Injectable Self-Assembling Peptide Nanofibers Create Intramyocardial Microenvironments for Endothelial Cells
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Background—Promoting survival of transplanted cells or endogenous precursors is an important goal. We hypothesized that a novel approach to promote vascularization would be to create injectable microenvironments within the myocardium that recruit endothelial cells and promote their survival and organization.

Methods and Results—In this study we demonstrate that self-assembling peptides can be injected and that the resulting nanofiber microenvironments are readily detectable within the myocardium. Furthermore, the self-assembling peptide nanofiber microenvironments recruit progenitor cells that express endothelial markers, as determined by staining with isoelectin and for the endothelial-specific protein platelet–endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1. Vascular smooth muscle cells are recruited to the microenvironment and appear to form functional vascular structures. After the endothelial cell population, cells that express α-sarcomeric actin and the transcription factor Nkx2.5 infiltrate the peptide microenvironment. When exogenous donor green fluorescent protein–positive neonatal cardiomyocytes were injected with the self-assembling peptides, transplanted cardiomyocytes in the peptide microenvironment survived and also augmented endogenous cell recruitment.

Conclusions—These experiments demonstrate that self-assembling peptides can create nanofiber microenvironments in the myocardium and that these microenvironments promote vascular cell recruitment. Because these peptide nanofibers may be modified in a variety of ways, this approach may enable injectable tissue regeneration strategies. (Circulation. 2005; 111:442-450.)

Key Words: tissue engineering ■ microenvironment ■ regeneration

The dominant cause of heart failure is loss of myocardium as a result of infarction and the limited regeneration potential of cardiomyocytes in mammals. Several different approaches in cell transplantation and cardiac tissue engineering have emerged as potential treatments to restore cardiac function.1 Implantation of skeletal muscle cells, bone marrow cells, mesenchymal stem cells, or myoblasts has been reported to stimulate revascularization of ischemic heart tissue and enhance cardiac function.2 In addition, cell-seeded grafts have been proposed for in vitro cardiac tissue growth and subsequent in vivo transplantation. These grafts can consist of embryonic or neonatal cardiomyocytes seeded in 3-dimensional scaffolds; the cardiomyocytes cultured in these scaffolds can spatially organize and differentiate into myocardium-like 3-dimensional tissue.4,5 These results suggest that cell therapy and tissue engineering of myocardium have potential for myocardial regeneration or replacement. However, current approaches to cardiac regeneration face important challenges. Thus far, studies indicate that relatively few transplanted cells survive within the myocardium after injection.6,7 Engineered ex vivo myocardial grafts have properties of normal myocardium, but whether appropriate vascularization and oxygen delivery can occur in surgically implanted cardiac grafts is unclear.4,5 Ultimately, a successful cardiac regeneration strategy will depend on recruitment of both cardiac myocytes and endothelial cells in order to develop the microvasculature for oxygenation and nutrient delivery. Thus far, regeneration strategies have not yet yielded replacement tissue with normal vascular/cardiomyocyte architecture, suggesting that new approaches are needed.

A particularly useful approach to cardiac regeneration would be a method that could employ injection into the injured area in a manner similar to cell injection therapy (rather than surgical implantation of myocardium-like volume) and that would provide a suitable growth environment for endothelial cells. This could possibly be performed with self-assembling peptides, which are short peptides that have unique properties.8 These short peptides, typically 8 to 16 amino acids long, are in solution at low pH and osmolarity but rapidly form fibers on the order of 5 to 10 nm and...
assemble into a 3-dimensional scaffold at physiological pH and osmolarity. They support attachment, growth, and differentiation of many types of mammalian primary cells. Furthermore, peptide scaffolds can potentially be modified to add growth factors and other cellular signals. In this study we demonstrate that self-assembling peptides can be injected into the myocardium to create 3-dimensional microenvironments. These microenvironments recruit both endogenous endothelial and smooth muscle cells, and exogenously injected cells survive in the microenvironments. These data suggest that the self-assembling peptide approach can create injectable microenvironments that promote vascularization. We present these data as representing an initial step toward injectable cardiac regeneration because further modification of the peptide microenvironment could enable an injectable approach to cardiac repair.

