Effects of Different Types of Exercise Training Followed by Detraining on Endothelium-Dependent Dilation in Patients With Recent Myocardial Infarction
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Background—In coronary artery disease, exercise training (ET) is associated with an improvement in endothelial function, but little is known about the relative effect of different types of training. The purpose of this study was to prospectively evaluate the effect of different types of ET on endothelial function in 209 patients after a first recent acute myocardial infarction.

Methods and Results—Endothelial function was evaluated before and after 4 weeks of different types of ET and after 1 month of detraining by measuring flow-mediated dilation and von Willebrand factor levels at baseline and after ET. Patients were randomized into 4 groups: group 1, aerobic ET (n=52); group 2, resistance training (n=54); group 3, resistance plus aerobic training (n=53); and group 4, no training (n=50). At baseline, flow-mediated dilation was 4.5±2.6% in group 1, 4.01±1.6% in group 2, 4.4±2.4% in group 3, and 4.3±2.3% in group 4 (P=NS). After ET, flow-mediated dilation increased to 9.9±2.5% in group 1, 10.1±2.6% in group 2, and 10.8±3% in group 3 (P<0.01 versus baseline for all groups); it also increased in group 4 but to a much lesser extent (to 5.1±2.5%; P<0.01 versus trained groups). The von Willebrand factor level after ET decreased by 16% (P<0.01) similarly in groups 1, 2, and 3 but remained unchanged in group 4. Detraining returned flow-mediated dilation to baseline levels (P<0.01 versus posttraining).

Conclusion—In patients with recent acute myocardial infarction, ET was associated with improved endothelial function independently of the type of training, but this effect disappeared after 1 month of detraining. (Circulation. 2009;119:1601-1608.)
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Endothelial dysfunction seems to be particularly relevant in patients with coronary atherosclerosis and acute and chronic myocardial ischemia, and the presence of severe endothelial dysfunction is associated with a less favorable prognosis. Exercise training for patients with coronary artery disease is now generally accepted as a nonpharmacological intervention to improve endothelial function; its positive influence on endothelial and vascular function may explain, in part, the beneficial effects of exercise training on cardiovascular outcomes. However, despite its importance, there is still controversy regarding the level and format of exercise that can yield optimal beneficial effects. Indeed, studies on the effect of exercise training on endothelial function in patients with coronary artery disease focused mainly on aerobic training. Practical issues such as the optimal intensity, volume, and, overall, modalities of exercise training are still unclear.
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The recent update statement from the American Heart Association focused on the beneficial impact of resistance training in patients with cardiovascular disease, including those with coronary artery disease. Nevertheless, the effects of resistance training on vascular function remain unclear.

There are very few data for coronary patients, and the available information generally focuses on the effect of associated resistance and aerobic training. No study has compared the impact of resistance and aerobic training on endothelial function. In a previous study, we demonstrated that, in patients who had had a recent acute myocardial infarction, aerobic training significantly improved endothelial dysfunction, although this beneficial effect was lost after 1 month of detraining. In the present trial, using the same noninvasive method of flow-mediated vasodilatation to assess endothelial function, we evaluated the effect of different types of exercise training (aerobic, resistance, and aerobic and resistance combined) on endothelial function in patients who had had a recent acute myocardial infarction and the impact of 1 month of detraining after completion of all modalities of training. We completed the endothelial evaluation by measuring the levels of von Willebrand factor.
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Among the 4 groups, followed by a Tukey post hoc multiple comparison test. Repeated-measures ANOVA, followed by the Tukey post hoc test, was used to study whether the magnitude of brachial artery reactivity differed among the trained groups and control group over time (baseline, after 1 month, and after 2 months). Linear regression analysis was used to determine the relationship between FMD and vWF level changes after training. A value of $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

The authors had full access to and take responsibility for the integrity of the data. All authors have read and agree to the manuscript as written.

Results

Compliance to training was 92±7%. No adverse events occurred during the training sessions.

