Abstract—High intakes of dietary sugars in the setting of a worldwide pandemic of obesity and cardiovascular disease have heightened concerns about the adverse effects of excessive consumption of sugars. In 2001 to 2004, the usual intake of added sugars for Americans was 22.2 teaspoons per day (355 calories per day). Between 1970 and 2005, average annual availability of sugars/added sugars increased by 19%, which added 76 calories to Americans’ average daily energy intake. Soft drinks and other sugar-sweetened beverages are the primary source of added sugars in Americans’ diets. Excessive consumption of sugars has been linked with several metabolic abnormalities and adverse health conditions, as well as shortfalls of essential nutrients. Although trial data are limited, evidence from observational studies indicates that a higher intake of soft drinks is associated with greater energy intake, higher body weight, and lower intake of essential nutrients. National survey data also indicate that excessive consumption of added sugars is contributing to overconsumption of discretionary calories by Americans. On the basis of the 2005 US Dietary Guidelines, intake of added sugars greatly exceeds discretionary calorie allowances, regardless of energy needs. In view of these considerations, the American Heart Association recommends reductions in the intake of added sugars. A prudent upper limit of intake is half of the discretionary calorie allowance, which for most American women is no more than 100 calories per day and for most American men is no more than 150 calories per day from added sugars. (Circulation. 2009;120:1011-1020.)
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New evidence on the relationship between intake of sugars and cardiovascular health has emerged since the last American Heart Association (AHA) scientific statement was published in 2002.1 In 2006, the AHA published revised diet and lifestyle recommendations that recommend minimizing the intake of beverages and foods with added sugars.2 The present statement expands on that recommendation by reviewing the evidence for recommending a specific upper limit of intake for added sugars. Because the focus of the present statement is on added sugars, recommendations for intake of naturally occurring sugars and complex carbohydrates are beyond its scope.

Consumption of Sugars in the United States
Sugars are a ubiquitous component of our food supply and are consumed as a naturally occurring component of many foods and as additions to foods during processing, preparation, or at the table.3 There are various definitions for sugar. Table 1
lists the common descriptions and definitions of sugars used in the literature.

As expected, a healthy, well-balanced diet contains naturally occurring sugars, because monosaccharides such as fructose and disaccharides such as sucrose and lactose are integral components of fruit, vegetables, dairy products, and many grains. In addition, sugars add desirable sensory effects to many foods, and a sweet taste promotes enjoyment of meals and snacks. In fact, when sugars are added to otherwise nutrient-rich foods, such as sugar-sweetened dairy products like flavored milk and yogurt and sugar-sweetened cereals, the quality of children’s and adolescents’ diets improves.4,5

Food availability data (also called disappearance data), used in conjunction with self-reported food consumption data from nationwide surveys, provide information on consumption of sugars in the United States. According to the US Department of Agriculture’s Economic Research Service, between 1970 and 2005, sugars and sweeteners available for consumption increased by an average of 76 calories per day, from 25 teaspoons (400 calories) to 29.8 teaspoons (476 calories), which corresponds to a 19% increase.7 Bray et al.8 estimated that intake of added sugars increased from 235 kcal/d per person in 1977 to 1978 to 318 kcal/d per person in 1994 to 1995, and high-fructose corn syrup intake increased from 80 to 132 kcal/d per person during the same time period.

