Reducing Barriers for Implementation of Bystander-Initiated Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation
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Sudden cardiac arrest (SCA) is a leading cause of death in the United States and Canada. In the United States, each year ∼330 000 people die of coronary heart disease out of the hospital or in emergency departments. Of these, >150 000 SCAs occur out of the hospital.1,2 Despite the development of electrical defibrillation and the more recent implementation of lay rescuer defibrillation programs, the vast majority of these victims do not leave the hospital alive. In studies over the past 15 years, only 1.4% of patients with out-of-hospital arrest in Los Angeles, Calif, survived to hospital discharge3; in Chicago, Ill, the number was 2%,4 and in Detroit, Mich, it was <1%.5 Conversely, a few municipalities such as Seattle, Wash, report much higher survival rates from SCA—more than 15% in 1 study6—which suggests that survival rates need not remain so low. Recent work in Europe and elsewhere has confirmed that a higher survival-to-hospital discharge rate is indeed a realistic goal, with survival rates as high as 9% reported in Amsterdam7 and 21% in Maribor, Slovenia.8

The American Heart Association (AHA) uses 4 links in the “chain of survival” to illustrate the time-sensitive actions required for victims of SCA: (1) early recognition of the emergency and activation of emergency medical services (EMS), (2) early bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), (3) early delivery of shock(s) from a defibrillator if indicated, and (4) early advanced life support and postresuscitation care. Immediate bystander recognition of the emergency and EMS activation are critical. In many communities, however, these actions may be followed by significant delays, because the time interval from activation of EMS to arrival of these medical personnel may be 7 to 8 minutes or longer.4 Therefore, initial care in the first critical minutes after SCA, including performance of CPR and potential use of an automated external defibrillator (AED), depends on the actions of people near the victim. Although the majority of cardiac arrests occur in the home, the presence of trained and willing rescuers and the availability of an AED are critical whether the cardiac arrest occurs in a public space or at home.

Every 5 years, the AHA Emergency Cardiovascular Care (ECC) Committee publishes revised guidelines for resuscitation care.9 The “2005 American Heart Association Guidelines for CPR and ECC” emphasize 3 important concepts:

1. High-quality CPR is an important determinant of survival from SCA.
2. More victims of out-of-hospital SCA should receive bystander CPR.
3. CPR must be performed effectively by bystanders and healthcare providers.

CPR is an inexpensive and readily available technique that can save lives. Therefore, the number of people trained in CPR must increase, and the quality of CPR provided by every rescuer must improve.

**Background: Bystander CPR Can Save Lives**

Cardiac arrest is defined as the sudden cessation of functional cardiac mechanical activity, as confirmed by the absence of cardiac mechanical activity, as confirmed by the absence of...
signs of circulation, including absence of response to stimulation, absence of breathing, and absence of a detectable pulse. SCA is often precipitated by a sudden arrhythmia, ventricular fibrillation, which causes the heart to quiver so that it cannot generate blood flow. The treatment of ventricular fibrillation requires chest compressions and delivery of shocks with a defibrillator. Chest compressions during CPR can generate a small but critical amount of blood flow to vital organs such as the brain and heart until circulation is restored by defibrillation or other therapy.

A number of reports have illustrated that bystander CPR can substantially improve rates of survival from SCA. A bystander is a person who happens to be near the victim and who is not part of the organized emergency response system. In most events, the bystanders do not have professional healthcare education. Earlier initiation of CPR improves survival rates, and when bystanders perform CPR well, the victim’s chance of survival improves. In several studies, high-quality CPR was associated with a marked improvement in survival to hospital discharge. Furthermore, recent evidence suggests that CPR may be particularly important in cases of prolonged cardiac arrest (ie, an arrest duration of >4 to 5 minutes without treatment).

CPR is a highly accessible therapy that requires little medical training and no equipment when provided in its most basic form. Potential rescuers from school age to the elderly can learn CPR skills. In places where widespread first-responder CPR training has been provided (eg, as part of community lay rescuer AED programs), survival rates from witnessed SCA associated with ventricular fibrillation have been reported to be as high as 49% to 74%. Therefore, equipping the public with the skills to perform the first 3 links in the AHA chain of survival can make a dramatic difference in survival from SCA.

