Multiple Atherosclerotic Plaque Rupture in Acute Coronary Syndrome
A Three-Vessel Intravascular Ultrasound Study
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Background—To test the hypothesis of general atherosclerotic plaque destabilization during acute coronary syndrome (ACS), the present study sought to analyze the 3 coronary arteries by systematic intravascular ultrasound scan (IVUS).

Methods and Results—Seventy-two arteries were explored in 24 patients referred for percutaneous coronary intervention after a first ACS with troponin I elevation. Fifty plaque ruptures (mean, 2.08 per patient; range, 0 to 6) were diagnosed by the association of a ruptured capsule with intraplaque cavity. Plaque rupture on the culprit lesion was found in 9 patients (37.5%). At least 1 plaque rupture was found somewhere other than on the culprit lesion in 19 patients (79%). These lesions were in a different artery than the culprit artery in 70.8% and were in both other arteries in 12.5% of these 24 patients. Complete IVUS examination of all 3 coronary axes in patients who had experienced a first ACS revealed that multiple atherosclerotic plaque ruptures were detected by IVUS; these multiple ruptures were present simultaneously with the culprit lesion; they were frequent and located (in three quarters of cases) on the 3 principal coronary trunks; and the multiple plaque ruptures in locations other than on the culprit lesion were less severe, nonstenosing, and less calcified.

Conclusion—Although one single lesion is clinically active at the time of ACS, the syndrome seems nevertheless associated with overall coronary instability. (Circulation. 2002;106:804-808.)
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Acute coronary syndromes (ACS) are clinical events that cause considerable immediate morbidity and mortality and a high risk of a further coronary accident within a year.1,2 Atherosclerotic plaque rupture or erosion is recognized to be the prime motivating factor in ACS, and it is the associated local thrombosis activation that gives the acute incident its potential immediate seriousness.3–5

Methods

Patients
Between February and August of 2000, IVUS examinations were systematically performed after coronaryography in patients referred for a first ACS (with or without ST-segment elevation) with a duration of <4 weeks and >3 days whenever an indication for percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) was accepted and all 3 epicardial coronary arteries proved suitable for IVUS. A further inclusion criterion was that the culprit lesion be clearly identifiable. The coronary artery and the lesion underlying the atherosclerotic event (ie, the culprit lesion) were identified by the association of pre- and intercrisis electrocardiographic signs, possible left ventricle segment kinetics anomalies, and lesion aspect on coronary angiography as specified below.

Intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) is a safe clinical device12 that provides reliable information on the coronary wall,13 and it is our institution’s policy to use IVUS in routine diagnosis. To test this hypothesis of overall destabilization throughout the coronary tree in ACS, the present study sought to analyze all 3 coronary arteries in patients admitted for ACS.
Coronary Angiography

Angiographic quantification of lesions used the AdvantX software (General Electric Medical Systems). A coronary stenosis was considered clinically significant if it was >50% in diameter. Multivessel disease was presumed when >1 coronary artery presented a significant coronary stenosis. The classification of Ambrose et al was adopted for qualitative analysis after 2 orthogonal views. Briefly, concentric lesions have symmetric narrowing with smooth borders, type I or II eccentric lesions are asymmetric with a broad neck and may or may not be irregular, and the term multiple irregularities refers to serial stenosis or severe diffuse irregularities. Type I or II eccentric lesions and multiple irregularities are considered complex lesions. Complex coronary stenosis was identified by consensus of 2 independent angiographers when a complex lesion was associated with a stenosis of >50% diameter.

IVUS Imaging Protocol

The IVUS system used was a commercially available mechanical sector scanner (Intravascular Imaging System, Hewlett-Packard) with 40-MHz single-element ultrasound catheters (Boston Scientific). After the administration of 200 μg of intracoronary nitroglycerine, IVUS exploration began from the coronary artery contralateral to the artery housing the culprit lesion, continued to the second artery, which was not implicated in the recent clinical event, and finished in the culprit artery before any percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) was performed. The transducer was introduced at least two thirds of the way up each artery explored, and the artery was imaged in retrograde using a motorized pullback at 0.5 mm/s. Each ruptured coronary plaque detected was precisely located on the angiogram. IVUS exploration of the 3 arteries took an average 10 minutes.

