Six- and Twelve-Month Results From a Randomized, Double-Blind Trial on a Slow-Release Paclitaxel-Eluting Stent for De Novo Coronary Lesions
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Background—The TAXUS NIRx stent (Boston Scientific Corp) provides local delivery of paclitaxel via a slow-release polymer coating. The TAXUS I trial was the first in-human experience evaluating safety and feasibility of the TAXUS NIRx stent system compared with bare NIR stents (control) (Boston Scientific Corp) for treatment of coronary lesions.

Methods and Results—The TAXUS I trial was a prospective, double-blind, three-center study randomizing 61 patients with de novo or restenotic lesions (≤12 mm) to receive a TAXUS (n=31) versus control (n=30) stent (diameter 3.0 or 3.5 mm). Demographics, lesion characteristics, clinical outcomes were comparable between the groups. The 30-day major adverse cardiac event (MACE) rate was 0% in both groups (P=NS). No stent thromboses were reported at 1, 6, 9, or 12 months. At 12 months, the MACE rate was 3% (1 event) in the TAXUS group and 10% (4 events in 3 patients) in the control group (P=NS). Six-month angiographic restenosis rates were 0% for TAXUS versus 10% for control (P=NS) patients. There were significant improvements in minimal lumen diameter (2.60±0.49 versus 2.19±0.65 mm), diameter stenosis (13.56±11.77 versus 27.23±16.69), and late lumen loss (0.36±0.48 versus 0.71±0.48 mm) in the TAXUS group (all P<0.01). No evidence of edge restenosis was seen in either group. Intravascular ultrasound analysis showed significant improvements in normalized neointimal hyperplasia in the TAXUS (14.8 mm³) group compared with the control group (21.6 mm³) (P<0.05).

Conclusions—In this feasibility trial, the TAXUS slow-release stent was well tolerated and showed promise for treatment of coronary lesions, with significant reductions in angiographic and intravascular ultrasound measures of restenosis.

(Circulation. 2003;107:38-42.)
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Although the insertion of coronary stents has improved the success of balloon angioplasty for the treatment of coronary artery disease, restenosis after the initial procedure continues to limit its effectiveness. A promising modality to inhibit restenosis is the controlled release of paclitaxel from coronary stents.1,2 Paclitaxel interferes with microtubule function, affecting mitosis and extracellular secretion, and thereby interrupts the restenotic cascade at multiple levels.3,4,5 Results from animal models have shown reduced neointimal responses after local paclitaxel delivery to the vessel. A vascular compatible polymer has been developed that provides early, controlled release of paclitaxel.

The purpose of TAXUS I was to provide the first in-human clinical evaluations of a polymer-based paclitaxel-eluting stent in a randomized, multicenter trial comparing the TAXUS NIRx stent with bare metal NIR (control) stents (both from Boston Scientific Corp).

Device Description

The NIR stent is an uncoated, balloon-expandable stent made of 316LS surgical-grade stainless steel. The TAXUS NIRx stent is the aforementioned stent coated with paclitaxel (1µg/mm² paclitaxel per unit of stent surface area) in a slow-release formulation of a proprietary polymer (hydrocarbon-based elastomer). Both the coated and uncoated stents were available in 15-mm lengths and either 3.0- or 3.5-mm diameters and were hand-mounted onto the balloon delivery catheter.

Study Population

Between October 2000 and March 2001, 61 patients were randomized to either a single TAXUS paclitaxel-eluting stent or a control (bare metal NIR) stent. The trial was conducted at three German heart centers after the approval of the local ethics committee and informed consent of all subjects had been obtained. Target lesions were single de novo or restenotic coronary lesions. Angiographic inclusion criteria were lesion length ≤12 mm, 50% to 99% diameter stenosis, and vessel diameter between 3.0 mm and 3.5 mm. Patients...
were not eligible for enrollment if they had a history of acute myocardial infarction; a left ventricular ejection fraction <30%; a stroke within the previous 6 months; renal dysfunction, as defined by serum creatinine >1.7 mg/100 mL; or contraindication to aspirin, clopidogrel, or ticlopidine. Target lesions requiring >1 study stent for full coverage were excluded.

Study Administration
This trial was conducted with a strictly double-blinded analysis. To maintain blind packaging, the TAXUS and control stents were indistinguishable by physical and radiographic appearance. The intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) and quantitative coronary angiography (QCA) core labs and the Clinical Events Committee were blinded to treatment groups.

Stenting Procedure
Before stent implantation, patients were premedicated with aspirin (>80 mg), clopidogrel (300 mg), and heparin. After predilatation, study stents were deployed according to conventional techniques with IVUS guidance. Postdeployment high-pressure dilatation was at the investigator’s discretion. During the procedure, intravenous heparin was given to maintain an activated clotting time ≥250 seconds. One study stent was allowed; use of additional nonstudy stents was at the investigator’s discretion. After stent implantation, aspirin (>80 mg/d) was administered for at least 12 months and clopidogrel (75 mg/d) for 6 months.

