

## Reducing Barriers for Implementation of Bystander-Initiated Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association for Healthcare Providers, Policymakers, and Community Leaders Regarding the Effectiveness of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation

Benjamin S. Abella, MD, MPhil; Tom P. Aufderheide, MD, FAHA; Brian Eigel, PhD;  
Robert W. Hickey, MD, FAHA; W.T. Longstreth, Jr, MD, FAHA;  
Vinay Nadkarni, MD, FAHA; Graham Nichol, MD, FAHA; Michael R. Sayre, MD;  
Claire E. Som margren, RN, PhD, FAHA; Mary Fran Hazinski, RN, MSN, FAHA

Sudden cardiac arrest (SCA) is a leading cause of death in the United States and Canada. In the United States, each year  $\approx$ 330 000 people die of coronary heart disease out of the hospital or in emergency departments. Of these,  $>$ 150 000 SCAs occur out of the hospital.<sup>1,2</sup> Despite the development of electrical defibrillation and the more recent implementation of lay rescuer defibrillation programs, the vast majority of these victims do not leave the hospital alive. In studies over the past 15 years, only 1.4% of patients with out-of-hospital arrest in Los Angeles, Calif, survived to hospital discharge<sup>3</sup>; in Chicago, Ill, the number was 2%,<sup>4</sup> and in Detroit, Mich, it was  $<$ 1%.<sup>5</sup> Conversely, a few municipalities such as Seattle, Wash, report much higher survival rates from SCA—more than 15% in 1 study<sup>6</sup>—which suggests that survival rates need not remain so low. Recent work in Europe and elsewhere has confirmed that a higher survival-to-hospital discharge rate is indeed a realistic goal, with survival rates as high as 9% reported in Amsterdam<sup>7</sup> and 21% in Maribor, Slovenia.<sup>8</sup>

The American Heart Association (AHA) uses 4 links in the “chain of survival” to illustrate the time-sensitive actions required for victims of SCA: (1) early recognition of the emergency and activation of emergency medical services (EMS), (2) early bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), (3) early delivery of shock(s) from a defibrillator if indicated, and (4) early advanced life support and postresuscitation care. Immediate bystander recognition of the emergency and EMS activation are critical. In many communities, however, these actions may be followed by significant delays,

because the time interval from activation of EMS to arrival of these medical personnel may be 7 to 8 minutes or longer.<sup>4</sup> Therefore, initial care in the first critical minutes after SCA, including performance of CPR and potential use of an automated external defibrillator (AED), depends on the actions of people near the victim. Although the majority of cardiac arrests occur in the home, the presence of trained and willing rescuers and the availability of an AED are critical whether the cardiac arrest occurs in a public space or at home.

Every 5 years, the AHA Emergency Cardiovascular Care (ECC) Committee publishes revised guidelines for resuscitation care.<sup>9</sup> The “2005 American Heart Association Guidelines for CPR and ECC” emphasize 3 important concepts:

1. High-quality CPR is an important determinant of survival from SCA.
2. More victims of out-of-hospital SCA should receive bystander CPR.
3. CPR must be performed effectively by bystanders and healthcare providers.

CPR is an inexpensive and readily available technique that can save lives. Therefore, the number of people trained in CPR must increase, and the quality of CPR provided by every rescuer must improve.

### Background: Bystander CPR Can Save Lives

*Cardiac arrest* is defined as the sudden cessation of functional cardiac mechanical activity, as confirmed by the absence of

---

The American Heart Association makes every effort to avoid any actual or potential conflicts of interest that may arise as a result of an outside relationship or a personal, professional, or business interest of a member of the writing panel. Specifically, all members of the writing group are required to complete and submit a Disclosure Questionnaire showing all such relationships that might be perceived as real or potential conflicts of interest.

This statement was approved by the American Heart Association Science Advisory and Coordinating Committee on September 13, 2007. A single reprint is available by calling 800-242-8721 (US only) or by writing the American Heart Association, Public Information, 7272 Greenville Ave, Dallas, TX 75231-4596. Ask for reprint No. 71-0436. To purchase additional reprints, call 843-216-2533 or e-mail [kelle.ramsay@wolterskluwer.com](mailto:kelle.ramsay@wolterskluwer.com).

