Current Perspective

Coronary Anomalies
Incidence, Pathophysiology, and Clinical Relevance

Paolo Angelini, MD; José Antonio Velasco, MD; Scott Flamm, MD

Coronary artery anomalies are some of the most confusing, neglected topics in cardiology. Although the medical community and general public are increasingly aware that coronary anomalies can be fatal (typically in young, previously "healthy" athletes),[1] the reasons for the sudden fatal event and the frequency with which it occurs are generally unclear. To promote a less casual approach to this subject, we review some basic, substantive, and methodological questions about coronary anomalies.

Definitions and Incidence

According to the literature, coronary anomalies affect ≈1% of the general population; this percentage is derived from cineangiograms performed for suspected obstructive disease.[2–4] Necropsies yield an even lower incidence: in 18 950 necropsies, Alexander and Griffith[5] observed only 54 coronary anomalies (0.3%). Unfortunately, these studies are limited by entry biases and a lack of clear diagnostic criteria.

Angelini and coworkers[6] propose that, because of its substantial variability, normal and anomalous coronary anatomy should be characterized. Accordingly, an anomaly should be defined as any coronary pattern with a feature (number of ostia, proximal course, termination, etc) "rarely" encountered in the general population. By determining the incidence of anatomic variants in a large population, acceptable definitions of normal and anomalous anatomy could be established and the clinical importance of anomalous variants ascertained. Ideally, this effort would be overseen by an expert ad hoc committee and would generate a rational paradigm, perhaps along the lines of Table 1. Previous authors have proposed a preemptive anatomo-clinical classification that considers anomalies as "major" or "minor" (ie, incapable of causing relevant clinical consequences)[2,7–10]; in view of our inadequate knowledge of the pathophysiology and clinical consequences of coronary anomalies, this scheme seems inappropriate.[11]

Additional studies examining the incidence of coronary anomalies in large populations are needed to overcome the classification issue and any referral bias. With regard to the latter point, necropsies are not performed routinely in the United States; rather, they are usually done for medico-legal purposes, after a violent or otherwise non–hospital-based death. Since 1960, the necropsy rate for in-hospital deaths has decreased from 50% to 10%.[12] Therefore, the incidence of coronary anomalies in necropsy patients may be skewed.[6] This issue is fundamental because sudden death is frequently the only symptom of an anomaly. Similarly, angiography is usually performed because ischemia is suspected. Moreover, well-known experts on coronary anomalies are consulted preferentially. The implications of pathological studies at referral centers were summarized by Maron and Roberts.[13] In patients with anomalous left coronary artery arising from the right sinus, most (≈59%) die before age 20, usually during or shortly after vigorous exertion. Such a statement can be made by pathologists to whom unusual cases are referred; it cannot be lightly shared by cardiologists, who during angiography incidentally observe even more of these anomalies than do pathologists.

Van Camp and coworkers[14] reported that coronary anomalies cause 11.8% of deaths in US high school and college athletes. According to the Sudden Death Committee of the American Heart Association,[15] coronary anomalies cause 19% of deaths in athletes. Moreover, Burke and colleagues[16] reported that, in 14- to 40-year-old individuals, coronary anomalies are involved in 12% of sports-related sudden cardiac deaths versus 1.2% of non–sports-related deaths. In assessing 162 sudden deaths in a young general population, Drory and associates[17] found only 1 coronary anomaly. Similar findings suggest that coronary anomalies can be lethal only during or shortly after strenuous physical activity, typically in young individuals (Table 2).[17–19]

Until the pathophysiological mechanisms of ischemia and sudden death are clarified, in patients who die inexplicably, the presence of an anatomically variant coronary pattern should be considered a possible but unproved cause of death. Examples of uncertain assignment of cause-effect relationships in these instances include most reported cases of muscular bridges,[20] single coronary artery (not coursing between the aorta and pulmonary artery),[21,22] and hypoplastic coronary artery.[23,24] Indeed, sudden unexpected death is frequently unexplained even at necropsy.[17]

To our knowledge, the first investigators to adopt strict criteria for assessing coronary normality/abnormality were Angelini and coworkers,[6] who performed an ad hoc study of 1950 consecutive cineangiograms to rule out or evaluate coronary artery disease and found a 5.6% incidence of coronary anomalies (Table 3). This incidence is higher than

TABLE 1.   Classification of Coronary Anomalies Observed in (Normal) Human Hearts

