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In 2005, 1 in 8 death certificates (292,214 deaths) in the United States mentioned heart failure. From 1995 to 2006 indicate that stroke accounted for about 1 of every 18 deaths in the United States. In 2005, 32% of deaths from CVD occurred before the age of 75 years, which is well before the average life expectancy of 77.9 years.

Coronary heart disease (CHD) caused about 1 of every 5 deaths in the United States in 2005. CHD mortality in 2005 was 445,687. In 2009, an estimated 785,000 Americans will have a new coronary attack, and about 470,000 will have a recurrent attack. It is estimated that an additional 195,000 silent first myocardial infarctions occur each year. About every 25 seconds, an American will have a coronary event, and about every minute someone will die from one.

Each year, about 795,000 people experience a new or recurrent stroke. About 610,000 of these are first attacks, and 185,000 are recurrent attacks. Preliminary data from 2006 indicate that stroke accounted for about 1 of every 18 deaths in the United States. On average, every 40 seconds someone in the United States has a stroke. From 1995 to 2005, the stroke death rate fell 29.7%, and the actual number of stroke deaths declined 13.5%.

In 2005, 1 in 8 death certificates (292,214 deaths) in the United States mentioned heart failure.

Data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2005–2006 found that between 1999–2000 and 2005–2006, mean serum total cholesterol levels in adults ≥20 years of age declined from 204 mg/dL to 199 mg/dL. This decline was observed for men ≥40 years of age and for women ≥60 years of age. There was little change over this time period for other sex/age groups. In 2005–2006, approximately 65% of men and 70% of women had been screened for high cholesterol in the previous 5 years. In 2005–2006, 16% of adults had serum total cholesterol levels of ≥240 mg/dL.

Despite recommendations that some proportion of activity be vigorous (activity that causes heavy sweating and a large increase in breathing and/or heart rate), 62% of adults ≥18 years of age who responded to the 2006 National Health Interview Survey reported no vigorous activity lasting >10 minutes per session.

On the basis of data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (National Center for Health Statistics), the prevalence of overweight (body mass index–for–age values at or above the 95th percentile) in children 6 to 11 years of age increased from 4.0% in 1971–1974 to 17.0% in 2003–2006. The prevalence of body mass index–for–age values at or above the 95th percentile in adolescents 12 to 19 years of age increased from 6.1% to 17.6% in that same time frame. Among infants and children between the ages of 6 and 23 months, the prevalence of high weight-for-age was 7.2% in 1976–1980 and 11.5% in 2003–2006 (National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, National Center for Health Statistics).

Just over 12% of preschool children 2 to 5 years of age were overweight in 2003–2006.

The 2009 Update Expands Data Coverage of Congenital Cardiovascular Defects and Nutritional/Dietary Intake and Adds a New Chapter on Epidemiology and Statistics of Subclinical Atherosclerosis and a Subsection on Family History of CVD

Several chapters and sections that have been added or revised for this year’s Update merit specific mention. First, we have added a new chapter (Chapter 3) that describes the epidemiology of subclinical atherosclerosis. It has been known for decades that atherosclerosis, the underlying cause of the majority of clinical CVD events, is typically present for decades before the onset of a clinical CVD event or symptoms. As discussed in Chapters 2 and 4, the initial manifestation of clinical atherosclerotic CVD too often is a fatal event, such as sudden cardiac death, or a devastating nonfatal event, such as a large nonfatal myocardial infarction or a disabling stroke. Advances in imaging technology over the past several decades have made it possible to detect and
evaluate the burden of subclinical atherosclerosis in a variety of different vascular beds. Two modalities, ultrafast computed tomography for imaging of coronary artery calcification (CAC) and B-mode ultrasound for measurement of carotid intima-media thickness (IMT), have been studied widely in diverse population samples and have greatly enhanced our understanding of the development and progression of subclinical atherosclerosis, as well as its relationship to subsequent clinical events. The American Heart Association Statistics Committee felt that, given the extensive literature in this area and the increasing consideration of use of these modalities in clinical practice, it was time to provide a review of the epidemiological data from representative, nonreferral population samples to provide a measure of context for the data on subclinical atherosclerosis in the scientific and lay media.

For example, the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute’s Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) study and Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) have helped to define age-, sex-, and race-specific levels of CAC in a diverse population. In younger adults in CARDIA, 33 to 45 years of age, 15.0% of men and 5.1% of women already had CAC, and 1.6% had a CAC score $>$100. Among older adults in MESA, the prevalence and 75th percentile levels of CAC were highest in white men and lowest in black and Hispanic women, as shown in Table 3-1 in Chapter 3. Significant ethnic differences persisted after adjustment for risk factors, with the relative risk of having CAC being 22% lower in blacks, 15% lower in Hispanics, and 8% lower in Chinese, as compared with whites. Longitudinal data from MESA also highlight the risks associated with the presence and extent of CAC. Chart 3-3 in Chapter 3 shows the relative risks or hazard ratios associated with CAC scores of 1 to 100, 101 to 300, and $>$300 compared with those without CAC (score $=0$), after adjustment for standard risk factors. Persons with CAC scores of 1 to 100 were approximately 4 times more likely and those with CAC scores $>$100 were 7 to 10 times more likely to suffer a coronary event than those without CAC.

Carotid IMT, in the absence of frank atherosclerotic plaque, is thought to represent an earlier and more continuous manifestation of atherosclerosis than CAC. Analyses from the Bogalusa Heart Study, CARDIA, MESA, and the Cardiovascular Health Study have helped to describe the epidemiology of carotid IMT across the spectra of age, sex, and race. Concurrent levels of risk factors in young adulthood and early levels of risk factors, even those measured in people 4 to 17 years of age, were significantly associated with carotid IMT at a mean age of 32 years. Higher body mass index and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels measured at 4 to 17 years of age were associated with increased risk for being above the 75th percentile for carotid IMT later on in young adulthood. Higher systolic blood pressure and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and lower high-density lipoprotein cholesterol in young adulthood were also associated with having high carotid IMT. These data highlight the importance of adverse risk factor levels and obesity in early childhood and young adulthood in the early development of atherosclerosis. In the Cardiovascular Health Study, among older Americans, after a mean follow-up of 6.2 years, those with maximal carotid IMT in the highest quintile had a 4- to 5-fold greater risk for incident heart attack or stroke than that of those in the bottom quintile. After adjustment for other risk factors, there was still a 2- to 3-fold greater risk for the top versus the bottom quintile. These data should help to provide some context for physicians and patients to help understand the evolving roles of subclinical atherosclerosis imaging in research and clinical practice.

As in prior years, we continue to highlight (in Chapter 2) the importance of maintaining low risk factor burden through young adulthood to middle and older ages. An extensive body of literature has demonstrated that individuals who survive to middle age (eg, age 50) without developing traditional CVD risk factors, such as hypercholesterolemia, hypertension, diabetes, or smoking, enjoy a broad array of health benefits, including substantially greater longevity, substantially reduced short- and long-term and remaining lifetime risks for CVD events even in the face of greater longevity, lower risks for both CVD death and non-CVD death, better health-related quality of life in older age, and substantially reduced total and annual Medicare expenditures.

A new section in Chapter 2 also highlights some of the increasing knowledge available about the complex association between family history of CVD and future risk for CVD among offspring and siblings. In future updates, we anticipate including greatly expanded information and discussion of results from genetic studies that may help elucidate novel underlying mechanisms and pathways of atherosclerosis and CVD development.

The chapter on congenital cardiovascular disease (Chapter 7) has been completely revised to provide updated and more useful information. Whereas surveillance for congenital heart defects is incomplete, these data reflect more contemporary estimates and represent the best available data. For example, on the basis of present estimates, 9 congenital heart defects per 1000 live births, or 36 000 infants born with congenital heart defects, are expected in the United States per year. Of these, several studies suggest that 9200, or 2.3 per 1000 live births, require invasive treatment or result in death in the first year of life.

We have substantially revised and updated the chapter (Chapter 17) describing current nutritional intake data, trends and changes in intakes, estimated effects on cardiovascular risk factors and cardiovascular outcomes, and current costs and trends for all foods. New tables and charts added to the chapter this year include: Table 17-1, on dietary consumption by US adults ($>$20 years of age) of selected foods and nutrients related to cardiometabolic health; Table 17-2, on dietary consumption by US children and teenagers of selected foods and nutrients related to cardiometabolic health; Chart 17-1, on age-adjusted trends in macronutrients and total calories consumed by US adults (20 to 74 years of age); Chart 17-2, on per capita calories consumed from different beverages by US adults ($\geq$19 years of age); and Chart 17-3, on total US food expenditures away from home and at home.

Reporting and monitoring quality-of-care measures stratified by patient’s race/ethnicity and sex are important steps toward addressing disparities in health care through organizational quality improvement. In Chapter 18, new data on quality of care and quality-of-care measures stratified by
race/ethnicity and sex, are reported for hospitals participating in Get With The Guidelines from January 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007 (Tables 18-3, 18-9, and 18-10) for the first time in our annual Statistics Update.

Other new data that are of note in this year’s Update include:

- The 10 leading diagnoses from the National Hospital Discharge Survey (Chapter 2).
- Extent of awareness, treatment, and control of high blood pressure, by race/ethnicity and sex (Chapter 6).
- Trends in the prevalence of total serum cholesterol in adults ≥20 years of age, by sex and race/ethnicity (Chapter 11).
- Prevalence of students in grades 9 through 12 who did not meet currently recommended levels of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity during the past 7 days, by race/ethnicity and sex (Chapter 12).
- Trends in diabetes prevalence in adults ≥20 years of age, by sex (Chapter 14).
- Number of surgical procedures in the 10 leading diagnostic groups (Chapter 19).
- Direct costs of the 10 leading diagnostic groups (Chapter 20).

The American Heart Association, through its Statistics Committee, continuously monitors and evaluates sources of data on heart disease and stroke in the United States to provide the most current data available in the Statistics Update. The 2006 preliminary mortality data have been released. More information can be found at the National Center for Health Statistics Web site, http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr56/nvsr56_16.pdf.

Finally, it must be noted that this annual Update is the product of an entire year’s worth of effort by dedicated professionals, volunteer physicians and scientists, and outstanding American Heart Association staff members, without whom publication of this valuable resource would be impossible. Their contributions are gratefully acknowledged.

Donald Lloyd-Jones, MD, ScM, FAHA
Nancy Haase
Yuling Hong, MD, PhD, FAHA

On behalf of the American Heart Association Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics Writing Group
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1. About These Statistics

The American Heart Association (AHA) works with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s (CDC’s) National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS); the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI); the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS); and other government agencies to derive the annual statistics in this Update. This chapter describes the most important sources and the types of data we use from them. For more details, see Chapter 22 of this document, the Glossary.

The surveys used are:

- Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)—ongoing telephone health survey system
- Greater Cincinnati/Northern Manhattan Stroke Study (GCNKSS)—stroke incidence rates and outcomes within a biracial population
- Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS)—data on specific health services that Americans use, how frequently they use them, the cost of these services, and how the costs are paid
- National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)—disease and risk factor prevalence and nutrition statistics
- National Health Interview Survey (NHIS)—disease and risk factor prevalence
- National Hospital Discharge Survey (NHDS)—hospital inpatient discharges and procedures (discharged alive, dead, or status unknown)
- National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NAMCS)—physician office visits
- National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NHAMCS)—hospital outpatient and emergency department visits
- National Inpatient Sample (NIS) of the Agency for Health Research and Quality (AHRQ)—hospital inpatient discharges, procedures, and charges
- National Nursing Home Survey (NNHS)—nursing home visits
- National Vital Statistics—national and state mortality data
- Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance (YRBS) (CDC)—trends for 6 categories of priority health-risk behaviors in youth and young adults
- World Health Organization (WHO)—mortality rates by country

### Disease Prevalence

Prevalence is an estimate of how many people have a disease at a given point or period in time. The NCHS conducts health examination and health interview surveys that provide estimates of the prevalence of diseases and risk factors. In this Update, the health interview part of the NHANES is used for the prevalence of cardiovascular diseases (CVD). NHANES is used more than the NHIS because in NHANES, angina pectoris (AP) is based on the Rose Questionnaire; estimates are made regularly for heart failure (HF); hypertension is based on blood pressure (BP) measurements and interviews; and an estimate can be made of total CVD to include myocardial infarction (MI), AP, HF, stroke, and hypertension.

A major emphasis of this Update is to present the latest estimates of the number of persons in the United States who have specific conditions to provide a more realistic estimate of burden. Most estimates based on NHANES prevalence rates are based on data collected from 2005 to 2006 (in most cases, these are the latest published figures). These are applied to census population estimates for 2006. Differences in population estimates based on extrapolations of rates beyond the data collection period by using more recent census population estimates cannot be used to evaluate possible trends in prevalence. Trends can only be evaluated by comparing prevalence rates estimated from surveys conducted in different years.

### Risk Factor Prevalence

The NHANES 2005–2006 data are used in this Update to present estimates of the percentage of persons with high lipid values, diabetes, overweight, and obesity. The NHIS is used for the prevalence of cigarette smoking and physical inactivity. Data for students in grades 9 through 12 are obtained from the Youth Risk Factor Surveillance System.

---

**Abbreviations Used in Chapter 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AHA</td>
<td>American Heart Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHRQ</td>
<td>Agency for Health Research and Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>angina pectoris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIC</td>
<td>Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRFSS</td>
<td>Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHS</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Health Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHS</td>
<td>Framingham Heart Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCNKSS</td>
<td>Greater Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky Stroke Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEPS</td>
<td>Medical Expenditure Panel Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAMCS</td>
<td>National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHS</td>
<td>National Center for Health Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHAMCS</td>
<td>National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHDS</td>
<td>National Hospital Discharge Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHIS</td>
<td>National Health Interview Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHLBI</td>
<td>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NINDS</td>
<td>National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIS</td>
<td>National Inpatient Sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNHS</td>
<td>National Nursing Home Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YRBS</td>
<td>Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Glossary (Chapter 22) for explanation of terms.
Incidence and Recurrent Attacks
An incidence rate refers to the number of new cases of a disease that develop in a population per unit of time. The unit of time for incidence is not necessarily 1 year, although we often discuss incidence in terms of 1 year. For some statistics, new and recurrent attacks or cases are combined. Our national incidence estimates for the various types of CVD are extrapolations to the US population from the Framingham Heart Study (FHS), the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study, the Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS), all conducted by the NHLBI, and the Greater Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky Stroke Study (GCNKSs), which is funded by the NINDS. The rates change only when new data are available; they are not computed annually. Do not compare the incidence or the rates with those in past editions of the Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics Update (also known as the Heart and Stroke “Statistical” Update for editions before 2005). Doing so can lead to serious misinterpretation of time trends.

Mortality
Mortality data are presented according to the underlying cause of death. “Total-mention” mortality is the number of death certificates in a year that mention the given disease classification either as the underlying cause or as a contributing cause. For many deaths classified as attributable to CVD, selection of the most likely single underlying cause can be difficult when several major comorbidities are present, as is often the case in the elderly population. It is, therefore, useful to know the extent of mortality from a given cause, regardless of whether it is the underlying cause or a contributing cause—ie, its “total mentions.” The number of total-mention deaths in 2005 was tabulated by the NHLBI from the NCHS public-use electronic files on mortality.

The first set of statistics for each disease in this Update includes the number of deaths for which the disease is the underlying cause. That number is referred to as “mortality.” Mortality is followed by the number for “total-mention mortality.” All other numbers or rates of deaths in the Update refer to the given disease as the underlying cause. One exception is Chapter 9, where total-mention HF mortality statistics are presented.

Hospital Discharges and Ambulatory Care Visits
Estimates of the numbers of hospital discharges and numbers of procedures performed are for inpatients discharged from short-stay hospitals. Discharges include those discharged alive, dead, or with unknown status. Unless otherwise specified, discharges are listed according to the first-listed (primary) diagnosis, and procedures are listed according to the all-listed procedures (primary plus secondary). These estimates are from the NHDS of the NCHS unless otherwise noted. Ambulatory care visit data from NHAMCS include patient visits to physicians’ offices and hospital emergency and outpatient departments. Ambulatory care visit data reflect the first-listed (primary) diagnosis. These estimates are from the NAMCS and NHAMCS of the NCHS.

International Classification of Diseases
Morbidity (illness) and mortality (death) data in the United States have a standard classification system: the International Classification of Diseases (ICD). Approximately every 10 to 20 years, the ICD codes are revised to reflect changes over time in medical technology, diagnosis, or terminology. Where necessary for comparability of mortality trends across the 9th and 10th ICD revisions, comparability ratios computed by NCHS are applied as noted. Effective with mortality data for 1999, we are using the 10th revision (ICD-10). It will be a few more years before the 10th revision is used for hospital discharge data and ambulatory care visit data, which are based on the International Classification of Diseases, Clinical Modification, 9th Revision (ICD-9-CM). Age Adjustment
Prevalence and mortality estimates for the United States or individual states comparing demographic groups or estimates over time either are age specific or are age adjusted to the 2000 standard population by the direct method. International mortality data are age adjusted to the European standard. Unless otherwise stated, all death rates in this publication are age adjusted and are per 100 000 population.

Data Years for National Estimates
In this Update, we estimate the annual number of new (incidence) and recurrent cases of a disease in the United States by extrapolating to the US population in 2006 from rates reported in a community- or hospital-based study or multiple studies. Age-adjusted incidence rates by sex and race are also given in this report as observed in the study or studies. For US mortality, most numbers and rates are for 2005. For disease and risk factor prevalence, most rates in this report are calculated from the 2005–2006 NHANES. Rates by age and sex are also applied to the US population in 2006 to estimate the numbers of persons with the disease or risk factor in that year. Because NHANES is conducted only in the noninstitutionalized population, we extrapolated the rates to the total US population in 2006, recognizing that this probably underestimates the total prevalence, given the relatively high prevalence in the institutionalized population. The numbers and rates of hospital inpatient discharges for the United States are for 2005 and 2006. Numbers of visits to physician offices, hospital emergency departments, and out-

Population Estimates
In this publication, we have used national population estimates from the US Census Bureau for 2006 in the computation of morbidity data. NCHS population estimates for 2005 were used in the computation of death rate data. The Census Bureau Web site contains these data as well as information on the file layout.
patient departments are for 2006. Except as noted, economic cost estimates are projected to 2009.

**Cardiovascular Disease**
For data on hospitalizations, physician office visits, and mortality, CVD is defined according to ICD codes given in Chapter 22 of the present document. This definition includes all diseases of the circulatory system and congenital CVD. Unless so specified, an estimate for total CVD does not include congenital CVD.

**Race**
Data published by governmental agencies for some racial groups are considered unreliable because of the small sample size in the studies. Because we try to provide data for as many racial groups as possible, we show these data for informational and comparative purposes.

**Contacts**
If you have questions about statistics or any points made in this Update, please contact the Biostatistics Program Coordinator at the American Heart Association National Center (e-mail nancy.haase@heart.org, phone 214-706-1423). Direct all media inquiries to News Media Relations at inquiries@heart.org or 214-706-1173.

We do our utmost to ensure that this Update is error free. If we discover errors after publication, we will provide corrections at our Web site, http://www.americanheart.org/statistics, and in the journal *Circulation*.

**References**
2. Cardiovascular Diseases

ICD-9 390–459, 745–747, ICD-10 I00–I99, Q20–Q28; see Glossary (Chapter 21) for details and definitions. See Tables 2-1 through 2-4 and Charts 2-1 through 2-21.

Prevalence

An estimated 80 000 000 American adults (approximately 1 in 3) have 1 or more types of CVD. Of these, 38 100 000 are estimated to be ≥60 years of age (extrapolated to 2006 from NCHS/NHANES 2005–2006 data). Total CVD includes diseases listed in the bullet points below except for congenital CVD. Because of overlap, it is not possible to add these conditions to arrive at a total.

- High blood pressure (HBP)—73 600 000. (Defined as systolic pressure ≥140 mm Hg or diastolic pressure ≥90 mm Hg, use of antihypertensive medication, or being told at least twice by a physician or other health professional that one has HBP.)
- Coronary heart disease (CHD)—16 800 000.
  - Myocardial infarction (MI; heart attack)—7 900 000.
  - Angina pectoris (AP; chest pain)—9 800 000.
- Heart failure (HF)—5 700 000.
- Stroke—6 500 000.
- Congenital cardiovascular defects—650 000 to 1 300 000 (see Chapter 7).

The following prevalence estimates are for 2007 from NHIS, NCHS for people ≥18 years of age:

- Among whites only, 11.4% have heart disease (HD), 6.1% have CHD, 22.2% have hypertension, and 2.2% have had a stroke.
- Among blacks or African Americans, 10.2% have HD, 6.0% have CHD, 31.7% have hypertension, and 3.7% have had a stroke.
- Among Hispanics or Latinos, 8.8% have HD, 5.7% have CHD, 20.6% have hypertension, and 2.5% have had a stroke.
- Among Asians, 6.9% have HD, 4.3% have CHD, 19.5% have hypertension, and 2.6% have had a stroke.
- Among Native Hawaiians or other Pacific Islanders, HD, CHD, and stroke numbers are suppressed owing to large relative standard error, and 28.5%* have hypertension. Among American Indians or Alaska Natives, 10.5% have HD, 5.6%* have CHD, and 25.5% have hypertension, and stroke numbers are suppressed owing to large relative standard error.
- Asian Indian adults (9%) are approximately 2 times as likely as Korean adults (4%) to have ever been told they have HD.2

Incidence

- On the basis of the NHLBI’s Framingham Heart Study (FHS) original and offspring cohort data from 1980 to 2003:
  - The average annual rates of first cardiovascular events rise from 3 per 1000 men at 35 to 44 years of age to 74 per 1000 men at 85 to 94 years of age. For women,

Abbreviations Used in Chapter 2

- AHRQ: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
- AIDS: acquired immune deficiency syndrome
- AP: angina pectoris
- ARIC: Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study
- BMI: body mass index
- BP: blood pressure
- BRFSS: Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
- CABG: cardiac revascularization (coronary artery bypass graft)
- CDC: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
- CHD: coronary heart disease
- CHF: congestive heart failure
- CHS: Cardiovascular Health Study
- CLRD: chronic lower respiratory disease
- CVD: cardiovascular disease
- DM: diabetes mellitus
- ED: emergency department
- EMS: emergency medical services
- FHS: Framingham Heart Study
- HBP: high blood pressure
- HD: heart disease
- HF: heart failure
- HIV: human immunodeficiency virus
- ICD: International Classification of Diseases
- kg/m²: kilograms per square meter
- MEPS: Medical Expenditure Panel Survey
- MI: myocardial infarction
- mg/dL: milligrams per deciliter
- mm Hg: millimeter of mercury
- MRFIT: Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial
- NAMCS: National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey
- NCHS: National Center for Health Statistics
- NH: non-Hispanic
- NHAMCS: National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey
- NHANES: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
- NHDS: National Hospital Discharge Survey
- NHES: National Health Examination Survey
- NHIS: National Health Interview Survey
- NHLBI: National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute
- NIS: Nationwide Inpatient Sample
- NNHS: National Nursing Home Survey
- PA: physical activity
- VF: ventricular fibrillation

*Figure considered unreliable.
comparable rates occur 10 years later in life. The gap narrows with advancing age.

— Before 75 years of age, a higher proportion of CVD events due to CHD occur in men than in women, and a higher proportion of events due to stroke occur in women than in men.

- Among American Indian men 45 to 74 years of age, the incidence of CVD ranges from 15 to 28 per 1000 population. Among women, it ranges from 9 to 15 per 1000.4
- Data from the FHS indicate that the lifetime risk for CVD is 2 in 3 for men and more than 1 in 2 for women at 40 years of age (personal communication, Donald Lloyd-Jones, MD, Northwestern University, Chicago, Ill).

**Mortality**

ICD-10 I00–I99, Q20–Q28 for CVD (CVD mortality includes congenital cardiovascular defects); C00–C97 for cancer; C33–C34 for lung cancer; C50 for breast cancer; J40–J47 for chronic lower respiratory disease (CLRD); G30 for Alzheimer’s disease; E10–E14 for diabetes; and V01–X59, Y85–Y86 for accidents.

- Mortality data show that CVD (I00–I99, Q20–Q28) as the underlying cause of death (includes congenital cardiovascular defects) accounted for 35.3% (864 480) of all 2 448 017 deaths in 2005 or 1 of every 2.8 deaths in the United States. CVD total mentions (1 372 000 deaths in 2005) constituted approximately 56% of all deaths that year (NHLBI; NCHS public use data files).5 Preliminary 2006 mortality (I00–I99, Q20–Q28) was 829 072. The preliminary death rate was 262.9 (NCHS).
- In every year since 1900, except 1918, CVD accounted for more deaths than any other major cause of death in the United States.6,7
- Nearly 2400 Americans die of CVD each day, an average of 1 death every 37 seconds. CVD claims approximately as many lives each year as cancer, CLRD, accidents, and diabetes mellitus (DM) combined.5
- The 2005 overall death rate due to CVD (I00–I99) was 278.9. The rates were 324.7 for white males, 438.4 for black males, 230.4 for white females, and 319.7 for black females. From 1995 to 2005, death rates due to CVD (ICD-10 I00–I99) declined 26.4%. In the same 10-year period, the actual number of CVD deaths per year declined 9.6%.5
- Among other causes of death in 2005, cancer caused 559 312 deaths; accidents, 117 809; Alzheimer’s disease, 71 599; and HIV (human immunodeficiency virus)/AIDS (acquired immune deficiency syndrome), 12 543.5
- The 2005 CVD (I00–I99) death rates were 331.1 for males and 237.1 for females. Death rates for cancer (malignant neoplasms) were 225.1 for males and 155.6 for females. Breast cancer claimed the lives of 41 116 females in 2005; lung cancer claimed 69 105. Death rates for females were 24.1 for breast cancer and 40.5 for lung cancer. One in 30 female deaths was of breast cancer, whereas 1 in 6 was of CHD. For comparison, 1 in 4.6 females died of cancer, whereas 1 in 2.7 died of CVD (I00–I99, Q20–Q28). On the basis of 2005 mortality data, CVD caused approximately 1 death per minute among females, or approximately 455 000 female deaths in 2005. That represents nearly as many female lives as were claimed by cancer, CLRD, Alzheimer’s disease, accidents, and DM combined.5
- Nearly 151 000 Americans died of CVD (I00–I99) in 2005 who were <65 years of age, and 32% of deaths due to CVD occurred before the age of 75 years, which is well before the average life expectancy of 77.8 years.5 Preliminary data for 2006 gave an estimated 78.1 average years of life expectancy.8
- In 2005, death rates for diseases of the heart in American Indians or Alaska Natives were 173.2 for males and 115.9 for females; for Asians or Pacific Islanders, they were 141.1 for males and 91.9 for females; and for Hispanics or Latinos, they were 192.4 for males and 129.1 for females.9
- According to the NCHS, if all forms of major CVD were eliminated, life expectancy would rise by almost 7 years. If all forms of cancer were eliminated, the gain would be 3 years. According to the same study, the probability at birth of eventually dying of major CVD (I00–I78) is 47%, and the chance of dying of cancer is 22%. Additional probabilities are 3% for accidents, 2% for DM, and 0.7% for HIV.10
- In 2005, the leading causes of death in women ≥65 years of age were diseases of the heart (No. 1), cancer (No. 2), stroke (No. 3) and CLRD (No. 4). In older men, they were diseases of the heart (No. 1), cancer (No. 2), CLRD (No. 3), and stroke (No. 4).11
- A recent study of the decrease in US deaths due to CHD from 1980 to 2000 suggests that approximately 47% of the decrease was attributable to evidence-based medical therapies and 44% to changes in risk factors in the population.12
- Between 1980 and 2002, death rates due to HD among men and women ≥65 years of age fell by 52% in men and 49% in women. Among men, the death rate declined on average by 2.9% per year in the 1980s, 2.6% per year during the 1990s, and 4.4% per year from 2000 to 2002. Among women, death rates fell by 2.6%, 2.4%, and 4.4%, respectively. However, when broken down by age, among men 35 to 54 years of age, the average annual rate of death fell by 6.2%, 2.3%, and 0.5%, respectively. Among women 35 to 54 years of age, the average annual rate of death fell by 5.4% and 1.2% and then increased by 1.5%, respectively. This increase was not statistically significant; however, in even younger women (35 to 44 years of age), the rate of death has been increasing by an average of 1.3% annually between 1997 and 2002, which is statistically significant.13

**Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest**

There is a wide variation in the reported incidence of and outcome for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. These differences are due in part to differences in definition and ascertainment of cardiac arrest data, as well as differences in treatment after the onset of cardiac arrest.

Cardiac arrest is defined as cessation of cardiac mechanical activity and is confirmed by the absence of signs of circulation.14 Available epidemiological databases do not adequately
characterize cardiac arrest or the subset of cases that occur with sudden onset. The following information summarizes representative data from several sources in an attempt to characterize the incidence and outcome of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.

- Extrapolation of the mortality rate observed in the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium to the total population of the United States suggests that each year, there are 294,851 (quasi confidence intervals 236,063, 325,007) emergency medical services (EMS)-treated out-of-hospital cardiac arrests annually in the United States (unpublished data, Graham Nichol, MD, May 25, 2008).
- Extrapolation of data from ARIC, CHS, and Framingham suggests that there are 138,000 CHD deaths within 1 hour of symptom onset (personal communication with NHLBI, May 20, 2008).
- Only 33% of those with EMS-treated out-of-hospital cardiac arrest have symptoms within 1 hour of death.15
- Approximately 60% of out-of-hospital cardiac deaths are treated by EMS personnel.16
- From 20% to 38% of out-of-hospital cardiac arrests have ventricular fibrillation (VF) or ventricular tachycardia as the first recorded rhythm.17,18
- The incidence of cardiac arrest with an initial rhythm of VF is decreasing over time; however, the incidence of cardiac arrest with any initial rhythm is not decreasing.18
- The median reported survival to hospital discharge after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest with any first recorded rhythm is 7.9%.19,20
- The average proportion of cases of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest that receive bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation is 31.4%.19 (personal communication with Graham Nichol, MD).
- The incidence of lay-responder defibrillation is low (2.05% in 2002) but is increasing over time.21
- In 2005, 5003 people died of unintentional choking or suffocation (NCHS).22
- A study conducted in New York City found the age-adjusted incidence per 100,000 adults of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest was 10.1 among blacks, 6.5 among Hispanics, and 5.8 among whites. The age-adjusted survival to 30 days after discharge was more than twice as poor for blacks as for whites, and survival among Hispanics was also lower than among whites.23
- Approximately 80% of out-of-hospital cardiac arrests occur in private or residential settings.24
- If bystander CPR is not provided, a sudden cardiac arrest victim’s chances of survival fall 7% to 10% for every minute of delay until defibrillation.25–28

**Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest: Children**

- The reported incidence of out-of-hospital pediatric cardiac arrest varies widely (from 2.6 to 19.7 annual cases per 100,000).29
- There are more than 72 million individuals <18 years of age in the United States;30 this implies that there are from 1900 to 14,200 pediatric out-of-hospital cardiac arrests annually of all causes (including trauma, sudden infant death syndrome, respiratory causes, cardiovascular causes, and submersion).
- VF is an uncommon cause of cardiac arrest in children but is observed in approximately 5% to 15% of children with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.31
- Studies that document voluntary reports of deaths among high school athletes suggest that the incidence of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest ranges from 0.28 to 1.0 deaths per 100,000 high school athletes annually nationwide.32,33 Although incomplete, these numbers provide a basis for estimating the number of deaths in this age range.
- One report describes the incidence of nontraumatic pediatric cardiac arrest (among students 3 to 18 years of age) that occurs in schools and estimates rates (per 100,000 person-school-years) for elementary, middle, and high schools to be 0.18, 0.19, and 0.15, respectively, for the geographic area (King County, Washington) and time frame (January 1, 1990 to December 31, 2005) studied.34
- The reported average rate of survival to hospital discharge after pediatric out-of-hospital cardiac arrest is 6.7%.29

**In-Hospital Cardiac Arrest**

- The rates of survival to discharge after in-hospital cardiac arrest are 27% among children and 18% among adults.34
- A total of 303 facilities reported 21,748 events to the National Registry for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation in 2007.
  - Of these, 93% were monitored or witnessed.
  - 17.9% had VF or pulseless ventricular tachycardia as the first recorded rhythm. Of these, 79% received a defibrillation attempt within 3 minutes.

**Awareness of CPR**

Seventy-nine percent of the lay public are confident that they know what actions to take in a medical emergency; 98% recognize an automated electrical defibrillator as something that administers an electrical shock to restore a normal heart beat among victims of sudden cardiac arrest; and 60% are familiar with CPR.35

**Awareness of Warning Signs and Risk Factors for CVD**

- Surveys conducted by the American Heart Association in 1997, 2000, 2003, and 2006 to evaluate trends in women’s awareness, knowledge, and perceptions related to CVD found that in 2006, awareness of HD as the leading cause of death among women was 57%, significantly higher than in prior surveys. Awareness was lower among black and Hispanic women than among white women, and the racial/ethnic difference has not changed appreciably over time. In 2006, more than twice as many women felt uninformed about stroke compared with HD. Hispanic women were more likely than white women to report that there is nothing they can do to keep themselves from getting CVD. The majority of respondents reported confusion related to basic CVD prevention strategies.36
• Nearly 875 students in 4 Michigan high schools were given a survey to obtain data on the perception of risk factors and other knowledge-based assessment questions about CVD. Accidents were rated as the greatest perceived lifetime health risk (39%). Nearly 17% selected CVD as the greatest lifetime risk, which made it the third most popular choice after accidents and cancer. When asked to identify the greatest cause of death for each sex, 42% correctly recognized CVD for men, and 14% correctly recognized CVD for women; 40% incorrectly chose abuse/ use behavior with a substance other than cigarettes as the most important CVD risk behavior.37

• A nationally representative sample of women responded to a questionnaire about history of CVD risk factors, self-reported actions taken to reduce risk, and barriers to heart health. According to the study, published in 2006, the rate of awareness of CVD as the leading cause of death had nearly doubled since 1997, was significantly greater for whites than for blacks and Hispanics, and was independently correlated with increased physical activity (PA) and weight loss in the previous year. Fewer than half of respondents were aware of healthy levels of risk factors. Awareness that their personal level was not healthy was positively associated with preventive action. Most women took steps to lower risk in family members and themselves.38

Risk Factors

• Data from the 2003 CDC BRFSS survey of adults ≥18 years of age showed the prevalence of respondents who reported having ≥2 risk factors for HD and stroke increased among successive age groups. The prevalence of having ≥2 risk factors was highest among blacks (48.7%) and American Indians/Alaska Natives (46.7%) and lowest among Asians (25.9%); prevalence was similar in women (36.4%) and men (37.8%). The prevalence of multiple risk factors ranged from 25.9% among college graduates to 52.5% among those with less than a high school diploma (or its equivalent). Persons reporting household income of ≥$50 000 had the lowest prevalence (28.8%), and those reporting household income of ≤$10 000 had the highest prevalence (52.5%). Adults who reported being unable to work had the highest prevalence (69.3%) of ≥2 risk factors, followed by retired persons (45.1%), unemployed adults (43.4%), homemakers (34.3%), and employed persons (34.0%). Prevalence of ≥2 risk factors varied by state/territory and ranged from 27.0% (Hawaii) to 46.2% (Kentucky). Twelve states and 2 territories had a multiple-risk-factor prevalence of ≥40%: Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Tennessee, West Virginia, Guam, and Puerto Rico.39

• Data from the Chicago Heart Association Detection Project (1967–1973, with an average follow-up of 31 years) showed that in younger women (18 to 39 years of age) with favorable levels for all 5 major risk factors (BP, serum cholesterol, body mass index [BMI], diabetes, and smoking), future incidence of CHD and CVD is rare, and long-term and all-cause mortality are much lower than for those who have unfavorable or elevated risk factor levels at young ages. Similar findings applied to men in this study.40,41

• Analysis of several data sets by the CDC showed that in adults ≥18 years of age, disparities were common in all risk factors examined. In men, the highest prevalence of obesity (29.7%) was found in Mexican Americans who had completed a high school education. Black women with or without a high school education had a high prevalence of obesity (48.4%). Hypertension prevalence was high among blacks (41.2%) regardless of sex or educational status. Hypercholesterolemia was high among white and Mexican American men and white women regardless of educational status. CHD and stroke were inversely related to education, income, and poverty status. Hospitalization for total HD and acute MI was greater among men, but hospitalization for congestive heart failure (CHF) and stroke was greater among women. Among Medicare enrollees, CHF hospitalization was higher in blacks, Hispanics, and American Indians/Alaska Natives than among whites, and stroke hospitalization was highest in blacks. Hospitalizations for CHF and stroke were highest in the southeastern United States. Life expectancy remains higher in women than in men and in whites than in blacks by approximately 5 years. CVD mortality at all ages tended to be highest in blacks.42

• In respondents 18 to 74 years of age, data from the 2000 BRFSS (CDC) showed the prevalence of healthy lifestyle characteristics was as follows: no smoking, 76.0%; healthy weight, 40.1%; consumption of 5 fruits and vegetables per day, 23.3%; and regular PA, 22.2%. The overall prevalence of the healthy lifestyle indicators (ie, having all 4 healthy lifestyle characteristics) was only 3%, with little variation among subgroups.43

• Analysis of 5 cross-sectional, nationally representative surveys from NHES 1960–1962 to NHANES 1999–2000 showed that the prevalence of key risk factors (ie, high cholesterol, HBP, current smoking, and total diabetes) decreased over time across all BMI groups, with the greatest reductions observed among overweight and obese groups. Total diabetes prevalence was stable within BMI groups over time; however, the trend has leveled off or been reversed for some of the risk factors in more recent years.44

• Analysis of FHS data among participants free of CVD at 50 years of age showed the lifetime risk for developing CVD was 51.7% for men and 39.2% for women. Median overall survival was 30 years for men and 36 years for women (see Table 2-4).45

• Analysis of >14 000 middle-aged subjects in the ARIC study of the NHLBI showed that >90% of CVD events in black subjects, compared with approximately 70% in white subjects, were explained by elevated or borderline risk factors. Furthermore, the prevalence of participants with elevated risk factors was higher in black subjects; after accounting for education and risk factors, the incidence of CVD was identical in black and white subjects. Thus, the observed higher CVD incidence rate in black subjects appears to be largely attributable to a greater prevalence of
Data from the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS) 2004 Full Year Data File showed that nearly 26 million US adults aged 18 years of age were told by a doctor that they had HD, stroke, or any other heart-related disease:

- 56.6% of those surveyed said they engaged in moderate-to-vigorous PA 3 times per week; 57.9% of those surveyed who had not been told they had HD engaged in regular PA, more than those who had been told they had HD (46.3%).
- 38.6% maintained a healthy weight. Among those told they had HD, 33.9% had a healthy weight compared with 39.3% who had never been told they had HD.
- 78.8% did not currently smoke. Among those ever told they had HD, 18.3% continued to smoke.
- More than 93% engaged in at least 1 recommended behavior for prevention of HD: 75.5% engaged in 1 or 2; 18% engaged in all 3; and 6.5% did not engage in any of the recommended behaviors.

**Age-based variations:**

- Moderate to vigorous PA ≥3 times per week varied according to age. Younger people (18 to 44 years of age) were more likely (59.9%) than those who were older (45 to 64 and ≥65 years of age, 55.3% and 48.5%, respectively) to engage in regular PA.
- A greater percentage of those 18 to 44 years of age had a healthy weight (43.7%) than did those 45 to 64 years of age and ≥65 years of age (31.4% and 37.3%, respectively).
- Those ≥65 years of age were more likely to be current nonsmokers (89.7%) than were people 18 to 44 years of age and 45 to 64 years of age (76.1% and 77.7%, respectively).

**Race/ethnicity-based variations:**

- Non-Hispanic whites were more likely than Hispanics or non-Hispanic blacks to engage in moderate-to-vigorous PA (58.5% versus 51.4% and 52.5%, respectively).
- Non-Hispanic whites were more likely to have maintained a healthy weight than were Hispanics or non-Hispanic blacks (39.8% versus 32.1% and 29.7%, respectively).
- Hispanics were more likely to be nonsmokers (84.2%) than were non-Hispanic whites and non-Hispanic blacks (77.8% and 76.3%, respectively).

**Sex-based variations:**

- Men were more likely to have engaged in moderate-to-vigorous PA ≥3 times per week than women (60.3% versus 53.1%, respectively).
- Women were more likely than men to have maintained a healthy weight (45.1% versus 31.7%, respectively).
- 81.7% of women did not currently smoke, compared with 75.7% of men.

**Variations based on education level:**

- A greater percentage of adults with at least some college education engaged in moderate-to-vigorous PA ≥3 times per week (60.8%) than did those with a high school education or less than a high school education (55.3% and 48.3%, respectively).
- A greater percentage of adults with at least some college education had a healthy weight (41.2%) than did those with a high school or less than high school education (36.2% and 36.1%, respectively).
- There was a greater percentage of nonsmokers among those with a college education (85.5%) than among those with a high school or less than high school education (73.8% and 69.9%, respectively).

**Family History of Premature-Onset CVD**

- There is consistent evidence from multiple large-scale prospective epidemiology studies for a strong and significant association of a reported family history of premature parental CHD with incident MI or CHD in offspring. In the FHS, the occurrence of a validated premature atherosclerotic CVD event in either a parent or a sibling was associated with an approximately 2-fold elevated risk for CVD, independent of other traditional risk factors.
- Addition of family history of premature CVD to a model containing traditional risk factors provides modest improvement in the area under the receiver operating curve in the FHS. Family history of premature MI is also an independent risk factor in other multivariable risk models that contain traditional risk factors in large cohorts of women and men.
- Parental history of premature CHD is associated with increased burden of atherosclerosis in the coronary arteries and the abdominal aorta.
- In the FHS, a parental history of validated heart failure is associated with a 1.7-fold elevated risk of HF in offspring, after multivariable adjustment.
- A family history of early-onset sudden cardiac death in a first-degree relative is associated with a more than doubled risk factors. The primary prevention of elevated risk factors might largely eliminate the incidence of CVD, and these beneficial effects would be applicable not only for white but also for black subjects.

- Data from the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS) 2004 Full Year Data File showed that nearly 26 million US adults aged 18 years of age were told by a doctor that they had HD, stroke, or any other heart-related disease:

- 56.6% of those surveyed said they engaged in moderate-to-vigorous PA 3 times per week; 57.9% of those surveyed who had not been told they had HD engaged in regular PA, more than those who had been told they had HD (46.3%).
- 38.6% maintained a healthy weight. Among those told they had HD, 33.9% had a healthy weight compared with 39.3% who had never been told they had HD.
- 78.8% did not currently smoke. Among those ever told they had HD, 18.3% continued to smoke.
- More than 93% engaged in at least 1 recommended behavior for prevention of HD: 75.5% engaged in 1 or 2; 18% engaged in all 3; and 6.5% did not engage in any of the recommended behaviors.

**Age-based variations:**

- Moderate to vigorous PA ≥3 times per week varied according to age. Younger people (18 to 44 years of age) were more likely (59.9%) than those who were older (45 to 64 and ≥65 years of age, 55.3% and 48.5%, respectively) to engage in regular PA.
- A greater percentage of those 18 to 44 years of age had a healthy weight (43.7%) than did those 45 to 64 years of age and ≥65 years of age (31.4% and 37.3%, respectively).
- Those ≥65 years of age were more likely to be current nonsmokers (89.7%) than were people 18 to 44 years of age and 45 to 64 years of age (76.1% and 77.7%, respectively).

**Race/ethnicity-based variations:**

- Non-Hispanic whites were more likely than Hispanics or non-Hispanic blacks to engage in moderate-to-vigorous PA (58.5% versus 51.4% and 52.5%, respectively).
- Non-Hispanic whites were more likely to have maintained a healthy weight than were Hispanics or non-Hispanic blacks (39.8% versus 32.1% and 29.7%, respectively).
- Hispanics were more likely to be nonsmokers (84.2%) than were non-Hispanic whites and non-Hispanic blacks (77.8% and 76.3%, respectively).

**Sex-based variations:**

- Men were more likely to have engaged in moderate-to-vigorous PA ≥3 times per week than women (60.3% versus 53.1%, respectively).
risk for sudden cardiac death in available case-control studies.66

- A recent survey of persons in the United States indicated that most respondents believe that knowing their family history is important for their own health, but few are aware of the specific health information from relatives necessary to develop a family history.57
- An accurate and complete family history may identify rare mendelian conditions such as hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, long-QT syndrome, or familial hypercholesterolemia. However, in most persons with a family history of a CVD event, a known rare mendelian condition is not identified.
- Numerous genomewide genetic association studies are under way to determine the specific genetic variants that may underlie a family history.

Impact of Healthy Lifestyle and Low Risk Factor Levels

Much of the literature on CVD has focused on factors associated with increasing risk for CVD and on factors associated with poorer outcomes in the presence of CVD; however, in recent years, a number of studies have defined the beneficial effects of healthy lifestyle factors and lower CVD risk factor burden on CVD outcomes and longevity. These studies suggest that prevention of risk factor development at younger ages may be the key to “successful aging,” and they highlight the need for intensive prevention efforts at younger and middle ages once risk factors develop to improve healthy longevity.

- The lifetime risk for CVD and median survival were highly associated with risk factor burden at 50 years of age among >7900 men and women from the FHS followed up for 111 000 person-years. In this study, “optimal” risk factor burden at 50 years of age was defined as BP <120/80 mm Hg, total cholesterol <180 mg/dL, absence of diabetes, and absence of smoking. Elevated risk factors were defined as stage 1 hypertension or borderline high cholesterol (200 to 239 mg/dL). Major risk factors were defined as stage 2 hypertension, elevated cholesterol (≥240 mg/dL), current smoking, and diabetes. Remaining lifetime risks for atherosclerotic CVD events were only 5.2% in men and 8.2% in women with optimal risk factors at 50 years of age compared with 68.9% in men and 50.2% in women with ≥2 major risk factors at age 50. In addition, men and women with optimal risk factors had a median life expectancy ≥10 years longer than those with ≥2 major risk factors at age 50.45
- In another study, FHS investigators followed up 2531 men and women who were examined between the ages of 40 and 50 years and observed their overall rates of survival and survival free of CVD to 85 years of age and beyond. Low levels of the major risk factors in middle age predicted overall survival and morbidity-free survival to 85 years of age or more.58
  - Overall, 35.7% survived to the age of 85 years, and 22% survived to that age free of major morbidities.
  - Factors associated with survival to the age of 85 years included female sex, lower systolic BP, lower total cholesterol, better glucose tolerance, absence of current smoking, and higher level of education attained. Factors associated with survival to the age of 85 years free of MI, unstable angina, HF, stroke, dementia, and cancer were nearly identical.
  - When adverse levels of 4 of these factors were present in middle age, fewer than 5% of men and approximately 15% of women survived to 85 years of age.
- A study of 366 000 men and women from the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial (MRFIT) Study and Chicago cohorts defined low-risk status as follows: serum cholesterol level <200 mg/dL, untreated BP ≤120/80 mm Hg, absence of current smoking, absence of diabetes, and absence of major electrocardiographic abnormalities. Compared with those who did not have low risk factor burden, those with low risk factor burden had between 73% and 85% lower risk for CVD mortality, 40% to 60% lower total mortality rates, and 6 to 10 years’ greater life expectancy.41
- A study of 84 129 women enrolled in the Nurses’ Health Study identified 5 healthy lifestyle factors, including absence of current smoking, drinking ½ glass or more of wine per day (or equivalent alcohol consumption), ½ hour or more per day of moderate or vigorous PA, BMI <25 kg/m², and dietary score in the top 40% (including diets with lower amounts of trans fats, lower glycemic load, higher cereal fiber, higher marine omega-3 fatty acids, higher folate, and higher polyunsaturated to saturated fat ratio). When 3 of the 5 healthy lifestyle factors were present, risk for CHD over a 14-year period was reduced by 57%; when 4 were present, risk was reduced by 66%; and when all 5 factors were present, risk was reduced by 83%.59
- In the Chicago Heart Association Detection Project in Industry, remaining lifetime risks for CVD death were noted to increase substantially and in a graded fashion according to the number of risk factors present in middle age (40 to 59 years of age). However, remaining lifetime risks for non-CVD death also increased dramatically with increasing CVD risk factor burden. These data help to explain the markedly greater longevity experienced by those who reach middle age free of major CVD risk factors.60
- Among individuals 70 to 90 years of age, adherence to a Mediterranean-style diet and greater PA are associated with 65% to 73% lower rates of all-cause mortality, as well as lower mortality rates due to CHD, CVD, and cancer.61
- Seventeen-year mortality data from the NHANES II Mortality Follow-Up Study indicated that the risk for fatal CHD was 51% lower for men and 71% lower for women with none of 3 major risk factors (hypertension, current smoking, and elevated total cholesterol [≥240 mg/dL]) than for those with 1 or more risk factors. Had all 3 major risk factors not occurred, it is estimated that 64% of all CHD deaths among women and 45% of CHD deaths in men could have been avoided.62
- Investigators from the Chicago Heart Association Detection Project in Industry have also observed that risk factor
burden in middle age is associated with better quality of life at follow-up in older age (≈25 years later) and lower average annual Medicare costs at older ages.

