Catheter Ablation of Paroxysmal Atrial Fibrillation Using a 3D Mapping System
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**Background**—We treated paroxysmal recurrent atrial fibrillation (AF) with radiofrequency (RF) catheter ablation by creating long linear lesions in the atria. To achieve line continuity, a 3D electroanatomic nonfluoroscopic mapping system was used.

**Methods and Results**—In 27 patients with recurrent AF, a catheter incorporating a passive magnetic field sensor was navigated in both atria to construct a 3D activation map. RF energy was delivered to create continuous linear lesions: 3 lines (intercaval, isthmic, and anteroseptal) in the right atrium and a long line encircling the pulmonary veins in the left atrium. After RF application, the atria were remapped to validate completeness of the block lines, demonstrated by late activation of the areas circumscribed by the lines. The mean procedure duration was 312±103 minutes (range, 187 to 495), with mean fluoroscopy time of 107±44 minutes (range, 32 to 185 minutes). No acute complications occurred, but 1 patient experienced early prolonged sinus pauses and received a pacemaker. During the first day, 17 patients (63%) had AF episodes, but at discharge, 25 patients were in sinus rhythm. After a follow-up of 6.0 to 15.3 months (average, 10.5±3.0 months), 16 patients are asymptomatic, 3 have an almost complete disappearance of symptoms, 1 patient is improved, and 7 patients have their AF attacks unchanged.

**Conclusions**—Paroxysmal recurrent drug-refractory AF can be treated by RF catheter ablation. Creation of long continuous linear lesions necessary to compartmentalize the atria is facilitated by a nonfluoroscopic electroanatomic mapping system. *(Circulation, 1999;100:1203-1208.)*
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Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common tachyarrhythmia,1 its prevalence being ∼5% in people >65 years old.2 Current therapeutic strategies include antiarrhythmic drugs and electrical cardioversion. More recently, alternative treatments, such as catheter ablation or modulation of the atrioventricular (AV) node,3 implantation of an atrial defibrillator,4 and surgical procedures5,6 have been proposed.

Very recently, the possibility of treating AF by means of radiofrequency (RF) catheter ablation has been reported.7,8 The nature of AF makes a transcatheter approach difficult, because lesions generated with this technique are small and apparently inadequate to modify the substrate of this arrhythmia.

The present study was designed to test the hypothesis that a nonfluoroscopic electroanatomic mapping system might assist in the creation and verification of linear transcatheter RF lesions in patients with paroxysmal AF.

**Methods**

**Patients**
We studied 27 patients with symptomatic, recurrent, drug-refractory paroxysmal AF lasting for ≥1 year. There were 20 men and 7 women, with a mean age of 45.8±11.6 years (range, 27 to 67 years). Only 2 patients had structural heart disease, 1 hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, and 1 mitral valve prolapse. Correctable causes of AF, such as thyroid disease, had been excluded, and all patients were nonresponders to antiarrhythmic drugs (average of 3.6±1.5 drugs per patient). Amiodarone had been used in 9 patients but at the time of the study had been withdrawn in each patient for at least 3 months.

Before inclusion in the protocol, every patient underwent extensive observation throughout 1 month. Two 24-hour Holter recordings were performed to obtain documentation of episodes of AF and to demonstrate the correspondence of the arrhythmia with symptoms. Patients were also provided with an event report to record every episode of AF with particular regard to its duration. Inclusion in the study required, over 3 weeks, a minimum of 3 episodes per week, with duration >1 hour (Table).

**Electrophysiological Study**
The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the San Raffaele Hospital; patients were informed about the experimental nature of the procedure and its related risks and gave written informed consent. Antiarrhythmic drugs were discontinued for ≥5 half-lives. The electrophysiological study was performed in accordance with the standard technique. Quadrupolar 6F catheters were placed in the coronary sinus (CS) and in the right ventricular apex.
A pigtail catheter was placed in the ascending aorta to obtain continuous arterial pressure monitoring. A reference catheter (Ref-Star, Cordis-Webster) was placed on the back of the patient, and a deflectable-tip catheter (Navi-Star, Cordis-Webster) was used for mapping and ablation. Left atrial (LA) catheterization was obtained by a transseptal route with a standard Brockenbrough needle and a long sheath.

