Balloon Dilation of Severe Aortic Stenosis in the Fetus
Potential for Prevention of Hypoplastic Left Heart Syndrome
Candidate Selection, Technique, and Results of Successful Intervention
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Background—Preventing the progression of fetal aortic stenosis (AS) to hypoplastic left heart syndrome (HLHS) requires identification of fetuses with salvageable left hearts who would progress to HLHS if left untreated, a successful in utero valvotomy, and demonstration that a successful valvotomy promotes left heart growth in utero. Fetuses meeting the first criterion are undefined, and previous reports of fetal AS dilation have not evaluated the impact of intervention on in utero growth of left heart structures.

Methods and Results—We offered fetal AS dilation to 24 mothers whose fetuses had AS. At least 3 echocardiographers assigned a high probability that all 24 fetuses would progress to HLHS if left untreated. Twenty (21 to 29 weeks’ gestation) underwent attempted AS dilation, with technical success in 14. Ideal fetal positioning for cannula puncture site and course of the needle (with or without laparotomy) proved to be necessary for procedural success. Serial fetal echocardiograms after intervention demonstrated growth arrest of the left heart structures in unsuccessful cases and in those who declined the procedure, while ongoing left heart growth was seen in successful cases. Resumed left heart growth led to a 2-ventricle circulation at birth in 3 babies.

Conclusions—Fetal echocardiography can identify midgestation fetuses with AS who are at high risk for developing HLHS. Timely and successful aortic valve dilation requires ideal fetal and cannula positioning, prevents left heart growth arrest, and may result in normal ventricular anatomy and function at birth. (Circulation. 2004;110:2125-2131.)
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A natomic cardiac obstructions that cause ventricular dys-function can divert fetal blood flow in utero and result in cardiac chamber hypoplasia.1–5 Thus, severe aortic stenosis (AS) in midgestation may lead to myocardial damage, ultimately resulting in hypoplastic left heart syndrome (HLHS). HLHS, lethal when untreated, occurs when the left ventricle (LV) cannot support the systemic circulation. In some midgestation fetuses with severe AS, the LV size is normal or even enlarged, but as gestation progresses, left heart growth is retarded,6–8 leading to HLHS. Even after ≈2 successful palliative surgeries, the child with HLHS is left with single-ventricle circulation. Thus, expectant parents confront the difficult choice between pregnancy termination and delivering a baby with the unalterable prospect of lifelong cardiac disability. Theoretically, early relief of fetal AS might preserve left heart function and flow (and even growth) in utero and perhaps prevent HLHS altogether. To that end, in utero aortic valvuloplasty has been attempted, as reported, in 12 third-trimester fetuses.9–11 These previously reported procedures have had limited technical success, high mortality, and no documentation of improved growth of the left heart structures.

Prevention of HLHS by relieving AS in utero requires answers to 3 questions: Can fetal echocardiography identify fetuses with potentially salvageable left hearts who would likely go on to develop HLHS; can aortic valvuloplasty be performed successfully and safely in such fetuses; and does a successful in utero aortic valvuloplasty restore adequate flow to permit left heart growth in utero?

Improvements in fetal imaging and diagnosis, maternal and fetal anesthesia and surgical manipulation,12 and angioplasty tools and techniques prompted us to begin an innovative therapy program at Children’s Hospital Boston and the Brigham and Women’s Hospital in early 2000. This program
Clinical Summary of Fetuses in a Pilot Study That Were Considered Candidates for In Utero Aortic Valvuloplasty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>GA at Diagnosis, wk</th>
<th>GA at Intervention, wk</th>
<th>Aortic Valve Lesion</th>
<th>LV Dysfunction</th>
<th>Atrial Septum (Left to Right)</th>
<th>Aortic Arch Flow</th>
<th>Score at Diagnosis</th>
<th>Score at Intervention</th>
<th>LV Length z</th>
<th>LV Length z</th>
<th>Balloon Inflation Diameter, mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2*</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>−0.65</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−0.45</td>
<td>−1.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>−0.5</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>−1.0</td>
<td>−1.2</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>−3.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>−2.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14*</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16*</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>−1.4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>−1.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GA indicates gestational age; aortic valve lesion: S, stenosis, A, atresia; LV dysfunction: +, mild, ++, moderate, ++++, severe; EB, endocardial brightness (qualitative assessment): +, mild, ++, moderate, ++++, severe; left-to-right atrial septal flow restriction: 0, none, +, mild, ++, moderate, ++++, severe or intact; transverse aortic arch flow: A, antegrade, R, retrograde; mitral regurgitation (MR): 0, none, +, mild, ++, moderate, ++++, severe; LV length reported as a z score (measured from the mitral annulus to the LV apex at end-diastole). Maternal-fetal patients 1 through 20 underwent attempted in utero aortic valvuloplasty. Maternal-fetal patients 21 through 24 declined the procedure. Given are fetal gestational ages and echocardiographic features at the time of diagnosis and intervention of all patients considered candidates for in utero aortic valvuloplasty.

