Prostaglandin E2 Protects the Heart From Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury via Its Receptor Subtype EP4
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Background—In the heart with acute myocardial infarction, production of prostaglandin (PG) E2 increases significantly. In addition, several subtypes of PG E2 receptors (EPs) have been reported to be expressed in the heart. The role of PG E2 in cardiac ischemia-reperfusion (I/R) injury, however, remains unknown. We intended to clarify the role of PG E2 via EP4, an EP subtype, in I/R injury using mice lacking EP4 (EP4−/− mice).

Methods and Results—In murine cardiac ventricle, competitive reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction revealed the highest expression level of EP4 mRNA among EP mRNAs. EP4−/− mice had larger infarct size than wild-type mice in a model of I/R; the left anterior descending coronary artery was occluded for 1 hour, followed by 24 hours of reperfusion. In addition, isolated EP4−/− hearts perfused according to the Langendorff technique had greater functional and biochemical derangements in response to I/R than wild-type hearts. In vitro, AE1-329, an EP4 agonist, raised cAMP concentration remarkably in noncardiomyocytes, whereas the action was weak in cardiomyocytes. When 4819-CD, another EP4 agonist, was administered 1 hour before coronary occlusion, it reduced infarct size significantly in wild-type mice. Notably, a similar cardioprotective effect was observed even when it was administered 50 minutes after coronary occlusion.

Conclusions—Both endogenous PG E2 and an exogenous EP4 agonist protect the heart from I/R injury via EP4. The potent cardioprotective effects of 4819-CD suggest that the compound would be useful for treatment of acute myocardial infarction. (Circulation. 2004;109:2462-2468.)
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A cute myocardial infarction (AMI) is still a leading cause of death in developed countries, and it is usually caused by acute thrombotic occlusion of the coronary artery that has become atherosclerotic. The underlying pathophysiology of AMI is ischemia-reperfusion (I/R) injury of the heart. During cardiac I/R, a variety of mediators, such as adenosine,1 endothelin,2 and nitric oxide,3 are produced in the heart and have all been suggested as modulating the course of cardiac I/R injury. Recently, cyclooxygenase (COX)-2, a rate-limiting enzyme for the synthesis of prostaglandins (PGs), has been reported to be induced in the heart during I/R.4 This result is consistent with the fact that production of PG E2 in the heart increases significantly during ischemia,5,6 suggesting that it has an important role in cardiac I/R injury. The contribution of endogenous PG E2 to cardiac I/R injury, however, remains unknown.

PG E2 exerts its action through the specific receptor subtypes encoded by different genes called EP1, EP2, EP3, and EP4, all of which belong to the family of G protein–coupled receptors but show different signaling.7 EP1 couples to Gq and raises intracellular Ca2+ concentration. EP2 and EP4 couple to Gs and raise intracellular cAMP concentration ([cAMP]). In contrast, EP3 couples to Gi and inhibits the increase in [cAMP]. When examined with Northern blot analysis, the heart shows expression of several kinds of EPs. Although the expression levels of the mRNAs for each EP vary among the species studied, a high expression level of the EP4 mRNA has been reported in the hearts of several species, including humans.8–10 There have been no reports, however, on the role of the EP4-mediated signaling in the heart.

To clarify the role of PG E2 via EP4 in cardiac I/R injury, we used mice lacking EP4 (EP4−/− mice) and also used recently developed EP4-specific agonists.12,13 We found that endogenous PG E2 had a cardioprotective effect against I/R injury via EP4 both in vivo and in vitro. Furthermore, we demonstrated that an exogenous EP4 agonist protected the heart from I/R injury in vivo.
Methods

Mice

Generation and maintenance of EP\(_{4-/-}\) mice has been reported. Most EP\(_{4-/-}\) mice die postnatally as a result of patent ductus arteriosus and do not survive at all in the C57BL/6 background. Therefore, F2 progenies of surviving EP\(_{4-/-}\) mice and their wild-type littermates were maintained independently in a mixed genetic background of 129/Ola and C57BL/6. All experiments, which were approved by the Asahikawa Medical College Committee on Animal Research, were performed in 10- to 16-week-old male mice.

Reverse Transcription–Polymerase Chain Reaction

To determine the expression levels of EP mRNAs, we prepared total RNA from the cardiac ventricles of adult mice and from the cultured fetal noncardiomyocytes using Isogen (Nippon Gene) and performed reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and competitive RT-PCR as reported. We also prepared total RNA from the cardiomyocytes, which were isolated from the ventricles of adult mice according to the reported method.