— The presence of a greater number of risk factors in middle age is associated with lower scores at older ages on assessment of social functioning, mental health, walking, and health perception in women, with similar findings in men.63

— Similarly, the existence of a greater number of risk factors in middle age is associated with higher average annual CVD-related and total Medicare costs (once Medicare eligibility is attained).64

Hospital Discharges, Ambulatory Care Visits, and Nursing Home Visits

- From 1996 to 2006, the number of inpatient discharges from short-stay hospitals with CVD as the first-listed diagnosis increased from 6,107,000 to 6,161,000 (NCHS, NHDS). In 2005, CVD ranked highest among all disease categories in hospital discharges.65

- In 2006, there were 72,151,000 physician office visits, hospital ED visits, and outpatient department visits with a primary diagnosis of CVD (NCHS, NAMCS, and NHAMCS).66

- In 2006, there were 4,378,000 visits to EDs with a primary diagnosis of CVD (NCHS, NHAMCS).67

- In 2004, 24.7% of nursing home residents ≥65 years of age had a primary diagnosis of CVD at admission. This was the highest disease category for these residents (NCHS, NNHS).68

- In 2006, there were 6,633,000 outpatient department visits with a primary diagnosis of CVD (NHAMCS).69 In 2005, approximately 1 of every 6 hospital stays, or almost 6 million, resulted from CVD (AHRQ, NIS). The total inpatient hospital cost for CVD was $71.2 billion, approximately one fourth of the total cost of inpatient hospital care in the United States. The average cost per hospitalization was approximately 41% higher than the average cost for all stays. Hospital admissions that originated in the ED accounted for 60.7% of all hospital stays for CVD. This was 41% higher than the overall rate of 43.1%; 3.3% of patients admitted to the hospital for CVD died in the hospital, which was significantly higher than the average in-hospital death rate of 2.1%.70

- In 2004, coronary atherosclerosis was responsible for 1.2 million hospital stays and was the most expensive condition treated. This condition resulted in more than $44 billion in expenses. More than half of the hospital stays for coronary atherosclerosis were among patients who also received percutaneous coronary intervention or cardiac revascularization (coronary artery bypass graft [CABG]) during their stay. Acute MI resulted in $31 billion of inpatient hospital charges for 695,000 hospital stays. The 1.1 million hospitalizations for CHF amounted to nearly $29 billion in hospital charges.71

- In 2003, approximately 48.3% of inpatient hospital stays for CVD were for women, who accounted for 42.8% of the national cost ($187 billion) associated with these conditions. Although only 40% of hospital stays for acute MI and coronary atherosclerosis were for women, more than half of all stays for nonspecific chest pain, congestive HF, and stroke were for women. There was no difference between men and women in hospitalizations for cardiac dysrhythmias.72

- Circulatory disorders were the most frequent reason for admission to the hospital through the ED, accounting for 26.3% of all admissions through the ED. After pneumonia, which was ranked first, the most common heart-related conditions were CHF (No. 2), chest pain (No. 3), hardening of the arteries (No. 4), and heart attack (No. 5), which together accounted for >15% of all admissions through the ED. Stroke and irregular heart beat ranked seventh and eighth, respectively.73

Cost

The estimated direct and indirect cost of CVD for 2009 is $475.3 billion.

- In 2006, $32.7 billion in program payments were made to Medicare beneficiaries discharged from short-stay hospitals with a principal diagnosis of CVD. That was an average of $10,201 per discharge.74

Operations and Procedures

- In 2006, an estimated 7,095,000 inpatient cardiovascular operations and procedures were performed in the United States; 4.0 million were performed on males, and 3.1 million were performed on females (NHDS, NCHS, and NHLBI).
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Table 2-2. 2005 Age-Adjusted Death Rates for CVD, CHD, and Stroke by State (Includes District of Columbia and Puerto Rico)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>CVD*</th>
<th>% Change 1995 to 2005</th>
<th>CHD†</th>
<th>% Change 1995 to 2005</th>
<th>Stroke‡</th>
<th>% Change 1995 to 2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Death Rate</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Death Rate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>349.4</td>
<td>−13.9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>122.8</td>
<td>−29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>232.8</td>
<td>−30.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>−37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>235.9</td>
<td>−25.3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>133.5</td>
<td>−28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>322.7</td>
<td>−20.9</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>169.4</td>
<td>−20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>267.7</td>
<td>−25.3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>145.4</td>
<td>−34.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>231.4</td>
<td>−25.7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>104.2</td>
<td>−33.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>239.0</td>
<td>−33.7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>119.0</td>
<td>−39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>288.3</td>
<td>−22.5</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>161.1</td>
<td>−27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>315.7</td>
<td>−17.4</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>180.7</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>245.5</td>
<td>−25.9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>139.9</td>
<td>−33.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>303.6</td>
<td>−24.6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>117.0</td>
<td>−38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>220.5</td>
<td>−27.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>−39.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>248.2</td>
<td>−22.9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>115.9</td>
<td>−32.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>285.4</td>
<td>−28.8</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>144.2</td>
<td>−38.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>298.6</td>
<td>−26.4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>142.7</td>
<td>−35.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>265.8</td>
<td>−27.7</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>148.1</td>
<td>−35.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>264.9</td>
<td>−24.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>122.0</td>
<td>−33.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>324.0</td>
<td>−22.1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>161.1</td>
<td>−29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>332.4</td>
<td>−20.2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>153.4</td>
<td>−30.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>247.0</td>
<td>−30.3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>122.7</td>
<td>−40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>283.6</td>
<td>−22.7</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>152.3</td>
<td>−26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>232.2</td>
<td>−29.3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>109.7</td>
<td>−38.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>310.0</td>
<td>−26.0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>166.4</td>
<td>−33.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>208.0</td>
<td>−34.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>−45.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>373.3</td>
<td>−20.4</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>162.6</td>
<td>−33.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2-2. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Death Rate</th>
<th>% Change 1995 to 2005</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Death Rate</th>
<th>% Change 1995 to 2005</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Death Rate</th>
<th>% Change 1995 to 2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>304.4</td>
<td>−24.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>158.5</td>
<td>−32.6</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>−24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>235.4</td>
<td>−27.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>−35.5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>−29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>246.1</td>
<td>−32.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>102.0</td>
<td>−42.0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>−26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>308.5</td>
<td>−21.0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>124.0</td>
<td>−39.0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>−25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>241.6</td>
<td>−32.0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>130.7</td>
<td>−39.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>−42.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>271.7</td>
<td>−28.1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>153.5</td>
<td>−33.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>−32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>237.8</td>
<td>−23.7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>122.8</td>
<td>−29.4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>−33.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>293.0</td>
<td>−29.8</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>192.8</td>
<td>−32.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>−34.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>287.0</td>
<td>−27.1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>137.4</td>
<td>−36.4</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>−31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>242.3</td>
<td>−29.5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>135.0</td>
<td>−26.4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>−30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>301.9</td>
<td>−25.9</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>160.3</td>
<td>−32.6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>−22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>344.8</td>
<td>−15.9</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>190.8</td>
<td>−16.7</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>−18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>249.7</td>
<td>−26.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>104.1</td>
<td>−39.3</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>−30.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>291.6</td>
<td>−27.5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>149.4</td>
<td>−35.3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>−26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>238.8</td>
<td>−19.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>121.7</td>
<td>−12.6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>−17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>281.6</td>
<td>−23.7</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>186.0</td>
<td>−25.2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>−33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>296.4</td>
<td>−30.3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>129.1</td>
<td>−40.9</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>−35.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>254.8</td>
<td>−28.6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>145.0</td>
<td>−31.0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>−26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>330.2</td>
<td>−21.3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>178.1</td>
<td>−26.9</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>−28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>281.9</td>
<td>−23.8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>147.0</td>
<td>−32.6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>−26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>220.2</td>
<td>−23.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>−42.0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>−30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>234.5</td>
<td>−33.9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>124.6</td>
<td>−40.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>−37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>270.6</td>
<td>−29.3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>122.9</td>
<td>−34.9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>−29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>246.5</td>
<td>−25.2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>125.7</td>
<td>−28.0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>−31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>327.7</td>
<td>−23.4</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>171.4</td>
<td>−31.7</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>−16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>257.6</td>
<td>−28.6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>123.8</td>
<td>−37.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>−34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>246.8</td>
<td>−25.9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>116.9</td>
<td>−33.4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>−38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total United States</td>
<td>278.8</td>
<td>−27.0</td>
<td>144.4</td>
<td>−33.7</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>−28.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Empty cells indicate data not available.

*CVD is defined here as ICD-10 I00–I99.
†CHD is defined here as ICD-10 I20–I25.
‡Stroke is defined here as ICD-10 I60–I69.
§Rank is lowest to highest.
¶Percent changes for Puerto Rico are for 1996–1998 (averaged) to 2004 and are not based on a log linear slope.
||Percent changes for Puerto Rico are for 1996–1998 (averaged) to 2004 and are not based on a log linear slope.
|^|Percent changes for Puerto Rico are for 1996–1998 (averaged) to 2004 and are not based on a log linear slope.

Source: NCHS compressed mortality file 1979–2005. Data provided by personal communication with NHLBI.

The AHRQ has released state-level data for heart disease for all 50 states and the District of Columbia. The data are taken from the congressionally mandated National Healthcare Quality Report (NHQR) at http://statesnapshots.ahrq.gov/snap07/index.jsp. In addition, the Women’s Health and Mortality Chartbook of the NCHS has state-related data for women at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/healthywomen/womenschartbook_aug2004.pdf. Also, at http://apps.nccd.cdc.gov/brfss-smart/index.asp, Metropolitan/Micropolitan Area Risk (MMAS) data are available for 500 such areas nationwide. BRFSS data are also collected within each state (www.cdc.gov/brfss). The NCHS has “Health Data for All Ages by State” at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/health_data_for_all_ages.htm. The CDC has the Geographic Information Systems (GIS), which provides mortality rates down to the county level, by gender and ethnicity, available at http://www.cdc.gov/gis/. In addition, in the 2008 Atlas of Stroke Hospitalizations Among Medicare Beneficiaries (CDC, 2008), a new resource, data are available down to the county level, by sex and race: http://www.cdc.gov/dhdsp/library/stroke_hospitalization_atlas.htm.
Table 2-3. **International Death Rates (Revised 2008): Death Rates (Per 100 000 Population)** for Total Cardiovascular Disease, Coronary Heart Disease, Stroke, and Total Deaths in Selected Countries (Most Recent Year Available)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>ICD Version</th>
<th>CVD Deaths</th>
<th>CHD Deaths</th>
<th>Stroke Deaths</th>
<th>Total Deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation (2002)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1555.2</td>
<td>835.0</td>
<td>452.8</td>
<td>3186.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria (2004)*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>915.6</td>
<td>273.3</td>
<td>227.2</td>
<td>1610.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>770.0</td>
<td>314.3</td>
<td>250.9</td>
<td>1652.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary (2005)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>709.7</td>
<td>384.7</td>
<td>140.8</td>
<td>1818.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland (2005)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>517.3</td>
<td>201.3</td>
<td>109.2</td>
<td>1457.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic (2005)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>454.7</td>
<td>224.8</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>1204.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China—Rural (1999)*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>413.4</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>243.1</td>
<td>1260.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina (2001)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>405.9</td>
<td>119.8</td>
<td>102.6</td>
<td>1261.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China—Urban (1999)*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>389.0</td>
<td>105.7</td>
<td>217.1</td>
<td>1002.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia (1999)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>331.3</td>
<td>168.2</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>995.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotland (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>327.3</td>
<td>220.6</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>955.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland (2005)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>311.2</td>
<td>193.9</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>898.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece (2004)*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>306.7</td>
<td>164.8</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>778.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium (1997)*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>289.3</td>
<td>143.0</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>990.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark (2001)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>285.7</td>
<td>141.8</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>955.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States (2005)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>283.3</td>
<td>169.4</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>908.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Ireland (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>281.7</td>
<td>194.4</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>827.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand (2001)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>273.6</td>
<td>181.2</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>783.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>270.8</td>
<td>142.2</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>845.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England/Wales (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>262.8</td>
<td>168.9</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>756.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal (2003)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>252.8</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>966.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland (2005)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>249.7</td>
<td>161.8</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>721.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico (2001)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>235.0</td>
<td>129.9</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>1055.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>222.0</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>758.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>218.8</td>
<td>132.6</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>655.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy (2002)*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>218.4</td>
<td>101.1</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>743.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria (2005)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>217.7</td>
<td>124.6</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>789.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada (2002)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>212.3</td>
<td>141.7</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>741.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>205.5</td>
<td>117.7</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>692.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of Korea (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>203.6</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>117.2</td>
<td>1003.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>192.6</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>788.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel (2003)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>179.9</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>717.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia (2003)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>179.6</td>
<td>117.2</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>636.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>163.1</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>674.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>162.5</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>636.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>161.5</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>820.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>ICD Version</th>
<th>CVD Deaths</th>
<th>CHD Deaths</th>
<th>Stroke Deaths</th>
<th>Total Deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation (2002)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>659.2</td>
<td>288.1</td>
<td>257.0</td>
<td>1192.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria (2004)*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>434.6</td>
<td>100.4</td>
<td>133.1</td>
<td>745.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>403.1</td>
<td>134.3</td>
<td>165.6</td>
<td>786.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary (2005)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>291.1</td>
<td>118.1</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>780.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China—Rural (1999)*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>279.3</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>151.7</td>
<td>798.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China—Urban (1999)*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>273.4</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>146.9</td>
<td>662.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia (1999)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>229.9</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>640.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland (2005)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>201.8</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>589.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic (2005)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>200.0</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>577.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>ICD 10</th>
<th>CVD Deaths</th>
<th>CHD Deaths</th>
<th>Stroke Deaths</th>
<th>Total Deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina (2001)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>174.2</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>617.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico (2001)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>166.0</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>713.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotland (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>153.9</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>606.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>United States (2005)</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>145.3</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>572.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand (2001)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>135.2</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>497.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Ireland (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>129.2</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>509.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark (2001)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>127.3</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>642.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium (1997)*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>126.4</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>494.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece (2004)*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>125.5</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>354.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal (2003)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>123.2</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>449.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England/Wales (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>117.0</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>478.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>111.3</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>426.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of Korea (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>109.8</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>404.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>102.0</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>466.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland (2005)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>451.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland (2005)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>409.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada (2002)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>452.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy (2002)*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>372.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>408.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria (2005)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>394.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel (2003)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>431.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>408.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia (2003)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>368.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>327.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>298.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>340.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France (2004)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>367.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rates adjusted to the European Standard population.
Sources: The World Health Organization, NCHS, and NHLBI.
Table 2-4. Remaining Risks for CVD and Other Diseases Among Men and Women Free of Disease at 40 and 70 Years of Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diseases</th>
<th>Remaining Lifetime Risk at Age 40</th>
<th>Remaining Lifetime Risk at Age 70</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any CVD*</td>
<td>2 in 3</td>
<td>&gt;1 in 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD45</td>
<td>1 in 2</td>
<td>1 in 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF46</td>
<td>1 in 4</td>
<td>1 in 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHF47</td>
<td>1 in 5</td>
<td>1 in 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke48</td>
<td>1 in 6†</td>
<td>1 in 5†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dementia46</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip fracture54</td>
<td>1 in 20</td>
<td>1 in 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast cancer59,61</td>
<td>1 in 1000</td>
<td>1 in 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate cancer60</td>
<td>1 in 6</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer60</td>
<td>1 in 12</td>
<td>1 in 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon cancer62</td>
<td>1 in 16</td>
<td>1 in 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes62</td>
<td>1 in 3</td>
<td>1 in 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension63</td>
<td>9 in 10†</td>
<td>9 in 10†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity64</td>
<td>1 in 3</td>
<td>1 in 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ellipses ( . . . ) indicate not estimated; AF, atrial fibrillation.
*Personal communication from Donald Lloyd-Jones, based on FHS data.
†Age 55.
‡Age 65.

Chart 2-2. Incidence of CVD by age and sex (FHS, 1980–2003). CVD includes CHD, HF, stroke, or intermittent claudication but does not include hypertension alone. Source: NHLBI.³

Chart 2-3. Deaths due to diseases of the heart (United States: 1900–2006). See Glossary for an explanation of “diseases of the heart.” Source: NCHS.

Chart 2-4. Deaths due to CVD (United States: 1900–2006). CVD does not include congenital CVD. Source: NCHS. *Preliminary.
Chart 2-5. Percentage breakdown of deaths due to CVD (United States: 2006, preliminary). Source: NCHS. May not add to 100 owing to rounding. *Not a true underlying cause.

Chart 2-6. CVD deaths vs cancer deaths by age (United States: 2005). Source: NCHS.

Chart 2-7. CVD and other major causes of death: total, <85 years of age, and ≥85 years of age. Deaths among both sexes, United States, 2005. Source: NCHS and NHLBI.
Chart 2-8. CVD and other major causes of death: total, <85 years of age, and ≥85 years of age. Deaths among males, United States, 2005. Source: NCHS and NHLBI.

Chart 2-9. CVD and other major causes of death: total, <85 years of age, and ≥85 years of age. Deaths among females, United States, 2005. Source: NCHS and NHLBI.
Chart 2-10. CVD and other major causes of death for all males and females (United States: 2005). Source: NCHS and NHLBI. A indicates CVD plus congenital CVD; B, cancer; C, accidents; D, CLRD; E, diabetes; and F, Alzheimer’s disease.

Chart 2-11. CVD and other major causes of death for white males and females (United States: 2005). Source: NCHS. Abbreviations as in Chart 2-10. Note: Using the combined category of “diseases of the heart and stroke,” which do not constitute total CVD, the percentages were 31.8 for males and 33.7 for females.

Chart 2-12. CVD and other major causes of death for black males and females (United States: 2005). Source: NCHS. A indicates CVD plus congenital CVD; B, cancer; C, accidents; D, diabetes; E, assault (homicide); and F, nephritis. Note: Using the combined category of “diseases of the heart and stroke,” which do not constitute total CVD, the percentages were 29.4 for males and 33.3 for females.
Chart 2-13. Diseases of the heart and stroke and other major causes of death for Hispanic or Latino males and females (United States: 2005). Data for total CVD are not readily available. Source: NCHS. A indicates diseases of the heart and stroke; B, cancer; C, accidents; D, DM; E, assault (homicide); and F, CLRD.

Chart 2-14. Diseases of the heart and stroke and other major causes of death for Asian or Pacific Islander males and females (United States: 2005). “Asian or Pacific Islander” is a heterogeneous category that includes people at high CVD risk (eg, South Asian) and people at low CVD risk (eg, Japanese). More specific data on the groups are not available. Mortality data for total CVD are not readily available. Source: NCHS. A indicates diseases of the heart/stroke; B, cancer; C, accidents; D, CLRD; E, diabetes; and F, influenza and pneumonia.

Chart 2-15. Diseases of the heart and stroke and other major causes of death for American Indian or Alaska Native males and females (United States: 2005). Data for total CVD are not readily available. Source: NCHS. A indicates diseases of the heart/stroke; B, cancer; C, accidents; D, diabetes; E, chronic liver disease and cirrhosis; and F, CLRD.


Chart 2-20. Estimated average 10-year CVD risk in adults 50 to 54 years of age according to levels of various risk factors (Framingham Heart Study). Source: D’Agostino et al.75
Death Rates by State — Statistics  
(Includes District of Columbia)
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3. Subclinical Atherosclerosis

See Table 3-1 and Charts 3-1 through 3-6.

Atherosclerosis, a systemic disease process in which fatty deposits, inflammation, cells, and scar tissue build up within the walls of arteries, is the underlying cause of the majority of clinical cardiovascular events. Individuals who develop atherosclerosis tend to develop it in a number of different types of arteries (large and small arteries and those feeding the heart, brain, kidneys, and extremities), although they may have much more in some artery types than others. In recent decades, advances in imaging technology have allowed for improved ability to detect and quantify atherosclerosis at all stages and in multiple different vascular beds. Two modalities, computed tomography (CT) of the chest for evaluation of coronary artery calcification (CAC) and B-mode ultrasound of the neck for evaluation of carotid artery intima-media thickness (IMT), have been used in large studies to help define the burden of atherosclerosis in individuals before they develop clinical events such as heart attack or stroke. Another commonly used method for detecting and quantifying atherosclerosis in the peripheral arteries is the ankle-brachial index, which is discussed in Chapter 9.

**Coronary Artery Calcification**

**Background**

- CAC is a measure of the burden of atherosclerosis in the heart arteries and is measured by CT. Other parts of the atherosclerotic plaque, including fatty (eg, cholesterol-rich components) and fibrotic components, often accompany CAC and may be present even in the absence of CAC.
- Several guidelines and consensus statements have suggested that screening for CAC may be appropriate in persons at intermediate risk for heart disease (eg, 10-year estimated risk of 10% to 20%) but not for lower-risk general population screening or for persons with preexisting heart disease, diabetes mellitus, or other high-risk conditions.1,2
- The presence of any CAC, which indicates that at least some atherosclerotic plaque is present, is defined by an Agatston score >0. Clinically significant plaque, often an indication for more aggressive risk factor management, is often defined by a score ≥100 or a score ≥75th percentile for one’s age and sex. A score ≥400 has been noted to be an indication for further diagnostic evaluation for coronary artery disease (eg, exercise testing or myocardial perfusion imaging).

**Prevalence**

- The NHLBI’s Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) study measured CAC in 3043 black and white adults 33 to 45 years of age (at the CARDIA year 15 examination).3
  - Overall, 15.0% of men and 5.1% of women, 5.5% of those 33 to 39 years of age, and 13.3% of those 40 to 45 years of age had prevalent CAC. Overall, 1.6% of subjects had a score that exceeded 100.
  - Chart 3-1 shows the prevalence of CAC by ethnicity and sex. The prevalence of CAC was lower in black men than in white men but was similar in black and white women at these ages.

- The NHLBI’s Multiethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) measured CAC in 6814 subjects 45 to 84 years of age, including white (n=2619), black (n=1898), Hispanic (n=1494), and Chinese (n=803) men and women.4
  - Chart 3-2 shows the prevalence of CAC by sex and ethnicity.
  - Chart 3-3 shows the relative risks or hazard ratios associated with CAC scores of 1 to 100, 101 to 300, and >300 compared with those without CAC (score=0), after adjustment for standard risk factors.

**CAC and Incidence of Coronary Events**

- The NHLBI’s MESA study recently reported on the association of CAC scores with first CHD events over a median follow-up of 3.9 years among a population-based sample of 6722 men and women (39% white, 27% black, 22% Hispanic, and 12% Chinese).5
  - Chart 3-4 shows the relative risks or hazard ratios (HRs) associated with CAC scores of 1 to 100, 101 to 300, and >300 compared with those without CAC (score=0), after adjustment for standard risk factors.

**Abbreviations Used in Chapter 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAC</td>
<td>coronary artery calcification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARDIA</td>
<td>Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>coronary heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>computed tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>diastolic blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRS</td>
<td>Framingham Risk Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>high-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>hazard ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMT</td>
<td>intima-media thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>low-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MESA</td>
<td>Multiethnic Study of Atherosclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>milligrams per deciliter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHLBI</td>
<td>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>systolic blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>standard deviation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Bogalusa Heart Study measured carotid IMT in 518 Cardiovascular Events

Prevalence and Association With Incident Cardiovascular Events

The Bogalusa Heart Study measured carotid IMT in 518 black and white men and women at a mean age of 32±3 years. These men and women were healthy but overweight.

— Persons with CAC scores of 1 to 100 had approximately 4 times greater risk and those with CAC scores >100 were 7 to 10 times more likely to experience a coronary event than those without CAC.
— CAC provided similar predictive value for coronary events in whites, Chinese, blacks, and Hispanics (HRs ranging from 1.15 to 1.39 for each doubling of coronary calcium).

In another report of a community-based sample, not referred for clinical reasons, the South Bay Heart Watch examined CAC in 1461 adults (average age 66 years) with coronary risk factors, with a median of 7.0 years of follow-up.

Chart 3-4 shows the HRs associated with increasing CAC scores (relative to CAC=0 and <10% risk category) in low- (<10%), intermediate- (10% to 15% and 16% to 20%), and high-risk (>20%) Framingham Risk Score (FRS) categories of estimated risk for CHD in 10 years. Increasing CAC scores further predicted risk in intermediate- and high-risk groups.

Carotid IMT

Background

— Carotid IMT measures the thickness of 2 layers (the intima and media) of the wall of the carotid arteries, the largest conduits of blood going to the brain. Carotid IMT is thought to be an even earlier manifestation of atherosclerosis than CAC, because thickening precedes the development of frank atherosclerotic plaque. Carotid IMT methods are still being refined, so it is important to know which part of the artery was measured (common carotid, internal carotid, or bulb) and whether near and far walls were both measured. This information can affect the average-thickness measurement that is usually reported.
— Unlike CAC, everyone has some thickness to their arteries, but people who develop atherosclerosis have greater thickness. Ultrasound of the carotid arteries can also detect plaques and determine the degree of narrowing of the artery that they may cause. Epidemiological data, including the data discussed below, have indicated high-risk levels might be considered as those in the highest quartile or quintile for one’s age and sex, or ≥1 mm.
— Although ultrasound is commonly used to diagnose plaque in the carotid arteries in people who have had strokes or who have bruits (sounds of turbulence in the artery), there are not yet any guidelines for the screening of asymptomatic people for carotid IMT to quantify atherosclerosis or predict risk. However, some organizations have recognized that carotid IMT measurement by B-mode ultrasonography may provide an independent assessment of coronary risk.

Prevalence and Association With Incident Cardiovascular Events

— The mean values of carotid IMT for the different segments are shown in Chart 3-5 by sex and race. Men had significantly higher carotid IMT in all segments than women, and blacks had higher common and bulb IMTs than whites.
— Even at this young age, after adjustment for age, race, and sex, carotid IMT was associated significantly and positively with waist circumference, SBP, DBP, and LDL cholesterol. Carotid IMT was inversely correlated with HDL cholesterol levels. Participants with greater numbers of adverse risk factor levels (0, 1, 2, 3, or more) had stepwise increases in mean carotid IMT levels.
— In a subsequent analysis, the Bogalusa investigators examined the association of risk factors measured since childhood with carotid IMT measured in these young adults.

Higher BMI and LDL cholesterol levels measured at 4 to 7 years of age were associated with increased risk for being above the 75th percentile for carotid IMT in young adulthood. Higher SBP and LDL cholesterol and lower HDL cholesterol in young adulthood were also associated with having high carotid IMT. These data highlight the importance of adverse risk factor levels in early childhood and young adulthood in the early development of atherosclerosis.
— Among both women and men in MESA, blacks had the highest common carotid IMT, but they were similar to whites and Hispanics in internal carotid IMT. Chinese participants had the lowest carotid IMT, particularly in the internal carotid, of the 4 ethnic groups (Chart 3-6).
— The NHLBI’s Cardiovascular Health Study reported follow-up of 4476 men and women ≥65 years of age (mean age 72 years) who were free of CVD at baseline.

— Mean maximal common carotid IMT was 1.03±0.20 mm, and mean internal carotid IMT was 1.37±0.55 mm.
— After a mean follow-up of 6.2 years, those with maximal carotid IMT in the highest quintile had a 4- to 5-fold greater risk for incident heart attack or stroke than those in the bottom quintile. After adjustment for other risk factors, there was still a 2- to 3-fold greater risk for the top versus the bottom quintile.

CAC and Carotid IMT

— In the NHLBI’s MESA study of white, black, Chinese, and Hispanic adults 45 to 84 years of age, carotid IMT and CAC were found to be commonly associated, but patterns of association differed somewhat by sex and race.
— Common and internal carotid IMT were greater in women and men who had CAC than in those who did not, regardless of ethnicity.
— Overall, CAC prevalence and scores were associated with carotid IMT, but associations were somewhat weaker in blacks than in other ethnic groups.
— In general, blacks had the thickest carotid IMT of all 4 ethnic groups, regardless of the presence of CAC.
— Common carotid IMT differed little by race/ethnicity in women with any CAC, but among women with no CAC, IMT was higher among blacks (0.86 mm) than the other 3 groups (0.76 to 0.80 mm).

- In a more recent analysis from the NHLBI’s MESA study, the investigators reported on follow-up of 6698 men and women in 4 ethnic groups over 5.3 years and compared the predictive utility of carotid IMT and CAC.12

— CAC was associated more strongly than carotid IMT with the risk of incident CVD.

— After adjustment for each other (CAC score and IMT) and for traditional CVD risk factors, the HR for CVD increased 2.1-fold for each 1-standard deviation (SD) increment of log-transformed CAC score versus 1.3-fold for each 1-SD increment of the maximum carotid IMT.

— For CHD events, the HRs per 1-SD increment increased 2.5-fold for CAC score and 1.2-fold for IMT.

— A receiver operating characteristic curve analysis also suggested that CAC score was a better predictor of incident CVD than was IMT, with areas under the curve of 0.81 versus 0.78, respectively.
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Table 3-1. CAC Scores for the 75th Percentile of Men and Women of Different Race/Ethnic Groups, at Specified Ages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Chinese</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>White</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The 75th percentile CAC score is the score at which 75% of people of the same age, sex, and race have a score at or below this level, and 25% of people of the same age, sex, and race have a higher score. (Source: MESA CAC Tools Web site: http://www.mesa-nhbi.org/Calcium/input.aspx).
Chart 3-1. Prevalence (%) of coronary calcium: US adults 33 to 45 years of age. Source: Reprinted from Loria et al.\textsuperscript{3} with permission from Elsevier. Copyright 2007. \(P<0.0001\) across race–sex groups.

Chart 3-2. Prevalence (%) of coronary calcium: US adults 45 to 84 years of age. Source: Bild et al.\textsuperscript{4} \(P<0.0001\) across ethnic groups in both men and women.
Chart 3-3. HRs for CHD events associated with coronary calcium scores: US adults 45 to 84 years of age (reference group CAC=0). Source: Data derived from Detrano et al. All HRs \( P < 0.0001 \). Major CHD events included MI and death due to CHD; any CHD events included major CHD events plus definite angina or definite or probable angina followed by revascularization.

Chart 3-4. HRs for CHD events associated with coronary calcium scores: US adults (reference group CAC=0 and FRS <10%). CHD events included nonfatal MI and death due to CHD. Source: Reprinted from Greenland et al. with permission. Copyright © 2004, American Medical Association. All rights reserved.
Chart 3-5. Mean values of carotid IMT for different carotid artery segments in younger adults by race and sex. Source: Reprinted from Urbina et al.\textsuperscript{8} with permission from Elsevier. Copyright 2002.

Chart 3-6. Mean values of carotid IMT for different carotid artery segments in older adults, by race. Source: Data derived from Manolio et al.\textsuperscript{11}
4. Coronary Heart Disease, Acute Coronary Syndrome, and Angina Pectoris

**Coronary Heart Disease**

ICD-9 410-414, 429.2; ICD-10 I20-I25; see Glossary (Chapter 21) for details and definitions. See Tables 4-1 and 4-2. See Charts 4-1 through 4-8.

---

**Prevalence**

- Among American Indians/Alaska Natives ≥18 years of age, it is estimated that 5.6% have CHD (estimate considered unreliable). Among blacks, the rate was 6.0%; among whites, it was 6.1%; and among Asians, it was 4.3% (NHIS, NCHS).¹
- Data from 2007 from the BRFSS survey of the CDC found that 4.2% of respondents had been told that they had had MI. The highest prevalence was in Kentucky and West Virginia (6.0%). The lowest prevalence was in Alaska (2.3%). In the same survey, 4.1% of respondents were told that they had angina or CHD. The highest prevalence was in West Virginia (7.6%), and the lowest was in Utah (2.4%).²

**Incidence**

- On the basis of unpublished data from the ARIC and CHS studies of the NHLBI:
  - This year, ≈785 000 Americans will have a new coronary attack, and ≈470 000 will have a recurrent attack. It is estimated that an additional 195 000 silent MIs occur each year. That assumes that ≈21% of the 935 000 first and recurrent MIs are silent.³,⁴
  - The estimated annual incidence of MI is 610 000 new attacks and 325 000 recurrent attacks.
  - Average age at first MI is 64.5 years for men and 70.3 years for women.
- On the basis of the NHLBI-sponsored FHS:
  - CHD makes up more than half of all cardiovascular events in men and women <75 years of age.³
  - The lifetime risk of developing CHD after 40 years of age is 49% for men and 32% for women.⁵
  - The incidence of CHD in women lags behind men by 10 years for total CHD and by 20 years for more serious clinical events such as MI and sudden death.³
- In the NHLBI-sponsored ARIC study, in participants 45 to 64 years of age, the average age-adjusted CHD incidence rates per 1000 person-years were as follows: white men, 12.5; black men, 10.6; white women, 4.0; and black women, 5.1. Incidence rates excluding revascularization procedures were as follows: white men, 7.9; black men, 9.2; white women, 2.9; and black women, 4.9. In a multivariable analysis, hypertension was a particularly strong risk factor in black women, with hazard rate ratios (95% CI) as follows: black women, 4.8 (2.5 to 9.0); white women, 2.1 (1.6 to 2.9); black men, 2.0 (1.3 to 3.0); and white men, 1.6 (1.3 to 1.9). Diabetes mellitus was somewhat more predictive in white women than in other groups. Hazard rate ratios were as follows: black women, 1.8 (1.2 to 2.8); white women, 3.3 (2.4 to 4.6); black men, 1.6 (1.1 to 2.5); and white men, 2.0 (1.6 to 2.6).⁶
- The annual age-adjusted rates per 1000 population of first MI (1987–2001) in ARIC Surveillance (NHLBI) were 4.2 in black men, 3.9 in white men, 2.8 in black women, and 1.7 in white women.⁷
• Among American Indians 65 to 74 years of age, the annual rates per 1000 population of new and recurrent MIs were 7.6 for men and 4.9 for women.8
• Analysis of data from NHANES III and NHANES 1999–2002 (NCHS) showed that in adults 20 to 74 years of age, the overall distribution of 10-year risk of developing CHD changed little during this time. Among the 3 racial/ethnic groups, blacks had the highest proportion of participants in the high-risk group.9

Mortality
CHD caused ≈1 of every 5 deaths in the United States in 2005. CHD mortality was 445,687.10 CHD total-mention mortality was 607,000. MI mortality was 151,004. MI total-mention mortality was 191,000 (NHLBI; NCHS public use data files). Preliminary 2006 mortality was 424,892. The preliminary death rate was 134. CHD is the largest major killer of American males and females.11 Approximately every 34 seconds, an American will suffer a coronary event, and approximately every minute, someone will die from one. Approximately 37% of the people who experience a coronary event in a given year will die from it, and ≈16% who experience a heart attack (MI) will die from it (AHA computation). Approximately every 34 seconds, an American will suffer an MI. The percentage of CHD out-of-hospital deaths in 2005 was 69%.

• According to NCHS Data Warehouse mortality data, 309,000 CHD deaths occur out of hospital or in hospital EDs annually (2005, ICD-10 codes I20 to I25).12
• A study of 1275 HMO enrollees 50 to 79 years of age who had cardiac arrest showed that the incidence of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest was 6.0/1000 subject-years in subjects with any clinically recognized heart disease, compared with 0.8/1000 subject-years in subjects without heart disease. In subgroups with heart disease, incidence was 13.6/1000 subject-years in subjects with prior MI and 21.9/1000 subject-years in subjects with HF.13
• An analysis of FHS data (NHLBI) from 1950 to 1999 showed that overall CHD death rates decreased by 59%. Nonsudden CHD death decreased by 64%, and sudden cardiac death fell by 49%. These trends were seen in men and women, in subjects with and without a prior history of CHD, and in smokers and nonsmokers.14
• From 1995 to 2005, the annual death rate from CHD declined 34.3%, but the actual number of deaths declined only 19.4%. In 2005, the overall CHD death rate was 144.4 per 100,000 population. The death rates were 187.7 for white males and 213.9 for black males; for white females, the rate was 110.0, and for black females it was 140.9.13
  — 2005 age-adjusted death rates for CHD were 118.0 for Hispanics or Latinos, 96.2 for American Indians or Alaska Natives, and 81.0 for Asians or Pacific Islanders.15
• Approximately 82% of people who die of CHD are ≥65 years of age (NCHS; AHA computation).

• The estimated average number of years of life lost because of an MI is 15.16
• On the basis of data from the FHS of the NHLBI1:
  — Fifty percent of men and 64% of women who die suddenly of CHD have no previous symptoms of this disease. Between 70% and 89% of sudden cardiac deaths occur in men, and the annual incidence is 3 to 4 times higher in men than in women. However, this disparity decreases with advancing age.
  — People who have had an MI have a sudden death rate 4 to 6 times that of the general population.
• According to data from the National Registry of Myocardial Infarction17:
  — From 1990 to 1999, in-hospital AMI mortality declined from 11.2% to 9.4%.
  — Mortality rate increases for every 30 minutes that elapse before a patient with ST-segment elevation is recognized and treated.
• CHD death rates have fallen from 1968 to the present. Analysis of NHANES (NCHS) data compared CHD death rates between 1980 and 2000 to determine how much of the decline in deaths from CHD over that period could be explained by the use of medical and surgical treatments versus changes in CVD risk factors (resulting from lifestyle/behavior). After 1980 and 2000 data were compared, it was estimated that ≈47% of the decrease in CHD deaths was attributable to treatments, including the following18:
  — secondary preventive therapies after MI or revascularization (11%),
  — initial treatments for AMI or unstable angina (10%),
  — treatments for HF (9%),
  — revascularization for chronic angina (5%),
  — and other therapies (12%), including antihypertensive and lipid-lowering primary prevention therapies.
• It was also estimated that a similar amount of the reduction in CHD deaths, ≈44%, was attributable to changes in risk factors, including the following18:
  — lower total cholesterol (24%),
  — lower systolic BP (20%),
  — lower smoking prevalence (12%),
  — and increased physical inactivity (5%).
• Nevertheless, these favorable improvements in risk factors were partially offset by increases in BMI and in diabetes prevalence, which accounted for an increased number of deaths (8% and 10%, respectively).
• Analysis of CHD mortality data among US adults 35 to 54 years of age showed that the annual percent change in (age-adjusted) mortality slowed markedly from 1980 to 2002 in both men and women. Particularly noteworthy is that the mortality rate among women 35 to 44 years of age has been increasing on average by 1.3% per year since 1997.19
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Risk Factors

- A study of men and women in 3 prospective cohort studies found that antecedent major CHD risk factor exposures were very common among those who developed CHD. Approximately 90% of the CHD patients have prior exposure to at least 1 of these major risk factors, which include high total blood cholesterol levels or current medication with cholesterol-lowering drugs, hypertension or current medication with BP-lowering drugs, current cigarette use, and clinical report of diabetes.24
- According to a case–control study of 52 countries (INTERHEART), optimization of 9 easily measured and potentially modifiable risk factors could result in a 90% reduction in the risk of an initial AMI. The effect of these risk factors is consistent in men and women across different geographic regions and by ethnic group, which makes the study applicable worldwide. These 9 risk factors include cigarette smoking, abnormal blood lipid levels, hypertension, diabetes, abdominal obesity, a lack of PA, low daily fruit and vegetable consumption, alcohol overconsumption, and psychosocial index.21
- A study of >3000 members of the FHS (NHLBI) Offspring Cohort without CHD showed that among men with 10-year predicted risk for CHD of 20%, both failure to reach target heart rate and ST-segment depression more than doubled the risk of an event, and each MET increment in exercise capacity reduced risk by 13%.22
- A study of non-Hispanic white persons 35 to 74 years of age in the FHS (NHLBI) and the NHANES III (NCHS) studies showed that 26% of men and 41% of women had at least 1 borderline risk factor in NHANES III. It is estimated that >90% of CHD events will occur in individuals with at least 1 elevated risk factor and that ~8% will occur in people with only borderline levels of multiple risk factors. Absolute 10-year CHD risk exceeded 10% in men >45 years of age who had 1 elevated risk factor and ≥4 borderline risk factors and in those who had ≥2 elevated risk factors. In women, absolute CHD risk was >10% only in those >55 years of age who had ≥3 elevated risk factors.23
- Analysis of data from the CHS study (NHLBI) among participants ≥65 years of age at entry into the study showed that subclinical CVD is very prevalent among older individuals, is independently associated with risk of CHD (even over a 10-year follow-up period), and substantially increases the risk of CHD among participants with hypertension or diabetes mellitus.24
- On the basis of data from the CDC/BRFSS, it was found that patients with CHD are less likely to comply with PA recommendations than are subjects without CHD. Only 32% of CHD patients met moderate PA recommendations, 22% met vigorous PA recommendations, and 40% met total PA recommendations. In contrast, the percentage of subjects without CHD who met PA recommendations was significantly higher, and this percentage almost achieved the Healthy People 2010 objectives for PA.25

Awareness of Warning Signs and Risk Factors for Heart Disease

- Data from the Women Veteran Cohort showed that 42% of women ≥35 years of age were concerned about heart disease. Only 8% to 20% were aware that CAD is the major cause of death for women.26
- Among people in 14 states and Washington, DC, participating in the 2005 BRFSS, only 27% were aware of 5 heart attack warning signs and symptoms and indicated that they would first call 911 if they thought someone was having a heart attack or stroke. Awareness of all 5 heart attack warning signs and symptoms and calling 911 was higher among non-Hispanic whites (30.2%), women (30.8%), and those with a college education or more (33.4%) than among non-Hispanic blacks and Hispanics (16.2% and 14.3%, respectively), men (22.5%), and those with less than a high school education (15.7%), respectively. By state, awareness was highest in West Virginia (35.5%) and lowest in Washington, DC (16.0%).27
- A 2004 national study of physician awareness and adherence to CVD prevention guidelines showed that <1 in 5 physicians knew that more women than men die each year from CVD.28
- A recent community surveillance study in 4 US communities reported that in 2000 the overall proportion of persons with delays of ≥4 hours from onset of AMI symptoms to hospital arrival was 49.5%. The study also reported that from 1987 to 2000, there was no statistically significant change in the proportion of patients delaying ≥4 hours, which indicates that there has been little improvement in the speed at which patients with MI symptoms arrive at the hospital after onset. Although the proportion of MI patients who arrived at the hospital by EMS increased over this period, from 37% in 1987 to 55% in 2000, the total time between onset and hospital arrival did not change appreciably.29
- A survey of >500 interns and OB/GYNs attending presentations developed for the NY State Women and Heart Disease Physician Education Initiative found that 71.5% correctly responded to 13 questions assessing knowledge of coronary risk prevention. Of the attendees, 71.5% were interns, and 42.7% were women. Almost one third of interns and half of OB/GYNs did not know that tobacco use was the leading cause of MI in young women. For patients who smoked tobacco, only two thirds of interns and 55.4% of OB/GYNs reported suggesting a quit date.30
- A study of the perceptions of susceptibility and seriousness of heart disease and the relationships between socioeconomic status, age, and knowledge of heart disease and its risk factors was conducted among 194 educated black women. Participants did not perceive themselves to be at high risk for developing heart disease, although they did perceive heart disease as serious. Black women who were older perceived heart disease to be more serious than did their younger counterparts. Older women and those with higher socioeconomic status knew more about heart disease and risk...
factors. Neither socioeconomic status nor age moderated the relationship between knowledge and perceived susceptibility or seriousness.61

• According to 2003 data from the BRFSS (CDC), 36.5% of all women surveyed had multiple risk factors for heart disease and stroke. The age-standardized prevalence of multiple risk factors was lowest in whites and Asians. After adjustment for age, income, education, and health coverage, the odds for multiple risk factors were greater in black and Native American women and lower for Hispanic women compared with white women. Prevalence estimates and odds of multiple risk factors increased with age; decreased with education, income, and employment; and were lower in those with no health coverage. Smoking was more common in younger women, whereas older women were more likely to have medical conditions and to be physically inactive.32

• In an effort to understand why women delay seeking treatment for symptoms of an AMI, 30 interviews were conducted to determine black, Hispanic, and white women’s perceptions of heart disease risk and whether differences existed on the basis of the participants’ race or ethnicity. Perceptions of heart disease risk were similar between groups, with women generally believing that they were at risk for heart disease because of family history, diet, and obesity. Racial and ethnic differences were noted, however, in risk reduction and anticipated treatment-seeking behaviors.33

• Individuals with documented CHD have 5 to 7 times the risk of having a heart attack or dying as the general population. Survival rates improve after a heart attack if treatment begins within 1 hour. However, most patients are admitted to the hospital 2.5 to 3 hours after symptoms begin. More than 3500 patients surveyed with a history of CHD were asked to identify possible symptoms of heart attack. Despite their history of CHD, 44% had low knowledge levels. In this group, who were all at high risk of future AMI, 43% assessed their risk as less than or the same as others their age. More men than women perceived themselves as being at low risk, at 47% versus 36%, respectively.34

**Aftermath**

• Depending on their sex and clinical outcome, people who survive the acute stage of an MI have a chance of illness and death 1.5 to 15 times higher than that of the general population. Among these people, the risk of another MI, sudden death, AP, HF, and stroke—for both men and women—is substantial (FHS, NHLBI).3

• A Mayo Clinic study found that cardiac rehabilitation after an MI is underused, particularly in women and the elderly. Women were 55% less likely than men to participate in cardiac rehabilitation, and older study patients were less likely than younger participants. Only 32% of men and women ≥70 years of age participated in cardiac rehabilitation compared with 66% of those 60 to 69 years of age and 81% of those <60 years of age.35

• On the basis of pooled data from the FHS, ARIC, and CHS studies of the NHLBI, within 1 year after a first MI:
  
  — At ≥40 years of age, 18% of men and 23% of women will die.
  — At 40 to 69 years of age, 8% of white men, 12% of white women, 14% of black men, and 11% of black women will die.
  — At ≥70 years of age, 27% of white men, 32% of white women, 26% of black men, and 28% of black women will die.
  — In part because women have MIs at older ages than men, they are more likely to die from MIs within a few weeks.

• Within 5 years after a first MI:
  
  — At ≥40 years of age, 33% of men and 43% of women will die.
  — At 40 to 69 years of age, 15% of white men, 22% of white women, 27% of black men, and 32% of black women will die.
  — At ≥70 years of age, 50% of white men, 56% of white women, 56% of black men, and 62% of black women will die.

• Of those who have a first MI, the percentage with a recurrent MI or fatal CHD within 5 years is:
  
  — at 40 to 69 years of age, 16% of men and 22% of women.
  — at 40 to 69 years of age, 14% of white men, 18% of white women, 27% of black men, and 29% of black women.
  — at ≥70 years of age, 24% of white men and women, 30% of black men, and 32% of black women.

• The percentage of persons with a first MI who will have HF in 5 years is:
  
  — at 40 to 69 years of age, 7% of men and 12% of women.
  — at ≥70 years of age, 22% of men and 25% of women.
  — at 40 to 69 years of age, 7% of white men, 11% of white women, 11% of black men, and 14% of black women.
  — at ≥70 years of age, 21% of white men, 25% of white women, 29% of black men, and 24% of black women.

• The percentage of persons with a first MI who will have a stroke within 5 years is:
  
  — at 40 to 69 years of age, 4% of men and 6% of women.
  — at ≥70 years of age, 6% of men and 11% of women.
  — at 40 to 69 years of age, 3% of white men, 5% of white women, 8% of black men, and 9% of black women.
  — at ≥70 years of age, 6% of white men, 10% of white women, 7% of black men, and 17% of black women.

• The percentage of persons with a first MI who will experience sudden death in 5 years is:
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— at 40 to 69 years of age, 1.1% of white men, 1.9% of white women, 2.5% of black men, and 1.4% of black women.
— at ≥70 years of age, 6.0% of white men, 3.5% of white women, 14.9% of black men, and 4.8% of black women.

- The median survival time (in years) after a first MI is:
  — at 60 to 69 years of age, data not available for men and 7.4 for women.
  — at 70 to 79 years of age, 7.4 for men and 10.4 for women.
  — at ≥80 years of age, 2.0 for men and 6.4 for women.