**Methods**

**Peptide Gel**

RAD16-II peptide (AcN-RARADADARADADA-CNH₆) was synthesized by Synpep. Biotinylated RAD16-II peptide was synthesized at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology by adding a biotin molecule linked to the peptide by 2 N-Fmoc-e-aminocaproic acid groups. Immediately before injection, to initiate self-assembly, peptides were dissolved in sterile sucrose (295 mmol/L) at 1% (wt/vol) and sonicated for 10 minutes.

**Myocardial Injection**

Adult, male C57BL/6 mice aged 8 to 10 weeks were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, Mass). The animals were anesthetized (50 mg/kg sodium pentobarbital in 20% ethanol) and, after tracheal intubation, the hearts were exposed by separation of the ribs. The peptide gel (10 μL) or Matrigel (10 μL; BD Biosciences) was injected into the free wall of the left ventricle (LV) through a 30-gauge needle while the heart was beating. After injection, the chests were closed, and animals were allowed to recover under a heating lamp. The animal protocols were approved by the Harvard Medical School Standing Committee on Animals.

**Neonatal Cardiomyocyte Isolation**

One-day-old pups from enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP)–expressing mice (Jackson Laboratories, Bar Harbor, Me) were killed; hearts were excised, washed in Hanks’ buffered salt solution, and, after they were minced, placed in trypsin (1 mg/mL) for 6 hours at 4°C. The resulting pellet was then dissolved in collagenase type 2 (0.8 mg/mL) for 1 hour at 37°C. The resulting pellet was suspended in Medium 199 containing 20% fetal bovine serum and plated for 2 hours. The nonadherent cells were either directly suspended in 1% peptide microenvironment solution (100 000 cells per 10 μL) or Matrigel (10 μL; BD Biosciences) was injected into the free wall of the left ventricle (LV) through a 30-gauge needle while the heart was beating. After injection, the chests were closed, and animals were allowed to recover under a heating lamp. The animal protocols were approved by the Harvard Medical School Standing Committee on Animals.

**Embryonic Stem Cell Injection**

Embryonic stem cells stably transfected with cardiac-specific α-cardiac myosin heavy chain promoter-driven eGFP were prepared as previously described. Undifferentiated embryonic stem cells were detached from culture dishes and suspended in 1% peptide microenvironment solution (100 000 cells per 10 μL) before injection into the LV free wall.

**Immunofluorescence/Immunohistochemistry**

At the indicated times, animals were euthanized by CO₂ inhalation, and hearts were excised and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. After dehydration, the hearts were embedded in paraffin, and 5-μm sections were made. For staining, paraffin was removed by immersing in xylene, and tissue sections were probed with the use of isolectin-fluorescein (Vector Laboratories) or antibodies to α-sarcomeric actin (Sigma; catalog No. A-2172), α-smooth muscle actin (Sigma), or Nkx2.5 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), as well as appropriately conjugated secondary antibodies (Molecular Probes) when necessary. DAPI (Molecular Probes) was added last for staining of nuclei. For immunohistochemistry, the tissue sections were probed with an antibody to CD31 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and then with a biotinylated secondary antibody before detection with the use of the ABC kit and DAB (Vector). The resulting sections were then counterstained with hematoxylin.

