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Background—Raised triglyceride-rich lipoproteins significantly increase the risk for cardiovascular disease. Variation in the activity of the enzyme lipoprotein lipase (LPL), which is crucial in the removal of these lipoproteins, may therefore modulate this risk.

Methods and Results—Postheparin levels of LPL activity and mass were measured in a large cohort of male coronary artery disease patients participating in the Regression Growth Evaluation Statin Study (REGRESS), a lipid-lowering regression trial. In addition, the relationships between LPL activity and mass and severity of angina pectoris according to the NYHA classification and silent ischemia on 24-hour ambulatory ECG monitoring were assessed. Patients in different LPL activity quartiles and mass had different severities of angina; a total of 47% of patients in the lowest LPL quartile reported class III or IV angina. In contrast, only 29% in the highest activity quartile (P=0.002) had severe angina. These parameters were supported by ambulatory ECG results, for which the total ischemic burden in the lowest LPL activity quartile was 36.5±104.1 mm³ min compared with 14.8±38.8 mm³ min in the highest quartile of LPL activity (P=0.001). LPL activity levels were strongly correlated with LPL mass (r=0.70, P<0.0001). A significant association between the LPL protein mass and NYHA class (P=0.012) was also demonstrated.

Conclusions—We have demonstrated a significant relationship between LPL mass and activity and severity of ischemia as defined by angina class and ambulatory ECG. These results suggest that LPL influences risk for coronary artery disease by both catalytic and noncatalytic mechanisms. (Circulation. 2000;102:1629-1633.)

Key Words: angina ▪ ischemia ▪ lipoproteins

Plasma triglyceride (TG) levels, as a marker for TG-rich lipoproteins, are now considered an established risk factor for coronary artery disease (CAD) independent of other lipoproteins.1,2 Moreover, recent evidence suggests that elevated levels of these lipoproteins in the fasting or postprandial state promote the development of those atherosclerotic plaques with lipid-rich cores that are particularly vulnerable to rupture.3
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Because the new paradigm of CAD dictates that clinical prognosis is not determined by the extent of a single stenosis but rather by the biological composition of the plaque, TG-rich lipoproteins are increasingly considered important contributors to outcome.4 Thus, both LDL particles and TG-rich lipoproteins may contribute, in different ways, to the cascade of events resulting in the development of atherosclerotic plaques and ultimately CAD.

The initial event in atherogenesis is alteration of endothelial function. It is noteworthy that dyslipidemia per se has direct deleterious effects on endothelial cells, at least in part by reducing the bioavailability of nitric oxide (NO).5 Even very modest elevations of LDL cholesterol (LDL-C) are associated with endothelial dysfunction, which in turn has now been demonstrated to lead to myocardial perfusion defects and ischemia.6,7

Transient hypertriglyceridemia can also decrease endothelial-dependent vascular reactivity, suggesting a prominent role for TG-rich lipoproteins in this process.8 These earlier observations are now supported by 2 recent studies that document impaired endothelial-dependent vasomotor responses in the coronary vasculature, again elicited by TG-rich...
remnant lipoproteins.1,10 Even a single high-fat meal, as a source of postprandial TG-rich lipoproteins, can transiently impair endothelial function, induce red cell aggregation, and promote disturbances in coagulation and fibrinolysis.11,12

Therefore, elevated TG-rich lipoprotein levels not only may promote a more rapid progression of atherosclerosis but also could lead directly to myocardial ischemia, particularly in subjects with the high-TG/low-HDL trait, which is frequently present in CAD patients and is an important marker for TG-rich lipoproteins.13

Lipoprotein lipase (LPL) is the crucial enzyme in the metabolism of these TG-rich lipoproteins. It is synthesized in parenchymal cells of adipose tissue and skeletal and cardiac muscle, where it is transferred to binding sites at the vascular side of endothelial cells on capillaries and epicardial vessels in the case of myocardium.14

We previously demonstrated that low levels of LPL activity, as encountered in patients with partial LPL deficiency, are associated with premature atherosclerosis and accelerated progression of atherogenesis.15,16 In contrast, patients with genetically elevated levels of LPL activity, such as carriers of the LPL S447X truncation variant, exhibit lower TG levels, higher HDL-C, and CAD risk, and their frequency is increased among centenarians.17–19

Because low levels of LPL activity are strongly associated with the high-TG–low-HDL-C trait, we examined whether low LPL enzyme activity was related to symptoms of CAD by assessment of LPL activity and subjective and objective measures of myocardial ischemia in a large cohort of men who participated in a lipid-lowering regression trial (the Regression Growth Evaluation Statin Study, REGRESS).20

To further define this possible interaction and determine its independence from TG-rich lipoproteins, we also assessed the concentration of the LPL protein in a subset of these patients.