Mapping System
The nonfluoroscopic navigation and mapping system has already been described.9–11 The system is composed of a miniature passive magnetic field sensor incorporated into a standard electrophysiological catheter, an external ultralow magnetic field emitter (location pad), and a processing unit (Carto, Biosense). Ultralow magnetic fields are emitted from the location pad; the spatial and temporal characteristics of the sensed magnetic fields contain the information needed to solve a set of overdetermined algebraic equations yielding the location \((x, y, z)\) and orientation (roll, yaw, and pitch) of the catheter tip. The resolution of the location capabilities of the system was quantified previously and shown to be \(<1\) mm for both in vitro and in vivo studies.12 This information allows tracking of the tip of the mapping catheter while it is deployed within the heart.

Mapping Process
The mapping and ablation procedures were performed during continuous CS pacing at a rate of 100 bpm. The mapping catheter was introduced into the atria under fluoroscopic guidance, and its location was recorded relative to the location of the fixed reference catheter. By moving the catheter inside the heart, the mapping system continuously analyzed its location and orientation and presented it to the user on the monitor of a graphic workstation, thereby allowing navigation without the use of fluoroscopy.

The mapping procedure was based on dragging the catheter over the endocardium and sequentially acquiring the location of its tip together with its electrogram while in contact with the endocardium. 3D chamber geometry was reconstructed in real time by use of the set of location points sampled from the endocardium. The local activation time (LAT) at each site was determined as the time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Week 1 Episodes of AF</th>
<th>Week 2 Episodes of AF</th>
<th>Week 3 Episodes of AF</th>
<th>Episode Duration, h</th>
<th>Type of Ablative Approach</th>
<th>Rhythm on Day 1</th>
<th>Rhythm on Discharge</th>
<th>Week 1 Episodes of AF</th>
<th>Month 1 Episodes of AF</th>
<th>Months 2–6 Episodes of AF</th>
<th>Drug</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>LA + RA</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2–12</td>
<td>LA + RA</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3*</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1–8</td>
<td>LA + RA</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5–3</td>
<td>LA + RA</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1–6</td>
<td>LA + RA</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2–8</td>
<td>LA + RA</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1–18</td>
<td>LA + RA</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5–2</td>
<td>LA + RA</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9*</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2–4</td>
<td>LA + RA</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1–24</td>
<td>LA + RA</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0†</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3–8</td>
<td>LA + RA</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>LA + RA</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5–8</td>
<td>LA + RA</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.5–1</td>
<td>LA + RA</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2–4</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5–1</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5–2</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1–12</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2–4</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1–12</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4–8</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA indicates not available; SR, sinus rhythm; S, sotalol; F, flecainide; Q, quinidine; P, propafenon. Number of AF episodes during the run-in period (3 weeks) and range of episode duration (hours). Rhythm observed during postablation follow-up at day 1 and at discharge. Number of AF episodes at follow-up, during the first postdischarge week, at 1 month, and at 3 to 6 months. Drug treatment.

*Incomplete lines of block.
†Atrial tachycardia.
interval between the pacing artifact and the steepest negative intrinsic deflection in the unipolar electrogram recorded from the catheter tip. The stability of the catheter-to-endocardium contact was evaluated on the basis of (1) end-diastolic stability (the distance in millimeters between 2 successive endocardial locations) and (2) LAT stability (the interval in milliseconds between 2 successive LATs). A point was added to the map only if the end-diastolic stability was $\leq 2$ mm and the LAT stability was $\leq 2$ ms. For each atrial chamber, a number of points ranging from 50 to 110 was taken (average, 81 ± 14).

On the basis of the various LATs, a map was constructed showing the activation sequence resulting from the time of activation of different zones in a cardiac chamber. The activation map was color-coded (red indicating the earliest and purple the latest activation) and superimposed on the 3D chamber geometry (Figure 1).

