Percutaneous Mitral Annular Reduction Provides Continued Benefit in an Ovine Model of Dilated Cardiomyopathy
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Background—Functional mitral valve regurgitation plays a key role in the symptomatic severity and progression of heart failure. In an ovine model of dilated cardiomyopathy, we examined the chronic functional consequences of mitral regurgitation reduction using a recently developed novel percutaneous mitral annular reduction (PMAR) device.

Methods and Results—Fourteen adult sheep were paced right ventriculally at 180 to 190 bpm for 5 weeks, leading to the development of moderate mitral valve regurgitation. After echocardiographic, hemodynamic, and neurohormonal analysis, 9 animals underwent PMAR. All animals were subsequently paced for another 28 days, and a final echocardiographic and hemodynamic study was conducted. Animals that had undergone PMAR showed significantly increased negative and positive dp/dt, whereas pulmonary capillary wedge pressure and mitral valve regurgitation were significantly reduced compared with those at device implant despite continued pacing. In conjunction, significant improvements in plasma norepinephrine and brain natriuretic peptide were apparent.

Conclusions—The application of PMAR in animals with pacing-induced dilated cardiomyopathy and functional mitral valve regurgitation resulted in continued improvements in hemodynamic and neurohormonal parameters. (Circulation. 2004;110:3088-3092.)
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The development of functional mitral regurgitation has been demonstrated to be associated with a poorer prognosis and greater symptomatic impairment in patients with heart failure (HF).1,2 Mitral regurgitation results in left ventricular (LV) volume overload and increased diastolic wall stress, which leads to further LV remodeling and an energetically unfavorable environment.3 The presence of mitral regurgitation is associated with the further activation of neurohormonal- and cytokine-based systems that are typical of HF.4–7 We have previously shown that activation of the sympathetic nervous system may in part be the result of elevated left atrial (LA) and pulmonary arterial pressures,8 providing a mechanistic link for the association between mitral regurgitation and neurohormonal activation in HF.

The mechanism for the development of functional mitral regurgitation in dilated cardiomyopathy is the failure of mitral leaflet coaptation. This relates to structural changes that are associated with ventricular remodeling, including LV and mitral annulus dilatation,9,10 in association with changes in the geometry of the chordae tendineae.11 Of relevance to this study, it has been shown that annular dilatation is the primary cause of mitral regurgitation development10 in the ovine pacing model of HF.

Although angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and β-adrenoceptor antagonists12,13 have been shown to have some favorable effects on mitral regurgitation, most patients who develop mitral regurgitation are already receiving anti-failure therapy. In conjunction, surgical approaches have also been applied for the management of HF-associated mitral regurgitation.

Recently, we have shown that acute application of a novel percutaneous mitral annular reduction (PMAR) device in an experimental model of dilated cardiomyopathy14 resulted in elimination or minimization of mitral regurgitation.15 This acute reduction of mitral regurgitation was associated with favorable effects on cardiac output and wedge pressure. In the present study we sought to extend our observations and to specifically test the hypothesis that sustained reduction of PMAR affords continued favorable hemodynamic and neurohormonal effects.

Methods

The investigation conforms with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication No. 82-23, revised 1996).

Initial Surgical Preparation of Animals

Fourteen adult crossbred sheep (weight, 45 to 55 kg) were anesthetized with 2 mg/kg propofol and maintained on isoflurane and oxygen. A bipolar screw fixation ventricular pacing lead was placed...
nvironment. All animals were then paced for an additional 4 weeks, undergoing detailed hemodynamic and neurohormonal assessment. Subsequently, animals were randomized by lot to PMAR implant or no implant. All animals were then paced for an additional 4 weeks, followed by a final echocardiographic, hemodynamic, and neurohormonal evaluation.

Echocardiography
All animals underwent right-sided transthoracic echocardiography while conscious (Cypress, Acuson) at the intervals indicated in Figure 1. LA and LV dimensions and cross-sectional areas were determined by planimetry in a long-axis equivalent view. Mitral annular diameter is the septolateral measurement in the long-axis equivalent view. Given the nature of echocardiographic imaging in sheep, it was not possible to perform volumetric measurements, and therefore fractional area changes are reported. The degree of mitral valve regurgitation was assessed with the use of color Doppler to determine the mitral regurgitant jet area as a percentage of LA area (mitral regurgitation/LA%).

Device Implantation and Hemodynamic Evaluation
The animals were anesthetized with 2 mg/kg propofol and maintained on a continuous infusion of ketamine 15 mg/kg per hour and propofol 30 mg/kg per hour. A Millar micromanometer catheter was positioned in the LV via a 5F introducer sheath located in the carotid artery. A 9F introducer sheath was positioned in the jugular vein to allow access of a 7F flow-directed thermomodulation catheter (Swan-Ganz, Baxter Edwards) to measure pulmonary arterial pressures and cardiac output (an average of 4).