*Achieved 2-ventricular circulation after birth.

aimed (1) to identify second- and early third-trimester fetuses with severe AS, evolving HLHS, and potentially salvageable left hearts; (2) to dilate the fetal aortic valve; and (3) to monitor the subsequent growth of left heart structures after successful fetal intervention.

Methods

Fetal Ultrasound Evaluation

Beginning in January 2000, 3 faculty echocardiographers (W.T., M.E.v.d.V., and S.D.C.) reviewed the echocardiograms based on standard fetal echocardiographic techniques2-13 of all midgestation fetuses with abnormal left heart structures to answer several questions: Was the dominant lesion AS; was the left heart potentially salvageable (as defined in this pilot study primarily by an LV length at the time of diagnosis that was not <2 SD below the mean for gestational age at diagnosis, left-to-right flow at the atrial septum, and retrograde flow in the transverse aortic arch (Table). No patient was refused intervention because of fetal distress (eg, severe hydrops).

Fetal Intervention

A review of previous reports from this institution and others7,8 suggested that when the transition from a normal-sized LV to HLHS in fetuses with AS can be documented, it almost always occurs in the second or early third trimester. Therefore, maternal-fetal management and interventional tools and techniques were geared toward the 21- to 29-week fetus, earlier in gestation than all previously reported interventions.

General anesthesia, with thiopental induction using rapid sequence techniques, intubation, and maintenance with desflurane, followed positioning with left uterine displacement and preoxygenation. Ephedrine (5-mg boluses) maintained maternal blood pressure. An injection of atropine (20 μg/kg), vecuronium (0.2 mg/kg), and fentanyl (10 μg/kg) was administered intramuscularly to the fetus for paralysis and anesthesia before passage of the cannula into the fetal
The techniques we used were similar to those reported previously. Under continuous ultrasound guidance, we advanced an 11.5-cm-long 19-gauge cannula and stylet needle through the maternal abdomen, uterine wall, and fetal chest wall and into the fetal LV (Figure 1). Position within the LV cavity was inferred from blood return out of the cannula and ultrasound imaging. After LV entry, we attempted to manipulate a 0.014-in coronary guidewire with a gently precurved tip across both stenotic and atretic aortic valves, again using ultrasound guidance, intending to dilate the valve with a coronary balloon 10% smaller than the aortic annulus (Figure 2).

After a high rate of technical failure in the first 4 patients, the technique was reviewed, leading to several observations. Cannula puncture of hypertensive LVs was observed to cause, in some cases, cavity shrinkage, impairing ultrasound imaging and wire manipulation. Ultrasound guidance of wire manipulation can be suboptimal; after the wire is withdrawn, rotated, and readvanced, the imaging plane must be adjusted to find the repositioned wire. The technique was therefore revised, and the following modifications were made. First, the cannula, guidewires, and balloon shafts were premeasured and marked so that positioning within the fetal heart was known from external measurements rather than the ultrasound imaging alone. The balloon shaft was marked so that no more than the full length of the balloon (1.8 cm from the tip) extruded out of the cannula tip when fully advanced, and the wire was fixed with a torque handle so that no more than 4 cm of wire extruded from the balloon tip when fully expanded. Next, fetal positioning assumed paramount importance. We did not attempt to enter the LV unless fetal positioning was nearly ideal in that the left chest was anterior, there were no limbs between the uterine wall and LV apex, the LV apex was within 9 cm of the abdominal wall, and the LV outflow track was parallel to the intended cannula course. If ideal positioning could not be obtained by external or transvaginal manipulation, the maternal abdominal wall was incised, the uterus was exposed, and fetal positioning was reattempted. The optimum ultrasound view proved to be that in which both the entire cannula length and the LV were included in the field of view. The LV was entered at the apex, with the cannula course parallel to the LV outflow track, so that a blindly advanced wire would be directed at the aortic valve, crossing the valve with minimal manipulation (Figure 2c). Balloon positioning for inflation was based on external measurements and ultrasound imaging, and balloons were inflated with pressure gauges to allow precise estimates of inflation diameters. Small-volume-unit doses of epinephrine and atropine were available for immediate postintervention fetal intramuscular or intracardiac injection for fetal bradycardia.