Anomalies of origination and course

    Absent left main trunk (split origination of LCA)

    Anomalous location of coronary ostium within aortic root or near proper aortic sinus of Valsalva (for each artery):

        High

        Low

        Commissural

    Anomalous location of coronary ostium outside normal "coronary" aortic sinuses

        Right posterior aortic sinus

        Ascending aorta

        Left ventricle

        Right ventricle

        Pulmonary artery. Variants:

            LCA arising from posterior facing sinus (ALCAPA)

            Cx arising from posterior facing sinus

            LAD arising from posterior facing sinus

            RCA arising from anterior right facing sinus

            Ectopic location (outside facing sinuses) of any coronary artery from pulmonary artery:

                From anterior left sinus

                From pulmonary trunk

                From pulmonary branch

        Aortic arch

        Innominate artery

        Right carotid artery

        Internal mammary artery

        Bronchial artery

        Subclavian artery

        Descending thoracic aorta

    Anomalous origination of coronary ostium from opposite, facing "coronary" sinus (which may involve joint origination or adjacent double ostia). Variants:

        RCA arising from left anterior sinus, with anomalous course:

            Posterior atrioventricular groove* or retrocardiac

            Retroaortic*

            Between aorta and pulmonary artery*

            Intraseptal*

            Anterior to pulmonary outflow* or precardiac

            Posteroanterior interventricular groove*

        LAD arising from right anterior sinus, with anomalous course:

            Between aorta and pulmonary artery

            Intraseptal

            Anterior to pulmonary outflow or precardiac

            Posteroanterior interventricular groove

        Cx arising from right anterior sinus, with anomalous course:

            Posterior atrioventricular groove

            Retroaortic

        LCA arising from right anterior sinus, with anomalous course:

            Posterior atrioventricular groove* or retrocardiac

            Retroaortic*

TABLE 1.   (Continued)

            Between aorta and pulmonary artery*

            Intraseptal*

            Anterior to pulmonary outflow* or precardiac

            Posteroanterior interventricular groove*

    Single coronary artery

Anomalies of intrinsic coronary arterial anatomy

    Congenital ostial stenosis or atresia (LCA, LAD, RCA, Cx)

    Coronary ostial dimple

    Coronary ectasia or aneurysm

    Absent coronary artery

    Coronary hypoplasia

    Intramural coronary artery (muscular bridge)

    Subendocardial coronary course

    Coronary crossing

    Anomalous origination of PD from anterior descending branch or septal penetrating branch

    Absent PD or split RCA:

        Proximal+distal PDs, arising from separate RCA sources

        Proximal PD arising from RCA, distal PD arising from LAD

        Proximal PD arising from RCA, distal PD arising from Cx

    Absent LAD or split LAD:

        Large first septal branch and small distal LAD

        Double LAD

    Ectopic origination of first septal branch

Anomalies of coronary termination

    Decreased number of arteriolar/capillary ramifications (?)

    Fistulas from RCA, LCA, or infundibular artery to:

        Right ventricle

        Right atrium

        Coronary sinus

        Superior vena cava

        Pulmonary artery

        Pulmonary vein

        Left atrium

        Left ventricle

        Multiple, right and/or left ventricles

Anomalous collateral vessels

*If a single, common ostium is present, the pattern is considered to represent "single" coronary artery.

Cx indicates circumflex; LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery; LCA, left coronary artery; PD, posterior descending branch; and RCA, right coronary artery.

Modified from Angelini P. Normal and anomalous coronary arteries: definitions and classification. *Am Heart J.* 1989;117:418–434.

that usually cited in angiographic reports in the literature. The discrepancy probably results from the use of strict methodology rather than a referral bias, which would have inflated the data only mildly. In a specific, detailed, prospective study, the expected incidence of necropsy-diagnosed coronary anomalies would be even higher. For example, if diagnosed with microdissection, myocardial bridges might be common enough to be considered a normal variant. We favor the following definitions: *normal*, any morphological feature

TABLE 2.   Incidence of Sudden Cardiac Death Related to Coronary Artery Anomalies

Group (Age)	No. of Deaths	Deaths Related to Coronary Anomalies, %
Exercising individuals, overall (8–66 y)[18]	550	11
General population (<40 y)[17]	162	0.6
Competitive athletes (mean age, 17 y)[19]	134	23
Joggers and marathon runners (30–46 y)[18]	120	1.6
Exercising individuals, Maryland State[18]	62	0

observed in >1% of an unselected population; *normal variant*, an alternative, relatively unusual, morphological feature seen in >1% of the same population; and *anomaly*, a morphological feature seen in <1% of that population.