Murine Model of AMI

We used a murine model of AMI to examine the role of both endogenous PGE\(_2\) and an exogenous EP\(_4\) agonist in cardiac I/R injury in vivo, in which the left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD) was occluded for 1 hour, followed by 24 hours of reperfusion, as reported. When examining the effect of an exogenously applied agonist on cardiac I/R injury, we injected 4819-CD (300 \(\mu\)g/kg SC) subcutaneously 1 hour before or 50 minutes after occlusion of the LAD. We determined infarct size, size of area at risk (AAR), and left ventricular size (LVS) as reported.

Heart Perfusion Model

We examined the role of endogenous PGE\(_2\) in cardiac I/R injury in vitro by use of a heart perfusion model as reported. In short, we perfused excised hearts according to the Langendorff technique. After a stabilization period, the hearts were subjected to 40 minutes of global ischemia followed by 40 minutes of reperfusion. The developed and diastolic tensions, creatine kinase (CK) release, and coronary flow rate were monitored during the I/R period.

Cell Cultures

We performed cultures of cardiomyocytes and noncardiomyocytes as reported, with minor modifications. In short, ventricles from 18- to 20-day fetal mice were treated with a solution containing collagenase. The released cells were harvested and filtered through a nylon mesh, then the cells were preplated into a dish to separate the cardiomyocytes from the noncardiomyocytes. Unattached cardiomyocytes were harvested, resuspended in a culture medium, and plated for the examinations of cAMP accumulation. Attached noncardiomyocytes were grown to near confluence and used for a similar examination.

Measurements of cAMP Accumulation

The cardiomyocytes were stimulated with AE1-329 or vehicle for 30 minutes at 37°C in DME/F-12 medium containing ITS-X (Invitrogen), 10 \(\mu\)mol/L indomethacin, and 1 mmol/L 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (Sigma-Aldrich). The noncardiomyocytes were stimulated similarly in DME/F-12 medium containing 10 \(\mu\)mol/L indomethacin and 1 mmol/L 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine. The intracellular cAMP contents were measured as reported. The protein contents of the cells by use of a BCA protein assay kit (Pierce Chemical).

Measurement of Blood Pressure and Heart Rate

Blood pressure and heart rate of conscious mice were measured by a tail-cuff method (BP-98A, Softron).

Results

Expression of mRNAs for the EPs in the Cardiac Ventricle

We first examined which subtypes of the EPs were expressed in the cardiac ventricle of adult wild-type mice by RT-PCR, because the cardiac ventricle is the main site of cardiac I/R injury. We found expression of the mRNAs for EP\(_{2}\), EP\(_{3}\), and EP\(_{4}\) but not for EP\(_{1}\) (Figure 1A). The expression levels of the mRNAs determined by competitive RT-PCR were 54.3 \(\pm\) 7.4, 13.2 \(\pm\) 2.3, and 1.3 \(\pm\) 0.5 copies/ng total RNA for EP\(_{2}\), EP\(_{3}\), and EP\(_{4}\), respectively, suggesting that EP\(_{1}\) is most abundantly expressed among the EPs (Figure 1B). The expression levels of EP\(_{4}\) mRNA in cardiomyocytes and noncardiomyocytes were found to be 19.1 \(\pm\) 3.9 and 62.6 \(\pm\) 18.5 copies/ng total RNA, respectively, indicating high expression levels of the EP\(_{4}\) mRNA in both cardiomyocytes and noncardiomyocytes. On the basis of these results, we performed the following experiments to determine whether PGE\(_2\) protects the heart from I/R injury via EP\(_{4}\).