Baseline

No significant differences were found between G1, G2, G3, and G4 patients regarding age, gender, site, and dimension of myocardial infarction or left ventricular ejection fraction (Table 1). In line with our inclusion criteria, the sample considered was at low cardiac risk; the majority of the

Table 1. Study Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Aerobic Training</th>
<th>Resistance Training</th>
<th>Combined Training</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=52)</td>
<td>(n=54)</td>
<td>(n=53)</td>
<td>(n=50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>39 75</td>
<td>39 73</td>
<td>40 75.5</td>
<td>37 74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>13 25</td>
<td>15 27</td>
<td>13 24.5</td>
<td>13 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior AMI</td>
<td>11 21</td>
<td>12 22</td>
<td>12 23</td>
<td>11 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior AMI</td>
<td>34 65</td>
<td>34 63</td>
<td>35 66</td>
<td>32 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Q-wave AMI</td>
<td>7 13.5</td>
<td>8 15</td>
<td>6 11</td>
<td>7 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary angiography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No stenoses</td>
<td>2 4</td>
<td>3 5.5</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>2 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 vessel</td>
<td>24 46</td>
<td>23 42.5</td>
<td>24 45</td>
<td>25 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 vessels</td>
<td>20 38.5</td>
<td>23 42.5</td>
<td>21 40</td>
<td>17 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 vessels</td>
<td>6 11.5</td>
<td>5 9</td>
<td>7 13</td>
<td>6 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTCA</td>
<td>38 73</td>
<td>41 75.9</td>
<td>39 73.5</td>
<td>38 76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>58±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF, %</td>
<td>57±7</td>
<td>58±9</td>
<td>56±10</td>
<td>59±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPK during AMI, U/L</td>
<td>1532±1121</td>
<td>1602±935</td>
<td>1498±876</td>
<td>1670±1020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMI indicates acute myocardial infarction; PTCA, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty; EF, left ventricular ejection fraction; and CPK, creatine phosphokinase.

Table 2. Metabolic Parameters at Baseline and Follow-Up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>G1, Aerobic Training (n=52)</th>
<th>G2, Resistance Training (n=54)</th>
<th>G3, Combined Training (n=53)</th>
<th>G4, Control (n=50)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time 0</td>
<td>Follow-Up (1 mo)</td>
<td>Time 0</td>
<td>Follow-Up (1 mo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>161±18</td>
<td>163±22</td>
<td>159±24</td>
<td>163±21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>48±12</td>
<td>49±8</td>
<td>47±10</td>
<td>45±14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>94±12</td>
<td>95±15</td>
<td>92±18</td>
<td>91±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides, mg/dL</td>
<td>142±59</td>
<td>154±34</td>
<td>144±66</td>
<td>140±44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycemia, mg/dL</td>
<td>89±9.3</td>
<td>92±8.2</td>
<td>84±11.3</td>
<td>85±8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>26±2.4</td>
<td>27±3.1</td>
<td>25.9±2.8</td>
<td>26.3±3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HDL indicates high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.
patients had limited extension of coronary artery disease, and only 11.5% of G1, 9% of G2, 13% of G3, and 12% of G4 patients had angiographically demonstrated 3-vessel disease \((P=NS)\). None of the metabolic parameters considered or body mass index was significantly different among the different groups (Table 2). Likewise, peak exercise heart rate, blood pressure, and peak \(\dot{V}O_2\) were similar among the 4 groups at initial cardiopulmonary exercise testing (Table 3).

### Brachial Arterial Vasoreactivity

At the initial evaluation, the baseline diameter of the brachial artery did not differ significantly among the 4 groups (Table 4). After cuff release, the brachial artery diameter increased similarly in all groups (Table 4). In G1 patients, the FMD increased by 4.5\% \((P=0.01)\); in G2 patients, by 4.01\% \((P=0.01)\); in G3 patients, by 4.2\% \((P=NS)\) by ANOVA; and in G4 patients, by 4.3\% \((P=0.05)\) but to a lesser extent than in the patients in the other 3 groups \((P=0.01)\) by ANOVA.

Changes in FMD were correlated with changes in vWF levels \((r^2=0.74\) in G1, \(r^2=0.71\) in G2, and \(r^2=0.69\) in G3; \(P<0.01\); Figure 2).

### Detraining

After 1 month of detraining, work tolerance was reduced by 16\% \((P<0.01)\) similarly in G1, G2, and G3 patients. The brachial artery baseline diameter remained essentially the same, but FMD was significantly lower after detraining than at the end of the training period (Table 4, Figure 1). Endothelium-independent vasodilation, however, was unchanged (Table 4).

