Evidence for Statin Pleiotropy in Humans
Differential Effects of Statins and Ezetimibe on Rho-Associated Coiled-Coil Containing Protein Kinase Activity, Endothelial Function, and Inflammation
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Background—By inhibiting 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase, statins not only reduce cholesterol biosynthesis but also decrease the formation of isoprenoids, which are important for mediating signaling through the Rho-associated coiled-coil containing protein kinase (ROCK) pathway. Increased ROCK activity has been implicated in endothelial dysfunction and vascular inflammation. We hypothesize that ezetimibe, which inhibits intestinal cholesterol absorption, may not exert similar cholesterol-independent or pleiotropic effects of statins and, when used with a lower dose of statin, have less effect on ROCK activity than a higher dose of statin.

Methods and Results—In a prospective, randomized, observer-blinded study, we treated 60 dyslipidemic subjects without cardiovascular disease with simvastatin 40 mg/d, simvastatin/ezetimibe 10/10 mg/d, or placebo tablets for 28 days (n=20 in each arm). We evaluated baseline demographics and lipid levels, ROCK activity, C-reactive protein, and flow-mediated dilation before and after treatment. Compared with the placebo group, both treatment regimens decreased low-density lipoprotein cholesterol by 38% and C-reactive protein by 38% to 40% after 28 days (P<0.01 for both compared with placebo). Although the low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and C-reactive protein reductions were comparable with either lipid-lowering regimen, only simvastatin 40 mg reduced ROCK activity and improved flow-mediated dilation (P<0.01 for both compared with baseline). Reduction in ROCK activity with simvastatin 40 mg remained significant even after controlling for changes in low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (P=0.01) and correlated with improvement in flow-mediated dilation (R²=−0.78, P<0.01). No correlation was found between changes in flow-mediated dilation and changes in low-density lipoprotein cholesterol or C-reactive protein.

Conclusion—These results indicate that high-dose statin monotherapy exerts greater effects on ROCK activity and endothelial function, but not on C-reactive protein, than low-dose statin plus ezetimibe. These findings provide additional evidence of statin benefits beyond cholesterol lowering. (Circulation. 2009;119:131-138.)
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The difficulty in “proving” whether statin pleiotropy exists in humans is that statin therapy uniformly reduces cholesterol levels in humans. This often makes it difficult, if not impossible, to convincingly separate the cholesterol-lowering effects of statins from their pleiotropic effects. Currently, an
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inhibitor of intestinal cholesterol absorption, ezetimibe, is commercially available either alone or in conjunction with statins.\(^\text{17}\) Although ezetimibe alone reduces cholesterol by 15% to 20%, when used in conjunction with statins (ie, so-called dual therapy), it can enhance the cholesterol-lowering ability of the statins by an additional 20%.\(^\text{18}\)

Because statin pleiotropy on endothelial function and inflammation appears to be dose related,\(^\text{13,19}\) we tested the effects of high-dose statin monotherapy with equivalent cholesterol-lowering efficacy of the same statin at a lower dose plus ezetimibe on ROCK activity, endothelial function, and inflammation. Here, we provide evidence that improvement in endothelial function is a cholesterol-independent or pleiotropic effect of statins that is mediated by inhibition of ROCK.

### Methods

#### Study Design

A randomized, placebo-controlled, prospective trial with 3 parallel treatment arms was conducted at the National Cheng Kung University Hospital in Tainan, Taiwan. We prospectively screened 68 subjects with dyslipidemia but without documented cardiovascular disease. Subjects were excluded if they had established diabetes (3 subjects) or abnormal liver functions (3 subjects) or were unwilling to offer consent for being in the study (2 subjects) (see inclusion and exclusion criteria below). A central pharmacist at the National Cheng Kung University Hospital randomized the remaining 60 subjects to simvastatin 40 mg/d (n = 20), simvastatin/ezetimibe 10/10 mg/d (n = 20), or placebo tablets (n = 20) for 28 days. Subjects who were already taking statins underwent a 2-week washout period before randomization. Clinicians, data collectors, outcomes assessors, and statisticians were blinded to treatment group. All subjects were evaluated 3 times during the study: a short initial screening visit, a visit for baseline data collection before randomization, and a final visit after 28 days of treatment. Patients were instructed to fast overnight for a minimum of 8 hours before the second and third visits. Patients also were advised to continue their current medications and lifestyle for the duration of the study. Three subjects declined to continue the study for nonmedical reasons after the initial screening visit. Four subjects did not return for the 28-day final visit. Therefore, the analysis was performed on the 53 patients who completed the entire study.