Expert peer review of AHA Scientific Statements is conducted at the AHA National Center. For more on AHA statements and guidelines development, visit <http://www.americanheart.org/presenter.jhtml?identifier=3023366>.

Permissions: Multiple copies, modification, alteration, enhancement, and/or distribution of this document are not permitted without the express permission of the American Heart Association. Instructions for obtaining permission are located at <http://www.americanheart.org/presenter.jhtml?identifier=4431>. A link to the “Permission Request Form” appears on the right side of the page.

(*Circulation*. 2008;117:704-709.)

© 2008 American Heart Association, Inc.

*Circulation* is available at <http://circ.ahajournals.org>

DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.188486

**Table. Examples of Internet Resources for CPR Training and Implementation**

Site	Comment
<a href="http://www.cpranytime.org">http://www.cpranytime.org</a>	CPR Anytime training kit resource site; includes links to success stories and tools for community programs
<a href="http://my.americanheart.org/eccportal/ecc/ecc">http://my.americanheart.org/eccportal/ecc/ecc</a>	CPR instructor network site; has information on new courses and training materials
<a href="http://www.congress.gov/cgi-bin/bdquery/z?d106:h.r.02498">http://www.congress.gov/cgi-bin/bdquery/z?d106:h.r.02498:</a>	Link to the Cardiac Arrest Survivor Act (CASA) legislation
<a href="http://www.nrcpr.org/">http://www.nrcpr.org/</a>	The National Registry of CPR, an in-hospital registry of cardiac arrest care that can be used for quality assurance and benchmarking
<a href="http://www.citizenpr.org/">http://www.citizenpr.org/</a>	Nonprofit organization that provides information regarding CPR for EMS providers and instructors
<a href="http://www.ncsl.org/programs/health/aed.htm">http://www.ncsl.org/programs/health/aed.htm</a>	Government link to AED and Good Samaritan legislation

signs of circulation, including absence of response to stimulation, absence of breathing, and absence of a detectable pulse. SCA is often precipitated by a sudden arrhythmia, ventricular fibrillation, which causes the heart to quiver so that it cannot generate blood flow. The treatment of ventricular fibrillation requires chest compressions and delivery of shocks with a defibrillator. Chest compressions during CPR can generate a small but critical amount of blood flow to vital organs such as the brain and heart until circulation is restored by defibrillation or other therapy.

A number of reports have illustrated that bystander CPR can substantially improve rates of survival from SCA.<sup>10–14</sup> A bystander is a person who happens to be near the victim and who is not part of the organized emergency response system. In most events, the bystanders do not have professional healthcare education. Earlier initiation of CPR improves survival rates, and when bystanders perform CPR well, the victim's chance of survival improves. In several studies, high-quality CPR was associated with a marked improvement in survival to hospital discharge.<sup>10–12</sup> Furthermore, recent evidence suggests that CPR may be particularly important in cases of prolonged cardiac arrest (ie, an arrest duration of >4 to 5 minutes without treatment).<sup>15,16</sup>

CPR is a highly accessible therapy that requires little medical training and no equipment when provided in its most basic form. Potential rescuers from school age to the elderly can learn CPR skills. In places where widespread first-responder CPR training has been provided (eg, as part of community lay rescuer AED programs), survival rates from witnessed SCA associated with ventricular fibrillation have been reported to be as high as 49% to 74%.<sup>17,18</sup> Therefore, equipping the public with the skills to perform the first 3 links in the AHA chain of survival can make a dramatic difference in survival from SCA.

### The Problem: Bystander CPR Rates Are Low

If bystander CPR can markedly improve outcomes, why are survival rates from out-of-hospital SCA still so poor? The low rate of bystander CPR performed is a significant contributor. Studies have documented that in many communities, only 15% to 30% of SCA victims receive bystander CPR before EMS personnel arrive at the scene.<sup>19,20</sup> Low rates of bystander CPR have been documented even in settings where trained rescuers were present. Given that the time interval for EMS arrival is often 7 to 8 minutes or longer and that survival falls 7% to 10%

for each minute without CPR,<sup>13</sup> the lack of bystander CPR has a large impact on outcomes.