Endogenous PGE\(_2\) Protects the Heart From I/R Injury In Vivo

We examined the role of endogenous PGE\(_2\) in cardiac I/R injury using a murine model of AMI. There were no signif-
PGE2 protects the heart from I/R injury via EP4 in vivo. There were no significant differences in diastolic tension or developed tension between wild-type and EP4−/− hearts (data not shown). Global ischemia markedly decreased developed tension and caused a gradual rise in diastolic tension in both groups of hearts to a similar extent. In wild-type hearts, developed tension recovered up to 90% of the preischemic value within 5 minutes from the start of reperfusion and then gradually declined to 70% of the preischemic value and remained fairly constant. In EP4−/− hearts, however, recovery of developed tension was significantly delayed compared with that of wild-type hearts and was 58% of the preischemic value at a steady state (Figure 3A). In contrast, diastolic tension rose during 40 minutes of ischemia and reached, at the end of ischemia, up to 300% of preischemic values in wild-type and EP4−/− hearts. In wild-type hearts, diastolic tension then declined quickly during reperfusion and reached a level of 125% of the preischemic value. In EP4−/− hearts, however, recovery of diastolic tension was significantly delayed compared with that of wild-type hearts (Figure 3B). These results indicate that there was a greater degree of functional damage to the myocardium in EP4−/− hearts compared with wild-type hearts. In accordance with this result, release of CK from the heart increased significantly during the reperfusion period in EP4−/− hearts compared with wild-type hearts (Figure 3C), suggesting that endogenous PGE2 had a protective effect on the cell damage induced by I/R. Within 5 minutes from the start of reperfusion, coronary flow rate was significantly higher than the baseline rate in both groups, showing that there was reactive vasodilation during the early period of reperfusion.16 There was no significant difference, however, in the coronary flow rate between wild-type and EP4−/− hearts during the reperfusion period (Figure 3D), suggesting that the cardioprotective effects of PGE2 via EP4 are independent of its vasodilatory action.19

**Endogenous PGE2 Protects the Heart From I/R Injury In Vitro**

Using excised murine hearts perfused according to the Langendorff technique, we determined whether the EP4-mediated cardioprotective effect of PGE2 also works in the absence of blood constituents, such as humoral factors and blood cells. Before ischemia, there were no significant differences in diastolic tension or developed tension between wild-type and EP4−/− hearts (data not shown). Global ischemia markedly decreased developed tension and caused a gradual rise in diastolic tension in both groups of hearts to a similar extent. In wild-type hearts, developed tension recovered up to 90% of the preischemic value within 5 minutes from the start of reperfusion and then gradually declined to 70% of the preischemic value and remained fairly constant. In EP4−/− hearts, however, recovery of developed tension was significantly delayed compared with that of wild-type hearts and was 58% of the preischemic value at a steady state (Figure 3A). In contrast, diastolic tension rose during 40 minutes of ischemia and reached, at the end of ischemia, up to 300% of preischemic values in wild-type and EP4−/− hearts. In wild-type hearts, diastolic tension then declined quickly during reperfusion and reached a level of 125% of the preischemic value. In EP4−/− hearts, however, recovery of diastolic tension was significantly delayed compared with that of wild-type hearts (Figure 3B). These results indicate that there was a greater degree of functional damage to the myocardium in EP4−/− hearts compared with wild-type hearts. In accordance with this result, release of CK from the heart increased significantly during the reperfusion period in EP4−/− hearts compared with wild-type hearts (Figure 3C), suggesting that endogenous PGE2 had a protective effect on the cell damage induced by I/R. Within 5 minutes from the start of reperfusion, coronary flow rate was significantly higher than the baseline rate in both groups, showing that there was reactive vasodilation during the early period of reperfusion.16 There was no significant difference, however, in the coronary flow rate between wild-type and EP4−/− hearts during the reperfusion period (Figure 3D), suggesting that the cardioprotective effects of PGE2 via EP4 are independent of its vasodilatory action.19

**AE1-329 Increases [cAMP]i in Both Cardiomyocytes and Noncardiomyocytes**

To clarify whether PGE2 acts on cardiomyocytes and/or noncardiomyocytes via EP4, we examined the effect of AE1-329, a recently developed agonist specific for EP4,12 on [cAMP]i in these cells. In noncardiomyocytes, AE1-329 increased [cAMP]i in a concentration-dependent manner with an EC50 value of 33.0±0.5 nmol/L, plateauing at 1 μmol/L to a value of 11.8-fold above control values (Figure 4A). The effect of AE1-329 disappeared completely in noncardiomyocytes derived from EP4−/− hearts (Figure 4A). In cardiomyocytes from wild-type hearts, AE1-329 at 10 μmol/L induced a slight but significant
increase in [cAMP], although it did not increase [cAMP] in cardiomyocytes from EP4−/− hearts (Figure 4B). These results show the possibility that both cardiomyocytes and noncardiomyocytes are the target cells of PGE2 via EP4.