#### Outcomes Measurements

**Primary Outcomes**

The primary outcomes were the mean changes in leukocyte ROCK activity from baseline values in response to simvastatin 40 mg, simvastatin/ezetimibe 10/10 mg, or placebo at 28 days.

**Secondary Outcomes**

The secondary outcomes were the correlation between the mean changes in leukocyte ROCK activity with the mean changes in low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), and flow-mediated dilation (FMD), as well as with any clinical characteristics.

#### Subjects

Participants were recruited from the ambulatory clinics at the National Cheng Kung University Hospital clinics in Tainan, Taiwan. Participating physicians referred patients for the initial screening visit in which the outline of the study was explained and the subject’s informed consent was obtained. Inclusion criteria included male and female subjects between 40 and 80 years of age with LDL-C >130 mg/dL and < 2 traditional cardiovascular risk factors, which included hypertension, smoking, male >45 years of age or female >55 years of age, and family history of premature coronary artery disease. Subjects with diabetes were excluded from the study because of ethical considerations of withholding statins from this patient population. Other exclusion criteria included premenopausal women; current use of antibiotic, antiinflammatory, or immunosuppressive agents; hepatic dysfunction as determined by liver function >2 times the upper limits of normal; evidence of active inflammatory or neoplastic disease; and a history of coronary artery bypass surgery, percutaneous coronary interventions, or acute coronary syndrome within the past 3 months. No restriction existed with regard to socioeconomic status.

#### Treatment and Measurements

Subjects were randomized into 3 treatment groups receiving simvastatin 40 mg/d (n = 20), simvastatin/ezetimibe 10/10 mg/d (n = 20), or placebo (n = 20). The drugs were purchased from Merck, Sharp & Dohme (Whitehouse Station, NJ) and Schering-Plough (Kenilworth, NJ). On the initial screening visit, 5 mL blood was taken from each subject to perform laboratory tests, including aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, and creatine phosphokinase. Three subjects in each group (15%) received statin therapy before the study, and all of these subjects underwent a 2-week washout period before the second visit to obtain baseline measurements. After randomization, all subjects received a bottle labeled with a number and instructions to take 1 pill each night. The second and third visits consisted of a blood draw of 20 mL (15 mL to isolate leukocytes for ROCK expression and activity and 5 mL to measure LDL-C and hsCRP levels) and measurement of FMD.\(^\text{20,21}\) During each visit, all subjects were questioned about compliance with their medications and whether they experienced any adverse effects such as muscle pain or weakness.

#### Laboratory Section

**Leukocyte Rho-Kinase Assay**

Leukocytes were isolated from 15 mL peripheral blood during the second and third visits following a validated and standardized protocol.\(^\text{22}\) The leukocytes were frozen and stored at −80°C until all samples were collected. The ROCK assays were performed on all leukocytes samples at the same time. The samples were analyzed by Western blotting for the phosphorylation of the myosin-binding subunit (MBS) of myosin light-chain phosphatase with an antibody that specifically recognizes phosphorylated Ser\(^\text{197}\) MBS.\(^\text{23}\) Interexperimental results were standardized to lysophosphatic acid-induced MBS phosphorylation (positive control).