Functional capacity in G4 patients remained unchanged, and no significant variations were observed in brachial artery reactivity throughout the study (Table 4).

### Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the largest to compare the effect on endothelial function, assessed by FMD, of different types of exercise training in post–myocardial infarction patients. Several interesting findings emerged from our trial.

First, in accordance with previous reports,19,24 an important degree of endothelial dysfunction (assessed by FMD) was found in a large, homogeneous group of patients 3 weeks after an acute myocardial infarction. In fact, the mean percent

#### Table 3. Ergometric Parameters During Exercise Testing at Baseline and Follow-Up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>G1, Aerobic Training (n=52)</th>
<th>G2, Resistance Training (n=54)</th>
<th>G3, Combined Training (n=53)</th>
<th>G4, Control (n=50)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time 0</td>
<td>Follow-Up (1 mo)</td>
<td>Time 0</td>
<td>Follow-Up (1 mo)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, bpm</td>
<td>132±8</td>
<td>128±9</td>
<td>129±9</td>
<td>132±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>178±14</td>
<td>182±18</td>
<td>185±19</td>
<td>180±22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time, min</td>
<td>13.4±2.7</td>
<td>18±2.9*</td>
<td>13.2±2</td>
<td>17.6±2.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak (\dot{V}O_2), mL·kg(^{-1})·min(^{-1})</td>
<td>22.0±1.2</td>
<td>25.7±1.6*</td>
<td>22.4±1.2</td>
<td>25.9±1.4*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*\(P<0.01\).*

\(\dot{V}O_2\) indicates detraining; FMD\%, percent change after FMD; and NGT\%, percent change in arterial diameter after the nitroglycerin test.

#### Table 4. Brachial Reactivity Results at Baseline and Follow-Up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>G1, Aerobic Training (n=52) Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-Up (1 mo) DT</th>
<th>G2, Resistance Training (n=54) Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-Up (1 mo) DT</th>
<th>G3, Combined Training (n=53) Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-Up (1 mo) DT</th>
<th>G4, Control (n=50) Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-Up (1 mo) DT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline diameter, mm</td>
<td>4.2±0.66</td>
<td>4.1±0.57</td>
<td>4.1±0.62</td>
<td>4.1±0.58</td>
<td>4.2±0.7</td>
<td>4.1±0.52</td>
<td>4.05±0.4</td>
<td>4.1±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMD%</td>
<td>4.5±2.6</td>
<td>9.9±2.5*</td>
<td>4.7±1.6*</td>
<td>4.01±1.6</td>
<td>10.1±2.6*</td>
<td>4.8±1.8*</td>
<td>4.4±4</td>
<td>10.8±3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGT%</td>
<td>14.1±5.3</td>
<td>13.5±4.1</td>
<td>13.6±3.9</td>
<td>13.8±3.6</td>
<td>13.9±4.2</td>
<td>14.1±5.1</td>
<td>13.6±4.7</td>
<td>12.9±5.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DT indicates detraining; FMD\%, percent change after FMD; and NGT\%, percent change in arterial diameter after the nitroglycerin test. *\(P<0.01\); †\(P<0.05\).*
FMD was significantly inferior (4.2%) to a value considered normal in healthy subjects (≈10%).

Second, in line with previous results, exercise helped to restore endothelial function as shown by the improvement in indexes of systemic endothelial function in all trained patients, whereas no significant changes in endothelium-independent vasodilatation were apparent. This adaptation appears to be predominantly endothelium dependent; in fact, exercise increases shear stress, which is a strong physiological stimulus for the release of nitric oxide (NO). The mechanism is likely to involve a chronic increase in NO bioavailability mediated by an increase in the expression of NO synthase and by an increase in antioxidant enzymatic activity, which reduces the degradation of NO synthase. A small improvement also was observed in the control group, probably secondary to spontaneous recovery after the acute event and perhaps to the effect of optimized medical treatment. These findings provide evidence of the important contribution of exercise to the improvement of endothelial function and when, in postinfarction patients, optimized treatment, with a potential impact on the endothelium, is provided.

Third, the main goal of our study was to determine the impact of different types of exercise on endothelial dysfunction. In our patients, all types of exercise were useful for correcting the endothelial dysfunction without any difference among aerobic, resistance, or combined training.