Added sugars are defined as sugars and syrups that are added to foods during processing or preparation, including sugars and syrups added at the table. Between 1994 and 2002, Americans’ intake of added sugars remained high and unchanged among 6- to 19-year-olds and increased among those 20 years old and older (personal correspondence from A.J. Moshfegh, US Department of Agriculture, Food Surveys Research Group, October 2007). The National Cancer Institute recently estimated usual intakes of added sugars on the basis of data from the 2001 to 2004 National Health and

---

### Table 1. Common Definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Carbohydrate</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simple carbohydrates</td>
<td>(sugars) refers to monosaccharides and disaccharides. Monosaccharides include glucose, galactose, and fructose. Dextrose is synonymous with glucose. Fructose is the most common naturally occurring monosaccharide, found in fruits and vegetables. Common disaccharides include sucrose (glucose plus fructose), which is found in sugar cane, sugar beets, honey, and corn syrup; lactose (glucose plus galactose), found in milk products; and maltose (glucose plus glucose), found in malt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex carbohydrates</td>
<td>refers to glucose-containing polysaccharides, such as starch.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naturally occurring (intrinsic) sugars</td>
<td>refers to sugars that are an integral part of whole fruit, vegetable, and milk products.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Added (extrinsic) sugars</td>
<td>refers to sugars and syrups added to foods during processing or preparation and includes sugars and syrups added at the table.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sugars</td>
<td>are defined as all sugars (naturally occurring and added) in foods and beverages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-fructose corn syrup</td>
<td>is produced from corn syrup (nearly all glucose), which undergoes enzymatic processing to increase the fructose content and is then mixed with glucose.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Table 2. Usual Intake of Added Sugars (in Teaspoons),* 2001–2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>1515</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–8</td>
<td>1701</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males 9–13</td>
<td>1061</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males 14–18</td>
<td>1424</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males ≥19</td>
<td>4650</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females 9–13</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females 14–18</td>
<td>1362</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females ≥19</td>
<td>5063</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All persons ≥1</td>
<td>17888</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes white, brown, and raw sugar; syrup; honey; and molasses, eaten separately or used as ingredients in processed or prepared foods such as breads, cakes, soft drinks, jams, and ice cream.

---

### Fructose

Originally proposed as the ideal sweetener for people with diabetes mellitus because of its inability to stimulate insulin secretion, fructose consumption has been indirectly implicated in the epidemics of obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus.20–22 Fructose is a monosaccharide naturally found in fruits and honey. Many consumers mistakenly believe that
high-fructose corn syrup is pure fructose. High-fructose corn syrup is composed of either 42% or 55% fructose and is similar in composition to table sugar (sucrose).23,24 High-fructose corn syrup is the sweeter commonly used by the beverage industry.

Cross-sectional studies in humans link soft drink consumption with higher energy intake, greater body weight, and poor nutrition25,26 and suggest that excessive fructose consumption is playing a role in the epidemics of insulin resistance, obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and type 2 diabetes mellitus in humans.20,27–30 For example, a recent, albeit small, metabolic study in overweight and obese adults suggests that consumption of fructose-sweetened beverages leads to dyslipidemia, increased fasting blood glucose, decreased insulin sensitivity, and increased visceral adiposity.31 Other trials have documented that limiting soft drink consumption has modest beneficial effects on weight in children.32,33

### Glucose-Insulin Response

Many factors influence the body’s glucose response to foods, including the composition of the food (fat, protein, sugar, starch, and fiber content), the method of food processing and preparation, the combination of foods eaten, and physiological factors including age and body composition.34–36 Glucose control is the net effect of metabolic processes that remove glucose from the blood for either glycogen synthesis or energy production and of gluconeogenesis and glycogenolysis, which return glucose to the blood. The rise in blood glucose after consumption of a carbohydrate triggers the release of insulin and at the same time reduces the secretion of glucagon.37

There is a common belief that consumption of sucrose results in higher blood glucose levels than consumption of starch; however, the form of starch (cooked versus uncooked) results in different glucose responses. Cooked starch, such as bread, rice, and potatoes, evokes glucose responses similar to glucose, whereas uncooked starch is more slowly absorbed than cooked starch, resulting in a lower glycemic response.38 However, cooked whole oats produce a relatively low glucose response, whereas most raw processed cereals produce very high responses. Thus, processing and preparation are key factors in the body’s glucose response to food consumption.