**Results**

**Detection of Injected Peptide Gel and Cell Infiltration**

We have previously determined that endothelial cells and myocardial cells survive well within peptide microenvironments made with the RAD16-II peptide in vitro. To determine whether microenvironments can be established with injected peptides in vivo, the LV free wall of adult male C57BL6 mice was injected with 1% RAD16-II immediately after suspension of peptides at pH 7.0 and osmolarity of 295 mOsm. The hearts were later excised, fixed in 4% (wt/vol) paraformaldehyde, and embedded in paraffin. When stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), the injected microenvironment was easily distinguishable from the surrounding myocardium (Figure 1a; arrows denote microenvironment borders) and contained few or no nuclei at 3 hours (Figure 1a). In the week after injection, cells had populated the peptide microenvironment (Figure 1b). To confirm the location of the peptide microenvironment and that the cells were within the microenvironment, a biotinylated peptide (0.1% of the total peptide was biotinylated) was injected, and tissue sections were stained with streptavidin–Texas red after fixation. Figure 1c and 1d demonstrate the presence of the microenvironment in the myocardium and the lack of cells immediately after injection and subsequent cell density. As a negative control, we injected biotinylated peptide alone. At concentrations required for normal peptide assembly into fibrils (>0.5% wt/vol), the biotinylated peptide by itself does not assemble when each peptide has the linker and a biotin molecule, most likely because of interference from the linker required for biotin attachment. In contrast, if most of the peptides do not have the linker and biotin (for example, if only 1 of each 100 peptides has the linker and biotin), then the biotinylated peptide is incorporated into the scaffold. We stained sections 7 days after injection of 100% biotinylated peptides at a final concentration of 1% wt/vol with H&E and saw little inflammation in the injection area (data not shown). Furthermore, there was no streptavidin–Texas red staining, indicating that the biotinylated peptide did not remain in the myocardium. Thus, the injected scaffold assembles into a microenvironment in vivo within the myocardium and does not result in a major inflammatory response.

**Endothelial Cells Populate Injected Peptide Gel**

We next sought to determine the identity of the cells within the peptide microenvironment. Because angiogenic cells can invade other 3-dimensional scaffolds after implantation with and without factors, we hypothesized that endothelial progenitor cells were the dominant cell type initially in the
peptide microenvironment. To test this hypothesis, we injected the LV wall of adult mice with 1% RAD16-II, harvested hearts 7, 14, 21, or 28 days later, and stained sections with isolecin, an endothelial cell marker. To quantify cell invasion, isolecin-positive cells were counted in 2 sections for each heart and normalized to the microenvironment area (n=4 mice per time point). At 7 days after injection, there were 33.5 endothelial cells/mm² of microenvironment (Figure 2a). After 14 days, however, there were significantly fewer endothelial cells (17.3 endothelial cells/mm²; P<0.001) in the microenvironment (Figure 2b). The cell number remained constant at 21 and 28 days (14.5±0.6 and 14.8±2.7 endothelial cells/mm² microenvironment, respectively), with the cells appearing more clustered (Figure 2c; 21 days), and capillary-like structures were observed within the microenvironment at 28 days after injection (Figure 2d; arrows). These data demonstrate the presence, organization, and maturation of endothelial cells invading the peptide microenvironment after injection.

Because some nonendothelial cells can stain positively with isolecin, we stained hearts 28 days after injection for the endothelial cell marker CD31 (platelet–endothelial cell adhesion molecule-1 [PECAM-1]). We did not see significant staining at 7 days after injection (data not shown). However, at 14 days there were aggregates of CD31-positive cells within the microenvironment (Figure 3a). By 28 days after injection, we found formed arterioles within the microenvironment in all sections (Figure 3b). Furthermore, as shown in Figure 2e, we saw red blood cells within the arterioles, suggesting that these newly formed vascular structures may anastamose with the host vasculature.

Identification of Nonvascular Cells
Interestingly, as many as 70% of the cells within the peptide microenvironments were not isolecin positive or smooth blood cells, suggesting a connection to the host vasculature. At the 3-hour time point, we also observed occasional red blood cells within the microenvironment; however, we believe that this may be due to the initial trauma of the injection because we did not see red blood cells in the microenvironment outside vascular structures at later time points. Although this is an indirect method, as red blood cells could move during harvesting or tissue processing, these data suggest that functional vessels form in the microenvironment.

