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Background—The intrauterine environment may be a critical period for the development of hypertension in later life. In the present study, we applied the twin approach to estimate the contribution of genetic and environmental causes that may underlie the birth weight–adult blood pressure association.

Methods and Results—Birth weights of 418 twin pairs were obtained prospectively, and resting and 24-hour ambulatory blood pressures were obtained at the age of 18 to 34 years. In women, resting systolic blood pressure decreased 4.27 mm Hg (P=0.001) and diastolic pressure decreased 2.18 mm Hg (P=0.02) per kilogram increase in birth weight. Similar associations were found for ambulatory measurements, although these were somewhat less pronounced. Pair-wise analysis confirmed these findings: twin pairs of whom both members had a low birth weight (<2500 g) had a higher systolic blood pressure compared with twins who both had a high birth weight (≥2500 g). Systolic blood pressure of the lightest of a low-birth-weight pair was 4.7 mm Hg (P=0.02) higher and of the heaviest was 2.4 mm Hg higher (P=0.2) than similar measurements in high-birth-weight pairs. Intrapair differences in blood pressure between the lightest and the heaviest at birth were only present in low-birth-weight pairs. The results were similar for monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs. In men, no associations were found between birth weight and adult blood pressure.

Conclusions—These findings suggest that prenatal programming of adult blood pressure occurs at least in female twins. We suggest that particularly maternal influences, experienced by both twin members, may underlie the association between birth weight and blood pressure. The fetoplacental unit seems to influence blood pressure only when both fetuses had low birth weight. (Circulation. 2001;104:1633-1638.)
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The relationship between low birth weight and elevated blood pressure (BP) in adult life, which was first shown by Barker et al and Law et al 1,2 about a decade ago, has been confirmed by an extensive series of epidemiological investigations.3 More recently, the fetal origins of BP have also been studied in twins.4–7 Twins offer a unique opportunity to distinguish between individual fetoplacental, maternal, and genetic influences. Twin members share the same maternal environment, and monozygotic twins are genetically identical. By comparing the heavier and the lighter twin member within a pair, the influence of birth weight can be estimated while potential confounding maternal and genetic characteristics are controlled for. Thus far, the results of these studies have been inconclusive,4 and there were several shortcomings (eg, zygosity was inaccurately determined6,7 and birth weights were self-reported).5,6 As in most singleton studies, only resting BP was measured, although 24-hour ambulatory registration is considered more representative of a subject’s usual or true BP.8

In the present study, we examined the association between birth weight and adult resting and ambulatory BP in young adult twins. Twin data were obtained from the East Flanders Prospective Twin Survey, which is a population-based register known for its prospectively collected perinatal data and accurate zygosity determination.9

Methods

Participants
The study sample consisted of 418 twin pairs between 18 and 34 years of age (Figure 1) who were randomly selected from the East Flanders Prospective Twin Survey.9 This population-based survey has prospectively registered all twins born in the Belgian Province of East Flanders since 1964. Perinatal data were collected at birth, and placental examination was performed within 24 hours after delivery. Zygosity was determined through sequential analysis based on sex, fetal membranes, umbilical cord blood groups, placental alkaline phosphatase, and DNA fingerprints. Between July 1964 and May 1982, the Twin Survey had registered 2141 twin pairs who met the World Health Organization criteria for liveborn infants (birth weight...
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Figure 1. Flowchart illustrating the allocation of subjects. MZ indicates monozygotic; DZ, dizygotic.

At least 500 g or gestational age ≥22 weeks, if birth weight unknown. Pairs of whom one or both members were stillborn, died in neonatal or later life, or suffered from major congenital malformation were excluded. We randomly contacted 803 pairs using an envelope system (randomly drawing identification numbers out of an envelope). To assure equally distributed groups, we stratified for birth weight. The regression line was constrained to pass through the origin of the lightest and heaviest twin member. This resulted in 6 groups (ie, the lightest and heaviest of LL pairs, the lightest and heaviest of HH pairs, the lightest and heaviest of LH pairs, and the heaviest and heaviest of HL pairs). ANOVA was used to compare the BP characteristics of the different birth weight groups (ie, the lightest and heaviest twins were considered separately). A paired t test was used to compare the heaviest and lightest within a pair.

Pairwise analyses were restricted to same-sex pairs of whom both members had participated. Data analyses were performed using SAS version 6.12 (SAS Institute Inc, 1997). All tests were 2-sided; P<0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Perinatal, anthropometric, and BP characteristics are shown in Table 1. Perinatal and maternal characteristics of the participants are representative for the East Flanders twin population and were not significantly different from subjects that were excluded.