In a feeding study of 10 healthy adults, glucose and insulin responses were determined for high-sugar refined-grain snacks (chocolate-coated candy bar, cola drink with crisps) and whole-food snacks (raisins and peanuts; bananas and
Effects of Dietary Sugars on Blood Pressure, Lipids, and Inflammation

An emerging but inconclusive body of evidence suggests that increased intake of added sugars might raise blood pressure. Studies include animal studies in which rats were fed high doses of fructose, acute ingestion studies in which humans were fed high doses of different sugars, and more recently, epidemiological studies, such as the Framingham Heart Study, in which consumption of ≥1 soft drink per day significantly increased the odds of developing high blood pressure. Nonetheless, results from studies in humans are inconsistent, and the chronic effects of a high intake of simple sugars on blood pressure remain uncertain.

It is well established that when used to replace dietary fats, carbohydrates can elevate plasma triglyceride levels and lower levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, however, the type of carbohydrate appears to influence lipid responses. A diet such as the DASH (Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension) diet that replaces fat with carbohydrate from fruits, vegetables, whole grains, and nonfat and low-fat dairy products does not increase triglycerides but still lowers high-density lipoprotein cholesterol somewhat. In the Women’s Health Initiative, the higher-carbohydrate diet had no effect on triglycerides or high-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

Elevations in fasting plasma triglycerides, principally very-low-density lipoproteins, are a consistent feature of diets high (>20% of energy) in sucrose, glucose, and fructose. The effects of sucrose or fructose on fasting triglycerides may be more marked in men than women, in sedentary overweight people or those with the metabolic syndrome, and in those eating low-fiber diets. Sucrose and fructose also increase postprandial triglyceride levels and may augment the lipemia associated with fat-containing meals. There are several mechanisms by which fructose increases fasting and postprandial triglyceride levels. These include increased de novo lipogenesis in the liver, increased hepatic triglyceride synthesis, and secretion of very-low-density lipoproteins, as well as reduced lipoprotein lipase activity at the adipocyte, which decreases the rate of peripheral triglyceride clearance.

Compared with other carbohydrate sources (starch or glucose), recent studies have not demonstrated an added effect of either sucrose or fructose to lower high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels; however, these studies have not agreed on the effects on low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. In summary, although the mechanisms are unclear, relative to other carbohydrate sources, sugar intake appears to be associated with increased triglyceride levels, a known risk factor for coronary heart disease; however, relative to other sources of carbohydrate, the effects of sugar intake on high-density lipoprotein and low-density lipoprotein levels remain unclear.

In some but not all studies, a higher consumption of high-sugar beverages and foods is associated with evidence of increased inflammation and oxidative stress. Few studies have assessed the effects of long-term sugar consumption on inflammatory and oxidative stress markers.

Dietary Sugars and Obesity

Recent studies report a significant increase of energy intake with increased sugar-sweetened beverage consumption among children, adolescents, and adults; however, evidence is inconsistent regarding the positive association between sugar-sweetened beverage consumption and obesity. Because overweight and obesity are complex metabolic conditions, it is unlikely that a single food or food group is primarily causal. Many epidemiological studies, including those with cross-sectional and prospective study designs, have shown a positive relationship between higher intake of sweetened beverages and risk of overweight or obesity; however, other studies have shown evidence against this hypothesis.

Consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages ingested with meals has doubled. In a feeding experiment, increasing the size of sugar-sweetened beverages increased total energy intake from solid food. When the size of a regular cola was increased from 12 to 18 ounces, energy intake from food increased by 10% in women and by 26% in men. All things being equal, a small, persistent energy imbalance of 50 calories per day could result in up to a 5-pound weight gain over the course of 1 year.