The incidence of coronary anomalies is relevant not only for conceptual and educational purposes but, more importantly, for public health issues. Though obtained from small studies, the data imply that, of the total American population (285 000 000), ≈16 000 000 individuals (5.6%)[6] have some kind of coronary anomaly. If 19% of sudden deaths in young athletes are related to these anomalies,[15] they should be a healthcare priority (with regard to screening, clinical recommendations, prevention, and treatment).

Noninvasive Imaging and Screening Methods

In addition to coronary angiography, transesophageal echocardiography[25–27] also may clinically detect coronary anomalies, but this method is not totally noninvasive and is too costly for screening large populations. In a continuous series of 2388 transthoracic echocardiograms obtained in children, Davis and colleagues[28] found 4 anomalies of coronary origination (0.17%); in 1 case, a negative echocardiographic finding was followed by sudden death related to a coronary anomaly newly found at necropsy, raising doubts about this method's predictive value.

Contrast-enhanced electron-beam tomography[29] has also been recommended. It offers excellent spatial resolution and identifies most anomalies of coronary course, but it uses ionizing radiation and potentially nephrotoxic or allergenic contrast agents.

MRI[30–33] holds the greatest appeal because it avoids radiation and contrast agents and yields excellent images at expert centers. In determining coronary origination, MRI may surpass conventional angiography, especially in patients with congenital defects.[33] For isolated coronary anomalies, MRI is similarly successful,[30–33] although series remain small. Its greatest limitation is in determining the distal coronary course. Therefore, this technique is less helpful in evaluating fistulas, coronary origination outside the normal sinuses (eg, from a ventricle or pulmonary artery), and collateral vessels. Furthermore, visualization of the posterior descending branch is problematic.

Pathophysiological Mechanisms

Coronary anomalies have been implicated in chest pain, sudden death, cardiomyopathy, syncope, dyspnea, ventricular fibrillation, and myocardial infarction.[6] Quite rarely, they

have been related to reproducible effort angina, as seen in coronary obstructive disease. A causal relationship may be suggested by solid evidence (for example, the relationship between anomalous origin of left coronary artery from pulmonary artery [ALCAPA] and acute anterolateral myocardial infarction in newborns) or by circumstantial, but inadequate, evidence (for example, myocardial bridges in sudden-death victims).[11] Anomalous origination of the left coronary artery from the right sinus is consistently related to sudden death (59% of cases), which follows exercise in 81% of events.[18]

Coronary anomalies are usually compatible with normal prenatal myocardial development and postnatal growth and function, even permitting intense athletic activity. Nevertheless, the anomaly sometimes leads to a pathological state, which usually originates suddenly and may have catastrophic consequences. Nonhuman models of anomalies do exist; many mammals and birds have muscular bridges,[6] and anomalous coronary origination is frequently seen in inbred Syrian hamsters,[34] but these animal models have not undergone functional testing. Such testing would be a unique opportunity for studying pathophysiological mechanisms.

Coronary anomalies might have clinical consequences other than those strictly related to myocardial ischemia (Table 4); these consequences might include volume overload (in cases of coronary fistulas), aortic-root distortion (in cases of very large coronary fistulas or aneurysms), bacterial endocarditis, complications during aortic valve surgery or coronary angioplasty, and misdiagnosis (as in many cases of "missing" coronary arteries).[6] However, because the coronary vessels primarily supply metabolic support to the dependent myocar-

TABLE 3.   Incidence of Coronary Anomalies and Dominance Patterns, as Observed in a Continuous Series of 1950 Angiograms[6]

Variable	Number	Percentage
Coronary anomalies (total)	110	5.64
Split RCA	24	1.23
Ectopic RCA (right cusp)	22	1.13
Ectopic RCA (left cusp)	18	0.92
Fistulas	17	0.87
Absent left main coronary artery	13	0.67
Cx arising from right cusp	13	0.67
LCA arising from right cusp	3	0.15
Low origination of RCA	2	0.10
Other anomalies	3	0.27
Coronary dominance patterns		
Dominant RCA	1641	89.1
Dominant LCA (Cx)	164	8.4
Codominant arteries (RCA, Cx)	48	2.5

Cx indicates circumflex artery; LCA, left coronary artery; RCA, right coronary artery; and split RCA, duplication of the posterior descending branch of the right coronary artery.