Among survivors of an MI, in 2005, 34.7% of BRFSS respondents participated in outpatient cardiac rehabilitation. The prevalence of cardiac rehabilitation was higher among older age groups (≥50 years of age), among men than women, among Hispanics, among those married, among those with higher education, and among those with higher levels of household income.36

### Hospital Discharges and Ambulatory Care Visits

- From 1996 to 2006, the number of inpatient discharges from short-stay hospitals with CHD as the first-listed diagnosis decreased from 2,263,000 to 1,760,000 (NHDS, NCHS).
- Data from Ambulatory Medical Care Utilization Estimates for 2006 showed the number of visits for CHD as 11,371,000 (NAMCS, NHAMCS).37
- Most hospitalized patients ≥65 years of age are women. For MI, 28.4% of hospital stays for people 45 to 64 years of age were for women, but 63.7% of stays for those ≥85 years of age were for women. Similarly, for coronary atherosclerosis, 32.7% of stays among people 45 to 64 years of age were for women; this figure increased to 60.7% of stays among those ≥85 years of age. For nonspecific chest pain, women were more numerous than men among patients <65 years of age. Approximately 54.4% of hospital stays among people 45 to 64 years of age were for women. Women constituted 73.9% of nonspecific chest pain stays among patients ≥85 years of age—higher than for any other condition examined. For AMI, one third more women than men died in the hospital: 9.3% of women died in the hospital compared with 6.2% of men.38

### Cost

- The estimated direct and indirect cost of CHD for 2009 is $165.4 billion.
- In 2006, $11.7 billion was paid to Medicare beneficiaries for in-hospital costs when CHD was the principal diagnosis ($14,009 per discharge for acute MI, $12,977 per discharge for coronary atherosclerosis, and $10,630 per discharge for other ischemic heart disease).31,39

### Operations and Procedures

In 2006, an estimated 1,313,000 inpatient PCI procedures, 448,000 inpatient bypass procedures, 1,115,000 inpatient diagnostic cardiac catheterizations, 114,000 inpatient implantable defibrillators, and 418,000 pacemaker procedures were performed for inpatients in the United States.40

### Acute Coronary Syndrome

**ICD-9 codes 410, 411.**

The term *acute coronary syndrome* (ACS) is increasingly used to describe patients who present with either AMI or UA. (UA is chest pain or discomfort that is accelerating in frequency or severity and may occur while at rest but does not result in myocardial necrosis. The discomfort may be more severe and prolonged than typical AP or may be the first time a person has AP. UA, NSTEMI, and STEMI share common pathophysiological origins related to coronary plaque progression, instability, or rupture with or without luminal thrombosis and vasospasm.)

- A conservative estimate for the number of discharges with ACS from hospitals in 2006 is 733,000. Of these, an estimated 401,000 are male and 332,000 are female. This estimate is derived by adding the first-listed inpatient hospital discharges for MI (647,000) to those for UA (86,000) (NHDS, NCHS).
- When secondary discharge diagnoses in 2006 are included, the corresponding numbers of inpatient hospital discharges were 1,365,000 unique hospitalizations for ACS; 765,000 are male and 600,000 are female. Of the total, 810,000 were for MI alone, and 537,000 were for UA alone (18,000 hospitalizations received both diagnoses (NHDS, NCHS).

Decisions about medical and interventional treatments are based on specific findings noted when a patient presents with ACS. Such patients are classified clinically into 1 of 3 categories, according to the presence or absence of ST-segment elevation on the presenting ECG and abnormal (“positive”) elevations of myocardial biomarkers such as troponins as follows:

- STEMI
- NSTEMI
- UA

The percentage of ACS or MI with ST elevation varies in different registries/databases and depends heavily on the age of patients included and the type of surveillance used. According to the National Registry of Myocardial Infarction 4 (NRMI-4), ≈29% of MI patients are STEMI patients.41 The AHA Get With the Guidelines project found that 32% of the MI patients in the CAD module are STEMI patients (AHA Get With the Guidelines Staff, personal communication, October 1, 2007). The study of the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events (GRACE), which includes US patient populations, found that 38% of ACS patients have STEMI, whereas the second Euro Heart Survey on ACS (EHS-ACS-II) reported that ≈47% of ACS patients have STEMI.42

- Analysis of data from the GRACE multinational observational cohort study of patients with ACS found evi-
idence of a change in practice for both pharmacological and interventional treatments in patients with either STEMI or NSTE ACS. These changes are accompanied by significant decreases in the rates of in-hospital death, cardiogenic shock, and new MI among patients with NSTE ACS. The use of evidence-based therapies and PCI interventions increased in the STEMI population. This increase was matched with a statistically significant decrease in the rates of death, cardiogenic shock, and HF or pulmonary edema.43

- A study of patients with NSTE ACS treated at 350 US hospitals found that up to 25% of opportunities to provide ACC/AHA guideline–recommended care were missed in current practice. Composite guideline adherence rate was significantly associated with in-hospital mortality.44

- A study of hospital process performance in 350 centers of nearly 65,000 patients enrolled in the CRUSADE National Quality Improvement Initiative found that ACC/AHA guideline–recommended treatments were adhered to in 74% of eligible instances.44

Angina Pectoris
ICD-9 413; ICD-10 I20. See Table 4-2 and Chart 4-5.

Prevalence

- A study of 4 national cross-sectional health examination studies found that among Americans 40 to 74 years of age, the age-adjusted prevalence of AP was higher among women than men. Increases in the prevalence of AP occurred for Mexican American men and women and African American women but were not statistically significant for the latter.45

Incidence

- Only 18% of coronary attacks are preceded by long-standing AP (NHLBI computation of FHS follow-up since 1986).

- The annual rates per 1000 population of new episodes of AP for nonblack men are 28.3 for those 65 to 74 years of age, 36.3 for those 75 to 84 years of age, and 33.0 for those ≥85 years of age. For nonblack women in the same age groups, the rates are 14.1, 20.0, and 22.9, respectively. For black men, the rates are 22.4, 33.8, and 39.5; for black women, the rates are 15.3, 23.6, and 35.9, respectively (CHS, NHLBI).7

- On the basis of 1987–2001 data from the ARIC study of the NHLBI, the annual rates per 1000 population of new episodes of AP for nonblack men are 8.5 for those 45 to 54 years of age, 11.9 for those 55 to 64 years of age, and 13.7 for those 65 to 74 years of age. For nonblack women in the same age groups, the rates are 10.6, 11.2, and 13.1, respectively. For black men, the rates are 11.8, 10.6, and 8.8; for black women, the rates are 20.8, 19.3, and 10.0, respectively.2

Mortality

A small number of deaths resulting from CHD are coded as being from AP. These are included as a portion of total deaths from CHD.

Cost

For women with nonobstructive CHD enrolled in the WISE study of the NHLBI, the average lifetime cost estimate was ≈$770,000 and ranged from $1.0 to $1.1 million for women with 1-vessel to 3-vessel CHD.46
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Table 4-1. Coronary Heart Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td>16 800 000 (7.6%)</td>
<td>2 125 000</td>
<td>1 445 887</td>
<td>1 51 004</td>
<td>1 760 000</td>
<td>$165.4 billion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>8 700 000 (8.6%)</td>
<td>474 000</td>
<td>370 000</td>
<td>232 115 (52.1%)</td>
<td>80 079 (53.0%)</td>
<td>1 056 000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white males</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>675 000§</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>203 924</td>
<td>70 791</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white females</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>445 000§</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>186 497</td>
<td>61 573</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black males</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>70 000§</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>22 933</td>
<td>75 272</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black females</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>65 000§</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>23 094</td>
<td>8009</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American males</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>2 5%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American females</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino,† age ≥18 y</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian,† age ≥18 y</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Natives,† age ≥18 y</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHD includes acute MI (I21, I22), other acute ischemic (coronary) heart disease (I24), AP (I20), atherosclerotic CVD (I25.0), and all other forms of ischemic CHD (I25.1–I25.9). Ellipses indicate data not available. Sources: Prevalence: NHANES 2005–2006 (NCHS) and NHLBI; Total data are for Americans ≥20 years of age; percentages for racial/ethnic groups are age adjusted for ≥20 years of age. These data are based on self-reports. Estimates from NHANES 2005–2006 (NCHS) applied to 2006 population estimates (≥20 years of age). Incidence: ARIC (1987–2004), NHLBI; Mortality: NCHS (these data represent underlying cause of death only). Hospital discharges: NHDS, NCHS (data include those inpatients discharged alive, dead, or status unknown). Cost: NHLBI; data include estimated direct and indirect costs for 2009.

*Mortality data are for whites and blacks and include Hispanics.
†NHS, NCHS 2007—data are weighted percentages for Americans ≥18 years of age. Estimates for American Indians/Alaska Natives are considered unreliable.1
‡These percentages represent the portion of total CHD mortality that is for males vs females.
§Estimates include Hispanics and non-Hispanics. Estimates for whites include other nonblack races.

Table 4-2. Angina Pectoris

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Group</th>
<th>Prevalence, 2006</th>
<th>Incidence of Stable AP</th>
<th>Hospital Discharges, 2006*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥20 y</td>
<td>Age ≥45 y</td>
<td>All Ages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td>9 800 000 (4.4%)</td>
<td>500 000</td>
<td>41 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>4 300 000 (4.3%)</td>
<td>320 000</td>
<td>17 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>5 500 000 (4.5%)</td>
<td>180 000</td>
<td>24 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white males</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white females</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black males</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black females</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American males</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American females</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AP is chest pain or discomfort resulting from insufficient blood flow to the heart muscle. Stable AP is predictable chest pain on exertion or under mental or emotional stress. The incidence estimate is for AP without MI. Ellipses indicate data not available. Sources: Prevalence: NHANES 2005–2006 (NCHS) and NHLBI; percentages for racial/ethnic groups are age adjusted for Americans ≥20 years of age. The prevalence of AP is based on responses to the Rose angina questionnaire and the question, “Have you ever been told of having angina?” Estimates from NHANES 2005–2006 (NCHS) applied to 2006 population estimates (≥20 years of age). Incidence: AP uncomplicated by an MI or with no MI (FHS 1980 to 2001–2003 of the original cohort and 1980 to 1998–2001 of the Offspring Cohort, NHLBI). Hospital discharges: NHDS, NCHS; data include those inpatients discharged alive, dead, or status unknown.

*There were 86 000 days of care for discharges with AP from short-stay hospitals in 2006.


Chart 4-3. Annual rate of first heart attacks by age, sex, and race (ARIC Surveillance: 1987–2004). Source: NHLBI.

Chart 4-5. Incidence of AP* by age, race, and sex (FHS 1980–2002/2003). *AP uncomplicated based on physician interview of patient. (Rate for women 45 to 54 years of age considered unreliable.) Source: NHLBI.
Chart 4-6. Estimated 10-year CHD risk in adults 55 years of age according to levels of various risk factors (Framingham Heart Study). Source: Wilson et al.47

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>120/80</td>
<td>140/90</td>
<td>140/90</td>
<td>140/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarettes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chart 4-7. Hospital discharges for CHD by sex (United States: 1970–2006). Note: Hospital discharges include people discharged alive, dead, and “status unknown.” Source: NHDS/NCHS.
Chart 4-8. Prevalence of low CHD risk, overall and by sex (NHANES: 1971–2002). Source: Personal communication with NHLBI, June 28, 2007. Low risk is defined as systolic BP <120 mm Hg and diastolic BP <80 mm Hg; cholesterol <200 mg/dL; BMI <25 kg/m²; currently not smoking cigarettes; and no prior MI or DM.
5. Stroke (Cerebrovascular Disease)

ICD-9 430-438, ICD-10 I60-I69. See Tables 5-1 and 5-2 and Charts 5-1 through 5-6.

Prevalence

- According to data from the 2005 BRFSS (CDC), 2.7% of men and 2.5% of women ≥18 years of age had a history of stroke. Among these, 2.3% were non-Hispanic white, 4.0% were non-Hispanic black, 1.6% were Asian/Pacific Islander, 2.6% were Hispanic (might be of any race), 6.0% were American Indian/Alaska Native, and 4.6% were multiracial (see Table 5-2).1
- Data from the 2007 survey of the CDC/BRFSS found that overall 2.6% of respondents had been told that they had a stroke. The highest prevalence was in Missouri (3.7%), and the lowest was in Utah (1.6%).2
- Among American Indians/Alaska Natives ≥18 years of age, the estimated prevalence of stroke is considered unreliable. Among blacks, the prevalence was 3.7%; among whites, it was 2.2%; and among Asians, it was 2.6% (NHIS, NCHS).3
- The prevalence of silent cerebral infarction between 55 and 64 years of age is 11%. This prevalence increases to 22% between 65 and 69 years of age, 32% between 70 and 74 years of age, 40% between 80 and 85 years of age, and 43% at ≥85 years of age. Application of these rates to 1998 US population estimates results in an estimated 13 million people with prevalent silent stroke.4,5
- Data from the Strong Heart Study show that the prevalence of stroke in American Indian men 45 to 74 years of age ranges from 0.2% to 1.4%. Among American Indian women in the same age group, the prevalence ranges from 0.2% to 0.7%.6
- The prevalence of stroke symptoms was found to be relatively high in a general population free of a prior diagnosis of stroke or transient ischemic attack. On the basis of data from 18 462 participants enrolled in a national cohort study, 17.8% of the population ≥45 years of age reported at least 1 symptom. Stroke symptoms were more likely among blacks than whites, among those with lower income and less education, and among those with fair to poor perceived health status. Symptoms also were more likely in participants with higher Framingham Stroke Risk Score (REGARDS, NINDS).7

Transient Ischemic Attack

- The prevalence of transient ischemic attack (TIA; a ministroke with symptoms that last <24 hours) increases with age.8
- Approximately 15% of all strokes are heralded by a TIA.8
- One third of spells characterized as TIAs according to the classic definition (focal neurological deficits that resolve within 24 hours) would be considered infarctions on the basis of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging findings.9
- In population-based studies, the age- and gender-adjusted incidence rates for TIA range from 68.2 to 83 per 100 000. Men and blacks have higher rates of TIA.10,11
- Approximately half of all patients who experience a TIA fail to report it to their healthcare providers.12
After TIA, the 90-day risk of stroke is 3% to 17.3% and is highest within the first 30 days.\textsuperscript{11-14}

Within 1 year of TIA, up to one fourth of patients will die.\textsuperscript{11,15}

Individuals who have a TIA have a 10-year stroke risk of 18.8% and a combined 10-year stroke, MI, or vascular death risk of 42.8% (4%/y).\textsuperscript{16}

In the North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET) study, patients with a first-ever hemispheric TIA had a 90-day stroke risk of 20.1%. The risk of stroke after TIA exceeded the risk after hemispheric stroke.\textsuperscript{17}

**Incidence**

Each year, \(\approx 795,000\) people experience a new or recurrent stroke. Approximately 610,000 of these are first attacks, and 185,000 are recurrent attacks (GCNKSS, NINDS, and NHLBI; GCNKSS, NINDS data for 1999 provided July 9, 2008; estimates compiled by NHLBI).

On average, every 40 seconds, someone in the United States has a stroke (AHA computation based on latest available data).

Each year, \(\approx 55,000\) more women than men have a stroke (GCNKSS, NINDS).

Men’s stroke incidence rates are greater than women’s at younger ages but not at older ages. The male-to-female incidence ratio was 1.25 in those 55 to 64 years of age, 1.50 in those 65 to 74 years of age, 1.07 in those 75 to 84 years of age, and 0.76 in those \(\geq 85\) years of age (ARIC and CHS studies, NHLBI).\textsuperscript{18}

Data from the GCNKSS, NINDS show that the annual incidence of first-ever hospitalized stroke did not change significantly between study periods: 158 per 100,000 in both 1993–1994 and 1999. Blacks continue to have a higher stroke incidence than whites, especially among the young. Despite advances in stroke prevention treatments during the 1990s, the incidence of hospitalized stroke did not decrease within the population being studied. Case fatality also did not change between study periods. Excess stroke mortality rates seen in blacks nationally are likely the result of excess stroke incidence and not case fatality, and the racial disparity in stroke incidence did not change over time.\textsuperscript{19}

Blacks have a risk of first-ever stroke that is almost twice that of whites. The age-adjusted stroke incidence rates in people 45 to 84 years of age are 6.6 per 1000 population in black men, 3.6 in white men, 4.9 in black women, and 2.3 in white women (ARIC, NHLBI).\textsuperscript{18} On the basis of 1987–2001 data from the ARIC study of the NHLBI, stroke/TIA incidence rates (per 1000 person-years) are 2.4 for white men 45 to 54 years of age, 6.1 for white men 55 to 64 years of age, and 12.2 for white men 65 to 74 years of age. For white women in the same age groups, the rates are 2.4, 4.8, and 9.8, respectively. For black men in the same age groups, the rates are 9.7, 13.1, and 16.2, and for black women, the rates are 7.2, 10.0, and 15.0, respectively.\textsuperscript{18}

Of all strokes, 87% are ischemic, 10% are intracerebral hemorrhage, and 3% are subarachnoid hemorrhage strokes (GCNKSS, NINDS 1999).\textsuperscript{18}

The Brain Attack Surveillance in Corpus Christi (BASIC, NINDS) demonstrated an increased incidence of stroke among Mexican Americans compared with non-Hispanic whites in this community. The crude cumulative incidence was 168 per 10,000 in Mexican Americans and 136 per 10,000 in non-Hispanic whites. Specifically, Mexican Americans have a higher cumulative incidence for ischemic stroke at younger ages (45 to 59 years of age: risk ratio, 2.04; 95% CI, 1.55 to 2.69; 60 to 74 years of age: risk ratio, 1.58; 95% CI, 1.31 to 1.91) but not at older ages (\(\geq 75\) years of age: risk ratio, 1.12; 95% CI, 0.94 to 1.32). Mexican Americans also have a higher incidence of intracerebral hemorrhage and subarachnoid hemorrhage than non-Hispanic whites, adjusted for age, as well as a higher incidence of ischemic stroke and TIA at younger ages than non-Hispanic whites.\textsuperscript{20}

Among American Indians 65 to 74 years of age, the annual rates per 1000 population of new and recurrent strokes are 6.1 for men and 6.6 for women.\textsuperscript{6}

The age-adjusted incidence of first ischemic stroke per 100,000 was 88 in whites, 191 in blacks, and 149 in Hispanics, according to data from the Northern Manhattan Study (NOMAS, NINDS). Among blacks, compared with whites, the relative rate of intracranial atherosclerotic stroke was 5.85; extracranial atherosclerotic stroke, 3.18; lacunar stroke, 3.09; and cardioembolic stroke, 1.58. Among Hispanics (primarily Cuban and Puerto Rican), compared with whites, the relative rate of intracranial atherosclerotic stroke was 5.00; extracranial atherosclerotic stroke, 1.71; lacunar stroke, 2.32; and cardioembolic stroke, 1.42.\textsuperscript{21}

Analysis of data from the FHS study of the NHLBI, from 1950 to 1977, 1978 to 1989, and 1990 to 2004, showed that the age-adjusted incidence of first stroke per 1000 person-years in each of the 3 periods was 7.6, 6.2, and 5.3 in men and 6.2, 5.8, and 5.1 in women, respectively. Lifetime risk at 65 years of age decreased significantly, from 19.5% to 14.5% in men and from 18.0% to 16.1% in women. Age-adjusted stroke severity did not vary across periods; however, 30-day mortality rate decreased significantly in men (from 23% to 14%) but not in women (from 21% to 20%).\textsuperscript{22}

A study of nearly 18,000 middle-aged, predominantly white male participants in the Physicians’ Health Study found that the Southeast and Midwest had higher crude and age-standardized major CVD, total stroke, ischemic stroke, coronary revascularization, and CVD death incidence rates compared with the Northeast.\textsuperscript{23}

**Mortality**

Stroke accounted for \(\approx 1\) of every 17 deaths in the United States in 2005. Approximately 53% of stroke deaths in 2005 occurred out of the hospital.\textsuperscript{24} Stroke mortality in 2005 was 143.579; total-mention mortality in 2005 was \(\approx 242,000\) (NHLBI; NCHS public use data files).

Preliminary stroke mortality in 2006 was 137.265, and the preliminary death rate was 43.6.\textsuperscript{25}

When considered separately from other CVDs, stroke ranks No. 3 among all causes of death, behind diseases of the heart and cancer (NCHS mortality data).
On average, every 3 to 4 minutes, someone dies of a stroke (NCHS, NHLBI).

Among persons 45 to 64 years of age, 8% to 12% of ischemic strokes and 37% to 38% of hemorrhagic strokes result in death within 30 days, according to the ARIC study of the NHLBI.26

In a study of persons ≥65 years of age recruited from a random sample of Health Care Financing Administration Medicare Part B eligibility lists in 4 US communities, the 1-month case fatality rate was 12.6% for all strokes, 8.1% for ischemic strokes, and 44.6% for hemorrhagic strokes.27

From 1995 to 2005, the annual stroke death rate fell 29.7%, and the actual number of stroke deaths declined 13.5%.28

Conclusions about changes in stroke death rates from 1980 to 2005:

— There was a greater decline in stroke death rates in men than in women, with a male-to-female ratio decreasing from 1.11 to 1.03 (age adjusted).
— There were greater declines in stroke death rates at ≥65 years of age in men than in women compared with younger ages.28

The 2005 overall death rate for stroke was 46.6 per 100,000. Death rates were 44.7 for white males, 70.5 for black males, 44.0 for white females, and 60.7 for black females.28

In 2005, death rates for stroke were 38.0 for Hispanic or Latino men and 33.5 for women, 41.5 for Asian or Pacific Islander men and 36.3 for women, and 31.3 for American Indian/Alaska Native men and 37.1 for women.29

Because women live longer than men, more women than men die of stroke each year. Women accounted for 60.6% of US stroke deaths in 2005 (AHA computation).

From 1995 to 1998, age-standardized mortality rates for ischemic stroke, subarachnoid hemorrhage, and intracerebral hemorrhage were higher among blacks than whites. Death rates from intracerebral hemorrhage also were higher among Asians/Pacific Islanders than among whites. All minority populations had higher death rates from subarachnoid hemorrhage than did whites. Among adults 25 to 44 years of age, blacks and American Indians/Alaska Natives had higher risk ratios than did whites for all 3 stroke subtypes.30

In 2002, death certificate data showed that the mean age at stroke death was 79.6 years; however, men had a younger mean age at stroke death than females. Blacks, American Indians/Alaska Natives, and Asians/Pacific Islanders had younger mean ages than whites, and the mean age at stroke death was also younger among Hispanics than non-Hispanics.31

Age-adjusted stroke mortality rates began to level off in the 1980s and stabilized in the 1990s for both men and women, according to the Minnesota Heart Study. Women had lower rates of stroke mortality than men did throughout the period. Some of the improvement in stroke mortality may be the result of improved acute stroke care, but most is thought to be the result of improved detection and treatment of hypertension.32

A report released by the CDC in collaboration with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), the Atlas of Stroke Hospitalizations Among Medicare Beneficiaries, found that in Medicare beneficiaries, 30-day mortality rate varied by age: 9% in patients 65 to 74 years of age, 13.1% in those 74 to 84 years of age, and 23% in those ≥85 years of age.33

### Stroke Risk Factors

(See Table 5-2 for data on modifiable stroke risk factors.)

- BP is a powerful determinant of stroke risk. Subjects with BP <120/80 mm Hg have approximately half the lifetime risk of stroke of subjects with hypertension.
- TIAs confer a substantial short-term risk of stroke, hospitalization for cardiovascular events, and death. Of 1707 TIA patients evaluated in the ED of a large healthcare plan, 180, or 10%, developed stroke within 90 days. Ninety-one patients, or 5%, did so within 2 days. Predictors of stroke included age >60 years, diabetes mellitus, focal symptoms of weakness or speech impairment, and TIA that lasted >10 minutes.34
- The risk of ischemic stroke associated with current cigarette smoking has been shown to be approximately double that of nonsmokers after adjustment for other risk factors (FHS, CHS, HHP, NHLBI).
- AF is an independent risk factor for stroke, increasing risk 6 to 5-fold. The percentage of strokes attributable to AF increases steeply from 1.5% at 50 to 59 years of age to 23.5% at 80 to 89 years of age.35,36
- Age-specific incidence rates and rate ratios show that diabetes increases ischemic stroke incidence at all ages, but this risk is most prominent before 55 years of age in blacks and before 65 years of age in whites.37
- In a recent ARIC/NHLBI study of a biracial population 45 to 64 years of age, with an average follow-up of 13.4 years, researchers found that blacks had a 3-fold higher multivariate-adjusted risk ratio of lacunar stroke than Whites. The top 3 risk factors based on the population-attributable fraction for lacunar stroke were hypertension (population-attributable fraction, 33.9%), diabetes mellitus (26.3%), and current smoking (22.0%).38
- In the Framingham Offspring Study, 2040 individuals free of clinical stroke had an MRI scan to detect silent cerebral infarct (SCI). Prevalent SCI was associated with the Framingham Stroke Risk Profile score (OR, 1.27; 95% CI, 1.10 to 1.46), hypertension (OR, 1.56; 95% CI, 1.15 to 2.11), elevated plasma homocysteine (OR, 2.23; 95% CI, 1.42 to 3.51), AF (OR, 2.16; 95% CI, 1.07 to 4.40), carotid stenosis >25% (OR, 1.62; 95% CI, 1.13 to 2.34), and increased carotid intimal-medial thickness (OR, 1.65; 95% CI, 1.22 to 2.24).39
- In the FHS of the NHLBI, in participants <65 years of age, the risk of developing stroke/TIA was 4.21 times greater in those with symptoms of depression. After adjustment for components of the Framingham Stroke Risk Profile and education, similar results were obtained. In subjects ≥65 years of age, use of antidepressant medications did not alter the risk associated with depressive symptoms. Identifica-
tion of depressive symptoms at younger ages may have an impact on the primary prevention of stroke.\textsuperscript{40}

- Data from the HHP/NHLBI found that in Japanese men 71 to 93 years of age, low concentrations of high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol were more likely to be associated with a future risk of thromboembolic stroke than were high concentrations.\textsuperscript{41}

**Stroke Risk in Women**

- Analysis of NHANES 1999–2004 data found that women 45 to 54 years of age are more than twice as likely as men to suffer a stroke. Women in the 45- to 54-year age group had a >4-fold higher likelihood of having a stroke than women 35 to 44 years of age.\textsuperscript{42}

- Stroke is a major health issue for women, particularly for postmenopausal women, which raises the question of whether increased incidence is due to aging or to hormone status and whether hormone therapy affects risk.\textsuperscript{43}

- Among postmenopausal women who were generally healthy, the Women’s Health Initiative, a randomized trial of 16 608 women (95% of whom had no preexisting CVD), found that estrogen plus progestin increased ischemic stroke risk by 44%, with no effect on hemorrhagic stroke. The excess risk was apparent in all age groups, in all categories of baseline stroke risk, and in women with and without hypertension or prior history of CVD.\textsuperscript{44}

- In the Women’s Health Initiative trial, among 10 739 women with hysterectomy, it was found that conjugate equine estrogen alone increased the risk of ischemic stroke by 55% and that there was no significant effect on hemorrhagic stroke. The excess risk of total stroke conferred by estrogen alone was 12 additional strokes per 10 000 person-years.\textsuperscript{45}

- In postmenopausal women with known CHD, the Heart and Estrogen/Progestin Replacement Study (HERS), a secondary CHD prevention trial, found that a combination of estrogen plus progestin (conjugated equine estrogen [0.625 mg] and medroxyprogesterone acetate [2.5 mg]) hormone therapy did not reduce stroke risk.\textsuperscript{46}

- The Women’s Estrogen for Stroke Trial (WEST) found that estrogen alone (1 mg 17\textbeta-estradiol) in women with a mean age of 71 years also had no significant overall effect on recurrent stroke or fatality, but there was an increased rate of fatal stroke and an early rise in overall stroke rate in the first 6 months.\textsuperscript{47}

- Clinical trial data indicate that the use of estrogen plus progestin, as well as estrogen alone, increases stroke risk in postmenopausal, generally healthy women and provides no protection for women with established heart disease.\textsuperscript{44,48}

- A study of >37 000 women \(\geq\)45 years of age participating in the Women’s Health Study suggests that a healthy lifestyle that consists of abstinence from smoking, low BMI, moderate alcohol consumption, regular exercise, and a healthy diet was associated with a significantly reduced risk of total and ischemic stroke but not of hemorrhagic stroke.\textsuperscript{49}

**Pregnancy as a Risk Factor for Stroke**

- The risk of ischemic stroke or intracerebral hemorrhage during pregnancy and the first 6 weeks postpartum was 2.4 times greater than for nonpregnant women of similar age and race, according to the Baltimore-Washington Cooperative Young Stroke Study. The risk of ischemic stroke during pregnancy was not increased during pregnancy per se but was increased 8.7-fold during the 6 weeks postpartum. Intracerebral hemorrhage showed a small relative risk (RR) of 2.5 during pregnancy but increased dramatically to an RR of 28.3 in the 6 weeks postpartum. The excess risk of stroke (all types except subarachnoid hemorrhage) attributable to the combined pregnancy/postpregnancy period was 8.1 per 100 000 pregnancies.\textsuperscript{50}

- With Swedish administrative data, it was found that ischemic stroke and intracerebral hemorrhage, including subarachnoid hemorrhage, are increased in association with pregnancy. Compared with the risk of stroke among women who were not pregnant or who were in early pregnancy (up to the first 27 gestational weeks), women in the peripartum (from 2 days before to 1 day after delivery) and the puerperium (from 2 days before to 6 complete weeks after delivery) periods were at increased risk for all 3 major stroke types. The 3 days surrounding delivery were the time of highest risk.\textsuperscript{51}

- In the US Nationwide Inpatient Sample from 2000 to 2001, the rate of events per 100 000 pregnancies was 9.2 for ischemic stroke, 8.5 for intracerebral hemorrhage, 0.6 for cerebral venous thrombosis, and 15.9 for the ill-defined category of pregnancy-related cerebrovascular events, or a total rate of 34.2 per 100 000, not including subarachnoid hemorrhage. The risk was increased in blacks and among older women. Death occurred during hospitalization in 4.1% of women with these events and in 22% of survivors after discharge to a facility other than home.\textsuperscript{52}

**Physical Inactivity as a Risk Factor for Stroke**

- Higher levels of PA are associated with lower stroke risk. Results from the Physicians’ Health Study showed a lower stroke risk associated with vigorous exercise among men (total stroke RR, 0.86 for exercise \(\geq5\) times per week).\textsuperscript{53}

- The Harvard Alumni Study showed a decrease in total stroke risk in men who were highly physically active (RR, 0.82).\textsuperscript{54}

- The association between type of PA and stroke risk has been investigated in several studies. In an evaluation of
walking and sports participation in a cohort of 73,265 men and women in Japan, the risks of stroke death for those in the highest category of walking and sports participation were 29% and 20% lower, respectively. In a study of 47,721 men and women in Finland, the effect of leisure-time, occupational, and commuting PA on incident stroke was investigated. Significant trends toward lower stroke risk were associated with moderate and high levels of leisure-time activity and active commuting, with the strongest trend seen for ischemic stroke; a smaller but still significant benefit was seen with occupational activity. A meta-analysis of reports of 31 observational studies conducted mainly in the United States and Europe found that moderate and high levels of leisure-time and occupational PA protected against total stroke, hemorrhagic stroke, and ischemic stroke.

**Awareness of Stroke Warning Signs and Risk Factors**

- In the 2005 BRFSS among respondents in 14 states, 38.1% were aware of 5 stroke warning symptoms and would first call 9-1-1 if they thought that someone was having a heart attack or stroke. Awareness of all 5 stroke warning symptoms and calling 9-1-1 was higher among whites (41.3%), women (41.5%), and persons at higher education levels (47.6% for persons with a college degree or more) than among blacks and Hispanics (29.5% and 26.8%, respectively), men (34.5%), and persons at lower education levels (22.5% for those who had not received a high school diploma). Among states, the same measure ranged from 27.9% (Oklahoma) to 49.7% (Minnesota).

- A study was conducted of patients admitted to an ED with possible stroke to determine their knowledge of the signs, symptoms, and risk factors of stroke. Of the 163 patients able to respond, 39% did not know a single sign or symptom. Patients ≥65 years of age were less likely than those <65 years old to know a sign or symptom of stroke (28% versus 47%), and 43% did not know a single risk factor. Overall, almost 40% of patients did not know the signs, symptoms, and risk factors of stroke.

- A study of >2100 respondents to a random-digit telephone survey in Cincinnati, Ohio, in 2000 showed that 70% of respondents correctly named at least 1 established stroke warning sign (versus 57% in 1995), and 72% correctly named at least 1 established risk factor (versus 68% in 1995). In the 1995 survey, respondents ≥75 years of age were less likely to correctly identify 1 stroke warning sign and to list 1 risk factor.

- Among patients recruited from the Academic Medical Center Consortium, the CHS, and United HealthCare, only 41% were aware of their increased risk for stroke. Approximately 74% recalled being told of their increased stroke risk by a physician, compared with 28% who did not recall this. Younger patients, depressed patients, those in poor current health, and those with a history of TIA were most likely to be aware of their risk.

- An AHA-sponsored random-digit dialing telephone survey was conducted in mid-2003. Only 26% of women >65 years of age reported being well informed about stroke. Correct identification of the warning signs of stroke was low among all racial/ethnic and age groups.

- Among participants in a study by the National Stroke Association, 2.3% reported having been told by a physician that they had had a TIA. Of those with a TIA, only 64% saw a physician within 24 hours of the event, only 8.2% correctly related the definition of TIA, and 8.6% could identify a typical symptom. Men, nonwhites, and those with lower income and fewer years of education were less likely to be knowledgeable about TIA.

- Participants in the 1999 World Senior Games received 1 or more free screening tests and completed an awareness questionnaire. Results indicate that stroke education should be targeted at the very elderly, those who have less than a college education, and those who do not have a history of chronic disease. It also may be effectively directed toward those with higher cholesterol.

- Insufficient awareness persists in the general medical community with regard to risk factors, warning signs, and prevention strategies for stroke. A survey of 308 internal medicine residency programs showed that only 46% required the study of neurology and that 97% required the study of cardiology. Underrepresentation of neurology in internal medicine residency programs may contribute to stroke outcome.

- In 2004, 800 adults ≥45 years of age were surveyed to assess their perceived risk for stroke and their history of stroke risk factors. Overall, 39% perceived themselves to be at risk. Younger age, current smoking, a history of diabetes, high BP, high cholesterol, heart disease, and stroke/TIA were independently associated with perceived risk for stroke. Respondents with AF were no more likely to report being at risk than were respondents without AF. Perceived risk for stroke increased as the number of risk factors increased; however, 46% of those with ≥3 risk factors did not perceive themselves to be at risk.

- A telephone survey of adults ≥45 years of age in 2 Montana counties showed that >70% were able to correctly name ≥2 warning signs for stroke. More than 45% were able to name ≥2 risk factors. Respondents 45 to 64 years of age, women, those with ≥12 years of education, and those with high cholesterol were more likely to correctly identify ≥2 warning signs than were those without these characteristics. Women and respondents 45 to 64 years of age also were more likely than men or older respondents to correctly identify ≥2 stroke risk factors.

- A study of patients who have had a stroke found that only 60.5% were able to accurately identify 1 stroke risk factor and that 55.3% were able to identify 1 stroke symptom. Patients’ median delay time from onset of symptoms to admission in the ED was 16 hours, and only 31.6% accessed the ED in <2 hours. Analysis showed that the appearance of nonmotor symptoms as the primary symptom and nonuse of the 9-1-1 system were significant predictors of delay >2 hours. Someone other than the patient made the decision to seek treatment in 66% of the cases.

- Spanish-speaking Hispanics are far less likely to know all heart attack symptoms and less likely to know all stroke.
symptoms than English-speaking Hispanics, non-Hispanic blacks, and non-Hispanic whites. Lack of English proficiency is strongly associated with lack of heart attack and stroke knowledge among Hispanics. This finding highlights the need for educational intervention about cardiovascular emergencies targeted to Spanish-speaking communities.\textsuperscript{73}

- In the Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke Study (REGARDS/NINDS), black participants were more aware than whites of their hypertension and more likely to be undergoing treatment if aware of their diagnosis, but among those treated for hypertension, they were less likely than whites to have their BP controlled. There was no evidence of a difference between the stroke belt and other regions in awareness of hypertension, but there was a trend for better treatment and control in the stroke belt region. The lack of substantial geographic differences in hypertension awareness and the trend toward better treatment and control in the stroke belt suggest that differences in hypertension management may not be a major contributor to the geographic disparity in stroke mortality.\textsuperscript{74}

**Aftermath**

Stroke is a leading cause of serious, long-term disability in the United States (Survey of Income and Program Participation [SIPP], a survey of the US Bureau of the Census).\textsuperscript{75}

- Data from the BRFSS (CDC) 2005 survey on stroke survivors in 21 states and the District of Columbia found that 30.7% of stroke survivors received outpatient rehabilitation. The findings indicated that the prevalence of stroke survivors receiving outpatient stroke rehabilitation was lower than would be expected if clinical practice guideline recommendations for all stroke patients had been followed. Increasing the number of stroke survivors who receive needed outpatient rehabilitation might lead to better functional status and quality of life in this population.\textsuperscript{76}

- On the basis of pooled data from the FHS, ARIC, and CHS studies of the NHLBI:
  - The percentages dead 1 year after a first stroke were as follows:
    - At $\geq 40$ years of age: 21% of men and 24% of women.
    - At 40 to 69 years of age: 14% of white men, 20% of white women, 19% of black men, and 19% of black women.
    - At $\geq 70$ years of age: 24% of white men, 27% of white women, 25% of black men, and 22% of black women.
  - The percentages dead within 5 years after a first stroke were as follows:
    - At $\geq 40$ years of age: 47% of men and 51% of women.
    - At 40 to 69 years of age: 32% of white men, 32% of white women, 34% of black men, and 42% of black women.

- At $\geq 70$ years of age: 58% of white men, 58% of white women, 49% of black men, and 54% of black women.
  - Of those who have a first stroke, the percentages with a recurrent stroke in 5 years are as follows:
    - At 40 to 69 years of age: 13% of men and 22% of women.
    - At $\geq 70$ years of age: 23% of men and 28% of women.
    - At 40 to 69 years of age: 15% of white men, 17% of white women, 10% of black men, and 27% of black women.
    - At $\geq 70$ years of age: 23% of white men, 27% of white women, 16% of black men, and 32% of black women.

  - The median survival times after a first stroke are:
    - At 60 to 69 years of age: 6.8 years for men and 7.4 years for women.
    - At 70 to 79 years of age: 5.4 years for men and 6.4 years for women.
    - At $\geq 80$ years of age: 1.8 years for men and 3.1 years for women.

- The length of time to recover from a stroke depends on its severity. Between 50% and 70% of stroke survivors regain functional independence, but 15% to 30% are permanently disabled, and 20% require institutional care at 3 months after onset.\textsuperscript{77}

- In the NHLBI’s FHS, among ischemic stroke survivors who were $\geq 65$ years of age, these disabilities were observed at 6 months after stroke:\textsuperscript{78}
  - 50% had some hemiparesis.
  - 30% were unable to walk without some assistance.
  - 26% were dependent in ADL.
  - 19% had aphasia.
  - 35% had depressive symptoms.
  - 26% were institutionalized in a nursing home.

- Black stroke survivors had greater activity limitations than did white stroke survivors, according to data from the NHIS (2000–2001, NCHS) as analyzed by the CDC.\textsuperscript{79}

- After stroke, women have greater disability than men. A Michigan-based stroke registry found that 33% of women had moderate to severe disability (mRS $\geq 4$) at discharge, compared with 27% of men. In a study of 108 stroke survivors from FHS, 34% of women were disabled at 6 months (BI $<60$), compared with 16% of men. In the Kansas City Stroke Study, women had a 30% lower probability of achieving independence (BI $\geq 95$) by 6 months compared with men. In the Michigan registry, women had a 63% lower probability of achieving ADL independence (BI $\geq 95$) 3 months after discharge.\textsuperscript{80–83}

**Hospital Discharges/Ambulatory Care Visits**

- From 1996 to 2006, the number of inpatient discharges from short-stay hospitals with stroke as the first listed
The overall incidence rate of all strokes in children $\geq 65$ years of age.\textsuperscript{84}

- In 2005, there was a hospitalization rate of 77.3 stays per 10 000 persons $>45$ years of age for cerebrovascular disease. There has been a decline in the hospitalization rate for different types of cerebrovascular disease between 1997 and 2005, with the exception of hemorrhagic stroke. Between 1997 and 2005, the hospitalization rate for ischemic stroke decreased by 34%, from 54.4 to 35.9 stays per 10 000 persons. The hospitalization rate for transient cerebral ischemia also fell $\approx 23\%$ during this period. Similarly, the hospitalization rate for occlusion or stenosis of pre- cerebral arteries steadily decreased by 30% between 1997 and 2005, from 18.4 to 12.8 stays per 10 000 persons. In contrast, the hospitalization rate for hemorrhagic stroke remained relatively stable during this period.\textsuperscript{85}

- Data from 2006 from the Hospital Discharge Survey of the NCHS showed that the average length of stay for discharges with stroke as the first-listed diagnosis was 4.9 days.\textsuperscript{86}

- In 2006, the number of ambulatory care visits for stroke was 3,982,000 (NAMCS, NHAMCS/NCHS).\textsuperscript{86}

- In 2003, men and women accounted for roughly the same number of hospital stays for stroke in the 18- to 44-year age group. After 65 years of age, women were the majority. Among 65- to 84-year-olds, 54.5% of stroke patients were women, whereas among the oldest age group, women constituted 69.7% of all stroke patients.\textsuperscript{87}

- A first-ever county-level Atlas of Stroke Hospitalizations Among Medicare Beneficiaries was released by the CDC in collaboration with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. It found that the stroke hospitalization rate for blacks was 27% higher than for the US population in general, 30% higher than for whites, and 36% higher than for Hispanics. In contrast to whites and Hispanics, the highest percentage of strokes in blacks (42.3%) occurred in the youngest age group (65 to 74 years of age).\textsuperscript{33}

**Stroke in Children**

Stroke in children peaks in the perinatal period. In the NHDS/NCHS, from 1980 to 1998, the rate of stroke for infants $<$30 days old (per 100 000 live births per year) was 26.4, with rates of 6.7 for hemorrhagic stroke and 17.8 for ischemic stroke.\textsuperscript{88}

- A history of infertility, preeclampsia, prolonged rupture of membranes, and chorioamnionitis were found to be independent risk factors for radiologically confirmed perinatal arterial ischemic stroke in the Kaiser Permanente Medical Care Program. The risk of perinatal stroke increased $\approx 25\%$-fold, with an absolute risk of 1 per 200 deliveries, when 3 of the following antenatally determined risk factors were present: infertility, preeclampsia, chorioamnionitis, prolonged rupture of membranes, primiparity, oligohydramnios, decreased fetal movement, prolonged second stage of labor, and fetal heart rate abnormalities.\textsuperscript{89}

- The overall incidence rate of all strokes in children $<15$ years of age was 6.4 per 100 000 in 1999, a nonsignificant increase compared with 1988. The 30-day case fatality rates were 18% in 1988 to 1989, 9% in 1993 to 1994, and 9% in 1999. The incidence of stroke in children has been stable over the past 10 years. The previously reported nationwide decrease in overall stroke mortality in children might be due to decreasing case fatality after stroke and not decreasing stroke incidence. It was conservatively estimated that $\approx 3000$ children and adults $<20$ years of age would have a stroke in the United States in 2004.\textsuperscript{90}

- Stroke in childhood and young adulthood has a dispropor- tionate impact on the affected patients, their families, and society compared with stroke at older ages. Outcome of childhood stroke was a moderate or severe deficit in 42% of cases.\textsuperscript{91}

- Compared with the stroke risk of white children, black children have a higher RR of 2.12, Hispanics have a lower RR of 0.76, and Asians have a similar risk. Boys have a 1.28-fold higher risk of stroke than girls. There are no ethnic differences in stroke severity or case fatality, but boys have a higher case-fatality rate for ischemic stroke. The increased risk among blacks is not fully explained by the presence of sickle cell disease, nor is the excess risk among boys fully explained by trauma.\textsuperscript{92}

- Despite current treatment, 1 of 10 children with ischemic stroke will have a recurrence within 5 years.\textsuperscript{93}

- Cerebrovascular disorders are among the top 10 causes of death in children, with rates highest in the first year of life. Stroke mortality in children $<1$ year of age has remained the same over the past 40 years.\textsuperscript{94}

- From 1979 to 1998 in the United States, childhood mort- ality resulting from stroke declined by 58% overall, with reductions in all major subtypes.\textsuperscript{95}

  - Ischemic stroke decreased by 19%, subarachnoid hem- orrhage by 79%, and intracerebral hemorrhage by 54%.
  - Black ethnicity was a risk factor for death resulting from all stroke types.
  - Male sex was a risk factor for death caused by sub- arachnoid hemorrhage and intracerebral hemorrhage but not for death resulting from ischemic stroke.

- Sickle cell disease is the most important cause of ischemic stroke among black children. The Stroke Prevention Trial in Sickle Cell Anemia (STOP) demonstrated the efficacy of blood transfusions for primary stroke prevention in high- risk children with sickle cell disease in 1998. First-admission rates for stroke in California among persons $<20$ years of age with sickle cell disease showed a dramatic decline subsequent to the publication of the STOP study. For the study years 1991 to 1998, 93 children with sickle cell disease were admitted to California hospitals with a first stroke; 92.5% of these strokes were ischemic, and 7.5% were hemorrhagic. The first-stroke rate was 0.88 per 100 person-years during 1991–1998 compared with 0.50 in 1999 and 0.17 in 2000 ($P<0.005$ for trend).\textsuperscript{96}

**Access to Stroke Care**

- In 2006, there were 378 diplomates certified in Vascular Neurology by the American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology.\textsuperscript{97}
Patients with a discharge diagnosis of ischemic stroke were identified in 7 California hospitals participating in the California Acute Stroke Pilot Registry. Six points of care were tracked: thrombolysis, receipt of antithrombotic medications within 48 hours, prophylaxis for deep vein thrombosis, smoking cessation counseling, and prescription of lipid-lowering and antithrombotic medications at discharge. Overall, rates of optimal treatment improved for patients treated in year 2 versus year 1, with 63% receiving a perfect score in year 2 versus 44% in year 1. Rates improved significantly in 4 of the 6 hospitals and for 4 of the 6 interventions. A seventh hospital that participated in the registry but did not implement standardized orders showed no improvement in optimal treatment.103

A population-based study performed in a biracial population of 1.3 million in Ohio in 1993 and 1994 showed that 8% of all ischemic stroke patients presented to an ED within 3 hours and met other eligibility criteria for treatment with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rtPA). Even if time were not an exclusion criterion for use of rtPA, only 29% of all ischemic strokes in the population would have otherwise been eligible for rtPA.104

Cost
The estimated direct and indirect cost of stroke for 2009 is $68.9 billion.

In 2006, $3.9 billion ($7449 per discharge) was paid to Medicare beneficiaries discharged from short-stay hospitals for stroke.105

The mean lifetime cost of ischemic stroke in the United States is estimated at $140 048. This includes inpatient care, rehabilitation, and follow-up care necessary for lasting deficits. (All numbers were converted to 1999 dollars by use of the medical component of the Consumer Price Index).106

In a population study of stroke costs within 30 days of an acute event, the average cost was $13 019 for mild ischemic strokes and $20 346 for severe ischemic strokes (4 or 5 on the Rankin Disability Scale).107

Inpatient hospital costs for an acute stroke event account for 70% of first-year poststroke costs.108

The largest components of acute-care costs were room charges (50%), medical management (21%), and diagnostic costs (19%).108

Death within 7 days, subarachnoid hemorrhage, and stroke while hospitalized for another condition are associated with higher costs in the first year. Lower costs are associated with mild cerebral infarctions or residence in a nursing home before the stroke.107

Demographic variables (age, sex, and insurance status) are not associated with stroke cost. Severe strokes (NIHSS score >20) cost twice as much as mild strokes, despite similar diagnostic testing. Comorbidities such as ischemic heart disease and AF predict higher costs.108,109

The total cost of stroke from 2005 to 2050, in 2005 dollars, is projected to be $1.52 trillion for non-Hispanic whites, $313 billion for Hispanics, and $379 billion for blacks. The per capita cost of stroke estimates is highest in blacks ($25 782), followed by Hispanics ($17 201) and non-Hispanic whites ($15 597). Loss of earnings is expected to be the highest cost contributor in each race-ethnic group.104

Operations and Procedures
In 2006, an estimated 99 000 inpatient endarterectomy procedures were performed in the United States. Carotid endarterectomy is the most frequently performed surgical procedure to prevent stroke (NHDS, NCHS).
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### Table 5-1. Stroke

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td>6 500 000 (2.9%)</td>
<td>795 000</td>
<td>143 579</td>
<td>889 000</td>
<td>$68.9 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>2 600 000 (2.6%)</td>
<td>370 000 (46.5%)†</td>
<td>56 586 (39.4%)†</td>
<td>404 000</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>3 900 000 (3.2%)</td>
<td>425 000 (53.5%)†</td>
<td>86 993 (60.6%)†</td>
<td>486 000</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white males</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>325 000†</td>
<td>47 194</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white females</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>365 000†</td>
<td>74 674</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black males</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>45 000†</td>
<td>7519</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black females</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>60 000†</td>
<td>10 022</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican-American males</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican-American females</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino age ≥18 y§</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian age ≥18 y§</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native age ≥18 y§</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ellipses (· ·) indicate data not available.