Follow-Up
Clinical evaluation was scheduled at 1, 6, 9, and 12 months after implantation. Angiographic and IVUS imaging was performed before stent implantation, after the procedure, and at 6 months’ follow-up.

Primary End Point
Major adverse cardiac events (MACE), including death from any cause, Q-wave myocardial infarction, target vessel revascularization, and stent thrombosis at 30 days, were defined as the primary end point of this trial. Q-wave myocardial infarction was defined as development of Q waves in ≥2 contiguous leads with postprocedural creatine kinase and isoenzyme (CK-MB) levels elevated above normal. Target vessel revascularization was subclassified as CABG surgery, percutaneous intervention on the target lesions (target lesion revascularization [TLR]) or percutaneous intervention on the non-target lesion. An independent Clinical Events Committee adjudicated adverse events, including MACE.

Angiographic and IVUS Analysis
Coronary angiograms were obtained in multiple views after intracoronary injection of nitroglycerin. Angiograms and IVUS images were analyzed according to previously published methods by an independent core laboratory (Heart Core, Leiden, the Netherlands). Quantitative coronary angiographic end points included binary restenosis, defined as >50% diameter stenosis; reference vessel diameter (RVD); minimum lumen diameter (MLD); percent diameter stenosis (%DS); lumen loss; and late loss index. Late lumen loss was measured as the difference between postintervention MLD and MLD at follow-up. RVD, MLD, and %DS were measured before the procedure, after the procedure, and at follow-up.

IVUS images were acquired after the procedure and at the 6-month follow-up visit with the use of automated pull-back after intracoronary administration of nitrates. A computer-based contour detection program was used for automated 3D reconstruction of the segment. Lumen, stent boundaries, and external elastic membrane were detected with a minimum cost algorithm, and volumetric quantification was performed. The total analysis segment included the stented segment as well as the margins 5 mm distal and proximal to the stents.
4 patients in the TAXUS group and 6 in the control group. The 30-day MACE rate was 0% in both groups.

The 6-month MACE rate was 0% (0 of 31 patients) in the TAXUS group compared with 7% in the control group (2 of 30 patients) (P = NS). These two MACE events in the control group were TLRs. One patient had CABG at 198 days for recurrent restenosis adjudicated as a second MACE event. The second patient had recurrent angina at 167 days associated with LAD stent thrombosis treated with atherectomy. As shown in Table 3, the 12-month MACE rate in the control group was 10% (4 events in 3 patients) in comparison with 3% (1 event) in the TAXUS group (P = NS).

Baseline Lesion Characteristics

Acute angiographic results were comparable between the two groups, as shown in Table 4. The mean RVD after the procedure was 2.99 ± 0.46 mm, with lesion lengths of 10.70 ± 3.27 mm in the TAXUS group. This was similar to the control group RVD of 2.94 ± 0.52 mm and lesion length 11.89 ± 4.93 mm.

### TABLE 3. MACE and Stent Thrombosis at 1-Year Follow-Up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MACE Event</th>
<th>TAXUS</th>
<th>NIR Control</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12-Month MACE rate</td>
<td>3% (1/30)</td>
<td>10% (3/30)*</td>
<td>0.612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-wave myocardial infarction</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percutaneous coronary intervention—target vessel</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0.612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target lesion</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nontarget lesion</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CABG</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stent thrombosis</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA indicates not applicable because of zero value.

*There were a total of 4 MACE events in 3 patients (0.13 event frequency).

### TABLE 4. QCA Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QCA In-Stent Lesion Characteristics</th>
<th>TAXUS</th>
<th>NIR Control</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVD, mm</td>
<td>2.99 ± 0.46 (31)</td>
<td>2.94 ± 0.52 (29)</td>
<td>0.699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLD, mm</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.4 (31)</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.43 (29)</td>
<td>0.557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%DS</td>
<td>56.51 ± 12.26 (31)</td>
<td>57.82 ± 13.24 (29)</td>
<td>0.692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion length, mm</td>
<td>10.70 ± 3.27 (31)</td>
<td>11.89 ± 4.93 (29)</td>
<td>0.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLD, mm</td>
<td>2.95 ± 0.34 (31)</td>
<td>2.87 ± 0.43 (27)</td>
<td>0.443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%DS</td>
<td>6.12 ± 9.49 (31)</td>
<td>9.84 ± 7.06 (27)</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Month follow-up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLD, mm</td>
<td>2.60 ± 0.49 (30)</td>
<td>2.19 ± 0.65 (29)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVD, mm</td>
<td>3.02 ± 0.47 (30)</td>
<td>3.01 ± 0.53 (29)</td>
<td>0.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%DS</td>
<td>13.56 ± 11.77 (30)</td>
<td>27.23 ± 16.69 (29)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50% Restenosis</td>
<td>0% (0/30)</td>
<td>10% (3/29)</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late lumen loss, mm</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.48 (30)</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.47 (26)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss index, mm</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.29 (30)</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.29 (26)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This randomized, double-blind feasibility trial supports safety of the slow-release formulation of the TAXUS paclitaxel-eluting coronary stent in the treatment of coronary lesions at 1 year. There were no early or late stent thromboses in any study subject. MACE rates were low at 30 days, 6 months, and 12 months. The binary restenosis rate was 0% at 6 months; only 1 patient in this group had a target vessel revascularization, and that was for a lesion distal to the stented area.