Some investigations have shown that even when CPR is performed by trained healthcare professionals, the quality of CPR delivered is often poor and often does not comply with AHA guidelines.<sup>21,22</sup> These observational studies documented the fact that experienced providers delivered chest compressions that were too shallow, were interrupted frequently, and, in 1 report, were accompanied by an excessive rate of rescue breathing.

A number of theories have been proposed to explain why bystanders hesitate to perform CPR even when trained. Some surveys indicated a reluctance among some potential providers to perform mouth-to-mouth breathing, in part because of concern about transmission of infectious disease. However, a recent survey of bystanders who were present at actual arrest events does not support this concern.<sup>23</sup> Another impediment to the learning and delivery of bystander CPR may be the complexity of resuscitation guidelines and instructional materials. Such complexity increases the likelihood that bystanders will fail to learn CPR skills, will fail to recall them, or may lack the confidence to perform CPR because they fear performing it incorrectly. This “fear of failure” is the most commonly cited concern in a recent survey of bystanders who witnessed an SCA event.<sup>23</sup> In addition, some bystanders may decline to perform CPR because of fear of legal liability because they may not be aware of the “Good Samaritan” legislation that provides limited immunity for rescuers in the majority of states and municipalities.

### Recommendations to Increase Rates of Bystander CPR

CPR is a potentially lifesaving intervention that can be implemented by the public without the need for expensive equipment or professional credentials. If the rate and quality of bystander CPR are increased substantially, the potential exists to save the lives of thousands of victims of SCA each year. A number of straightforward methods can achieve this goal.

#### Broaden CPR Training

To accelerate CPR education, creative new approaches are required to reach a larger public audience. The development and validation of a 22-minute self-instructional CPR course by the AHA (Family and Friends CPR Anytime) has provided a tool for education outside the classroom (Table).<sup>24</sup> Community and corporate programs should be developed to encourage CPR

education with both traditional and self-instructional CPR training programs. One route to broader CPR training might be through recently developed AED programs. The Cardiac Arrest Survival Act (CASA; Public Law 106-505) mandated establishment of lay rescuer AED programs in federal buildings (Table). A large number of municipal and state governments have recently instituted mandates for AED programs in public sites such as schools, shopping malls, and gymnasiums. Governmental agencies should be encouraged to provide CPR training for anticipated rescuers as part of a comprehensive community lay rescuer AED program. Creative approaches might yield important results. For example, legislators might consider training in basic CPR as a prerequisite for high school graduation or encourage programs to provide hospital-based CPR training to family members of patients at risk for SCA. The AHA has provided information to schools to help them prepare to respond to medical emergencies, including SCA.<sup>25</sup>

However, expanded CPR training may not provide a solution for the large fraction of cardiac arrests that occur in the home, where only a few untrained witnesses may commonly be present. The development of dispatcher-assisted “telephone CPR” may allow for CPR instruction in real-time even when rescuers have not received prior training and otherwise might not participate in a resuscitation attempt. This may be especially important for the majority of arrests that occur at home, without the availability of either trained rescuers or AEDs. Studies have investigated variations of dispatcher-assisted CPR instruction<sup>26,27</sup> and have found this “training” method to be a promising technique to engage bystanders in direct resuscitation care. Communities should implement dispatcher-assisted CPR programs based on currently available models, because they represent a cost-effective method to reach the most important population: untrained witnesses to actual cardiac arrests. Such programs will require special attention to the actual recognition of cardiac arrest itself so that CPR can be initiated. For example, bystander reports of patient “breathing” must not overlook the gasping respiratory pattern common in early cardiac arrest and therefore miss opportunities for bystanders to provide CPR.<sup>28</sup>

### **Provide Reassurance to Increase Participation**

Bystander reluctance to perform CPR is a crucial barrier to lay rescuer action during an emergency and must be addressed. The public should be informed that the risk of disease transmission is very small. There have been no reported cases of transmission of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) or hepatitis through performance of CPR. In conjunction with Occupational Safety and Health Administration recommendations for workplaces, policymakers should mandate that mouth-to-mouth barrier devices and gloves be available wherever AEDs are stationed, to facilitate CPR performance in addition to AED use. Information about Good Samaritan legislation should be included in CPR classes and materials and posted prominently near AED installations. The public must understand that when bystanders perform CPR immediately, the victim’s chance of surviving cardiac arrest can double or triple at little risk to the rescuer.