**Measurement of FMD**

After each participant had taken 10 minutes of bed rest in a quiet, temperature-controlled room, FMD was measured in response to reactive hyperemia in the left brachial artery. A high-resolution ultrasound machine (Sonos 2500, Hewlett-Packard Co, Andover, Mass) equipped with a 7.5-MHz linear-array probe was used for the study. Arterial diameter was measured at baseline and during reactive hyperemia. Reactive hyperemia was induced by inflation of a pneumatic cuff on the forearm to a pressure >250 mm Hg for 4.5 minutes. The brachial artery was scanned in longitudinal sections 2 to 5 cm above the elbow. The arterial diameter was measured at the end-diastolic phase from 1 media-adventitia interface to the other at the clearest section 6 times at baseline. Measurements were performed during ECG-gated diastolic phase to avoid vessel compliance interference, especially during the systolic phase. The average of 6 measurements was taken as the baseline value. Arterial diameter was then measured again 3 times every 30 seconds after reactive hyperemia for 1.5 minutes. The average of the 3 consecutive maximal diameters was considered the value after hyperemia. FMD was calculated as the percentage change in diameter compared with baseline. Percent of brachial artery changes after nitroglycerin-mediated dilatation was expressed as the percent increase in the diameter 3 minutes after administration of nitroglycerin (0.3 mg). Two investigators performed the measurements independently. The intraobserver and interobserver variations were 0.9% and 1.4%, respectively.


Table 1. Baseline Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Placebo (n=20)</th>
<th>Simvastatin 40 mg (n=20)</th>
<th>Simvastatin/Ezetimibe 10/10 mg (n=20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>64.3±10</td>
<td>67.1±8.2</td>
<td>65.9±7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>16 (80)</td>
<td>16 (80)</td>
<td>15 (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (75)</td>
<td>18 (90)</td>
<td>17 (85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin, n (%)</td>
<td>17 (85)</td>
<td>16 (80)</td>
<td>16 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Blocker, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (45)</td>
<td>9 (45)</td>
<td>10 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior statin use, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>222.1±18.5</td>
<td>220.0±22.2</td>
<td>228.4±23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides, mg/dL</td>
<td>150.4±24.7</td>
<td>168.4±27.5</td>
<td>155.5±24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C, mg/dL</td>
<td>144.7±20.3</td>
<td>143.3±29.7</td>
<td>142.7±32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C, mg/dL</td>
<td>52.5±4.9</td>
<td>51.3±5.3</td>
<td>50.7±6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsCRP, mg/L</td>
<td>2.58 (1.68–3.66)</td>
<td>2.57 (1.49–3.67)</td>
<td>2.54 (1.50–3.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rho kinase activity, %</td>
<td>163±42</td>
<td>162±20</td>
<td>158±18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HDL-C indicates high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. No differences were observed among the 3 treatment groups (all *P*>0.05). Data are presented as mean±SD or as median (interquartile range) unless otherwise indicated.

**LDL-C and hsCRP**

In a separate 5-mL sample of blood collected in orange tiger–topped tubes, the lipid panel and hsCRP were measured at the National Cheng Kung University Hospital Clinical Laboratory. Total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and triglycerides were measured by an autoanalyzer. LDL-C was calculated as described. If any patient had a triglyceride level >300 mg/dL, LDL-C was then measured directly with ultracentrifugation.

**Sample Size and Power**

The sample size calculation was based on our primary hypothesis that the mean changes in human ROCK activity in leukocytes correlate with the mean changes in the LDL-C from baseline to treatment. The sample size calculation was based on the differences in the mean between 2 groups with equal sample size, prespecified 5% type I error, and 90% power (*Z*=1.28). We performed a sample size calculation using Power Analysis Statistical Software (PASS 2000, license 18335841; NCSS Inc, Kaysville, Utah). A sample size of 20 subjects in the simvastatin 40 mg/d or simvastatin/ezetimibe 10/10 mg/d could achieve 90% power to detect a difference of 40% in leukocyte ROCK activity between the null hypothesis that both group differences in mean are 0.00 and the alternative hypothesis that the difference in mean between the 2 groups is 40% ROCK activity using a 2-sided test and a significance level of 0.01.24

**Statistical Analysis**

We analyzed this database using SPSS 13.0 version (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill). We performed an intention-to-treat analysis of all the patients randomized in our study. Values are expressed as mean±SD if they are normally distributed or as median with interquartile range if not normally distributed. The paired *t* test was used to assess the difference in measured biochemical parameters before and after treatment course within each group. Two-way ANOVA, followed by Fisher protected least-significant-difference test, was used to compare mean values of continuous variables between 3 groups with post hoc analysis. For nonparametric analysis, we used the Mann-Whitney *U* test with post hoc analysis to evaluate the difference between 3 groups. Spearman rank correlation test was used to assess the relation between the mean change value of ROCK activity, hsCRP, and measured FMD. Values of *P*<0.05 were considered significantly different.