Despite the small number of patients and excellent results in the control group, promising efficacy for the TAXUS slow-release formulation is supported by significant and concordant improvements in the QCA and IVUS parameters. At 6 months, there were reductions in the %DS and late lumen loss compared with the control stent. This was confirmed by the IVUS data showing improvements in the minimal lumen area and normalized neointimal hyperplasia.

This drug-eluting stent system takes advantage of the antineoplastic agent paclitaxel incorporated into a unique slow-release, hydrocarbon-based elastomer polymer system to produce a controlled, biphasic release of this medication into the surrounding tissue. Paclitaxel is a microtubule-
stabilizing agent with potent activity against proliferation, migration, and signal transduction. The biphasic polymer-controlled drug release of this stent design, providing an early burst release in the first 48 hours followed by a slow release for the subsequent 10 days, appears to attenuate neointimal formation. Blood samples showed no systemic levels of paclitaxel with this slow-release formulation.

Several studies evaluating drug-eluting stents loaded with different antiproliferative agents, such as sirolimus, have recently been published or are still ongoing (RAndomized study with the sirolimus-eluting Bx VElocity balloon-expandable stent [RAVEL], SIRolImUS-coated Bx Velocity stent in the treatment of patients with de novo coronary artery lesions [SIRIUS]). Reported by Sousa et al, the first in-human experience with a sirolimus-coated stent confirmed a profound reduction of neointimal volume with absence of stent restenosis for up to 1 year in the drug-coated stent group. The randomized, multicenter RAVEL trial compared a bare metal stent and the sirolimus-coated BX Velocity stent (140 μg/cm²), demonstrating at 6 months’ follow-up a restenosis rate of zero in the drug-coated stent group and a lumen loss of −0.01±0.33 mm in the sirolimus group versus 0.80±0.53 mm in the control group. There was no TLR, and the event-free survival rate at 1 year was 94.1% versus 70.9%.

The persistence of extremely low MACE rates without stent thrombosis or TLR at 12 months in TAXUS I is encouraging. These promising data contrast with reports on the QuaDDs stent system, a high-capacity delivery system involving ≤5 polymeric sleeves per stent to provide ≤4000 g of 7-hexanoyl taxol (QP2, a taxane derivative). Two problems have been reported: very late stent thrombosis (>6 months after implantation) and disappointing 12-month restenotic findings.

Liistro et al reported a case of late total occlusion, 7 months after implantation of a QP2-eluting stent, presenting...
as an acute coronary syndrome after interruption of ticlopidine treatment. One potential mechanism for the very late thrombosis could be discontinuation of antiplatelet therapy when ongoing drug effect prevented adequate neointimal coverage over bare stents to pacify the surface. Liistro et al\textsuperscript{12} have also reported results from a 15-patient, single-arm, in-stent restenosis registry in which acceptable 6-month outcomes were followed by disappointing 12-month restenotic data in \textasciitilde60\% of patients. These reports suggest that the polymer sleeves, milligram doses of 7-hexanoyl taxol, and/or protracted drug delivery from the stent could delay healing or even aggravate the restenotic process.

The design objective of the TAXUS stent system is to use the minimum effective dose for the shortest duration by controlled biphasic drug delivery targeted for the initial phase of the restenotic process. In the TAXUS I study, the absence of TLR at 12 months suggests that the amount and rate of paclitaxel release disrupts the restenotic cascade while allowing sufficient neointimal growth to promote healing and avoid late thrombosis. Ongoing clinical follow-up in the present and the ongoing TAXUS trials on the NIR stent platform (TAXUS II, III) or the EXPRESS stent platform (TAXUS IV, VI) for more complex lesions should provide data on the clinical value of the technology.\textsuperscript{8}

**Limitations**

Although the present study was a prospective, multicenter, double-blind, randomized trial, several limitations are noteworthy. The trial was conducted at only 3 sites where 31 patients with standard-risk lesions received the slow-release formulation of the TAXUS stent. The control group had limited the ability to identify significant differences with the TAXUS stent. IVUS guidance and extended use of clopidogrel may have contributed to the strong performance in both groups.

Other limitations include the prohibition of multiple stenting and the exclusion of high-risk patients. Given their high restenosis rates, high-risk patients are most likely to realize the greatest benefit from drug-eluting stents. Hand-crimping was employed in this study, potentially introducing the risk of drug displacement and contamination. Nonetheless, no MACE were clearly attributable to such potential risks.

**Conclusion**

In this feasibility trial, the slow-release formulation of the TAXUS stent offers the possibility of delivering paclitaxel to the target lesion and inhibiting postprocedural neointimal proliferation without adverse local or systemic effects. Larger studies of this promising technology are needed.
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