Assessment of Left Heart Growth and Function After Attempted Intervention
We monitored the growth and function of the fetal and neonatal heart in all subjects, whether or not the intervention was technically successful as defined below. Follow-up echocardiograms included a qualitative assessment of LV function, growth of the left heart structures, and color Doppler flow patterns. After delivery, all infants were managed as would be standard for neonates with AS, coarctation, or HLHS.

Informed Consent
This study was performed under an innovative therapy protocol under the direction of the Committee on Clinical Investigation at the Children’s Hospital Boston and the Institutional Review Board at the Brigham and Women’s Hospital. In every case, the parents were counseled about fetal and maternal risks and benefits by a pediatric cardiologist, an obstetrician, a fetal surgeon, and an anesthesiologist before procedural consent was obtained.

Statistical analyses were performed by use of a paired t test. We compared the growth of the left heart structures (mitral annulus, aortic annulus, ascending aorta, and LV diastolic long- and short-axis dimensions and area) during gestation in the group of fetuses who had a technically successful intervention with those who had an unsuccessful intervention and those who declined the procedure. We used the first and last fetal echocardiograms during gestation to collect the left heart measurement data.

Results
Fetal Ultrasound Evaluation
Between March 1, 2000, and March 1, 2004, a total of 24 fetuses met the entry criteria noted above. In each, aortic...
obstruction was the dominant lesion (AS, 22; aortic atresia, 2), the fetuses were second or early third trimester at the time of diagnosis (gestational age, 17 to 26 weeks), the LV length was not <2 SD below the mean for gestational age (Figure 3), and at least 3 echocardiographers agreed that the fetus was likely to progress to HLHS if left untreated until term. Four sets of parents (4 of 24, 16%), after discussion of risks and benefits, declined further intervention. One pregnancy was terminated, and 3 infants were carried to a term delivery (all 3 had a postnatal diagnosis of HLHS). The clinical characteristics of the remaining 20 cases are summarized in the Table. Of note, 1 fetus (patient 6 in the Table) with severe AS, severe mitral regurgitation, and an intact atrial septum had developed massive LV and left atrial dilation, leading to right heart compression and severe hydrops fetalis before the procedure.

**Fetal Intervention**

Fetal intervention was undertaken between 1 and 6 weeks after the initial diagnosis of fetal AS. Of considerable interest, several fetuses demonstrated a progressive decrease in LV size in relation to the size of the right ventricle (RV) even during the interval between diagnosis and subsequent fetal intervention (Figure 4). As noted, 3 of the first 4 attempts were technically unsuccessful, despite successful entry of the LV with the 19-gauge cannula in all cases. Technically unsuccessful cases shared several features, including suboptimal fetal positioning, inability to manipulate the wire across the aortic valve, and a cannula angle that directed the wire either posterior or toward the ventricular septum instead of toward the aortic valve. Of 16 subsequent patients, 13 had a...
technically successful procedure in that the wire was passed across the aortic valve (including 1 case with aortic atresia), a 1-cm-long balloon was inflated straddling the valve, and there was unambiguous improvement in aortic valve flow by color Doppler. No patient among the first 8 developed more than mild aortic regurgitation, prompting us to progressively increase the balloon-to-annulus ratios during the course of the study from 0.9 to as high as 1.2. Of the 20 procedures, 10 (50%) were performed via the percutaneous route and the rest with uterine exposure via a mini-laparotomy.

Fetal complications occurred commonly during or shortly after the intervention. They included fetal demise 1 day after technically successful intervention in the fetus with severe hydrops (see above). There was a second fetal demise 1 day after an unsuccessful intervention, thought to be due to fetal stress and prolonged anesthesia time, and an additional death 3 days after the procedure in a fetus who had severe bradycardia during a technically successful dilation. That fetus also had moderate to severe mitral regurgitation. There was 1 previable delivery as a result of incompetent cervix 3 weeks after the procedure. Interval cervical monitoring by ultrasound had been normal. Two balloons ruptured during a second or third inflation after we had repositioned the balloon more proximally. In 1 patient, a balloon fragment was missing when the balloon was removed through the cannula. Intraoperative fetal bradycardia occurred in 15 cases, requiring administration of epinephrine in 11 with recovery of normal heart rate in all. Two fetuses had a small pericardial effusion that resolved spontaneously within several hours.

**Assessment of Cardiac Growth and Function**

In pregnancies that continued, all fetuses underwent serial postoperative echocardiograms until the time of delivery; the fetuses with a technically successful procedure were analyzed separately from those with a failed procedure and those who declined the procedure. Figure 5A and 5B demonstrates significant growth of the mitral and ascending aorta (P=0.03), aortic valve (P=0.003), and ascending aorta (P=0.008) and a nonsignificant trend toward LV growth in fetuses with successful valvotomies compared with unsuccessful and control cases.