Aerobic exercise is known to have an effect on endothelial function in patients with coronary artery disease, and its benefit is considered one of the reasons for the decrease in mortality and morbidity associated with physical training.

### Table 5. vWF Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G</th>
<th>vWF, %</th>
<th>At Baseline</th>
<th>At 1 mo</th>
<th>Detraining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G1 (aerobic, n=52)</td>
<td>102±12</td>
<td>86±6.6*</td>
<td>98±14†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2 (resistance, n=54)</td>
<td>105±15</td>
<td>87±9.4*</td>
<td>101±16†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3 (combined, n=53)</td>
<td>108±11</td>
<td>91±11*</td>
<td>102±13†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4 (control, n=50)</td>
<td>109±14</td>
<td>109±15</td>
<td>102±14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.01; †P<0.05.

---

**Figure 1.** Percent change in FMD during the brachial reactivity study in the 3 groups that underwent training (G1, aerobic training; G2, resistance training, G3, combined aerobic and resistance training) vs a control group (G4). FMD was significantly increased after 1 month of physical training (*P<0.01 vs baseline, **P<0.01 for intergroup comparison [G1-G2-G3 after training vs control group]) and reduced after 1 month of detraining. Values are mean±SE.
patients with coronary artery disease, data are lacking about a similar benefit from resistance training when not combined with aerobic exercise. There is growing evidence to support the notion that dynamic resistive exercise is efficient in heart failure patients, whereas in patients with cardiovascular risk factors, resistance exercise is inversely associated with all-cause mortality and the prevalence of metabolic syndrome. In addition, although some studies showed a positive effect of resistance training on endothelial function in patients with heart failure and in diabetics and 1 study suggested that resistance training may provide cross-protection against the oxidative stress generated by aerobic exercise, the influence of this type of exercise in isolation on both peripheral and central artery compliance remains unclear. One of the reasons for the inconsistency of the results is that the studies included a limited number of patients. Consequently, the interpretation of the reported results of resistance training on endothelial function is frequently complicated by the lack of sufficient power and nonrandomized trial design. To clarify whether resistance training is indeed beneficial to endothelial function and arterial compliance, it could be useful to encourage patients and professionals to include this type of training systematically in cardiac rehabilitation programs. This would also be fundamental in helping patients to adhere to their exercise training program over the long term; in fact, a varied program is recommended to prevent boredom. The use of unsophisticated and very simple equipment for resistance training such as elastic rubber bands could lead to better adherence over the long term to activities prescribed subsequently for home training. In our trial, the maximal oxygen uptake increased similarly in all trained patients. It is generally accepted that resistance training can improve oxygen uptake, albeit to a lesser extent. In contrast, a much greater increase in aerobic capacity has been shown with circuit training, a type of interval training in which resistance exercises are associated with endurance/aerobic exercises with very short rest periods, thereby combining the benefits of both a cardiovascular and a strength training workout. In our resistance training program, we used short rest periods between exercises as in classic circuit training, but we avoided all endurance exercises. In a previous report, the effect of resistance training on oxygen uptake in elderly subjects was comparable to that of endurance training; in this study, the degree of improvement in VO2 was very similar to that in our patients.

An important question is why, in our present trial, the effect of resistance training on FMD was similar to that of aerobic training and combined training. In fact, although combined training could have the effect of increasing shear stress and thus increasing NO release, there are no data on a similar effect from resistance training. It might be expected that an increase in shear stress could result not only from increased blood flow but also from other hemodynamic variables such as an increased heart rate and blood pressure,
as well as metabolic effects that would act throughout the vasculature.\textsuperscript{10}