### **Improve EMS and CPR Quality**

Community lay rescuer and EMS programs should include a process for continuous quality improvement that includes a

review of resuscitation efforts, quality of CPR, and CPR instructions provided to bystanders by dispatchers. Healthcare provider systems that deliver CPR should implement continuous quality-improvement processes that include monitoring the quality of CPR delivered during any attempted resuscitation. These monitoring data should be used to maximize the quality of resuscitation care delivered, including the quality of CPR performance. At present, a variety of devices have been developed to both measure and provide feedback on the performance of CPR in the form of either defibrillators with additional CPR monitoring capabilities or stand-alone devices that can be used by rescuers even before a defibrillator can be brought to the scene of an arrest.<sup>21,22,29–31</sup> Some of these devices can also record CPR performance and provide opportunities for debriefing and training. Such tools may have an important impact on this quality-improvement goal in coming years.

### **Future Directions**

Several newer training modalities may have a great impact on CPR training in the near future. The use of Internet-based CPR education and certification may augment the reach of current training programs, especially in light of the expanding access to the Internet via television, mobile telephone, and other personal devices.<sup>32</sup> Under certain circumstances, simpler methods of bystander resuscitation, such as chest-compression-only CPR, may also encourage broader participation and remain an area of active scientific investigation.<sup>27</sup> For EMS, hospital systems, and other professional CPR providers, the use of rigorous simulation with video recording and debriefing may serve as a staple in resuscitation training; the use of such patient simulators is a rapidly expanding area of current research.<sup>33,34</sup>

### **Research Recommendations**

To address possible methods to increase bystander CPR participation, a number of important research questions deserve attention. What educational methods lead to the highest quality of CPR in the broadest fashion possible? What are the optimal target populations for CPR education (ie, who is most likely to witness a cardiac arrest event)? Can dispatcher-assisted CPR successfully provide “just-in-time” training in a variety of communities? What are the public perceptions that serve as barriers to CPR participation? Targeted funding for such research questions may yield new directions to strengthen the CPR link in the chain of survival.

### **Recommendations Summary**

On the basis of the above discussion, the following recommendations can be made:

1. Government agencies at the local, state, and federal level should provide CPR education in such settings as school systems and government-funded hospital and clinic systems.
2. EMS and 9-1-1 systems should implement and support dispatcher-assisted CPR programs.
3. CPR instructors, EMS leaders, and government agencies should strengthen public awareness of Good Samaritan laws and of the dramatic lifesaving potential of bystander CPR.
4. EMS systems and CPR instructors should focus efforts on rigorous CPR performance and quality-improvement ef-

forts in resuscitation care; when CPR certification is needed, CPR instructional programs should always include an objective CPR quality assessment for certification.

5. Research funds should be targeted toward improving methods of CPR education, improving skill retention, and developing creative methods to widen the scope of current CPR training and education.

### Conclusions

To maximize the chance of a successful resuscitation outcome, CPR must be started as soon as possible after a victim of SCA collapses. Improved survival rates depend on a public trained and motivated to recognize the emergency, activate

EMS or the emergency response system, initiate high-quality CPR, and use an AED if available.

Ample evidence has shown that CPR works. “Pushing hard and pushing fast” maintains a small but critical amount of blood flow to the brain and heart that can significantly improve the chance of survival for victims of SCA. Performance of high-quality bystander CPR can be increased through widespread dissemination of self-instructional CPR courses, effective public education about the low risks of performing CPR, continuous CPR quality-improvement processes for lay and professional rescuer programs, and meaningful legislative initiatives designed to support and encourage layperson action during an emergency. Through these actions, which are intended to encourage and broaden CPR training, thousands of additional lives can be saved every year.