The authors had full access to and take full responsibility for the integrity of the data. All authors have read and agree to the manuscript as written.

**Results**

**Baseline Characteristics**

Subjects in all treatment arms were matched for age, gender, race, cardiac risk factors, and medications (Table 1). Similar numbers of subjects were on statin treatment before study enrollment (n=3 [15%] in each group) and underwent a 2-week washout period before randomization.

**Effect of Lipid-Lowering Therapies on Lipid Levels**

Subjects in all 3 groups had similar lipid profiles at randomization (Table 1). No changes were observed in any lipid parameter over the 28 days of the study in subjects randomized to placebo (Table 2). Compared with placebo, both the simvastatin 40 mg/d and simvastatin/ezetimibe 10/10 mg/d arms produced similar reductions in total cholesterol and LDL-C (*P*<0.01 for both versus placebo; *P*>0.05 versus each other). Furthermore, simvastatin 40 mg/d and simvastatin/ezetimibe 10/10 mg/d reduced triglyceride levels to a similar extent relative to placebo (*P*=0.02). Neither simvastatin 40 mg/d nor simvastatin/ezetimibe 10/10 mg/d altered high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels compared with placebo (*P*>0.05).

**Effect of Lipid-Lowering Therapies on hsCRP**

Baseline hsCRP did not differ between the 3 treatment groups (Table 1). No change was noted in hsCRP level over time in subjects randomized to placebo (*P*>0.05 compared with baseline) (Table 3). Both simvastatin 40 mg/d and simvastatin/ezetimibe 10/10 mg/d decreased hsCRP compared with placebo (*P*<0.01 for both compared with placebo).

**Effect of Lipid-Lowering Therapies on ROCK Activity**

Baseline ROCK activity did not differ between the 3 treatment groups (Table 1). No change was found in ROCK activity over time in subjects randomized to placebo (*P*>0.05...
We evaluated the relationship between changes in LDL levels and ROCK activity. The effect of treatment on ROCK activity remained significant even after controlling for changes in LDL in subjects receiving simvastatin 40 mg/d ($P<0.01$) but not in subjects receiving simvastatin/ezetimibe 10/10 mg/d ($P>0.05$). Furthermore, no correlation was found between changes in LDL-C and changes in ROCK activity ($P>0.05$; Table 2).

### Association Between FMD, LDL-C Levels, and ROCK Activity

We further analyzed whether any correlation existed between changes in FMD, LDL-C levels, and ROCK activity in the 2 lipid-lowering treatment arms. No correlation was found between changes in ROCK activity and changes in LDL-C or hsCRP in both lipid-lowering treatment groups (Figure 2A and 2C). However, a strong association was observed between changes in FMD in subjects receiving simvastatin 40 mg/d and simvastatin/ezetimibe 10/10 mg/d with changes in ROCK activity (Figure 2B).

### Discussion

The results of this study demonstrate that a higher dose of simvastatin alone inhibits ROCK activity and increases FMD to a greater extent than the combination of a lower dose of simvastatin and ezetimibe despite comparable lipid-lowering effects. Indeed, only simvastatin (40 mg/d) achieved a significant improvement in endothelial function and inhibition of ROCK activity compared with simvastatin/ezetimibe (10/10 mg/d). The inhibition of ROCK activity was statistically significant even after controlling for changes in LDL-C, further supporting the hypothesis that inhibition of ROCK is a lipid-independent effect of statin therapy.

Statins have been shown to improve cardiovascular outcomes in numerous primary and secondary prevention trials. However, emerging evidence suggests that the benefits of statin therapy may extend beyond their lipid-lowering effects. These so-called “pleiotropic” effects of statin derive partly from clinical trials suggesting that the improvement in outcomes is related as much to the antiinflammatory actions of statins as to their LDL-C-lowering effects. Further evidence supporting the potential lipid-independent actions of statin therapy derives from studies that have compared the actions of statins with ezetimibe, an agent that reduces cholesterol absorption without inhibiting HMG-CoA reductase. In these studies, only statins, not ezetimibe, were shown to improve endothelial function and to reduce platelet reactivity and proinflammatory cytokines production despite an equivalent LDL-C reduction by both therapies.

