M groups as compared to the MI Only group. MMP-9 increased in the MI Only group, whereas MMP-9 remained unchanged in all MPC groups. MMP-3 levels were unchanged, whereas MMP-7 was increased in the MI Only group and decreased in all MPC treatment groups. Membrane-type MMP, MT1-MMP, increased in the MI Only group but remained unchanged in all MPC groups. TIMP-1 increased in the MI Only and 25 M groups compared to referent control values. TIMP-2 increased in the MI Only group and remained unchanged in all MPC groups. TIMP-4 increased in the MI Only group and fell from this value in the 75, 225, and 450 M groups.

Borderzone Region
MMP-1 increased in the MI Only and 450 M groups (Figure 4). MMP-13 increased in the MI Only group, and the 75, 225, and 450 M groups decreased compared to the MI Only group. MMP-2 increased in the MI Only group. MMP-9 increased in the 25 M group compared to the referent control values, and decreased in the 75, 225, and 450 M groups as compared to the 25 M group. MMP-3 levels were unchanged by MPC injection. MMP-7 decreased in the 75 and 225 M groups, with the 25, 75, and 225 M groups decreased from the MI Only group. Membrane type 1 MMP, MT1–MMP, increased in the MI Only group, with all MPC treatment groups unchanged from control values. TIMP-1 increased in the MI Only group and remained unchanged in the MPC groups. TIMP-4 increased in the MI Only group and decreased from this value in all MPC groups.
Table 2. Regional Abundance of Myocardial MMPs at 8 Weeks After MI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MMP Class</th>
<th>Referent Control</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>MI Only</th>
<th>25 M</th>
<th>75 M</th>
<th>225 M</th>
<th>450 M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collagenases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP-1</td>
<td>1319±74</td>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>3749±839*</td>
<td>1293±186†</td>
<td>1172±261†</td>
<td>1884±653†</td>
<td>2421±595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BZ</td>
<td>4025±2058</td>
<td>1175±162</td>
<td>935±232</td>
<td>1325±266</td>
<td>3385±1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>604±253*</td>
<td>84±30*</td>
<td>120±26*</td>
<td>222±70*</td>
<td>691±337†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP-13</td>
<td>242±31</td>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>1074±430*</td>
<td>705±349</td>
<td>387±138</td>
<td>507±213</td>
<td>331±78†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BZ</td>
<td>1062±280*</td>
<td>640±354</td>
<td>405±109†</td>
<td>327±97†</td>
<td>460±188†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>2897±954*</td>
<td>1650±546</td>
<td>1737±772</td>
<td>1832±360</td>
<td>828±337†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatinases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP-2</td>
<td>26047±3312</td>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>39750±6760</td>
<td>20155±5036†</td>
<td>21884±1370†</td>
<td>23923±1718†</td>
<td>28420±7800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BZ</td>
<td>50932±11021</td>
<td>37924±1175</td>
<td>30272±7792</td>
<td>42229±8193</td>
<td>30079±8625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>203450±24810*</td>
<td>190152±6468*</td>
<td>173293±11222*</td>
<td>142759±18349†</td>
<td>162343±21567†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP-9</td>
<td>553±69</td>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>1133±220*</td>
<td>1030±312</td>
<td>891±54</td>
<td>869±177</td>
<td>883±111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BZ</td>
<td>804±90</td>
<td>1336±243‡*</td>
<td>719±121‡</td>
<td>859±197‡</td>
<td>888±166‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>33±17*</td>
<td>56±33*</td>
<td>14±2*</td>
<td>81±26*</td>
<td>142±85*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stromelysin/Matrilysins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP-3</td>
<td>1140±93</td>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>837±162</td>
<td>922±124</td>
<td>931±61</td>
<td>1358±335</td>
<td>1431±263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BZ</td>
<td>1188±185</td>
<td>936±95</td>
<td>1298±146</td>
<td>854±124</td>
<td>1271±145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>1246±176</td>
<td>1430±84</td>
<td>1320±65</td>
<td>1510±219</td>
<td>1658±213*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP-7</td>
<td>527±79</td>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>1139±370*</td>
<td>295±66†</td>
<td>259±55†</td>
<td>313±58†</td>
<td>449±101†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BZ</td>
<td>617±137</td>
<td>259±33†</td>
<td>189±44†</td>
<td>215±73†</td>
<td>570±141†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>188±32*</td>
<td>98±17*</td>
<td>96±30*</td>
<td>215±80*</td>
<td>117±33*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane-type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT1-MMP</td>
<td>355±43</td>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>980±380*</td>
<td>505±93</td>
<td>413±61</td>
<td>795±208</td>
<td>598±83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BZ</td>
<td>1400±664*</td>
<td>513±109</td>
<td>438±103</td>
<td>470±87†</td>
<td>633±138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>6931±2611*</td>
<td>2790±566</td>
<td>4862±1513*</td>
<td>3508±770</td>
<td>2162±298†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values reported in integrated optic densities (IOD), presented as mean±SEM. MI Only=cell medium only, 25 M=25×10⁶ MPCs, 75 M=75×10⁶ MPCs, 225 M=225×10⁶ MPCs, 450 M=450×10⁶ MPCs.