RF Application

RF energy was delivered via the distal electrode of the NAVI-STAR catheter. RF power was titrated to achieve a temperature of 65°C in the right atrium (RA) or 55°C in the LA. A maximum power of 60 W was used for 60 to 120 seconds, with the target of reducing the amplitude of the local electrogram by $\geq 75\%$ of the initial value. Any linear lesion was composed of a series of focal lesions, whose location was tagged on the map (Figures 2 through 4).

In the RA, 3 linear lesions were designed: (1) posterior intercaval; (2) medial isthmus, between the IVC and the tricuspid annulus; and (3) septal, between the superior aspect of the intercaval line and the posteroseptal tricuspid annulus. The anteroseptal line was intentionally left incomplete in the upper part to avoid isolation of the sinus node. In the LA, 1 long lesion was generated, encircling the
pulmonary veins and connected to the mitral annulus on 2 sides (Figure 2B).

After the planned lines of block had been created, the atria were remapped, and the preablation and postablation activation maps were compared. The result was considered satisfactory whenever there was no evident impulse propagation across the line of block but the depolarization wave front reached the region beyond the block over a different route. An incomplete block was revealed by impulse propagation through the line, shown by an identical color in points lying at the same level on the 2 sides of the line; in such a case, further RF pulses were given to complete the line of block. We assumed arbitrarily that a line of block was “complete” when there was a difference in activation time by $60 \text{ ms}$ between 2 points at the same level at the 2 sides of a line separated from each other by a distance of $<1 \text{ cm}$.

**Results**

The biatrial approach was used in 14 patients, isolated LA ablation in 5 patients, and isolated RA ablation in 8. The mean procedure duration (±SD) was $312±103 \text{ minutes}$ (range, 187 to 495 minutes), with mean fluoroscopy time of $107±44 \text{ minutes}$ (range, 32 to 185 minutes). In the last 13 cases, fluoroscopy time was significantly reduced compared with the earlier ones ($70±24$ versus $144±22 \text{ minutes}$, $P<0.01$; Student’s $t$ test for unpaired data). Fluoroscopy was used only to insert the catheters, to identify the anatomic landmarks, and to perform the transseptal approach, whereas the collection of location points was achieved mainly without fluoroscopy.

The average number of RF pulses was $98±21$ for LA ablation and $61±15$ for RA ablation. In 21 patients, postablation verification demonstrated the completeness of the lines of block, whereas in 6 patients, no such demonstration was obtained despite several additional RF applications in sites corresponding to possible “holes.”

The post-RF changes in activation maps are evident from Figures 2, 3, and 4. Figure 2A shows progressive color transition throughout the atrial walls: points close to each other reflect either the same color or a small color difference, corresponding to activation 10 to 20 ms apart, whereas in Figure 2C, the lines of block, indicated by red tags, separate zones with totally different colors, ie, activation $>60 \text{ ms}$ apart. This means that the activation wave front does not cross the line. The postablation remapping (Figure 2C) demonstrates that the area encircled by the line of block has late activation colors (dark blue to purple), the expression of late arrival of the activation wave front to this area. The same phenomenon is evident in Figures 3 and 4. Figure 3 shows an inferomedial view of the RA; 3A reflects the preablation map, 3B the designed line of block, and 3C the postablation map.
Again, the area circumscribed by the lines of block has late activation colors, whereas it had mostly early activation colors in the preablation map. This demonstrates that the lines of block prevent a normal intra-atrial impulse conduction, resulting in late activation of the RA free wall.

Figure 4 shows the results of linear lesions deployed in an RA (the posterior intercaval line). Panel A depicts the preablation map and B and C postablation maps. In the first post-RF map (B), the line of block is incomplete, as suggested by the presence, in the lower half of the line, of areas reflecting the same color (green) on the 2 sides of the line. The map shown in C, obtained after further RF application to the critical site, suggests that the line of lesion is complete (no indistinguishable colors at matched points on the 2 sides of the line).