Randomized clinical feeding trials have shown inconsistent results from testing the effects of sugar-sweetened beverages on weight gain. In a recently published intervention study to reduce sugar-sweetened beverage consumption among adolescents, there was no significant change in body mass index between intervention and control groups. However, in subgroup analysis, there was a net change in body mass index between intervention and control groups of -0.75 (0.34) kg/m² (P=0.03) in adolescents who had a body mass index >25.6 kg/m² at baseline. Differences in study design, population studied, and study instruments and methods may have contributed to these inconsistent findings. Because added sugar was recently included as a nutrient or food compound in the US Department of Agriculture nutrient database, the number of studies examining added sugar as an exposure will most likely increase in the future.

A recent meta-analysis examined 88 cross-sectional and prospective studies exploring the relationship between soft drink intake and nutrition or health outcomes. Higher intake of soft drinks was associated with greater energy intake, higher body weight, lower intake of other nutrients, and worse health indices. Subsequent analyses from a large trial confirmed these findings, namely, greater weight loss as sugar-sweetened beverage intake decreased.
The Hedonic Pathway of Food Reward

The ventral tegmental area and nucleus accumbens, also referred to as the pleasure center of the brain, are the limbic structures central to the hedonic pathway that motivate the reward of food intake. The palatability of available food can undermine normal satiety signals, motivating energy intake independent of energy need.\(^{73,74}\) Sucrose infusion directly into the nucleus accumbens alters dopamine and opioid neurotransmission, increasing food intake.\(^{75}\) Both sweet and high-fat foods mobilize opioids and dopamine within the nucleus accumbens, establishing hard-wired pathways for craving in these areas.\(^{76,77}\) Chronic hyperinsulinemia may also contribute to increased caloric intake by preventing dopamine clearance from the nucleus accumbens, thus fostering pleasure derived from food in situations in which energy stores are replete, contributing to excess energy intake.\(^{78}\) Obesity results in a decreased density of striatal D\(_2\) receptors,\(^{79}\) which may lead to a compensatory increased strata dopamine neurotransmission through mass action.\(^{80}\)

Chronic amygdala activation by stress, which increases cortisol secretion, promotes palatable food consumption as a form of self-medication.\(^{81–83}\) Several studies in children have observed relationships between stress and increased intake of sugared beverages, sweets, and snacking.\(^{84,85}\) In a controlled study of 9-year-olds, children who were both high on dietary restraint and felt more stressed by laboratory challenges tended to eat more sugar-containing comfort food.\(^{86}\)

Effects of Liquid Versus Solid Food Form

The form of dietary intake (solid versus fluid) is related to energy balance.\(^{87}\) Over the past several decades, energy intake has increased with a concomitant change in the composition of the US diet, including increasing energy intake from beverages.\(^{88}\) Energy intake from beverages more than doubled between 1965 and 2001 (11.8% of 1993 kcal in 1965 versus 21.0% of 2185 kcal in 2002) according to national survey data.\(^{89}\) Weight gain may occur with greater caloric intake from fluids than from solid foods because of the weak satiety signals evoked from energy-containing beverages; therefore, total energy intake may be greater with fluid calorie intake than with calorie consumption from solid foods.\(^{87,90,91}\)

Supportive evidence comes from short-term feeding studies and a recent large epidemiological study. In a crossover study, 7 males and 8 females, mean age 22 years, consumed 450 kcal daily of a liquid (regular soft drink) or solid (jelly beans) during two 4-week periods separated by a 4-week washout period.\(^{91}\) Total daily energy intake increased by 17% on the liquid treatment compared with the solid treatment, which resulted in increased body weight during the liquid period only. In another crossover study, the effect of food form on appetite and energy intake was tested in 20 lean and 20 obese adults.\(^{87}\) Study participants consumed both a solid food and a beverage with a specified energy intake at lunch according to body mass (125-kcal load for normal weight and 225-kcal load for obese adults). Although there was no difference in energy intake between treatment groups, more energy was consumed after lunch with the beverage than with the solid food (1950 versus 1585 kcal, \(P=0.03\)), respectively. Both lean and obese adults responded similarly to beverage and solid food intake; the liquid form resulted in greater energy intake than the solid form of food. Subsequently, in observational analyses from an 18-month trial, a reduction in liquid calorie intake had a stronger effect on weight loss than a reduction in calories from solid food.\(^{72}\)

Thus, an increase in calorie consumption from energy-containing beverages is associated with greater energy intake and may be contributing to the increase in overweight and obesity in the US population. Further research concerning these issues is needed.

