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Association of Heart Rate Variability With Occupational and Environmental Exposure to Particulate Air Pollution
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Background—Airborne particulate matter has been linked to excess morbidity and mortality. Recent attention has focused on the effects of particulate exposure on cardiac autonomic control. Inhaled particulates may affect the autonomic nervous system either directly, by eliciting a sympathetic stress response, or indirectly, through inflammatory cytokines produced in the lungs and released into the circulation.

Methods and Results—This longitudinal study examined the association of particulates \( \approx 2.5 \) \( \mu \)m in diameter (PM\(_{2.5}\)) with heart rate variability (HRV) in an occupational cohort (N=40). Continuous monitoring of exposure and HR was performed during and away from work. PM\(_{2.5}\) levels were higher than ambient levels typically reported in Boston, 0.167 \(\pm\) 3.205 mg/m\(^3\) (geometric mean \(\pm\) geometric SD). We found a 2.66% decrease (95% CI, -3.75% to -1.58%) in the 5-minute SD of normal RR intervals (SDNN) for every 1 mg/m\(^3\) increase in the 4-hour moving PM\(_{2.5}\) average and a 1.02% increase (95% CI, 0.59% to 1.46%) in HR after adjusting for potential confounding factors. The decrease in SDNN became larger as the averaging interval increased.

Conclusions—Workers experienced altered cardiac autonomic control after exposure to occupational and environmental PM\(_{2.5}\). There appears to be either a long-acting (several hours) and a short-acting (several minutes) component to the mechanism of action that may be related to the production of cytokines and the sympathetic stress response, respectively, or a cumulative effect that begins shortly after exposure begins. The clinical significance of these effects in a healthy working population is unclear. (Circulation. 2001;104:986-991.)
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The link between particulate air pollution and morbidity and mortality associated with cardiopulmonary disease has been reported in the literature. Recent attention has focused on the cardiac effects of particulate exposure by examining cardiac autonomic function. The main aims of this study were to examine the magnitude and time course of effect of particulates with a mean aerodynamic diameter \(\approx 2.5 \) \( \mu \)m (PM\(_{2.5}\)) on cardiac autonomic function by using time-domain heart rate variability (HRV) parameters in conjunction with several exposure measures.

The mechanisms responsible for this association remain unclear. Several hypotheses have been proposed, including disruption of the autonomic nervous system. Inhaled particulates may affect the autonomic nervous system directly, by eliciting a sympathetic stress response, or indirectly, through inflammatory cytokines produced in the lungs and released into the circulation. Controlled exposures of animals to particulates from ambient air and residual oil fly ash (ROFA), the ash that remains after the high-temperature combustion of fuel oil in a boiler, have demonstrated altered autonomic function.

Several HRV indexes have been developed to characterize cardiac autonomic function. These measurements are collected noninvasively and allow the researcher to characterize the sympathetic and parasympathetic components of the autonomic nervous system. This study used the 5-minute standard deviation of the normal-to-normal intervals (SDNN) as a measure of HRV. This measure explains all cyclic components responsible for variability within the length of the recording and is a general measure of cardiac autonomic function. Reductions in HRV have been associated with increased mortality among survivors of myocardial infarction and with increased mortality among general population cohorts.

In this study, we used air quality measurements obtained with a small, personal device worn by the study subject to investigate the association of PM\(_{2.5}\) with cardiac autonomic function. The study population consisted of a relatively young cohort of boilermaker construction workers occupationally exposed to ROFA and metal fumes. Continuous and simultaneous monitoring of PM\(_{2.5}\) concentrations and heart rhythms
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in 5-minute intervals during and away from work was performed.

Methods

Study Subjects

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Harvard School of Public Health, and written, informed consent was obtained from each subject. All testing was done in accordance with institutional guidelines. The study population consisted of 39 male boilermakers and 1 male pipe fitter. A self-administered questionnaire was used to collect information on medical history, including respiratory and cardiac systems, and the use of prescription and nonprescription medications.

Subjects were studied in 2 different work settings. Apprentice boilermakers were studied at their local welding school, whereas journeymen subjects were studied during overhaul of an oil-fired boiler. All subjects were exposed to environmental PM\textsubscript{2.5} and welding fumes, whereas journeymen were additionally exposed to ROFA.