IVUS Quantitative Analysis

Quantitative analysis (IQDP Data Processing) was conducted on 2 specific cross-sections for each ruptured plaque detected: the IVUS reference segment, defined as the first normal or the least pathologic segment not more than 10 mm from the rupture, and the section on which lumen cross-sectional area (LCSA) was the smallest within the plaque rupture. Cross-sectional images were quantified for lumen cross-sectional area (mm²), external elastic membrane cross-sectional area (EEM CSA, mm²), and plaque (P) + media (M) cross-sectional area (P+M CSA = EEM CSA – LCSA, mm²). Plaque burden was defined as PB(%) = [(EEM CSA – LCSA) / EEM CSA] × 100. Lesion length was derived from the duration of the pullback.

IVUS Definitions

The IVUS classification by Ge et al for atherosclerotic plaque rupture was adopted. Atheromatous plaque rupture was diagnosed on the basis of the appearance of either a ruptured capsule associated with intraplaque cavity, possibly enhanced by intracoronary saline injection, or of plaque excavation by atheromatous extrusion with no visible capsule. The intraplaque cavity was measured and extrapolated to the ruptured capsule area. Close attention was paid to possible artifacts, especially in bifurcation areas. Plaque rupture diagnosis required the agreement of 2 trained operators (Drs Rioufol and Finet). Significant stenosis was defined as minimum lumen area <3 mm². Arterial remodeling was determined by comparing the EEM area at the center of the lesion with the EEM area at the proximal reference segment. Positive remodeling was defined as a relative ratio ≥ 1.0 and negative remodeling was defined as < 1.0. The eccentricity ratio was calculated as [(maximum P + M thickness – minimum P + M thickness) / maximum P + M thickness] × 100. Calculations were measured in terms of the degree of arc with respect to the center of the coronary lumen.

PCI and Clinical Follow-Up

PCI was performed using stent and antilglycoprotein Iib/IIa at the discretion of the operators. In case of coronary stenting, clopidogrel was systematically added to aspirin for at least 1 month. A 6-month follow-up was conducted; repeat PCI, bypass surgery, ACS, and death were considered major outcome events.

Statistics

Statistical analysis was performed with StatView 4.5 MDSU statistical software (Abacus Concept, Inc). Data are presented as mean ± SD. Continuous quantitative data were compared by matched Student’s t-test and discontinuous quantitative data by χ² test. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Population

Twenty-four consecutive patients were included at a mean of 2.3 ± 1.5 weeks after ACS. The majority (62.5%) did not present with ST-segment elevation but had high troponin I levels. For purely anatomic reasons of IVUS feasibility, the population corresponded to 20% of all referrals for first ACS assessment during the study period and was in all other ways similar to the overall population referred during the same period. Patients’ clinical features are summarized in Table 1.

Coronary Atherosclerotic Plaque Rupture

Seventy-two major epicardial coronary arteries were explored by IVUS without incident, and 50 distinct plaque ruptures were detected (mean, 2.08 per patient; range, 0 to 6). Nine cases of plaque rupture in 9 patients (37.5%) were clearly identified as being located on the culprit lesion itself, and 41 cases of plaque rupture were located on arteries other than the culprit. Culprit lesions with (n = 9) or without (n = 15) plaque rupture had the same clinical aspect on angiography and IVUS from all other points of view. For example, similar minimum LCSAs (3.8 ± 3.7 mm² versus 1.8 ± 1.1 mm², P = NS), plaque burdens (81 ± 15% versus 87 ± 6%, P = NS), and remodeling ratios (1.08 ± 0.17 versus 1.05 ± 0.12, P = NS) were found. As they were characterized by the same physiopathological event, it was therefore considered reasonable to pool their data. Among the 24 patients, 19 (79%) had at least 1 plaque rupture somewhere other than on the culprit lesion (Figure 1), 17 (70.8%) had at least 1 rupture diagnosed in an
artery other than the culprit artery, and 3 (12.5%) had at least 1 rupture in all 3 arteries (Figure 2). Plaque rupture incidence in the left coronary trunk, the left anterior descending artery, the circumflex artery, and the right coronary arteries was 8%, 30%, 20%, and 42%, respectively. The incidence of plaque rupture did not differ between patients presenting ACS with and without ST-segment elevation (respectively 2.2±1.9 and 1.7±1.3 ruptures, P=NS).