Reprinted with permission from Angelini P, Villason S, Chan AV Jr, et al. Normal and anomalous coronary arteries in humans. In: Angelini P, ed. *Coronary Artery Anomalies: A Comprehensive Approach.* Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 1999:27–79.

TABLE 4. Possible Clinical Consequences of Coronary Anomalies[6]

Clinical Consequence	Coronary Anomaly	Proof of Action		
		Certain	Possible	Unlikely
Misdiagnosis	Missing coronary artery	+		
	Hypoplastic coronary artery		+	
Myocardial ischemia, primary (fixed)	Absent coronary artery			+
	Hypoplastic coronary artery			+
	Ostial atresia	+		
	Ostial stenosis	+		
	Coronary fistula		+	
	ALCAPA	+		
	Muscular bridge			+
Myocardial ischemia, secondary (episodic)	Tangential origin		+	
	Ectopic origin (opposite sinus)		+	
	Myocardial bridge		+	
	Coronary ectasia		+	
	Coronary fistula		+	
	ALCAPA, neonatal	+		
	ALCAPA, adult		+	
Increased risk of fixed coronary atherosclerotic disease	Coronary fistula		+	
	ALCAPA	+		
	Coronary ectasia		+	
	Ectopic origin		+	
	Muscular bridge (proximal)		+	
Secondary aortic valve disease	Coronary aneurysm		+	
	Coronary fistula		+	
	ALCAPA		+	
Increased risk of bacterial endocarditis	Coronary fistula		+	
Ischemic cardiomyopathy (hibernation)	ALCAPA	+		
	Coronary fistula		+	
	Ectopic ostia		+	
Volume overload	Coronary fistula	+		
	ALCAPA	+		
Unusual technical difficulties during coronary angioplasty	Ectopic ostia	+		
	Split left coronary artery		+	
	Coronary fistula		+	
Complications during cardiac surgery	Ectopic ostia	+		
	Muscular bridge	+		

ALCAPA indicates anomalous origination of the left coronary artery from the pulmonary artery.

Reprinted with permission from Angelini P, Villason S, Chan AV, Jr, et al. Normal and anomalous coronary arteries in humans. In: Angelini P, ed. *Coronary Artery Anomalies: A Comprehensive Approach*. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 1999:27–79.

dium, physiological alterations in this function should be the main consideration. Unlike effort-related ischemia typical of fixed obstructive lesions, ischemia associated with coronary anomalies is reproducible with stress testing or is able to be fixed in only a few conditions (ALCAPA, coronary stenosis, or coronary atresia). In other anomalies, ischemia occurs only under inconsistent or extreme clinical conditions.[13,18,19,22,35,36]

Clinically, angiographic documentation of abnormal coronary anatomy has not led to any effective or widely agreed-upon recommendations for functional testing and treatment.[11,35] Exercise tests, intended to reproduce symptoms or to induce changes in electrocardiographic or nuclear-imaging parameters, often produce false-negative or confusing results.[6,35] Reduced coronary functional reserve[37–39] or abnor-

mal flow patterns during intravascular Doppler testing might characterize certain anomalies but, alone, these are usually inadequate for implicating a specific pathophysiological mechanism of critical ischemia.

Although some anomalies may manifest only under exceptional conditions such as extreme exertion, no means of testing this hypothesis is available. Standard clinical submaximal stress-test protocols are frustratingly inadequate for identifying the presence and prognosis of most anomalies; indeed, long-term Holter monitoring (for arrhythmias and ST-segment changes) might be more informative.[15,22] During extreme exertion, certain stimulants and/or intervening autocrine dysfunction may induce autonomic and/or endothelial dysfunction, causing spasm and/or thrombosis at anomalous sites.[11] Arguing against the thrombotic theory is the fact that intracoronary clotting is rare in necropsies of sudden death victims with coronary anomalies,[40] but histological correlates of ischemic events (parcellar, intramural infarcts) are frequently documented.[35] All of the above findings may suggest that sudden death in patients with coronary anomalies is due to coronary spasm, typically of a proximal trunk, in the absence of collateral flow, with secondary collapse and/or ventricular fibrillation (as in critical stenosis of the left main trunk). To test this theory, an ergonovine or acetylcholine challenge might be essential. Intravascular ultrasonography could supplement angiography during evaluation of coronary spasm.