Exposure Assessment

Continuous, personal PM\textsubscript{2.5} monitoring was conducted during each workday and most non-workdays with a TSI Inc DustTrak device. PM\textsubscript{2.5} was measured because it has been strongly associated with cardiopulmonary morbidity and mortality. These fine particles are thought to be particularly toxic because of their penetration and retention in the alveolar region. The PM\textsubscript{2.5} monitor was placed in a padded pouch, with the inlet tubing secured in the participant’s breathing zone, and attached to each worker before work began. The tubing inlet remained in their breathing zone. Non-workday monitoring, from several hours to 24 hours, was performed on 36 subjects.

Tapes were sent to Raytel Cardiac Services and analyzed by using a Delmar Avionics model Strata Scan 563. Only beats with an RR interval between 0.6 and 1.5 seconds and an RR range of 0.8 to 1.2 were included in the analysis. Trained personnel performed all analyses, and all normal and abnormal findings were either accepted or rejected on the basis of standard criteria to ensure quality control. Tapes were analyzed in the time domain, and reports summarizing heart rhythm, rate analysis, and ST segment changes were generated. The mean HR and the SDNN were calculated in standard 5-minute segments throughout the entire recording.

Statistical Analysis

Mixed-effects regression models constructed by using SAS, version 9\textsuperscript{th}, were used to investigate the association of moving PM\textsubscript{2.5} averages from 15 minutes to 9 hours, generated from the continuous 5-minute data, with HRV parameters. The log\textsubscript{10}-transformed response variables, 5-minute HR and 5-minute SDNN, were regressed on the various moving averages. The response variables were log\textsubscript{10}-transformed to improve normality and stabilize the variance. A random effect for each subject and fixed covariates such as time of day, smoking, age, urinary nicotine, and HR were included in the models.

Results

Descriptive statistics are summarized in Table 1. The study population consisted of 40 men, mostly white (93%), half of whom were current smokers. Their average age was 38.2 years (SD 12.6), and they had spent an average of 13 years (SD 13.2) as boilermakers. The mean HR was 83.3 bpm (SD 9.4).

Continuous, uninterrupted PM\textsubscript{2.5} monitoring in the workplace was difficult to achieve for every subject because of equipment constraints and the difficult working conditions: subjects often worked in confined spaces. For these reasons,
some subjects were eliminated from a given analysis when
they did not have a string of continuous 5-minute PM 2.5 data
points of sufficient length to generate a given moving
average. For instance, 7 of the original 40 subjects did not
have at least one 4-hour span of time that had complete data
for all 5-minute intervals. A 4-hour moving average could not
be calculated for them; therefore, the number of subjects with
a 4-hour moving average available for analysis dropped to 33.
Similarly, the population was further narrowed to 24 subjects
when we considered those individuals with complete 9-hour
moving-average data. The 4-hour moving average was used
as the main exposure measure in the regression analyses
because of a drop in the available population with complete
data for time periods >4 hours.

Figures 1A and 1B display examples of 5-minute SDNN plots from a typical study subject throughout the two 24-hour
monitoring periods, with the times of interest noted. Figure
1A shows the time that this individual started work (8:30 AM),
the time he stopped (2:30 PM), and his sleeping period (from
8:30 PM to 5 AM). The 5-minute SDNN is visibly depressed
throughout the workday, reaching a nadir during the work
period at 1:10 PM. Also noteworthy is the steady increase in
the 5-minute SDNN after he leaves work. The 24-hour period
depicted in Figure 1B does not include a work interval. The depression in the 5-minute SDNN during the interval 8:30 PM
to 2:30 PM did not occur when the individual was not
working.

A summary of exposure history is presented in Table 2. The arithmetic mean exposure for all subjects was 0.697 (SD
0.835) mg/m³. The mean 4-hour moving average remained at
0.697 (SD 0.665) mg/m³, whereas the arithmetic mean of the
9-hour moving average dropped to 0.159 (SD 0.241) mg/m³.
This drop was due in part to the fact that the longer moving
average was more likely to include lower nonworkplace
exposures.

Regression of the 5-minute SDNN on the previous 4-hour
moving averages adjusted for potential confounding factors
revealed negative, statistically significant associations be-
tween the 4-hour PM 2.5 moving average and the 5-minute
SDNN (Table 3). Positive, statistically significant associa-
tions were found between the 4-hour PM 2.5 moving average
and HR. Models investigating the interaction between smoking
and PM 2.5 did not detect any difference in the effect of
PM 2.5 on the 5-minute SDNN among smokers versus non-
smokers. Individual characteristics such as preexisting respi-
atory illness (chronic bronchitis), smoking status, hyperten-
sion, and worksite (apprentice school vs overhaul site) were
examined by using interaction terms with the 4-hour PM 2.5
moving average in the mixed-effects regression models.
These analyses did not reveal any statistically significant
interactions between the noted characteristics and the 4-hour
PM 2.5 moving average, indicating that these individual fea-
tures did not modify the observed associations in this study.