On qualitative angiographic analysis, 62.5% of culprit lesions met complex coronary lesion criteria, compared with 41.5% of the other distinct plaque ruptures (P=0.1). Except in the case of culprit lesions, which IVUS sometimes failed to detect, no complex coronary lesions were ever detected on angiography that were not also found by IVUS on ruptured plaques.

### Quantitative Angiography and IVUS Analysis

Quantitative analysis of the culprit lesions revealed significantly greater stenosis than in the case of the distinct lesions associated with plaque rupture (Table 2). The coronary remodeling was positive overall in both types of lesion (1.05±0.15 for culprit lesions versus 1.04±0.12 for distinct lesions, P=NS). Positive remodeling indices >1.05 were observed in 39% of culprit lesions and in 42% of distinct lesions with plaque rupture. This difference was not significant. Culprit lesions presented more calcification than the other ruptured plaques.

### Percutaneous Coronary Intervention

Twenty-two patients were treated by angioplasty, one received medical treatment in the absence of significant coronary stenosis criteria, and another was referred directly to surgery in view of a much greater left coronary trunk stenosis than had been suggested by angiography alone. In 3 cases, the culprit lesion did not require stenting, as spontaneous local dethrombosis left no significant residual stenosis. Particularly in patients presenting multiple complex coronary plaques, however, several concomitant lesions were able to be treated on preprocedural angiographic and IVUS criteria (minimum lumen diameter <1.5 mm, minimum LCSA <4 mm²). Excluding the patient who was managed surgically, 23 plaque ruptures (48.9% of detected ruptures) underwent no specific procedure (Table 3).

### Clinical Follow-Up

Mean clinical follow-up of interventionally or medically managed patients (n=23) was 10±3 months. During follow-up, all patients took antiplatelet inhibitors (clopidogrel, 22%), all but one (96%) had statin therapy, and 10 (43%) received β-blockers. No death occurred, but 5 combined events were noted, 4 restenoses and 1 subacute stent thrombosis, all proved by angiography. There was no recurrence of ACS.

### Discussion

Complete IVUS examination of all 3 coronary arteries in patients with first ACS very frequently revealed 1 or more atherosclerotic plaque ruptures associated with the culprit lesion (79% of cases). According to our observations, 70% of patients had at least 1 atherosclerotic lesion presenting rupture criteria on IVUS examination in an artery distinct from the culprit artery. Despite this overall coronary instability, current strategies and PCI seem to provide a satisfactory outcome.

### Plaque Rupture

It has been learned from numerous postmortem studies that atherosclerotic plaque rupture with a broken or eroded capsule seems to be the trigger for acute coronary thrombotic accidents. The same studies suggest that isolated or multiple ruptures can be found in control subjects and may be involved in the natural development of the atherosclerotic plaque. Coronary angiography is ill suited for the precise detection of ruptured coronary plaques, as it probably identifies only the largest lesions. Ge et al found that angiography pointed to coronary ulceration in only 35% of the cases diagnosed as such by IVUS and that type II (Ambrose) eccentric lesions were noted in about half of the cases whether or not there was a plaque rupture. Similar data were found in our present study, inasmuch as coronary ruptures distinct from the culprit lesion were suspected on angiography in only 41.5% of cases.
The Culprit Lesion