Is there a relationship between coronary obstructive disease and coronary anomalies? Do some anomalies predispose to obstructive atherosclerotic disease? Some authors claim, whereas others refute, such an association.[8,41] According to prevailing opinion, coronary segments with an anomalous course are no more vulnerable to obstructive disease than are normal segments in the same individual. Particularly interesting are the findings from angiograms performed for suspected ischemic disease that indicate that coronary anomalies were more common in women (7.6% versus 4.8% in men; $P=0.01$), and 57 (52%) of the 110 patients with coronary anomalies had no obstructive disease.[6,41] Conversely, patients without obstructive disease had an increased incidence of coronary anomalies (8.6% versus 4.9%; $P=0.001$). This study confirmed the high probability (26.7%) that a coronary anomaly will accompany an aortic valve defect.[6]

Pathologists consistently observe a fibrous ridge at the ostium of tangentially oriented ectopic coronary arteries.[7,42–44] Such ridges are often said to have potentially catastrophic consequences.[44] Nevertheless, plaque activation or rupture is seldom documented,[40] even on examination of the histological anatomy.[7,42–44]

With regard to coronary fistulas, a recurrent debate concerns whether coronary steal could be a clinically relevant mechanism. Whenever coronary flow from a single source entails in-parallel competition between coronary fistulous and nutrient branches, a steal could indeed occur at the expense of nutrient (higher-resistance) territory. However, the following facts argue against this mechanism as a cause of critical ischemia: (1) During functional testing, few coronary fistulas cause chest pain or reversible ischemia.[6,11] (2) During exercise, the nutrient branches undergo vasodilatation (owing to an increased myocardial workload), but pressure at the fistulous runoff site may only increase, favorably readjusting the nutrient/fistulous flow ratio. (3) If a significant coronary steal occurred under resting conditions, it would cause myocardial infarction, hibernation, or resting chest pain, none of which has been observed.

Clinical Relevance and a Call for Action

The greatest clinical challenge presented by coronary anomalies is understanding the variability of their functional repercussions. Some anomalies (including most of those in unselected necropsy series) seem to be only curiosities. Other anomalies are potentially lethal. Still, cardiologists can only guess at possible pathophysiological mechanisms for the latter while lacking the information necessary to make sound clinical recommendations.

For these reasons, Virmani,[44] Willerson,[45] and Williams[1] have independently proposed some innovative, cooperative approaches to studying coronary anomalies. The time seems ripe for establishing a worldwide network of specialists capable of gathering meaningful data and of eventually providing sound clinical guidelines while participating in prospective, coordinated studies. We must transcend our current predominant approach, characterized by no more than the periodic publication of dramatic case reports. Our knowledge about the clinical expression of coronary anomalies could be greatly enhanced by a multicenter database capable of prospectively collecting information about the following fundamental issues: (1) the incidence of each anomaly, as an anatomic entity, in unselected general populations, based on strict, prospectively agreed-on diagnostic criteria; (2) the type and incidence of clinical manifestations associated with each type of anomaly and the specific features of each instance; (3) the detailed clinical history of each patient with a given anomaly who experiences a clinical event, with particular attention to objective documentation of the event itself and the relevant clinical circumstances (exercise, dehydration, smoking, drugs, etc); and (4) the treatment (behavorial, medical, or surgical) used for a given patient with a coronary anomaly, whether identified during life or after death. Living patients should undergo long-term observation to clarify the anomaly's natural history and the influence of any empirical intervention.

Using powerful databases (ideally under the auspices of a large professional organization), such a network of experts should implement coordinated, pre-established protocols for clinical investigations. These experts should also support educational efforts, publish periodic documents, and foster pertinent discussions by holding regular meetings.

In conclusion, if 19% of sudden deaths in young athletes are due to coronary anomalies, and if most anomalies are harmless but the rest require aggressive intervention, we owe our patients and colleagues a precise, objective source of information that can lead to sound recommendations and safe treatment.

References

1. Williams RA. The historical background of sudden death in athletes. In: Williams RA, ed. *The Athlete and Heart Disease: Diagnosis, Evaluation & Management.* Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2000:1–8.

2. Baltaxe HA, Wixson D. The incidence of congenital anomalies of the coronary arteries in the adult population. *Radiology*. 1977;122:47–52.