*Mortality data are for whites and blacks and include Hispanics.
†These percentages represent the portion of total stroke incidence or mortality that applies to males vs females.
‡Estimates include Hispanics and non-Hispanics. Estimates for whites include other nonblack races.
§NHIS 2007 (NCHS): data are weighted percentages for Americans ≥18 years of age.2
∥Estimates are considered unreliable; figure is suppressed.

Sources: Prevalence (total, males, females, whites, blacks, Mexican Americans) is based on NHLBI computations of NHANES 2005 to 2006, NCHS (≥20 years of age). Age-adjusted rates are extrapolated to the US population ≥20 years of age, 2006. Prevalence data for the Hispanic, Asian, and American Indian/Alaska Native populations, ≥18 years of age, are from NHIS/NCHS.1 Incidence: GCNKSS/NINDS data for 1999 provided on August 1, 2007. US estimates compiled by NHLBI. See also Kissela et al.110 Data include children. Mortality: NCHS. These data represent underlying cause of death only. Mortality data for white and black males and females include Hispanics. Hospital discharges: NHDS, NCHS. Data include those inpatients discharged alive, dead, or status unknown. Cost: NHLBI. Data include estimated direct and indirect costs for 2009.
Table 5-2. Modifiable Stroke Risk Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Prevalence, %</th>
<th>Population-Attributable Risk, %*</th>
<th>RR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>5.8†</td>
<td>1.73 (1.68–1.78)128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.9†</td>
<td>1.55 (1.17–2.07)128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure111</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.4†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.1†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral arterial disease</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.0†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 50 y</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 60 y</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 70 y</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 80 y</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 90 y</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette smoking</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12–18</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>5–27</td>
<td>1.8–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymptomatic carotid stenosis</td>
<td>2–8115,121</td>
<td>2–7‡</td>
<td>2.0129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation (nonvalvular)113,114</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 50–59 y</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 60–69 y</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 70–79 y</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 80–89 y</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sickle cell disease</td>
<td>0.25 (of blacks)122</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>200–400156§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High total cholesterol</td>
<td>25123</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.0 for men and for women &lt;55 y of age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low HDL cholesterol</td>
<td>25123</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.5–2.5 for men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na intake &gt;2300 mg</td>
<td>75–90</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K intake &lt;4700 mg</td>
<td>90–99124</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>17.9125</td>
<td>12–20†</td>
<td>1.75–2.37131,132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical inactivity67</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.7‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmenopausal hormone therapy</td>
<td>20126</td>
<td>(women 50–74 y of age)127</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data derived from Hart et al134,135 and van Walraven et al.136 Stroke includes both ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke. Cardiovascular disease includes coronary heart disease, heart failure, and peripheral arterial disease.

*Population-attributable risk is the proportion of ischemic stroke in the population that can be attributed to a particular risk factor (see text for formula).
†Calculated on the basis of point estimates of referenced data provided in the table. For peripheral arterial disease, calculation was based on average RR for men and women.
‡Calculated based on referenced data provided in the table or text.
§Relative to stroke risk in children without sickle cell disease.
||For high-risk patients treated with transfusion.


Chart 5-2. Annual rate of first cerebral infarction by age, sex, and race (GCNKSS: 1999). Source: Unpublished data from the GCNKSS. Note: Rates for black men and women 45 to 54 years of age and for black men ≥75 years of age are considered unreliable. An estimated 15 000 people have first cerebral infarctions before 45 years of age.
Chart 5-3. Annual rate of first-ever strokes by age, sex, and race (GCNKSS: 1999). Note: Rates for black men and women 45 to 54 years of age and for black men ≥75 years of age are considered unreliable. Source: Unpublished data from the GCNKSS.

Chart 5-4. Estimated 10-year stroke risk in 55-year-old adults according to levels of various risk factors (Framingham Heart Study). Source: Wolf et al.\textsuperscript{137}

6. High Blood Pressure

ICD-9 401-404, ICD-10 I10-I15. See Tables 6-1 and 6-2 and Charts 6-1 through 6-5.

Prevalence

- HBP is defined as:
  - SBP ≥140 mm Hg or DBP ≥90 mm Hg or taking antihypertensive medicine
  - or having been told at least twice by a physician or other health professional that one has HBP.
- One in 3 US adults has HBP.¹
- A higher percentage of men than women have HBP until 45 years of age. From 45 to 54 and 55 to 64 years of age, the percentages of men and women with HBP are similar. After that, a much higher percentage of women have HBP than men.²
- HBP is 2 to 3 times more common in women taking oral contraceptives, especially in obese and older women, than in women not taking them.³

Abbreviations Used in Chapter 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARIC</td>
<td>Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRFSS</td>
<td>Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>coronary heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>congestive heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHS</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Health Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>diastolic blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHS</td>
<td>Framingham Heart Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBP</td>
<td>high blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHANES</td>
<td>Hispanic Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD-9-CM</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases, ninth revision, clinical modification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JNC</td>
<td>Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>low-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mm Hg</td>
<td>millimeter of mercury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHS</td>
<td>National Center for Health Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>non-Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHES</td>
<td>National Health Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHDS</td>
<td>National Hospital Discharge Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHIS</td>
<td>National Health Interview Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHLBI</td>
<td>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHANES</td>
<td>Hispanic Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NINDS</td>
<td>National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGARDS</td>
<td>Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>systolic blood pressure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Data from NHANES 2005–2006 found that 29% of US adults ≥18 years of age were hypertensive. The prevalence of hypertension was nearly equal between men and women. An additional 37% of US adults had prehypertension, and 7% of adults with hypertension had never been told that they had hypertension. Among hypertensive adults, 78% were aware of their condition, 68% were using antihypertensive medication, and >64% of those treated were controlled.⁴
- Data from the 2007 BRFSS/CDC study indicate that the percentage of adults ≥18 years of age who had been told that they had HBP ranged from 19.7% in Utah to 33.8% in Tennessee. The median percentage was 27.8%.⁵

Older Adults

- Age-adjusted estimates show that in 2004–2005, diagnosed chronic conditions that were more prevalent among older women than men included hypertension (51% for women, 45% for men). Ever-diagnosed conditions that were more prevalent among older men than older women included heart disease (33% for men, 26% for women) and diabetes (17% for men, 15% for women).⁶
  - The age-adjusted prevalence of hypertension (both diagnosed and undiagnosed) in 1999–2002 was 78% for older women and 64% for older men on the basis of data from NHANES/NCHS.⁶

Children and Adolescents

- Analysis of NHES, HHANES, and NHANES/NCHS surveys of the NCHS (1963–2002) found that the BP, pre-HBP, and HBP trends in children and adolescents 8 to 17 years of age moved downward from 1963 to 1988 and upward thereafter. Pre-HBP and HBP increased 2.3% and 1%, respectively, between 1988 and 1999. Increased obesity (more so abdominal obesity than general obesity) partially explained the HBP and pre-HBP rise from 1988 to 1999. BP and HBP reversed their downward trends 10 years after the increase in the prevalence of obesity. In addition, an ethnic and gender gap appeared in 1988 for pre-HBP and in 1999 for HBP. Non-Hispanic blacks and Mexican Americans had a greater prevalence of HBP and pre-HBP than non-Hispanic whites, and the prevalence was greater in males than in females. In this study, HBP in children and adolescents is defined as SBP and/or DBP that, on repeated measurement, ≥95th percentile.⁷
  - A study in Ohio of >14 000 children and adolescents 3 to 18 years of age observed ≥3 times between 1999 and 2006 found that 3.6% had hypertension. Of these, 26% had been diagnosed and 74% were undiagnosed. In addition, 3% of those with hypertension had stage 2 hypertension, and 41% of those with stage 2 hypertension were undiagnosed. Criteria for prehypertension were met by 485 children. Of these, 11% were diagnosed. In this study, HBP in children and adolescents is defined as SBP and/or DBP that is, on repeated measurement, ≥95th percentile.⁸
  - A study from 1988–1994 through 1999–2000 of children and adolescents 8 to 17 years of age showed that among non-Hispanic blacks, mean SBP levels increased by 1.6 mm Hg among girls and 2.9 mm Hg among boys
compared with non-Hispanic whites. Among Mexican Americans, girls’ SBP increased 1.0 mm Hg and boys’ SBP increased 2.7 mm Hg compared with non-Hispanic whites.9

Race/Ethnicity and HBP

- The prevalence of hypertension in blacks in the United States is among the highest in the world, and it is increasing. From 1988–1994 through 1999–2002, the prevalence of HBP in adults increased from 35.8% to 41.4% among blacks, and it was particularly high among black women, at 44.0%. Prevalence among whites also increased, from 24.3% to 28.1%.10
- Compared with whites, blacks develop HBP earlier in life, and their average BPs are much higher. As a result, compared with whites, blacks have a 1.3-times greater rate of nonfatal stroke, a 1.8-times greater rate of fatal stroke, a 1.5-times greater rate of heart disease death, and a 4.2-times greater rate of end-stage kidney disease (Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure [JNC] 5 and 6).
- Within the black community, rates of hypertension vary substantially.10,11
  - Those with the highest rates are more likely to be middle-aged or older, less educated, overweight or obese, and physically inactive and are more likely to have diabetes.
  - Those with the lowest rates are more likely to be younger but also overweight or obese.
  - Those with uncontrolled HBP who are not on antihypertensive medication tend to be male, to be younger, and to have infrequent contact with a physician.
- Analysis from the REGARDS study of the NINDS suggests that efforts to raise awareness of prevalent hypertension among blacks have apparently been successful (31% greater odds in blacks relative to whites), and efforts to communicate the importance of receiving treatment for hypertension have been successful (69% greater odds among blacks relative to whites); however, substantial racial disparities remain in the control of BP (SBP <140 mm Hg, DBP <90 mm Hg), with the odds of control 27% lower in blacks relative to whites. In contrast, geographic disparities in hypertension awareness, treatment, and control were minimal.12
- Data from the 2007 NHIS survey showed that American Indian/Alaska Native adults ≥18 years of age were less likely (25.5%) than black adults (31.7%) and more likely than white adults (22.2%) and Asian adults (19.5%) to have been told on ≥2 occasions that they had hypertension.13
- The CDC analyzed death certificate data from 1995 to 2002. The results indicated that Puerto Rican Americans had a consistently higher hypertension-related death rate than all other Hispanic subpopulations and non-Hispanic whites. The age-standardized hypertension-related mortality rate was 127.2 per 100 000 population for all Hispanics, similar to that of non-Hispanic whites (135.9). The age-standardized rate for Hispanic women (118.3) was substantially lower than that observed for Hispanic men (135.9). Male hypertension-related mortality rates were higher than female rates for all Hispanic subpopulations. Puerto Rican Americans had the highest hypertension-related death rate among all Hispanic subpopulations (154.0); Cuban Americans had the lowest (82.5).14
- Some studies suggest that Hispanic Americans have rates of HBP similar to or lower than those of non-Hispanic white Americans. Findings from a new analysis of combined data from the NHIS surveys of 2000 to 2002 point to a health disparity between black and white adults of Hispanic descent. Black Hispanics were at slightly greater risk than white Hispanics, although non-Hispanic black adults had by far the highest rate of HBP. The racial disparity among Hispanics also was evident in the fact that higher-income, better-educated black Hispanics still had a higher rate of HBP than lower-income, less-educated white Hispanics.15 Data from the NHLBI’s ARIC study found that hypertension was a particularly powerful risk factor for CHD in black persons, especially in black women.16
- Data from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) found that being born outside the United States, speaking a language other than English at home, and living fewer years in the United States were associated with a decreased prevalence of hypertension.17
- Filipino (27%) and Japanese (25%) adults were more likely than Chinese (17%) or Korean (17%) adults to have ever been told that they had hypertension.18

Mortality

HBP mortality in 2005—57 356. Total-mention mortality in 2005 was ∼319 000. Preliminary 2006 mortality—56 121. The 2005 death rate was 17.7.19

- From 1995 to 2005, the death rate from HBP increased 25.2%, and the actual number of deaths rose 56.4% (NCHS and NHLBI; 1995 rate modified by appropriate comparability ratio).
- The 2005 overall death rate from HBP was 18.4. Death rates were 15.8 for white males, 52.1 for black males, 15.1 for white females, and 40.3 for black females.20 Using total-mention mortality for 2005, the overall death rate was 70.0. Death rates were 73.0 for white males, 180.8 for black males, 52.3 for white females, and 128.5 for black females.

Risk Factors

- Numerous risk factors and markers for development of hypertension, including age, ethnicity, family history of hypertension and genetic factors, lower education and socioeconomic status, greater weight, lower physical activity, psychosocial stressors, sleep apnea, and dietary factors (including dietary fats, higher sodium intake, lower potassium intake, and excessive alcohol intake), have been identified.
- A study of related individuals in the NHLBI’s FHS estimated that when measured at a single examination, BP
levels are ≈40% heritable; when measured across multiple examinations, long-term BP trends are ≈55% heritable.\(^2\)

**Aftermath**

- About 69% of people who have a first heart attack, 77% who have a first stroke, and 74% who have CHF have BP >140/90 mm Hg (NHLBI unpublished estimates from ARIC, CHS, and FHS Cohort and Offspring studies).
- Data from FHS/NHLBI indicate that recent (within the past 10 years) and remote antecedent BP levels may be an important determinant of risk over and above current BP level.\(^2\)
- Data from the FHS/NHLBI indicate that hypertension is associated with shorter overall life expectancy, shorter life expectancy free of CVD, and more years lived with CVD.\(^2\)
  
  — Total life expectancy was 5.1 years longer for normotensive men and 4.9 years longer for normotensive women than for hypertensives of the same sex at 50 years of age.
  
  — Compared with hypertensive men at 50 years of age, men with untreated BP <140/90 mm Hg survived on average 7.2 years longer without CVD and spent 2.1 fewer years of life with CVD. Similar results were observed for women.

**Hospital Discharges/Ambulatory Care Visits**

- From 1996 to 2006, the number of inpatient discharges from short-stay hospitals with HBP as the first-listed diagnosis increased from 417,000 to 514,000 (NCHS, NHDS). The number of all-listed discharges increased from 6,163,000 to 10,644,000\(^2\) (unpublished data from the National Hospital Discharge Survey, 2006).
- Data from Ambulatory Medical Care Utilization Estimates for 2006 showed that the number of visits for essential hypertension was 44,879,000.\(^5\)
- In 2006, there were 293,000 hospitalizations with a first-listed diagnosis of essential hypertension (ICD-9-CM code 401), but essential hypertension was listed as either a primary or a secondary diagnosis 9,057,000 times for hospitalized inpatients.\(^5\)

**Awareness, Treatment, and Control**

- Data from NHANES/NCHS 2005–2006 showed that of those with hypertension ≥20 years of age, 78.7% were aware of their condition, 69.1% were under current treatment, 45.4% had it under control, and 54.6% did not have it controlled (NCHS and NHLBI).
- Analysis of NHANES/NCHS data from 1999–2004 through 2005–2006 found that there were substantial increases in awareness and treatment rates of hypertension. The control rates increased in both sexes, non-Hispanic blacks, and Mexican Americans. Among the group ≥60 years of age, awareness, treatment, and control rates of hypertension increased significantly.\(^2,4,26\)
- Data from the 2007 BRFSS/CDC survey indicate that the percentage of adults ≥18 years of age who had been told that they had HBP ranged from 19.7% in Utah to 33.8% in Tennessee. The median percentage among states was 27.8%.\(^2\)
- In NHANES/NCHS 2005–2006, rates of control were lower in Mexican Americans (35.2%) than in non-Hispanic whites (46.1%) and non-Hispanic blacks (46.5%).\(^4\)
- The awareness, treatment, and control of HBP among those ≥65 years of age in the CHS/NHLBI improved during the 1990s. The percentages of those aware of and treated for HBP were higher among blacks than among whites. Prevalences with HBP under control were similar. For both groups combined, the control of BP to <140/90 mm Hg increased from 37% in 1990 to 49% in 1999. Improved control was achieved by an increase in antihypertensive medications per person and by an increase in the proportion of the CHS population treated for hypertension, from 34.5% to 51.1%.\(^28\)
- Data from the FHS study of the NHLBI show that:
  
  — Among those ≥80 years of age, only 38% of men and 23% of women had BPs that met targets set forth in the National High Blood Pressure Education Program’s clinical guidelines. Control rates in men <60, 60 to 79, and ≥80 years of age were 38%, 36%, and 38%, respectively; for women in the same age groups, they were 38%, 28%, and 23%, respectively.\(^29\)
- Data from the Women’s Health Initiative Observational Study of nearly 100,000 postmenopausal women across the country enrolled between 1994 and 1998 indicate that although prevalence rates ranged from 27% of women 50 to 59 years of age to 41% of women 60 to 69 years of age to 53% of women 70 to 79 years of age, treatment rates were similar across age groups: 64%, 65%, and 63%, respectively. Despite similar treatment rates, hypertension control is especially poor in older women, with only 29% of hypertensive women 70 to 79 years of age having clinical BPs <140/90 mm Hg, compared with 41% and 37% of those 50 to 59 and 60 to 69 years of age, respectively.\(^30\)
- A study of >300 women in Wisconsin showed a need for significant improvement in BP and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) levels. Of the screened participants, 35% were not at BP goal, 32.4% were not at LDL goal, and 53.5% were not at both goals.\(^31\)
- In 2005, a survey of people in 20 states conducted by the BRFSS of the CDC found that 19.4% of respondents had been told on ≥2 visits to a health professional that they had HBP. Of these, 70.9% reported changing their eating habits; 79.5% reduced the use of or were not using salt; 79.2% reduced the use of or eliminated alcohol; 68.8% were exercising; and 73.4% were taking antihypertensive medication.\(^32\)
- On the basis of NHANES 2003–2004 data, it was found that nearly three fourths of adults with CVD comorbidities have hypertension. Poor control rates of systolic hypertension remain a principal problem that further compromises their already high CVD risk.\(^33\)

**Cost**

- The estimated direct and indirect cost of HBP for 2009 is $73.4 billion.
Prehypertension

- “Prehypertension” is untreated SBP of 120 to 139 mm Hg or untreated DBP of 80 to 89 mm Hg and not having been told on 2 occasions by a doctor or other health professional that one has hypertension.

- On the basis of NHANES 2005–2006 data, it is estimated that \( \approx 25\% \) of the US population \( \approx 20\% \) years of age has prehypertension, including 32,400,000 men and 21,200,000 women (estimated by NHLBI). Two published sources have a higher estimate, 37% overall, in part because the sources did not exclude persons within the prehypertension BP cut points who were told on 2 occasions by a doctor or other health professional of having hypertension. Those persons are part of the 73.6 million persons with hypertension.4

- Follow-up of 9,845 men and women in the FHS/NHLBI who attended examinations from 1978 to 1994 revealed that at 35 to 64 years of age, the 4-year incidence of hypertension was 5.3% for those with baseline BP \(<120/80\) mm Hg, 17.6% for those with SBP of 120 to 129 mm Hg or DBP of 80 to 84 mm Hg, and 37.3% for those with SBP of 130 to 139 mm Hg or DBP of 85 to 89 mm Hg. At 65 to 94 years of age, the 4-year incidences of hypertension were 16.0%, 25.5%, and 49.5% for these BP categories, respectively.3,4

- Data from FHS/NHLBI also reveal that prehypertension is associated with elevated relative and absolute risks for CVD outcomes across the age spectrum. Compared with normal BP (\(<120/80\) mm Hg), prehypertension was associated with a 1.5- to 2-fold risk for major CVD events in those \(<60, 60\) to 79, and \(\geq 80\) years of age. Absolute risks for major CVD associated with prehypertension increased markedly with age: 6-year event rates for major CVD were 1.5% in prehypertensives \(<60\) years of age, 4.9% in those 60 to 79 years of age, and 19.8% in those \(\geq 80\) years of age.29

- In a study of NHANES 1999–2000 (NCHS), people with prehypertension were more likely than those with normal BP levels to have above-normal cholesterol levels, overweight/obesity, and diabetes mellitus, whereas the probability of currently smoking was lower. Persons with prehypertension were 1.65 times more likely to have \(\geq 1\) of these adverse risk factors than were those with normal blood pressure.35
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### Table 6-1. High Blood Pressure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td>73 600 000 (33.3%)</td>
<td>57 356</td>
<td>514 000</td>
<td>$73.4 billion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>35 300 000 (34.1%)</td>
<td>24 046 (41.9%)†</td>
<td>204 000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white males</td>
<td>38 300 000 (32.1%)</td>
<td>33 310 (58.1%)†</td>
<td>309 000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white females</td>
<td>34.1%</td>
<td>17 312</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black males</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
<td>25 814</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black females</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>6019</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino‡ ≥18 y</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian‡ ≥18 y</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indians/Alaska Natives‡ ≥18 y</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ellipses ( . . . ) indicate data not available.

*Mortality data are for whites and blacks and include Hispanics.
†These percentages represent the portion of total HBP mortality that is for males vs females.
‡NHIS (2007), NCHS; data are weighted percentages for Americans ≥18 years of age.

Sources: Prevalence: NHANES (2005–2006, NCHS) and NHLBI; percentages for racial/ethnic groups are age adjusted for Americans ≥20 years of age. Mortality: NCHS. These data represent underlying cause of death only. Hospital discharges: NHDS, NCHS; data include those discharged alive, dead, or status unknown. Cost: NHLBI; data include estimated direct and indirect costs for 2009.

Note: Hypertension is defined as SBP ≥140 mm Hg or DBP ≥90 mm Hg, taking antihypertensive medication, or being told twice by a physician or other professional that one has hypertension. The NHLBI computed the numbers and rates on the basis of NHANES 2005–2006 (NCHS). Many studies define hypertension as BP ≥140/90 mm Hg or taking antihypertensive medication. Under this definition, extrapolation of NHANES 2005–2006 (NCHS) data to the US population in 2006 gives an estimated prevalence of 66.6 million. That is 30% of the population ≥20 years of age, compared with 33% according to the more complete definition, a difference of 8 million persons.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Awareness</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NH white male</td>
<td>63.0%</td>
<td>70.4%</td>
<td>46.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white female</td>
<td>74.7%</td>
<td>73.4%</td>
<td>61.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black male</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>67.8%</td>
<td>42.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black female</td>
<td>77.8%</td>
<td>81.8%</td>
<td>64.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American male</td>
<td>47.8%</td>
<td>55.9%</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American female</td>
<td>69.3%</td>
<td>66.9%</td>
<td>47.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chart 6-1. Prevalence of HBP in adults ≥20 years by age and sex (NHANES: 2005 to 2006). Source: NCHS and NHLBI. Hypertension is defined as SBP ≥140 mm Hg or DBP ≥90 mm Hg, taking antihypertensive medication, or being told twice by a physician or other professional that one has hypertension.


Chart 6-4. Extent of awareness, treatment, and control of HBP by age (NHANES: 2005 to 2006). Source: NCHS and NHLBI.
Chart 6-5. Extent of awareness, treatment, and control of HBP by race/ethnicity and sex (NHANES: 1999 to 2004). Source: Cutler et al.36
7. Congenital Cardiovascular Defects

ICD-9 745-747, ICD-10 Q20–Q28. See Tables 7-1 through 7-4.

Congenital cardiovascular defects, also known as congenital heart defects, are structural problems arising from abnormal formation of the heart or major blood vessels. At least 18 distinct types of congenital heart defects are recognized, with many additional anatomic variations.

Defects range in severity from tiny pinholes between chambers, which are nearly irrelevant and often resolve spontaneously, to major malformations that can require multiple surgical procedures before school age and may result in death in utero, in infancy, or in childhood. The common complex defects include:

- Tetralogy of Fallot (9% to 14%)
- Transposition of the great arteries (10% to 11%)
- Atrioventricular septal defects (4% to 10%)
- Coarctation of the aorta (8% to 11%)
- Hypoplastic left heart syndrome (4% to 8%)
- Ventricular septal defects (VSDs)

VSD is the most common defect. Many close spontaneously, but VSDs still account for 14% to 16% of defects requiring an invasive procedure within the first year of life.1

Prevalence

As of 2002, the prevalence of congenital cardiovascular defects in the United States varied between 4 and 10 per 1000 live births and was estimated to range from 650 000 to 1.3 million people.1,2 From 1940 to 2002, ≈2 million patients with congenital cardiovascular defects were born in the United States: ≈1 million with simple lesions and 0.5 million each with moderate and complex lesions. Using available data to estimate the prevalence of congenital cardiovascular defects at birth and in adults in year 2000, the authors estimate their survival to 2000 assuming no treatment (the low estimate) and full treatment (the high estimate). If all were treated, there would be 750 000 survivors with simple lesions, 400 000 with moderate lesions, and 180 000 with complex lesions; in addition, there would be 3 000 000 subjects alive with bicuspid aortic valves. Without treatment, the number of survivors in each group would be 400 000, 220 000, and 30 000, respectively. The actual numbers surviving are projected to be between these 2 sets of estimates.2

The 32nd Bethesda Conference estimated that the total number of adults living with congenital heart disease in the United States in 2000 was 787 800.3 Currently, no measured data are available in the United States for the prevalence of congenital cardiovascular defects in adults. A recent report from Quebec, Canada, measured a prevalence of congenital cardiac defects of 11.89 per 1000 children and 4.09 per 1000 adults.4 The most common types of defects in children are as follows: VSD, 620 000 people; atrial septal defect (ASD), 235 000 people; valvular pulmonary stenosis, 185 000 people; and patent ductus arteriosus, 173 000 people.5 The most common lesions seen in adults are ASD and tetralogy of Fallot.6

Incidence

Major defects are usually apparent in the neonatal period, but minor defects may not be detected until adulthood. Thus, true measures of the incidence of congenital heart disease would need to record new cases of defects preventing any time in fetal life through adulthood. However, estimates are available only for new cases detected between birth and 30 days of life, known as birth prevalence, or for new cases detected in the first year of life only. Both of these are typically reported as cases per 1000 live births per year and do not distinguish between tiny defects that resolve without treatment and major malformations. To distinguish more serious defects, some studies also report new cases of sufficient severity to require an invasive procedure or that result in death within the first year of life. Despite the absence of true incidence figures, some data are available and are shown in Table 7-1.

- According to the CDC, 1 in every 110 infants in the metropolitan Atlanta, Ga, area is born with a congenital heart defect, including some infants with tiny defects that resolve without treatment. Some defects occur more commonly in males or females or in whites or blacks.5
- Nine (9.0) defects per 1000 live births, or 36 000 infants per year, are expected in the United States. Of these, several studies suggest that 9200, or 2.3 per 1000 live births, require invasive treatment or result in death in the first year of life.6
- Estimates also are available for bicuspid aortic valves, occurring in 13.7 per 1000 people; these defects may not require treatment in infancy but can cause problems later in adulthood.7
- Some studies suggest that as many as 5% of newborns, or 200 000 per year, are born with tiny muscular VSDs, almost all of which close spontaneously.8,9 These defects almost never require treatment, so they are not included in Table 7-1.
- Data collected by the National Birth Defects Prevention Network from 11 states from 1999 to 2001 showed the average prevalence of 18 selected major birth defects. These data indicated that there are >6500 estimated annual cases of 5 cardiovascular defects: truncus arteriosus, transposition of the great arteries, tetralogy of Fallot, atrioventricular septal defect, and hypoplastic left heart syndrome.10

Abbreviations Used in Chapter 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASD</td>
<td>atrial septal defect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>coronary heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KID</td>
<td>Kids’ Inpatient Database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MACDP</td>
<td>Metropolitan Atlanta Congenital Defects Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHS</td>
<td>National Center for Health Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHDS</td>
<td>National Hospital Discharge Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSD</td>
<td>ventricular septal defect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data from the MACDP showed that the overall prevalence of major defects was stable from 1978 to 2005. The prevalence of defects was generally lower among births to black and Hispanic mothers than to white mothers. During this period, the number of births in the metropolitan Atlanta area more than doubled.\textsuperscript{11}

Risk Factors

- A recent study of infants born with heart defects unrelated to genetic syndromes who were included in the National Birth Defects Prevention Study found that women who reported smoking in the month before becoming pregnant or in the first trimester were more likely to give birth to a child with a septal defect. Compared with the infants of mothers who did not smoke during pregnancy, infants of mothers who were heavy smokers (\(\geq 25\) cigarettes daily) were twice as likely to have a septal defect.\textsuperscript{12}
- The results of a population-based study examining pregnancy obesity found a weak to moderate positive association of maternal obesity with 7 of 16 categories of birth defects.\textsuperscript{13}
- Pregestational diabetes mellitus was significantly associated with cardiac defects, both isolated and multiple. Gestational diabetes mellitus was associated with a limited group of birth defects.\textsuperscript{14}

Mortality


- Congenital cardiovascular defects are the most common cause of infant death resulting from birth defects; >29% of infants who die of a birth defect have a heart defect (National Vital Statistics System, final data for 2005).
- The 2005 death rate for congenital cardiovascular defects was 1.2. Death rates were 1.3 for white males, 1.4 for black males, 1.1 for white females, and 1.4 for black females. Crude infant death rates (<1 year of age) were 39.0 for white infants and 47.7 for black infants.\textsuperscript{15}
- In 2005, 192 000 life-years were lost before 55 years of age because of deaths from congenital cardiovascular defects. This is about the same as the life-years lost from leukemia, prostate cancer, and Alzheimer’s disease combined.\textsuperscript{15}
- The mortality rate from congenital defects has been declining. From 1979 to 1997, age-adjusted death rates from all defects declined 39%, and deaths tended to occur at progressively older ages. Nevertheless, 45% of deaths still occurred in infants <1 year of age. Mortality rate varies considerably according to type of defect.\textsuperscript{16}
- From 1995 to 2005, death rates for congenital cardiovascular defects declined 42.1%, whereas the actual number of deaths declined 27.3%.\textsuperscript{15}
- Data analysis from the Society of Thoracic Surgeons, a voluntary registry with self-reported data for a 4-year cycle (2004 to 2007) from 68 centers performing congenital heart surgery (67 from the United States and 1 from Canada), showed that of 61 410 total operations, the overall aggregate hospital discharge mortality rate was 3.7%; specifically, for neonates (0 to 30 days of age), the mortality rate was 10.7%; for infants (31 days to 1 year of age), it was 2.6%; for children (>1 year to 18 years of age), it was 1.2%; and for adults (>18 years of age), it was 1.9%.\textsuperscript{17}

Hospitalizations

In 2004, birth defects accounted for \(>139\) 000 hospitalizations, representing 47.4 stays per 100 000 persons. Cardiac and circulatory congenital anomalies, which include ASDs and VSDs, accounted for more than one third of all hospital stays for birth defects and had the highest in-hospital mortality rate. Between 1997 and 2004, hospitalization rates increased by 28.5% for cardiac and circulatory congenital anomalies. For almost 86 300 hospitalizations, ASD was noted as the principal reason for the hospital stay or as a coexisting or secondary condition.\textsuperscript{18}

Cost

- From 2003 data from the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project 2003 Kids’ Inpatient Database (KID) and information on birth defects in the Congenital Malformations Surveillance Report, it was found that the most expensive average neonatal hospital charges were for 2 congenital heart defects: hypoplastic left heart syndrome ($199 597) and common truncus arteriosus ($192 781). Two other cardiac defects, coarctation of the aorta and transposition of the great arteries, were associated with average hospital charges in excess of $150 000. For the 11 selected cardiovascular congenital defects (of 35 birth defects considered), there were 11 578 hospitalizations in 2003 and 1550 in-hospital deaths (13.4%). Estimated total hospital charges for these 11 conditions were $1.4 billion.\textsuperscript{19}
- In 2004, hospital costs for congenital cardiovascular defect conditions totaled $2.6 billion. The highest aggregate costs were for stays related to cardiac and circulatory congenital anomalies, which accounted for \(\approx$1.4 billion, more than half of all hospital costs for birth defects.\textsuperscript{18}
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Table 7-1. Congenital Cardiovascular Defects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td>650 000 to 1.3 million²</td>
<td>36 000⁰</td>
<td>3637</td>
<td>70 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1931 (54.1%)⁰</td>
<td>30 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1706 (45.9%)⁰</td>
<td>40 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White males</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1564</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White females</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black males</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black females</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ellipses (…) indicate data not available.

⁰These percentages represent the portion of total congenital cardiovascular mortality that is for males vs females.
Sources: Mortality: NCHS; these data represent underlying cause of death only; data for white and black males and females include Hispanics. Hospital discharges: NHDS, NCHS; data include those inpatients discharged alive, dead, or status unknown.

Table 7-2. Annual Incidence of Congenital Cardiovascular Defects²⁻⁹,²⁰

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Presentation</th>
<th>Rate per 1000 Live Births</th>
<th>No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fetal loss</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive procedure during the first year</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>9200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detected during first year*</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicuspid aortic valve</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>54 800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other defects detected after first year</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes stillbirths and pregnancy termination at <20 weeks’ gestation; includes some defects that resolve spontaneously or do not require treatment.
Table 7-3. Estimated Prevalence of Congenital Cardiovascular Defects and Percent Distribution by Type, United States, 2002* (in Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>Percent of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>994</td>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSD†</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASD</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patent ductus arteriosus</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valvular pulmonic stenosis</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarctation of aorta</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valvular aortic stenosis</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetralogy of Fallot</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrioventricular septal defect</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transposition of great arteries</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoplastic right heart syndrome</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double-outlet right ventricle</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single ventricle</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anomalous pulmonary venous connection</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truncus arteriosus</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoplastic left heart syndrome</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Excludes an estimated 3 million bicuspid aortic valve prevalence: 2 million in adults and 1 million in children.
†Small VSD, 117 000: 65 000 adults and 52 000 children. Large VSD, 82 000: 41 000 adults and 41 000 children.
Source: Reprinted from Hoffman et al with permission from Elsevier. Copyright 2004. Average of the low and high estimates, two thirds from low estimate.
Table 7-4. Surgery for Congenital Heart Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Population, Weighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surgery for congenital heart disease</td>
<td>14 888</td>
<td>25 831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>1253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality rate</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By gender (81 missing in sample)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Population, Weighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>8127</td>
<td>14 109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality rate</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6680</td>
<td>11 592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality rate</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By type of surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of surgery</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Population, Weighted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASD secundum surgery</td>
<td>834</td>
<td>1448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality rate</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norwood for hypoplastic left heart syndrome</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality rate</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2003, >25 000 cardiovascular operations for congenital cardiovascular defects were performed on children <20 years of age. Inpatient mortality rate after all types of cardiac surgery was 4.8%. Nevertheless, mortality risk varies substantially for different defect types, from 0.4% for ASD repair to 25.2% for first-stage palliation for hypoplastic left heart syndrome. Fifty-five percent of operations were performed in males. In unadjusted analysis, mortality after cardiac surgery was somewhat higher for males than for females (5.1% versus 4.6%).

Source: Analysis of 2003 KID, HCUPnet, Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov) and personal communication with Kathy Jenkins, MD, Children’s Hospital of Boston, October 1, 2006.
8. Heart Failure

ICD-9 428, ICD-10 150. See Table 8-1 and Charts 8-1 through 8-3.

Incidence

- Data from the NHLBI-sponsored FHS\(^1\) indicate that:
  - HF incidence approaches 10 per 1000 population after 65 years of age.
  - Seventy-five percent of HF cases have antecedent hypertension.
  - At 40 years of age, the lifetime risk of developing HF for both men and women is 1 in 5. At 80 years of age, remaining lifetime risk for development of new HF remains at 20% for men and women, even in the face of a much shorter life expectancy.
  - At 40 years of age, the lifetime risk of HF occurring without antecedent MI is 1 in 9 for men and 1 in 6 for women.
  - The lifetime risk for people with BP $\geq 160/90$ mm Hg is double that of those with $\text{BP} < 140/90$ mm Hg.
  - The annual rates per 1000 population of new HF events for white men are 15.2 for those 65 to 74 years of age, 31.7 for those 75 to 84 years of age, and 65.2 for those $\geq 85$ years of age. For white women in the same age groups, the rates are 8.2, 19.8, and 45.6, respectively. For black men, the rates are 16.9, 25.5, and 50.6,\(^6\) and for black women, the estimated rates are 14.2, 25.5, and 44.0,\(^6\) respectively (CHS, NHLBI).\(^2\)
  - Among 21,906 white male physicians in the Physicians Health Study I, there was no significant change in the age-adjusted incidence of confirmed, self-reported HF during 2 decades, but the survival rate improved overall, with less improvement, however, among women and elderly persons.\(^4\)
  - Data from the FHS indicate that the prevalence of diabetes increased 3.8% during 2 decades, but the survival rate improved overall, with less improvement, however, among women and elderly persons.\(^4\)

Abbreviations Used in Chapter 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARIC</td>
<td>Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>Blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>Coronary heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHS</td>
<td>Cardiovascular Health Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF</td>
<td>Ejection fraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHS</td>
<td>Framingham Heart Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>Heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mm Hg</td>
<td>Millimeters of mercury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHS</td>
<td>National Center for Health Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>Non-Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHDS</td>
<td>National Hospital Discharge Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHLBI</td>
<td>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^6\)Unreliable estimate.

- The annual rates per 1000 population of new HF events for white men are 15.2 for those 65 to 74 years of age, 31.7 for those 75 to 84 years of age, and 65.2 for those $\geq 85$ years of age. For white women in the same age groups, the rates are 8.2, 19.8, and 45.6, respectively. For black men, the rates are 16.9, 25.5, and 50.6,\(^6\) and for black women, the estimated rates are 14.2, 25.5, and 44.0,\(^6\) respectively (CHS, NHLBI).\(^2\)
- Among 21,906 white male physicians in the Physicians Health Study I, there was no significant change in the age-adjusted incidence of confirmed, self-reported HF between 1985 and 1989 (1.75 per 1000 person-years) and 2000 and 2004 (1.96 per 1000 person-years).\(^3\)
- In Olmsted County, Minn, the incidence of HF (ICD-9 428) did not decline during 2 decades, but the survival rate improved overall, with less improvement, however, among women and elderly persons.\(^4\)
- Data from the ARIC study of the NHLBI found the age-adjusted incidence rate per 1000 person-years to be 3.4 for white women, significantly less than all other groups—ie, white men (6.0), black women (8.1), and black men (9.1). The 30-day, 1-year, and 5-year case fatality rates after hospitalization for HF were 10.4%, 22%, and 42.3%, respectively. Blacks had a greater 5-year case fatality rate than that of whites ($P<0.05$). HF incidence rates in black women were more similar to those of men than of white women. The greater HF incidence in blacks than in whites is explained largely by blacks’ greater levels of atherosclerotic risk factors.\(^5\)
- Data from Kaiser Permanente indicated an increase in the incidence of HF and improved survival rate among the elderly, with both of these effects being greater in men.\(^6\)
- Data from hospitals in Worcester, Mass, indicate that during 2000, the incidence and attack rates for HF were 219 per 100 000 and 897 per 100 000, respectively. HF was more frequent in women and the elderly. The hospital fatality rate was 5.1%\(^7\).
- A retrospective study of a well-defined population of older persons provides further insight into the epidemic increase in HF observed in the United States and elsewhere between the 1970s and 1990s. The epidemic increase in HF among the older population is associated with increased incidence and improved survival rate, with both of these effects being greater in men than in women.\(^6\)

Risk Factors

- In the NHLBI-sponsored FHS, hypertension is a common risk factor for HF that contributed to a large proportion of HF cases, followed closely by antecedent MI.\(^8\)
- In a 1993–2000 study of 2763 postmenopausal women with established coronary disease, diabetes was the strongest risk factor for HF. Diabetic women with elevated BMI or reduced creatinine clearance were at highest risk, with annual incidence rates of 7% and 13%, respectively. Among nondiabetic women with no risk factors, the annual incidence of HF was 0.4%. HF incidence increases with each additional risk factor, and nondiabetic women with $\geq 3$ risk factors had an annual incidence of 3.4%. Among diabetic persons with no additional risk factors, the annual incidence of HF was 3.0%, compared with 8.2% among diabetics with $\geq 3$ additional risk factors.\(^9\)
- The prevalence of diabetes is increasing among older persons with HF, and diabetes is a risk factor for death in these individuals. Between 1979 and 1999, among subjects in Olmsted, Minn, with a first diagnosis of HF, data indicate that the prevalence of diabetes increased 3.8% every year. The odds of having diabetes for those first diagnosed with HF in 1999 were nearly 4 times higher than for those diagnosed 20 years earlier. The 5-year survival
rate was 46% for those with HF alone but only 37% for those with HF and diabetes mellitus.\textsuperscript{10}

**Left Ventricular Function**

- Data from Olmsted County, Minn, indicate that:
  - Among asymptomatic individuals, the prevalence of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction was 21% for mild diastolic dysfunction and 7% for moderate or severe diastolic dysfunction. Altogether, 6% had moderate or severe diastolic dysfunction with normal ejection fraction (EF). The prevalence of systolic dysfunction was 6%. The presence of any left ventricular dysfunction (systolic or diastolic) was associated with an increased risk of developing overt HF, and diastolic dysfunction was predictive of all-cause death.\textsuperscript{11}
  - Among individuals with symptomatic HF, the prevalence rates of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction were 6% for mild diastolic dysfunction and 75% for moderate or severe diastolic dysfunction. Isolated diastolic dysfunction (diastolic dysfunction with preserved EF) was present in 44% of persons presenting with HF. The prevalence of systolic dysfunction was 45%.\textsuperscript{12}
  - The proportion of persons with HF and preserved EF increased over time. The survival rate improved over time among individuals with reduced EF but not among those with preserved EF.\textsuperscript{13}

**Mortality**

In 2005, HF total-mention mortality was 292 214. HF was mentioned on 292 214 US death certificates and was selected as the “underlying cause” in 58 933 of those deaths.\textsuperscript{14} In preliminary 2006 mortality, HF was selected as the “underlying cause” in 60 315 deaths. Unlike other cardiovascular diseases, HF is the end stage of a cardiac disease. It is most often a consequence of hypertension, CHD, valve deformity, diabetes, or cardiomyopathy. There are other less common causes of HF. For each of the 58 933 deaths, the true underlying cause—ie, the “etiology” of HF—is not known. The certifier of the cause of death either failed to report the underlying cause or had insufficient information to do so. In those cases, HF must be nominally coded as the underlying cause. Table 8-1 contains the total-mention numbers of deaths from HF, with a footnote giving the numbers of these deaths that are coded to HF as the “underlying cause.”

- The 2005 overall total-mention death rate for HF was 94.3. Total-mention death rates were 108.7 for white males, 112.4 for black males, 85.4 for white females, and 91.0 for black females (NCHS, NHLBI).
- One in 8 deaths has HF mentioned on the death certificate (NCHS, NHLBI).
- The number of total-mention deaths from HF was nearly as high in 1995 (287 000) as it was in 2005 (292 000) (NCHS, NHLBI).
- On the basis of the 44-year follow-up of the original FHS cohort (NHLBI) and 20-year follow-up of the offspring cohort:
  - Eighty percent of men and 70% of women <65 years of age who have HF will die within 8 years.
  - After HF is diagnosed, the survival rate is lower in men than in women, but <15% of women survive more than 8 to 12 years. The 1-year mortality rate is high, with 1 in 5 dying.
  - In people diagnosed with HF, sudden cardiac death occurs at 6 to 9 times the rate of the general population.\textsuperscript{15}

**Hospital Discharges**

- Hospital discharges for HF rose from 877 000 in 1996 to 1 106 000 in 2006 (unpublished data from the NHDS 2006, NCHS).\textsuperscript{16}
- Data from Ambulatory Medical Care Utilization Estimates for 2006 showed the number of visits for HF was 3 390 000.\textsuperscript{17}

**Cost**

- The estimated direct and indirect cost of HF in the United States for 2009 is $37.2 billion.\textsuperscript{18} (See Chapter 20).

**References**

Table 8-1. Heart Failure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age ≥20 y</td>
<td>Age ≥45 y</td>
<td>All Ages*</td>
<td>All Ages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td>5 700 000 (2.5%)</td>
<td>670 000</td>
<td>292 214</td>
<td>1 106 000</td>
<td>$37.2 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>3 200 000 (3.2%)</td>
<td>350 000</td>
<td>126 163 (43.2%)†</td>
<td>523 000</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>2 500 000 (2.0%)</td>
<td>320 000</td>
<td>166 051 (56.8%)†</td>
<td>583 000</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white males</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>112 550</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white females</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>148 582</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black males</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>11 276</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black females</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>14 928</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American males</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American females</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ellipses ( . . . ) indicate data not available.

*Mortality data are for whites and blacks and include Hispanics.
†These percentages represent the portion of total HF mortality that is for males vs females.


Chart 8-3. Hospital discharges for HF by sex (United States: 1979–2006). Note: Hospital discharges include people discharged alive, dead, and “status unknown.” Source: NHDS/NCHS, and NHLBI.
9. Other Cardiovascular Diseases

See Table 9-1.

Mortality and total mentions in this section are for 2005. “Mortality” is the number of deaths in 2005 for the given underlying cause. Prevalence data are for 2006. Hospital discharge data are from the NHDS/NCHS; data include inpatients discharged alive, dead, or status unknown. Hospital discharge data for 2006 are based on ICD-9 codes.

Rheumatic Fever/Rheumatic Heart Disease
ICD-9 390-398; ICD-10 I00-I09. See Table 9-1.

Mortality—3365. Total-mention mortality—6188.

- The incidence of rheumatic fever remains high in blacks, Puerto Ricans, Mexican Americans, and American Indians.1

Pulmonary Heart Disease
ICD-9 415-417; ICD-10 I26-I28. See Table 9-1.


Data from the HCUP 2005 NIS2 found the following:

- The number of PHD-related all-listed-diagnosis hospital stays increased by >50% from 1997 to 2005, from 301 400 to 456 500.
- PHD was listed in ≈20 000 in-hospital deaths. The in-hospital mortality rate for patients with PHD was >2 times greater than for all patients being cited.
- The mean age for patients hospitalized with PHD was 69 years.
- From 1997 to 2005, heart conditions were recorded as the principal reason for admission in 7 of the 10 stays that noted PHD as a secondary condition. Respiratory conditions were the principal reason in 3 of 10 PHD-related stays. In 2005, the largest share of PHD-related stays was for treatment of CHF (21%), followed by COPD (7.9%) and pneumonia (6.7%).

Pulmonary Embolism
ICD-9 415.1; ICD-10 I26.


- In the Nurses’ Health Study, nurses ≥60 years of age in the highest BMI quintile had the highest rates of PE. Heavy cigarette smoking and HBP were also identified as risk factors for PE.3
- Death occurs in ≈12% of recognized PE cases within 1 month of diagnosis.4
- A study of Medicare recipients ≥65 years of age reported 30-day case fatality rates in patients with PE. Overall, men had higher fatality rates than women (13.7% versus 12.8%), and blacks had higher fatality rates than whites (16.1% versus 12.9%).3
- In the International Cooperative Pulmonary Embolism Registry, the 3-month mortality rate was 17.5%. In contrast, the overall 3-month mortality rate in the Prospective Investigation of Pulmonary Embolism Diagnosis was 15%, but only 10% of deaths during 1 year of follow-up were ascribed to PE.3
- The age-adjusted rate of deaths from pulmonary thromboembolism decreased from 191 per million in 1979 to 94 per million in 1998 overall, decreasing 56% for men and 46% for women. During this time, the age-adjusted mortality rates for blacks were consistently 50% higher than those for...
whites, and those for whites were 50% higher than those for people of other races (eg, Asian, American Indian). Within racial strata, mortality rates were consistently 20% to 30% higher among men than among women.5

Bacterial Endocarditis

ICD-9 421.0; ICD-10 I33.0.

Total-mention mortality—2487. Hospital discharges—30,000, primary plus secondary diagnoses.