At delivery, all infants were assessed through the use of previously established criteria for the diagnosis of HLHS. All 3 surviving infants with failed in utero procedures and 4 of 9 survivors with successful procedures had LV sizes well below the minimum for 2-ventricle survival and were managed either in Boston or at their home institution for HLHS.

One neonate, who had undergone an aortic valvuloplasty at 23 weeks’ gestation, had a normal-sized LV at birth and mild...
AS (patient 2 in the Table). He progressed to moderate AS, underwent an uneventful AS dilation at 18 months of age, and has mild AS at 2½ years of age. Two other infants had adequate LV size at birth but significant left heart obstruction (1 with a coarctation, 1 with moderate AS; patients 14 and 16 in the Table). Both infants were treated successfully in the first week of life, and both infants were at home at 1 and 2 months of age with 2-ventricle circulation. Two infants had no AS but borderline LV sizes. Both were managed medically for 5 to 10 days to assess LV function and then underwent a Norwood procedure within 2 weeks of birth. In both cases, the atrial septum was left restrictive with the hope that there would be continued left heart growth postnatally and the potential for later biventricular repair.

Inspection of these data suggests that better in utero left heart growth may be associated with a larger preintervention LV or with features that encourage LV inflow, although the data are not sufficient to outline any predictors of success. Sustained relief of aortic obstruction also seemed to help LV growth. The statuses of all 20 fetuses are summarized in Figure 6. Three fetuses are awaiting birth.

Discussion

Since the first report of fetal cardiac intervention for severe AS appeared in 1991,10 11 more cases have been reported from 10 different centers.11 At present, only 2 of those 12 babies are alive; the only one who survives with a 2-ventricle circulation had intervention near term (33 weeks) and had a markedly enlarged LV, making it unlikely that this fetus would have progressed from severe AS to HLHS. Thus, the hypothesis that timely and effective intervention for severe AS in utero may prevent the development of postnatal HLHS remained untested by these reports.

Inspection of these data suggests that better in utero left heart growth may be associated with a larger preintervention LV or with features that encourage LV inflow, although the data are not sufficient to outline any predictors of success. Sustained relief of aortic obstruction also seemed to help LV growth. The statuses of all 20 fetuses are summarized in Figure 6. Three fetuses are awaiting birth.

Identification of Suitable Candidates

The relatively loose entry criteria for this innovative therapy study produced fetal intervention in patients with heterogeneous cardiac anatomy. Nonetheless, all had severe AS or atresia as the dominant lesion with severe LV dysfunction, and all had an LV length that was not <2 SD below the mean for gestational age at diagnosis. However, some patients had dilated LVS; some had smaller LVS with endocardial brightening; some had restrictive atrial septa; some had freely patent atrial septa; some had mitral regurgitation; 1 had sub-AS that progressed to atresia during observation; and 1 had severe hydrops fetalis. Most importantly, all had a high probability to have HLHS at birth if left untreated. Finally, the timing of intervention appears to be critical. Therefore, a heightened awareness among fetal ultrasonographers of the availability of this technique will increase the number of potential candidates; once a decision to intervene is made, we view this procedure as urgent because of the rapid progression to LV growth failure.

Technique of Fetal Aortic Valvuloplasty

From these preliminary data, it is clear that several current and future technical modifications are needed for this procedure to be safe and reliable. Wire passage across the aortic valve should be quick (to avoid progressive fetal bradycardia) and nearly automatic (to reduce imaging time and the need to manipulate wires and catheters in a collapsed LV). To that end, optimum fetal positioning and angle of cannula entry are indispensable features of a successful fetal aortic valvuloplasty. Improved cannula, catheter, and wire configurations and marking techniques, more precise fetal positioning, and higher-definition 2D and/or 3D ultrasound imaging will undoubtedly improve procedural safety and success. Even in...
the absence of these modifications, our last 16 cases resulted in a successful procedure in 81% of 21- to 26-week fetuses.

Changes in Fetal Cardiac Anatomy and Flow After Successful Intervention

The procedure was unsuccessful or parents declined intervention in 10 fetuses; in all, there was minimal further growth in left heart structures. In contrast, all 12 fetuses that survived a technically successful procedure experienced mitral and aortic growth. Admittedly, only 3 of 9 fetuses that had a technically successful valvotomy and a continuing pregnancy went on to a 2-ventricle circulation at birth, but these successful patients would seem to be an important proof of the underlying principle. (Three fetuses are still in utero.) Although these data support the hypothesis that HLHS is preventable in utero, improvements in patient identification, patient selection, timing of intervention, and valvuloplasty technique are needed to make this procedure biologically successful in most fetuses with severe AS.
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