### Disclosures

#### Writing Group Disclosures

Writing Group Member	Employment	Research Grant	Other Research Support	Speakers' Bureau/Honoraria	Ownership Interest	Consultant/Advisory Board	Other
Benjamin S. Abella	University of Pennsylvania	Philips Medical Systems†; National Institutes of Health†; Laerdal Medical*	None	Zoll Medical*; Alsius Corp*; Philips Medical Systems*	None	None	None
Tom P. Aufderheide	Medical College of Wisconsin	NHLBI-ROC*; IMMEDIATE*; ResQ Trial*; ERGO PAD*	GE Marquette*; Zoll Medical*; ACSI*; AHA*	None	None	Medtronic* (terminated July 2005)	None
Brian Eigel	American Heart Association	None	None	None	None	None	None
Mary Fran Hazinski	Vanderbilt University and Children's Hospital	None	None	None	None	AHA Senior Science Editor†	None
Robert W. Hickey	Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh	None	None	None	None	None	None
W.T. Longstreth, Jr	University of Washington	None	None	None	None	None	None
Vinay Nadkarni	The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, University of Pennsylvania	None	None	None	None	None	None
Graham Nichol	Endowed chair, Medic One; University of Washington—Harborview Center for Prehospital Emergency Care	Coinvestigator responsible for economic analysis of trial of cardiac resynchronization therapy, funded by Medtronic and Canadian Institutes of Health Research†; co-principal investigator, Data Coordinating Center, Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium, funded by NHLBI and CIHR†	Laerdal Foundation for Acute Medicine†	None	None	Member, AHA ECC Committee*; immediate past chair, AHA BLS Subcommittee*; chair, AHA AED Task Force*; founder and chair, Resuscitation Science Symposium*; board member, Medic One Foundation*; consultant, INNERcool Inc*	Radiant Medical Inc (travel compensation)*; equipment grant to Related 501C(3) by Laerdal Medical Corporation*
Michael R. Sayre	Ohio State University	Zoll/Revivant*; Ohio Board of EMS*; NHTSA*, Department of Transportation*; Medivance*	Medtronic Emergency Response*	None	None	Consultant, Philips Medical*	None
Claire E. Som margren	University of California	None	None	None	None	None	None

This table represents the relationships of writing group members that may be perceived as actual or reasonably perceived conflicts of interest as reported on the Disclosure Questionnaire, which all writing group members are required to complete and submit. A relationship is considered to be “significant” if (1) the person receives \$10 000 or more during any 12-month period, or 5% or more of the person’s gross income; or (2) the person owns 5% or more of the voting stock or share of the entity, or owns \$10 000 or more of the fair market value of the entity. A relationship is considered to be “modest” if it is less than “significant” under the preceding definition.

\*Modest.

†Significant.

## Reviewer Disclosures

Reviewer	Employment	Research Grant	Other Research Support	Speakers' Bureau/Honoraria	Expert Witness	Ownership Interest	Consultant/Advisory Board	Other
Rudolph W. Koster	Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, Netherlands	Medtronic Emergency Response Systems*; Netherlands Heart Foundation†	Medtronic Emergency Response Systems†	Medtronic Emergency Response Systems*	None	Medtronic*	Medtronic Emergency Response Systems*	None
Edward R. Stapleton	University at Stony Brook	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Petter Andreas Steen	Ullevaal University Hospital, Ullevaal, Norway	Norwegian Air Ambulance†; Laerdal Medical†; Laerdal Foundation for Acute Medicine†; Government Health Region East†	None	None	None	None	Laerdal Medical†	Norwegian Air Ambulance†; Laerdal Medical†

This table represents the relationships of reviewers that may be perceived as actual or reasonably perceived conflicts of interest as reported on the Disclosure Questionnaire, which all reviewers are required to complete and submit. A relationship is considered to be "significant" if (1) the person receives \$10 000 or more during any 12-month period, or 5% or more of the person's gross income; or (2) the person owns 5% or more of the voting stock or share of the entity, or owns \$10 000 or more of the fair market value of the entity. A relationship is considered to be "modest" if it is less than "significant" under the preceding definition.

\*Modest.

†Significant.