It is also possible to speculate that the intensity of exercise plays a major role in the stimulation of NO release. In our trial, particular attention was given to the intensity of all types of exercise, and all the sessions were controlled by telemetry to maintain the precisely prescribed intensity. The relationship between intensity of exercise and endothelial function is controversial. In 26 healthy young men, Goto et al\textsuperscript{11} found that moderate-intensity aerobic exercise increased endothelium-dependent vasodilatation, whereas high-intensity exercise increased oxidative stress. In contrast, in a recent study of 26 patients with heart failure, high-intensity aerobic interval training resulted in a greater cardiovascular effect and greater improvement in endothelial function than did moderate continuous training.\textsuperscript{32} In our study, exercise intensity was maintained at 75% of the heart rate during aerobic training and at 60% of pretraining maximum voluntary contraction during resistance training; this is moderate-intensity exercise,\textsuperscript{10} which could be sufficient to improve endothelial function with both aerobic and resistance training without any long-term negative effects. In fact, Miyachi et al\textsuperscript{13} reported that high-intensity resistance training (80% of pretraining maximum voluntary contraction) for 4 months reduced central arterial compliance in a group of 14 healthy men. We did not observe a greater improvement after combined exercise. It has been speculated that the volume of exercise could influence vascular reactivity.\textsuperscript{34} In our study, the total number of sessions was identical in all 4 trained groups; this identical volume of exercise could explain the identical results on endothelial function.

We found that vWF, a validated indicator of endothelial dysfunction,\textsuperscript{20} decreased by 16% without any significant differences among the trained groups. The positive correlation between the improvement in FMD and the decrease in vWF levels confirms the close relationship between vWF and endothelial function.\textsuperscript{35} DeJong et al\textsuperscript{16} found that an acute bout of resistance training improved fibrinolytic potential in men with coronary artery disease without any significant changes in vWF levels. In contrast, the levels of plasma vWF antigen and activity were enhanced after acute intense exercise.\textsuperscript{37} The differences between these findings and those of our study could be explained by the intensity and duration of exercise; although intense and acute exercise could have unfavorable effects on vWF, both moderate and chronic resistance and aerobic exercise seem to have a favorable impact on endothelial function. This hypothesis is supported by the results of a study by Wang et al\textsuperscript{18} showing, in 30 sedentary subjects, that short-term strenuous exercise increased vWF factor levels in both the control and trained groups, whereas at rest and immediately after exercise, vWF binding was reduced by training. In this trial, deconditioning reversed the effects of training on resting and postexercise state, mirroring the results of our study, in which all the beneficial effects on endothelial function were lost after 1 month of detraining. Our data confirm that 1 month of detraining is sufficient for both resistance and aerobic training to lose all positive effects on endothelial function. Our results agree with previously reported findings in patients with coronary heart disease\textsuperscript{19} and heart failure\textsuperscript{15} 4 and 6 weeks, respectively, after the end of training. These data imply that good, long-term adherence to training programs is necessary to maintain vascular benefits on endothelial dysfunction. This aspect is probably particularly important in patients with coronary artery disease in whom the correction of endothelial anomalies could help to slow the progression of atherosclerosis.\textsuperscript{5,9}

Finally, no complications were observed in any of the patients throughout the trial during either the exercise sessions or postexercise recovery. This finding confirms the safety of resistance training\textsuperscript{20} in post–myocardial infarction patients.

**Conclusions**

The present study demonstrates that all types of exercise (aerobic, resistance, and their combination) are safe and effective strategies for correcting endothelial dysfunction in patients after a recent myocardial infarction. These findings could help to encourage variety in the prescription of exercise and ultimately to promote better adherence to long-term physical training.
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CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE

Physical exercise is a simple, physiological method for improving endothelial function in patients with ischemic heart disease. It is, however, not yet understood whether endothelial function can be improved only by classic aerobic exercise or by other types of exercise such as resistance training known to have many physiological benefits (eg, on muscle function). We therefore investigated endothelial function in a population of patients who had recently had a myocardial infarct, comparing 159 patients, divided into 3 groups, who underwent different types of training (aerobic, resistance, and their combination) with a control group of 50 patients who did not follow an exercise training program. Endothelial function was analyzed by measuring flow-mediated dilation. Our data clearly showed that the benefit of training, provided that it was of a certain intensity and duration, on endothelial dysfunction was independent of the type of exercise (aerobic, resistance, or combined). After 4 weeks of training, flow-mediated dilation improved significantly in all 3 trained groups. Although there was also a slight, spontaneous improvement in the control group, presumably related to the time passed since the infarct, the improvements in the trained groups were very substantial. All types of exercise were well tolerated and did not cause any complications in our patients. This is an additional reason, besides the already-mentioned beneficial effects of physical activity, for encouraging patients to carry out several types of physical activity to promote better long-term adherence to exercise programs.
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