In the 9 animals randomly allocated to implant, a 9F guide sheath was placed in the coronary sinus and along the great cardiac vein to the level of the anterior interventricular vein, under fluoroscopic guidance. PMAR was performed in the following manner. A percutaneous annuloplasty device (Cardiac Dimensions Inc), consisting of 2 anchors (proximal and distal) linked by nitinol wire, was advanced down the 9F guide sheath. The distal anchor was exposed and locked in position in the distal great cardiac vein, near the posterior interventricular vein. Tension was placed on the system to reduce the mitral annulus, and then the proximal anchor was deployed and locked in position in the approximate mid coronary sinus so that the anchor was contained within the coronary sinus. The aim was to produce a 20% to 25% reduction in the long-axis mitral annulus (septolateral) dimension. An initial judgment of this value was made by experienced appraisal (with device and rib edges used as landmarks) and confirmed, before the device was locked in position with the use of echocardiography.

Neurohormonal Analysis
Arterial blood samples were collected after 5 weeks of pacing, at the time of hemodynamic evaluation and at 4 weeks of additional RV pacing after implant for the quantification of norepinephrine and brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) levels. The samples were collected into tubes containing reduced glutathione for norepinephrine analysis and containing EDTA for analysis of BNP. All samples were centrifuged and stored at −80°. Plasma norepinephrine levels were determined with the use of high-performance liquid chromatography by a method previously described.18,19 BNP concentrations were established with the use of radioimmunoassay techniques, as previously described.18,19

Statistical Analysis
Data were analyzed with the use of a SigmaStat computer program (Jandel Scientific). Analysis of variance for repeated measures, followed by Bonferroni post hoc test, was used to compare changes between before the mitral valve reconfiguration and after 4 weeks of additional RV pacing and between implanted and nonimplanted controls at 4 weeks of additional pacing. Values are expressed as mean±SEM; a probability value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results
No deaths occurred in animals before the termination date. As shown in the Table, after 5 weeks of rapid RV pacing, all animals exhibited moderate mitral regurgitation (mitral regurgitation/LA%). Four weeks after implant with sustained pacing, there was an ongoing mitral annular reduction of 23.70±1.45%, which resulted in a significant (P<0.05) attenuation of mitral regurgitation compared with HF controls. There was no significant difference (P>0.05) in ejection fraction between implanted and nonimplanted animals at 4 weeks. LV area significantly increased after 4 weeks of additional pacing in both nonimplanted and implanted ani-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Ejection Fraction</th>
<th>Mitral Annulus</th>
<th>% Reduction</th>
<th>LV Area, cm²</th>
<th>LA Area, cm²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HF/all animals (baseline)</td>
<td>37.21±3.18</td>
<td>4.04±0.14</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>31.32±1.16</td>
<td>22.03±1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF/sham +4 weeks</td>
<td>36.20±3.20</td>
<td>4.44±0.13</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>38.64±1.47*</td>
<td>29.63±0.90*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF/implant +4 weeks</td>
<td>40.11±4.12</td>
<td>2.88±0.93</td>
<td>23.70±1.45</td>
<td>37.29±1.75*</td>
<td>21.26±1.76*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MR/LA% indicates mitral regurgitation jet area as a percentage of LA area; mitral annulus, septolateral measurement in long-axis view.

*Significantly different from HF/all animals (baseline); †significantly different from HF/sham +4 weeks.
mals compared with HF baseline \( (P<0.05) \). After 4 weeks of additional pacing, LA area was significantly increased in nonimplanted animals compared with both HF baseline animals and animals implanted with the PMAR device.

There was a significant \( (P<0.05) \) decrease in pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (Figure 2A) in the HF/implant (4 weeks) group compared with HF baseline group, whereas cardiac output was not significantly (Figure 2B; \( P>0.05 \)) altered after chronic implantation of the device, although it tended to be higher than that in the untreated animals. Invasive indices of LV contractility (LV positive dP/dt; Figure 3A) and relaxation (LV negative dP/dt; Figure 3B), both determined from the derivative of LV pressure, were significantly increased in implanted animals at 4 weeks compared with HF baseline.

As a result of device implantation, there was a significant fall in plasma norepinephrine concentration (Figure 4A; \( P<0.05 \)) compared with that before implantation. In the present study, 4 weeks of added pacing led to a significant (Figure 4B; \( P<0.05 \)) increase in arterial BNP levels in the nonimplanted HF animals, whereas this significant increase was not observed \( (P>0.05) \) in the animals implanted with the PMAR device. No atrial or ventricular arrhythmia occurred during device placement. At postmortem analysis, examination of the hearts revealed no evidence of trauma or device displacement. In 5 animals implanted with the PMAR device, we performed venography of the coronary sinus just before they were killed. In all cases, the venous anatomy was normal, and no evidence of thrombus formation was apparent.