Smooth Muscle Cells Populate the Peptide Microenvironment
The peptide microenvironment appears to recruit endothelial cells, suggesting the potential of neovascularization. Because smooth muscle cells are also important in cardiac assembly, we stained microenvironment-injected samples with an antibody to α-smooth muscle actin. We did not see significant staining at 7 days after injection (data not shown). However, at 14 days there were aggregates of α-smooth muscle actin–positive cells within the microenvironment (Figure 3a). By 28 days after injection, we found formed arterioles within the microenvironment in all sections (Figure 3b). Furthermore, as shown in Figure 2e, we saw red blood cells within the arterioles, suggesting that these newly formed vascular structures may anastamose with the host vasculature.
muscle actin positive, suggesting that at least 1 other cell type spontaneously invaded the microenvironment after injection. Recent studies indicate that there are cardiac progenitor cells and circulating progenitor cells that may differentiate into adult myocytes after myocardial infarction.\textsuperscript{18–20} We hypothesized that these nonendothelial cells may be similar to the previously described putative immature myocytes. To explore this, we stained sections from 7-, 14-, 21-, and 28-day peptide microenvironment-injected hearts with the cardiac-specific markers \textsuperscript{7}H\textsuperscript{9}251-sarcomeric actin, as well as \textsuperscript{7}H\textsuperscript{9}251-sarcomeric actinin (data not shown), and determined cell density. There were fewer \textsuperscript{7}H\textsuperscript{9}251-sarcomeric actin–positive cells within the microenvironment at 7 days (8.1±0.7 myocytes/mm\textsuperscript{2} microenvironment; Figure 4a) compared with endothelial cells (P<0.001). However, at 14 days, there was a 60% increase in \textsuperscript{7}H\textsuperscript{9}251-sarcomeric actin–positive cell density (13.0±1.2 myocytes/mm\textsuperscript{2} microenvironment; Figure 4b). Increases in \textsuperscript{7}H\textsuperscript{9}251-sarcomeric actin–positive cell density continued at 21 days (21.3±3.4 myocytes/mm\textsuperscript{2} microenvironment; P<0.01; Figure 4c) and 28 days (29.6±1.5 myocytes/mm\textsuperscript{2} microenvironment; P<0.01; Figure 4d). These data, summarized graphically in Figure 4e, show that, in addition to endothelial cells, potential myocyte progenitors also populate the microenvironment with a delayed time course. It is important to note, however, that this cannot determine the origin or eventual fate of these cells.

Implantation of Matrigel Recruits Few Cells

To explore a selective advantage of the self-assembling peptide microenvironments, Matrigel was injected intramyocardially, and hearts were harvested and stained after 7 or 28 days. Matrigel has been used in vascularization studies and has also been used for culturing myocytes.\textsuperscript{21} As Figure 5a shows, there were few endothelial cells (green) in the Matrigel...
Implantation of Neonatal Myocytes

Several investigators have injected various types of myocytes into the myocardium. A shortcoming of cell transplantation, however, is the poor survival of implanted myocytes, possibly because of inadequate vascularization or lack of necessary survival/growth factors. One possible effect of transplanted cells is to recruit progenitor cells, even if the transplanted cells themselves do not survive. To explore this, we isolated neonatal cardiac myocytes from 2-day-old mice expressing GFP driven by the actin promoter. Using flow cytometry and antibodies to α-sarcomeric actin, we determined that 95% to 99% of the isolated cells were cardiomyocytes (data not shown). These cells were injected together with the self-assembling peptide (100 000 cells per injection), and the hearts were harvested at 7, 14, or 28 days after injection. Surprisingly, very few GFP-positive myocytes remained in the peptide microenvironment 7 days after injection. However, injection of exogenous GFP-positive myocytes increased the density of non-GFP cells that stained positively for the cardiac marker α-sarcomeric actin (24.7±4.3 myocytes/mm² microenvironment; P<0.01 versus microenvironment alone; Figure 6a). Figure 6b shows the merged image and demonstrates that very few α-sarcomeric actin–positive cells were also GFP positive (arrows denote double positive cells). At 14 days, there were 31.1±4.8 myocytes/mm² microenvironment (P=NS versus microenvironment alone), and the cells appeared larger and elongated (data not shown). This trend continued at day 28, when there were the same numbers of α-sarcomeric actin–positive cells (24.8±3.3 myocytes/mm² microenvironment) as the microenvironment alone, but there were several larger, binucleated α-sarcomeric actin–positive cells within the cell-embedded microenvironment (Figure 6c; arrows). The data summarized in Figure 6d show a significant increase in α-sarcomeric actin–positive cell density at 7 and 14 days in the group with implanted myocytes within the microenvironment. These data confirm that implantation of exogenous neonatal myocytes increases the density of endogenous potential putative cardiac progenitors in the microenvironment.