*P<0.05 vs Normal Referent Control, †P<0.05 vs MI Only, ‡P<0.05 vs 25 M. BZ indicates borderzone region; MI, infarct region.

MI Region
MMP-1, MMP-9, and MMP-7 decreased in all groups as compared to referent control values. MMP-13 increased in the MI Only group, and all MPC groups were unchanged from control values. MMP-2 increased in all treatment groups. MMP-3 increased in the 450 M group. MT1-MMP increased in the MI Only and 75 M groups. TIMP-1, TIMP-2, and TIMP-4 levels decreased in all treatment groups.

Table 3. Regional Abundance of Myocardial TIMPs at 8 Weeks After MI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIMP Tissue Inhibitors</th>
<th>Referent Control</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>MI Only</th>
<th>25 M</th>
<th>75 M</th>
<th>225 M</th>
<th>450 M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIMP-1</td>
<td>880±612</td>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>2543±431*</td>
<td>2155±339*</td>
<td>1474±354</td>
<td>1973±279</td>
<td>1844±347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BZ</td>
<td>2193±351*</td>
<td>1622±213</td>
<td>1763±390</td>
<td>1659±233</td>
<td>1527±307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>10±2*</td>
<td>11±3*</td>
<td>21±9*</td>
<td>10±4*</td>
<td>8±3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMP-2</td>
<td>155±65</td>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>1057±502*</td>
<td>396±255</td>
<td>342±251</td>
<td>874±179</td>
<td>467±189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BZ</td>
<td>976±307</td>
<td>137±64</td>
<td>819±736</td>
<td>664±214</td>
<td>460±202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>30±6*</td>
<td>9±2*</td>
<td>47±27*</td>
<td>28±8*</td>
<td>35±20*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMP-4</td>
<td>1386±37</td>
<td>Remote</td>
<td>2131±296*</td>
<td>1673±101</td>
<td>1438±213†</td>
<td>1493±62†</td>
<td>1479±35†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BZ</td>
<td>2319±238*</td>
<td>1541±196†</td>
<td>1469±133†</td>
<td>1411±172†</td>
<td>1529±142†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>186±37*</td>
<td>127±56*</td>
<td>231±58*</td>
<td>62±19†</td>
<td>139±36*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values reported in integrated optic densities (IOD), presented as mean±SEM. BZ indicates borderzone. MI Only=cell medium only, 25 M=25×10⁶ MPCs, 75 M=75×10⁶ MPCs, 225 M=225×10⁶ MPCs, 450 M=450×10⁶ MPCs. *P<0.05 vs Normal Referent Control, †P<0.05 vs MI Only.
groups as compared to the respective referent control values.