- The 2007 AHA Guidelines on Prevention of Infective Endocarditis6 state that IE is thought to result from the following sequence of events: (1) formation of nonbacterial thrombotic endocarditis on the surface of a cardiac valve or elsewhere that endothelial damage occurs; (2) bacteremia; and (3) adherence of the bacteria in the bloodstream to nonbacterial thrombotic endocarditis and proliferation of bacteria within a vegetation. Viridans group streptococci are part of the normal skin, oral, respiratory, and gastrointestinal tract flora, and they cause ≥50% of cases of community-acquired native valve IE not associated with intravenous drug use.7
- Transient bacteremia is common with manipulation of the teeth and periodontal tissues, and reported frequencies of bacteremia due to dental procedures vary widely: tooth extraction (10% to 100%), periodontal surgery (36% to 88%), scaling and root planing (8% to 80%), teeth cleaning (up to 40%), rubber dam matrix/wedge placement (9% to 32%), and endodontic procedures (up to 20%). Transient bacteremia also occurs frequently during routine daily activities unrelated to dental procedures: tooth brushing and flossing (20% to 68%), use of wooden toothpicks (20% to 40%), use of water irrigation devices (7% to 50%), and chewing food (7% to 51%). When it is considered that the average person living in the United States has <2 dental visits per year, the frequency of bacteremia from routine daily activities is far greater than that associated with dental procedures.8
- Although the absolute risk for IE from a dental procedure is impossible to measure precisely, the best available estimates are as follows: If dental treatment causes 1% of all cases of viridans group streptococcal IE annually in the United States, the overall risk in the general population is estimated to be as low as 1 case of IE per 14 million dental procedures. The estimated absolute risk rates for IE from a dental procedure in patients with underlying cardiac conditions are as follows:9
  - Mitral valve prolapse: 1 per 1.1 million procedures;
  - CHD: 1 per 475,000;
  - Rheumatic heart disease: 1 per 142,000;
  - Presence of a prosthetic cardiac valve: 1 per 114,000; and
  - Previous IE: 1 per 95,000 dental procedures.
- Although these calculations of risk are estimates, it is likely that the number of cases of IE that result from a dental procedure is exceedingly small. Therefore, the number of cases that could be prevented by antibiotic prophylaxis, even if prophylaxis were 100% effective, is similarly small. One would not expect antibiotic prophylaxis to be near 100% effective, however, because of the nature of the organisms and choice of antibiotics.6

Valvular Heart Disease

ICD-9 424; ICD-10 I34-I38.

Mortality—20,891. Total-mention mortality—43,900. Hospital discharges—93,000.

- Echocardiographic data from the CARDIA Study (4351), the ARIC Study (2435), and the CHS (5125) were pooled to assess the prevalence of valve disease. The prevalence increased with age, from 0.7% (95% CI, 0.5 to 1.0) in participants 18 to 44 years of age to 13.3% (95% CI, 11.7 to 15.0) in participants ≥75 years of age (P < 0.0001). The prevalence of valve disease, adjusted to the US 2000 population, was 2.5% (95% CI, 2.2 to 2.7). The adjusted mortality risk ratio associated with valve disease was 1.36 (95% CI, 1.15 to 1.62; P = 0.0005).8
- Doppler echocardiography data in 1696 men and 1893 women (54 ± 10 years of age) attending a routine examination at the Framingham Study were used to assess the prevalence of valvular regurgitation. Mitral regurgitation and tricuspid regurgitation of more than or equal to mild severity were seen in 19.0% and 14.8% of men and 19.1% and 18.4% of women, respectively. Aortic regurgitation of more than or equal to trace severity was present in 13.0% of men and 8.5% of women.9

Aortic Valve Disorders

ICD-9 424.1; ICD-10 I35.


- Among men and women ≥65 years of age enrolled in the CHS who underwent echocardiography, the aortic valve was normal in 70% of cases, sclerotic without outflow obstruction in 29%, and stenotic in 2%. Aortic sclerosis was associated with an increase of ~50% in the risk of death from cardiovascular causes and the risk of MI.10 Clinical factors associated with aortic sclerosis and stenosis were similar to risk factors for atherosclerosis.11 These data largely exclude congenital heart disease patients, a group that is expected to increasingly contribute to the prevalence of valve disease.

Mitral Valve Disorders

ICD-9 424.0; ICD-10 I34.

Mortality—2605. Total-mention mortality—about 6210. Hospital discharges—41,000.

- The NHLBI-sponsored FHS reports that among people 26 to 84 years of age, prevalence of mitral valve disorders is ~1% to 2% and equal between women and men.12
- The prevalence of mitral valve prolapse in the general population was evaluated with the use of echocardiograms of 1845 women and 1646 men who participated in the fifth examination of the Offspring Cohort of the FHS. The prevalence of mitral valve prolapse was 2.4%. The frequencies of chest pain, dyspnea, and ECG abnormalities were similar among subjects with prolapse and those without prolapse.12
Dilated cardiomyopathy is the most common form of cardiomyopathy. In a recent report of the Pediatric Cardiomyopathy Registry, the overall incidence of dilated cardiomyopathy in children <18 years of age was 4.7 per 100 000. There was a higher incidence in the New England region, in boys than in girls, and in children diagnosed at <1 year of age than in older children.17

- Dilated cardiomyopathy is the most common form of cardiomyopathy. The Pediatric Cardiomyopathy Registry recently reported an annual incidence of dilated cardiomyopathy in children <18 years of age of 0.57 per 100 000 per year overall. The annual incidence was higher in boys than in girls (0.66 versus 0.47 cases per 100 000), in blacks than in whites (0.98 versus 0.46 cases per 100 000), and in infants (<1 year of age) than in children (4.40 versus 0.34 cases per 100 000). The majority of children (66%) had idiopathic disease. The most common known causes were myocarditis (46%) and neuromuscular disease (26%).18

Arrhythmias ( Disorders of Heart Rhythm)
ICD-9 426, 427; ICD-10 I46-I49.
- In 2006, $3.1 billion ($7783 per discharge) was paid to Medicare beneficiaries for cardiac dysrhythmias.19

Atrial Fibrillation and Flutter
ICD-9 427.3; ICD-10 I48.
- Participants in the NHLBI-sponsored FHS study were followed up from 1968 to 1999. At 40 years of age, remaining lifetime risks for AF were 26.0% for men and 23.0% for women. At 80 years of age, lifetime risks for AF were 22.7% for men and 21.6% for women. In further analysis, counting only those who had development of AF without prior or concurrent HF or MI, lifetime risk for AF was 16%.22
- Data from a large community-based population suggest that AF is less prevalent in blacks than in whites, both overall and in the setting of CHF.20,23
- Data from the NHDS/NCHS (1996–2001) on cases that included AF as a primary discharge diagnosis found the following24:
  - Approximately 44.8% of patients were men.
  - The mean age for men was 66.8 years, versus 74.6 years for women.
  - The racial breakdown for admissions was 71.2% white, 5.6% black, and 2.0% other races (20.8% were not specified).
  - Black patients were much younger than patients of other races.
  - The incidence in men ranged from 20.58/100 000 persons per year for patients between 15 and 44 years of age to 1077.39/100 000 persons per year for patients ≥85 years of age. In women, the incidence ranged from 6.64/100 000 persons per year for patients between 15 and 44 years of age to 1203.7/100 000 persons per year for those ≥85 years of age.
  - From 1996 to 2001, hospitalizations with AF as the first-listed diagnosis increased 34%.
- In 1999, the CDC analyzed data from national and state multiple-cause mortality statistics and Medicare hospital claims for persons with AF. The most common disease listed as the primary diagnosis for persons hospitalized with AF was HF (11.8%), followed by AF (10.9%), CHD (9.9%), and stroke (4.9%).25 In Olmsted County, Minnesota, the age-adjusted incidence of clinically recognized AF in a white population increased by 12.6% between 1980 and 2000.26,27
  - The incidence of AF was greater in men (incidence ratio for men over women, 1.86) and increased markedly with older age.26
If incidence estimates are applied to US population projections from the Census Bureau, the projected number of persons with AF may exceed 12 million by 2050.26

Among Medicare patients ≥65 years of age, AF prevalence increased from 3.2% in 1992 to 6.0% in 2002, with higher prevalence in older subsets of the study population. Stroke rates per 1000 patient-years declined from 46.7 in 1992 to 19.5 in 2002 for ischemic stroke but remained fairly steady for hemorrhagic stroke (1.6 to 2.9).28

AF independently increases the risk of ischemic stroke by 4- to 5-fold.29

AF is responsible for at least 15% to 20% of all ischemic strokes.31

Paroxysmal, persistent, and permanent AF all appear to increase the risk of ischemic stroke to a similar degree.30

AF is also an independent risk factor for ischemic stroke severity and recurrence. In one study, persons who have AF and are not treated with anticoagulants had a 2.1-fold increase in risk for recurrent stroke and a 2.4-fold increase in risk for recurrent severe stroke.31

People who have ischemic strokes caused by AF have been reported to be 2.23 times more likely to be bedridden than those who have strokes from other causes.32

Isolated chronic atrial flutter is uncommon but is associated with a high risk of developing AF,33 and data from a sample of 191 patients with chronic atrial flutter revealed a risk of ischemic stroke that was similar to that for AF.34

A study of >4600 patients diagnosed with first AF showed that risk of death within the first 4 months after the AF diagnosis was high. The most common causes of CVD death were CAD, HF, and ischemic stroke, accounting for 22%, 14%, and 10% respectively, of the early deaths (within the first 4 months) and 15%, 16%, and 7%, respectively, of the late deaths.27

Other Arrhythmias

Tachycardia
ICD-9 427.0, 1, 2; ICD-10 I47.0, 1, 2, 9.

Paroxysmal Supraventricular Tachycardia
ICD-9 427.0; ICD-10 I47.1.

Ventricular Fibrillation
ICD-9 427.4; ICD-10 I49.0.

Ventricular fibrillation is listed as the cause of relatively few deaths, but the overwhelming majority of sudden cardiac deaths from coronary disease (estimated at ∼310 000 per year) are thought to be from ventricular fibrillation.

In Olmsted County, Minnesota, the incidence of out-of-hospital treated ventricular fibrillation decreased from 1985 to 2002:35

- 1985 to 1989: 26.3/100 000 (95% CI, 21.0 to 32.6)
- 1990 to 1994: 18.2/100 000 (95% CI, 14.1 to 23.1)
- 1995 to 1999: 13.8/100 000 (95% CI, 10.4 to 17.9)
- 2000 to 2002: 7.7/100 000 (95% CI, 4.7 to 11.9).

Arteries, Diseases of

ICD-9 440-448; ICD-10 I70-I79. Includes peripheral arterial disease (PAD).

Aortic Aneurysm
ICD-9 441; ICD-10 I71.

- Although the definition varies somewhat by age and body surface area, generally, an AAA is considered to be present when the anteroposterior diameter of the aorta reaches 3.0 cm.36
- The prevalence of AAAs 2.9 to 4.9 cm in diameter ranges from 1.3% in men 45 to 54 years of age to 12.5% in men 75 to 84 years of age. For women, the prevalence ranges from 0% in the youngest to 5.2% in the oldest age groups.36
- Factors associated with increased prevalence of AAA include older age, male sex, family history of AAA, tobacco use, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and manifest atherosclerotic disease in other vascular beds.36
- Large AAAs tend to expand more rapidly than small AAAs, and large AAAs are at substantially higher risk for rupture.36
- Average annual expansion rates are ∼1 to 4 mm for aneurysms <4.0 cm in diameter, 4 to 5 mm for AAAs 4.0 to 6.0 cm in diameter, and as much as 7 to 8 mm for AAAs ≥6.0 cm in diameter.
- Absolute risk for eventual rupture is ∼20% for AAAs >5.0 cm, ∼40% for AAAs >6.0 cm, and >50% for AAAs >7.0 cm in diameter.
- Rupture of an AAA may be associated with death rates as high as 90%.

Atherosclerosis

ICD-9 440; ICD-10 I70.
Mortality—11 841. Total-mention mortality—54 413. Hospital discharges—129 000.

Atherosclerosis is a process that leads to a group of diseases characterized by a thickening of artery walls. Atherosclerosis causes many deaths from heart attack and stroke and accounts for nearly three fourths of all deaths from CVD (FH, NHLBI).

Analysis of data from the REACH Registry37 showed that atherothrombosis (CAD, CVD, and PAD) is associated with the main causes of death on a worldwide scale. Despite decreases in age-adjusted death rates, the absolute number of deaths from these conditions continues to increase, and prevalence is increasing sharply in other parts of the world. Atherothrombotic diseases are projected to be the leading cause of death worldwide in 2020. In the REACH study, outpatients with established atherosclerotic arterial disease or at risk of atherothrombosis experienced relatively high annual cardiovascular event rates.
Multiple disease locations increased the 1-year risk of cardiovascular events.38

Other Diseases of Arteries
ICD-9 442-448; ICD-10 I72-I78.
Mortality—9774. Total-mention mortality—32 484. Hospital discharges—97 000.

Venous Thromboembolism
- VTE occurs for the first time in ≈100 per 100 000 persons each year in the United States. Approximately one third of patients with symptomatic VTE manifest PE, whereas two thirds manifest DVT alone.4
- Whites and blacks have a significantly higher incidence than Hispanics and Asians or Pacific Islanders.4
- In studies in Worcester, Mass, and Olmsted County, Minn, the incidence of VTE was ~1 in 1000. In both studies, VTE was more common in men; for each 10-year increase in age, the incidence doubled. By extrapolation, it is estimated that >250 000 patients are hospitalized annually with VTE.3
- The crude incidence rate per 1000 person-years was 0.80 in the ARIC study, 2.15 in the CHS, and 1.08 in the combined cohort. Half of the participants who developed incident VTE were women, and 72% were white.39
- More than 200 000 new cases of VTE occur annually. Of these, 30% die within 30 days, one fifth suffer sudden death due to PE, and ~30% develop recurrent VTE within 10 years. Independent predictors for recurrence include increasing age, obesity, malignant neoplasm, and extremity paresis.40
- Data from the ARIC study of the NHLBI showed that the 28-day fatality rate from DVT is 9%; from PE, 15%; from idiopathic DVT or PE, 5%; from secondary non–cancer-related DVT or PE, 7%; and from secondary cancer-related DVT or PE, 25%.41
- The RR of VTE among pregnant or postpartum women was 4.29, and the overall incidence of VTE (absolute risk) was 199.7 per 100 000 woman-years. The annual incidence was 5 times higher among postpartum women than pregnant women, and the incidence of DVT was 3 times higher than that of PE. PE was relatively uncommon during pregnancy versus the postpartum period. Over the 30-year period, the incidence of VTE during pregnancy remained relatively constant, whereas the postpartum incidence of PE decreased >2-fold.42
- On the basis of a prospective study of black and white middle-aged adults in the ARIC study of the NHLBI, it was found that consumption of ≥4 servings of fruit and vegetables per day or ≥1 serving of fish per week was associated with lower incidence of VTE. In a comparison of the highest quintile of intake with the lowest, red and processed meat and a Western diet pattern were positively associated with incident VTE.43
- Results from phase I of the WHO WRIGHT project found that the risk of developing VTE approximately doubles after travel lasting ≥4 hours. Nevertheless, the absolute risk of developing VTE if seated and immobile for >4 hours remains relatively low, at ~1 in 6000. Other risk factors that increase the risk of VTE during travel are obesity, being very tall or very short, use of oral contraceptives, and inherited blood disorders that lead to increased clotting tendency. One study within the project examining flights in particular found that those taking multiple flights over a short period of time are also at higher risk.44 This is because the risk of VTE remains elevated for ~4 weeks.

Deep Vein Thrombosis
ICD-9 451.1; ICD-10 I80.2.
Mortality—2779. Total-mention mortality—11 600.
- A review of 9 studies conducted in the United States and Sweden showed that the mean incidence of first DVT in the general population was 5.04 per 10 000 person-years. The incidence was similar in males and females and increased dramatically with age from ~2 to 3 per 10 000 person-years at 30 to 49 years of age to 20 at 70 to 79 years of age.45
- Death occurs in ~6% of DVT cases within 1 month of diagnosis.4

Kawasaki Disease
ICD-9 446.1; ICD-10 M30.3.
- An estimated 5300 cases of KD were diagnosed in 2003. KD occurs more often among boys (63%) and among those of Asian ancestry37 (Jane W. Newburger and Kimberlee Gauvreau of Children’s Hospital of Boston, Mass; written communication, August 15, 2007).
- An estimated 4248 hospitalizations for KD occurred in the United States in 2000, with a median patient age of 2 years. Race-specific incidence rates indicate that KD is most common among Americans of Asian and Pacific Island descent (32.5/100 000 children <5 years of age), occurs with intermediate frequency in non-Hispanic blacks (16.9/100 000 children <5 years of age) and Hispanics (11.1/100 000 children <5 years of age), and is least common in whites (9.1/100 000 children <5 years of age).46 In the United States, KD is more common during the winter and early spring months; boys outnumber girls by ~1.5:1 to 1.7:1; and 76% of children are <5 years of age.47

Peripheral Arterial Disease
- PAD affects ~8 million Americans and is associated with significant morbidity and mortality.38 Recently published data from multiple epidemiological studies demonstrate that ~8 million men and women ≥40 years of age have PAD.49 Prevalence increases dramatically with age, and PAD disproportionately affects blacks.50
- PAD affects 12% to 20% of Americans ≥65 years of age.51 Despite its prevalence and cardiovascular risk implications, only ~20% to 30% of patients with PAD are on recommended antiplatelet therapy and/or lipid-lowering therapy.52
• In the general population, only \( \approx 10\% \) of persons with PAD have the classic symptom of intermittent claudication. Approximately 40% do not complain of leg pain, whereas the remaining 50% have a variety of leg symptoms different from classic claudication.\(^{48,53}\) In an older, disabled population of women, however, as many as two thirds of individuals with PAD had no exertional leg symptoms.\(^{54}\)

• Intermittent claudication is present in \(<1\%\) of individuals \(<50\) years of age and \(\approx \geq 5\%\) of those \(>80\) years of age.\(^{36}\)

• In the FHS (NHLBI), the incidence of PAD was based on symptoms of intermittent claudication in subjects 29 to 62 years of age. Annual incidence of intermittent claudication per 10,000 subjects at risk rose from 6 in men and 3 in women between the ages of 30 and 44 years to 61 in men and 54 in women between the ages of 65 and 74 years. The incidence of intermittent claudication has declined since 1950, but survival among persons with intermittent claudication has remained low.\(^{55}\)

• The risk factors for PAD are similar to those for CHD, although diabetes and cigarette smoking are particularly strong risk factors for PAD.\(^{36}\) ORs for associations of diabetes and smoking with symptomatic PAD are \(\approx 3\) to 4.0. Most studies suggest that the prevalence of PAD is similar in men and women.\(^{56}\)

• Men and women with PAD have higher levels of inflammatory biomarkers than individuals without PAD. Elevated levels of C-reactive protein were associated with an increased risk of developing PAD among men in the Physicians’ Health Study.\(^{57}\) The OR for developing PAD 5 years after C-reactive protein measurement was 2.1 for those in the highest versus lowest baseline quartile of C-reactive protein. Among participants in the Women’s Health Study, women in the highest baseline tertile for levels of soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1 had a 2-fold increased risk of developing PAD compared with women in the lowest baseline tertile for soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1, 12 years after soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1 measurement.\(^{58}\) Among individuals with PAD, higher levels of inflammatory biomarkers are associated with increased all-cause and cardiovascular mortality rate and increased risk of failure of lower-extremity revascularization procedures.\(^{59,60}\)

• Persons with PAD have impaired function and quality of life. This is true even for persons who do not report leg symptoms. Furthermore, PAD patients, including those who are asymptomatic, experience a significant decline in lower-extremity functioning over time.\(^{61,62}\)

• Pooled data from 11 studies in 6 countries found that PAD is a marker for systemic atherosclerotic disease. The age- and sex-adjusted relative risk of all-cause death was 2.35; for CVD mortality, 3.34; and for CHD fatal and nonfatal events combined, 2.13. The findings for stroke were slightly weaker but still significant, with a pooled relative risk of 1.86 for fatal and nonfatal events combined.\(^{63}\)

• Data from NHANES 1999–2000 (NCHS) show that high blood levels of lead and cadmium may increase the risk of PAD. Exposure to these 2 metals can occur through cigarette smoke. The risk was 2.8 for high levels of cadmium and 2.9 for high levels of lead. The OR of PAD for current smokers was 4.13 compared with people who had never smoked.\(^{64}\)

• Results from NHANES 1999–2000 (NCHS) showed a remarkably high prevalence of PAD among patients with renal insufficiency.\(^{55}\)

• Available evidence suggests that the prevalence of PAD in persons of Hispanic origin is similar to or slightly higher than that in non-Hispanic whites.\(^{59,66}\)

• Recent studies indicate an association of elevated ankle-brachial index levels with increased risk of all-cause and cardiovascular death.\(^{67,68}\)

• Among patients with established PAD, higher physical activity levels during daily life are associated with better overall survival rate and a lower risk of death from CVD.\(^{69}\)

• A cross-sectional, population-based telephone survey of \(>2500\) adults \(\geq 50\) years of age, with oversampling of blacks and Hispanics, found that 26% expressed familiarity with PAD. Of these, half were not aware that diabetes and smoking increase the risk of PAD. One in 4 knew that PAD is associated with increased risk of heart attack and stroke, and only 14% were aware that PAD could lead to amputation. All knowledge domains were lower in individuals with lower income and education levels.\(^{70}\)

• A recent study of proteomic profiling identified that the protein \(\beta-2\) microglobulin is elevated in patients with PAD. In unadjusted analyses of 20 men and women with PAD and 20 without PAD, \(\beta-2\) microglobulin levels were highly correlated with the ankle-brachial index \((r=0.727)\).\(^{71}\)

References


### Table 9-1. Rheumatic Fever/Rheumatic Heart Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Group</th>
<th>Mortality, 2005</th>
<th>Hospital Discharges, 2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td>3385</td>
<td>59 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>1044 (31.0%)†</td>
<td>22 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>2321 (69.0%)†</td>
<td>36 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White males</td>
<td>926</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White females</td>
<td>2103</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black males</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black females</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Mortality: NCHS; data represent underlying cause of death only. Hospital discharges: NHDS, NCHS, and NHLBI; data include those inpatients discharged alive, dead, or of unknown status. Ellipses (…) indicate that data are not available. *Mortality data are for whites and blacks and include Hispanics.† These percentages represent the portion of total mortality that is for males vs females.
10. Risk Factor: Smoking/Tobacco Use

See Tables 10-1 and 10-2 and Charts 10-1 and 10-2.

Prevalence

Youth
- In 2007, in grades 9 through 12, 21.3% of male students and 18.7% of female students reported current tobacco use, 19.4% of male students and 7.6% of female students reported current cigar use, and 13.4% of male students and 2.3% of female students reported current smokeless tobacco use. Overall, 30.3% of male students and 21% of female students reported any current tobacco use.1
- From 1980 to 2006, the percentage of high school seniors who reported smoking in the previous month decreased 29.2%. Smoking decreased by 16.4% in male students, 39.8% in female students, 20.3% in whites, and 56.3% in blacks.2
- Among youths 12 to 17 years of age in 2006, 3.3 million (12.9%) used a tobacco product in the past month, and 2.6 million (10.4%) used cigarettes. The rate of cigarette use in the past month declined from 13.0% in 2002 to 10.4% in 2006. Cigar use in the past month declined to 4.1% in 2006 from 4.8% in 2004. Smokeless tobacco use was reported by 2.4% of youths in 2006, higher than any estimates since 2002.3
- Results from the 2007 Monitoring the Future survey of the NIH showed a considerable drop in lifetime, past-month, and daily smoking among eighth graders. From 2006 to 2007, it dropped from 4% to 3%, down from its 10.4% peak in 1996.4
- Data from the YRBS5 among high school students indicated that:
  - The percentage of students ever trying cigarettes declined from 70.4% in 1999 to 50.3% in 2007.
  - The percentage who smoked in the prior 30 days declined from 36.4% in 1997 to 20% in 2007.

Adults
- From 1965 to 2006, smoking in the United States declined by 50.4% among people ≥18 years of age (NCHS).2
- In 2007, among Americans ≥18 years of age, 22.0% of men and 17.5% of women were cigarette smokers, putting them at increased risk of heart attack and stroke.6
- Rates of use of any tobacco product in 2005, among persons ≥12 years of age, were 31.2% for non-Hispanic whites only, 28.4% for non-Hispanic blacks only, 41.7% for non-Hispanic American Indians or Alaska Natives only, 14.6% for non-Hispanic Asians only, and 24.5% for Hispanics or Latinos of any race (NCHS).2
- In 2006, non-Hispanic American Indian or Alaska Native adults ≥18 years of age were more likely (32.4%) to be current smokers than were non-Hispanic white adults (21.9%), non-Hispanic black adults (23.0%), and non-Hispanic Asian adults (10.4%).2
- BRFSS/CDC 2007 data showed that among adults ≥18 years of age, the median percentage of current smokers among the states was 19.8%. The highest percentage was in Kentucky (28.2%), and the lowest was in Utah (11.7%).7
- According to combined data from 2005–2006, among women 15 to 44 years of age, rates of past-month cigarette smoking were lower for pregnant (16.5%) women than for nonpregnant (29.5%) women; however, among those 15 to 17 years of age, the smoking rate for pregnant women was higher than for nonpregnant women (23.1% versus 17.1%).8
- Between 1965 and 2004–2005, the age-adjusted prevalence of noninstitutionalized women ≥65 years of age who were current smokers increased from 8% in 1965 to 13% in the mid-1980s and then decreased back to 8% in 2004–2005. In 2004–2005, 28% of women and 49% of men ≥65 years of age (age adjusted) had previously smoked cigarettes.8
- According to 2004–2006 data, most Asian adults had never smoked, with rates ranging from 65% of Korean adults to 84% of Chinese adults. Korean adults (22%) were 2 to 3 times as likely to be current smokers as were Japanese (12%), Asian Indian (7%), or Chinese (7%) adults.9

Incidence
- In 2007, 1.0 million people started smoking cigarettes daily within the prior 12 months. Of these daily smokers, 40.7%, or 0.4 million (an average of ≈1100 initiates per day), were <18 years of age when they started smoking daily.3 In 2007, over 3500 people initiated cigarette smoking before age 18.10
- Data from 2002–2004 from the National Survey on Drug Use and Health suggest that ≈1 in 5 nonsmokers 12 to 17...
years of age is likely to start smoking. Youths in Mexican subpopulations were more likely to start smoking (28.8%) than those in non-Hispanic white (20.8%), non-Hispanic black (23.0%), Cuban (16.4%), Asian Indian (15.4%), Chinese (15.3%), and Vietnamese (13.8%) subpopulations. There was no significant difference in susceptibility to smoking between male and female youths in any of the major populations or subpopulations.

- Approximately 80% of people who use tobacco began at <18 years of age, according to a report from the Surgeon General of the United States. The most common age of initiation is 14 to 15 years.

Mortality

- From 1997 to 2001, an estimated 438,000 Americans died each year of smoking-related illnesses, and ≈38,000 of these deaths were from SHS. A total of 34.7% of these deaths were related to CVD.

- Each year from 1997 to 2001, smoking caused 3.3 million years of potential life lost for men and 2.2 million years for women.

- From 1997 to 2001, smoking during pregnancy resulted in an estimated 910 infant deaths annually.

- Cigarette smoking kills an estimated 178,000 women in the United States annually. Of these, ≈40,000 deaths are from heart disease.

- On average, male smokers die 13.2 years earlier than male nonsmokers, and female smokers die 14.5 years earlier than female nonsmokers.

- Current cigarette smoking is a powerful independent predictor of cardiac arrest in patients with CHD.

- After up to 14.5 years of follow-up of participants in the Lung Health Study of the NHLBI, the all-cause death rate among participants in a smoking-cessation intervention was significantly lower (15%) than among those given usual care.

- The CDC fact sheet on tobacco-related mortality dated September 2006 stated that:
  - Cigarette smoking results in a 2- to 3-fold increased risk of dying of CHD.
  - On average, adults who smoke cigarettes die 14 years earlier than nonsmokers.
  - Cigarette smoking kills an estimated 259,500 men and 178,000 women in the United States each year.

Secondhand Smoke

- Data from the “Tobacco Use Supplement” to the “Current Population Survey” from 1992 to 2003 showed that the national prevalence of households with smoke-free home rules increased from 43.2% during 1992–1993 to 72.2% in 2003. During this period, the prevalence of such rules increased from 9.6% to 31.8% among households with at least 1 smoker and from 56.8% to 83.5% among households with no smokers. Approximately 126 million children and nonsmoking adults were still exposed to SHS in the United States as of 1999–2002.

- Analysis of data from NHANES 1988–1994 to 1999–2004 found that the percentage of the US nonsmoking population ≥4 years of age with self-reported home SHS exposure declined from 20.9% in 1988–1994 to 10.2% in 1999–2004. The percentage of the nonsmoking population with detectable serum cotinine declined from 83.9% in 1988–1994 to 46.4% in 1999–2004. The percentage of nonsmokers with detectable serum cotinine decreased for all age groups during 1999–2004 and remained highest for those 4 to 11 years of age (60.5%) and those 12 to 19 years of age (55.4%) compared with those ≥20 years of age (42.2%). By 1999–2004, the gap increased between non-Hispanic blacks with detectable serum cotinine (70.5%) and non-Hispanic whites (43.0%) and Mexican Americans (40.0%). During both periods, prevalence of SHS exposure in the home was highest among non-Hispanic blacks and persons with lower incomes. For both periods, self-reported home SHS exposure was not significantly different in males than in females, but a higher percentage of males had detectable serum cotinine than did females.

- Data from a 2006 report of the US Surgeon General on the consequences of involuntary exposure to tobacco smoke indicate the following:
  - Almost 60% of US children 3 to 11 years of age, or almost 22 million, are exposed to SHS.
  - Nonsmokers who are exposed to SHS at home or at work increase their risk of developing heart disease by 25% to 30%.
  - Short exposures to SHS can cause blood platelets to become stickier, damage the lining of blood vessels, and decrease coronary flow velocity reserves, potentially increasing the risk of a heart attack.

- Healthcare costs associated with exposure to SHS average $10 billion annually.

Aftermath

- Among ever-smokers who had 1 circulatory disorder, 52.1% were current smokers, and among those who reported that they had ≥3 circulatory disorders, 28% were current smokers at the time of the interview. The adjusted odds of being a current smoker were lower for individuals who had ever smoked in life and had ≥2 central circulatory disorders, such as MI, HF, or stroke, than for ever-smokers without a central circulatory disorder.

- The CDC “Health Effects of Cigarette Smoking” fact sheet provides the following information:
  - Cigarette smokers are 2 to 4 times more likely to develop CHD than are nonsmokers.
  - Cigarette smoking approximately doubles a person’s risk for stroke.
  - Cigarette smokers are >10 times as likely as nonsmokers to develop peripheral vascular disease.
  - Smoking increases risk of abdominal aortic aneurysm.
According to the 2007 National Healthcare Quality Report, in 2004, 63.7% of smokers with routine office visits during the preceding year reported that they had been advised to quit, an increase from 61.9% in 2000. Smokers 18 to 44 years of age were less likely than the other age groups to be advised to quit smoking.25

Smokeless Tobacco

- In 2006, an estimated 8.2 million Americans ≥12 years of age (3.3%) used smokeless tobacco.3
- Data from the CDC fact sheet on smokeless (oral) tobacco,26 based on the results of the 2005 National Survey on Drug Use and Health, indicate:
  - Nationally, an estimated 3% of adults are current smokeless tobacco users. Approximately 6% of men and 0.4% of women use smokeless tobacco.
  - Nine percent of American Indian/Alaska Natives, 4% of whites, 2% of blacks, 1% of Hispanics, and 0.6% of Asian American adults are current smokeless tobacco users.

Eight percent of high school students are current smokeless tobacco users. Smokeless tobacco use is more common among male (13.6%) than female (2.2%) high school students. Estimates by race/ethnicity are 10.2% among whites, 5.1% for Hispanics, and 1.7% for blacks.

- An estimated 3% of middle school students are current smokeless tobacco users. Smokeless tobacco is more common among male (4%) than female (2%) middle school students. Estimates by race/ethnicity are 3% for white, 1% for Asian, 2% for black, and 4% for Hispanic middle school students.

Cost

Direct medical costs ($96 billion) and lost productivity costs associated with smoking ($97 billion) total an estimated $193 billion per year.13
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Table 10-1. Cigarette Smoking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Group</th>
<th>Prevalence, 2006</th>
<th>Cost1,3</th>
<th>Age 18 y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td>47 100 000 (20.8%)*</td>
<td>$193 billion per year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>26 200 000 (23.5%)*</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>20 900 000 (18.1%)*</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White males</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White females</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black males</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black females</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic males27</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic females27</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH Asian-only males27</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH Asian-only females27</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH American Indian/Alaska</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native males27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH American Indian/Alaska</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native females27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ellipses indicate data not available.
*Data are for 2006 for Americans 18 years of age. NHIS/NCHS percentages applied to 2006 population estimates.27

Table 10-2. Cigarette Smoking in the Past Month by Race/Ethnicity, Age, and Sex in the United States, 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic Characteristic</th>
<th>Ages 12 to 17 y</th>
<th>Age 18 y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH American Indian or Alaska Native</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH Asian</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white male</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white female</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black male</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black female</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic male</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic female</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR indicates data not provided. Values are percentages. Source: Percentage of persons between 12 and 17 years of age and 18 years of age reporting cigarette use during the past month, by race/ethnicity and sex.3
Chart 10-1. Prevalence of students in grades 9 to 12 reporting current cigarette use by sex and race/ethnicity (YRBS, 2007).
Source: MMWR.¹

Chart 10-2. Prevalence of current smoking for adults ≥18 years of age by race/ethnicity and sex (NHIS, 2006). Source: MMWR.²
11. Risk Factor: High Blood Cholesterol and Other Lipids

See Table 11-1 and Charts 11-1 through 11-3.

Prevalence

For information on dietary cholesterol, total fat, saturated fat, and other factors that affect blood cholesterol levels, see Chapter 17 (Nutrition).

Youth

- Among children 4 to 11 years of age, the mean total blood cholesterol level is 165.8 mg/dL. For boys, it is 165.4 mg/dL; for girls, it is 166.3 mg/dL. The racial/ethnic breakdown is as follows (NHANES 2005–2006, NCHS and NHLBI; unpublished analysis):
  - For non-Hispanic whites, 166.5 mg/dL for boys and 165.9 mg/dL for girls.
  - For non-Hispanic blacks, 165.6 mg/dL for boys and 165.1 mg/dL for girls.
  - For Mexican Americans, 162.3 mg/dL for boys and 160.8 mg/dL for girls.

- Among adolescents 12 to 19 years of age, the mean total blood cholesterol level is 160.4 mg/dL. For boys, it is 156.8 mg/dL; for girls, it is 164.2 mg/dL. The racial/ethnic breakdown is as follows (NHANES 2005–2006, NCHS and NHLBI; unpublished analysis):
  - For non-Hispanic whites, 154.5 mg/dL for boys and 165.0 mg/dL for girls.
  - For non-Hispanic blacks, 161.7 mg/dL for boys and 162.8 mg/dL for girls.
  - For Mexican Americans, 158.2 mg/dL for boys and 163.1 mg/dL for girls.

- Approximately 9.6% of adolescents 12 to 19 years of age have total cholesterol levels ≥200 mg/dL (NHANES 2005–2006, NCHS and NHLBI; unpublished analysis).

Adults

- Data from the BRFSS study of the CDC in 2007 showed that the percentage of adults who had been screened for high blood cholesterol in the preceding 5 years ranged from 32.4% in Minnesota to 42.4% in West Virginia. The median percentage among states was 37.6%.

Abbreviations Used in Chapter 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRFSS</td>
<td>Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>coronary heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>high-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>low-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>milligrams per deciliter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mmol/L</td>
<td>millimoles per liter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHS</td>
<td>National Center for Health Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHLBI</td>
<td>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adherence

— Fewer than half of persons who qualify for any kind of lipid-modifying treatment for CHD risk reduction are receiving it.
— Fewer than half of even the highest-risk persons (those with symptomatic CHD) are receiving lipid-lowering treatment.
— Only about one third of treated patients are achieving their LDL goal; fewer than 20% of CHD patients are at their LDL goal.

Lipid Levels

**LDL (Bad) Cholesterol**

**Youth**

- Among adolescents 12 to 19 years of age, the mean LDL cholesterol level is 87.9 mg/dL. For boys, it is 85.4 mg/dL, and for girls, it is 90.5 mg/dL. The racial/ethnic breakdown is as follows (NHANES 2005–2006, NCHS and NHLBI; unpublished analysis):
  - Among non-Hispanic whites, 84.0 mg/dL for boys and 91.2 mg/dL for girls.
  - Among non-Hispanic blacks, 90.2 mg/dL for boys and 91.4 mg/dL for girls.
  - Among Mexican Americans, 87.6 mg/dL for boys and 91.2 mg/dL for girls.

**Adults**

- The mean level of LDL cholesterol for American adults ≥20 years of age is 115.0 mg/dL (NHANES 2005–2006, NCHS and NHLBI; unpublished analysis). Levels of 130 to 159 mg/dL are considered borderline high. Levels of 160 to 189 mg/dL are classified as high, and levels of ≥190 mg/dL are considered very high.
- According to NHANES 2005–2006 (NCHS and NHLBI; unpublished data):
  - Among non-Hispanic whites, mean LDL cholesterol levels were 113.9 mg/dL for men and 116.0 mg/dL for women.
  - Among non-Hispanic blacks, mean LDL cholesterol levels were 115.1 mg/dL for men and 109.7 for women.
  - Among Mexican Americans, mean LDL cholesterol levels were 123.2 mg/dL for men and 110.3 mg/dL for women.
- The age-adjusted prevalence of high LDL cholesterol in US adults was 26.6% in 1988–1994 and 25.3% in 1999–2004 (NHANES/NCHS). Between 1988–1994 and 1999–2004, awareness increased from 39.2% to 63.0%, and use of pharmacological lipid-lowering treatment increased from 11.7% to 40.8%. LDL cholesterol control increased from 4.0% to 25.1% among those with high LDL cholesterol. In 1999–2004, rates of LDL cholesterol control were lower among adults 20 to 49 years of age than among those ≥65 years of age (13.9% versus 30.3%, respectively), among non-Hispanic blacks and Mexican Americans than among non-Hispanic whites (17.2% and 16.5% versus 26.9%, respectively), and among males than among females (22.6% versus 26.9%, respectively).10

**HDL (Good) Cholesterol**

**Youth**

- Among children 4 to 11 years of age, the mean HDL cholesterol level is 56.3 mg/dL. For boys, it is 57.4 mg/dL, and for girls, it is 55.3 mg/dL. The racial/ethnic breakdown is as follows (NHANES 2005–2006, NCHS and NHLBI; unpublished analysis):
  - Among non-Hispanic whites, 57.5 mg/dL for boys and 54.9 mg/dL for girls.
  - Among non-Hispanic blacks, 62.2 mg/dL for boys and 59.2 mg/dL for girls.
  - Among Mexican Americans, 54.5 mg/dL for boys and 51.9 mg/dL for girls.

**Adults**

- An HDL cholesterol level below 40 mg/dL in adults is considered low and is a risk factor for heart disease and stroke. The mean level of HDL cholesterol for American adults ≥20 years of age is 54.6 mg/dL (NHANES 2005–2006, NCHS and NHLBI; unpublished analysis).
  - Among non-Hispanic whites, mean HDL cholesterol levels were 48.5 mg/dL for men and 60.3 mg/dL for women.
  - Among non-Hispanic blacks, mean HDL cholesterol levels were 52.1 mg/dL for men and 62.1 mg/dL for women.
  - Among Mexican Americans, mean HDL cholesterol levels were 47.0 mg/dL for men and 55.5 mg/dL for women.

**Triglycerides**

**Youth**

- Among adolescents 12 to 19 years of age, the mean triglyceride level is 90.6 mg/dL. For boys, it is 88.0 mg/dL, and for girls, it is 93.2 mg/dL. The racial/ethnic breakdown is as follows (NHANES 2005–2006, NCHS and NHLBI; unpublished analysis):
  - Among non-Hispanic whites, 93.6 mg/dL for boys and 94.2 mg/dL for girls.
— Among non-Hispanic blacks, 68.0 mg/dL for boys and 67.4 mg/dL for girls.
— Among Mexican Americans, 87.9 mg/dL for boys and 94.8 mg/dL for girls.

Adults
• A triglyceride level >150 mg/dL in adults is considered elevated and is a risk factor for heart disease and stroke. The mean level of triglycerides for American adults ≥18 years of age is 146.0 mg/dL (NHANES 2005–2006, NCHS and NHLBI; unpublished analysis).
— Among men, the mean triglyceride level is 157.7 mg/dL. (NHANES 2005–2006, NCHS and NHLBI; unpublished analysis).
  ○ 163.8 mg/dL for white men.
  ○ 121.0 mg/dL for black men.
  ○ 165.2 mg/dL for Mexican American men.
— Among women, the mean triglyceride level is 135.0 mg/dL.
  ○ 138.5 mg/dL for white women.
  ○ 104.6 mg/dL for black women.
  ○ 155.6 mg/dL for Mexican American women.
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Table 11-1. High Total and LDL Cholesterol and Low HDL Cholesterol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Group</th>
<th>Prevalence of Total Cholesterol ≥200 mg/dL, 2006 Age ≥20 y</th>
<th>Prevalence of Total Cholesterol ≥240 mg/dL, 2006 Age ≥20 y</th>
<th>Prevalence of LDL Cholesterol ≥130 mg/dL, 2006 Age ≥20 y</th>
<th>Prevalence of HDL Cholesterol &lt;40 mg/dL, 2006 Age ≥20 y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes*</td>
<td>98 600 000 (45.1%)</td>
<td>34 400 000 (15.7%)</td>
<td>71 800 000 (32.8%)</td>
<td>33 900 000 (15.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males*</td>
<td>45 000 000 (42.6%)</td>
<td>14 600 000 (13.8%)</td>
<td>35 800 000 (33.8%)</td>
<td>26 300 000 (24.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females*</td>
<td>53 600 000 (47.1%)</td>
<td>19 800 000 (17.3%)</td>
<td>36 000 000 (31.7%)</td>
<td>7 500 000 (6.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white males, %</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white females, %</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black males, %</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black females, %</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican-American males, %</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican-American females, %</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Hispanic† ≥20 y of age, %</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Asian/Pacific Islanders† ≥20 y of age, %</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total American Indians/Alaska Natives† ≥20 y of age, %</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NH indicates non-Hispanic. Ellipses (…) indicate data not available. Prevalence of total cholesterol ≥200 mg/dL includes people with total cholesterol ≥240 mg/dL.
In adults, levels of 200 to 239 mg/dL are considered borderline high. Levels of ≥240 mg/dL are considered high.
*Total data for total cholesterol are for Americans ≥20 years of age. Data for LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, and all racial/ethnic groups are age adjusted for age ≥20 years.
†BRFSS (1991–2003, CDC), MMWR1; data are self-reported data for Americans ≥20 years of age.

12. Risk Factor: Physical Inactivity

See Table 12-1 and Charts 12-1 through 12-4.

Prevalence
Youth

• As reported in the 2007 YRBS survey of adolescents in grades 9 through 12, 31.8% of females and 18% of males did not engage in 60 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA), defined as any activity that increased heart rate or breathing rate, even once in the previous 7 days, despite recommendations that children engage in such activity ≥5 days per week.

— Rates of inactivity were highest among black (42.1%) and Hispanic (35.2%) females compared with white females (28.2%).

— Among males, blacks were also the least likely to engage in MVPA at current recommended levels (21.8%), followed by Hispanic (18.8%) and white (16.7%) males.1

• As reported in the 2007 YRBS survey of adolescents in grades 9 through 12, more than one fourth of all students spent ≥3 hours per day using computers outside of school time (24.9%) or watching television (35.4%).

— The proportion of males who spent >3 hours using computers (29.1%) or watching television (37.5%) was higher than that of females (computers 20.6% and television 33.2%).

— A greater proportion of black and Hispanic students used computers or watched television >3 hours per day than white students.1

• Among children 9 to 13 years of age, 61.5% do not participate in any organized PA during nonschool hours, and 22.6% do not engage in any free-time PA, according to 2002 data from the Youth Media Campaign Longitudinal Study (YMCLS) of the CDC. Non-Hispanic black and Hispanic children are significantly less likely than non-Hispanic white children to report involvement in organized activities, as are children whose parents have lower incomes and education levels.2

— By the age of 16 or 17 years, 31% of white girls and 56% of black girls report no habitual leisure-time PA.3

— Lower levels of parental education are associated with greater decline in PA for white girls at both younger and older ages. For black girls, this association is seen only at older ages.

— Cigarette smoking is associated with a decline in PA among white girls. Pregnancy is associated with a decline in PA among black girls but not among white girls.

— A higher BMI is associated with a greater decline in PA among girls of both races.

• There is a marked discrepancy between the proportion of youth who report meeting PA guidelines (≥60 minutes of MVPA on most days of the week) and those who met guidelines when activity was measured objectively with accelerometers (portable motion censors that record and quantitatively movements) in the NHANES 2003–2004 survey:

— In the 2007 YRBS, 43.7% of male and 25.6% of female students in grades 9 through 12 self-reported that they met currently recommended levels of PA.

— Among these students, 33.2% of males and 27.3% of females attended physical education classes daily.

— Physical education class participation declined from the 9th through the 12th grades in males and females.1

— The proportion of boys and girls who actually met activity recommendations according to accelerometry declined with age in both sexes.

— Forty-two percent of 6- to 11-year-olds accumulated ≥60 minutes of MVPA (based on counts per minute >2020) on 5 of 7 days per week, whereas only 8% of 12- to 15-year-olds and 7.6% of 16- to 19-year-olds met activity guidelines.

— More boys than girls met recommendations as measured by accelerometry.4

Adults

• According to 2007 BRFSS/CDC data, the percentage of adults ≥18 years of age with ≥30 minutes of moderate PA ≥5 days per week or >20 minutes of vigorous PA ≥3 days per week ranged from 38.6% in Louisiana to 60.8% in Alaska. The median percentage among states was 49.5%. The percentage of adults with ≥20 minutes of vigorous PA ≥3 days per week ranged from 18.5% in Tennessee to 39.5% in Alaska (median among states 28.3%).5

— In 2005, the age-adjusted proportion of adults who reported engaging in no MVPA in leisure time, as part of their occupation, or for transportation was 10.3% in 2005:

— Inactivity in 2005 was higher among females (12%) than males (8.4%).

Abbreviations Used in Chapter 12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRFSS</td>
<td>Behavior Risk Factor Surveillance System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>coronary heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBP</td>
<td>high blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVPA</td>
<td>moderate-to-vigorous physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHS</td>
<td>National Center for Health Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHIS</td>
<td>National Health Interview Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td>relative risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMCLS</td>
<td>Youth Media Campaign Longitudinal Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YRBS</td>
<td>Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
— Inactivity in 2005 increased with age from 5.5% to 6.1%, 10.2%, and 24% among adults 18 to 24, 25 to 44, 45 to 64, and ≥65 years of age, respectively.

— Non-Hispanic black and Hispanic adults were more likely to report inactivity (16.7% and 10.7%, respectively) than were non-Hispanic white adults (10.7%).

• Despite recommendations that some proportion of activity be vigorous (activity that causes heavy sweating and a large increase in breathing and/or heart rate),8 62% of adults >18 years of age who responded to the 2007 NHIS survey reported no vigorous activity that lasted >10 minutes per session.8

— Women (66.3%) were more likely than men (56.0%) to report never engaging in vigorous PA.

— Of the 11.4% of adults who engaged in vigorous activity for ≥5 days/week, the proportion was higher among men (13.1%) than women (9.8%).

— The proportion of respondents who did not participate in any vigorous activity increased with age from 52.4% in 18- to 44-year-olds to 88.7% in adults ≥75 years of age.

— American Indians (72.7%) and blacks (69.3%) were more likely to report not engaging in vigorous activity than Asians (62.9%), Native Hawaiians or other Pacific Islanders (61.2%), and white participants (60.0%).

— Hispanic or Latino adults were more likely not to engage in vigorous activity (71.8%) than non-Hispanic or non-Latino adults (59.5%).

— A lack of vigorous leisure-time activity was inversely associated with educational attainment: 83.6%, 72.7%, 61.3%, and 46.4% of respondents with less than a high school education, a high school diploma, some college or bachelor’s degree or higher, respectively, reported no vigorous leisure-time activity.

• Adherence to PA recommendations was much lower when based on PA measured by accelerometer in NHANES 2003–2004:4

  — Of those 20 to 59 years of age, 3.8% of males and 3.2% of females met recommendations to engage in MVPA (accelerometer counts >2020/min) for 30 minutes (in sessions of ≥10 minutes) on ≥5 of 7 days.

  — Among persons ≥60 years of age, adherence was 2.5% in males and 2.3% in females.

Physical Inactivity and CHD

• The RR of CHD associated with physical inactivity ranges from 1.5 to 2.4, an increase in risk comparable to that observed for high blood cholesterol, HBP, or cigarette smoking.9

• Physical inactivity is responsible for 12.2% of the global burden of MI after accounting for other CVD risk factors such as cigarette smoking, diabetes, hypertension, abdominal obesity, lipid profile, no alcohol intake, and psychosocial factors.10

• A 2.3% decline in physical inactivity between 1980 and 2000 prevented or postponed approximately 17 445 deaths (≈5%) due to CHD in the United States.11

• A study of >72 000 female nurses indicated that moderate-intensity PA, such as walking, is associated with a substantial reduction in risk of total and ischemic stroke.12

• Data from the 2003 BRFSS (CDC) found that 53.2% of respondents with heart disease were told to be more physically active, 32% met recommended PA levels, and 30.8% were sedentary.13
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Table 12-1. Regular Leisure-Time PA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Group</th>
<th>Prevalence, 2007 (Age ≥18 y)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes</td>
<td>30.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>28.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>28.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white only</td>
<td>33.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black only</td>
<td>22.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>23.80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NH indicates non-Hispanic.