Inhibition of the Rho/ROCK signal transduction pathway has been implicated as a potential mechanism underlying the pleiotropic benefits of statin therapy. By inhibiting mevalonate synthesis, statins prevent the formation of isoprenoid intermediates that are required for the intracellular trafficking and function of small GTPases such as Rho, Ras, and Rac. Increased Rho/ROCK activity has been implicated in atherogenesis, and deletion of ROCK1 in macrophages leads to decreased atherosclerosis in Ldlr−/− mice. Furthermore, direct inhibition of Rho or its downstream effector, ROCK, augments endothelial nitric oxide synthesis, decreases vascular smooth muscle cell contraction and proliferation, decreases cytokine formation and leukocyte recruitment, and reduces thrombogenicity of the vessel wall.

We found that simvastatin 40 mg inhibited leukocyte ROCK activity by ≈46% compared with placebo. Interest-
ingly, short-term administration of the ROCK inhibitor fasudil to human subjects with atherosclerosis inhibited leukocyte ROCK activity by 56% compared with placebo; this correlated with an improvement in FMD without changes in lipid levels.37 Indeed, fasudil has been shown to reduce myocardial ischemia in 2 multicenter studies of patients with stable atherosclerosis.38,39 Thus, it is likely that some of the cardiovascular benefits of simvastatin may be due to its cholesterol-independent inhibitory effects on ROCK.

The cholesterol-dependent and -independent actions of statins are difficult to separate in humans, in part because statins uniformly reduce LDL-C in human subjects and because the mechanism for both actions is the same (ie, inhibition of HMG-CoA reductase). However, using ezetimibe, which lowers LDL-C by a different mechanism, one could begin to determine whether the pleiotropic effects of statins actually exist. Indeed, a recent study in whites with diabetes or coronary artery disease showed that simvastatin 80 mg and simvastatin/ezetimibe 10/10 mg produced comparable lipid-lowering effects and changes in FMD and CRP, suggesting that lipid lowering may be more important than the pleiotropic effects of statins.40 This finding is in contrast to the findings of our study, which showed that simvastatin 40 mg improved endothelial function but simvastatin/ezetimibe 10/10 mg did not, although both treatments produced comparable lowering of lipid levels and hsCRP. However, several important differences exist between our study and the study by Settergren et al.40 The patients in the study by Settergren et al were at higher risk for cardiovascular disease; therefore, no placebo group was available for comparison. Without an active placebo arm, it is possible that the reduction in LDL and improvement in FMD in both treatment groups could have occurred by chance (ie, an association rather than a correlation), which is likely given the small sample size in their study. Furthermore, it is possible that whites and Asians may respond differently to statins and ezetimibe in terms of lowering LDL, improving endothelial function, and reducing CRP. Nevertheless, other studies also

| Table 3. ROCK Activity, Endothelial Function, and Inflammation Before and After Treatment |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                       | Day 0          | Day 28          | % change        | P value†       |
| ROCK activity, %                       |                |                 |                 |                |
| Placebo                                | 163±23         | 165±23          | 1.2             | 0.88           |
| Simvastatin 40 mg                      | 162±20         | 97±14*          | -40.1*          | <0.001         |
| Simvastatin/Ezetimibe 10/10 mg         | 158±18         | 136±23          | -13.9           | 0.08           |
| FMD, %                                 |                |                 |                 |                |
| Placebo                                | 6.42±2.2       | 6.52±3.4        | 1.6             | 0.79           |
| Simvastatin 40 mg                      | 6.39±2.3       | 8.44±2.6*       | 32.1*           | <0.001         |
| Simvastatin/Ezetimibe 10/10 mg         | 7.85±2.6       | 7.81±3.6        | -0.5            | 0.15           |
| NMD, %                                 |                |                 |                 |                |
| Placebo                                | 10.62±6.3      | 10.45±7.5       | -1.6            | 0.54           |
| Simvastatin 40 mg                      | 10.38±5.8      | 11.18±5.6       | 7.7             | 0.21           |
| Simvastatin/Ezetimibe 10/10 mg         | 11.15±4.6      | 10.96±5.8       | -1.7            | 0.36           |
| hsCRP, mg/L                            |                |                 |                 |                |
| Placebo                                | 2.58 (1.68–3.66)| 2.61 (1.66–3.69)| 0.8             | 0.71           |
| Simvastatin 40 mg                      | 2.57 (1.49–3.67)| 1.52 (1.05–3.0)*| -39.9*          | <0.001         |
| Simvastatin/Ezetimibe 10/10 mg         | 2.54 (1.50–3.70)| 1.62 (1.03–3.4)*| -34.0*          | <0.001         |