Birth Weight and BP

In women, birth weight was negatively associated with BP. As shown in Table 2, this was more pronounced for resting than for ambulatory measurements, more for systolic than for diastolic BP, and more for daytime than for nighttime BP. The relationships remained significant for resting and daytime systolic BP after adjustment for adult body mass and gestational and adult age (Table 2). The independent contribution of birth weight to the variance of BP did not exceed 3.4% for systolic BP and 1.5% for diastolic BP. Similar results were obtained when body mass index was used for adjustment. In men, birth weight did not show any significant relationship with BP.
**TABLE 1. Data at Birth and Adulthood in Men and Women**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men (n=379)</th>
<th>Women (n=389)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Birth</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight, g</td>
<td>2594±481</td>
<td>2489±481</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age, wk</td>
<td>37.2±2.4</td>
<td>37.3±2.4</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adulthood</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>26.2±4.8</td>
<td>25.9±4.8</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass, kg</td>
<td>71.0±10.7</td>
<td>60.6±10.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>178.3±6.4</td>
<td>165.6±6.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>22.3±3.1</td>
<td>22.1±3.6</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resting BP, mm Hg</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic</td>
<td>129.7±10.9</td>
<td>119.7±11.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic†</td>
<td>70.1±9.9</td>
<td>65.7±8.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ambulatory BP, mm Hg</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic</td>
<td>124.4±8.3</td>
<td>119.1±8.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic</td>
<td>72.7±7.3</td>
<td>74.0±7.0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nighttime§</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic</td>
<td>109.3±8.8</td>
<td>105.0±8.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic</td>
<td>59.0±6.8</td>
<td>58.4±6.8</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean±SD. P values were determined by t test for difference between men and women. Data are missing for: *1 woman; †1 man and 1 woman; ‡19 men and 24 women; §27 men and 42 women.

**Intrapair Birth Weight Difference Versus Intrapair Difference in BP**

Table 3 shows that there was no significant relationship between the intrapair birth weight difference and the intrapair difference in adult BP (ie, BP of the heavier and lighter twin at birth were similar, regardless of their intrapair birth weight difference). This was true for monozygotic and dizygotic twins and for both sexes.

**Concordant Heavy Twins Versus Concordant Light Twins**

Subsequently, pairs were compared according to the concordance for low or high birth weight. There were 111 LL pairs, 70 LH pairs, and 130 HH pairs. In women, LL twins both had a higher BP compared with the other birth weight groups (LH, HH). This tendency was most apparent for resting measurements. Figure 2 shows the results after controlling for adult age and body mass.

Systolic BP of the lightest of a LL pair was ≥4.7 mm Hg higher (P=0.02) that that of lightest of the LH and HH pairs. For the heavier sibling in a LL pair, this difference was less and did not reach significance (≥2.4 mm Hg; P=0.2; Figure 2A). Diastolic BP of both members of a LL pair was significantly higher than that of the heaviest twin of LH and HH pairs (≥3.5 mm Hg; P<0.05) but not significantly higher than that of the leanest twin of LH and HH pairs (≥1.95 mm Hg; P=0.2; Figure 2B). Although the intrapair birth weight difference between the lighter and heavier of an LL pair was small, the intrapair difference for systolic BP was significant (2.1 mm Hg; P=0.02). Similar results were found when monozygotic and dizygotic twins were considered separately, but the differences did not reach statistical significance due to small sample sizes.

In men, no differences in BP were found according the concordance for low or high birth weight.

**Discussion**

Our findings support the hypothesis that low birth weight, as marker of the intrauterine environment, is associated with an increased adult BP, at least in female twins. When both twin members had a low birth weight, they both had a higher BP compared with pairs in whom both members had a high birth weight. This indicates that the inverse association between birth weight and BP may be mediated through maternal environmental or genetic factors that influence both members of a pair. The fact that the results were similar in monozygotic

**TABLE 2. Slopes of Relationships of Systolic and Diastolic BP With Birth Weight in Men and Women**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men Unadjusted</th>
<th></th>
<th>Men Adjusted*</th>
<th></th>
<th>Women Unadjusted</th>
<th></th>
<th>Women Adjusted*</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slope P</td>
<td>Slope P</td>
<td>Slope P</td>
<td></td>
<td>Slope P</td>
<td></td>
<td>Slope P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resting BP, mm Hg</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic</td>
<td>0.46 0.70</td>
<td>-0.89 0.55</td>
<td>-4.27 &lt;0.001</td>
<td>-4.20 -0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic</td>
<td>0.90 0.39</td>
<td>-0.80 0.56</td>
<td>-2.18 0.02</td>
<td>-1.23 0.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ambulatory BP, mm Hg</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daytime‡</td>
<td>1.18 0.23</td>
<td>-0.89 0.48</td>
<td>-2.78 &lt;0.01</td>
<td>-3.01 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic</td>
<td>0.91 0.25</td>
<td>-0.40 0.71</td>
<td>-1.74 0.02</td>
<td>-1.58 0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nighttime§</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic</td>
<td>0.02 0.99</td>
<td>-0.90 0.49</td>
<td>-2.39 0.02</td>
<td>-2.33 0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic</td>
<td>0.04 0.95</td>
<td>-0.27 0.78</td>
<td>-1.09 0.15</td>
<td>-1.19 0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted for gestational age, adult body mass, and age, excluding 6 subjects with adult body mass ≥100 kg.