Regular leisure-time PA is defined as light to moderate activity for ≥30 minutes, 5 times per week, or vigorous activity for ≥20 minutes, ≥3 times per week.

Data are age adjusted for adults ≥18 years of age.

Source: NHIS 2007 (NCHS).14

Chart 12-1. Prevalence of students in grades 9 through 12 who met currently recommended levels of PA during the past 7 days by race/ethnicity and sex (YRBS: 2007). “Currently recommended levels” is defined as activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time for a total of ≥60 minutes per day on ≥5 of the 7 days preceding the survey. Source: MMWR.1 NH indicates non-Hispanic.

Chart 12-3. Prevalence of students in grades 9 through 12 who did not meet currently recommended levels of MVPA during the past 7 days by race/ethnicity and sex (YRBS: 2007). Source: Troiano et al.4 “Currently recommended levels” are defined as activity that increased heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time for a total of ≥60 minutes per day on ≥5 of the 7 days preceding the survey. NH indicates non-Hispanic.

Chart 12-4. Prevalence of children 6 to 19 years of age who attained sufficient MVPA to meet public health recommendations of ≥60 minutes per day on ≥5 of the 7 days preceding the survey by sex and age (NHANES 2003–2004). Source: Troiano et al.4
13. Risk Factor: Overweight and Obesity

See Table 13-1 and Charts 13-1 through 13-3.

Prevalence

Youth

- On the basis of 2003–2006 data from NHANES (NCHS), the prevalence of overweight and obesity in children 2 to 5 years of age, based on a BMI-for-age value at or above the 85th percentile of the 2000 CDC growth charts, was 25.4% for non-Hispanic white boys and 20.9% for non-Hispanic white girls; 23.2% for non-Hispanic black boys and 26.4% for non-Hispanic black girls; and 32.4% for Mexican American boys and 27.3% for Mexican American girls. In children 6 to 11 years of age, the prevalence was 31.7% for non-Hispanic white boys and 31.5% for non-Hispanic white girls; 33.8% for non-Hispanic black boys and 40.1% for non-Hispanic black girls; and 47.1% for Mexican American boys and 38.1% for Mexican American girls. In children 12 to 19 years of age, the prevalence was 34.5% for non-Hispanic white boys and 31.7% for non-Hispanic white girls; 32.1% for non-Hispanic black boys and 44.5% for non-Hispanic black girls; and 40.5% for Mexican American boys and 37.1% for Mexican American girls.1
- On the basis of 2003–2006 data from NHANES (NCHS), the prevalence of obesity in children 2 to 5 years of age, based on BMI-for-age values at or above the 95th percentile of the 2000 CDC growth charts, was 11.3% of non-Hispanic white boys and 10.2% for non-Hispanic white girls and 14.5% for non-Hispanic black girls; and 40.5% for Mexican American boys and 37.1% for Mexican American girls.1

Abbriviations Used in Chapter 13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRFSS</td>
<td>Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHS</td>
<td>Framingham Heart Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHP</td>
<td>Honolulu Heart Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kg/m²</td>
<td>kilograms per square meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MESA</td>
<td>Multiethnic Study of Atherosclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHS</td>
<td>National Center for Health Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHIS</td>
<td>National Health Interview Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHLBI</td>
<td>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NINDS</td>
<td>National Institute of Neurological Diseases and Stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOMAS</td>
<td>Northern Manhattan Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>odds ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YRBS</td>
<td>Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data from NHANES 2003–2006 found that 11.3% of children and adolescents 2 to 19 years of age were at or above the 97th percentile of the 2000 BMI-for-age growth chart, 16.3% were at or above the 95th percentile, and 31.9% were at or above the 85th percentile.1

Overweight adolescents have a 70% chance of becoming overweight adults. This increases to 80% if 1 or both parents are overweight or obese.4

Data from the CDC’s YRBS 2007 survey showed that the prevalence of being overweight was higher among non-Hispanic black (19.0%) and Hispanic (18.1%) students than among non-Hispanic white students (14.3%); higher among non-Hispanic black female (21.4%) and Hispanic female (17.9%) than non-Hispanic white female (12.8%) students; and higher among non-Hispanic black male (16.6%) and Hispanic male (18.3%) than non-Hispanic white male (15.7%) students. The prevalence of being obese was higher among non-Hispanic black (18.3%) and Hispanic (16.6%) students than among non-Hispanic white students (10.8%); higher among non-Hispanic black female (17.8%) than non-Hispanic white female (6.8%) and Hispanic female (12.7%) students; and higher among Hispanic...
male (20.3%) and non-Hispanic black male (18.9%) than non-Hispanic white male (14.6%) students.5

- Data from the 2007 National Healthcare Quality Report found that:
  - During 1999–2004, 38.8% of overweight children and teens 2 to 19 years of age were told by a doctor or health professional that they were overweight.
  - During 1999–2004, overweight children 2 to 5 years of age (19.8%) and 6 to 11 years old (35.0%) were less likely than overweight children 12 to 19 years of age (47.6%) to be told by a provider that they were overweight.6

**Adults**

- Analysis of the FHS, 1971–2001 (NHLBI), showed that among normal-weight white adults between the ages of 30 and 59 years, the 4-year rates of developing overweight varied from 14% to 19% in women and from 26% to 30% in men. The 30-year risk was similar for both sexes, with some variation by age. Overall, the 30-year risk for “overweight or more” exceeded 1 in 2 persons, 1 in 4 for obesity, and 1 in 10 for stage II obesity (BMI ≥35 kg/m²) across different age groups. The 30-year estimates correspond to the lifetime risk for “overweight or more” or obesity for participants 50 years of age.7

- The age-adjusted prevalence of overweight and obesity (BMI ≥25 kg/m²) increased from 64.5% in NHANES 1999–2000 (NCHS) to 66.3% in NHANES 2003–2004 (NCHS). The prevalence of obesity (BMI ≥30 kg/m²) increased during this period from 30.5% to 34.3%. Extreme obesity (BMI ≥40.0 kg/m²) increased from 4.7% to 5.9%.1

- According to 2007 data from the BRFSS/CDC survey, based on self-reported height and weight, the prevalence of obesity ranged from 19.3% in Colorado to 32.6% in Mississippi. The median percentage by state was 26.3%.8

- Abdominal obesity is an independent risk factor for ischemic stroke in all race/ethnic groups. This effect is larger for those <65 years of age (OR 4.4) than for those >65 years of age (OR 2.2; NOMAS, NINDS).9

- A recent comparison of risk factors in both the HHP and FHS (NHLBI) showed that a BMI increase of ≈3 kg/m² raised the risk of hospitalized thromboembolic stroke by 10% to 30%.10

- In 1998 and 1999, surveys of people in 8 states and the District of Columbia by the BRFSS study of the CDC indicated that obesity rates were significantly higher among people with disabilities, especially blacks and those 45 to 64 years of age.11

- Analysis of data (FHS, NHLBI) showed that overweight and obesity were associated with large decreases in life expectancy. Forty-year-old female nonsmokers lost 3.3 years and 40-year-old male nonsmokers lost 3.1 years of life expectancy because of overweight. In 40-year-old nonsmokers, females lost 7.1 years and males lost 5.8 years because of obesity. Obese female smokers lost 7.2 years and obese male smokers lost 6.7 years compared with normal-weight nonsmokers.12

- Data from the 2007 NHIS study of the NCHS showed that blacks ≥18 years of age were less likely (28.1%) than American Indians or Alaska Natives (32.7%), whites (37.4%), and Asians (57.4%) to be at a healthy weight.13

- Data from the 2007 NHIS study of the NCHS showed that blacks ≥18 years of age were more likely (35.1%) to be obese than American Indians or Alaska Natives (32.4%), whites (25.4%), and Asians (8.9%).13

- The WHO estimates that by 2015, the number of overweight people globally will increase to 2.3 billion, and >700 million will be obese. Globally, at least 20 million children <5 years of age were overweight in 2005. Once considered a problem only in high-income countries, overweight and obesity are now dramatically on the rise in low- and middle-income countries, particularly in urban settings.14

- In NHANES 2001–2002 (NCHS), racial disparities were observed among women but not among men: 68.6% of black women were overweight or obese, compared with 56.0% of white women and 54.5% of Hispanic women. Race-based differences in obesity were more pronounced among women: 41.5% of black women were obese, compared with 19.3% of white women and 26.2% of Hispanic women.15

- On the basis of NHANES/NCHS data, in 2003–2004, 36% of noninstitutionalized women 65 to 74 years of age and 24% of women ≥75 years of age were obese. This is an increase from 1988–1994, when 27% of women 65 to 74 years of age and 19% of women ≥75 years of age were obese. For men, from 1988–1994, 24% of those 65 to 74 years of age and 13% of those ≥75 years of age were obese, compared with 33% of those 65 to 74 years of age and 23% of those ≥75 years of age in 2003–2004.16

- A 1997–2002 study of Medicare beneficiaries found the prevalence of obesity increased by 5.6%, or ≈2.7 million beneficiaries. By 2002, 21.4% of beneficiaries and 39.3% of disabled beneficiaries were obese, compared with 16.4% and 32.5%, respectively, in 1997. The rise in obesity, along with expansions in treatment coverage, could greatly increase obesity-related Medicare spending.17

- Most adults in Asian subgroups were in the healthy weight range, with rates ranging from 51% for Filipino adults to 68% for Chinese adults. Although the prevalence of obesity is low within the Asian adult population, Filipino adults (14%) were more than twice as likely to be obese as Asian Indian (6%), Vietnamese (5%), or Chinese (4%) adults.18

- Data from NHANES 2005–2006 found that 34% of US adults were obese (33.3% of men and 35.3% of women). Non-Hispanic black and Mexican American women were more likely to be obese than non-Hispanic white women.19

- From 1999 to 2004, obese adults 45 to 64 years of age (73.3%) and ≥65 years of age (73.6%) were more likely than those 20 to 44 years of age (59.5%) to be told by a doctor or health professional that they were overweight. Obese adults 45 to 64 years of age and ≥65 years of age were more likely to receive advice about exercise than those 18 to 44 years of age.6
• Data from the 2007 National Healthcare Disparities Report found that approximately 66.2% of obese adults were told by a doctor or health professional that they were overweight.20
• The proportion of obese adults told that they were overweight was significantly lower for blacks (61.1%) and Mexican Americans (56.5%) than for whites (68.8%); for middle-income people than for high-income people (64.2% versus 69.8%); and for adults with less than a high school education than for those with any college education (62.7% versus 70.7%).20
• Analysis of data from the MESA study found that a large proportion of white, black, and Hispanic participants were overweight (60% to 85%) or obese (30% to 50%), whereas fewer Chinese American participants were overweight (33%) or obese (5%). These findings may be indicators of potential future increases in vascular disease burden and healthcare costs associated with the obesity epidemic.21

Mortality
• Among adults, obesity was associated with nearly 112,000 excess deaths (95% CI 53,754 to 170,064) relative to normal weight in 2000. Grade I obesity (BMI 30 to <35 kg/m²) was associated with almost 30,000 of these excess deaths (95% CI 8534 to 68,220) and grade II to III obesity (BMI ≥35 kg/m²) with >82,000 (95% CI 44,843 to 119,289). Underweight was associated with nearly 34,000 excess deaths (95% CI 15,726 to 51,766). As other studies have found,22 overweight (BMI 25 to <30 kg/m²) was not associated with excess deaths.23
• Analysis of data from NHANES found that in 2004, overweight was associated with significantly increased mortality due to diabetes or kidney disease and was not associated with increased mortality due to cancer or CVD. Obesity was associated with significantly increased mortality due to CVD, some cancers, and diabetes or kidney disease. Obesity was associated with 13% of CVD deaths in 2004.24

Cost
• Among children and adolescents, annual hospital costs related to obesity were $127 million between 1997 and 1999.25
• According to one study, annual medical spending due to overweight and obesity could be as high as $92.6 billion in 2002 dollars, which represents 9.1% of US health expenditures.26 According to another estimate, the annual cost of overweight and obesity could be as high as $92.6 billion in 2002 dollars, which represents 9.1% of US health expenses.27
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### Table 13.1. Overweight and Obesity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Both sexes, n (%)</td>
<td>145 000 000 (66.7)</td>
<td>74 100 000 (33.9)</td>
<td>23 400 000 (31.9)</td>
<td>12 000 000 (16.3)</td>
<td>$117 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males, n (%)</td>
<td>76 900 000 (73.0)</td>
<td>34 700 000 (32.7)</td>
<td>12 300 000 (32.7)</td>
<td>6 400 000 (17.1)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females, n (%)</td>
<td>68 100 000 (60.5)</td>
<td>39 400 000 (35.0)</td>
<td>11 100 000 (31.0)</td>
<td>5 600 000 (15.5)</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white males, %</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white females, %</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black males, %</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black females, %</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American males, %</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American females, %</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino age ≥18 y,† %</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian-only, age ≥18 y,† %</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native, age ≥18 y,† %</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NH indicates non-Hispanic. Ellipses ( . . . ) indicate data not available. Data for white, black, and Asian or Pacific Islander males and females are for non-Hispanics.

Overweight and obesity in adults is BMI ≥25 kg/m². Obesity in adults is BMI 30 kg/m².

In January 2007, the American Medical Association’s Expert Task Force on Childhood Obesity recommended new definitions for overweight and obesity in children and adolescents (available at http://www.ama-assn.org/ama1/pub/upload/mm/433/ped_obesity_recs.pdf). However, statistics based on this new definition are not yet available.

*Data from NIDDK.†

†NHIS (2007), NCHS; data are age adjusted for Americans ≥18 years old. Overweight is BMI ≥25 kg/m² and <30.0 kg/m². Obese is BMI ≥30.0 kg/m². Sources: Age-adjusted NHANES 2005–2006 (NCHS). NHLBI and unpublished data. Data for adults are for age ≥20 years. Estimates from NHANES 2005–2006 (NCHS) were applied to 2006 population estimates. In children, age-adjusted NHANES 2003–2006 data were applied to 2006 population estimates. Overweight and obesity are based on BMI-for-age values at or above the 85th percentile of the 2000 CDC growth charts. Obesity is based on BMI-for-age values at or above the 95th percentile of the CDC growth charts.†
Chart 13-1. Prevalence of overweight among students in grades 9 through 12 by sex and race/ethnicity (YRBS: 2007). Source: BMI 95th percentile or higher by age and sex of the CDC 2000 growth chart. NH indicates non-Hispanic.


14. Risk Factor: Diabetes Mellitus

**ICD-9 250; ICD-10 E10–E14. See Table 14-1 and Charts 14-1 through 14-3.**

**Prevalence**

**Youth**

- In the Search for Diabetes in Youth Study (SEARCH), the prevalence of DM in youths <20 years of age in 2001 in the United States was 1.82 cases per 1000 youths (0.79 per 1000 among youths 0 to 9 years of age and 2.80 per 1000 among youths 10 to 19 years of age). Non-Hispanic white youths had the highest prevalence (1.06 per 1000) in the younger group. Among youths 10 to 19 years of age, black youths (3.22 per 1000) and non-Hispanic white youths (3.18 per 1000) had the highest rates, followed by American Indian youths (2.28 per 1000), Hispanic youths (2.18 per 1000), and Asian/Pacific Islander youths (1.34 per 1000). Among younger children, type 1 DM accounted for ≥80% of DM; among older youths, the proportion of type 2 DM ranged from 6% (0.19 per 1000 for non-Hispanic white youths) to 76% (1.74 per 1000 for American Indian youths). This translates to 154,369 youths with physician-diagnosed DM in 2001 in the United States, for an overall prevalence estimate for DM in children and adolescents of approximately 0.18%.1

- Approximately 186,000 people <20 years of age have diabetes. Each year, ≈15,000 people <20 years of age are diagnosed with type 1 diabetes. Healthcare providers are finding more and more children with type 2 diabetes, a disease usually diagnosed in adults ≥40 years of age. Children who develop type 2 diabetes are typically overweight or obese and have a family history of the disease. Most are American Indian, black, Asian, or Hispanic/Latino.2

- Among adolescents 10 to 19 years of age diagnosed with diabetes, 57.8% of blacks were diagnosed with type 2 versus type 1 diabetes compared with 46.1% of Hispanic and 14.9% of white youths.3

**Abbreviations Used in Chapter 14**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACS</td>
<td>acute coronary syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHRQ</td>
<td>Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>acute myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIC</td>
<td>Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRFSS</td>
<td>Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td>coronary heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHS</td>
<td>Framingham Heart Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c</td>
<td>glycosylated hemoglobin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>hazard ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kg/m²</td>
<td>kilograms per square meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>low-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mg/dL</td>
<td>milligrams per deciliter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mm Hg</td>
<td>millimeter of mercury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mmol/L</td>
<td>millimoles per liter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHS</td>
<td>National Center for Health Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHLBI</td>
<td>National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIDDK</td>
<td>National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIH</td>
<td>National Institutes of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSTEMI</td>
<td>non-ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>odds ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td>relative risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>systolic blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEARCH</td>
<td>Search for Diabetes in Youth Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEMI</td>
<td>ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMI</td>
<td>Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA</td>
<td>unstable angina</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Adults**

- Data from NHANES 1999–2002 (NCHS) showed the prevalence of diagnosed DM in adults ≥65 years of age to be 15.3%. The prevalence of undiagnosed DM was 6.9%. This represents ≈5.4 million and 2.4 million older individuals, respectively.4

- Among Americans ≥20 years of age, 9.6% have DM, and among those ≥60 years of age, 21% have DM. Men ≥20 years of age have a slightly higher prevalence (11%) than women (9%). Among non-Hispanic whites ≥20 years of age, 9% have DM; the prevalence of DM among non-Hispanic blacks in this age range is 1.8 times higher; among Mexican Americans, it is 1.7 times higher; and among American Indians and Alaska Natives, it is 1.5 to 2.2 times higher.5

- Data from NHANES (NCHS) show a disproportionately high prevalence of DM in non-Hispanic blacks and Mexican Americans compared with non-Hispanic whites, as shown in Table 14-1.6

- The prevalence of diabetes was more than twice as high for Asian Indian adults (14%) as for Chinese (6%) or Japanese (5%) adults.7

- Type 2 DM accounts for 90% to 95% of all diagnosed cases of DM in adults.8 In Framingham, Mass, 99% of DM is type 2.9

- The prevalence of DM increased by 8.2% from 2000 to 2001. From 1990 to 2001, the prevalence of those diagnosed with DM increased 61%.10

- On the basis of 2007 BRFSS (CDC) data, the prevalence of adults who reported ever having been told by a doctor that they had DM ranged from 5.7% in Minnesota to 11.9% in Tennessee. The median percentage among states was 8.0%.11

- The CDC analyzed data from 1994 to 2004 collected by the Indian Health Service that indicated that the age-adjusted
prevalence per 1000 population of DM increased 101.2% among American Indian/Alaska Native adults <35 years of age (from 8.5% to 17.1%). During this time period, the prevalence of diagnosed DM was greater among females than males in all age groups.12

- The prevalence of DM for all age groups worldwide was estimated to be 2.8% in 2000 and is projected to be 4.4% in 2030. The total number of people with DM is projected to rise from 171 million in 2000 to 366 million in 2030.13
- On the basis of projections from NHANES/NCHS studies between 1984 and 2004, the total prevalence of DM in the United States is expected to more than double from 2005 to 2050 (from 5.6% to 12.0%) in all age, sex, and race/ethnicity groups. Increases are projected to be largest for the oldest age groups (for instance, increasing by 220% among those 65 to 74 years of age and by 449% among those 75 years of age or older). DM prevalence is projected to increase by 99% among non-Hispanic whites, by 107% among non-Hispanic blacks, and by 127% among Hispanics. The age/race/ethnicity group with the largest increase is expected to be blacks ≥75 years of age (increase of 606%).14

Incidence

Youths

- In the SEARCH study, the incidence of DM in youths overall was 24.5 per 100,000 person-years. Among children <10 years of age, most had type 1 DM, regardless of race/ethnicity. The highest rates of incident type 1 DM were observed in non-Hispanic white youths (18.6, 28.1, and 32.9 per 100,000 person-years for age groups of 0 to 4, 5 to 9, and 10 to 14 years, respectively). Overall, type 2 DM was relatively infrequent, with the highest rates (17.0 to 49.4 per 100,000 person-years) seen among 15- to 19-year-old minority groups.15

Adults

- Based on data from the 2007 National Diabetes Fact Sheet of the CDC, about 1.6 million new cases of diabetes were diagnosed in people ≥20 years of age in 2007.2
- Data from Framingham, Mass, indicate a doubling in the incidence of DM over the past 30 years, most dramatically during the 1990s. Among adults 40 to 55 years of age in each decade of the 1970s, 1980s, and 1990s, the age-adjusted 8-year incidence rates of DM were 2.0%, 3.0%, and 3.7% among women and 2.7%, 3.6%, and 5.8% among men, respectively. Compared with the 1970s, the age- and sex-adjusted OR for DM was 1.40 in the 1980s and 2.05 in the 1990s (P for trend = 0.0006). Most of the increase in absolute incidence of DM occurred in individuals with a BMI ≥30 kg/m² (P for trend = 0.03).16

Mortality

DM mortality in 2005 was 75,119. Total-mention mortality in 2005 was 233,600; 2006 preliminary mortality was 72,507, and the death rate was 23.3. (Source: NCHS and NHLBI)

- The 2005 overall underlying-cause death rate due to DM was 24.6. Death rates per 100,000 persons were 26.5 for white males, 50.8 for black males, 19.3 for white females, and 43.8 for black females.17
- According to data from the National Diabetes Information Clearinghouse, NIDDK, NIH:
  — At least 65% of people with DM die of some form of heart disease or stroke.
  — Heart disease death rates among adults with DM are 2 to 4 times higher than the rates for adults without DM.18
- FHS/NHLBI data show that having DM significantly increased the risk of developing CVD (HR 2.5 for women and 2.4 for men) and of dying when CVD was present (HR 2.2 for women and 1.7 for men). Diabetic men and women ≥50 years of age lived an average of 7.5 and 8.2 years less than their nondiabetic equivalents. The differences in life expectancy free of CVD were 7.8 and 8.4 years, respectively.19
- Analysis of data from NHANES 1971–2000 found that men with DM experienced a 43% relative reduction in the age-adjusted mortality rate, which is similar to that of nondiabetic men. Among women with DM, however, mortality rates did not decrease, and the difference in mortality rates between diabetic and nondiabetic women doubled.20
- During 1979–2004, diabetes death rates for black youths 1 to 19 years of age were approximately twice those for white youths. During 2003–2004, the annual average diabetes death rate per 1 million youths was 2.46 for black youths and 0.91 for white youths.21

Awareness

- The NIDDK estimates that 20.8 million Americans (7% of the population) have DM and that ~30% are unaware of the diagnosis.5
- Analysis of NHANES/NCHS data from 1988–1994 to 1999–2002 in adults ≥20 years of age showed that one third of those with DM did not know they had it. Although the prevalence of diagnosed DM has increased significantly over the past decade, the prevalences of undiagnosed DM and impaired fasting glucose have remained relatively stable. Minority groups remain disproportionately affected.22

Aftermath

- Although the exact date of DM onset can be difficult to determine, duration of DM appears to affect CVD risk. Longitudinal data from Framingham, Mass, suggest that the risk factor–adjusted relative risk of CHD was 1.38 (95% CI 0.99 to 1.92) times higher and the risk for CHD death was 1.86 times higher (95% CI 1.17 to 2.93) for each 10-year increase in duration of DM.23
- DM increases the risk of stroke, with the RR ranging from 1.8 to almost 6.0.24
- Ischemic stroke patients with DM are younger, more likely to be black, and more likely to have hypertension, MI, and
high cholesterol than nondiabetic patients. DM increases ischemic stroke incidence at all ages, but this risk is most prominent before 55 years of age in blacks and before 65 years of age in whites.25

- On the basis of data from the NCHS/NHIS, 1997–200526:
  - During 1997–2005, the estimated number of persons ≥35 years of age with DM with a self-reported cardiovascular condition increased 36%, from 4.2 million in 1997 to 5.7 million in 2005. However, the age-adjusted prevalence of self-reported CVD conditions among persons with diagnosed DM ≥35 years of age decreased 11.2%, from 36.6% in 1997 to 32.5% in 2005.
  - During 1997–2005, age-adjusted CVD prevalence was higher among men than women, among whites than blacks, and among non-Hispanics than Hispanics. Among women, the age-adjusted prevalence decreased by 11.2%; among men, it did not decrease significantly. Among blacks, the age-adjusted prevalence of self-reported CVD decreased by 25.3%; among whites, no significant decrease occurred; among non-Hispanics, the rate decreased by 12%. No clear trends were detected among Hispanics. If the total number of persons with diabetes and self-reported CVD increased over this period but proportions with self-reported CVD declined, the data suggest that the mean age at which people have been diagnosed is decreasing, or the higher CVD mortality rate among older diabetic individuals is removing them from ability to self-report CVD. These and other data show a consistent increase over time in the United States of the number of persons with diabetes and CVD.

- Statistical modeling of the use and effectiveness of specific cardiac treatments and of changes in risk factors between 1980 and 2000 among US adults 25 to 84 years of age showed that the age-adjusted death rate for CHD fell from 543 to 267 deaths per 100,000 population among men and from 263 to 134 deaths per 100,000 population among women. Approximately 47% of this decrease was attributed to treatments, and ~44% was attributed to changes in risk factors, although reductions were offset in part by increases in BMI and the prevalence of DM, which accounted for an increased number of deaths (8% and 10%, respectively).27 An analysis from the Cooper Clinic in Dallas, Tex, of exercise ECG responses and CVD mortality in 2854 men with diabetes reported 441 deaths (210 CVD and 133 CHD) over follow-up of 16 years. That analysis showed that equivocal and abnormal exercise ECG responses were associated with higher risk of all-cause, CVD, and CHD mortality. Across normal, equivocal, and abnormal exercise ECG groups, age- and examination year–adjusted CHD mortality rates per 10,000 person-years were 23.0, 48.6, and 69.0, respectively (P for trend < 0.001), and risk factor–adjusted HRs (95% CI) were 1.00, 1.68 (1.01 to 2.77), and 2.21 (1.41 to 3.46; P for trend < 0.001), respectively.28

- A subgroup analysis was conducted of patients with diabetes enrolled in randomized clinical trials that evaluated ACS therapies. The data included 62,036 patients from TIMI studies (46,577 with ST-segment elevation MI [STEMI] and 15,459 with unstable angina/non-STEMI [UA/NSTEMI]). Of these, 17.1% had diabetes. Modeling showed that mortality at 30 days was significantly higher among patients with diabetes than among those without diabetes who presented with UA/NSTEMI (2.1% versus 1.1%, P ≤ 0.001) and STEMI (8.5% versus 5.4%, P = 0.001), with adjusted risks for 30-day mortality in diabetes versus no diabetes of 1.78 for UA/NSTEMI (95% CI 1.24 to 2.56) and 1.40 (95% CI 1.24 to 1.57) for STEMI. Diabetes was also associated with significantly higher mortality 1 year after UA/NSTEMI or STEMI. By 1 year after ACS, patients with diabetes presenting with UA/NSTEMI had a risk of death that approached that of patients without diabetes presenting with STEMI (7.2% versus 8.1%).29

- Data from the ARIC study of the NHLBI found that DM was a weaker predictor of CHD in blacks than in whites.30

- Data from Framingham, Mass, show that despite improvements in CVD morbidity and mortality, DM continues to elevate CVD risk. Participants 45 to 64 years of age from the FHS original and offspring cohorts who attended examinations in 1950–1966 (“earlier” time period) and 1977–1995 (“later” time period) were followed up for incident MI, CHD death, and stroke. Among participants with DM, the age- and sex-adjusted CVD incidence rate was 286.4 per 10,000 person-years in the earlier period and 146.9 per 10,000 person-years in the later period, a 35.4% decline. HRs for DM as a predictor of incident CVD were not significantly different in the earlier (risk factor–adjusted HR 2.68, 95% CI 1.88 to 3.82) versus later (HR 1.96, 95% CI 1.44 to 2.66) periods.31 Thus, although there was a 50% reduction in the rate of incident CVD events among adults with DM, the absolute risk of CVD remained 2-fold greater than among persons without DM.31

- Data from these earlier and later time periods in Framingham also suggest that the increasing prevalence of DM is leading to an increasing rate of CVD, resulting in part from CVD risk factors that commonly accompany DM. The age- and sex-adjusted HR for DM as a CVD risk factor was 3.0 in the earlier time period and 2.5 in the later time period. Because the prevalence of DM has increased over time, the population-attributable risk for DM as a CVD risk factor increased from 5.4% in the earlier time period to 8.7% in the later time period (attributable risk ratio 1.62, P = 0.04). Adjustment for CVD risk factors (age, sex, hypertension, current smoking, high cholesterol, and obesity) weakened this attributable risk ratio to 1.5 (P = 0.12).32

- Other data from Framingham show that over 30 years, CVD among women with diabetes was 54.8% among normal-weight women but 78.8% among obese women. Among normal-weight men with diabetes, the lifetime risk of CVD was 78.6%, whereas it was 86.9% among obese men.33

- Other studies show that the increased prevalence of DM is being followed by an increasing prevalence of CVD
mortality and mortality. New York City death certificate data for 1989–1991 and 1999–2001 and hospital discharge data for 1988–2002 show increases in all-cause and cause-specific mortality between 1990 and 2000, as well as in annual hospitalization rates for DM and its complications among patients hospitalized with acute MI (AMI) and/or DM. During this decade, all-cause and cause-specific mortality rates declined, although not for patients with DM; rates increased 61% and 52% for diabetic men and women, respectively, as did hospitalization rates for DM and its complications. The percentage of all AMIs occurring in patients with DM increased from 21% to 36%, and the absolute number more than doubled, from 2951 to 6048. Although hospital days for AMI fell overall, for those with DM, they increased 51% (from 34 188 to 51 566). These data suggest that increases in DM rates threaten the long-established nationwide trend toward reduced coronary artery events.

- In an analysis of provincial health claims data for adults living in Ontario, Canada, between 1992 and 2000, the rate of patients admitted for AMI and stroke fell to a greater extent in the diabetic than the nondiabetic population (AMI: −15.1% versus −9.1%, P = 0.0001; stroke: −24.2% versus −19.4%, P = 0.0001). Diabetic patients experienced similar reductions in case fatality rates related to AMI and stroke as those without DM (−44.1% versus −33.2%, P = 0.1; −17.1% versus −16.6%, P = 0.9, respectively) and similarly comparable declines in all-cause mortality. Over the same period, the number of DM cases increased by 165%, which translates to a marked increase in the proportion of CVD events occurring among patients with DM: AMI, 44.6%; stroke, 26.1%; AMI deaths, 17.2%; and stroke deaths, 13.2%.

- In the same data set, the transition to a high-risk category (an event rate equivalent to a 10-year risk of ≥20% or an event rate equivalent to that associated with previous MI) occurred at a younger age for men and women with DM than for those without DM (mean difference 14.6 years). For the outcome of AMI, stroke, or death due to any cause, diabetic men and women entered the high-risk category at 47.9 and 54.3 years of age, respectively. The data suggest that DM confers a risk equivalent to aging 15 years. In North America, diverse data show lower rates of CVD among diabetic persons, but as the prevalence of DM has risen, so has the absolute burden of CVD, especially among middle-aged and older individuals.

Risk Factors

- Data from the 2004 National Healthcare Disparities Report (AHRQ, US Department of Health and Human Services) found that only approximately one third of adults with DM received all 5 interventions recommended for comprehensive DM care in 2001. The proportion receiving all 5 interventions was lower among blacks than whites and among Hispanics than non-Hispanic whites. In multivariate models that controlled for age, gender, income, education, insurance, and residence location, blacks were 38% less likely and Hispanics were 33% less likely than their respective comparison groups to receive all recommended interventions in 2001.

- Between NHANES III 1988–1994 (NCHS) and NHANES 1999–2002 (NCHS), considerable differences were found among ethnic groups in glycemic control rates among adults with type 2 DM. Among non-Hispanic whites, the controlled rates were 43.8% in 1988–1994 and 48.4% in 1999–2002. For non-Hispanic blacks, the rates were 41.2% and 36.5%, respectively. For Mexican Americans, the respective rates were 34.5% and 34.2%.

- In 1 large academic medical center, outpatients with type 2 DM were observed during an 18-month period for proportions of patients who had HbA1c levels, BP, or total cholesterol levels measured; who had been prescribed any drug therapy if HbA1c levels, SBP, or LDL cholesterol levels exceeded recommended treatment goals; and who had been prescribed greater-than-starting-dose therapy if these values were above treatment goals. Patients were less likely to have cholesterol levels measured (76%) than HbA1c levels (92%) or BP (99%; P < 0.0001 for each comparison). The proportion of patients who received any drug therapy was greater for above-goal HbA1c (92%) than for above-goal SBP (78%) or LDL cholesterol (38%; P < 0.0001 for each comparison). Similarly, patients whose HbA1c levels were above the treatment goal (80%) were more likely to receive greater-than-starting-dose therapy than were those who had above-goal SBP (62%) and LDL cholesterol levels (13%; P < 0.0001).

- Data from the same academic medical center also showed that CVD risk factors among women with DM were managed less aggressively than among men with DM. Women were less likely than men to have HbA1c <7% (without CHD: adjusted OR for women versus men 0.84, P = 0.005; with CHD: 0.63, P < 0.0001). Women without CHD were less likely than men to be treated with lipid-lowering medication (0.82; P = 0.01) or, when treated, to have LDL cholesterol levels <100 mg/dL (0.75; P = 0.004) and were less likely than men to be prescribed aspirin (0.63; P < 0.0001). Women with DM and CHD were less likely than men to be prescribed aspirin (0.70, P < 0.0001) and, when treated for hypertension or hyperlipidemia, were less likely to have BP levels <130/80 mm Hg (0.75, P < 0.0001) or LDL cholesterol levels <100 mg/dL (0.80, P = 0.006).

- In 2001–2002, among adults ≥18 years of age with diabetes, 50.2% were not at goal for HbA1c (<7%), 64.6% were not at goal for LDL cholesterol (<100 mg/dL), and 53% were not at goal for BP (<130/80 mm Hg). Moreover, 48.6% were not at recommended levels of triglycerides (<150 mg/dL in women). Only 5.3% of men and 12.7% of women were simultaneously at goal for HbA1c, LDL cholesterol, and BP.
Hospitalizations

Youth

• National Inpatient Sample data from 1993–2004 were analyzed for individuals 0 to 29 years of age with a diagnosis of diabetes. Rates of hospitalizations increased by 38%. Hospitalization rates were higher for females (42%) than for males (29%). Inflation-adjusted total charges for diabetes hospitalizations increased 130%, from $1.05 billion in 1993 to $2.42 billion in 2004.42

Cost

In 2007, the direct ($116 billion) and indirect ($58 billion) cost attributable to DM was $174 billion.43
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### Table 14.1. Diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Ages</td>
<td>17 000 000 (7.7%)</td>
<td>6 400 000 (2.9%)</td>
<td>57 000 000 (25.9%)</td>
<td>1 600 000$</td>
<td>75 119</td>
<td>584 000</td>
<td>174 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>7 500 000 (7.4%)</td>
<td>3 900 000 (3.8%)</td>
<td>34 000 000 (31.7%)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>36 538 (48.6%)</td>
<td>283 000</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>9 500 000 (8.0%)</td>
<td>2 500 000 (2.1%)</td>
<td>23 000 000 (19.9%)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>38 581 (51.4%)</td>
<td>301 000</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white males</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>29 628</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH white females</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>30 127</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black males</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>5730</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH black females</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>7240</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American males</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexican American females</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino, age ≥18 y</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian, age ≥18 y</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI/AN, age ≥18 y</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ellipses ( . . .) indicate data not available; NH, non-Hispanic; and AI/AN, American Indian/Alaska Native.

Undiagnosed DM is defined here as those whose fasting glucose is ≥126 mg/dL but who did not report being told by a healthcare provider that they had DM. Prediabetes is a fasting blood glucose of 100 to <126 mg/dL (impaired fasting glucose). Prediabetes includes impaired glucose tolerance.

*These percentages represent the portion of total DM mortality that is for males vs females.

†NHIS. Data are age-adjusted estimates for Americans ≥18 years of age.

‡Mortality data are for whites and blacks and include Hispanics.


15. End-Stage Renal Disease and Chronic Kidney Disease

ICD-10 N18.0. See Tables 15-1 and 15-2.

End-stage renal disease (ESRD) is a condition that is most commonly associated with diabetes and/or HBP and occurs when the kidneys can no longer function normally on their own. When this happens, patients are required to undergo treatment such as hemodialysis, peritoneal dialysis, or kidney transplantation. ESRD morbidity rates vary dramatically among different age, race, ethnicity, and sex population groups. Morbidity rates tend to increase with age and then fall off for the oldest group. The age group with the highest incidence rate is 75 to 79 years of age; the age group with the highest prevalence rate is 70 to 74 years of age.

- The incidence of reported ESRD has increased ≈40% in the past 10 years.1
- In 2005, 106,912 new cases of ESRD were reported.1
- The number of persons treated for ESRD increased from 68,757 in 1994 to 102,356 in 2004; this translates to an increase of 261.3 per 1 million population in 1994 to 348.6 per 1 million population in 2004.2
- Data from the US Renal Data System show that in 2005, 85,790 patients died of ESRD.1
- In 2004, mortality rates for those ≥65 years of age receiving dialysis were 7 times greater than those of the general Medicare population.2

Abbreviations Used in Chapter 15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARF</td>
<td>acute renal failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>body mass index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>congestive heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKD</td>
<td>chronic kidney disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CKF</td>
<td>chronic kidney failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>cardiovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>diabetes mellitus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR</td>
<td>estimated glomerular filtration rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESRD</td>
<td>end-stage renal disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBP</td>
<td>high blood pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL</td>
<td>high-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMO</td>
<td>health maintenance organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JNC</td>
<td>Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kg/m²</td>
<td>kilograms per square meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K/DOQI</td>
<td>Kidney Disease Outcome Quality Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>low-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mL/min per 1.73 m²</td>
<td>first morning urine protein/creatinine ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHS</td>
<td>National Center for Health Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHANES</td>
<td>National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NKF</td>
<td>National Kidney Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td>relative risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDHHS</td>
<td>US Department of Health and Human Services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- More than 17,400 kidney transplantations were performed in 2005.1
- Diabetes continues to be the most common reported cause of ESRD, followed by hypertension and glomerulonephritis.2 These 3 diseases accounted for 80% of cases of ESRD between 1994 and 2004.2
- From 1994 to 2004, ESRD attributed to glomerulonephritis decreased among all races analyzed.2
- From 1994 to 2004, ESRD attributed to glomerulonephritis was highest among blacks.2
- ESRD attributed to diabetes or hypertension decreased for American Indians/Alaska Natives and Asians/Pacific Islanders but not for whites or blacks from 1999 to 2004.2 This decrease is particularly impressive given the increasing prevalence of diabetes among American Indians/Alaska Natives.
- The CDC analyzed 1990–2002 data from the US Renal Data System, which showed that diabetes was the leading cause of ESRD, accounting for 44% of new cases in 2002. Although new cases of ESRD-attributed diabetes mellitus (DM) increased overall, the incidence of ESRD-attributed diabetes is not increasing among blacks, Hispanics, men, and people 65 to 74 years of age, and it is declining in people <65 years of age, women, and whites.3
- Between 1996 and 1997, 3.2% of the Medicare population had a diagnosis of CKD, representing 63.6% of people who progressed to ESRD after 1 year.4
- Data from a large HMO population reveal that among adults with a GFR >60 mL/min per 1.73 m² and no evidence of proteinuria or hematuria at baseline, risks for ESRD increased dramatically with higher baseline BP level, and in this same patient population, BP-associated risks were greater in men than in women and in blacks than in whites5 (see also Table 15-1).
- Results from a large, community-based population showed that higher BMI also independently increased the risk of ESRD. The higher risk of ESRD with overweight and obesity was consistent across age, sex, race, and the presence or absence of diabetes, hypertension, or known baseline kidney disease6 (see also Table 15-2).
- Among persons with a reported hospitalization for acute renal failure (ARF) in 2005, 23.1% had ARF as their first-listed diagnosis, whereas 6.9% had septicemia, 6.4% had CHF, and 5.9% had acute myocardial infarction as their first-listed diagnosis. In 1980, DM was reported as an additional discharge diagnosis for 23.4% of kidney disease hospitalizations. This proportion peaked at 39.0% in 1996; DM was associated with 27.0% of kidney disease hospitalizations in 2005. The proportion of kidney disease hospitalizations with hypertension listed among discharge diagnoses increased from 19.6% in 1980 to 41.1% in 2005 (unpublished data from the National Hospital Discharge survey, 2006).

Age, Sex, Race, and Ethnicity

- Children with pediatric ESRD have high transplantation rates. Time to first transplantation appears to be increasing. From 1996 to 2000, 75% of children ≤10 years of age and 90.3% of those >10 years of age received a transplant
The prevalence of CKD rose as age increased as follows: 11

- The median age of the population with ESRD is 57.9 years (58.8 years for whites, 56.3 years for blacks, 55.4 years for Hispanics, 58.3 years for Asians, and 56.5 years for Native Americans). 1
- Treatment of ESRD is more common in men than in women.
- Blacks and Native Americans have much higher rates of ESRD than do whites and Asians. Blacks represent nearly 29% of treated ESRD patients. 1
- Without treatment, ESRD is fatal. Even with dialysis treatment, 18% of ESRD patients die yearly. 7
- The percentage of hemodialysis patients with a urea reduction ratio ≥65 increased from 84% in 2001 to 88% in 2005. 7

### Chronic Kidney Disease

#### Prevalence

- CKD is a serious health condition and a worldwide public health problem. The incidence and prevalence of CKD are increasing in the United States and are associated with poor outcomes and a very high cost to our healthcare system. Controversy exists over whether CKD is itself an independent risk factor for incident CVD, but it is clear that persons with CKD, as well as those with ESRD, represent a population at very high CVD risk. The US Renal Data System estimates that by 2010, 650,000 Americans will require treatment for kidney failure, 8,9 representing a 60% increase from those who received such treatment in 2001. 10
- The NKF K/DOQI developed guidelines providing a standardized definition for CKD in 2002. The most recent US prevalence estimates of CKD, with the use of K/DOQI guidelines, come from NHANES 1999–2004 (NCHS) in adults ≥20 years of age. 11
- The prevalence of CKD (stages I to V) 1 is 16.8%. This represents an increase from the 14.5% prevalence estimate from NHANES 1988–1994 (NCHS) (recalculated).
- The prevalence of GFR ≥90 mL/min per 1.73 m² with kidney damage (ie, presence of albuminuria) is 5.7%.
- The prevalence of stage I CKD (eGFR 60 to 90 mL/min per 1.73 m² with kidney damage) is 5.4%.
- The prevalence of stage II CKD (eGFR 45 to 59 mL/min per 1.73 m²) is 5.4%.
- The prevalence of stages IV and V CKD (eGFR <29 mL/min per 1.73 m²) is 0.4%.
- Nearly 26 million people in the United States have CKD, and another 20 million are at increased risk for CKD. 12
- Self-reported awareness of poor kidney function is associated with the degree of CKD; in 1999–2000, 24.3% were aware of their disease with an eGFR of 15 to 59 mL/min per 1.73 m² and albuminuria, whereas 1.1% were aware of decreased kidney function with an eGFR ≥90 mL/min per 1.73 m² and no albuminuria. 9

#### Demographics

- The prevalence of CKD rose as age increased as follows: 11:
  - 8.5% for those 20 to 39 years of age;
  - 12.6% for those 40 to 59 years of age; and
  - 39.4% for those ≥60 years of age.
- CKD was more prevalent among those with less than a high school education (22.1%) than among those with at least a high school education (15.7%). 11
- CKD prevalence was greater among those with diabetes (40.2%), hypertension (24.6%), and CVD (28.2%) than among those without these chronic conditions. 11
- The prevalence of CKD was higher among Mexican Americans (18.7%) and non-Hispanic blacks (19.9%) than among non-Hispanic whites (16.1%). This disparity was most evident for those with stage I CKD; non-Hispanic whites had a CKD prevalence of 4.2% compared with prevalences among Mexican Americans and non-Hispanic blacks of 10.2% and 9.4%, respectively. 11

#### Risk Factors

- Many traditional CVD risk factors are also risk factors for CKD, including older age, male sex, hypertension, diabetes, elevated LDL, low levels of HDL, smoking, physical inactivity, menopause, and family history of CVD.
- Other risk factors include systemic conditions such as autoimmune diseases, systemic infections, and drug exposure, as well as anatomically local conditions such as urinary tract infections, urinary stones, lower urinary tract obstruction, and neoplasia. Even after adjustment for these risk factors, excess CVD risk remains. 13
- Many clinical risk factors for CKD are the same as those for CVD.
- Proteinuria is a strong independent risk factor for decline in eGFR, regardless of diabetes status, and is associated with many of the same CVD risk factors as those for CKD. 14,15

#### ESRD/CKD and CVD

- CVD is the leading cause of death for those with ESRD.
  - CVD mortality is 5 to 30 times higher in dialysis patients than in subjects from the general population of the same age, sex, and race. 16,17
  - Individuals with less severe forms of kidney disease are also at significantly increased risk. 16
  - CKD is a risk factor for recurrent cardiovascular events. 18
  - Management of CVD differs and is more complex in patients with CKD. 19
- Studies from a broad range of cohorts demonstrate an association between reduced eGFR and elevated risk of CVD, CVD outcomes, and all-cause death, 20–26 but data are inconsistent with regard to whether these elevated risks are independent of other known major CVD risk factors.
- Any degree of albuminuria, starting below the microalbuminuria cut point, has been shown to be an independent risk factor for cardiovascular events, CHF hospitalization, and all-cause death in a wide variety of cohorts. 27–31
- A number of consensus documents, including statements from the NKF Task Force 32 and American Heart Association (2003), 16 have indicated that persons with CKD should be considered part of the highest-risk group for CVD.
Hospitalizations

- In 2006, an estimated 315,000 hospitalizations with a first-listed discharge diagnosis of ARF and 35,000 with a first-listed discharge diagnosis of chronic kidney failure (CKF) occurred in the United States.12
- From 1980 to 2005, kidney disease was listed as a diagnosis in ~10 million hospitalizations. The annual number of hospitalizations with a recorded diagnosis of kidney disease quadrupled during this period, from ~416,000 in 1980 to 1,646,000 in 2005. Age-adjusted hospitalization rates per 10,000 population increased from 20.6% in 1980 to 54.6% in 2005. Kidney disease hospitalization rates were consistently 30% to 40% higher among men than among women. The rates for both sexes increased during 1980–2005, from 25.0 to 66.6 per 10,000 in men and from 17.8 to 45.8 per 10,000 in women.12

Cost–ESRD

- The total annual cost of treating ESRD in the United States was approximately $33 billion in 2005.12
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Table 15-1. BP and the Adjusted Risk of ESRD Among 316,675 Adults Without Evidence of Baseline Kidney Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JNC V BP Category</th>
<th>Adjusted RR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Optimal</td>
<td>1.00 (Reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal, not optimal</td>
<td>1.62 (1.27–2.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High normal</td>
<td>1.98 (1.55–2.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 1</td>
<td>2.59 (2.07–3.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2</td>
<td>3.86 (3.00–4.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 3</td>
<td>3.88 (2.82–5.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 4</td>
<td>4.25 (2.63–6.86)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 15-2. Multivariable Association Between BMI and Risk of ESRD Among 320,252 Adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI, kg/m²</th>
<th>Adjusted RR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18.5–24.9 (normal weight)</td>
<td>1.00 (Reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.0–29.9 (overweight)</td>
<td>1.87 (1.64–2.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0–34.9 (class I obesity)</td>
<td>3.57 (3.05–4.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.0–39.9 (class II obesity)</td>
<td>6.12 (4.97–7.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥40.0 (extreme obesity)</td>
<td>7.07 (5.37–9.31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
16. Metabolic Syndrome

- The term metabolic syndrome (MetS) refers to a cluster of risk factors for CVD and type 2 DM. Several different definitions for MetS are in use; in the United States, the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (ATP III) definition and its 2 subsequent revisions have been most commonly used. By this definition, MetS is diagnosed when ≥3 of the following 5 risk factors are present:
  - Fasting plasma glucose ≥100 mg/dL or on drug treatment for elevated glucose.
  - HDL cholesterol <40 mg/dL in men or <50 mg/dL in women or on drug treatment for reduced HDL cholesterol.
  - Triglycerides ≥150 mg/dL or on drug treatment for elevated triglycerides.
  - Waist circumference ≥102 cm in men or ≥88 cm in women.
  - BP ≥130 mm Hg systolic or 85 mm Hg diastolic or drug treatment for hypertension or on antihypertensive drug treatment in a patient with a history of hypertension.