To further define the phenotype of these recruited α-sarcomeric actin–positive cells in the peptide microenvironments, we stained tissues with an antibody against the transcription factor Nkx2.5. Nkx2.5 has been used to stain developing myocytes; we confirmed this by performing immunofluorescence on isolated neonatal myocytes (data not shown). At 7 days after injection with GFP myocytes in peptide microenvironments, many cells stained positive for Nkx2.5 (Figure 7a; arrows), while there was virtually no staining with the secondary alone control (Figure 7b). Similar to stains of isolated cells, Nkx2.5 staining in peptide microenvironments was observed primarily in the nucleus. These data, taken with the α-sarcomeric actin results, further indicate that potential myocyte progenitors populate the peptide microenvironments after injection with GFP-positive cardiomyocytes.

Implantation of Undifferentiated Embryonic Stem Cells

Because the clinical utilization of transplanted neonatal cardiac myocytes is limited, we also examined undifferentiated embryonic stem cell implantation within the microenvironment in vivo. These cells have eGFP under the control of the α-myosin heavy chain promoter and therefore express eGFP when they become cardiac myocytes. We injected 100 000 undifferentiated cells embedded within the peptide microenvironment and saw eGFP-positive cells after 14 days (Figure 8). This demonstrates that spontaneous differentiation of embryonic stem cells into cardiac myocytes can occur within the microenvironment in vivo and displays a potential application for optimization of differentiating stem cells in treating cardiovascular disease.

Discussion

Myocardial regeneration is an emerging field, with several clinical studies already under way to examine the effects of cell implantation. A shortcoming of the cell injection approach is that cell survival may be poor. Our studies demonstrate the feasibility of creating an injectable microenvironment for exogenous cell therapy or recruiting progenitor cells within the myocardium. Both endothelial and smooth muscle...
cells infiltrated the microenvironments, suggesting the potential for proper vascularization. Furthermore, we demonstrated that injection of exogenous cells within the peptide microenvironment resulted in recruitment of α-sarcomeric actin/Nkx2.5–positive cells.

Although the peptide microenvironments were easily identified with routine histological methods, we also used a biotinylated peptide to identify the microenvironments by staining with streptavidin conjugates. The peptide microenvironments were identified up to 28 days after injection. Thus far, the survival of the peptides within tissues and the mechanisms of degradation are unknown, and further studies are under way. The use of biotinylated peptides should enable studies of microenvironment degradation. An additional application of the biotinylated self-assembling peptides could be to tether or target growth factors or compounds to the microenvironment. Recent studies have shown that injected streptavidin-FITC can be targeted to the biotinylated endothelium of the kidney in rabbits, and this approach may be exploited for the delivery of therapeutic agents.23

Within a week after injection, cells were seen within the peptide microenvironments that stained positively for α-sarcomeric actin. Within a week after injection, cells were seen within the peptide microenvironments that stained positively for α-sarcomeric actin (red) 7 days after injection. b, However, there was an increase in myocyte staining after 14 days, which continued to 21 days (c) and 28 days (d) after injection. Note that many of the myocytes were small cells and remained so at all time points. Bars represent 20 μm. e, Differences in endothelial and myocyte density time courses. **P<0.01 vs 14-, 21-, and 28-day endothelial cells (EC); ***P<0.001 vs 14-, 21-, and 28-day endothelial cells (EC).
positive vessels within the myocardium, including some with red blood cells within, suggesting that angiogenesis also occurs within the microenvironment with a specific time course and that new vessels are capable of connection to the host vasculature.

There were few surviving implanted GFP myocytes at 7, 14, and 28 days, but, interestingly, there was a significant increase in endogenous α-sarcomeric actin–positive cells compared with peptide microenvironment without exogenous cells. This result may be due to nonsurviving implanted cardiomyocytes releasing a signal that recruits endogenous progenitors. Our findings are consistent with previous reports of smaller, immature myocytes that express markers such as c-kit and Nkx2.5 being mobilized after injury.19,20,24 There is a possibility that α-sarcomeric actin–positive cells may have differentiated from non-GFP implanted cells; however, using flow cytometry, we determined that there were no non-GFP cells, and therefore this is improbable.