In addition, modification of the observed effects by tem-
perature and humidity were considered indirectly. At the
welding school, we encountered cool, low humidity environ-
ments (65°F and 20%, respectively), whereas at the power
plant, we encountered high temperatures and high humidity
(90°F and 80%, respectively). To investigate this differ-
ence, interaction terms between collection site and the expo-
sure measure were generated. These analyses revealed no
statistically significant differences in the effect of PM 2.5 on
the SDNN and HR between the 2 collection sites and, by
induction, the 2 temperature and humidity conditions.

An increase of 1 mg/m³ in the 4-hour PM 2.5 moving
average was associated with a 3.68% decrease (95% CI,
−4.85% to −2.52%) in the 5-minute SDNN, while control-
ning for the time of day, age, and urinary nicotine levels

---

**Figure 1.** Two graphs of 5-minute SDNN throughout 24-hour monitoring period from typical subject, including work interval (A) and nonwork interval (B). Note depressed 5-minute SDNN throughout work period, followed by increase after end of work shift (A). Note that depression in 5-minute SDNN during work interval does not occur when individual is not working (B).

---

**TABLE 2. Particulate Exposure Summary for All Subjects and for Those With 4-Hour and 9-Hour Moving-Average Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Population</th>
<th>4-Hour Population</th>
<th>9-Hour Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(N=40)</td>
<td>(n=33)</td>
<td>(n=24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 2.5 arithmetic mean, mg/m³</td>
<td>0.697±0.835</td>
<td>0.697±0.665</td>
<td>0.159±0.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 2.5 geometric mean, mg/m³</td>
<td>0.167±3.205</td>
<td>0.223±2.203</td>
<td>0.058±2.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM 2.5 range, mg/m³</td>
<td>0.068–3.726</td>
<td>0.197–2.714</td>
<td>0.022–1.177</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean±SD.
postshift creatinine–normalized levels on the day that they wore the monitor). The magnitude of this association decreased when the model was further adjusted for HR. With this adjustment, an increase of 1 mg/m³ in the 4-hour moving average was associated with a 2.66% decrease (95% CI, 2.37% to 2.95%) in the 5-minute SDNN after controlling for time of day, age, urinary nicotine levels, and HR. The effect estimates in those models that included a urinary marker of smoking are slightly larger compared with similar models that controlled for current smoking as a dichotomous (yes/no) variable. These 2 models were rerun after excluding the top and bottom 5% of the 4-hour PM2.5 moving-average values from the analyses to investigate the effects of removing extreme values on the regression results. Both estimates became more negative and indicated a 10.27% decrease (95% CI, 12.81% to 7.73%; model 2) and a 6.08% decrease (95% CI, 8.54% to 3.61%; model 2a) in the 5-minute SDNN.

Models regressing the 4-hour PM2.5 moving average on HR are summarized in Table 3. There was a 0.87% increase (95% CI, 0.45% to 1.28%) in the HR associated with an increase of 1 mg/m³ in the 4-hour moving average after adjusting for time of day, age, and current smoking status (yes/no). Use of the urinary marker in place of the dichotomous smoking variable in this same model increased the magnitude of the association to a 1.02% increase (95% CI, 0.59% to 1.46%). These models were rerun with the top and bottom 5% of the 4-hour PM2.5 averages removed. The magnitude of the association increased, such that a 1 mg/m³ increase in the 4-hour PM2.5 moving average was associated with a 5.01% increase (95% CI, 4.07% to 5.96%) (model 1) and a 5.02% increase (95% CI, 4.07% to 5.97%; model 2) in HR.

To examine the time course of action of the inhaled particulates, models regressing moving PM2.5 averages, from 15 minutes to 9 hours, on the 5-minute SDNN were developed. The coefficients for the various moving averages, as a percent decrease, represent the magnitude of the association of PM2.5 with the 5-minute SDNN (Figures 2A and 2B). Longer averaging intervals were not investigated because the size of the population with available data dropped substantially when moving averages >9 hours were examined. Figure 2A represents models regressing a given exposure measure on the 5-minute SDNN adjusted for time of day, age, and smoking as a dichotomous variable. Figure 2B represents those coefficients from models further adjusted for HR.