Values are expressed as mean±SD or median with inter-quartile range. NMD indicates nitroglycerin-mediated dilation. Other abbreviations please see Table 1.

*P<0.01. Indicates difference between groups (either Simvastatin 40 mg or Simvastatin/Ezetimibe 10/10 mg) compared with placebo group, respectively.

†Indicates comparison of measured values between before and after treatment course within individual group.

Figure 1. Effects of simvastatin (40 mg/d) and simvastatin/ezetimibe (10/10 mg/d) on leukocyte ROCK activity. Leukocyte ROCK activity was measured as percent staining of phosphorylated (p- and phospho-) MBS of myosin light-chain phosphatase (pThr853, MBS) relative to the staining of total (t) MBS.
Figure 2. Correlation between changes in ROCK activity and changes in LDL-C (A), FMD (B), and hsCRP (C). Scatterplots depict the correlation in the change from day 28 to baseline for both parameters.
showed that ezetimibe, either alone or in combination with statins, is less effective in improving endothelial function than statin monotherapy.\textsuperscript{13,14}

The lack of additional benefits of ezetimibe beyond LDL-C and hsCRP lowering may explain in part the unexpected findings of the Effect of Ezetimibe Plus Simvastatin Versus Simvastatin Alone on Atherosclerosis in the Carotid Artery (ENHANCE) study in which ezetimibe, when added to a statin, did not alter the progression of carotid artery intima-media thickening despite a further reduction in LDL-C and inflammatory biomarkers such as hsCRP compared with statins alone in patients with familial hypercholesterolemia.\textsuperscript{41}

Interestingly, ezetimibe alone does not lower hsCRP but, in conjunction with a statin, enhances the CRP-lowering capability of a given statin,\textsuperscript{42,43} suggesting that the CRP-lowering effect of ezetimibe may perhaps be a “cosmetic” effect that is not associated with any antiinflammatory actions. In this respect, perhaps CRP is not the best measure of vascular inflammation. Indeed, compared with statins, the LDL-lowering benefits of ezetimibe alone are not associated with an improvement in endothelial function.\textsuperscript{14}

Conclusions

We found that a higher dose of simvastatin inhibits ROCK activity and improves endothelial function to a greater extent than the combination of a lower dose of simvastatin and ezetimibe despite comparable lipid-lowering efficacy. The effect of simvastatin on ROCK activity was statistically significant even after controlling for changes in LDL-C, suggesting that the ROCK activity and improves endothelial function to a greater extent than statin monotherapy.\textsuperscript{13,14}

The lack of additional benefits of ezetimibe beyond LDL-C and hsCRP lowering may explain in part the unexpected findings of the Effect of Ezetimibe Plus Simvastatin Versus Simvastatin Alone on Atherosclerosis in the Carotid Artery (ENHANCE) study in which ezetimibe, when added to a statin, did not alter the progression of carotid artery intima-media thickening despite a further reduction in LDL-C and inflammatory biomarkers such as hsCRP compared with statins alone in patients with familial hypercholesterolemia.\textsuperscript{41}

Interestingly, ezetimibe alone does not lower hsCRP but, in conjunction with a statin, enhances the CRP-lowering capability of a given statin,\textsuperscript{42,43} suggesting that the CRP-lowering effect of ezetimibe may perhaps be a “cosmetic” effect that is not associated with any antiinflammatory actions. In this respect, perhaps CRP is not the best measure of vascular inflammation. Indeed, compared with statins, the LDL-lowering benefits of ezetimibe alone are not associated with an improvement in endothelial function.\textsuperscript{14}
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