An EP4 Agonist Shows Cardioprotective Action in I/R Injury In Vivo

Finally, we investigated whether the activation of EP4 by a specific agonist could attenuate cardiac I/R injury in vivo. To activate EP4, we used 4819-CD, a novel agonist for EP4, which has been developed for in vivo use as a kind of prodrug and liberates an active metabolite in the circulation. We injected 4819-CD (300 µg/kg SC) 1 hour before occlusion of the LAD. In wild-type mice, the EP4 agonist reduced the infarct size significantly (42% reduction compared with those in the absence of the agonist), whereas it did not reduce the infarct size in EP4−/− mice, indicating that the cardioprotective effect of 4819-CD was mediated by EP4 (Figure 5). Moreover, when injected 50 minutes after occlusion of the LAD, 4819-CD showed a cardioprotective effect almost identical to that seen when injected before the occlusion of the LAD, indicating the therapeutic potency of the drug. At the dose used, 4819-CD did not affect blood pressure or heart rate in wild-type mice (Table), indicating that the cardioprotective effect of 4819-CD was independent of its effect on hemodynamics.

Discussion

Recent studies have shown upregulation of COX-2 and increased PGE2 synthesis in the heart during I/R, suggesting that PGE2 plays some role in cardiac I/R injury. To elucidate this role, we first examined which subtypes of the PGE2 receptors are expressed. We detected mRNAs for EP2, EP3, and EP4 in adult murine ventricles. Among the EPs, the EP4 mRNA showed by far the highest expression level (Figure 1). This result is in good agreement with previous reports showing the high expression level of EP4 mRNA in the heart from various species, suggesting that EP4 mediates the effect of PGE2 in the heart. The role of PGE2 in I/R injury, however, remains unknown, primarily because of lack of antagonists specific for EP4. Until recently, there were no specific agonists for EP4, but now agonists showing high specificities to EP4 are available. In the present study, to clarify the role of PGE2 in cardiac I/R injury, we used EP4−/− mice and these EP4 agonists.

The present study provided direct evidence that EP4 deficiency significantly aggravates cardiac I/R injury in vivo (Figure 2). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report showing that endogenous PGE2 is able to attenuate cardiac I/R injury and that the effect of PGE2 is mediated by EP4. Because there is significant production of PGE2 during I/R and abundant expression of the EP4 mRNA in the heart, PGE2 should exert its cardioprotective action by acting directly on the cardiac tissue. PGE2, however, has a potent vasodilatory action and also an inhibitory effect on the neutrophil function via EP4, both of which might contribute to the EP4-mediated cardioprotective effect of PGE2. Therefore, to examine further whether the EP4-mediated cardioprotective effect of PGE2 depends on the effects on the neutrophils and/or vasculatures, we used Langendorff hearts. In this model, EP4−/− hearts developed a greater degree of myocar-
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wild-type (\(n=5\)) and EP4 \(\sim^{-/-}\) group (\(n=3\)) mice. Error bars are hidden within symbols. B, Effect of AE1-329 on \([\text{cAMP}]_i\) in cardiomyocytes. Cells were incubated with vehicle (\(n=5\) for wild-type group and \(n=4\) for EP4 \(\sim^{-/-}\) group) or 10 \(\mu\text{mol/L}\) of AE1-329 \(\sim^{-/-}\) for wild-type group and \(n=4\) for EP4 \(\sim^{-/-}\) group). \(P<0.05\) vs control group.

Figure 4. Effects of AE1-329 on \([\text{cAMP}]_i\) in noncardiomyocytes (A) and cardiomyocytes (B). A, Concentration-dependent stimulatory effect of AE1-329 on \([\text{cAMP}]_i\) in noncardiomyocytes from wild-type (\(\bigcirc\), \(n=4\)) and EP4 \(\sim^{-/-}\) (\(\bullet\), \(n=3\)) mice. Error bars are hidden within symbols. B, Effect of AE1-329 on \([\text{cAMP}]_i\) in cardiomyocytes. Cells were incubated with vehicle (\(\bigcirc\), \(n=5\) for wild-type group and \(n=4\) for EP4 \(\sim^{-/-}\) group) or 10 \(\mu\text{mol/L}\) of AE1-329 \(\sim^{-/-}\) for wild-type group and \(n=4\) for EP4 \(\sim^{-/-}\) group). \(P<0.05\) vs control group.

Figure 5. An EP4 agonist decreases infarct size in vivo in wild-type but not in EP4 \(\sim^{-/-}\) mice. Infarct size as a percentage of AAR is presented. \(\bigcirc\) indicates control group; \(\bullet\), group injected with 4819-CD before ischemia; and \(\mathbf{\bullet}\), group injected with 4819-CD after ischemia. \(P<0.05\) vs control group. \(P<0.05\) vs wild-type group. \(n=6\) to 8.

