Comparison of Coronary Plaque Rupture Between Stable Angina and Acute Myocardial Infarction
A Three-Vessel Intravascular Ultrasound Study in 235 Patients
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Background—We evaluated the incidence and predictors of single and multiple plaque ruptures in acute myocardial infarction (AMI) and stable angina pectoris (SAP).

Methods and Results—We performed 3-vessel intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) examination in 235 patients: 122 had AMI, and 113 had SAP. Plaque rupture of infarct-related or target lesions occurred in 80 AMI patients (66%) and in 31 SAP patients (27%) (P<0.001). Non–infarct-related or non–target artery plaque ruptures occurred in 21 AMI patients (17%) and 6 SAP patients (5%) (P=0.008). Multiple plaque ruptures were observed in 24 AMI (20%) and 7 SAP patients (6%) (P=0.004). Therefore, at least 1 plaque rupture in any coronary artery was noted in 84 AMI patients (69%) and 35 SAP patients (31%) (P<0.001). The only independent clinical predictor of plaque rupture in the infarct-related/target lesion was AMI (P<0.01; OR, 4.867; 95% CI, 2.734 to 8.661). The only independent clinical predictor of plaque rupture in AMI patients was an elevated C-reactive protein (CRP) level (P=0.035; OR, 2.139; 95% CI, 1.053 to 4.343). Conversely, in SAP patients, the only independent clinical predictor of plaque rupture was diabetes mellitus (P=0.034; OR, 2.553; 95% CI, 1.071 to 6.085). The only independent clinical predictor of multiple plaque ruptures was AMI (P=0.003; OR, 3.752; 95% CI, 1.546 to 9.105).

Conclusions—Three-vessel IVUS imaging showed that culprit lesion plaque rupture, secondary remote plaque ruptures, and multiple plaque ruptures were all more common in AMI patients than SAP patients. In AMI patients, plaque rupture was associated with a high CRP level, whereas in SAP patients, plaque rupture was more common in those with diabetes. (Circulation. 2004;110:928-933.)
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Pathological and autopsy studies have reported that rupture of a vulnerable plaque and subsequent thrombus formation is the most important mechanism leading to an acute coronary syndrome (ACS).1,2 One previous study using coronary angiography showed that 40% of patients with an acute myocardial infarction (AMI) had multiple complex plaques and that these patients had an increased incidence of recurrent ACS, repeat intervention, and coronary-artery bypass surgery in the subsequent year.3 Intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) provides detailed, high-quality tomographic images of coronary plaque and vessel wall and can safely detect plaque rupture in vivo. IVUS plaque rupture is typically associated with an angiographically complex-appearing plaque; however, secondary plaque ruptures are usually missed if they occur in the same artery.4 Other IVUS studies have evaluated the incidence and clinical presentation of single and multiple plaque ruptures in patients with stable angina pectoris (SAP), ACS, or AMI.5–8 To date, the only 3-vessel IVUS study in ACS patients reported an incidence of culprit lesion plaque rupture of 37.5% (9 of 24), but 79% (19 of 24) of the patients had at least 1 secondary (nonculprit) plaque rupture.6 These data have profound implications on strategies to identify vulnerable plaques, in particular, multiple vulnerable rupture-prone plaques, in patients at risk for an AMI. Therefore, to confirm these data, we performed a prospective IVUS analysis of all 3 major epicardial arteries in a large number of AMI patients and compared them with those of a control population of SAP patients. Our goal was to evaluate the incidence and predictors of single and multiple plaque ruptures in AMI and SAP patients.