It is interesting to note that these α-sarcomeric actin–positive cells are not typical cardiac myocytes; they are smaller and have no clear sarcomeres. It has been shown that cells migrating to zones of injury in the heart are smaller cells but can possess molecular markers for immature cardiac myocyte progenitors.19,24 Microenvironment cells stain positively for α-sarcomeric actin as well as Nkx2.5, suggesting that they are similar in nature to published reports of cardiac myocyte precursors. Whether these putative myocyte precursors are capable of commitment and differentiation to adult

Figure 5. Endothelial cells do not populate Matrigel to the same degree as the self-assembling peptide microenvironment. Isolectin (green) and α-sarcomeric actin (red) staining of Matrigel sections 7 days (a) and 28 days (b) after injection. Note that much of the gel area is unpopulated. Blue=DAPI; bars represent 20 μm.

Figure 6. Implantation of GFP myocytes results in increased endogenous myocyte density within the peptide microenvironment. a, α-Sarcomeric actin (red) staining of the peptide microenvironment region 7 days after injection. There were many endogenous putative myocyte precursors within the microenvironment. b, Merged image from 7 days after injection showing that relatively few α-sarcomeric actin–positive cells are GFP positive, as denoted by arrows (green=GFP, merged=yellow). c, Larger, double-nucleated endogenous myocytes (red, arrows) were visible within the microenvironment 28 days after injection, while there were still small endogenous myocytes. d, Difference in myocyte recruitment in GFP myocyte–injected samples and microenvironment alone. **P<0.01 vs microenvironment alone at same time point.
cardiomyocytes is unclear. Currently, it is challenging to image individual cells over time in vivo in the same mouse. The best tool for evaluating commitment and differentiation is the use of molecular markers. We have shown that these immature myocytes stain positive for the early cardiac marker Nkx2.5, as well as α-sarcomeric actin and actinin. Further studies to determine the fate of these potential putative cardiac progenitors are needed.

A particularly interesting aspect of these experiments is that they demonstrate that endothelial and other progenitor cells migrate into a self-assembling peptide microenvironment environment even though these peptides have no known biological signal. This could be due to diffusion and selective binding of chemotactic factors in the peptide microenvironment. However, our results also raise the intriguing possibility that progenitor cells in normal myocardium are poised to migrate away from the tissue should local injury occur, in a manner similar to cells migrating away from explanted tissue in culture. In this case, the injection itself may represent an acute injury, and the signals released thereafter may contribute to the selective recruitment or differentiation of progenitor cells. It has been shown that there are populations of cells within the myocardium capable of migration and differentiation into cardiac precursors. Although this could be a likely source of the α-sarcomeric actin–positive cells within the microenvironment, it is not the only possibility. Cells of bone marrow origin may also have potential to migrate and differentiate into cardiac precursors. Our data cannot address the origin of cells, and further studies are warranted to elucidate the origin of these putative cardiac progenitors.

Many strategies are being explored for vascularization, and each has advantages and potential pitfalls. As a control for comparison, we injected Matrigel and found little penetration in vivo by endothelial cells and no staining for putative cardiac myocyte precursors. Much of the injected Matrigel remained unpopulated and nonvascularized, suggesting that cardiac assembly in vivo with Matrigel may not be feasible. Here we propose that injectable microenvironments may provide local regions that promote endothelial cell survival and organization. Further work will be required to determine whether progenitor cells mature into functioning myocardial regions, particularly after injury with ischemia or infarction. Furthermore, establishing that these microenvironments are not proarrhythmic is a crucial future goal, as regions with slow or unidirectional conduction could promote reentry dysrhythmias. Additionally, there is usually a profound inflammatory response after myocardial infarction, and careful studies will have to be undertaken to minimize the recruitment/survival of inflammatory cells. However, a powerful advantage of self-assembling peptides is that they can be engineered to incorporate growth factors and other signals that could provide signals for cardiomyocyte recruitment or maturation. Since these factors could be tethered to the peptide microenvironment or even released in a controllable fashion, timing of signals to control the regenerative process could be regulated. Thus, these initial experiments with a self-assembling peptide may provide the technology to modify the regenerative response in beneficial ways.
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