Dial injury than wild-type hearts, indicating that PGE2 could attenuate I/R injury by acting directly on the cardiac tissue via EP4 in the absence of blood constituents (Figure 3). Furthermore, there was no difference in coronary flow rate during

I/R between EP4 \(\sim^{-/-}\) and wild-type hearts (Figure 3D), precluding the EP4-mediated vasodilatory action of PGE2 from its cardioprotective action. This result clearly indicates that the cardioprotective action of PGE2 is independent of its actions on blood constituents and vasculatures, although the possibility of some contribution by these actions to cardioprotection in vivo could not be ruled out by the present study.

The present study also revealed the target cells of PGE2, AE1-329, an EP4 agonist, increased \([\text{cAMP}]_i\) in both cardiomyocytes and noncardiomyocytes from wild-type mice but not in those from EP4 \(\sim^{-/-}\) mice, indicating that PGE2 acts on these cells via EP4 (Figure 4). Although it is not known how the rise in \([\text{cAMP}]_i\) in these cells causes the cardioprotection after ischemia. \(P<0.05\) vs control group. \(P<0.05\) vs wild-type group. \(n=6\) to 8.

Effects of 4819-CD on Heart Rate and Blood Pressure in Wild-Type Mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before Injection</th>
<th>1 h</th>
<th>24 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, bpm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>648±23</td>
<td>670±31</td>
<td>664±41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4819-CD</td>
<td>672±16</td>
<td>636±24</td>
<td>658±25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP, mm Hg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>104±2</td>
<td>102±2</td>
<td>108±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4819-CD</td>
<td>104±2</td>
<td>97±2</td>
<td>104±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP, mm Hg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>75±3</td>
<td>77±4</td>
<td>81±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4819-CD</td>
<td>75±4</td>
<td>73±1</td>
<td>75±3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; \(n=5\).
to be deleterious to cardiomyocytes and leads to increases in cardiac work and energy consumption, resulting in severe cardiac injury. Therefore, the present results of the EP$_4$-mediated stimulatory effect of PGE$_2$ on adenylate cyclase in cardiomyocytes apparently disagree with the EP$_4$-mediated cardioprotective action of PGE$_2$. As to the effect of the increase in [cAMP], however, there are several interesting reports suggesting the subcellular compartmentalization of cAMP, leading to its different actions within cardiomyocytes. The $\beta$$_1$-adrenergic receptor localizes in the noncaveolin domain, and its stimulation increases [cAMP]$_i$ in a particular fraction of cardiomyocytes, leading to a positive inotropic action. In contrast, the $\beta$$_2$-adrenergic receptor localizes mainly in the caveolin-rich domain, and its stimulation increases [cAMP]$_i$ in a soluble fraction of cardiomyocytes. The $\beta$$_2$-agonists, however, do not produce the positive inotropic action. These results suggest that the EP$_4$-mediated increase in [cAMP]$_i$ takes place in the soluble fraction of cardiomyocytes. In support of this suggestion, a previous study has shown that PGE$_2$ does not produce the positive inotropic action, whereas it increased [cAMP]$_i$ in the soluble fraction of cardiomyocytes. Therefore, the result of the present study, which demonstrated the stimulatory effect of PGE$_2$ on adenylate cyclase in cardiomyocytes, may not necessary conflict with its cardioprotective action, although there is no report showing that an increase in [cAMP]$_i$ in the soluble fraction leads to cardioprotection during I/R. Conversely, recent reports have suggested that phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase and extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERKs) participate in the EP$_4$ signaling and that inhibition of ERK aggravates cardiac I/R injury, suggesting that this signaling may play a role in EP$_4$-mediated cardioprotection of PGE$_2$.

Another important finding in the present study was that an exogenously applied agonist for EP$_4$ significantly reduced the infarct size and that this effect was mediated by EP$_4$. Furthermore, it is noteworthy that 4819-CD showed a potent cardioprotective effect not only when administered before ischemia but also when administered after prolonged (50 minutes) occlusion of the LAD. According to the present study, which demonstrated the stimulatory effect of PGE$_2$ on adenylate cyclase in cardiomyocytes, may not necessary conflict with its cardioprotective action, although there is no report showing that an increase in [cAMP]$_i$ in the soluble fraction leads to cardioprotection during I/R. Conversely, recent reports have suggested that phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase and extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERKs) participate in the EP$_4$ signaling and that inhibition of ERK aggravates cardiac I/R injury, suggesting that this signaling may play a role in EP$_4$-mediated cardioprotection of PGE$_2$.
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