Effect of Cardiac Resynchronization on Myocardial Efficiency and Regional Oxidative Metabolism
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Background—Recent studies have demonstrated increased left ventricular contractility with cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) using atrioventricular stimulation. This study evaluated the effect of CRT on myocardial oxidative metabolism and efficiency.

Methods and Results—Eight patients with New York Heart Association functional class III-IV congestive heart failure were studied during atrial pacing (control) and atrioventricular stimulation at the same rate. The monoexponential clearance rate of $[^{11}C]$acetate ($k_{mono}$) was measured with positron emission tomography to assess myocardial oxidative metabolism in the left and right ventricles (LV and RV, respectively). Myocardial efficiency was measured using the work metabolic index (WMI). Stroke volume index improved by 10% ($P=0.011$) with CRT, although both global LV and RV $k_{mono}$ were unchanged compared with control. Septal $k_{mono}$ increased by 15% ($P=0.04$), and the septal/lateral wall $k_{mono}$ ratio increased by 22% ($P=0.01$), WMI increased by 13% ($P=0.024$) with CRT.

Conclusions—CRT improves LV function without increasing global LV oxidative metabolism, resulting in improved myocardial efficiency. Oxidative metabolism of the interventricular septum increases relative to the lateral wall, which suggests successful resynchronization. (Circulation. 2003;107:28-31.)
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Two-dimensional and Doppler echocardiographic examinations were performed using a cardiac ultrasound system (Hewlett Packard 2500 or 5500). Stroke volume (SV) was assessed using Doppler and stroke work index (SWI) was calculated as SWI = SV × SBP, where SVI is the SV indexed for body surface area and SBP is the systolic blood pressure. LV volumes were calculated using the method of discs. Mitral regurgitation (MR) was quantified by measuring the largest regurgitant jet area on Doppler color flow imaging.

Myocardial efficiency was assessed using the concept of work metabolic index WMI = SWI × HR/kmono, where HR is heart rate.

All PET and echocardiographic data were analyzed blinded to the patient’s treatment arm and clinical data.

Statistical Analysis
Data are expressed as mean±SD. Comparisons were performed using a paired t test and univariate repeated measurements ANOVA where applicable.

Results
Table 2 indicates the hemodynamic results. The HR and SBP were similar between groups. Diastolic BP was higher with CRT. CRT was associated with a decreased LV end-diastolic volume index (LVEDVI), a 10% higher SVI and a 15% higher LV ejection fraction (LVEF). There was a trend for increased SWI and decreased MR with reduction of MR jet area with CRT.

The mean rate of myocardial oxidative metabolism was similar with and without CRT. This phenomenon was observed for both the LV (kmono 0.042±0.003 versus 0.041±0.006 per minute, P=0.86) and RV (kmono 0.040±0.009 versus 0.039±0.011 per minute, P=0.62) (Figure 1A).

CRT increased septal kmono by 15% (0.045±0.007 versus 0.040±0.005, P=0.04) (Figure 1B). However, there were no statistically significant changes in anterior or lateral walls (0.040±0.008 versus 0.038±0.008, P=0.38 and 0.042±0.006 versus 0.046±0.011, P=0.23, respectively). Furthermore, the septal/lateral wall kmono ratio increased by 22% (0.913±0.237 to 1.113±0.249 per minute, P=0.01).

The WMI was 13% higher during CRT compared with control (6.68±3.45 versus 5.91±3.01×106 mm Hg · L · m⁻², P=0.024; Figure 2).

Table 1. Patient Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Etiology</th>
<th>LVEF, %</th>
<th>NYHA</th>
<th>V̇O₂max, mL · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹</th>
<th>Conduction Abnormality</th>
<th>QRS Duration, ms</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ischemic</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>LBBB</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>ARB, DIG, DIU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ischemic</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>LBBB</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>ACEI, BB, DIG, DIU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ischemic</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>LBBB</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>ACEI, AMIO, DIG, DIU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ischemic</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>LBBB</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>ARB, BB, DIU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ischemic</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>LBBB</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>ACEI, BB, DIG, DIU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Nonischemic</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>LBBB</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>ARB, BB, DIG, DIU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ischemic</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>LBBB</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>ARB, BB, DIU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Nonischemic</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>LBBB</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>ACEI, BB, DIG, DIU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACEI indicates angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors; AMIO, amiodarone; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; BB, β-blockers; DIG, digoxin; DIU, diuretic; LBBB, left bundle branch block; and NYHA, New York Heart Association.