## References

- Thom T, Haase N, Rosamond W, Howard VJ, Rumsfeld J, Manolio T, Zheng Z-J, Flegal K, O'Donnell C, Kittner S, Lloyd-Jones D, Goff DC Jr, Hong Y. Heart disease and stroke statistics: 2006 update: a report from the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. *Circulation*. 2006;113:e85–e151.
- Rea TD, Eisenberg MS, Sinibaldi G, White RD. Incidence of EMS-treated out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in the United States. *Resuscitation*. 2004;63:17–24.
- Eckstein M, Stratton SJ, Chan LS. Cardiac Arrest Resuscitation Evaluation in Los Angeles: CARE-LA. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2005;45:504–509.
- Becker LB, Ostrander MP, Barrett J, Kondos GT. Outcome of CPR in a large metropolitan area: where are the survivors? *Ann Emerg Med*. 1991;20:355–361.
- Dunne RB, Compton S, Zalenski RJ, Swor R, Welch R, Bock BF. Outcomes from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Detroit. *Resuscitation*. 2007;72:59–65.
- Rea TD, Eisenberg MS, Culley LL, Becker L. Dispatcher-assisted cardiopulmonary resuscitation and survival in cardiac arrest. *Circulation*. 2001;104:2513–2516.
- Waalewijn RA, de Vos R, Koster RW. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrests in Amsterdam and its surrounding areas: results from the Amsterdam resuscitation study (ARREST) in "Utstein" style. *Resuscitation*. 1998;38:157–167.
- Grmec Š, Križmarič M, Mally Š, Koželj A, Špindler M, Lešnik B. Utstein style analysis of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: bystander CPR and end expired carbon dioxide. *Resuscitation*. 2007;72:404–414.
- ECC Committee, Subcommittees, and Task Forces of the American Heart Association. 2005 American Heart Association guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. *Circulation*. 2005;112(suppl IV):IV-1–IV-203.
- Gallagher EJ, Lombardi G, Gennis P. Effectiveness of bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation and survival following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA*. 1995;274:1922–1925.
- Van Hoeyweghen RJ, Bossaert LL, Mullie A, Calle P, Martens P, Buylaert WA, Delooy H; Belgian Cerebral Resuscitation Study Group. Quality and efficiency of bystander CPR. *Resuscitation*. 1993;26:47–52.
- Wik L, Steen PA, Bircher NG. Quality of bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation influences outcome after prehospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 1994;28:195–203.
- Valenzuela TD, Roe DJ, Cretin S, Spaite DW, Larsen MP. Estimating effectiveness of cardiac arrest interventions: a logistic regression survival model. *Circulation*. 1997;96:3308–3313.
- Larsen MP, Eisenberg MS, Cummins RO, Hallstrom AP. Predicting survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a graphic model. *Ann Emerg Med*. 1993;22:1652–1658.
- Cobb LA, Fahrenbruch CE, Walsh TR, Copass MK, Olsufka M, Breskin M, Hallstrom AP. Influence of cardiopulmonary resuscitation prior to defibrillation in patients with out-of-hospital ventricular fibrillation. *JAMA*. 1999;281:1182–1188.
- Wik L, Hansen TB, Fylling F, Steen T, Vaagenes P, Auestad BH, Steen PA. Delaying defibrillation to give basic cardiopulmonary resuscitation to patients with out-of-hospital ventricular fibrillation: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2003;289:1389–1395.
- Caffrey SL, Willoughby PJ, Pepe PE, Becker LB. Public use of automated external defibrillators. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;347:1242–1247.
- Valenzuela TD, Roe DJ, Nichol G, Clark LL, Spaite DW, Hardman RG. Outcomes of rapid defibrillation by security officers after cardiac arrest in casinos. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;343:1206–1209.
- De Maio VJ, Stiell IG, Spaite DW, Ward RE, Lyver MB, Field BJ III, Munkley DP, Wells GA; Ontario Prehospital Advanced Life Support (OPALS) Study Group. CPR-only survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: implications for out-of-hospital care and cardiac arrest research methodology. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2001;37:602–608.
- Lateef F, Anantharaman V. Bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation in prehospital cardiac arrest patients in Singapore. *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 2001;5:387–390.
- Abella BS, Alvarado JP, Myklebust H, Edelson DP, Barry A, O'Hearn N, Vanden Hoek TL, Becker LB. Quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation during in-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA*. 2005;293:305–310.
- Wik L, Kramer-Johansen J, Myklebust H, Sorebo H, Svensson L, Fellows B, Steen PA. Quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *JAMA*. 2005;293:299–304.
- Swor R, Khan I, Domeier R, Honeycutt L, Chu K, Compton S. CPR training and CPR performance: do CPR-trained bystanders perform CPR? *Acad Emerg Med*. 2006;13:596–601.
- Lynch B, Einspruch EL, Nichol G, Becker LB, Aufderheide TP, Idris A. Effectiveness of a 30-min CPR self-instruction program for lay responders: a controlled randomized study. *Resuscitation*. 2005;67:31–43.
- Hazinski MF, Markenson D, Neish S, Gerardi M, Hootman J, Nichol G, Taras H, Hickey R, O'Connor R, Potts J, van der Jagt E, Berger S, Schexnayder S, Garson A Jr, Doherty A, Smith S; American Heart Association; American Academy of Pediatrics; American College of Emergency Physicians; American National Red Cross; National Association of School Nurses; National Association of State EMS Directors; National Association of EMS Physicians; National Associations of Emergency Medical Technicians; Program for School Preparedness and