Methods

Study Population
Between July 2002 and April 2003, a prospective but nonconsecutive series of 235 patients who were scheduled for coronary intervention...
underwent 3-vessel IVUS examination. Patients with a history of myocardial infarction, long lesions (length >30 mm), total occlusions, and severe angulation or calcification in any major epicardial artery were excluded (that is, 3-vessel IVUS was not even attempted) because of the potential difficulty in performing and interpreting IVUS in such situations. The study population consisted of 113 SAP and 122 AMI patients. Coronary intervention was performed in 497 SAP and 307 AMI patients during the same study period. IVUS-guided intervention was performed in 295 (59%) of the 497 SAP and 185 (60%) of the 307 AMI patients. Baseline clinical characteristics of patients without IVUS examination, with 1- or 2-vessel IVUS, and with 3-vessel IVUS examination are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Patients With 3-Vessel IVUS, 1- or 2-Vessel IVUS, and Without IVUS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3-Vessel IVUS</th>
<th>1- or 2-Vessel IVUS</th>
<th>No IVUS</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acute myocardial infarction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>58±11</td>
<td>58±9</td>
<td>59±15</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>91 (75)</td>
<td>48 (76)</td>
<td>88 (72)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>45 (37)</td>
<td>27 (43)</td>
<td>56 (46)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>17 (14)</td>
<td>15 (24)</td>
<td>26 (21)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette smoking</td>
<td>60 (49)</td>
<td>32 (51)</td>
<td>53 (43)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia (total cholesterol ≥220 mg/dL)</td>
<td>18 (15)</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
<td>14 (12)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of diseased vessels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>77 (63)</td>
<td>26 (41)</td>
<td>47 (39)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>29 (24)</td>
<td>22 (35)</td>
<td>44 (36)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16 (13)</td>
<td>15 (24)</td>
<td>31 (25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Stable angina pectoris   |              |                     |         |    |
| No. of patients          | 113          | 182                 | 202     |    |
| Age, y                   | 58±9         | 59±13               | 59±12   | 0.4|
| Male                     | 79 (70)      | 131 (72)            | 145 (72)| 0.9|
| Hypertension             | 46 (41)      | 84 (47)             | 93 (46) | 0.6|
| Diabetes mellitus        | 34 (30)      | 45 (25)             | 65 (32) | 0.3|
| Cigarette smoking        | 54 (48)      | 75 (41)             | 91 (45) | 0.5|
| Hypercholesterolemia (total cholesterol ≥220 mg/dL) | 12 (11) | 16 (9)              | 21 (10) | 0.8|
| No. of diseased vessels  |              |                     |         |    |
| 1                        | 71 (62)      | 101 (55)            | 92 (45) |    |
| 2                        | 29 (26)      | 47 (26)             | 56 (28) |    |
| 3                        | 13 (12)      | 34 (19)             | 54 (27) |    |

AMI was defined as continuous chest pain at rest with abnormal levels of cardiac enzymes (creatine kinase-MB or troponin-T); 91 patients had ST-segment elevation (>0.1 mV in 2 contiguous ECG leads), and 31 patients did not. Seventy-one of 91 patients with ST-segment elevation underwent primary stenting within 12 hours of symptom onset. Ten patients with ST-segment elevation who underwent primary thrombolytic treatment, 10 patients with ST-segment elevation who arrived at the emergency room >12 hours after symptom onset, and 31 patients without ST-segment elevation underwent elective stenting. Overall, the mean duration from AMI onset to IVUS was 1.4±1.9 days. Platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor inhibitors were used in 22 patients (18%). Multivessel interventions were performed in 29 SAP patients (26%).

Multivessel interventions were performed in 29 SAP patients (26%). The infarct-related or target lesion was identified by the combination of left ventricular wall motion abnormalities, ECG findings, angiographic lesion morphology, and scintigraphic defects. In SAP patients treated with multivessel intervention, lesions with more severe diameter stenosis and more complex lesion morphology in the vessel territory of scintigraphic reversible defects were selected as the target lesions.

Serum samples were collected just before coronary intervention and IVUS (1.4±1.9 days after symptom onset). C-reactive protein (CRP) was measured by use of a high-sensitivity turbidimetric assay with a coefficient of variation of <5% (hs-CRP, Cobas Integra, Roche Diagnostics). The low detection threshold of this method is 0.0064 mg/dL.10 Other standard risk factors were assessed. Diabetic patients were defined as those undergoing treatment with oral hypoglycemic agents or insulin at study entry.

**IVUS Imaging and Analysis**

IVUS examinations of all 3 major epicardial arteries were performed before any intervention and after intracoronary administration of 0.2 mg nitroglycerin using a motorized transducer pullback system (0.5 mm/s) and a commercial scanner (Boston Scientific Corp/SCIMED) consisting of a rotating 30-MHz transducer within a 3.2F imaging sheath. Qualitative and quantitative analyses were performed according to criteria of the American College of Cardiology’s Clinical Expert Consensus Document on IVUS.11
IVUS signs of plaque rupture suggested that a ruptured plaque contained a cavity that communicated with the lumen with an overlying residual fibrous cap fragment.\textsuperscript{7} The diagnosis of plaque rupture required independent review and agreement by 2 of the authors (M.-K.H. and Y.-H.K.). Figure 1 shows a typical plaque rupture. A fissure without a cavity communicating with the true lumen was not included in the analysis. Identification of 2 separate plaques in the same artery (ie, infarct-related/target lesion versus non–infarct-related/target lesion) required a ≥5-mm reference segment between them; if not, they were considered to be part of one long lesion.\textsuperscript{7} The intraplaque cavity was measured and extrapolated to the ruptured capsular area.\textsuperscript{6}