**Discussion**

A common occurrence after MI is adverse LV remodeling, defined as infarct expansion, LV dilation, and ultimately LV dysfunction. One potential approach to interrupt adverse LV remodeling is cellular therapy, notably mesenchymal precursor cells (MPCs). The present study used a large animal model to examine whether and to what degree different concentrations of MPCs injected into the borderzone at the time of MI induction would differentially alter indices of LV cellular and extracellular remodeling at 2 months post-MI. The important findings of this study were 3-fold. First, MPC delivery decreased MI expansion and LV dilation, but these effects were realized at lower MPC concentrations. Second, LV myocyte hypertrophy and collagen accumulation were differentially affected by the MPC concentration. Third, MMP and TIMP levels, critical determinants of collagen turnover, were influenced in a MPC concentration-dependent manner. Thus, MPC injection at the time of MI induction caused concentration dependent effects on LV cellular and extracellular remodeling at 2 months post-MI. However, the greatest effects on these processes were identified at lower MPC concentrations, thus suggesting a therapeutic threshold for this particular cell therapy.

Initial studies regarding the use of stem cells for cardiac repair in murine models purported stem cells having the ability to localize to the heart and yield new myocardium. However, more recent clinical studies using MPCs have failed to demonstrate significant effects on LV remodeling and function. The reasons for the failure of translation from basic stem cell studies to the clinical context are likely multifactorial but would include a lack of consensus regarding optimal stem cell type and preparation, delivery method, delivery location, and cell concentration. The present study focused on one of these potential factors by examining cellular and extracellular effects of differing concentrations of MPCs in a large animal MI model. This study clearly identified MPC concentration-dependent effects occurred with regard to infarct expansion, borderzone myocyte hypertrophy, and determinants of collagen dynamics. Therefore, this study confirms that MPC concentration is a critical determinant with regard to altering the post-MI LV remodeling process.

In the present study, several approaches were taken to identify MPCs in the myocardium, all of which were negative at 8 weeks post-MI. The fact that MPCs were not detected within the injected myocardium at prolonged intervals post-MI is not without precedent. For example, Zeng et al failed to show significant mesenchymal cell engraftment at 1 month post-MI, yet reported improved LV pump function. A different study which tracked the injection of mesenchymal precursor cells in vivo demonstrated the vast majority of cells were depleted after 72 hours. The present study builds on these observations in several important ways. First, all MPC concentrations failed to engraft at 8 weeks post-MI, demonstrating that lack of engraftment is not a concentration-dependent phenomenon. Second, despite failure of engraftment, long-term effects were concentration-dependent, implying paracrine effects had lasting consequences for LV cellular and extracellular remodeling. Furthermore, it is unlikely that prolonged engraftment would be desirable, given reports of cardiac teratoma formation with long-term engraftment of some stem cells.
microenvironment and affect myocyte growth. While remaining speculative, the results of the present study suggest that high MPC concentrations may affect myocyte adaption in the post-MI period.

A fundamental structural protein within the extracellular matrix is fibrillar collagen, and the structure and content of this matrix protein significantly changes in a heterogeneous fashion post-MI. In the present study, fibrillar collagen increased in all regions post-MI with respect to normal referent myocardium. With respect to MPC treatment, relative collagen content was differentially affected in the borderzone. Specifically, borderzone collagen content was reduced at lower MPC concentrations. Consistent with this observation, an index of collagen degradation, plasma ICTP levels, were elevated with low MPC concentrations. These findings suggest that MPC placement within the borderzone after MI altered collagen dynamics, most likely with respect to collagen degradation. Accordingly, we next examined determinants of collagen degradation.