Energy Density

Short-term, laboratory-based studies repeatedly have documented that individuals consume fewer calories when presented with lower-energy-density foods than with similar higher-energy-density foods.\(^{92–98}\) Lower energy intakes have also been associated with lower-energy-density diets in cross-sectional studies.\(^{99–102}\) In a recent prospective analysis from a completed trial, reductions in energy density were associated with both weight loss and improved diet quality.\(^{103}\) In aggregate, these data support reductions in energy density as a means to lower energy intake and control weight. A reduction in added sugars is one means to achieve a reduction in energy density.

Nutrient Adequacy

The effects of excess intake of sugars on nutrient adequacy are of concern. The association between added sugars and micronutrient intakes were examined with data from NHANES III. Although the trends were not consistent for all age groups, reduced intakes of calcium, vitamin A, iron, and zinc were observed with increasing intake of added sugars, particularly at intake levels that exceeded 25% of energy. Largely on the basis of these data, the Dietary Reference Intakes report on macronutrients suggests that no more than 25% of energy should be consumed as added sugars;\(^{104}\) however, as discussed below, these recommendations were not consistently supported by subsequent literature. More importantly, the Dietary Reference Intakes approach to nutrient adequacy, based on the intake of selected individual nutrients, was subsequently superseded by an alternative construct, discretionary calories, which considers recommendations for all nutrients in the context of energy balance.

A subsequent review, published after the Dietary Reference Intakes report, concluded that there was some evidence that diets that contain a high proportion of added sugars are slightly lower in micronutrients than diets that contain a moderate proportion of added sugars.\(^{105}\) Another review found that studies examining the percentage of people who achieve the recommended daily allowance for micronutrients across categories of sugar intake often found nonlinear relationships, such that higher levels of intake were observed in the moderate categories of added sugar intake than in the low and high categories of intakes. These authors concluded that there was no clear evidence of micronutrient dilution or of a threshold at which a quantitative amount of added sugars intake could be set.\(^{106}\)
Table 5. Calorie Allowances for Discretionary and Added Sugars Based on a Variety of Age, Sex, and Physical Activity Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Sedentary</td>
<td>Moderately active</td>
<td>Sedentary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy needs, kcal†</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discretionary calories, kcal‡</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Added sugars, teaspoons§</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Added sugars, kcal</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sedentary indicates a lifestyle that includes only the physical activity of independent living; moderately active, a lifestyle that includes physical activity equivalent to walking approximately 1.5 to 3 miles per day at 3 to 4 miles per hour, in addition to the activities of independent living; and active, a lifestyle that includes physical activity equivalent to walking >3 miles per day at 3 to 4 miles per hour, in addition to the activities of independent living.
†Energy needs to maintain current weight; this will not promote weight loss in overweight/obese people.
‡Recommended limit for discretionary calories per the 2005 US Dietary Guidelines.
§Recommended limit for added sugars per the 2005 US Dietary Guidelines.