- Planning; National Center for Disaster Preparedness; Columbia University Mailman School of Public Health. Response to cardiac arrest and selected life-threatening medical emergencies: the medical emergency response plan for schools: a statement for healthcare providers, policy-makers, school administrators, and community leaders. *Circulation*. 2004;109:278–291.
26. Hallstrom AP, Cobb LA, Johnson E, Copass MK. Dispatcher assisted CPR: implementation and potential benefit: a 12-year study. *Resuscitation*. 2003;57:123–129.
  27. Dias JA, Brown TB, Saini D, Shah RC, Cofield SS, Waterbor JW, Funkhouser E, Terndrup TE. Simplified dispatch-assisted CPR instructions outperform standard protocol. *Resuscitation*. 2007;72:108–114.
  28. Yang L, Weil MH, Noc M, Tang W, Turner T, Gazmuri RJ. Spontaneous gasping increases the ability to resuscitate during experimental cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Crit Care Med*. 1994;22:879–883.
  29. Kramer-Johansen J, Myklebust H, Wik L, Fellows B, Svensson L, Sørrebø H, Steen PA. Quality of out-of-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation with real time automated feedback: a prospective interventional study. *Resuscitation*. 2006;71:283–292.
  30. Abella BS, Edelson DP, Kim S, Retzer E, Myklebust H, Barry AM, O’Hearn N, Hoek TL, Becker LB. CPR quality improvement during in-hospital cardiac arrest using a real-time audiovisual feedback system. *Resuscitation*. 2007;73:54–61.
  31. Beckers SK, Skorning MH, Fries M, Bickenbach J, Beuerlein S, Derwall M, Kuhlen R, Rossaint R. CPREzy improves performance of external chest compressions in simulated cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*. 2007;72:100–107.
  32. Choa M-H, Park I-C, Chung HS, Yoon YS, Kim S-H, Yoo SK. Internet-based animation for instruction in cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2006;12(suppl 3):31–33.
  33. Marsch SC, Tschan F, Semmer N, Spychiger M, Breuer M, Hunziker PR. Unnecessary interruptions of cardiac massage during simulated cardiac arrests. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*. 2005;22:831–833.
  34. Wayne DB, Butter J, Siddall VJ, Fudala MJ, Wade LD, Feinglass J, McGaghie WC. Mastery learning of advanced cardiac life support skills by internal medicine residents using simulation technology and deliberate practice. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2006;21:251–256.

---

KEY WORDS: AHA Scientific Statement ■ cardiopulmonary resuscitation ■ resuscitation ■ heart arrest ■ defibrillation

**Reducing Barriers for Implementation of Bystander-Initiated Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association for Healthcare Providers, Policymakers, and Community Leaders Regarding the Effectiveness of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation**

Benjamin S. Abella, Tom P. Aufderheide, Brian Eigel, Robert W. Hickey, W.T. Longstreth, Jr, Vinay Nadkarni, Graham Nichol, Michael R. Sayre, Claire E. Som margren and Mary Fran Hazinski

*Circulation*. 2008;117:704-709; originally published online January 14, 2008;  
doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.188486

*Circulation* is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231  
Copyright © 2008 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.  
Print ISSN: 0009-7322. Online ISSN: 1524-4539

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/117/5/704>

**Permissions:** Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in *Circulation* can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the [Permissions and Rights Question and Answer](#) document.

**Reprints:** Information about reprints can be found online at:  
<http://www.lww.com/reprints>

**Subscriptions:** Information about subscribing to *Circulation* is online at:  
<http://circ.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/>