Methods

Patients

A total of 884 men taking part in REGRESS were eligible for this study. REGRESS, described in detail elsewhere, was designed as a double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter study to assess the effect of pravastatin treatment on the progression and regression of coronary atherosclerosis.20 All patients were men of Caucasian descent, were <70 years of age, and had angiographically documented CAD (>50% stenosis of 1 major vessel). Patients who had unstable angina or who suffered a myocardial infarction within the preceding 6 months of the study were excluded; angina pectoris classification was based on the Rose questionnaire. All patients had total cholesterol levels between 4 and 8 mmol/L and TG levels <4 mmol/L.

Lipid and Lipoprotein Analysis

All lipid laboratory tests were carried out at the Lipid Reference Laboratory, as published previously.20 The Lipid Reference Laboratory is an international member of the USA National Cholesterol Reference Method Laboratory Network, chaired by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Atlanta, Ga). Serum cholesterol, HDL-C, and TG were measured on fasting blood samples by standard techniques at all visits. LDL-C was calculated according to the Friedewald formula.

LPL Activity and Mass

According to a standardized protocol, patients received an intravenous bolus of 60 IU heparin per 1 kg body weight. After the patient rested in a supine position for 15 minutes, 20 mL of EDTA blood was withdrawn from the contralateral arm and placed on ice. Tubes were then spun down in a cooled centrifuge for 15 minutes at 3000g. Cells and plasma were separated, and plasma was divided into Biofreeze tubes, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C until activity and mass measurements were performed.

Postheparin LPL Activity

LPL activity was measured with a radiolabeled triolein emulsion according to the method of Nilsson-Ehle and Schotz.21 One unit of enzyme activity corresponds to the amount required to release 1 mmol FFA/min at 37°C.

Postheparin LPL Mass

LPL immunoreactive protein was assayed by ELISA based on 2 antibodies (Ab), the monoclonal antibody (mAb) 5D2 raised against purified bovine milk LPL (a generous gift from Dr John Brunzell, University of Washington, Seattle) and a chicken antibody raised against the C-terminal end of the human LPL peptide. The 5D2 mAb conjugated with horseradish-peroxidase served as the detection Ab in a sandwich ELISA to assess LPL immunoreactive mass, with the chicken Ab serving as the capture Ab. This sandwich ELISA was verified in this laboratory and found to recognize both human and cat LPL immunoreactive mass.

Quantitative Coronary Angiography

Quantitative coronary angiography procedures are described in detail elsewhere.20 Briefly, baseline coronary cinearteriography was performed 5 to 10 minutes after administration of 5 to 10 mg isorbidinedinitrate sublingually and analyzed by quantitative coronary angiography with the Cardiovascular Measurement System (CMS-MEDIS, Medical Imaging System). The coronary tree was divided into 13 segments according to the American Heart Association classification, excluding the posterolateral branches. Minimum obstruction diameter, mean segment diameter, and percent diameter stenosis were calculated for each qualifying segment. To calculate an average per patient, the minimum obstruction diameter, mean segment diameter, and percent diameter stenosis of all qualifying segments were added and divided by the number of contributing segments.

Ambulatory ECG Monitoring

In the participating centers, the leads of the ECG recorders were attached in a standardized manner. Tapes were analyzed in the ambulatory ECG core laboratory (University Hospital Groningen) by experienced technicians. At each ischemic episode, heart rate at onset was defined as the product of ischemic duration in minutes \( \times 60 \) seconds and \( \times 0.1 \text{ mV} \) horizontal or downsloping ST-segment depression, 80 ms after the J point, lasting for \( \geq 60 \) seconds and separated by \( \geq 60 \) seconds from the next ischemic episode. Ischemic burden was defined as the product of ischemic duration in minutes multiplied by ST-segment depression in millimeters. Ambulatory ECG recordings of poor technical quality were rejected, and recording periods in which the ST segment was changed because of a change in body position (during sleep) were not included in the study.
Statistical Analysis
A baseline LPL measurement was available in 731 REGRESS patients; mass measurements were performed in a randomly selected subset of 405 patients. The association between LPL activity and baseline patient characteristics was assessed with ANOVA and univariate and multiple regression analyses. In the assessment of these relations, lipids baseline levels were always adjusted to account for regression to the mean. Throughout, a value of \( P < 0.05 \) was considered to indicate significance.