Data derived from US Department of Agriculture10,11 and Britten et al.12

The form in which added sugars are consumed appears to be an important modifier of the impact of dilution.105 Soft drinks, sugar, and sweets are more likely to have a negative impact on diet quality, whereas dairy foods, milk drinks, and presweetened cereals may have a positive impact.5 Of particular concern is the relationship of the intake of sugars with fiber intake. Intake of sugars is inversely associated with fiber intake; a majority of intervention studies testing the efficacy of the influence of fiber on weight regulation have shown increased fiber intake to be associated with decreasing energy intake, which translates into weight loss.107

Discretionary Calories

In 2005, the concept of discretionary calories was introduced to help people meet all of their nutrient requirements while avoiding excess total energy intake.10,11 A person’s discretionary calorie allowance can be determined by estimating the calories needed to meet nutrient requirements and then subtracting this amount from the estimated energy requirement needed to maintain weight. The remaining calories are the discretionary calorie allowance.108 Intakes of added sugars, solid fats, and alcohol are included within the allowance for discretionary calories, which proportionally increases as the total energy allowance increases. For example, an 1800-calorie pattern for a moderately active 51- to 55-year-old woman would have a 195-calorie discretionary allowance, whereas the allowance would be 512 calories for a physically active 21- to 25-year-old male whose total energy allotment was 3000 calories (Table 5). These calculations provide consumers with an energy intake recommendation for weight maintenance based on their sex, height, current weight, and physical activity level. If a lower energy intake is needed to achieve a healthy weight (which is the case for the majority of Americans, two thirds of whom are overweight or obese), then the suggested amount of discretionary calories will be lower.

A high intake of added sugars contributes to overconsumption of discretionary calories by Americans. On the basis of data from the 2001 to 2002 NHANES, the average percentage of discretionary calories that different age and gender groups consume is much higher than recommended, ranging between 30% and 42% of total energy intake.108 Concern about Americans’ intake of added sugars has heightened because of recommendations that a reduced intake of added sugars (especially sugar-sweetened beverages) may be helpful in achieving the recommended intakes of nutrients and in weight control.109 In 2003, the World Health Organization stated that excessive consumption of energy-rich foods can encourage weight gain and subsequently recommended limiting the consumption of added sugars to <10% of total energy intake.110

Summary and Recommendations

Over the past 30 years, total calorie intake has increased by an average of 150 to 300 calories per day, and approximately 50% of this increase comes from liquid calories (primarily sugar-sweetened beverages).111,112 At the same time, there has been no apparent change in physical activity.113 Hence, it is likely that weight gain over the same period must be related in part to increased intake of added sugars, even though research tools thus far have been insufficient to confirm a direct link. This likely results both from the fact that obesity is a multifactorial condition and because it is extremely difficult to identify, much less quantify, the relative contributions of each factor in epidemiological studies. Given these considerations, it is prudent to advocate a multifaceted approach, one component of which is reducing energy intake from added sugars.

The AHA’s “Diet and Lifestyle Recommendations Revision 2006” recommended minimizing the intake of beverages and food with added sugars.2 The present statement expands on that recommendation by proposing a specific upper limit of intake for added sugars. A prudent upper limit of intake is half of the discretionary calorie allowance that can be accommodated within the appropriate energy intake level needed for a person to achieve or maintain a healthy weight based on the US Department of Agriculture food intake patterns (Table 3). In Table 3, discretionary calories are approximately equally divided between solid fats and added sugars. If a person chooses to consume alcohol as well, then intake of solid fats and added sugars should be further reduced to accommodate the additional calories from alcohol. Depending on the calorie level, recommendations for added sugars vary from 5 teaspoons per day (or 80 calories) for a daily energy expenditure of 1800 calories for an average adult.
woman and 9 teaspoons per day (or 144 calories) for a daily energy expenditure of 2200 calories for an average adult man. For reference, one 12-ounce can of cola contains \( \approx 8 \) teaspoons of added sugar, for \( \approx 130 \) calories.\(^1\)\(^4\)

In conclusion, to achieve and maintain healthy weights and decrease cardiovascular risk while at the same time meeting essential nutrient needs, the AHA encourages people to consume an overall healthy diet that is consistent with the AHA’s 2006 diet and lifestyle recommendations.\(^2\) Most American women should eat or drink no more than 100 calories per day from added sugars, and most American men should eat or drink no more than 150 calories per day from added sugars.
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