3. Click RL, Holmes DR, Jr, Vlietstra RE, et al. Anomalous coronary arteries: location, degree of atherosclerosis and effect on survival: a report from the Coronary Artery Surgery Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1989;13:531–537.

4. Yamanaka O, Hobbs RE. Coronary artery anomalies in 126,595 patients undergoing coronary angiography. *Cathet Cardiovasc Diagn*. 1990;21:28–40.

5. Alexander RW, Griffith GC. Anomalies of the coronary arteries and their clinical significance. *Circulation*. 1956;14:800–805.

6. Angelini P, Villason S, Chan AV, et al. Normal and anomalous coronary arteries in humans. In: Angelini P, ed. *Coronary Artery Anomalies: A Comprehensive Approach*. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 1999:27–150.

7. Bharati S, Lev M. *The Pathology of Congenital Heart Disease*. Armonk, NY: Futura Publishing Company; 1996.

8. Blake HA, Manion WC, Mattingly TW, et al. Coronary artery anomalies. *Circulation*. 1964;30:927–934.

9. Ogden JA. Congenital anomalies of the coronary arteries. *Am J Cardiol*. 1970;25:474–479.

10. Roberts WC. Major anomalies of coronary arterial origin seen in adulthood. *Am Heart J*. 1986;111:941–963.

11. Angelini P. Functionally significant versus intriguingly different coronary artery anatomy: anatomo-clinical correlations in coronary anomalies. *G Ital Cardiol*. 1999;29:607–615.

12. Hill RB, Anderson RE. *The Autopsy: Medical Practice and Public Policy*. Newton, MA: Butterworth-Heinemann; 1988.

13. Maron B, Roberts WC. Causes and implications of sudden cardiac death in athletes. In: Akhtar M, Myerburg RJ, Ruskin JN, eds. *Sudden Cardiac Death*. Philadelphia: Williams & Wilkins; 1994:238–255.

14. Van Camp SP, Bloor CM, Mueller FO, et al. Nontraumatic sports death in high school and college athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1995;27:641–647.

15. Maron BJ, Thompson PD, Puffer JC, et al. Cardiovascular preparticipation screening of competitive athletes: a statement for health professionals from the Sudden Death Committee (Clinical Cardiology) and Congenital Cardiac Defects Committee (Cardiovascular Disease in the Young), American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 1996;94:850–856.

16. Burke AP, Farb A, Virmani R, et al. Sports-related and non-sports-related sudden cardiac death in young adults. *Am Heart J*. 1991;121:568–575.

17. Drory U, Turetz Y, Hiss Y, et al. Sudden unexpected death in persons less than 40 years of age. *Am J Cardiol*. 1991;68:1388–1392.

18. Virmani R, Burke AP, Farb A. The pathology of sudden cardiac death in athletes. In: Williams RA, ed. *The Athlete and Heart Disease*. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2000:249–272.

19. Maron BJ, Shirani J, Poliac LC, et al. Sudden death in young competitive athletes. *JAMA*. 1996;276:199–204.

20. Morales AR, Romanelli R, Tate LG, et al. Intramural left anterior descending coronary artery: significance of the depth of the muscular tunnels. *Hum Pathol*. 1993;24:693–701.

21. Warren SE, Alpert JS, Vieweg WVR, et al. Normal single coronary artery and myocardial infarction. *Chest*. 1977;72:540–543.

22. Waller BF. Exercise-related sudden death in young (age ≤30 years) and old (age ≥30 years) conditioned subjects. In: Wenger NK, ed. *Exercise and the Heart*. 2nd ed. From *Cardiovascular Clinics*, series. Philadelphia: FA Davis; 1985:9–73.

23. Roberts WC, Glick BN. Congenital hypoplasia of both right and left circumflex coronary arteries. *Am J Cardiol*. 1992;70:121–123.

24. Menke DM, Waller BF, Pless JC. Hypoplastic coronary arteries and high take-off position of the right coronary ostium. *Chest*. 1985;88:299–301.

25. Gaither NS, Rogan KM, Stajduhar K, et al. Anomalous origin and course of coronary arteries in adults: identification and improved imaging utilizing transesophageal echocardiography. *Am Heart J*. 1991;122 (1 pt 1):69–75.

26. Fernandes F, Alam M, Smith S, et al. The role of transesophageal echocardiography in identifying anomalous coronary arteries. *Circulation*. 1993;88:2532–2540.