Table 2. Hemodynamic Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>CRT</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, bpm</td>
<td>63±10</td>
<td>65±9</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>109±18</td>
<td>113±21</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>66±12</td>
<td>75±8</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDVI, mm/m³</td>
<td>118±36</td>
<td>112±36</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVI, mL/m²</td>
<td>33±7</td>
<td>36±9</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF, %</td>
<td>26±9</td>
<td>30±9</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVSWI, L · mm Hg</td>
<td>3.77±1.26</td>
<td>4.27±1.76</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR jet area, cm²</td>
<td>10.2±6.2</td>
<td>8.4±5.0</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LVEDVI indicates left ventricular end-diastolic volume index; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; and LVSWI, left ventricular stroke work index. Values are mean±SD.
Discussion

Cardiac resynchronization therapy did not have any effect on global LV or RV oxidative metabolism, which suggests that metabolic demand does not increase with CRT. CRT caused an increase in LV stroke volume, ejection fraction, and stroke work, however, resulting in a 13% enhancement of LV myocardial efficiency.

Previous studies have demonstrated favorable acute hemodynamic effects of multisite pacing in HF patients with conduction disturbances, including decrease in LVEDVI, pulmonary capillary wedge pressure, MR severity, and increase in SV. A mild decrease in LVEDVI and MR severity and increase in SV were also observed in this study. RV apex pacing causes an activation pattern similar to left bundle-branch block (LBBB), and it reduces mechanical work in the septum by 50% but increases LV free wall work by 50%. In our patients, regional oxidative metabolism was not significantly different during intrinsic ventricular conduction. CRT increased septal oxidative metabolism, however, whereas oxidative metabolism remained unchanged in both the LV anterior and lateral walls. The increase in oxidative metabolism of the septum after a more synchronized LV contraction reflects increased septal workload as it contracts against a greater LV load and actively contributes to LV ejection. The role of the septum in global LV function has been recognized earlier in patients with HF. There are no data on regional efficiency in isolated LBBB. Impaired septal glucose uptake but not oxidative metabolism has been reported in patients with isolated LBBB.

The oxidative metabolism in the RV is also high relative to the LV in this study, which indicates increased RV work. RV oxidative metabolism did not change with CRT. The effect of CRT on RV myocardial efficiency cannot be determined from this data because RV work was not measured.

In acute setting, LV pacing has been reported to increase pulse pressure and dP/dTmax and decrease global myocardial oxygen consumption in patients with nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy, LBBB, and very mild MR. These findings suggest increased myocardial efficiency, although efficiency was not specifically reported. Most of our patients had ischemic cardiomyopathy and moderate to severe MR. Part of the observed increase in SV is likely due to the reduction of MR. However, the increase in forward SV did not result in increase in oxidative metabolism, although this would have been expected with the higher afterload in the aorta (versus left atrium). Therefore, a 13% improvement in efficiency with CRT is not due to the decrease in MR severity but is due to more efficient LV contraction.

In the current study, the observed improvements in left ventricular SVI and LVEF with CRT were somewhat less than in previous reports. Because the resynchronization device was implanted before the study (median 380 days), CRT may have already had a benefit, and the acute effects may have been underestimated in the current study.

Conclusions

Cardiac resynchronization therapy improves LV function without increasing global LV oxidative metabolism, hence improving LV efficiency. Oxidative metabolism of the interventricular septum increased relative to the lateral wall, likely reflecting enhanced work of the septum as a result of successful resynchronization. These results support the use of cardiac resynchronization to improve LV function in advanced HF patients with ventricular dyssynchrony.
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