Results

LPL Activity Measurements
LPL activity was available at baseline in 731 patients with mean levels of 107.0 \( \pm 46 \) mU/mL. CAD patients were divided into LPL activity groups: the first quartile (LPL activity, 13 to 77 mU/mL) contained 191 patients, the second and third quartile (LPL activity, 77 to 132 mU/mL) contained 361 patients, and the fourth quartile (LPL activity, 132 to 293 mU/mL) contained 179 patients. The quartiles were originally defined as 77, 103, and 132 mU/mL; however, 12 patients had an exact LPL activity measurement of 77, and 7 patients had an exact value of 132. We decided to include those in the first and fourth quartiles, respectively, which resulted in the observed uneven distribution. However, when patients at an LPL activity value of 77 mU/mL were included in the second quartile and patients at 132 mU/mL were added to the third quartile, results did not change appreciably, and statistical significance (\( P = 0.02 \) for the difference) remained intact.

Distribution of CAD risk factors and medication did not differ among LPL quartiles. No differences were found for age, body mass index, systolic and diastolic blood pressures, left ventricular ejection fraction, smoking, insulin, glucose, or fibrinogen; moreover, the frequency of treatment with pravastatin, long-acting nitrates, \( \beta \)-blocking agents, calcium channel blockers, and ACE inhibitors was also similar (data not shown). \( \beta \)-Blockers, calcium antagonists, and long-acting nitrates were used in 72%, 59%, and 56% of the population, respectively. CAD patients in the lowest LPL activity quartile displayed increased TG (0.58 \( \pm 0.43 \) versus 0.35 \( \pm 0.42 \) mmol/L; log-transformed \( P < 0.0001 \)) and decreased HDL-C (0.86 \( \pm 0.26 \) versus 1.02 \( \pm 0.23 \) mmol/L; \( P < 0.001 \)). Levels of total cholesterol, HDL-C, LDL-C, and TG differed significantly between LPL activity quartiles, as can be deduced from Table 1.

Neither extent of CAD nor baseline angiographic measurements differed between LPL activity quartiles (Table 2). However, NYHA classification for angina pectoris was significantly different between LPL quartiles. NYHA angina class could be scored in 726 of 731 patients in whom LPL activity measurements were available: 79 in class I, 363 in class II, 237 in class III, and 47 in class IV.

Table 1. Lipid and Lipoprotein Levels According to LPL Activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LPL(&lt;77) mU/mL</th>
<th>77(&lt;)LPL(&lt;103) mU/mL</th>
<th>103&lt;(&lt;)LPL(&lt;132) mU/mL</th>
<th>LPL(&gt;132) mU/mL</th>
<th>(P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TC, mmol/L</td>
<td>5.96 (0.85)</td>
<td>5.97 (0.84)</td>
<td>6.12 (0.87)</td>
<td>6.15 (0.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL, mmol/L</td>
<td>0.88 (0.26)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.21)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.23)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL, mmol/L</td>
<td>4.21 (0.80)</td>
<td>4.23 (0.74)</td>
<td>4.37 (0.76)</td>
<td>4.43 (0.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG, mmol/L</td>
<td>1.94 (0.71)</td>
<td>1.88 (0.82)</td>
<td>1.75 (0.71)</td>
<td>1.55 (0.62)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD). TC indicates total cholesterol.

Table 2. CAD Parameters According to LPL Activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent of CAD, n (%)</th>
<th>LPL(&lt;77) mU/mL (n=191)</th>
<th>77&lt;(&lt;)LPL(&lt;132) mU/mL (n=361)</th>
<th>LPL(&gt;132) mU/mL (n=179)</th>
<th>(P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 vessel</td>
<td>69 (43)</td>
<td>125 (39)</td>
<td>76 (47)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 vessel</td>
<td>49 (30)</td>
<td>119 (37)</td>
<td>49 (30)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 vessel</td>
<td>44 (27)</td>
<td>73 (24)</td>
<td>36 (22)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina class, n (%)</td>
<td>22 (12)</td>
<td>32 (9)</td>
<td>25 (14)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>79 (42)</td>
<td>180 (50)</td>
<td>104 (68)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>66 (35)</td>
<td>123 (34)</td>
<td>48 (27)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>22 (12)</td>
<td>23 (9)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiography,* mm</td>
<td>2.75 (0.39)</td>
<td>2.75 (0.37)</td>
<td>2.72 (0.39)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSD</td>
<td>1.76 (0.33)</td>
<td>1.77 (0.37)</td>
<td>1.75 (0.36)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemia*</td>
<td>Duration, min</td>
<td>16.2 (43.4)</td>
<td>7.2 (21.5)</td>
<td>8.3 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episodes, n</td>
<td>2.6 (6.5)</td>
<td>1.3 (3.6)</td>
<td>1.3 (2.8)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burden, min×n</td>
<td>36.5 (104.1)</td>
<td>12.3 (37.6)</td>
<td>14.8 (38.8)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MSD indicates mean segment diameter; MOD, mean obstruction diameter.
*Values are mean (SD).
There was a highly significant difference in mean LPL activity level in patients in NYHA classes I through IV; mean ± SD LPL activity levels were 117 ± 47, 114 ± 47, 102 ± 43, and 83 ± 29 mU/mL in each angina class, respectively (P < 0.0001; the Figure). After adjustment for angiographic and lipid parameters, risk factors, and history of CAD, mean ± SE levels were 117 ± 6.4, 114 ± 2.8, 104 ± 3.4, and 87 ± 7.9 mU/mL, respectively (P = 0.002). Patients in the highest NYHA class were more often treated with antianginal medication and were subjected more often to revascularization (CABG or PTCA). Even after adjustment for these factors, the differences in LPL activity between NYHA angina classes remained significant (P = 0.013). LPL activity levels, however, were not predictive of progression of disease in terms of change in mean segment diameter or minimum obstruction diameter or cardiovascular events in either placebo- or pravastatin-treated groups (data not shown).