There were measurable, though not statistically significant, decreases in the 5-minute SDNN when a 15-minute averaging

| TABLE 3. 4-Hour Moving-Average PM2.5 Regression Coefficients and 95% CIs |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                 | Model I        | Model Ia       | Model 2        | Model 2a       |
| 5-min SDNN*     | −3.09†         | −2.33†         | −3.68†         | −2.66†         |
|                 | (−4.20, 1.98)  | (−3.38, −1.28) | (−4.85, −2.52) | (−3.75, −1.58) |
| 5-min HR*       | 0.87†          | N/A‡           | 1.02†          | NA             |
|                 | (0.45, 1.28)   |                | (0.59, 1.46)   |                |

N/A indicates not applicable.

*Coefficients are expressed as % change · mg⁻¹ · m⁻³.
†P < 0.0001.
Model 1 was adjusted for time, age, and smoking (yes/no). Model 1a was adjusted for time, age, smoking (yes/no), and log10 heart rate. Model 2 was adjusted for time, age, and log10 urinary nicotine. Model 2a was adjusted for time, age, log10 urinary nicotine, and log10 heart rate.
interval was used. With a 1-hour averaging interval, a statistically significant decrease of 2.76% (95% CI, −4.73% to −0.8%) was observed without adjusting for HR (Figure 2A). After adjusting for HR, a statistically significant decrease of 2.45% (95% CI, −4.68% to −0.23%) was first observed when the 2-hour moving average was used. The magnitude of the negative effect steadily increased for both models, with and without adjustment for HR, until 6 hours, when a plateau appeared. The largest decrease in the 5-minute SDNN, 13.01% (95% CI, −16.44% to −9.58%), was observed with the 9-hour moving PM$_{2.5}$ average shown in Figure 2A, whereas the largest decrease, 9.30% (95% CI, −12.32% to −6.27%), was observed with the 7-hour moving PM$_{2.5}$ average shown in Figure 2B.

**Discussion**

This study is distinguished from previous work in several ways. It is the first study of which we are aware to examine the effects of PM$_{2.5}$ on a younger population, to incorporate continuous personal monitoring of PM$_{2.5}$, and to examine occupational exposure to PM$_{2.5}$. It is important to note that the particulate exposure investigated during the workday is the dominant air pollutant. Low levels of other air pollutants such as O$_3$, NO$_2$, and SO$_2$ have been found previously in this occupational setting and are not correlated with particulate concentrations. Without this correlation, other pollutants are unlikely to confound the relationship between PM$_{2.5}$ and cardiovascular effects, another advantage of this study.

The association of environmental levels of PM$_{2.5}$ with various HRV parameters in the elderly has been reported. Given the differences in the composition and range of exposures studied, the effects of PM$_{2.5}$ on both HR and the 5-minute SDNN in this young, working cohort are most comparable to those obtained by Gold et al$^2$ in their study of 21 active Boston residents, 53 to 87 years old. These authors reported an 18.8-ms decrease in the 5-minute SDNN, 13.01% (95% CI, −16.44% to −9.58%), was observed with the 9-hour moving PM$_{2.5}$ average shown in Figure 2A, whereas the largest decrease, 9.30% (95% CI, −12.32% to −6.27%), was observed with the 7-hour moving PM$_{2.5}$ average shown in Figure 2B.

**Limitations**

We were unable to measure respiratory rate in this occupational setting. Respiration modulates autonomic activity and has been shown to have significant effects on both low- and high-frequency power spectra. Partial control for respiration was achieved by including the corresponding 5-minute HR in the models.

Although there was little opportunity for confounding of the relationship between PM$_{2.5}$ and HRV during the workday by other environmental copollutants, there is a small possibility that this type of confounding does exist during non-workday monitoring. Our use of personal rather than ambient PM$_{2.5}$ exposures during workdays may not have been sufficient in this study to fully examine these interactions, and they should be given consideration in future studies.

Last, although a range of PM$_{2.5}$ exposure intensities was examined in this study, they were, on average, higher than those to which the general public is exposed daily. In addition, PM$_{2.5}$ exposures experienced during work may not be representative of the composition of ambient PM$_{2.5}$ to which the general public is exposed. Ambient PM$_{2.5}$ is derived primarily from the combustion of fossil fuels and will contain ROFA, albeit a small fraction. Welding fumes and ROFA do, however, contain sulfates and other metals commonly found in ambient PM$_{2.5}$.
The finding of detectable disturbances in autonomic function in this young, otherwise healthy cohort is concerning. Further research is needed to elucidate the clinical significance of HRV changes in young, healthy individuals who are exposed to particulate air pollution.
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