The Problem: Bystander CPR Rates Are Low

If bystander CPR can markedly improve outcomes, why are survival rates from out-of-hospital SCA still so poor? The low rate of bystander CPR performed is a significant contributor. Studies have documented that in many communities, only 15% to 30% of SCA victims receive bystander CPR before EMS personnel arrive at the scene. Low rates of bystander CPR have been documented even in settings where trained rescuers were present. Given that the time interval for EMS arrival is often 7 to 8 minutes or longer and that survival falls 7% to 10% for each minute without CPR, the lack of bystander CPR has a large impact on outcomes.

Some investigations have shown that even when CPR is performed by trained healthcare professionals, the quality of CPR delivered is often poor and often does not comply with AHA guidelines. These observational studies documented the fact that experienced providers delivered chest compressions that were too shallow, were interrupted frequently, and, in 1 report, were accompanied by an excessive rate of rescue breathing.

A number of theories have been proposed to explain why bystanders hesitate to perform CPR even when trained. Some surveys indicated a reluctance among some potential providers to perform mouth-to-mouth breathing, in part because of concern about transmission of infectious disease. However, a recent survey of bystanders who were present at actual arrest events does not support this concern. Another impediment to the learning and delivery of bystander CPR may be the complexity of resuscitation guidelines and instructional materials. Such complexity increases the likelihood that bystanders will fail to learn CPR skills, will fail to recall them, or may lack the confidence to perform CPR because they fear performing it incorrectly. This “fear of failure” is the most commonly cited concern in a recent survey of bystanders who witnessed an SCA event. In addition, some bystanders may decline to perform CPR because of fear of legal liability because they may not be aware of the “Good Samaritan” legislation that provides limited immunity for rescuers in the majority of states and municipalities.

**Recommendations to Increase Rates of Bystander CPR**

CPR is a potentially lifesaving intervention that can be implemented by the public without the need for expensive equipment or professional credentials. If the rate and quality of bystander CPR are increased substantially, the potential exists to save the lives of thousands of victims of SCA each year. A number of straightforward methods can achieve this goal.

**Broaden CPR Training**

To accelerate CPR education, creative new approaches are required to reach a larger public audience. The development and validation of a 22-minute self-instructional CPR course by the AHA (Family and Friends CPR Anytime) has provided a tool for education outside the classroom (Table). Community and corporate programs should be developed to encourage CPR...
education with both traditional and self-instructional CPR training programs. One route to broader CPR training might be through recently developed AED programs. The Cardiac Arrest Survival Act (CASA; Public Law 106-505) mandated establishment of lay rescuer AED programs in federal buildings (Table). A large number of municipal and state governments have recently instituted mandates for AED programs in public sites such as schools, shopping malls, and gymnasiums. Governmental agencies should be encouraged to provide CPR training for anticipated rescuers as part of a comprehensive community lay rescuer AED program. Creative approaches might yield important results. For example, legislators might consider training in basic CPR as a prerequisite for high school graduation or encourage programs to provide hospital-based CPR training to family members of patients at risk for SCA. The AHA has provided information to schools to help them prepare to respond to medical emergencies, including SCA.25

However, expanded CPR training may not provide a solution for the large fraction of cardiac arrests that occur in the home, where only a few untrained witnesses may commonly be present. The development of dispatcher-assisted “telephone CPR” may allow for CPR instruction in real-time even when rescuers have not received prior training and otherwise might not participate in a resuscitation attempt. This may be especially important for the majority of arrests that occur at home, without the availability of either trained rescuers or AEDs. Studies have investigated variations of dispatcher-assisted CPR instruction26,27 and have found this “training” method to be a promising technique to engage bystanders in direct resuscitation care. Communities should implement dispatcher-assisted CPR programs based on currently available models, because they represent a cost-effective method to reach the most important population: untrained witnesses to actual cardiac arrests. Such programs will require special attention to the actual recognition of cardiac arrest itself so that CPR can be initiated. For example, bystander reports of patient “breathing” must not overlook the gasping respiratory pattern common in early cardiac arrest and therefore miss opportunities for bystanders to provide CPR.28