Missing subjects from each group are given in Table 1.
and dizygotic twins suggests that maternal factors are more important than genetic influences. Only when both members had a low birth weight did the intrapair comparison between the lightest at birth and the heavier sibling show a lower BP in favor of the heavier, suggesting the influence of the fetoplacental environment that was unique to each fetus. No associations were found in men. In addition, the relationships in women were weak: the contribution of birth weight to the variance of adult BP was 3.4% at the most. The significance for cardiovascular risk is therefore limited, particularly in view of the weaker association with ambulatory BP, which was not even significant for night-time pressure.

Birth Weight and Adult BP
Several studies have reported an inverse association between birth weight and adult BP. Although the majority of studies have reported significant relationships only after adjustment for current body size, we found that in women, this inverse association was significant whether or not the influence of adult body mass was taken into account. Furthermore, in agreement with other twin studies, the slope of the association was steeper than that observed in singletons. This difference between twins and singletons may be due to the marked deceleration of twin fetal growth during the last trimester of pregnancy. A limited capacity in the maternal/placental supply line of nutrients has been postulated to underlie the fetal growth retardation, rather than “crowding in the uterus.”

Consistent with the results for resting BP, we found a negative association between birth weight and ambulatory BP in women. The main benefits of ambulatory BP monitoring are the large number of measurements during 24 hours, the fact that it is not influenced by the presence of an investigator, and it is more likely to represent the subjects’ usual or true BP. Only Lurbe et al have used ambulatory monitoring in the present context, and in line with our results, they found a stronger inverse relationship for daytime than for nighttime BP with birth weight and for systolic than for diastolic BP.

The Twin Model and Prenatal Programming
An innovative feature of the current study is the use of twins to test the prenatal programming hypothesis. Twins...
share the same maternal environment, and monozygotic twins are genetically identical. Nevertheless, each fetus has its own fetoplacental environment, as reflected by its birth weight, that may differ substantially between twin members. By comparing the BP of the heaviest twin at birth with that of the lighter sibling, potential confounding maternal and genetic characteristics can be controlled. So far, only 4 studies have applied this twin approach for BP,

4–7 but their results have not been consistent. Dwyer et al

8 found no differences in BP between both twin members in relation to birth weight, which suggests that both twins may be influenced by genetic or maternal characteristics. These analyses

9 were restricted to comparing the BP of the heaviest twin with the lighter sibling.

However, fetal programming may not only result from an adverse fetoplacental unit, which is unique to each fetus, but also from an adverse maternal environment, which influences both fetuses. Therefore, we compared the BP of twin pairs in whom both members had a low birth weight (<2500 g), reflecting an adverse maternal environment, with that of pairs in whom one or both members had a relatively high birth weight (≥2500 g). Female twin pairs concordant for low birth weight both had a higher BP compared with pairs who had at least one member weighing ≥2500 g. This was only found in women but was true for monozygotic and dizygotic twins. Our data suggest that maternal environmental factors may influence the causal pathway between birth weight and adult BP. More than in singleton pregnancies, maternal constraint may influence fetal growth in twins. A limitation in the maternal supply of nutrients will affect the intrauterine growth of both members of a pair, which in turn may result in an increased BP later in life. This ties in with the findings of human

17–19 and animal

20–22 studies that investigated the influence of maternal characteristics, such as overexposure to maternal glucocorticoids and maternal protein restriction, on birth weight.

In addition, the fetoplacental unit seems to affect adult BP when both twins have a lower birth weight.

Influence of Sex

Inverse relationships between birth weight and adult BP have been reported in men and in women. In agreement with others,

1,13,23,24 we found an association in women, but not in men. The rate of fetal growth differs between sexes (ie, girls grow slowly in the first part of pregnancy but show a more pronounced acceleration in the third trimester compared with boys).

27 In twins, growth retardation occurs mainly in the last trimester. Therefore, we speculate that the female fetus may be more vulnerable to an adverse prenatal environment than the male fetus. Nevertheless, more research is required to confirm these sex differences, because others

28,29 found an inverse association in men but not in women. This inconsistency in findings with regard to sex may be due to the fact that, although significant, the relationship between birth weight and adult BP is small.

In conclusion, our data confirm that reduced fetal growth is related to raised BP, at least in female twins. We suggest that maternal influences experienced by both twin members may underlie the prenatal programming of BP, rather than disturbances within the fetoplacental unit of each fetus. The latter may, however, contribute in pairs that were concordant for low birth weight.
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