Effects of the Ablation Procedure on AF
Catheter ablation resulted in relevant reduction of AF attacks in most patients. After a follow-up of 6.0 to 15.3 months (average, 10.5±3.0 months), 16 of 27 treated patients were asymptomatic (4 on drugs), 3 have almost complete disappearance of symptoms (all 3 on drugs), 1 patient is improved in terms of number and duration of episodes, and the remaining 7 show no change in their AF episodes (Table).

No complications occurred during the procedures, but 1 patient had complications in the early follow-up. Twenty-four hours after the procedure, transthoracic and transesophageal echocardiograms were unchanged in 24 patients, whereas in 3, a slight pericardial effusion was demonstrated. This was not associated with symptoms or signs of pericarditis and disappeared at the 1-week control without any treatment. Evaluation of mechanical atrial function by means of Doppler mitral and tricuspid flow analysis did not demonstrate any change after the ablation procedure: the E/A transmitral flow velocity ratio was 1.37±0.47 before and 1.37±0.47 after and after catheter ablation, respectively.

At the end of the ablation session, all patients were in sinus rhythm, as at the beginning of the procedure, but 17 patients (63%) suffered from AF during the 24 hours after the procedure, with episodes ranging from a few minutes to several hours. A 67-year-old woman experienced episodes of AF followed by sinus pauses as long as 6 seconds; she underwent implantation of a DDD pacemaker. After this patient, we started leaving the anteroseptal line in the RA incomplete, in an attempt to prevent sinus node dysfunction. Analysis of Holter recordings did not reveal any sign of sinus node disease in the last 24 patients.

Predischarge Holter recording showed atrial extrasystoles in all patients, paroxysmal atrial flutter with a duration of 30 minutes in 1 patient, and brief AF episodes in 7 patients.

At 1 week from discharge, 19 patients were free of AF (disappearance of symptoms, no Holter evidence of the arrhythmia). Seven of them had frequent atrial extrasystoles at Holter monitoring, and 1 patient experienced an ECG-documented episode of atrial tachycardia at a rate of 140 bpm. The remaining 8 patients had recurrent episodes of AF. One month after the procedure, 16 patients (4 on drugs) were asymptomatic and had no AF. Seven patients had isolated episodes of AF (no more than 2 episodes during 1 month), and the remaining 4 had no change in symptoms.

Three months after ablation, 16 patients were free of recurrence, whereas 3 patients had isolated episodes of AF. One patient had recurrence of AF but with fewer and shorter episodes than before. In 7 patients (26%), including 5 of 6 in whom no complete ablation lines had been obtained, AF episodes were unchanged. At 6 months, no change occurred in comparison with the 3-month follow-up.

The procedure was successful in eliminating or significantly reducing AF in 12 of 14 patients (85%) with the biatral approach, in 3 of 5 patients (60%) who underwent isolated LA ablation, and in 4 of 8 patients (50%) in whom only RA ablation was performed.

Discussion
RF catheter ablation appears promising in the treatment of paroxysmal AF. Our data reveal that 19 patients (70%) were treated successfully (16 totally asymptomatic, 3 with a dramatic reduction of symptoms) and 1 patient improved, whereas in 7 patients (21%), the arrhythmia was unchanged. Although the follow-up is limited and some recurrences could have been undetected by Holter recordings and/or unrecognized by the patients, all of them were so highly symptomatic that it is not difficult to assume that in the successful group the arrhythmia was either eliminated or significantly reduced.

Rationale for Ablation Techniques in AF
Ablation treatment for AF is based on the hypothesis that without a relatively large atrial mass in which to operate, multiple reentrant wavelets sufficient in number to perpetuate AF cannot coexist. If so, AF may be cured by dividing the atria into several areas electrically isolated from each other. This procedure was originally applied by Cox et al.4 who introduced the maze operation. The favorable results achieved by surgeons led to preliminary laboratory investigation of RF catheter ablation of AF in animals and subsequent application in humans.7,8,13–15

Target of Ablation in AF
The present study, as well as previous reports, suggests that catheter ablation is suitable for the treatment of AF. The target of ablation and the number of linear lesions to be created, however, are still debatable. A biatral approach appears preferable to either isolated LA or RA ablation, because the success rate was 85% in patients with biatral ablation, whereas it was only 50% to 60% of patients in whom 1 single atrium had been approached. The number of patients in each group, however, is too small to permit statistical analysis. In a study by Haïssaguerre,7 biatral ablation was also associated with a higher success rate than RA ablation alone.