A family of proteolytic enzymes which play an important role in the process of collagen turnover, and have been reported to be significantly altered post-MI, are the MMPs.\textsuperscript{11,17,18} A previous study by this laboratory reported regional differences in MMP levels within the post-MI myocardium of a sheep model at the 8-week time point.\textsuperscript{12} The present study examined whether and to what degree MPC injection altered this MMP portfolio. With respect to regional changes, the general trend in the remote myocardium was for a relative reduction of MMP levels by MPC injection. Although these findings would imply that lower MMP levels with MPC injection may stabilize the extracellular matrix post-MI, this interpretation is difficult without knowledge of activational status and interactions with other proteins such as TIMPs. With respect to concentration-dependent effects of MPCs on MMP levels, the most notable changes were observed in the borderzone region. Specifically, increased MMP-9 levels at the 25 M concentration paralleled reductions in relative collagen content and an increased level of collagen degradation. Another MPC concentration-dependent change was observed in the borderzone with respect to MMP-7 levels. Specifically, MMP-7 was reduced at lower MPC concentrations and remained unaltered from MI Only at high MPC concentrations. In addition to proteolytic enzymes, MMP-7 processes cytokines such as TNF-\(\alpha\), which may in turn alter extracellular matrix remodeling processes.\textsuperscript{28} Although the mechanisms and resultant effects of MPCs on MMP levels in the context of post-MI remodeling remain speculative, the present study demonstrates that alterations in MMPs occur at both regional and concentration dependent levels, which would alter the extracellular matrix and in turn influence LV chamber remodeling.

MMP proteolytic activity is a highly regulated process, which includes posttranslational changes and inhibitory processes. Thus, although the present study measured the relative content of MMP levels, this is not reflective of changes in endogenous proteolytic activity which may have occurred. Accordingly, the present study measured levels of the TIMPs, the predominant endogenous inhibitors of MMPs. Consistent with past reports using this sheep MI model,\textsuperscript{12} region specific differences in TIMP levels were observed. Specifically, relative TIMP levels were reduced in the MI region and increased in the remote region at 8 weeks post-MI. With regard to MPC injections, TIMP-1 levels were reduced at higher concentrations in the remote region and at all concentrations in the borderzone. When considered with concordantly decreased remote region MMP levels, this suggests a preservation of MMP/TIMP stoichiometry. Conversely, when considering decreased borderzone TIMP-1 levels at the 25 M concentration with concordantly increased MMP-9 levels, a greater net proteolytic potential is suggested, which would concur with the observed increase in collagen degradation. Relative borderzone TIMP-4 levels, which are highly expressed in the cardiovascular system,\textsuperscript{14} were reduced with MPC injection. This observation may hold relevance in several ways. First, MPC injection alters MMP/TIMP stoichiometry and therefore alters the overall proteolytic potential. Moreover, TIMPs have multiple biological functions with respect to growth and apoptosis,\textsuperscript{29} and therefore differ-
ential effects of relative borderzone TIMP levels may have secondarily influenced myocyte growth and viability.

**Limitations and Future Directions**

The present study was performed in a cardiac surgical context, allowing direct visualization of MPC injection into a targeted region. Thus, although the open chest technique was a pragmatic approach for this study, the preponderance of clinical methodology has used transcatheter MPC delivery, making comparisons between techniques difficult. A factor which could potentially have compromised MPC engraftment is the degree of immune privilege of the MPCs. Also, it should be recognized that the use of a 1-time bolus treatment of MPCs at an early post-MI time point may not be the optimal method for this cellular therapy. Cell delivery at later post-MI time points may decrease the inflammatory/rejection response the cells are subjected to, potentially altering engraftment results.

The present study focused on one possible variable with respect to MPCs and post-MI remodeling, and demonstrated that MPC concentration influenced the degree of LV remodeling, collagen structure, and underlying determinants of extracellular matrix turnover. An example of these cellular and extracellular variants are summarized in Figure 5, which depicts decreased LV dilation, increased collagen degradation, decreased borderzone collagen content, and increased borderzone MMP-9 levels at the lowest MPC concentration, whereas the highest MPC concentration displays opposing trends. Future MPC studies will be needed to address questions regarding the optimal cell preparation, delivery method, and delivery location, while taking into account the influence of MPC concentration.
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