Quantitative IVUS analysis was performed by use of computerized planimetry at the infarct-related or target lesion and proximal and distal reference segments. The reference segments were the most normal-looking cross sections within 5 mm proximal and distal to the lesion but before any side branch. Quantitative measurements included external elastic membrane (EEM), lumen, and plaque and media (P and M=EEM minus lumen) cross-sectional area (CSA). A remodeling index was calculated as the lesion EEM CSA divided by the mean reference EEM CSA. Expansive (or positive) remodeling was defined as a remodeling index >1.0.\textsuperscript{8,9}

### Quantitative Coronary Angiographic Analysis

Using the guiding catheter for magnification-calibration and an online system (ANCOR V2.0, Siemens), minimal luminal diameters (MLDs) of the infarct-related/target-lesion-segment and reference-segment lumen diameters were measured before coronary intervention.

### Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS program. Data are presented as frequencies or mean±SD. Comparison was performed with a Pearson’s $\chi^2$ or Fisher’s exact test and unpaired Student’s $t$ test or ANOVA. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to assess independent clinical predictors for plaque rupture of the infarct-related/target lesion or multiple plaque ruptures. A probability value of $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

### Results

Baseline clinical characteristics and infarct-related or target lesion quantitative coronary angiographic (QCA) and IVUS measurements of all AMI and SAP patients are shown in Table 2. The group with SAP included more patients with diabetes, whereas total cholesterol and CRP level were significantly higher in AMI patients. QCA reference diameter was significantly larger in AMI patients compared with SAP patients. IVUS measurements showed that proximal reference EEM and lumen CSA and lesion site EEM CSA were also significantly larger in AMI patients along with more expansive lesion site remodeling.

Infarct-related lesion plaque rupture was found in 80 AMI patients (66%), and target lesion plaque rupture occurred in 31 SAP patients (27%) ($P<0.001$). There was at least 1 non–infarct-related artery plaque rupture in 21 AMI patients (17%), and in 6 SAP patients, there was at least 1 plaque rupture in a non-target artery (5%) ($P=0.008$). Multiple plaque ruptures were observed in 24 AMI patients (20%) and 7 SAP patients (6%) ($P=0.004$). Therefore, at least 1 plaque rupture in any coronary artery was noted in 84 AMI patients (69%) and 35 SAP patients (31%) ($P<0.001$) (Figure 2). The EEM, lumen, and ruptured-plaque cavity CSA were 16.3±4.9, 5.4±2.4, and 2.0±0.7 mm$^2$ in non–infarct-related ruptured plaque and 16.5±6.4, 5.7±2.6, and 1.9±1.2 mm$^2$ in nontarget ruptured plaque, respectively.

In AMI patients, the incidence of infarct-related lesions and secondary plaque ruptures were similar among the 3 different subgroups (Table 3).
Clinical characteristics, QCA measurements, and IVUS findings comparing SAP patients with and without target lesion plaque rupture are shown in Table 4. The group with SAP and plaque rupture had more diabetes, larger QCA reference diameters, and larger IVUS reference EEM and lumen CSA and lesion site EEM CSA than the group with SAP and no plaque rupture. A similar analysis of AMI patients showed that those with plaque rupture had higher CRP levels, larger IVUS reference and lesion site EEM CSA, more expansive lesion site remodeling, and larger QCA and IVUS reference lumen dimensions (Table 5).

Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to determine the independent clinical predictors of infarct-related or target lesion plaque rupture. All clinical variables with a value of $P<0.2$ in univariate analysis were tested. In the entire cohort of 235 patients, the only independent predictor of plaque rupture was AMI ($P<0.01$; OR, 4.867; 95% CI, 2.734 to 8.661). In AMI patients, the only independent predictor of plaque rupture was elevated CRP level ($P=0.035$; OR, 2.139; 95% CI, 1.053 to 4.343). In SAP patients, the only independent predictor was diabetes mellitus ($P=0.034$; OR, 2.553; 95% CI, 1.071 to 6.085). The only independent predictor of multiple plaque ruptures was AMI ($P=0.003$; OR, 3.752; 95% CI, 1.546 to 9.105).