Of patients in the lowest LPL activity quartile, 47% reported angina in class III or IV; in contrast, only 29% in the highest LPL activity quartile had similar NYHA classification (P < 0.001). Angina class could be predicted by LPL activity in 67% of patients. Subsequent analysis of 48-hour ambulatory ECG monitoring confirmed subjective categorization and revealed a significant increase in both the number of ischemic events (22.2 minutes versus 8.3 [38.8] minutes) and decrease endothelium-dependent vasodilatation.8–12,28,29 Very recently, hypertriglyceridemia has also been shown to lead to altered vasodilatation in the myocardial circulation, even in anatomically normal coronary arteries.30

Discussion

Heparin releases LPL from its endothelial binding sites into the circulation and postheparin LPL activity reflects total LPL mass at the vascular endothelium of different tissues, including adipose tissue and skeletal and cardiac muscle.23,24 Postheparin LPL activity in this group of CAD patients was not related to CAD risk factors or physical characteristics but exhibited significant correlations with plasma TG levels and myocardial ischemia.25,26

It should be noted, however, that diabetic patients were excluded from REGRESS, which might explain the lack of association between body mass index, glucose, insulin, and LPL activity. Therefore, our results should be interpreted with caution and cannot be generalized to a diabetic population with definite CAD. Nevertheless, this is the first report examining the relation between LPL activity and mass, angina, and the severity of ischemia on ambulatory ECG monitoring. All ambulatory ECG recordings were performed during conventional anti-ischemic treatment, and mean heart rates at onset of ischemia were low. This type of ischemia is considered to represent abnormal coronary vasomotion caused by endothelial dysfunction.

Because LPL represents the rate-limiting step for the removal of TG-rich lipoproteins from the circulation, the strong association in our cohort between low LPL activity and the high-TG–low-HDL trait is in line with our earlier studies in LPL-deficient heterozygotes.14,25,26 Fasting TG levels are an independent risk factor for CAD, and a reduction in these lipids may result in direct benefit with regards to coronary disease incidence.1–3,27 In addition, TG-rich lipoproteins preferentially promote the growth of unstable plaques and decrease endothelium-dependent vasodilation.8–12,28,29 Low LPL activity is an important determinant of both fasting and postprandial hypertriglyceridemia. This may directly lead to impaired endothelium-dependent vasodilatation and result in functional changes in myocardial perfusion and ultimately ischemia.31,32

Alternatively, LPL activity may have a direct effect on the vessel wall. Both the fact that LPL protein mass exhibits a similar relationship with ischemia as LPL activity and the absence of a relationship between angina and the TG-to-HDL ratio support a direct role for LPL in the vasculature. The LPL protein may influence vascular tone by affecting the synthesis or degradation of endothelium-derived relaxing factors such as NO. Endothelium-dependent vascular relaxation is abnormal in the setting of atherosclerosis, with a smaller proportion of endothelial cells producing NO synthase, the key enzyme in basal endothelial cell NO production.33

LPL increases NO synthase production in macrophages, which leads to increased NO synthesis in vitro. LPL may well have a similar function in vivo in both macrophages and 

(P = 0.02; the Figure). Within LPL quartile groups, the TG-to-HDL ratio was not related to angina class. In the lowest quartile, the correlation was zero; in the highest class, it was 0.12. For all, P > 0.10.
endothelial cells and may therefore have an important influence on vascular tone.34–35

In conclusion, our data show that low levels of LPL activity and mass in plasma are strongly associated with silent ischemia and angina pectoris in CAD patients, and it is conceivable that the clinical presentation in these patients may be partly influenced by LPL activity and protein mass at the endothelium of the coronary vasculature. These data extend the importance of the LPL protein for the coronary circulation in terms of both energy supply for the myocardium and modulation of vascular tone.

Compounds that increase LPL activity are currently in development and may have pleiotropic effects beyond their effects on lipoprotein metabolism, for which they were originally developed.36–38
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