27. Gianoccoro PJ, Sochowski RA, Morton BC, et al. Complementary role of transoesophageal echocardiography to coronary angiography in the assessment of coronary artery anomalies. *Br Heart J*. 1993;70:70–74.

28. Davis JA, Cecchin F, Jones TK, et al. Major coronary artery anomalies in a pediatric population: incidence and clinical importance. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2001;37:593–597.

29. Ropers D, Moshage W, David WG, et al. Visualization of coronary artery anomalies and their anatomic course by contrast-enhanced electron beam tomography and three-dimensional reconstruction. *Am J Cardiol*. 2001;87:193–197.

30. McConnell MV, Ganz R, Selwyn AP, et al. Identification of anomalous coronary arteries and their anatomic course by magnetic resonance coronary angiography. *Circulation*. 1995;92:3158–3162.

31. Post JC, van Rossum AC, Bronzwaer JGF, et al. Magnetic resonance angiography of anomalous coronary arteries: a new gold standard for delineating the proximal course? *Circulation*. 1995;92:3163–3171.

32. Vliegen HW, Doornbos J, de Roos A, et al. Value of fast gradient echo magnetic resonance angiography as an adjunct to coronary arteriography in detecting and confirming the course of clinically significant coronary artery anomalies. *Am J Cardiol*. 1997;79:773–776.

33. Taylor AM, Thorne SA, Rubens MB, et al. Coronary artery imaging in grown up congenital heart disease: complementary role of magnetic resonance and x-ray coronary angiography. *Circulation*. 2000;101:1670–1678.

34. Sans-Coma V, Duran AC, Fernandez B, et al. Coronary artery anomalies and bicuspid aortic valve. In: Angelini P, ed. *Coronary Artery Anomalies*. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 1999:17–27.

35. Basso C, Maron BJ, Corrado D, et al. Clinical profile of congenital coronary anomalies with origin from the wrong aortic sinus leading to sudden death in young competitive athletes. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2000;35:1493–1501.

36. Cheitlin MD. Coronary anomalies as a cause of sudden death in athletes. In: Estes NAM, Salem DN, Wang PJ, eds. *Sudden Cardiac Death in the Athlete*. Armonk, NY: Futura Publishing Company; 1998:379–391.

37. Flynn MS, Kern MJ, Aguirre FV, et al. Intramyocardial muscle bridging of the coronary artery: an examination of a diastolic "spike and dome" pattern of coronary flow velocity. *Cathet Cardiovasc Diagn*. 1994;32:36–39.

38. Schwartz ER, Klues HG, Dahl J, et al. Functional, angiographic and intracoronary Doppler flow characteristics in symptomatic patients with myocardial bridging: effect of short-term intravenous beta blocker medication. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1996;27:1637–1645.

39. Brandt B, Martins JB, Marcus ML. Anomalous origin of the right coronary artery from the left sinus of Valsalva. *N Engl J Med*. 1983;309:596–598.

40. Menke DM, Jordan MD, Aust CH, et al. Isolated and severe left main coronary atherosclerosis and thrombosis: a complication of acute angle takeoff of the left main coronary artery. *Am Heart J*. 1986;112:1319–1320.

41. Diez JD, Angelini P, Lee VV. Does the anomalous congenital origin of a coronary artery predispose to the development of stenotic atherosclerotic lesions in its proximal segment? *Circulation*. 1997;96(Suppl):I-154. Abstract.

42. Cheitlin MD, De Castro CM, McAllister HA. Sudden death as a complication of anomalous left coronary origin from the anterior sinus of Valsalva: a not-so-minor congenital anomaly. *Circulation*. 1974;50:780–787.

43. Mahowald JM, Blieden LC, Coe JI, et al. Ectopic origin of a coronary artery from the aorta: sudden death in 3 of 23 patients. *Chest*. 1986;89:668–672.

44. Virmani R, Chun PKC, Goldstein RE, et al. Acute takeoffs of the coronary arteries along the aortic wall and congenital coronary ostial valve-like ridges: association with sudden death. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1984;3:766–771.

45. Willerson JT. Coronary artery anomalies: more work is needed. In: Angelini P, ed. *Coronary Artery Anomalies*. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 1999:191–193.

Circulation

American Heart Association®

Coronary Anomalies: Incidence, Pathophysiology, and Clinical Relevance

Paolo Angelini, José Antonio Velasco and Scott Flamm

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the
World Wide Web at:

http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/105/20/2449