**Discussion**

In this prospective analysis of 235 patients who underwent 3-vessel IVUS examination, we found that primary (infarct-related or target lesion) and secondary plaque rupture occurred not only in AMI patients but also in some SAP patients; however, their frequency was lower in SAP than in AMI patients. The findings of the present study contrast sharply with the only other published 3-vessel IVUS study. The present study found a higher incidence of infarct-related lesion plaque rupture (66% versus 37.5%) but a lower incidence of multiple plaque ruptures (20% versus 79%).
Multiple Plaque Ruptures

Goldstein et al, 3 in angiography studies, Buffon et al, 17
measuring neutrophil myeloperoxidase, and Asakura et al, 18
in angioscopic studies, found multiple yellow plaques in a wide range of cardiovascular patient populations. 12 In particular, Goldstein et al 13 found multiple complex angiographic plaques in 100 of 253 AMI patients. Two recent IVUS studies reported the frequency of multiple plaque ruptures in ACS/AMI patients: 79% in the only reported 3-vessel IVUS study 6 and 19% when studying just the infarct-related artery. 19

Histopathological studies have classically found more than 2 plaque ruptures per AMI patient. 2 This study population had primarily angiographic 1-vessel disease. Compared with Western patients, Korean patients have less extensive reference segment atherosclerosis. 20 Ruptured plaques appear to develop within the nonstenotic reference segments. These might partly explain the difference of incidence of multiple plaque ruptures between the present study (20%) and previous studies. 2, 6, 19 However, it is also likely that not all plaque ruptures will be detectable by IVUS, because of either size, confounding IVUS morphology (thrombus may obscure the ruptures), distal location, or branch location (in the present study, only the main vessels were imaged). The present analysis refers to IVUS-detectable plaque ruptures; a more demanding technique, such as angioscopy, would probably show more rupture, as would autopsy findings.

Predictors of Plaque Rupture

AMI was an independent overall clinical predictor of plaque rupture in patients. Compared with nondiabetic patients, patients with diabetes mellitus have a worse outcome after myocardial infarction. 21 Pathological study showed that coronary atherectomy specimens from diabetic patients exhibited a larger content of lipid-rich atheroma and macrophage infiltration than specimens from nondiabetic patients. 22 This is consistent with a greater probability of coronary plaque rupture in diabetic patients; in the present study, diabetes mellitus was an independent predictor of plaque rupture in SAP patients. Conversely, AMI patients with and without plaque rupture had a similar incidence of diabetes mellitus. Therefore, other factors (ie, inflammatory activity) might play a major role in plaque rupture in AMI. In AMI patients in the present study, elevated CRP level was independently associated with plaque rupture. Elevated CRP level is associated with poor prognosis in ACS and may predict future risk of AMI. 23, 24 A recent postmortem study showed that numbers of macrophages in thin-cap atheroma were significantly greater in patients with high CRP levels than those with low CRP levels. 25 One recent IVUS study showed that the presence of ruptured plaque correlated with elevated CRP levels in AMI patients. 14 However, CRP levels in the present study were measured 1.4±1.9 days after the acute event. Thus, an elevated CRP level may be the consequence of IVUS-detectable plaque rupture in the AMI patients in the present study.

Limitations

Use of preintervention IVUS and the decision to perform 3-vessel IVUS was at the discretion of the operator. There-
fore, the existence of selection bias cannot be completely excluded. CRP levels were obtained just before intervention in AMI patients; thus, they may reflect the acute event and not the underlying inflammatory status of the patients. However, 71 of 122 AMI patients underwent primary stenting within 12 hours of symptom onset, and the mean duration from AMI onset to IVUS was 1.4 days.

Conclusions

Three-vessel IVUS imaging showed that culprit lesion plaque rupture (66% versus 27%), secondary remote plaque ruptures (17% versus 5%), and multiple plaque ruptures (20% versus 6%) were all more common in AMI patients than SAP patients. In AMI patients, plaque ruptures were more common in patients with a high CRP level, whereas in SAP patients, plaque ruptures were more common in those with diabetes. These findings may help to establish benchmarks for approaches to diagnose and treat vulnerable or ruptured plaques in patients with coronary artery disease.
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