- The clinical utility of MetS continues to be the subject of vigorous debate.2,3

Adults

- On the basis of NHANES 1999–2002 data, the age-adjusted prevalence of MetS according to the 2004 AHA/NHLBI revision of the 2001 ATP III definition for adults2 was 34.6%.3

  - Applying the unadjusted prevalence of 34.5% to the 2007 population estimates for US adults ≥20 years of age yields an estimated 76 million US residents with MetS.

Children/Adolescents

- On the basis of NHANES 1999–2002 data, the prevalence of the MetS in adolescents 12 to 19 years of age was 9.4%, representing ~2.9 million persons. It was 13.2% in males, 5.3% in females, 10.7% in whites, 5.2% in blacks, and 11.1% in Mexican Americans.4

  - In 1999–2004, ~4.5% of United States adolescents 12 to 17 years of age had the MetS according to the definition developed by the International Diabetes Federation.5 In 2006, this prevalence would have represented ~1.1 million adolescents 12 to 17 years of age with MetS. It increased from 1.2% among those 12 to 13 years of age to 7.1% among those 14 to 15 years of age and was higher among males (6.7%) than females (2.1%). Furthermore, 4.5% of white adolescents, 3.0% of black adolescents, and 7.1% of Mexican American adolescents had the MetS. The prevalence of MetS remained relatively stable during successive 2-year periods: 4.5% for 1999 to 2000, 4.4% to 4.5% for 2001 to 2002, and 3.7% to 3.9% for 2003 to 2004.

  - Among overweight or obese adolescents, 44% had the MetS.4 Two thirds of all adolescents had at least 1 metabolic abnormality.6

  - MetS categorization in adolescents is not stable. Approximately half of 1098 adolescent participants in the Princeton School District Study diagnosed with pediatric ATP III MetS lost the diagnosis over 3 years of follow-up.7

  - Of 31 participants in the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Lipid Research Clinics Princeton Prevalence Study and the Princeton Follow-up Study who had MetS at baseline, 21 (68%) had MetS 25 years later.8 After adjustment for age, sex, and race, the baseline status of MetS was significantly associated with an increased risk of having the MetS during adulthood (OR, 6.2; 95% CI, 2.8 to 13.8).

  - In the Bogalusa Heart Study, 4 variables (BMI, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance, ratio of triglycerides to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and mean arterial pressure) considered to be part of the MetS clustered together in blacks and whites and in children and adults.9 The degree of clustering was stronger among adults.
than children. The clustering of rates of change in the components of the MetS in blacks exceeded that in whites.

Risk

Adults

- Consistent with 2 earlier meta-analyses, a recent meta-analysis of prospective studies concluded that the MetS increased the risk of developing CVD (summary RR, 1.78; 95% CI, 1.58 to 2.00).10 The risk of CVD tended to be higher in women (summary RR, 2.63) than men (summary RR, 1.98) \((P=0.09)\). On the basis of results from 3 studies, the MetS remained a predictor of cardiovascular events after adjustment for the individual components of the syndrome (summary RR, 1.54; 95% CI, 1.32 to 1.79).

- Several studies suggest that the Framingham Risk Score is a better predictor of incident CVD than the MetS.11–13 In the San Antonio Heart Study, the area under the receiver-operating characteristic curve (aROC) was 0.816 for the Framingham Risk Score and 0.811 for the Framingham Risk Score plus the MetS.11 Furthermore, the sensitivity for CVD at a fixed specificity was significantly higher for the Framingham Risk Score than MetS. In the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study, the MetS did not improve the risk prediction achieved by the Framingham Risk Score.12 In the British Regional Heart Study, the aROC for the Framingham Risk Score was 0.73 for incident coronary heart disease during 10 years of follow-up, and the aROC for the number of MetS components was 0.63.13 For coronary heart disease events during 20 years of follow-up, the aROCs were 0.68 for the Framingham Risk Score and 0.59 for the number of MetS components.

- Estimates of relative risk for CVD generally increase as the number of components of MetS increases.13,14 Compared with men without an abnormal component in the Framingham Offspring Study, the hazard ratios for CVD were 1.48 (95% CI, 0.69 to 3.16) for men with 1 or 2 components and 3.99 (95% CI, 1.89 to 8.41) for men with ≥3 components.14 Among women, the hazard ratios were 3.39 (95% CI, 1.31 to 8.81) for 1 or 2 components and 5.95 (95% CI, 2.20 to 16.11) for ≥3 components. Compared with men without a metabolic abnormality in the British Regional Heart Study, the hazard ratios were 1.74 (95% CI, 1.22 to 2.39) for 1 component, 2.34 (95% CI, 1.65 to 3.32) for 2 components, 2.88 (95% CI, 2.02 to 4.11) for 3 components, and 3.44 (95% CI, 2.35 to 5.03) for 4 or 5 components.13

Children

- Few prospective pediatric studies have examined the future risk for CVD or diabetes according to baseline MetS status. Data of 771 participants 6 to 19 years of age from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Lipid Research Clinics Princeton Prevalence Study and the Princeton Follow-up Study found that the risk of developing CVD was substantially higher among those with the MetS than among those without this syndrome (OR, 14.6; 95% CI, 4.8 to 45.3) who were followed up for 25 years.8

- Another analysis of 814 participants of this cohort showed that those 5 to 19 years of age who had the MetS at baseline had an increased risk of having diabetes 25 to 30 years later compared with those who did not have the syndrome at baseline (OR, 11.5; 95% CI, 2.1 to 63.7).15

Risk Factors

- In prospective or retrospective cohort studies, the following factors have been reported as being directly associated with incident MetS defined using one of the major definitions: age,15–18 low educational attainment,16,19 smoking,19,20,21 low levels of physical activity,19–24 low levels of physical fitness,22,25–27 intake of soft drinks,28 intake of diet soda,29 magnesium intake,30 energy intake,24 carbohydrate intake,16,20,31 total fat intake,16,31 Western dietary pattern,29 meat intake,29 intake of fried foods,29 heavy alcohol consumption,32 abstention from alcohol use,16 parental history of diabetes,15 chronic stress at work,31 pediatric MetS,15 obesity or BMI,16,17,20,24,34 childhood obesity,35 waist circumference,18,31,36–39 intraabdominal fat,40 gain in weight or BMI,16,41 change in weight or BMI,18,20,42 weight fluctuation,43 blood pressure,18,31,38,44 heart rate,45 homeostasis model assessment,39,46 fasting insulin,16,47 2-hour insulin,36 proinsulin,36 fasting glucose or hyperglycemia,18,36,38 2-hour glucose,36 impaired glucose tolerance,36 triglycerides,18,31,34,36,38 low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol,18,31,34,36,38 oxidized low-density lipoprotein,47 uric acid,48 gamma-glutamyltransferase,42,49,50 alanine transaminase,42,49,51,52 plasminogen activator inhibitor-1,53 aldosterone,53 leptin,54 C-reactive protein,55,56 adipocyte–fatty acid binding protein,46 and free testosterone index.57

- The following factors have been reported as being inversely associated with incident MetS defined using one of the major definitions in prospective or retrospective cohort studies: muscular strength,58 change in physical activity or fitness,20,25 alcohol intake,19,24 Mediterranean diet,59 dairy consumption,60 insulin sensitivity,61 ratio of aspartate aminotransferase to alanine transaminase,51 total testosterone,57,60,61 sex hormone–binding globulin,57,60,61 and delta(5)-desaturase activity.62

- Furthermore, men were more likely to develop the MetS than were women,16,18 and blacks were shown to be less likely to develop the MetS than were whites.16
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17. Nutrition

See Tables 17-1 and 17-2 and Charts 17-1 through 17-3.

This chapter of the update highlights national nutritional intake data focusing on foods, nutrients, dietary patterns, and other dietary factors that are related to cardiometabolic health. It is intended to examine current intakes, trends and changes in intakes, and estimated effects on disease to support and further stimulate efforts to monitor and improve dietary habits in relation to cardiovascular health.

Prevalence

Foods and Nutrients: Adults

See Table 17-1; NHANES 2005–2006; personal communication with Dariush Mozaffarian (December 2008).

The dietary consumption by US adults of selected foods and nutrients related to cardiometabolic health is detailed in Table 17-1, according to sex and ethnic subgroups:

- Average consumption of whole grains by white and black men and women was between 0.5 and 0.7 servings per day, with only between 3% and 5% of white and black adults consuming ≥3 servings per day. Average whole grain consumption by Mexican Americans was ≈2 servings per day, with 22% to 28% consuming ≥3 servings per day.
- Average fruit consumption ranged from 1.1 to 1.8 servings per day in these sex and ethnic subgroups; 8% to 11% of whites, 6% to 9% of blacks, and 6% to 10% of Mexican Americans consumed ≥4 servings per day. Including 100% fruit juices, servings consumed and proportions of adults consuming ≥4 servings per day approximately doubled.
- Average vegetable consumption ranged from 1.2 to 2.1 servings per day; 11% to 14% of whites, 5% to 10% of blacks, and 3% to 5% of Mexican Americans consumed ≥5 servings per day. Including vegetable juices and sauces generally produced little change in these consumption patterns.
- Average consumption of fish and shellfish was lowest among white women (1.4 servings per week) and highest among black and Mexican American men (1.7 servings per week); between 75% and >80% of adults in each sex and ethnic subgroup consumed <2 servings per week. About 6% of whites, 7% of blacks, and 6% to 7% of Mexican Americans consumed ≥4 servings per day.
- Average consumption of nuts, legumes, and seeds was 0% to 2 servings per week among Mexican American men and women; 3 servings per week among Mexican American men (1.7 servings per week); between 75% and >80% of adults in each sex and ethnic subgroup consumed <2 servings per week among Mexican American women and men, respectively. About 18% of whites, 14% to 17% of blacks, and 36% to 46% of Mexican Americans consumed ≥4 servings per week.
- Average consumption of processed meats was lowest among Mexican American women (1.5 servings per week) and highest among black men (3.7 servings per week). Between 40% (Mexican American women) and 68% (black men) of adults consumed ≥1 serving per week.
- Average consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages ranged from 0% to 6 servings per week among white women to 18 servings per week among Mexican American men. About 51% and 32% of white men and women; 76% and 66% of black men and women; and 78% and 61% of Mexican American men and women, respectively, consumed >36 oz (4.5 eight-ounce servings) per week.
- Average consumption of sweets and bakery desserts ranged from 0% to 4 servings per day (Mexican American men) to 8 servings per day (white men). About two thirds of white and black men and women; and half of Mexican American men and women consumed >25 servings per week.
- Between 33% and 54% of adults in each sex and ethnic subgroup consumed <10% of total calories from saturated fat, and between 59% and 69% consumed <300 mg/d of dietary cholesterol.
About 3% to 7% of whites, 2% to 3% of blacks, and 11% to 12% of Mexican Americans consumed \( \geq 28 \text{ g/d of dietary fiber} \).

About 7% to 13% of whites, 9% to 10% of blacks, and 17% to 24% of Mexican Americans consumed \( < 2.3 \text{ g/d of sodium} \).

**Foods and Nutrients: Children and Teenagers**

See Table 17-2; NHANES 2005–2006; personal communication with Dariush Mozaffarian (December 2008).

The dietary consumption by US children and teenagers of selected foods and nutrients related to cardiometabolic health is detailed in Table 17-2:

- Average whole grain consumption was low, ranging from 0.4 to 0.5 servings per day, with \( \leq 4\% \) of children in different age and sex subgroups consuming \( \geq 3 \) servings per day.
- Average fruit consumption was low: 1.5 and 1.3 servings per day in younger boys and girls (5 to 9 years of age), 1.3 servings per day in adolescent boys and girls (10 to 14 years of age), and 0.8 servings per day in teenage boys and girls (15 to 19 years of age). The proportion consuming \( \geq 4 \) servings per day was low and decreased with age: 6% in those 5 to 9 years of age, 6% to 8% in those 10 to 14 years of age, and 3% to 4% in those 15 to 19 years of age. When 100% fruit juices were included, servings consumed approximately doubled or tripled, and proportions consuming \( \geq 4 \) servings per day were 18% to 19% in those 5 to 9 years of age, 16% in those 10 to 14 years of age, and 10% to 14% in those 15 to 19 years of age.
- Average vegetable consumption was low, ranging from 0.8 to 0.9 servings per day, with only up to 2% of children in different age and sex subgroups consuming \( \geq 5 \) servings per day.
- Average consumption of fish and shellfish was low, ranging from between 0.6 and 0.8 servings per week in 5- to 9-year-olds, 0.4 to 1.1 servings per week in 10- to 14-year-olds, and 0.6 to 0.7 servings per week in 15- to 19-year-olds. Among all ages, \( \approx 15\% \) of children and teenagers consumed \( \geq 2 \) servings per week.
- Average consumption of nuts, legumes, and seeds ranged from 1.0 to 1.2 servings per week among 15- to 19-year-olds to 1.4 to 1.7 servings per week at younger ages. Between 9% and 13% of children in different age and sex subgroups consumed \( \geq 4 \) servings per week.
- Average consumption of processed meats ranged from 2.1 to 3.4 servings per week; was uniformly higher than the average consumption of nuts, legumes, and seeds; and was up to 6 times higher than the average consumption of fish and shellfish. Between 42% and 60% of children consumed \( \geq 2 \) servings per week.
- Average consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages was higher in boys compared with girls and was about 8 servings per week in 5- to 9-year-olds, 11 to 14 servings per week in 10- to 14-year-olds, and 15 to 23 servings per week in 15- to 19-year-olds. This was generally considerably higher than the average consumption of whole grains; fruits; vegetables; fish and shellfish; or nuts, legumes, and seeds. Only between 13% (boys 15 to 19 years of age) and 40% (boys and girls 5 to 9 years of age) of children consumed \( \leq 4.5 \) servings per week.
- Average consumption of sweets and bakery desserts was \( \approx 10 \) servings per week in 5- to 9-year-olds and 10- to 14-year-olds and 6 to 9 servings per week in 15- to 19-year-olds. From 82% (5 to 9 years of age) to 59% (15 to 19 years of age) of youths consumed \( \geq 2.5 \) servings per week.
- Average consumption of EPA+DHA was low, ranging from \( \approx 40 \) to 80 mg/d in boys and girls at all ages. Only between 0.4% and 2.5% of children and teenagers at all ages consumed \( \approx 500 \) mg/d.
- Average consumption of saturated fat was between 11% and 12% of calories, and average consumption of dietary cholesterol was \( \approx 230 \) mg/d. About one fifth to one third of children consumed \( < 10\% \) energy from saturated fat; and \( \approx 80\% \) consumed \( < 300 \) mg/d of dietary cholesterol.
- Average consumption of dietary fiber ranged from 11 to 14 g/d. Less than 2% of children in different age and sex subgroups consumed \( \geq 28 \) g/d.
- Average consumption of sodium ranged from 3.0 to 3.4 g/d. Between 6% and 12% of children in different age and sex subgroups consumed \( < 2.3 \) g/d.

**Energy Balance**

Energy balance, or consumption of total calories appropriate for needs, is determined by the balance of average calories consumed versus expended, with this balance depending on multiple factors, including calories consumed, PA, body size, age, sex, and underlying basal metabolic rate. Thus, one individual may consume relatively high calories but have negative energy balance (as a result of even greater calories expended), whereas another individual may consume relatively few calories but have positive energy balance (because of low calories expended). Given such variation, the most practical and reasonable method to assess energy balance is to assess changes in weight over time (see Trends below).

- Average total caloric intake in the United States is \( \approx 2500 \) calories in adult men and 1800 calories in adult women (see Table 17-1). In children and teenagers, average caloric intake is higher in boys versus girls and increases with age in boys (see Table 17-2).
- Individual nutritional determinants of positive energy balance (more calories consumed than expended), as determined by adiposity or weight gain, include larger portion sizes, \(^2,3^2 \) higher intake of sugar-sweetened beverages, \(^3,4^3 \) and greater consumption of fast food and commercially prepared meals. \(^5,9^5 \)
- Each of these dietary factors has multiple influences; eg, preferences for portion size are related to body mass index, socioeconomic status, eating in fast food restaurants, and television watching. \(^10,11^10 \) Portion sizes are largest at fast food restaurants compared with home or other restaurants. \(^12^12 \)
- Between 1999 and 2004, 53% of Americans consumed an average of 1 to 3 restaurant meals per week, and 23% consumed \( \geq 4 \) restaurant meals per week. \(^11^11 \) In 1999–2000, 41% of US adults consumed \( \geq 3 \) commercially prepared meals per week. \(^8^8 \) In 2004, spending on food away from
home, including restaurant meals, catered affairs, and food on out-of-town trips, accounted for 42% of average annual food expenditures.13

- Macronutrient composition of the diet such as percent calories from total fat or total carbohydrate does not appear to be strongly associated with energy balance, as ascertained by weight gain or loss.14–16 Preliminary evidence suggests that aspects of dietary quality, rather than composition, such as extent of processing of carbohydrates consumed,16 consumption of trans fat,17–19 and energy density20–22 may be associated with energy imbalance, as assessed by changes in adiposity or weight, but such data are still emerging. Randomized controlled trials in obese individuals generally show modestly greater weight loss with low-carbohydrate versus low-fat diets at 6 months, but at 1 year, such differences diminish, and a diet focusing on dietary quality and whole foods may be most successful.23–25

- Other individual factors associated with positive energy balance (weight gain) include greater television watching (particularly as related to greater food consumption)26–31 and lower average sleep duration, particularly among children.32

- Societal and environmental factors related to energy imbalance (weight gain), as mediated by either caloric consumption or expenditure, include education, income, race/ethnicity, and local conditions such as availability of grocery stores, types of restaurants, safety, parks and open spaces, and walking or biking paths.33–35 PA is covered in a separate chapter of this update.

Dietary Patterns

In addition to individual foods and nutrients, overall dietary patterns can be used to assess more global dietary quality. Different dietary patterns have been defined, including the Healthy Eating Index (HEI), Alternative Health Eating Index (AHEI), Western versus prudent dietary patterns, Mediterranean dietary pattern, and DASH-type diet.

- In 1999–2004, only 19.4% of hypertensive US adults were following a DASH-type diet (based on fiber, magnesium, calcium, sodium, potassium, protein, total fat, saturated fat, and cholesterol). This represented a decrease from 26.7% of hypertensive US adults in 1988–1994.16

- Among older US adults (≥60 years of age) in 1999–2002, 72% met guidelines for dietary cholesterol intake, but only between 18% and 32% met guidelines for the HEI food groups (meats, dairy, fruits, vegetables, and grains). On the basis of the HEI score, only 17% of older US adults consumed a good-quality diet. Higher HEI scores were seen in white adults and individuals with greater education; lower HEI scores were seen in black adults and smokers.37

Dietary Supplements

Use of dietary supplements is common in the United States among both adults and children:

- Half (52%) of US adults in 1999–2000 used dietary supplements, with the most common supplement being multivitamins and multiminerals (67% of supplement users). Most supplements were taken daily and for at least 2 years. Supplement use was associated with older age, higher education, greater PA, wine intake, lower body mass index, and white race.38

- One third (32%) of US children (birth to 18 years of age) used dietary supplements in 1999–2002, with highest use (48.5%) among 4- to 8-year-olds. The most common supplements were multivitamins and multiminerals (58% of supplement users). The primary nutrients supplemented included vitamin C (29%), vitamin A (26%), vitamin D (26%), calcium (21%), and iron (19%). Supplement use was associated with higher family income, a smoke-free home environment, lower child body mass index, and less screen time (television, video games, computers).39

- In a 2005–2006 telephone survey of US adults, 41.3% were making or had made in the past a serious weight-loss attempt. Of these, one third (33.9%) had used a dietary supplement for weight loss, with such use more common in women (44.9%) versus men (19.8%) and in blacks (48.7%) or Hispanics (41.6%) versus whites (31.2%); in those with high school education or less (38.4%) versus some college or more (31.1%); and in those with household income less than $40 000/y (41.8%) versus higher (30.3%).40

- Multiple trials of most dietary supplements, including folate, vitamin C, and vitamin E, have generally shown no significant effect on CVD risk. The major exceptions are long-chain omega-3 fatty acids, for which 3 large randomized controlled trials, including populations with and without established heart disease, have shown significant reductions in risk of CVD events at doses of 1 to 2 g/d (GISSI-Prevenzione, JELIS, GISSI-HF).41–43

Trends

Energy Balance

Energy balance, or consumption of total calories appropriate for needs, has been steadily worsening in the United States over the past several decades, as evidenced by the dramatic increases in overweight and obesity among both children and adults across broad cross sections of sex, race/ethnicity, geographic residence, and socioeconomic status.44,45

- Although trends in total calories consumed are difficult to quantify exactly because of differing methods of serial national dietary surveys over time, multiple lines of evidence indicate that average total energy consumption has increased by at least 200 kcal/d per person in the past 3 decades.

- Data from NHANES indicate that between 1971 and 2004, average total energy consumption among US adults increased by 22% in women (from 1542 to 1886 kcal/d) and by 10% in men (from 2450 to 2693 kcal/d) (see Chart 17-1). These increases are supported by data from the Nationwide Food Consumption Survey (1977–1978) and the Continuing Surveys of Food Intake (1989–1998).12 The increase in calories consumed is attributable primarily to greater average carbohydrate intake, particularly starches, grains, and sweetened beverages (see Foods and Nutrients below).

- The increases in caloric consumption in the United States relate to changes in several specific dietary factors, includ-
Between 1965 and 2002, the average percentage of total dietary guidelines during this time also emphasized carbohydrates, both as foods (starches and grains) and as beverages. A DASH dietary pattern with low sodium reduced systolic blood pressure by 7.1 mm Hg in adults without hypertension and by 11.5 mm Hg in adults with hypertension. Compared with the low-fat DASH diet, DASH-type diets that increased consumption of either protein or unsaturated fat had similar or greater beneficial effects on CVD risk factors. Compared with a baseline usual diet, each of the DASH-type diets, which included varying (27% to 37%) total fat and focused on whole foods such as fruits, vegetables, whole grains, and fish; potassium and other minerals; and low sodium, reduced systolic blood pressure by 8 to 10 mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure by 4 to 5 mm Hg, and LDL cholesterol by 12 to 14 mg/dL. The diets that had higher levels of protein and unsaturated fat also lowered triglyceride levels by 16 and 9 mg/dL, respectively.

In a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, consuming 1% of calories from trans fat in place of saturated, monounsaturated fat, or polyunsaturated fat increased the ratio of total to HDL cholesterol by 0.031, 0.054, and 0.67; increased apoB levels by 3, 10, and 11 mg/L; decreased apoA-1 levels by 7, 5, and 3 mg/L; and increased lipoprotein(a) levels by 3.8, 1.4, and 1.1 mg/L, respectively.

In meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials, consumption of EPA+DHA for ≥12 weeks lowered systolic blood pressure by 2.1 mm Hg and resting heart rate by 2.5 bpm.

In a randomized controlled trial, compared with a low-fat diet, 2 Mediterranean dietary patterns that included either virgin olive oil or mixed nuts lowered systolic blood pressure by 5.9 and 7.1 mm Hg; plasma glucose by 7.0 and 7.4 mmol/L; and triglycerides by 13 and 16 mg/dL. Among children and teenagers (2 to 19 years of age), the largest increases in consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages between 1988–1994 and 1999–2004 were seen among black and Mexican American youths compared with white youths.

Fruits and Vegetables

Between 1994 and 2005, the average consumption of fruits and vegetables declined slightly, from a total of 3.4 to 3.2 servings per day. The proportions of men and women consuming combined fruits and vegetables ≥5 times per day were low (∼20% and 29%, respectively) and did not change during this period.

Morbidity and Mortality

Effects on Cardiovascular Risk Factors

In randomized controlled trials, dietary habits affect multiple cardiovascular risk factors, including both established risk factors (systolic and diastolic blood pressures, LDL cholesterol levels, HDL cholesterol levels, glucose levels, and obesity/weight gain) and novel risk factors [eg, inflammation, cardiac arrhythmias, endothelial cell function, triglyceride levels, lipoprotein(a) levels, and heart rate]:

- A DASH dietary pattern with low sodium reduced systolic blood pressure by 7.1 mm Hg in adults without hypertension and by 11.5 mm Hg in adults with hypertension.
- Compared with the low-fat DASH diet, DASH-type diets that increased consumption of either protein or unsaturated fat had similar or greater beneficial effects on CVD risk factors. Compared with a baseline usual diet, each of the DASH-type diets, which included varying (27% to 37%) total fat and focused on whole foods such as fruits, vegetables, whole grains, and fish; potassium and other minerals; and low sodium, reduced systolic blood pressure by 8 to 10 mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure by 4 to 5 mm Hg, and LDL cholesterol by 12 to 14 mg/dL. The diets that had higher levels of protein and unsaturated fat also lowered triglyceride levels by 16 and 9 mg/dL, respectively.
- In a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, consuming 1% of calories from trans fat in place of saturated, monounsaturated fat, or polyunsaturated fat increased the ratio of total to HDL cholesterol by 0.031, 0.054, and 0.67; increased apoB levels by 3, 10, and 11 mg/L; decreased apoA-1 levels by 7, 5, and 3 mg/L; and increased lipoprotein(a) levels by 3.8, 1.4, and 1.1 mg/L, respectively.
- In meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials, consumption of EPA+DHA for ≥12 weeks lowered systolic blood pressure by 2.1 mm Hg and resting heart rate by 2.5 bpm.
- In a randomized controlled trial, compared with a low-fat diet, 2 Mediterranean dietary patterns that included either virgin olive oil or mixed nuts lowered systolic blood pressure by 5.9 and 7.1 mm Hg; plasma glucose by 7.0 and
5.4 mg/dL; fasting insulin by 16.7 and 20.4 pmol/L; the HOMA index by 0.9 and 1.1; and the ratio of total to HDL cholesterol by 0.38 and 0.26; and raised HDL cholesterol by 2.9 and 1.6 mg/dL, respectively.62 The Mediterranean dietary patterns also lowered levels of C-reactive protein, interleukin-6, intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1), and vascular cell adhesion molecule-1.62

Effects on Cardiovascular Outcomes

Because dietary habits affect a broad range of established and novel risk factors, estimating the impact of nutritional factors on cardiovascular health by considering only a limited number of pathways (eg, only effects on lipids, blood pressure, and obesity) will systematically underestimate the total impact on health. Randomized controlled trials and prospective observational studies can better quantify the effect of dietary habits on clinical outcomes:

- In the Women’s Health Initiative randomized clinical trial (n=48 835), reduction of total fat consumption from 37.8% energy (baseline) to 24.3% energy (at 1 year) and 28.8% energy (at 6 years) had no effect on incidence of CHD (RR, 0.98; 95% CI, 0.88 to 1.09), stroke (RR, 1.02; 95% CI, 0.90 to 1.15), or total CVD (RR, 0.98; 95% CI, 0.92 to 1.05) over a mean of 8.1 years.63 This was consistent with null results of 4 prior randomized clinical trials (see below) and multiple large prospective cohort studies (see below) that indicated little effect of total fat consumption on risk of CVD.64–73

- In a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, increased polyunsaturated fat consumption, in place of saturated fat, reduced CHD risk by 24%.74

- In a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies, greater whole grain intake (2.5 compared with 0.2 servings per day) was associated with a 21% lower risk of CVD events (RR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.73 to 0.85), with similar estimates for specific CVD outcomes (heart disease, stroke, fatal CVD) and in sex-specific analyses. In contrast, refined grain intake was not associated with lower risk of CVD (RR, 1.07; 95% CI, 0.94 to 1.22).75

- In a pooled analysis of prospective cohort studies, each 2 servings per day of whole grain consumption were associated with a 21% lower risk of developing type 2 diabetes (RR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.72 to 0.87).76

- Compared with little or no consumption, modest consumption of fish or fish oil (250 mg/d EPA + DHA, the equivalent of 1 to 2 servings per week of oily fish) was associated with a 36% lower risk of cardiac mortality (RR, 0.64; 95% CI, 0.50 to 0.80) in a pooled analysis of prospective cohort studies and randomized controlled trials.77

- In a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies, each 2% of calories from trans fat was associated with 23% higher risk of CHD (RR, 1.23; 95% CI, 1.11 to 1.37).78

- Each additional daily serving of fruits or vegetables was associated with a 4% lower risk of CHD (RR, 0.96; 95% CI, 0.93 to 0.99) and 5% lower risk of stroke (RR, 0.95; 95% CI, 0.92 to 0.97) in meta-analyses of prospective cohort studies.79,80

- Higher estimated consumption of dietary sodium was not associated with lower CVD mortality in NHANES,81 although such findings may be limited by changes in behaviors resulting from underlying risk (reverse causation). In a posthoc analysis of the Trials of Hypertension Prevention (TOHP) trials, participants randomized to low-sodium interventions had a 25% lower risk of CVD (RR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.57 to 0.99) after 10 to 15 years of follow-up after the original trials.82

- In a cohort of 380 296 US men and women, greater versus lower adherence to a Mediterranean dietary pattern, characterized by higher intakes of vegetables, legumes, nuts, fruits, whole grains, fish, and unsaturated fat and lower intakes of red and processed meat, was associated with a 22% lower cardiovascular mortality (RR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.69 to 0.87).83 In a cohort of 72 113 US female nurses, a dietary pattern characterized by higher intakes of vegetables, fruits, legumes, fish, poultry, and whole grains was associated with a 28% lower cardiovascular mortality (RR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.60 to 0.87), whereas a dietary pattern characterized by higher intakes of processed meat, red meat, refined grains, french fries, and sweets/desserts was associated with 22% higher cardiovascular mortality (RR, 1.22; 95% CI, 1.01 to 1.48).84 Similar findings have been seen in other cohorts and for other outcomes, including development of diabetes and metabolic syndrome.85–89

Cost

The US Department of Agriculture forecasts that the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for all food is forecast to increase 4.5% to 5.5% in 2008 as retailers continue to pass on higher commodity and energy costs to consumers in the form of higher retail prices. The CPI for food increased 4.0% in 2007, the highest annual increase since 1990. Prices for foods eaten at home increased 4.2% in 2007, whereas prices for foods eaten away from home increased by 3.6%.52

- The proportion of total US food expenditures for meals outside the home, as a share of total food dollars, increased from 25% in 1957 to 38% in 1977 to 49% in 200753 (see Chart 17-3).

- The proportion of sales of meals and snacks from fast food restaurants compared with total meals and snacks away from home increased from 5% in 1958 to 28% in 1977 to 37% in 2007.52

- As a proportion of income, food has become less expensive over time in the United States. As a share of personal disposable income, average (mean) total food expenditures by families and individuals have decreased from 23.5% (1947) to 18.4% (1957) to 13.4% (1977) to 9.8% (2007). For any given year, the share of disposable income spent on food is inversely proportional to absolute income; the share increases as absolute income levels decline.52

- Among 154 forms of fruits and vegetables priced using ACNeilsen Homescan data, more than half were estimated to cost ≥25 cents per serving. Consumers could meet a recommendation of 3 servings of fruits and 4 servings of vegetables daily for a total cost of 64 cents per day.52

- An overview of the costs of various strategies for primary prevention of CVD determined that the estimated costs per year of life gained were between $9800 and $18 000 for...
statin therapy, $1500 or more for nurse screening and lifestyle advice, $500 to $1250 for smoking cessation, and $20 to $900 for population-based healthy eating. The more than $33 billion in medical costs and $9 billion in lost productivity resulting from heart disease, cancer, stroke, and diabetes are attributed to poor nutrition.
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Table 17-1. Dietary Consumption in 2005 to 2006 Among US Adults (≥20 Years of Age) of Selected Foods and Nutrients Related to Cardiometabolic Health*93-96

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foods</th>
<th>Average Consumption (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Percent Meeting Guidelines*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole grains, servings/d</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruits, servings/d</td>
<td>1.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruits including 100% juices, servings/d</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables, servings/d</td>
<td>1.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables including juices/sauces, servings/d</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish and shellfish, servings/wk</td>
<td>1.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuts, legumes, and seeds, servings/wk</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.6</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processed meats, servings/wk</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.8</td>
<td>46.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar-sweetened beverages, servings/wk</td>
<td>10.5 ± 11.4</td>
<td>48.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweets and bakery desserts, servings/wk</td>
<td>7.6 ± 4.9</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total calories, kcal/d</td>
<td>2587 ± 667</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA = DHA, g/d</td>
<td>0.126 ± 0.134</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALA, g/d</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.27</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-6 PUFA, % energy</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated fat, % energy</td>
<td>11.5 ± 2.3</td>
<td>32.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary cholesterol, mg/d</td>
<td>270 ± 91</td>
<td>68.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat, % energy</td>
<td>34.1 ± 5.3</td>
<td>55.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate, % energy</td>
<td>47.3 ± 7.7</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary fiber, g/d</td>
<td>14.8 ± 4.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium, g/d</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on data from NHANES 2005 to 2006 (two 24-hour dietary recalls per person, with SDs adjusted for within- and between-person variation). All values are energy adjusted and, for comparability, means and proportions reported for a 2000-kcal/d diet. To obtain actual mean consumption levels, multiply group means by group-specific total caloric consumption divided by 2000.

*Guidelines adjusted to a 2000-kcal/d diet. Whole grains (characterized as minimum 1.1 g fiber per 10 g carbohydrate), 3 or more 1-ounce equivalent (1 oz bread; 1 cup dry cereal; 1/2 cup cooked rice, pasta, or cereal) servings per day (DGA); fish or shellfish, 2 or more 100 g (3.5 oz) servings per week93; fruits, 4 or more 1/2-cup servings per day;4 vegetables, 5 or more 1/2-cup servings per day including up to 3 cups per week of starchy vegetables44; nuts, legumes, and seeds, 4 or more 50-g servings per week93; processed meats (bacon, hot dogs, sausage, processed deli meats), 2 or fewer 100-g (3.5-oz) servings per week (1/4 of discretionary calories)93; sugar-sweetened beverages (defined as <50 cal/8 oz, excluding whole juices), 36 oz or less per week (≈1/4 of discretionary calories)93; sweets and bakery desserts, 2.5 or fewer 50-g servings per week (≈1/4 of discretionary calories)93,94; EPA = DHA, <0.5 g/d95; ALA, >1.6/1.1 g/d (men/women)96; saturated fat, <10% energy94; dietary cholesterol, <300 mg/d94; total fat, 20% to 35% energy94; dietary fiber, ≥28/d94; sodium, <2.3 g/d94.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foods</th>
<th>Boys (5–9 y)</th>
<th>Girls (5–9 y)</th>
<th>Boys (10–14 y)</th>
<th>Girls (10–14 y)</th>
<th>Boys (15–19 y)</th>
<th>Girls (15–19 y)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average Consumption (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>Percent Meeting Guidelines*</td>
<td>Average Consumption (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>Percent Meeting Guidelines*</td>
<td>Average Consumption (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>Percent Meeting Guidelines*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole grains, servings/d</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruits, servings/d</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruits including 100% juices, servings/d</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.6</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.3</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.1</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables, servings/d</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables including juices/sauces, servings/d</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish and shellfish, servings/wk</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuts, legumes, and seeds, servings/wk</td>
<td>1.5 ± 2.8</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>1.7 ± 2.8</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>1.4 ± 2.3</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processed meats, servings/wk</td>
<td>2.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>57.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar-sweetened beverages, servings/wk</td>
<td>7.8 ± 5.5</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>8.0 ± 3.7</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>14.2 ± 6.2</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweets and bakery desserts, servings/wk</td>
<td>10.2 ± 4.1</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>9.8 ± 4.1</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>9.5 ± 4.1</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total calories, kcal/d</td>
<td>2010 ± 278</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1777 ± 292</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2210 ± 423</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA + DHA, g/d</td>
<td>0.048 ± 0.025</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.063 ± 0.025</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.081 ± 0.030</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALA, g/d</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.17</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.25</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.17</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-6 PUFA, % energy</td>
<td>6.4 ± 0.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated fat, % energy</td>
<td>11.9 ± 1.5</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>12.0 ± 1.1</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>11.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary cholesterol, mg/d</td>
<td>220 ± 72</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>250 ± 72</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>230 ± 86</td>
<td>79.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat, % energy</td>
<td>33.3 ± 3.5</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>33.3 ± 2.5</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>33.4 ± 3.3</td>
<td>61.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate, % energy</td>
<td>54.0 ± 4.7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>53.9 ± 3.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>53.1 ± 4.9</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary fiber, g/d</td>
<td>13.6 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>13.7 ± 2.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>13.0 ± 3.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium, g/d</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on data from NHANES 2005 to 2006 (two 24-hour dietary recalls per person, with SDs adjusted for within- and between-person variation). All values are energy adjusted and, for comparability, means and proportions reported for a 2000-kcal/d diet. To obtain actual mean consumption levels, multiply group means by group-specific total caloric consumption divided by 2000. Each of these guidelines is age appropriate adjusted to a 2000-kcal/d diet, as for adults. *See Table 17-1 for food group, serving size, and guideline definitions.

18. Quality of Care

See Tables 18-1 through 18-10.

The Institute of Medicine defines quality of care as “the degree to which health services for individuals and populations increase the likelihood of desired health outcomes and are consistent with current professional knowledge.”

Abbreviations Used in Chapter 18

ACEI angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor
ACS acute coronary syndrome
ACTION Acute Coronary Treatment and Intervention Outcomes Network
AF atrial fibrillation
AHA American Heart Association
ARB angiotensin receptor blocker
BMI body mass index
BP blood pressure
CABG coronary artery bypass graft
CAD coronary artery disease
CARE Carotid Artery Revascularization and Endarterectomy data
CAS carotid artery stenting
CMS Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
CRUSADE Can Rapid Stratification of Unstable Angina Patients Suppress ADverse Outcomes with Early Implementation of the ACC/AHA Guidelines
CVD cardiovascular disease
D2B door-to-balloon
DVT deep vein thrombosis
EMR electronic medical record
GWTG Get With The Guidelines
HbA1c glycosylated hemoglobin
HF heart failure
ICD International Classification of Diseases
kg/m² kilograms per square meter
LDL low-density lipoprotein
LOS length of stay
LVEF left ventricular ejection fraction
LVSD left ventricular systolic dysfunction
mg/dL milligrams per deciliter
MI myocardial infarction
NA not applicable
NAMCS National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey
NCDR National cardiovascular Data Registry
NM not measured
NSTEMI non–ST-segment–elevation MI
PCI percutaneous coronary intervention
STEMI ST-segment–elevation MI
tPA tissue plasminogen activator
TIA transient ischemic attack
VHA Veterans Health Administration

This chapter of the Update highlights national data on quality of care for several cardiovascular conditions. It is intended to serve as a benchmark for current care and to stimulate efforts to improve the quality of cardiovascular care nationally. Where possible, data are reported from standardized quality indicators (ie, those consistent with the methods for quality performance measures endorsed by the American College of Cardiology and the AHA). Additional data on aspects of quality of care, such as adherence with American College of Cardiology/AHA clinical practice guidelines, are also included to provide a spectrum of quality-of-care data.

Several studies that have identified potential opportunities to further improve the quality of care for patients with CVD are highlighted below.

- On the basis of annual reports submitted to the US Food and Drug Administration by manufacturers from 1990 to 2002, 17,323 devices were explanted because of confirmed malfunction. The annual implantable cardioverter-defibrillator malfunction replacement rate was 20.7 (11.6) per 1000 implants, compared with a rate of 4.6 (2.2) per 1000 implants for pacemakers. On the basis of pacemaker and implantable cardioverter-defibrillator registries, the mean annual implantable cardioverter-defibrillator malfunction rate was 26.5 (3.8) per 1000 person-years versus 1.3 (0.1) for pacemakers. Battery malfunctions were the most common cause of device failure.

- From the AHA’s Get With The Guidelines (GWTG)–Heart Failure quality improvement program, less than 40% of potentially eligible patients hospitalized with HF received implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy, and rates of use were lower among eligible women and black patients than among white men. Similarly, in a Medicare cohort, women were less likely than men to receive implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy for primary or secondary prevention of sudden cardiac death.

- From the CRUSADE registry of non–ST-elevation MI (NSTEMI) patients, women had higher rates of bleeding regardless of whether they were treated with glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors during the hospitalization. Among patients treated with IIb/IIIa inhibitors, women were more likely than men to receive excess dosing. The bleeding risk attributable to excess dosing was much higher in women (25.0% versus 4.4%) than in men.

- In a population-based registry of patients with newly diagnosed AF, approximately three fourths (71%) of patients received antithrombotic therapy in the 6 months after the diagnosis. However, among patients at high risk for stroke on the basis of the American College of Chest Physicians stroke risk score, 24% were not prescribed either aspirin or warfarin.

- After AMI hospitalization, 23% and 18% of patients had not filled their discharge cardiac medications by day 7 and day 120, respectively, in a population-based study from Ontario, Canada. On the basis of health plan records from members of 11 health plans, only 45% of patients were adherent to β-blockers in the year after AMI hospitalization, with the biggest drop in adherence between 30 and 90 days after discharge. In addition, in a 19-center prospec-
The Veterans Health Administration (VHA) collects national quality performance data related to CVD, including acute MI and HF. Aggregate data from 158 Veterans Administration hospitals for the period between March 2007 and February 2008 are listed (Office of Quality and Performance, VHA). Only patients who were candidates for each quality indicator were considered (ie, patients with contraindications to a given therapy were not considered).

- As part of the Hospital Quality Alliance Program, data are collected by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) on quality-of-care indicators for conditions including acute MI and HF. The data were collected from eligible patients for hospital admissions from July 1, 2007, through September 30, 2007. Additional data obtained from the US Department of Health & Human Services Hospital Compare Web site: http://www.hospitalcompare.hhs.gov/hospital/home2.asp.

- The ACTION Registry (Acute Coronary Treatment and Intervention Outcomes Network) is a national, risk-adjusted, outcomes-based quality improvement program. The ACTION Registry measures outcomes of STEMI and NSTEMI patients and combines the data collection and quality reporting features of the former NRMI and CRUSADE registries. By participating in the ACTION Registry, enrolled hospitals can measure their performance in treating patients with AMI against national benchmarks. Listed in the table are aggregated data from 50,517 qualifying patients (19,481 STEMI and 31,036 NSTEMI) discharged in 2007 by ~301 facilities.

HF Quality-of-Care Measures
GWTG—Heart Failure (HF) is a national quality-improvement initiative of the AHA to help hospitals redesign systems of care to improve adherence to guidelines in patients admitted with a cardiovascular event. Table 18-1 summarizes performance on the selected quality-of-care indicators for HF hospitalizations. These were collected from 50517 patients who were admitted to 257 hospitals participating in the GWTG-HF program from January 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007. The VHA and the CMS data have been previously described.

American Stroke Association
GWTG-Stroke Program
GWTG-Stroke is a national quality-improvement initiative of the AHA and American Stroke Association to help hospitals redesign systems of care to improve adherence to guidelines in patients admitted with an ischemic stroke or TIA. Table 18-3 summarizes performance on the selected treatment and quality-of-care indicators for acute stroke and secondary prevention. There were 184,437 clinically identified patients who were admitted to 917 hospitals participating in the GWTG-Stroke program from January 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007.
The Society of Thoracic Surgeons National Database

The Society of Thoracic Surgeons National Database is a national quality-improvement initiative of the Society of Thoracic Surgeons designed to improve the quality of care for patients undergoing cardiothoracic surgery. Table 18-4 summarizes aggregate data for 256,748 procedures performed at 815 participating sites in 2007.

National Committee for Quality Assurance Health Plan Employer Data and Information Set Measures of Care

The National Committee for Quality Assurance is a not-for-profit organization dedicated to improving healthcare quality. The clinical data for 2006 are based on voluntary reporting by >500 health plans. All clinical data are rigorously audited. The Health Plan Employer Data and Information Set measures reported in Table 18-5 are a tool used by 90% of America’s managed healthcare plans to measure performance on important dimensions of care and service. More information can be obtained at http://web.ncqa.org.

National Cardiovascular Data Registry Cardiac Catheterization and PCI Data

The National Cardiovascular Data Registry (NCDR) CathPCI Registry, a partnership of the American College of Cardiology and Society of Coronary Angiography and Intervention, is composed of diagnostic cardiac catheterizations and interventional (PCI) procedures harvested from participating facilities across the United States. Listed in Table 18-6 are aggregated data from 593,116 diagnostic cardiac catheterizations (without PCI at same lab visit) and 468,143 PCI procedures performed on patients discharged in 2007 from 822 participating facilities. Only records with valid responses to indicators were considered, and not all procedures qualify for every indicator.

NCDR Implantable Cardiostimulator Defibrillator Data

In response to the CMS mandate to collect nationwide data on implantable cardioverter-defibrillator implantation, the Implantable Cardiostimulator-Defibrillator Registry, a partnership of the American College of Cardiology and Heart Rhythm Society, was developed. Facilities may choose whether to submit all implantable cardioverter-defibrillator procedures or a limited submission of CMS-mandated primary prevention procedures. Listed in Table 18-7 are aggregated data from 106,079 implantable cardioverter-defibrillator procedures submitted by 977 facilities where the patient was discharged in 2007 and the submitting facility has chosen to report all their implantable cardioverter-defibrillator procedures (ie, both primary and secondary prevention, Medicare, and non-Medicare). Only records with valid responses to indicators were considered. These data are intended only for descriptive purposes; these measures are not intended as quality performance measures.

NCDR Carotid Artery Revascularization and Endarterectomy Data

The CARE Registry, a partnership of the American College of Cardiology, the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, the Society of Interventional Radiology, the American Academy of Neurology, the Society for Vascular Medicine, the American Association of Neurological Surgeons, and the Congress of Neurological Surgeons, was launched in September 2006 to collect and analyze data on patients undergoing carotid artery stenting (CAS) or carotid endarterectomy. The CARE Registry is the NCDR’s first registry collecting data on procedures performed by multiple physician specialists. Embedded in the CARE Registry data set are the elements required for hospitals to submit to the CMS to maintain their CMS certification.

Table 18-8 contains aggregated data from 2031 CAS procedures submitted by 80 facilities where the patient was discharged in 2007. Only records with valid responses to indicators were considered, and not all procedures qualify for every indicator.
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Table 18-1. ACS Quality-of-Care Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality-of-Care Measure</th>
<th>VHA*</th>
<th>National Medicare and Medicaid†</th>
<th>AHA GWTG-CAD‡</th>
<th>ACTION-STEMI§</th>
<th>ACTION-NSTEMI§</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin within 24 hours of admission, %</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin at discharge, %</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>96[1]</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Blockers within 24 hours of admission, %</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Blockers at discharge, %</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>94[1]</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid-lowering medication at discharge, %</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid therapy at discharge if LDL &gt;100 mg/dL, %</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>94[1]</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARB/ACEI at discharge for patients with LVEF &lt;40%, %</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI at discharge for AMI patients, %</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>72[1]</td>
<td>78#</td>
<td>70#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult smoking cessation advice/counseling, %</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>97[1]</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinolytic therapy within 30 minutes, %</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percutaneous coronary intervention within 90 minutes, %</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac rehabilitation referral, %</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NM indicates not measured; NA, not applicable.

*VHA: AMI patients.
†National Medicare and Medicaid: AMI patients.
‡AHA GWTG-CAD: Patients admitted with a cardiovascular event. In the GWTG-CAD registry, the in-hospital mortality rate was 4.3% and mean length of hospital stay 5.2 days (median 3.0 days). (Length of stay previously defined as: LOS=(discharge date−arrival date)+1. Currently, same-day or next-day discharge: LOS=1. Subsequent discharges: LOS=(discharge date−arrival date).)
§ACTION Registry: STEMI and NSTEMI patients are reported separately. Patients must be admitted with acute ischemic symptoms within the previous 24 hours, typically reflected by a primary diagnosis of STEMI or NSTEMI. Patients who are admitted for any other clinical condition are not eligible.
| Indicates the 5 key performance measures targeted in GWTG-CAD. The composite quality-of-care measure was 90.4%. The composite quality-of-care measure indicates performance on the provision of several elements of care. It is computed by summing the numerators for each key performance measure across the population of interest to create a composite numerator (all the care that was given), summing the denominators for each measure to form a composite denominator (all the care that should have been given), and reporting the ratio (the percentage of all the needed care that was given).

¶Lipid-lowering therapy among patients with LDL >130 mg/dL.
#Data from October 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007 only.