In our present study, IVUS found only 37.5% of plaque ruptures to be on the culprit lesion (which, by definition, was identified unambiguously by the correlation of clinical data and angiography rather than by IVUS). Fukuda et al24 have recently reported similar percentages, with 37% of subtle plaque dissections on the infarct-related lesions in 59 patients. These discrepancies in anatomopathology findings can probably be accounted for by the relatively constant presence of a larger or smaller thrombus in culprit lesions. Thrombi are of mixed echogenicity and thus are generally impossible to differentiate from an ordinary plaque, thereby covering and effectively masking the underlying ulcerations or ruptures. Lacking specific imaging criteria for the diagnosis of thrombus,13,14 IVUS discriminates the culprit lesion poorly for purposes of analysis, whereas angiography using Ambrose’s criteria shows a complex lesion in 62.5% of cases, a figure which matches Ambrose’s princeps data classifying 71% of culprit lesions as type II eccentric.6 Positive coronary remodeling is recognized to be associated with such ruptured plaques.25–28 Schoenhagen et al25 reported a remodeling index of 1.06±0.02 in a population of 85 patients suffering unstable angina or recent infarction, and Von Birgelen et al28 reported an remodeling index of 1.09±0.13 in 29 ACS patients, both of which are in agreement with our data (1.05±0.03).

Multiple Ruptures

To the best of our knowledge, there have been no previous studies using IVUS in all of the main coronary trunks for invasive exploration of ACS. It is, however, noteworthy that in a recent study, Asakura et al12 took a similar approach using coronary angiography. Over the 4 weeks after infarction, angioscopic examination of the 3 coronary trunks (2.9 per patient) revealed yellow plaques not only on 90% of the culprit lesions, but even more so diffusely (3.2±1.7 per artery) in all 3 coronary axes. In contrast, intracoronary thrombus other than that found at the culprit lesion site was exceptional (2%). The question nevertheless remains open as to the possible vulnerability of these yellow plaques, which are much more frequent and diffuse in the case of ACS.29 Despite differing techniques, it is legitimate to set these findings beside our own multiple rupture data, in which an average of 2 distinct ruptures were diagnosed per patient, 70.8% in arteries other than those implicated in the ACS. The lower number of distinct ruptures found on IVUS compared with the large diffusion of yellow plaques on angioscopy may be explained by the simple fact that these yellow plaques are merely vulnerable but not actually ruptured. This hypothesis has the merit of accounting for the remarkably high rate of 60% for yellow plaque incidence in stable coronary subjects, in whom IVUS estimates plaque rupture incidence at some 10% to 20%,15 a figure close to anatomopathology findings.4,5,19 The distinct multiple ruptures observed show positive remodeling to the same degree as the culprit lesion. The distinct ruptures, moreover, are found on lesions that are looser and less calcified than the culprit lesion, suggesting a less chronic atheromatous process and thus the possibility of younger lesions subsequently developing.

Development and Prognosis

ACS with complex coronary lesions as detected on angiography is of poor clinical prognosis, particularly in terms of

| TABLE 2. Quantitative Analysis of the Culprit Lesions and Other Distinct Plaque Ruptures |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                                | Culprit Lesion   | Other Ruptured Plaque |
|                                | (n=24)           | (n=41)           | P                |
| QCA                             |                  |                  |
| Minimum lumen diameter, mm      | 1.09±0.22        | 2.23±0.16        | <0.001           |
| Stenosis, %                     | 70±5             | 39±3             | <0.0001          |
| IVUS                            |                  |                  |
| ML CSA, mm²                     | 2.52±0.51        | 8.45±0.64        | <0.001           |
| Plaque burden, %                | 85±2             | 59±2             | <0.0001          |
| Lesion length, mm               | 14±2             | 10±1             | <0.01            |
| Remodeling ratio                | 1.05±0.03        | 1.04±0.02        | 0.27             |
| Plaque cavity, mm²              | 1.7±1.9          | 1.4±0.9          | 0.27             |
| Eccentricity ratio, %           | 50.9±10.2        | 52.9±16.5        | 0.62             |
| Presence of calcifications,* %  | 83.3             | 59.5             | <0.05            |
| Degree of calcified arc, degrees| 98±88            | 38±46            | <0.05            |

Values are mean±SD except presence of calcifications.
QCA indicates quantitative coronary angiogram; IVUS, intravascular ultrasound; and ML CSA, minimum endoluminal cross-sectional area.

*Percentage of plaques.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 3. Percutaneous Coronary Intervention Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients with PCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenting on the culprit lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenting on other sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated plaque rupture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycoprotein IIbIIIa inhibitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clopidogrel (≥1 month)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are n (%).
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