A relevant technical problem in AF ablation concerns the “completeness” of ablation lines, because incomplete electrical separation between contiguous areas is likely to permit impulse conduction sufficient for propagation of fibrillatory wave fronts. With current ablation technology, creation of complete ablation lines is difficult: it is hard to assess the continuity of a long linear lesion just by looking at the movements of the catheter tip on the fluoroscopic screen. In contrast, the technique based on 3D electroanatomic mapping permits us to evaluate the completeness of the linear lesion, because each lesional point is tagged on
the map, so that interruptions in line continuity may be easily identified, and further RF applications in critical regions may succeed in completing the lines (Figure 4).

It was not possible in every case, however, to achieve complete lines of block resulting in significant change of the activation map: in 6 patients, no complete lines were obtained despite additional RF applications. In these patients, the possible holes were filled with further lesions, and an uninterrupted series of tags was achieved, but no satisfactory change in activation resulted. Identification of line continuity relies on a critical change in activation rather than being expressed as an uninterrupted series of tags.

“Completeness” of the line of block, in our definition, means a delay of conduction ≥60 ms between points close to each other, whereas “incompleteness” of block indicates a lesser degree of conduction delay or normal conduction. Completeness of the line judged by change in activation map does not imply total absence of conduction across the line of block but rather merely indicates that the route of propagation of the impulse front is different with respect to the previous condition. Theoretically, therefore, a circulating wave front could still traverse the line of block, despite a significant postablation change of the activation map, which might account for some recurrences of the arrhythmia after achievement of complete lines of block.

**Postablation AF**

The incidence of AF on the day after the ablation procedure was very high in this study. It is difficult to assess the mechanism leading to acute postablation AF, but we can assume that the phenomenon is similar to that described after the maze operation. In 47% of patients undergoing the maze surgical procedure, AF developed in the early postoperative period, even though in the follow-up, 98% of patients were free of recurrence, and 89% of them did not take any antiarrhythmic medication. Cox et al expanded such a finding by assuming a postoperative shortening of the atrial refractory period, allowing initiation and maintenance of AF despite the absence of atrial areas large enough to permit the arrhythmia under normal conditions. This is because shortening of the refractory period significantly decreases the critical mass necessary for reentry. It is possible that in our patients, the edema associated with the large number of endocardial lesions shortened the atrial refractory period in such a way that AF occurred in the early postprocedure time. This is not the only possible explanation for postoperative AF; enhancement of ectopic automaticity could also play a role.

**Limitations of the Study**

We treated only patients with paroxysmal AF and without significant anatomic atrial involvement. The present results thus cannot be extended to patients with chronic AF and diseased atria. Moreover, the technique is based on mapping and RF delivery during constant atrial pacing from the CS, which facilitates comparison of preablation and postablation maps. Treatment of patients with chronic AF requires a different approach, because atrial pacing is impossible, so that preablation and postablation maps cannot be compared in the manner described here.

The procedure is long because mapping and remapping of the atria require acquisition of a large number of points. Although in our limited series we had no complications related to transseptal catheterization and long-lasting catheter manipulation in the left heart, such an approach should be considered to carry some risk of thromboembolism. Finally, the long-term outcome of the procedure is unknown, and theoretically AF could recur if recovery of conduction in some areas again allows the coexistence of multiple reentrant wavelets.

**Conclusions**

Paroxysmal recurrent drug-refractory AF can be treated by RF catheter. Creation of the long continuous linear lesions necessary to compartmentalize the atria is facilitated by the use of a nonfluoroscopic electroanatomic mapping system that permits accurate selection of the target sites for RF application as well as evaluation of the completeness of the lesion. The procedure described here is time-consuming and carries some risks. Thus, such an approach should be considered only for patients disabled by their arrhythmia. Further investigation is needed to define the possibility of applying the same procedure to patients with chronic AF.
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