Table 18-2. HF Quality-of-Care Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality-of-Care Measure</th>
<th>VHA</th>
<th>National Medicare and Medicaid</th>
<th>AHA-GWTG-HF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LVEF assessment, %</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>96*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARB/ACEI at discharge for patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction, %</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>89*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete discharge instructions, %</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>85*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult smoking cessation advice/counseling, %</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>95*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Blockers at discharge for patients with LVSD, no contraindications, %</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>90*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticoagulation for AF or atrial flutter, no contraindications, %</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NM indicates not measured.

In the GWTG registry, mechanical ventilation was required in 2.1% of patients. In-hospital mortality rate was 3.1% and mean length of hospital stay 5.6 days (median 4.0 days).

*Indicates the 5 key performance measures targeted in GWTG-HF. The composite quality-of-care measure was 90.8%. The composite quality-of-care measure indicates performance on the provision of several elements of care. It is computed by summing the numerators for each key performance measure across the population of interest to create a composite numerator (all the care that was given), summing the denominators for each measure to form a composite denominator (all the care that should have been given), and reporting the ratio (the percentage of all the needed care that was given).
Table 18-3. American Stroke Association GWTG-Stroke Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality-of-Care Measure</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intravenous tPA in patients who arrived &lt;2 hours after symptom onset,* %</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>68.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravenous tPA in patients who arrived &lt;3 hours after symptom onset, %</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>55.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documentation of ineligibility (why no tPA), %</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>94.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of symptomatic brain hemorrhage after tPA,† %</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antithrombotics &lt;48 hours after admission,* %</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>96.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVT prophylaxis by second hospital day,* %</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>86.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antithrombotics at discharge,* %</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>98.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticoagulation for AF at discharge,* %</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>97.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapy at discharge if LDL &gt;100 mg/dL or on therapy at admission,* %</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>83.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counseling for smoking cessation,* %</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>91.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifestyle changes recommended for BMI &gt;25 kg/m², %</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>44.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite quality-of-care measure, %</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>92.4</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>92.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In-hospital mortality rate for the overall patient population was 6.97% and mean length of hospital stay 5.25 days (median 4.00 days).
*Indicates the 7 key performance measures targeted in GWTG-Stroke.

Table 18-4. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons National Database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Society of Thoracic Surgeons 2007 Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of isolated coronary artery bypass procedures</td>
<td>154 188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of aortic valve procedures</td>
<td>17 592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of mitral valve procedures</td>
<td>4251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted isolated coronary artery bypass operative mortality rate</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted aortic valve operative mortality rate</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted mitral valve operative mortality rate</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean postprocedure length of stay for isolated coronary artery bypass procedures</td>
<td>7.0 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean postprocedure length of stay for aortic valve procedures</td>
<td>8.1 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean postprocedure length of stay for mitral valve procedures</td>
<td>10.6 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 18-5. National Committee for Quality Assurance Health Plan Employer Data and Information Set Measures of Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Commercial, %</th>
<th>Medicare, %</th>
<th>Medicaid, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute MI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Blocker prescription at discharge</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Blocker persistence*</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol management for patients with CAD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol screening</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL control (&lt;100 mg/dL)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP &lt;140/90 mm Hg</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c testing</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c &gt;9.0%</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c &lt;7.0%</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye exam performed</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol screening</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol &lt;100 mg/dL</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring nephropathy</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP &lt;130/80 mm Hg</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP &lt;140/90 mm Hg</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*β-Blocker persistence: Receive persistent β-blocker treatment for 6 months after AMI hospital discharge.

Table 18-6. National Cardiovascular Data Registry Cardiac Catheterization and PCI Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Overall (Mean)</th>
<th>Highest Quartile</th>
<th>Lowest Quartile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic cardiac catheterization (without PCI in same lab visit)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-lab mortality rate*</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.2% (90th percentile)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major complications†</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major complications†</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>0.97%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular complications‡</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>0.86%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiplatelet drug administration§</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin drug administration</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency CABG¶</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average D2B (door-to-balloon time)#</td>
<td>101.5 min</td>
<td>66.7 min</td>
<td>108.1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with D2B ≥90 minutes**</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with D2B ≥120 minutes††</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk-adjusted mortality rate‡</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mortality rate in lab.
†Contrast media reaction, cardiogenic shock, cerebrovascular accident, congestive heart failure, cardiac tamponade, renal failure.
‡Bleeding at entry site (femoral approach), retroperitoneal bleeding, vascular access occlusion at entry site, peripheral embolization, vascular dissection, psuedoaneurysm, arteriovenous fistula.
§Proportion of PCI patients with stent receiving antiplatelet therapy such as clopidogrel or ticlopidine during admission.
¶Proportion of PCI patients who received statin medication during admission.
††Proportion of PCI patients requiring emergency coronary artery bypass surgery.
#Often called “door-to-balloon time” or D2B, this is the elapsed time between entry to facility and reperfusion of the affected coronary vessel for patients with acute MI treated with primary percutaneous intervention (primary PCI).
**Proportion of primary PCI patients with coronary reperfusion within 90 minutes of entry to facility.
†††Proportion of primary PCI patients with coronary reperfusion within 120 minutes of entry to facility.
‡‡‡PCI mortality rate adjusted by NCDR Risk Adjustment Algorithm.
Table 18-7. NCDR Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillator Procedures (Facilities That Submit All Procedures)</th>
<th>Overall (Mean)</th>
<th>Highest Quartile</th>
<th>Lowest Quartile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any adverse event*</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead dislodgement†</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta )-Blocker medication during admission‡</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI/ARB medication during admission§</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-chamber device¶</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual-chamber device¶</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biventricular device#</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total length of stay**</td>
<td>4.2 days</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postprocedure length of stay††</td>
<td>1.8 days</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Proportion of patients who had any adverse event including death in hospital, cardiac arrest, drug reaction, cardiac perforation, cardiac valve injury, conduction block, coronary venous dissection, hematoma, lead dislodgement, hemotorax, pneumothorax, peripheral nerve injury, peripheral embolus, deep phlebitis, TIA, stroke, or MI.
†No. of lead dislodgements per procedure (may record >1 event per procedure).
‡Proportion of patients with LVEF \( \geq 40\% \) admitted only for the procedure with any \( \beta \)-blocker prescribed at discharge, excluding patients with contraindications.
§Proportion of patients with LVEF \( \geq 40\% \) with any ACEI or ARB prescribed at discharge, excluding patients with contraindications.
¶Proportion of patients receiving single-chamber implantable cardioverter-defibrillator device implantation.
#Proportion of patients receiving dual-chamber implantable cardioverter-defibrillator device implantation.
**Total hospital length of stay in days.
††Postprocedure length of stay in days.

Table 18-8. NCDR Carotid Artery Revascularization and Endarterectomy Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carotid Stent Procedures (CAS)</th>
<th>Overall (Mean)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major adverse events, symptomatic* patients†</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major adverse events, asymptomatic* patients‡</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence of stroke for symptomatic* patients§</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence of stroke for asymptomatic* patients¶</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedures with patients at high surgical risk¶</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embolic protection successfully deployed#</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postprocedure length of stay**</td>
<td>2.6 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Symptomatic is defined in the CMS National Coverage Decision as (a) carotid TIA: distinct focal neurological dysfunction persisting <24 hours; or (b) nondisabling stroke: Modified Rankin Scale \(< 3 \) with symptoms for \( \geq 24 \) hours; or (c) transient monocular blindness: amaurosis fugax.
†The proportion of symptomatic patients who die or experience a new stroke or MI from the time of the CAS procedure through discharge.
‡The proportion of asymptomatic patients who die or experience a new stroke or MI from the time of the CAS procedure through discharge.
§The proportion of procedures with symptomatic patients who experience a new stroke from the time of the CAS procedure through discharge.
¶The proportion of procedures with asymptomatic patients who experience a new stroke from the time of the CAS procedure through discharge.
#Proportion of procedures with patients with \( \geq 1 \) condition that qualifies the patient to be at high surgical risk, as defined in the CMS National Coverage Decision, which lists 15 qualifying conditions. More information can be found on the CMS Web site at http://www.cms.hhs.gov/MedicareApprovedFacilitie/03_CASrecert.asp.
**Mean postprocedure length of hospital stay (in days) for patients undergoing a CAS procedure.
### Table 18-9. Quality of Care by Race/Ethnicity and Sex in the GWTG-CAD Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality-of-Care Measure</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin at admission, %</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>96.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin at discharge,* %</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>94.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Blocker at discharge,* %</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>94.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI at discharge, %</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>63.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI at discharge for AMI patients,* %</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>67.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI in LVSD patients, %</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>80.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid therapy at discharge, %</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>81.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid therapy at discharge if LDL &gt;100 mg/dL,*</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>86.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP control (to &lt;140/90 mm Hg) at discharge, %</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking cessation counseling,* %</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>97.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referral to cardiac rehabilitation, %</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>69.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite quality-of-care measure,† %</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>88.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Indicates the 5 key quality measures targeted in GWTG-CAD.

†The composite quality-of-care measure indicates performance on the provision of several elements of care. It is computed by summing the numerators for each key quality measure across the population of interest to create a composite numerator (all the care that was given), summing the denominators for each measure to form a composite denominator (all the care that should have been given), and reporting the ratio (the percentage of all the needed care that was given).

### Table 18-10. Quality of Care by Race/Ethnicity and Sex in the GWTG-HF Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality-of-Care Measure</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete set of discharge instructions,* %</td>
<td>85.4</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>83.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure of LV function,* %</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>95.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE or ARB at discharge for patients with LVSD, no contraindications,* %</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>89.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking cessation counseling, current smokers,* %</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>94.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Blockers at discharge for patients with LVSD, no contraindications,* %</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>88.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydralazine/nitrates at discharge for patients with LVSD, no contraindications, %</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>8.8†</td>
<td>6.2†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticoagulation for AF or atrial flutter, no contraindications, %</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite quality-of-care measure, %</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NM indicates not measured.

*Indicates the 5 key quality measures targeted in GWTG-HF.

†For black patients only.
19. Medical Procedures

See Tables 19-1 and 19-2 and Charts 19-1 through 19-3.

From 1996 to 2006, the total number of inpatient cardiovascular operations and procedures increased 30%, from 5,444,000 to 7,191,000 annually (AHA computation based on NCHS annual data).

- Data from the NHDS were examined for trends from 1990 to 2004 for use of PCI and CABG and in-hospital mortality rate due to PCI and CABG by sex.1
  - Discharge rates (per 10,000 population) for PCI increased 58%, from 37.2 in 1990–1992 to 59.2 in 2002–2004.
  - In 1990–1992, discharge rates for CABG were 53.5 for males and 18.1 for females; these rates increased through 1996–1998, then declined to 38.8 and 13.6, respectively, in 2002–2004. The magnitude of these declines decreased by age decile and were essentially flat for both men and women >75 years of age.
  - PCI discharge rates increased from 54.5 for males and 23.0 for females to 83.0 and 38.7 over the 15-year time interval. Discharge rates for males and females 65 to 74 years of age were 135.1 and 64.0, respectively. These declined slightly in those >75 years of age, to 128.7 and 69.0, respectively.
  - In-hospital mortality rate (deaths/100 CABG discharges) declined from 4.3 to 3.5 in 2002–2004, despite an increase in Charlson comorbidity index. Mortality rate declined in all age and sex subsets, but especially in women.
  - PCI mortality remained stable over the 15-year interval.
- Data from the Acute Care Tracker database were used to estimate the population-based rates per 100,000 population for PCI and CABG procedures from 2002–2005, standardized to the 2005 US population2:
  - Adjusted for age and sex, the overall rate for coronary revascularization declined from 382 to 358 per 100,000. PCI rates during hospitalization increased from 264 to 267 per 100,000, whereas CABG rates declined from 121 to 94.

Data from the STS NCD document a
- Data from men and women enrolled in Medicare from 1992 to 2001 suggest that efforts to eliminate racial disparities in the use of high-cost cardiovascular procedures (PCI, CABG, and carotid endarterectomy) were unsuccessful.3
  - In 1992, among women, the age-standardized rates of carotid endarterectomy were 1.59 per 1000 enrollees for whites and 0.64 per 1000 enrollees for blacks. By 2002, the rates were 2.42 per 1000 enrollees among white women and 1.15 per 1000 enrollees among black women. For men, the difference in rates between whites and blacks remained. In 1992, the rates were 3.13 per 1000 enrollees among white men and 0.82 per 1000 enrollees among black men. In 2001, the rates were 4.42 and 1.44, respectively.

Cardiac Catheterization and PCI
- PCI discharge rates increased from 54.5 for males and 23.0 for females to 83.0 and 38.7 over the 15-year time interval.
- Discharge rates for males and females 65 to 74 years of age were 135.1 and 64.0, respectively. These declined slightly in those >75 years of age, to 128.7 and 69.0, respectively.
- In-hospital mortality rate (deaths/100 CABG discharges) declined from 4.3 to 3.5 in 2002–2004, despite an increase in Charlson comorbidity index. Mortality rate declined in all age and sex subsets, but especially in women.
- PCI mortality remained stable over the 15-year interval.

Data from the NHDS were examined for trends from 1990 to 2004 for use of PCI and CABG and in-hospital mortality rate due to PCI and CABG by sex.1
- Discharge rates (per 10,000 population) for PCI increased 58%, from 37.2 in 1990–1992 to 59.2 in 2002–2004.
- In 1990–1992, discharge rates for CABG were 53.5 for males and 18.1 for females; these rates increased through 1996–1998, then declined to 38.8 and 13.6, respectively, in 2002–2004. The magnitude of these declines decreased by age decile and were essentially flat for both men and women >75 years of age.
- PCI discharge rates increased from 54.5 for males and 23.0 for females to 83.0 and 38.7 over the 15-year time interval. Discharge rates for males and females 65 to 74 years of age were 135.1 and 64.0, respectively. These declined slightly in those >75 years of age, to 128.7 and 69.0, respectively.
- In-hospital mortality rate (deaths/100 CABG discharges) declined from 4.3 to 3.5 in 2002–2004, despite an increase in Charlson comorbidity index. Mortality rate declined in all age and sex subsets, but especially in women.
- PCI mortality remained stable over the 15-year interval.

Cardiac Catheterization and PCI
- Data from the STS NCD document a
- Data from men and women enrolled in Medicare from 1992 to 2001 suggest that efforts to eliminate racial disparities in the use of high-cost cardiovascular procedures (PCI, CABG, and carotid endarterectomy) were unsuccessful.3
  - In 1992, among women, the age-standardized rates of carotid endarterectomy were 1.59 per 1000 enrollees for whites and 0.64 per 1000 enrollees for blacks. By 2002, the rates were 2.42 per 1000 enrollees among white women and 1.15 per 1000 enrollees among black women. For men, the difference in rates between whites and blacks remained. In 1992, the rates were 3.13 per 1000 enrollees among white men and 0.82 per 1000 enrollees among black men. In 2001, the rates were 4.42 and 1.44, respectively.

Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery
The NHDS (NCHS) estimates that in 2006, in the United States, 253,000 patients underwent a total of 448,000 coronary artery bypass procedures (defined by procedure codes). CABG volumes have declined nationally since 1998. Risk-adjusted mortality for CABG has declined significantly over the past decade:
- Data from the Society of Thoracic Surgeons’ National Adult Cardiac Database (STS NCD), which voluntarily collects data from ~80% of all hospitals performing CABG in the United States, indicate that a total of 176,138 procedures involved CABG in 2007.4
- Data from the STS NCD document a >50% decline in risk-adjusted mortality rate, despite a significant increase in perioperative surgical risk.6

Heart Transplantations
In 2007, 2210 heart transplantations were performed in the United States. There are 254 transplant hospitals in the United States, 130 of which perform heart transplantations.7
- Of these recipients, 73.7% are male, and 67.6% are white; 25.4% are <35 years of age, 19.9% are 35 to 49 years of age, and 54.7% are ≥50 years of age.
- As of May 30, 2008, the 1-year survival rate for males was 87.5%, and for females, it was 85.5%; the 3-year rates were

Abbreviations Used in Chapter 19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AHA</td>
<td>American Heart Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD</td>
<td>International Classification of Diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CABG</td>
<td>coronary artery bypass graft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>myocardial infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCHS</td>
<td>National Center for Health Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHDS</td>
<td>National Hospital Discharge Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI</td>
<td>percutaneous coronary intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS NCD</td>
<td>Society of Thoracic Surgeons’ National Adult Cardiac Database</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
78.8% for males and 76.0% for females; and the 5-year rates were 72.3% for males and 67.4% for females.

- As of June 13, 2008, 2607 heart patients were on the transplant waiting list.

**Cardiovascular Healthcare Expenditures**

An analysis of claims and enrollment data from the Continuous Medicare History Sample and from physician claims from 1995 to 2004 was used to evaluate the conditions that contributed to the most expensive 5% of Medicare beneficiaries.8

- Ischemic heart disease, congestive heart failure, and cerebrovascular disease constituted 13.8%, 5.9%, and 5.7% of the conditions of all beneficiaries in 2004. In patients in the top 5% overall for all expenditures, the respective figures were 39.1%, 32.7%, and 22.3% for these cardiovascular conditions.

**References**


---

**Table 19-1. 2006 National Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project Statistics: Mean Charges and In-Hospital Death Rates for Various Procedures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Mean Charges</th>
<th>In-Hospital Death Rate, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CABG</td>
<td>$99,743</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI</td>
<td>$48,399</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic cardiac catheterization</td>
<td>$28,835</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacemaker</td>
<td>$47,081</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implantable defibrillator</td>
<td>$104,743</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endarterectomy</td>
<td>$25,658</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valves</td>
<td>$141,120</td>
<td>4.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project.9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation/Procedure/Patients</th>
<th>ICD-9-CM Code(s)</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>&lt;15</th>
<th>15–44</th>
<th>45–64</th>
<th>≥65</th>
<th>Northeast</th>
<th>Midwest</th>
<th>South</th>
<th>West</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Valves‡</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35.1, 35.2, 35.99</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angioplasty</td>
<td>36.0, 00.66</td>
<td>1314</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>459</td>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total PCI¶</strong></td>
<td>36.06, 36.07, 00.66</td>
<td>1313</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>459</td>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patients</strong></td>
<td>36.06, 36.07, 00.66</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>247</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>232</td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>327</td>
<td></td>
<td>123</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI w/stents</td>
<td>36.06, 36.07</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>227</td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>324</td>
<td></td>
<td>109</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac revascularization (bypass)**</td>
<td>36.1–36.3</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>125</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac revascularization (bypass) (patients)</td>
<td>36.1–36.3 (any)</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>139</td>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac catheterization</td>
<td>37.21–37.23</td>
<td>1115</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacemakers</td>
<td>37.7, 37.8, 00.50, 00.53</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>361</td>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacemaker devices (37.8, 00.53)</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacemaker leads (37.7, 00.50)</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implantable defibrillators</td>
<td>37.94–37.99, 00.51, 00.54</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endarterectomy</td>
<td>38.12</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-heart surgery procedures‡‡</td>
<td>35 [less 35.4, 35.96], 36 [less 36.0], 37.1, 37.3–37.5</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>353</td>
<td></td>
<td>126</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total vascular and cardiac surgery and procedures§§</td>
<td>35–39, 00.50–00.51, 00.53–00.54, 00.66</td>
<td>7191</td>
<td>4088</td>
<td>3104</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>2622</td>
<td>3627</td>
<td>1358</td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>2799</td>
<td>1424</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ellipses ( . . . ) indicate data not available.
*Breakdowns are not available for some procedures, so entries for some categories do not add to totals. These data include codes where the estimated No. of procedures is fewer than 5000. Categories of such small numbers are considered unreliable by NCHS and in some cases may have been omitted.
‡Open heart valvuloplasty without replacement, replacement of heart valve, other operations on heart valves.
§Estimate should be used with caution as it may be unreliable or does not meet standards of reliability or precision.
¶Data are for procedures with a PCI listed anywhere on the medical record. Procedures with a PCI listed were counted twice if they also had a code for insertion of stent: code 36.06: “insertion of non–drug-eluting stents,” and 36.07: “insertion of drug-eluting stents.”
#Ninety one percent of discharges with PCI were reported to have a stent inserted (personal communication with NCHS, June 15, 2007).
**Because ≥1 procedure codes are required to describe the specific bypass procedure performed, it is impossible from these (mixed) data to determine the average number of grafts per patient.
‡‡Includes valves, bypass and “other” open-heart procedures. There were 194 000 other open-heart procedures in 2006.
§§Totals include procedures not shown here.
Note: These data do not reflect any procedures performed on an outpatient basis. Many more procedures are being performed on an outpatient basis. Some of the lower numbers in the table probably reflect this trend. Outpatient procedure data are not available at this time.


Chart 19-3. Number of surgical procedures in the 10 leading diagnostic groups (United States: 2006). Source: NHDS/NCHS and NHLBI.
Economic Cost of Cardiovascular Diseases

The total direct and indirect cost of CVD and stroke in the United States for 2009 is estimated at $475.3 billion. This figure includes health expenditures (direct costs, which include the cost of physicians and other professionals, hospital and nursing home services, prescribed medications, home health care, and other medical durables) and lost productivity resulting from morbidity and mortality (indirect costs). Total hospital costs (inpatients, outpatients, and emergency department patients) projected for the year 2009 are estimated to be $150.1 billion. By comparison, in 2008, the estimated cost of all cancer and benign neoplasms was $228 billion ($93 billion in direct costs, $19 billion in morbidity indirect costs, and $116 billion in mortality indirect costs). CVD costs more than any other diagnostic group.

Abbreviations Used in Chapter 20

CHD = coronary heart disease
CVD = cardiovascular disease
HF = heart failure
NCHS = National Center for Health Statistics
NHLBI = National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute

Table 20-1. Estimated Direct and Indirect Costs (in Billions of Dollars) of CVD and Stroke: United States: 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Heart Diseases*</th>
<th>CHD</th>
<th>Stroke</th>
<th>Hypertensive Disease</th>
<th>HF</th>
<th>Total CVD†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>$106.3</td>
<td>$54.6</td>
<td>$20.2</td>
<td>$8.2</td>
<td>$20.1</td>
<td>$150.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home</td>
<td>$23.4</td>
<td>$12.3</td>
<td>$16.2</td>
<td>$4.8</td>
<td>$4.5</td>
<td>$48.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physicians/other</td>
<td>$23.8</td>
<td>$13.4</td>
<td>$3.7</td>
<td>$13.4</td>
<td>$2.4</td>
<td>$46.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>professionals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs/other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical durables</td>
<td>$22.1</td>
<td>$10.3</td>
<td>$1.4</td>
<td>$25.4</td>
<td>$3.3</td>
<td>$52.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home health care</td>
<td>$7.4</td>
<td>$2.2</td>
<td>$4.4</td>
<td>$2.4</td>
<td>$3.4</td>
<td>$16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total expenditures†</td>
<td>$183.0</td>
<td>$92.8</td>
<td>$45.9</td>
<td>$54.2</td>
<td>$33.7</td>
<td>$313.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost productivity/mortality</td>
<td>$24.0</td>
<td>$10.6</td>
<td>$7.0</td>
<td>$8.4</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>$39.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost productivity/mortality‡</td>
<td>$97.6</td>
<td>$62.0</td>
<td>$16.0</td>
<td>$10.8</td>
<td>$3.5</td>
<td>$122.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand totals‡</td>
<td>$304.6</td>
<td>$165.4</td>
<td>$68.9</td>
<td>$73.4</td>
<td>$37.2</td>
<td>$475.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ellipses ( . . . ) indicate data not available.

*This category includes CHD, HF, part of hypertensive disease, cardiac dysrhythmias, rheumatic heart disease, cardiomyopathy, pulmonary heart disease, and other or ill-defined “heart” diseases.
†Totals do not add up because of rounding and overlap.
‡Lost future earnings of persons who will die in 2009, discounted at 3%. All estimates prepared by Thomas Thom, NHLBI.
Chart 20-1. Estimated direct and indirect costs (in billions of dollars) of major cardiovascular diseases and stroke (United States: 2009). Source: NHLBI.7

Chart 20-2. Direct costs of the 10 leading diagnostic groups (United States: 2009). Source: NHLBI.
21. At-a-Glance Summary Tables

See Tables 21-1 through 21-4.1–6
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Table 21-1. Males and CVD: At-a-Glance Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diseases and Risk Factors</th>
<th>Both Sexes</th>
<th>Total Males</th>
<th>White Males</th>
<th>Black Males</th>
<th>Mexican American Males</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total CVD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006†</td>
<td>88.0 M (36.3%)</td>
<td>38.7 M (37.6%)</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
<td>45.9%</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2005§</td>
<td>864.5 K</td>
<td>409.9 K</td>
<td>329.6 K</td>
<td>47.4 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, CHD, 2006†</td>
<td>16.8 M (7.6%)</td>
<td>8.7 M (8.6%)</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, MI, 2006†</td>
<td>7.9 M (3.6%)</td>
<td>4.7 M (4.7%)</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, AP, 2006†</td>
<td>9.8 M (4.4%)</td>
<td>4.3 M (4.3%)</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New and recurrent CHD*¶</td>
<td>1.26 M</td>
<td>740.0 K</td>
<td>675.0 K</td>
<td>70.0 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New and recurrent MI¶</td>
<td>935.0 K</td>
<td>565.0 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2005 CHD§</td>
<td>445.7 K</td>
<td>232.1 K</td>
<td>203.9 K</td>
<td>22.9 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2005 MI§</td>
<td>151.0 K</td>
<td>80.1 K</td>
<td>70.8 K</td>
<td>7.5 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stroke</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006†</td>
<td>6.5 M (2.9%)</td>
<td>2.6 M (2.6%)</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New and recurrent strokes§</td>
<td>795.0 K</td>
<td>370.0 K</td>
<td>325.0 K</td>
<td>45.0 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2005§</td>
<td>143.6 K</td>
<td>56.6 K</td>
<td>47.2 K</td>
<td>7.5 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HBP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006†</td>
<td>73.6 M (33.3%)</td>
<td>35.3 M (34.1%)</td>
<td>34.1%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2005§</td>
<td>57.4 K</td>
<td>24.0 K</td>
<td>17.3 K</td>
<td>6.0 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006†</td>
<td>5.7 M (2.5%)</td>
<td>3.2 M (3.2%)</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2005§††</td>
<td>292.2 K</td>
<td>126.2 K</td>
<td>112.6 K</td>
<td>11.3 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tobacco</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006‡</td>
<td>47.1 M (20.8%)</td>
<td>26.2 M (23.5%)</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>20.1#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood cholesterol</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol ≥200 mg/dL†</td>
<td>98.6 M (45.1%)</td>
<td>45.0 M (42.6%)</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
<td>52.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol ≥240 mg/dL†</td>
<td>34.4 M (15.7%)</td>
<td>14.6 M (13.8%)</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol ≥130 mg/dL†</td>
<td>71.8 M (32.8%)</td>
<td>35.8 M (33.8%)</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
<td>36.2%</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol &lt;40 mg/dL†</td>
<td>33.9 M (15.5%)</td>
<td>26.3 M (24.9%)</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2007‡</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overweight and obesity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight and obesity, BMI ≥25.0†</td>
<td>145.0 M (66.7%)</td>
<td>76.9 M (73.0%)</td>
<td>72.4%</td>
<td>73.7%</td>
<td>74.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity, BMI ≥30.0†</td>
<td>74.1 M (33.9%)</td>
<td>34.7 M (32.7%)</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diabetes mellitus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician-diagnosed diabetes†</td>
<td>17.0 M (7.7%)</td>
<td>7.5 M (7.4%)</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undiagnosed diabetes†</td>
<td>6.4 M (2.9%)</td>
<td>3.9 M (3.8%)</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prediabetes†</td>
<td>57.0 M (25.9%)</td>
<td>34.0 M (31.7%)</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence, diagnosed diabetes†</td>
<td>1.6 M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2005§</td>
<td>75.1 K</td>
<td>36.5 K</td>
<td>29.6 K</td>
<td>5.7 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AP indicates angina pectoris (chest pain); BMI, body mass index; CHD, coronary heart disease (includes heart attack, angina pectoris [chest pain] or both); CVD, cardiovascular disease; K, thousands; M, millions; MI, myocardial infarction (heart attack); mg/dL, milligrams per deciliter; and N/A, data not available.

*New and recurrent MI and fatal CHD.
†Age ≥20 years.
‡Age ≥18 years.
§All ages.
¶Age ≥45 years.
||Age ≥45 years.
#Hispanic.
**Regular leisure-time physical activity.
††Total mentions.
Sources: See summary tables for each chapter in this update. For data on men in other ethnic groups, see other chapters and Statistical Fact Sheets.1
### Table 21-2. Females and CVD: At-a-Glance Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diseases and Risk Factors</th>
<th>Both Sexes</th>
<th>Total Females</th>
<th>White Females</th>
<th>Black Females</th>
<th>Mexican American Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total CVD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006†</td>
<td>80.0 M (36.3%)</td>
<td>41.3 M (34.9%)</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>45.9%</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2005§</td>
<td>864.5 K</td>
<td>454.6 K</td>
<td>372.2 K</td>
<td>52.4 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, CHD, 2006†</td>
<td>16.8 M (7.6%)</td>
<td>8.1 M (6.8%)</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, MI, 2006†</td>
<td>7.9 M (3.6%)</td>
<td>3.2 M (2.7%)</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, AP, 2006†</td>
<td>9.8 M (4.4%)</td>
<td>5.5 M (4.5%)</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New and recurrent CHD¶</td>
<td>1.26 M</td>
<td>515.0 K</td>
<td>445.0 K</td>
<td>65.0 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New and recurrent MI¶</td>
<td>935.0 K</td>
<td>370.0 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence, AP (stable angina)</td>
<td></td>
<td>500.0 K</td>
<td>180.0 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2005 CHD§</td>
<td>445.7 K</td>
<td>213.6 K</td>
<td>186.5 K</td>
<td>23.1 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2005 MI§</td>
<td>151.0 K</td>
<td>70.9 K</td>
<td>61.6 K</td>
<td>8.0 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stroke</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006†</td>
<td>6.5 M (2.9%)</td>
<td>3.9 M (3.2%)</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New and recurrent strokes§</td>
<td>795.0 K</td>
<td>425.0 K</td>
<td>365.0 K</td>
<td>60.0 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2005§</td>
<td>143.6 K</td>
<td>87.0 K</td>
<td>74.7 K</td>
<td>10.0 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HBP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006†</td>
<td>73.6 M (33.3%)</td>
<td>38.3 M (32.1%)</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2004§</td>
<td>57.4 K</td>
<td>33.3 K</td>
<td>25.8 K</td>
<td>6.7 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006†</td>
<td>5.7 M (2.5%)</td>
<td>2.5 M (2.0%)</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2005§†</td>
<td>292.2 K</td>
<td>166.1 K</td>
<td>148.6 K</td>
<td>14.9 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tobacco</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006‡</td>
<td>47.1 M (20.8%)</td>
<td>20.9 M (18.1%)</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>10.1%#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood cholesterol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol ≥200 mg/dL†</td>
<td>98.6 M</td>
<td>53.6 M (47.1%)</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
<td>41.4%</td>
<td>48.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol ≥240 mg/dL†</td>
<td>34.4 M</td>
<td>19.8 M (17.3%)</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol ≥130 mg/dL†</td>
<td>71.8 M</td>
<td>36.0 M (31.7%)</td>
<td>33.7%</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol &lt;40 mg/dL†</td>
<td>33.9 M</td>
<td>7.5 M (6.7%)</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2007‡</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
<td>28.9%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight and obesity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight and obesity, BMI ≥25.0†</td>
<td>145.0 M</td>
<td>68.1 M (60.5%)</td>
<td>57.5%</td>
<td>77.7%</td>
<td>73.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity, BMI ≥30.0†</td>
<td>74.1 M (33.9%)</td>
<td>39.4 M (35.0%)</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>52.9%</td>
<td>41.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diabetes mellitus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician-diagnosed diabetes†</td>
<td>17.0 M</td>
<td>9.5 M (8.0%)</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undiagnosed diabetes†</td>
<td>6.4 M (2.9%)</td>
<td>2.5 M (2.1%)</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prediabetes†</td>
<td>57.0 M (25.9%)</td>
<td>23.0 M (19.9%)</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence, diagnosed diabetes†</td>
<td>1.6 M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2005§</td>
<td>75.1 K</td>
<td>38.6 K</td>
<td>30.1 K</td>
<td>7.2 K</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† New and recurrent MI and fatal CHD.
‡ Age ≥20 years.
§ Age ≥18 years.
¶ Age ≥45 years.
‖All ages.
N/A, data not available.
#Hispanic.
**Regular leisure-time physical activity.
††Total mentions.

Sources: See summary tables for each chapter in this update. For data on women in other ethnic groups, see other chapters and Statistical Fact Sheets.²
### Table 21-3. Ethnic Groups and CVD: At-a-Glance Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diseases and Risk Factors</th>
<th>Both Sexes</th>
<th>Whites</th>
<th>Blacks</th>
<th>Mexican Americans</th>
<th>Hispanics/Latinos</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>Females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CVD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006†</td>
<td>80.0 M (36.3%)</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>45.9%</td>
<td>45.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2005§</td>
<td>864.5 K</td>
<td>329.6 K</td>
<td>372.2 K</td>
<td>47.4 K</td>
<td>52.4 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, CHD, 2006†</td>
<td>16.8 M (7.6%)</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, MI, 2006†</td>
<td>7.9 M (3.6%)</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, AP, 2006†</td>
<td>9.8 M (4.4%)</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New and recurrent CHD*</td>
<td>1.26 M</td>
<td>675.0 K</td>
<td>445.0 K</td>
<td>70.0 K</td>
<td>65.0 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, CHD, 2005§</td>
<td>445.7 K</td>
<td>203.9 K</td>
<td>186.5 K</td>
<td>22.9 K</td>
<td>23.1 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, MI, 2005§</td>
<td>151.0 K</td>
<td>70.8 K</td>
<td>61.6 K</td>
<td>7.5 K</td>
<td>8.0 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006†</td>
<td>6.5 M (2.9%)</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New and recurrent strokes§</td>
<td>795.0 K</td>
<td>325.0 K</td>
<td>365.0 K</td>
<td>45.9 K</td>
<td>60.0 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2005§</td>
<td>143.6 K</td>
<td>47.2 K</td>
<td>74.7 K</td>
<td>7.5 K</td>
<td>10.0 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006†</td>
<td>73.6 M (33.3%)</td>
<td>34.1%</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2005§</td>
<td>57.4 K</td>
<td>17.3 K</td>
<td>25.8 K</td>
<td>6.0 K</td>
<td>6.7 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006†</td>
<td>5.7 M (2.5%)</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2005§**</td>
<td>292.2 K</td>
<td>112.6 K</td>
<td>148.6 K</td>
<td>11.3 K</td>
<td>14.9 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006‡</td>
<td>47.1 M (20.8%)</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood cholesterol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol ≥200 mg/dL†</td>
<td>98.6 M (45.1%)</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
<td>47.7%</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
<td>41.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol ≥240 mg/dL†</td>
<td>34.4 M (15.7%)</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol ≥130 mg/dL†</td>
<td>71.8 M (32.8%)</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
<td>33.7%</td>
<td>36.2%</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol &lt;40 mg/dL†</td>
<td>33.9 M (15.5%)</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA#</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2007‡</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight and obesity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence 2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight and obesity, BMI ≥25.0‡</td>
<td>145.0 M (66.7%)</td>
<td>72.4%</td>
<td>57.5%</td>
<td>73.7%</td>
<td>77.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity, BMI ≥30.0‡</td>
<td>74.1 M (33.9%)</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
<td>52.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician-diagnosed diabetes†</td>
<td>17.0 M (7.7%)</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undiagnosed diabetes†</td>
<td>6.4 M (2.9%)</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prediabetes†</td>
<td>57.0 M (25.9%)</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence, diagnosed diabetes†</td>
<td>1.6 M</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2005§</td>
<td>75.1 K</td>
<td>29.6 K</td>
<td>30.1 K</td>
<td>5.7 K</td>
<td>7.2 K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AP indicates angina pectoris (chest pain); BMI, body mass index; CHD, coronary heart disease (includes heart attack, angina pectoris [chest pain], or both); CVD, cardiovascular disease; K, thousands; M, millions; MI, myocardial infarction (heart attack); mg/dL, milligrams per deciliter; and N/A, data not available.

*New and recurrent MI and fatal CHD.
†Age ≥20 years.
‡Age ≥18 years.
§All ages.
|Age ≥35 years.
|BRFSS.3
#Regular leisure-time physical activity.
**Total mention.

Sources: See summary tables for each chapter in this update. For data on other ethnic groups, see other chapters and Statistical Fact Sheets.4
Table 21-4. Children, Youth, and CVD: At-a-Glance Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diseases and Risk Factors</th>
<th>Both Sexes</th>
<th>Total Males</th>
<th>Total Females</th>
<th>Whites</th>
<th>Blacks</th>
<th>Mexican Americans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital cardiovascular defects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2005†</td>
<td>3.6 K</td>
<td>1.9 K</td>
<td>1.7 K</td>
<td>1.6 K</td>
<td>1.3 K</td>
<td>0.3 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality, 2005 (age &lt;15 years)</td>
<td>2.0 K</td>
<td>1.1 K</td>
<td>0.9 K</td>
<td>0.8 K</td>
<td>0.7 K</td>
<td>0.2 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, ages 12 to 17 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette use in the past month, 2006</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school students, grades 9 to 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current cigarette smoking, 2007</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current cigar smoking, 2007</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>22.0%</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokeless tobacco use, 2007</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood cholesterol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean total cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages 4 to 11 years</td>
<td>165.8</td>
<td>165.4</td>
<td>166.3</td>
<td>166.5</td>
<td>165.9</td>
<td>166.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages 12 to 19 years</td>
<td>160.4</td>
<td>156.8</td>
<td>164.2</td>
<td>154.5</td>
<td>165.0</td>
<td>161.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HDL cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages 4 to 11 years</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>62.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages 12 to 19 years</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>55.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean LDL cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>85.4</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>90.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, grades 9 to 12, 2007§</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
<td>43.7%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>46.1%</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight and obesity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence, 2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children and adolescents, ages 2 to 19 years</td>
<td>23.4 M (31.9%)</td>
<td>12.3 M (32.7%)</td>
<td>11.1 M (31.0%)</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>30.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students in grades 9 to 12§</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

K indicates thousands; M, millions; mg/dL, milligrams per deciliter; overweight, body mass index in the 95th percentile of the CDC 2000 growth chart; and N/A, data not available.

*Hispanic.
†All ages.
‡Regular leisure-time physical activity.
§CDC.

Sources: See summary tables for related chapters in this update. For more data on congenital defects, see Chapter 7, and our Statistical Fact Sheets.
22. Glossary

- **Age-adjusted rates**—Used mainly to compare the rates of ≥2 communities or population groups or the nation as a whole over time. The AHA uses a standard population (2000), so these rates are not affected by changes or differences in the age composition of the population. Unless otherwise noted, all death rates in this publication are age adjusted per 100,000 population and are based on underlying mortality.

- **Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ)**—A part of the US Department of Health and Human Services, this is the lead agency charged with supporting research designed to improve the quality of health care, to reduce the cost of health care, to improve patient safety, to decrease the number medical errors, and to broaden access to essential services. AHRQ sponsors and conducts research that provides evidence-based information on healthcare outcomes, quality, cost, use, and access. The information helps healthcare decision makers—patients, clinicians, health system leaders, and policy makers—make more informed decisions and improve the quality of healthcare services.

- **Bacterial endocarditis**—An infection of the heart’s inner lining (endocardium) or of the heart valves. The bacteria that most often cause endocarditis are streptococci, staphylococci, and enterococci.

- **Body mass index (BMI)**—A mathematical formula to assess body weight relative to height. The measure correlates highly with body fat. It is calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters (kg/m²).

- **Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/National Center for Health Statistics (CDC/NCHS)**—An agency within the US Department of Health and Human Services (USDHHS). The CDC conducts the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), an ongoing study. The NCHS also conducts or has conducted these studies (among others):

  - National Health Examination Survey (ongoing)
  - National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey I (NHANES I, 1971 to 1974)
  - National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES, 1999 to . . .) (ongoing)
  - National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) (ongoing)
  - National Home and Hospice Care Survey (ongoing)
  - National Hospital Discharge Survey (NHDS) (ongoing)

- **Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), formerly Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA)**—The federal agency that administers the Medicare, Medicaid, and Child Health Insurance programs.

- **Comparability ratio**—Provided by the NCHS to allow time-trend analysis from one ICD revision to another. It compensates for the “shifting” of deaths from one causal code number to another. Its application to mortality based on one ICD revision means that mortality is “comparability modified” to be more comparable to mortality coded to the other ICD revision.

- **Coronary Heart Disease (CHD) (ICD-10 codes I20–I25)**—This category includes acute myocardial infarction (I21–I22), other acute ischemic (coronary) heart disease (I24), angina pectoris (I20), atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (I25.0), and all other forms of chronic ischemic coronary heart disease (I25.1–I25.9).

- **Death rate**—The relative frequency with which death occurs within some specified interval of time in a population. National death rates are computed per 100,000 population. Dividing the mortality by the population gives a crude death rate. It is restricted because it does not reflect a population’s composition with regard to such characteristics as age, sex, race, or ethnicity. Thus, rates calculated within specific subgroups, such as age-specific or sex-specific rates, are often more meaningful and informative. They allow well-defined subgroups of the total population to be examined. Unless otherwise stated, all death rates in this publication are age adjusted and are per 100,000 population.

- **Diseases of the circulatory system (ICD codes I00–I99)**—Included as part of what the AHA calls “cardiovascular disease.” Mortality data for states can be obtained from the NCHS Web site (http://cdc.gov/nchs/), by direct communication with the CDC/NCHS, or from our National Center Biostatistics Program Coordinator on request. (See “Total cardiovascular disease” in this Glossary).

- **Diseases of the heart**—Classification the NCHS uses in compiling the leading causes of death. Includes acute rheumatic fever/chronic rheumatic heart diseases (100–109), hypertensive heart disease (I11), hypertensive heart and renal disease (I13), coronary heart disease (I20–I25), pulmonary heart disease and diseases of pulmonary circulation (I26–I28), heart failure (I50), and other forms of heart disease (I29–I49, I50.1–I51). “Diseases of the heart” are not equivalent to “total cardiovascular disease,” which the AHA prefers to use to describe the leading causes of death.

- **Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA)**—See Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS).

- **Hispanic origin**—In US government statistics, “Hispanic” includes persons who trace their ancestry to Mexico, Puerto Rico, Cuba, Spain, the Spanish-speaking countries of Central or South America, the Dominican Republic, or other Spanish cultures, regardless of race. It does not include people from Brazil, Guyana, Suriname, Trinidad, Belize, or Portugal because Spanish is not the first language in those countries. Most of our data are for Mexican Americans or Mexicans, as reported by government agencies or specific studies. In many cases, data for all Hispanics are more difficult to obtain.

- **Hospital discharges**—The number of inpatients discharged from short-stay hospitals for whom some type of disease was the first-listed diagnosis. Discharges include those discharged alive, dead, or “status unknown.”

- **International Classification of Diseases (ICD) codes**—A classification system in standard use in the United States. Providing by the NCHS to allow time-trend analysis from one ICD revision to another. It compensates for the “shifting” of deaths from one causal code number to another. Its application to mortality based on one ICD revision means that mortality is “comparability modified” to be more comparable to mortality coded to the other ICD revision.
The International Classification of Diseases is published by the World Health Organization. This system is reviewed and revised about every 10 to 20 years to ensure its continued flexibility and feasibility. The 10th revision (ICD-10) began with the release of 1999 final mortality data. The ICD revisions can cause considerable change in the number of deaths reported for a given disease. The NCHS provides “comparability ratios” to compensate for the “shifting” of deaths from one ICD code to another. To compare the number or rate of deaths with that of an earlier year, the “comparability-modified” number or rate is used.

- **Incidence**—An estimate of the number of new cases of a disease that develop in a population, usually in a 1-year period. For some statistics, new and recurrent attacks, or cases, are combined. The incidence of a specific disease is estimated by multiplying the incidence rates reported in community- or hospital-based studies by the US population. The rates in this report change only when new data are available; they are not computed annually.

- **Major cardiovascular diseases**—Disease classification commonly reported by the NCHS; represents ICD codes 100–178. The AHA does not use “major cardiovascular diseases” for any calculations. See “Total cardiovascular disease” in this Glossary.

- **Metabolic syndrome**—The metabolic syndrome is defined* as the presence of any 3 of the following 5 diagnostic measures: elevated waist circumference (≥102 cm in men or ≥88 cm in women), elevated triglycerides (≥150 mg/dL [1.7 mmol/L] or drug treatment for elevated triglycerides), reduced HDL (high-density lipoprotein) cholesterol (<40 mg/dL [0.9 mmol/L] in men, <50 mg/dL [1.1 mmol/L] in women, drug treatment for reduced HDL cholesterol), elevated blood pressure (≥130 mm Hg systolic blood pressure, ≥85 mm Hg diastolic blood pressure, or drug treatment for hypertension), and elevated fasting glucose (≥100 mg/dL or drug treatment for elevated glucose).

- **Morbidity**—Incidence and prevalence rates are both measures of morbidity—ie, measures of various effects of disease on a population.

- **Mortality**—The total number of deaths from a given disease in a population during a specific interval of time, usually a year. These data are compiled from death certificates and sent by state health agencies to the NCHS. The process of verifying and tabulating the data takes about 2 years. Mortality is “hard” data, so it is possible to do time-trend analysis and compute percentage changes over time.

- **National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI)**—An institute in the National Institutes of Health in the US Department of Health and Human Services. The NHLBI conducts such studies as the:
  - Framingham Heart Study (FHS) (1948 to . . .) (ongoing)
  - Honolulu Heart Program (HHP) (1965 to 1997)
  - Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS) (1988 to . . .) (ongoing)
  - Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study (1985 to . . .) (ongoing)

- **National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS)**—An institute in the National Institutes of Health of the US Department of Health and Human Services. The NINDS sponsors and conducts research studies such as these:
  - Greater Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky Stroke Study (GCNKSS)
  - Rochester (Minnesota) Stroke Epidemiology Project
  - Northern Manhattan Study (NOMAS)
  - Brain Attack Surveillance in Corpus Christi (BASIC) Project

- **Prevalence**—An estimate of the total number of cases of a disease existing in a population during a specified period. Prevalence is sometimes expressed as a percentage of population. Rates for specific diseases are calculated from periodic health examination surveys that government agencies conduct. Annual changes in prevalence as reported in this report reflect changes in the population size. Changes in rates can be evaluated only by comparing prevalence rates estimated from surveys conducted in different years.

**Note**

In the data tables, which are located in the different disease and risk factor categories, if the percentages shown are age adjusted, they will not add to the total.

- **Race and Hispanic origin**—Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on death certificates. In this publication, unless otherwise specified, deaths of persons of Hispanic origin are included in the totals for whites, blacks, American Indians or Alaska Natives, and Asian or Pacific Islanders, according to the race listed on the decedent’s death certificate. Data for Hispanic persons include all persons of Hispanic origin of any race. See “Hispanic origin” in this Glossary.

- **Stroke** (ICD-10 codes I60–I69)—This category includes subarachnoid hemorrhage (I60); intracerebral hemorrhage (I61); other nontraumatic intracranial hemorrhage (I62); cerebral infarction (I63); stroke, not specified as hemorrhage or infarction (I64); occlusion and stenosis of precommunicating cerebral arteries not resulting in cerebral infarction (I65);
occlusion and stenosis of cerebral arteries not resulting in cerebral infarction (I66); other cerebrovascular diseases (I67); cerebrovascular disorders in diseases classified elsewhere (I68); and sequelae of cerebrovascular disease (I69).

- **Total cardiovascular disease (ICD-10 codes I00–I99, Q20–Q28)**—This category includes rheumatic fever/rheumatic heart disease (I00–I09); hypertensive diseases (I10–I15); ischemic (coronary) heart disease (I20–I25); pulmonary heart disease and diseases of pulmonary circulation (I26–I28); other forms of heart disease (I30–I52); cerebrovascular disease (stroke) (I60–I69); atherosclerosis (I70); other diseases of arteries, arterioles, and capillaries (I71–I79); diseases of veins, lymphatics, and lymph nodes not classified elsewhere (I80–I89); and other and unspecified disorders of the circulatory system (I95–I99). When data are available, we include congenital cardiovascular defects (Q20–Q28).

- **Underlying or contributing cause of death**—These terms are used by the NCHS when defining mortality. Underlying mortality is defined by the World Health Organization as “the disease or injury which initiated the train of events leading directly to death, or the circumstances of the accident or violence which produced the fatal injury.” Contributing mortality would be any other disease or condition that the decedent may also have had.
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Correction


On page e72, in the left column, second bullet, the sentence reads, “Within 1 year of TIA, up to one fourth of patients will die.” It should read, “Within 1 year of TIA, about 12% to 13% of patients will die.”
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