**Discussion**

In this study we demonstrate for the first time that placement of a novel mitral annuloplasty device in the coronary sinus was found to effectively eliminate or diminish mitral regurgitation chronically in an ovine model of tachycardia-induced dilated cardiomyopathy. This finding extends a previous study by our group that investigated the acute effects of this device, in which we demonstrated an immediate hemodynamic advantage. Chronic implantation of the device, despite the hemodynamic stress of continued pacing, led to a sustained hemodynamic and neurohormonal advantage, indicated by reduced wedge pressure and improved LV contractility. In addition, marked reductions in plasma norepinephrine and BNP correlated well with the observed functional response to PMAR.

The development of functional mitral regurgitation has been found to independently predict poorer survival in
patients with HF and is clearly accompanied by increased exercise intolerance.20 Although surgical approaches to the correction of HF-induced mitral regurgitation have been advocated, one of the key issues has related to the timing of surgery.21 To a large degree, the difficulty in the timing or advocated, one of the key issues has related to the timing of surgery.21 To a large degree, the difficulty in the timing or suitability for surgery relates to the difficulties associated with the assessment of LV contractile function in patients with mitral regurgitation. It is well recognized that the use of traditional ejection phase indices (such as ejection fraction) become even less reliable as measures of contractility because of the influence of mitral regurgitation on ventricular afterload.22,23 In this context, restoration of valvular competency does not necessarily improve ejection fraction because of the resultant decrease in preload and increase in afterload.24,25 Of interest, this phenomenon was observed in our study, as reflected by the minimal impact of PMAR implantation on LV ejection fraction. In control animals, ejection fraction fell slightly with further pacing, and this was accompanied by a tendency toward increasing mitral regurgitation. 

Enhanced neurohormonal activation, including the sympathetic nervous system,4,5,27 is known to play a key role in the pathophysiology of HF.28 In association, marked elevations of plasma BNP are well documented in HF,29,30 as measures of both ventricular dysfunction and prognosis. In this study the reduction in mitral regurgitation led to an improved neurohormonal profile with respect to both BNP and the adrenergic nervous system.

Our study also provides a new and interesting insight into the role of mitral regurgitation in the development of LA dilatation in the tachycardia-induced model of HF. It could be hypothesized that the dilatation of the LA commonly seen in this model is multifactorial, involving elevated LA pressures, atrioventricular dysynchrony, and the effects of mitral regurgitation.31 However, once mitral regurgitation had been eliminated, there was no further increase in LA area in the animals implanted with the PMAR device. Ventricular remodeling9,10 and mitral annular dilatation10 underpin the development of functional mitral regurgitation.

In the present study we showed that device placement reduced septolateral dimension and was associated with reduced mitral regurgitation. Our device does not necessarily alter the geometry of the anterior part of the annulus, which may also be of some relevance, as suggested by Hueb and colleagues.32 The presence of mitral regurgitation results in exacerbation of cardiac remodeling, leading to further dilatation and increasing severity of mitral regurgitation, whereby “mitral regurgitation begets mitral regurgitation.” Accordingly, the use of PMAR during the early stages of mitral regurgitation development may prevent this sequence and as a result may slow HF progression. In addition, by limiting the subsequent detrimental neurohormonal activation associated with mitral regurgitation, downstream cellular and molecular remodeling may also be reduced.

During this study the PMAR device was evaluated under harsh hemodynamic conditions whereby animals were continually paced throughout the protocol; however, it should be recognized that this study was performed in an ovine model, and efficacy has yet to be shown in a patient setting. Further studies are required to evaluate the efficacy of PMAR in mitral regurgitation in humans and to evaluate its impact on both quality of life and prognosis.

Disclosure

D.M. Kaye, C.A. Alferness, and J.M. Power are founding stockholders in Cardiac Dimensions Inc. M. Byrne and D.G. Reuter are minor stockholders. D.M. Kaye is supported by the Atherosclerosis Research Trust.

References


Percutaneous Mitral Annular Reduction Provides Continued Benefit in an Ovine Model of Dilated Cardiomyopathy
Melissa J. Byrne, David M. Kaye, Mark Mathis, David G. Reuter, Clif A. Alferness and John M. Power

Circulation. 2004;110:3088-3092; originally published online October 25, 2004;
doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000146904.13677.E4
Circulation is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231
Copyright © 2004 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.
Print ISSN: 0009-7322. Online ISSN: 1524-4539

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:
http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/110/19/3088

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in Circulation can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the Permissions and Rights Question and Answer document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
http://www.lww.com/reprints

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to Circulation is online at:
http://circ.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/