Provide Reassurance to Increase Participation
Bystander reluctance to perform CPR is a crucial barrier to lay rescue action during an emergency and must be addressed. The public should be informed that the risk of disease transmission is very small. There have been no reported cases of transmission of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) or hepatitis through performance of CPR. In conjunction with Occupational Safety and Health Administration recommendations for workplaces, policymakers should mandate that mouth-to-mouth barrier devices and gloves be available wherever AEDs are stationed, to facilitate CPR performance in addition to AED use. Information about Good Samaritan legislation should be included in CPR classes and materials and posted prominently near AED installations. The public must understand that when bystanders perform CPR immediately, the victim’s chance of surviving cardiac arrest can double or triple at little risk to the rescuer.

Improve EMS and CPR Quality
Community lay rescuer and EMS programs should include a process for continuous quality improvement that includes a review of resuscitation efforts, quality of CPR, and CPR instructions provided to bystanders by dispatchers. Healthcare provider systems that deliver CPR should implement continuous quality-improvement processes that include monitoring the quality of CPR delivered during any attempted resuscitation. These monitoring data should be used to maximize the quality of resuscitation care delivered, including the quality of CPR performance. At present, a variety of devices have been developed to both measure and provide feedback on the performance of CPR in the form of either defibrillators with additional CPR monitoring capabilities or stand-alone devices that can be used by rescuers even before a defibrillator can be brought to the scene of an arrest.21,22,29–31 Some of these devices can also record CPR performance and provide opportunities for debriefing and training. Such tools may have an important impact on this quality-improvement goal in coming years.

Future Directions
Several newer training modalities may have a great impact on CPR training in the near future. The use of Internet–based CPR education and certification may augment the reach of current training programs, especially in light of the expanding access to the Internet via television, mobile telephone, and other personal devices.32 Under certain circumstances, simpler methods of bystander resuscitation, such as chest-compression-only CPR, may also encourage broader participation and remain an area of active scientific investigation.27 For EMS, hospital systems, and other professional CPR providers, the use of rigorous simulation with video recording and debriefing may serve as a staple in resuscitation training; the use of such patient simulators is a rapidly expanding area of current research.33,34

Research Recommendations
To address possible methods to increase bystander CPR participation, a number of important research questions deserve attention. What educational methods lead to the highest quality of CPR in the broadest fashion possible? What are the optimal target populations for CPR education (ie, who is most likely to witness a cardiac arrest event)? Can dispatch-assisted CPR successfully provide “just-in-time” training in a variety of communities? What are the public perceptions that serve as barriers to CPR participation? Targeted funding for such research questions may yield new directions to strengthen the CPR link in the chain of survival.

Recommendations Summary
On the basis of the above discussion, the following recommendations can be made:

1. Government agencies at the local, state, and federal level should provide CPR education in such settings as school systems and government-funded hospital and clinic systems.
2. EMS and 9-1-1 systems should implement and support dispatch-assisted CPR programs.
3. CPR instructors, EMS leaders, and government agencies should strengthen public awareness of Good Samaritan laws and of the dramatic lifesaving potential of bystander CPR.
4. EMS systems and CPR instructors should focus efforts on rigorous CPR performance and quality-improvement ef-
forts in resuscitation care; when CPR certification is needed, CPR instructional programs should always include an objective CPR quality assessment for certification.

5. Research funds should be targeted toward improving methods of CPR education, improving skill retention, and developing creative methods to widen the scope of current CPR training and education.

Conclusions

To maximize the chance of a successful resuscitation outcome, CPR must be started as soon as possible after a victim of SCA collapses. Improved survival rates depend on a public trained and motivated to recognize the emergency, activate EMS or the emergency response system, initiate high-quality CPR, and use an AED if available.

Ample evidence has shown that CPR works. “Pushing hard and pushing fast” maintains a small but critical amount of blood flow to the brain and heart that can significantly improve the chance of survival for victims of SCA. Performance of high-quality bystander CPR can be increased through widespread dissemination of self-instructional CPR courses, effective public education about the low risks of performing CPR, continuous CPR quality-improvement processes for lay and professional rescuer programs, and meaningful legislative initiatives designed to support and encourage layperson action during an emergency. Through these actions, which are intended to encourage and broaden CPR training, thousands of additional lives can be saved every year.
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